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# Borane catalysis for epoxide (co)polymerization 

Stefan Naumann (i)

Since 2016, when simple triethylborane ( $E \mathrm{t}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ) was revealed as a very capable catalyst for the copolymerization of epoxides and carbon dioxide, research effort regarding this type of organic Lewis acid has soared. This interest is well-founded and connected to some striking features of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-based polymerization catalysis. Especially for the homo- and copolymerization of epoxides, this has enabled a step change in functional group tolerance and polymerization control, also including beneficial practical aspects such as rapid monomer consumption, fully metal-free setups and very low catalyst loadings. As a result, polyethers, polyether-containing complex architectures and various epoxide-based copolymers can now be addressed with unprecedented precision, in turn unlocking extended or even novel applications for these polymers. This review intends to highlight the advances made in the past few years, focusing on the catalytic performance of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and emerging strategies for (multi)borane catalyst design, alongside mechanistic considerations and how these are reflected in the respective polymers. Thereby, it is hoped to raise awareness for borane catalysis as a disruptive tool with significant technological potential
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for polyether chemistry and related fields.

## Introduction

Epoxides (Fig. 1), in particular ethylene oxide (EO) and propylene oxide ( PO ), are among the most important monomers in
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polymer chemistry. ${ }^{1,2}$ The aliphatic polyethers resulting from their homo- or copolymerization display extraordinarily wideranging applicability, encompassing polyols for the preparation of polyurethanes, ${ }^{3,4}$ additives for lubrication, ${ }^{5}$ rheology control ${ }^{6}$ or as dispersants, ${ }^{7}$ and also a broad employment in skin care and related daily use products (tooth paste, lip sticks). ${ }^{8,9}$ Further examples, where a particularly rapid scientific development can be witnessed, include the use of polyethers for drug delivery, ${ }^{10,11}$ electrochemical devices (electrolyte, membranes $)^{12-14}$ or the templating of nanoporous materials. ${ }^{15-17}$

This broad applicability profile is rooted in the dualism of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) /poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) on the one hand and poly(propylene oxide) (PPO) on the other. While PEG is water-soluble, semi-crystalline and of very low toxicity, ${ }^{18}$ PPO is usually a fully amorphous, viscous liquid with moderate lipophilicity. ${ }^{1}$ Properties can be further adjusted if different macromolecular architectures are considered (i.e., block or statistical copolymers, networks, hyperbranched systems) $)^{19-23}$ or if more complex epoxide monomers are employed (Fig. 1). With regard to the latter, especially 1-butylene oxide (BO) and other heavier alkylene oxides should be mentioned, alongside various glycidyl ethers and styrene oxide (SO), which can be used to introduce additional functionality to the polymer. ${ }^{24-27}$ The oxygen-carbon bond in the polyether backbone is stable and flexible, imparting the ability to interact with suitable solvents or Lewis acids and a high main chain mobility (low glass transition temperature) to the polymer. ${ }^{2}$ While the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ motif is chemically relatively robust, aliphatic polyethers are,
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Fig. 1 Monomers as discussed in this review and respective abbreviations.
however, susceptible to radical degradation. This has been put forward as an attractive feature to replace other polymers in specific situations where marine littering occurs. ${ }^{28}$

Copolymerization with non-epoxide comonomers is also possible and well-established, further extending the structural diversity of the accessible macromolecules. Especially copolymerization with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, generating polycarbonates, ${ }^{29-33}$ and with anhydrides, yielding polyesters, ${ }^{34,35}$ will be a point of interest in this review, but many more examples could be mentioned. ${ }^{36-41}$

The chemical constitution of the epoxide functionality, as smallest cyclic ether, renders a broad range of catalysts applicable: the endocyclic oxygen atom is Lewis basic ${ }^{42}$ and thus primes the monomer for activation (i.e., by coordination to a Lewis acid or protonation). Consequently, epoxides can be polymerized along cationic or "coordination-insertion" pathways. ${ }^{43}$ Much in contrast to their heavier cyclic ether homologues, however, epoxides can also be readily polymerized following anionic routes, helped along by the high ring strain in the monomer ( $112 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ )..$^{1,2}$ As a result, epoxide homopolymerization can, in principle, be catalysed by a plethora of compounds, including organocatalytic ${ }^{44-47}$ methods; in practical terms (and large-scale processes) only a few of those found wide-spread use. In particular, conventional anionic polymerization mediated by potassium hydroxide or potassium alkoxides is a still broadly employed technology. ${ }^{48}$ Additionally, the socalled double-metal cyanide (DMC) catalysts are increasingly popular for industrial purposes. ${ }^{1,49-51}$ For some polyether niche products alternative, Al-based organometallic compounds also play a role. ${ }^{52-54}$ All of these catalysts come with a number of significant downsides. Since a detailed discussion of the specific
limitations of each type of catalyst would lead too far, the interested reader is referred to excellent review publications for epoxide homo- and copolymerization. ${ }^{1,2,29-35,45-48}$ It is, however, the ambition of the overview presented here to demonstrate that borane catalysis has contributed on several frontiers to overcome these limitations and in sum provides the field with a combination of features that is unparalleled. In short, these advantages include:
(a) Polymerization control. This relates to control over molar mass, molar mass distribution, end group fidelity and polymerization rates. This is by no means a trivial aspect. As an example, the seemingly simple homopolymerization of PO is impaired by well-known transfer to monomer side reactions. This has notoriously limited achievable molar masses (typically to less than $5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) via conventional anionic polymerization and prohibits the incorporation of PPO in more complex polymer architectures this way. ${ }^{1}$ To suppress this undesired reaction pathway, the basicity of the propagating chain end (secondary alkoxide) has to be managed without lowering the polymerization rates to impractical levels. ${ }^{55}$ Approaches to solve this by coordinative polymerization mechanisms (thereby avoiding free anionic species), ${ }^{56,57}$ by specifically adapted organocatalysts ${ }^{58,59}$ or via monomer-activation strategies ${ }^{60,61}$ have been successful in providing, i.e., high $-M_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{PPO},{ }^{62}$ but at the cost of either broad molar mass distributions, slow polymerization rates or sharply limited functional group tolerance. As will be shown in the following, simple borane catalysts can provide high molar masses while retaining fast kinetics, narrow molar mass distributions and a broad scope of tolerated functionalities.
(b) Functional group tolerance. The ability to include functionalized epoxides, non-epoxide comonomers or more complex (macro)initiators is a key feature if polyethers or related polymers are to be tailored for specific advanced applications. Accordingly, there is an increasing demand which is, however, incompatible with the frequently employed conventional anionic polymerization where conditions are unsuitable for functionalities susceptible to nucleophilic attack. ${ }^{63}$ Similar limits apply to many metal-based catalysts, which can degrade or be deactivated by the desired moieties (i.e. DMC catalysts are deactivated by polyols based on sugars ${ }^{1}$ ). Suitable organocatalysts for epoxide conversion are usually strong bases, entailing comparable limitations. ${ }^{59,64}$ In this regard, borane (co)catalysis has enabled a true step change: triethylborane $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ and modified variants thereof are capable of consuming sensitive epoxide monomers (such as epichlorohydrin or glycidyl esters) in a controlled manner and have even been employed for the preparation of well-defined poly(ester-ether) multiblock copolymers. ${ }^{63}$ Likewise, precisely constructed copolymers of epoxides with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or anhydrides profit from this functional group tolerant behaviour. The following discussion will map out the current state of knowledge regarding this intriguing feature of borane-mediated epoxide conversion.
(c) Polymer Tacticity. Polymerization of rac-epoxides (i.e., $\mathrm{PO}, \mathrm{BO}$ ) to result in stereocontrolled (isotactic) aliphatic polyethers has long been a domain of metal-based setups. ${ }^{65}$ Chiral diborane catalysts now offer a first glimpse of what might be possible by using organocatalytic approaches. ${ }^{66}$ While selectivity is still inferior to the best bimetallic catalysts, it is especially the combination of controlled polymerization, functional group tolerance and stereoselectivity which might render this strategy useful for future applications.

In the following, the development of borane-mediated epoxide (co)polymerization will be described, looking first at the catalytic performance of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. In accordance with the chronology of developments, this overview will start with the copolymerization of epoxides and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, followed by epoxide homopolymerization and epoxide/anhydride copolymerization and other examples.

In the second section, different types of modified borane catalysts for these polymerization applications will be described, including trialkyl- and triarylmonoboranes, bifunctional (multi)borane catalysts and chiral diborane structures. Recent efforts for rationally guided catalyst optimization alongside a detailed discussion of the polymerization mechanisms are provided. A recently published, excellent review article covering several of these aspects is also recommended to readers. ${ }^{67}$

## Triethylborane

## Copolymerization with $\mathbf{C O}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{C O S}$ and $\mathbf{C S}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Triethylborane $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ is a commercially available, colourless liquid which is usually sold as a solution in THF or hexane. It is also pyrophoric (hence its use as rocket/jet engine fuel
ignition additive), ${ }^{68}$ moderately toxic $\left(\mathrm{LD}_{50}=235 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}\right)^{69}$ and somewhat prone to hydrolysis, depending on conditions. ${ }^{70}$ The molecule does not dimerize and the core motif is planar. ${ }^{71}$ It is significantly less Lewis acidic than its wellknown analogue tris(pentafluoro)phenylborane (BCF), ${ }^{72-74}$ and consequently shows a very different range of applicability in polymer chemistry. While BCF has been used in cationictype polymerizations (including of epoxides, where relatively ill-controlled and regioirregular polyethers result ${ }^{75}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ has been previously employed to initiate radical polymerizations $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ is suitable for low-temperature initiation $\left.{ }^{76}\right)$ or to attenuate aggressive anionic propagating species (i.e. enolates in the polymerization of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dialkylacrylamides ${ }^{77}$ ).

This distinct reactivity profile rendered $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ an attractive choice when it was first introduced to the field of epoxide copolymerization in 2016 in a key paper by Feng, Gnanou and Hadjichristidis. ${ }^{78}$ This work aimed for the first fully metal-free anionic copolymerization of epoxides (PO and cyclohexene oxide (CHO)) with carbon dioxide, whereby a strictly alternating monomer incorporation was targeted (Scheme 1). This was achieved by judicious choice of metal-free activators, in analogy to an earlier publication by the same group where lithium salts (to activate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) in combination with $\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ (to activate the epoxide) were employed. ${ }^{79}$ Taking inspiration from this, the authors opted for several onium and phosphazenium salts to provide bulky cations capable of slight interaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ was selected for metal-free epoxide activation, specifically because it was assumed that this compound was Lewis acidic enough to provide a weak interaction with PO or CHO but not strong enough to favour excessive epoxide homopolymerization.

A screening quickly revealed that the best results were obtained using $\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ for $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerizations (with a TON of up to 500 ), while a similar setup achieved a TON of up to 3600 for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerizations. Characterization via GPC, NMR and MALDI-ToF MS showed


Scheme 1 The first metal-free copolymerization of epoxides and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, enabled by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ as epoxide activator. Note that initiation can be achieved via alkoxides or chloride.
that, at low molar masses, the generated polycarbonates displayed monomodal and well-defined molar mass distributions ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.20$ ) alongside a high carbonate content (92-99\%) and end group fidelity. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR revealed $82 \%$ head-to-tail linkages for the polymer based on PO. Selectivity regarding linear vs. cyclic product was generally good. When higher molar masses were targeted, a broadening of the PDI and in some cases bimodal GPC results were received. Careful $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ purification helps in this regard (see below).

Importantly, regarding the involvement of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in the polymerization mechanism, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments suggested a weak interaction between borane and epoxide (ca. 0.06 ppm difference for the PO methine proton $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, relative to free monomer). Secondly, it was found that the polymerization worked best if two equivalents of $E t_{3} B$ per propagating chain end are present; less than that resulted in loss of selectivity (linear $v s$. cyclic) or even a full suppression of polymerization activity when using only one equivalent of borane. These two observations, later reproduced by several studies, indicated that the role of borane exceeds that of a simple epoxide activator and fostered research activity regarding elucidation of mechanistic details as well as novel borane catalyst designs (see below). Indeed, in 2018, Feng, Gnanou and Huang investigated the influence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ on the copolymerization mechanism of $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ using detailed DFT calculations. ${ }^{80}$ It was convincingly demonstrated that the presence of borane strongly lowers the respective energy barriers for alternating $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and epoxide enchainment, and both the preferred stoichiometry (two equivalents of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ) as well as the advantageous behaviour of CHO relative to PO could be reproduced. It was also found that formation of cyclic carbonates via "backbiting" is massively hindered by the coordination between $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and the carbonate anion, which readily explains the excellent selectivity for polycarbonate formation.

Interest in borane-mediated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ /epoxide copolymerization quickly gained momentum in the following years. In 2019, Gnanou and Feng investigated the suitability of carboxylate initiators for this type of catalysis, based on the serendipitous finding that tetrabutylammonium carbonate (TBAC) serves as a very potent, cheap and recyclable initiator (Scheme 2). ${ }^{81}$ Investigations in this direction were motivated by the fact that halide end groups render the resulting polycarbonate unsuitable as polyol component in polyurethane production (i.e. $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, see Scheme 1 above). Di- or multifunctional initiators, on the other hand, could provide access to exclusively - OH end-capped linear or star-shaped polyols, respectively. Indeed, using TBAC or two-, tri- or tetrafunctional ammonium carboxylates, PO and carbon dioxide were copolymerized to result in well-defined ( $\Xi_{\mathrm{M}}=1.1-1.2$ ) polycarbonates with high carbonate content (up to $99 \%$ ) and high selectivity of linear versus cyclic carbonate species (up to $>99 \%$ ); if the carboxylate initiator was introduced via poly(diallyl dimethylammonium) as polycationic counterion, also facile separation and recycling of the polyammonium species was possible. ${ }^{82}$

That same year, the group of Zhang used bis(triphenylphosphine) iminium chloride ( PPNCl ) $/ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to successfully



Scheme 2 Top: ammonium carboxylate initiators and resulting hydroxyl-capped linear and star-shaped polycarbonates. Bottom: proposed polymerization mechanism including several ate-complexes and activated monomer.
copolymerize phenyl glycidyl ether (PGE) or SO with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{83}$ Crucially, both research groups stressed the importance of the stoichiometry of borane relative to the propagating chain ends. Thus, in the latter case of PGE, the product spectrum could be tailored from yielding $100 \%$ cyclic carbonate to yielding $99 \%$ linear product (polycarbonate) by adjusting the molar ratio of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{PPNCl}$ from $1: 1$ to $6: 1$. An even higher excess of borane led to a decrease of the carbonate content in the polymer, that is, a growing proportion of polyether linkages in the product copolymer. Similarly, for $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization using carboxylate initiators as discussed above, it was found that higher $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ loading relative to carboxylate favoured polyether linkages, while a lower loading favoured alternating copolymerization (higher carbonate content); for PO, however, much less of an excess was necessary to engender polyether linkages, supporting the occurrence of epoxide-dependent interactions with the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. It should be noted that such behaviour, where the relative catalyst loading can be used to impact the product spectrum to such a fundamental degree, is very different from typical metal-based approaches to generate polycarbonates from carbon dioxide and epoxides.

Mechanistically, in both cases, the formation of "ate-complexes" was put forward to rationalize the catalytic performance (Scheme 2, bottom). In effect, the Lewis acid was thus proposed to fulfil a dual role of activating the monomer and stabilizing the propagating chain end. This proposal seems to explain nicely why an excess of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is particularly useful for
epoxide enchainment and why more than one equivalent is usually needed (one equivalent of borane being consumed by the propagating chain end, which competes with the monomer for borane coordination). Also, it should be noted that formation of an ate-complex renders the propagating chain end less reactive and could thus plausibly reduce side reactions or selectivity issues like backbiting. Formation of a true ate-complex is accompanied by a notable shift in ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR, whereby free $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ appears at $\delta=86 \mathrm{ppm}$, while the complexed borate is found at $\delta=54 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{82}$ Accordingly, in the presence of severely dried $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, very high- $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ polycarbonates can be prepared $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}} \gg 100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}\right) .{ }^{84}$

Overall, this proposed mechanism is strongly reminiscent of Lewis pair polymerization (LPP, see discussion further below). ${ }^{85}$

In 2020, the beneficial aspects of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-mediated epoxide/ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization were taken a step further by mapping out the epoxide scope and using this knowledge to create allpolycarbonate thermopolastic elastomers. ${ }^{86}$ In this large study, BO , 1-hexene oxide (HO), 1-octene oxide (OO), butyl glycidyl ether (BGE), 2-ethylhexyl glycidyl ether (EHGE) and allyl glycidyl ether (AGE) were copolymerized, using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in conjunction with halide (i.e., $\left.\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{PPNCl}\right)$ or oxyanionic initiators. Reactivity of the epoxides under identical conditions was found to be $\mathrm{BO}>\mathrm{HO}>\mathrm{OO}>\mathrm{BGE}>\mathrm{EHGE}>\mathrm{AGE}$, thus showing a clear correlation with the monomer structure: the reactivity decreases as the borane-epoxide interactions are increasingly hindered by growing steric bulk or competing interactions (BGE, EHGE and AGE all possess a second oxygen atom, which can coordinate to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, while AGE also carries an olefinic functionality which was shown to very slightly interact with the borane). In accordance with previous work as discussed above, the ideal $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ loading was epoxide-dependent. Using more equivalents of borane relative to the propagating chain ends could partially compensate for a lower epoxide reactivity. Nonetheless, in all cases polycarbonates were received, underlining the unusually broad applicability of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-based catalytic setup.

With this range of different polycarbonates in hand, allpolycarbonate block copolymers were prepared, starting from a difunctional initiator. First, a soft block based on OO/CO ${ }_{2}$ was prepared, aiming at high molar masses ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}=280 \mathrm{~kg}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, Đ_{\mathrm{M}}=1.2$ ). Then, two hard, high- $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ blocks based on CHO were grown on either termini of the polymer, taking the overall molar mass up to $>350 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}\left(~_{\mathrm{M}}=1.3-1.4\right)$. The high molar masses were crucial in increasing the segregation strength of the blocks (targeting $\chi N \gg 10.5$, with $\chi=$ FloryHuggins parameter and $N=$ degree of polymerization) to enable microphase separation and thus the formation of hardblock, high $-T_{g}$ segments in a soft amorphous matrix. The resulting polymer displayed two distinct glass transition temperatures ( $T_{g}=-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $107-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) substantiated microphase separation by revealing spherical or cylindrical hard segments (Fig. 2). Tensile strengths in the range of $2.04-3.24 \mathrm{MPa}$ were found, while the elongation at break was between $330-1050 \%$, overall



Fig. 2 All-polycarbonate thermoplastic elastomer and AFM results showing microphase separation thereof, with $A, B, C=$ polymers with same soft block but increasing hard block size. Reproduced from ref. 86 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2020.
characterizing the materials as soft rubbers, which might find application in tissue engineering. These materials represent the first example of the metal-free synthesis of all-polycarbonate thermoplastic elastomers. A similar methodology was employed to generate star-shaped polymers with a degradable polycarbonate core (using 4-vinylcyclohexene dioxide, VCD), of interest for, i.e., drug-delivery. ${ }^{87}$ Additionally, all-polycarbonate graft copolymers were constructed by a grafting-from approach, starting from polycarbonate based on vinyl-CHO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{88}$ After conversion of the dangling olefinic groups into ammonium carboxylates, poly(propylene carbonate) (PPC) chains were grown from the backbone. Depending on the relative sizes of backbone and graft, different self-assembled morphologies could be created this way.

In 2021, the set of initiators that can be combined with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to produce PPC via copolymerization of PO with carbon dioxide was extended to include simple, neutral tertiary amines (i.e., triethylamine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ). ${ }^{89}$ In contrast to halide or oxyanionic initiators, this results in a zwitterionic propagating species (Scheme 3). The $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-pair proved to be effective in generating high molar-mass PPC (up to $56 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$ ), whereby strictly alternating comonomer incorporation was achieved. The selectivity for PPC over the cyclic propylene carbonate was generally good and could be further improved by using tributylborane $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ as Lewis acidic component: sitting on the oxyanionic chain end, the increased steric demand of this compound plausibly hinders the backbiting-type reaction forming the undesired small molecule carbonate. Application of triphenylborane $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ resulted in a complete loss of


Scheme 3 Preparation of polycarbonate via $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and zwitterionic species.
polymerization activity. Perhaps most strikingly, it was observed that the $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-pair worked fine at a $1: 1$ or even 2:1 molar ratio. This contrasts earlier reports on the PPNCl/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ system where a $1: 1$ stoichiometry resulted in a sharply rising content of cyclic carbonate side product. ${ }^{83}$ The involvement of the intramolecular ion pair (Scheme 3) could potentially be responsible for this behaviour; in a DFT-based interpretation of the polymerization mechanism, the rate determining step is still the attack of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-masked carbonate on $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-activated PO, thus dependent on the involvement of two equivalents of borane.

In 2022, Liu, Li and co-workers focused on the impact of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure when using organic superbases to generate the initiating alkoxides species from alcohols in situ. ${ }^{90}$ Thus, a specific type of phosphazene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right.$-Py- $\mathrm{P}_{3}$, Scheme 4) was employed in conjunction with diol initiators and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ for the $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization under various conditions. $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}-\mathrm{Py}-$ $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ is characterized by a relatively weak basicity ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=26.5$ in acetonitrile) and a significant size ( 1.3 nm ). This compound activates the -OH species, but interactions with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ are relatively weak, as shown by NMR analyses, thus avoiding tight Lewis pair formation and concomitant deactivation of the catalytic setup. Just in contrast, at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 MPa of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure in presence of two equivalents $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ per phosphazene molecule, a very well-defined product is received, showing neither ether linkages nor the formation of undesired cyclic carbonate ( $D_{M}=1.15-1.25$ ). Under extreme conditions of 24000 equivalents of CHO relative to the phosphazene, still more than $50 \%$ of the epoxide are converted after 30 h , amounting to a TON of $>12000$. Interestingly, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}-\mathrm{Py}^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{3} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ also works under very mild conditions ( $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), still achieving a TON of 320 (at higher $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ loading) and a very well-defined polycarbonate product (>99\% carbonate linkages), underlining that (co) catalyst design has tremendous potential for further optimizing performance. In spite of these benefits, the monomer scope is limited if the high standards of CHO copolymerization are applied. While sterically hindered PGE and SO are still polymerized with high selectivity, albeit much slower, for PO and BO a notable proportion of cyclic carbonate is observed alongside the polymer formation. Epichlorohydrin (ECH) and AGE are not polymerized at all, instead delivering exclusively the cyclic side product in high yield.


Scheme 4 Polycarbonate formation from $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, using a borane/ phosphazene catalyst pair for polymerization under mild conditions.

EO as epoxide component has received only limited attention in this context, but in a striking publication Feng, Ganou and co-workers have highlighted the potential of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ mediated $\mathrm{EO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization for producing a range of products with different and complementing properties. ${ }^{91}$ Thus, while PEG/PEO is water-soluble, the corresponding copolymer with high carbonate content is hydrophobic (with up to $50 \%$ of its weight deriving from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). On the other hand, a low carbonate content will generate a "PEG-like", semicrystalline polymer which is water-soluble but degradable on account of the few remaining carbonate functionalities. Thus, using a combination of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and onium salts under various reaction conditions ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure, solvent, borane loading) an array of copolymers was prepared. In satisfying accordance with previous knowledge regarding borane-mediated epoxide copolymerization, the sterically unhindered and reactive EO requires a lowering of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-ratio to yield alternating comonomer incorporation: two equivalents of borane relative to onium salt result in a polymer with only $15 \%$ carbonate linkages (predominant formation of ether linkages). Lowering the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ proportion and increasing the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure can push up the carbonate content accordingly. In hexane solvent, the dissociation of the intermediate ate-complexes is further disfavoured, suppressing EO homopolymerization (at the cost of somewhat slower polymerization kinetics). Under optimized conditions, high carbonate contents $>90 \%$, excellent selectivity for linear polymer (over cyclic carbonate side products) and molar masses up to $20 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ were achieved ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}=1.1-1.3$ ). Using such hydrophobic polycarbonates as macroinitiators (including $\alpha, \omega$-dihydroxylated species), PEO blocks could be grown on them after releasing the carbon dioxide from the reactor and recharging with EO (Scheme 5).

The resulting, well-defined block copolymers are able to form micelles, in analogy to the well-known "Pluronic" nonionic surfactants, however, with the added benefit of being




Scheme 5 Top: formation of a $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ EO-based polycarbonate, which can be used as a hydrophobic core to generate amphiphilic block copolymers after EO homopolymerization in a second step. Bottom: hydrophilic PEOEC, as resulting from the borane-mediated copolymerization under suitable conditions.
sourced from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, being degradable and having a lower critical micelle concentration (cmc) than comparable Pluronics. In a final part of this study, poly(ethylene oxide-co-ethylene carbonate) (PEOEC) with particularly low carbonate content was targeted. Congruent with the observations discussed above, this could be achieved by an increase of the relative $\mathrm{Et}_{3} B$ equivalents or a lowering of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure (or a combination of both), giving access to polymers with tailored carbonate contents of $1.7-11.0 \%$. Very high molar masses could be obtained this way ( $\exists_{\mathrm{M}}=1.03-1.16$ ). The polymers thus received were shown to be degradable as expected, displaying also a melting point close to that of PEG when the occurrence of carbonate functionalities was sufficiently low ( $<5 \%$ ). Overall, this approach delivered colourless, metal-free polymers with adjustable polarity, with potential application as surfactants, for drug delivery or as polyol components.

Epoxide copolymerization using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ catalysis was also extended towards the heavier $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ analogues, carbonyl sulfide (COS) and carbon disulfide $\left(\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right)$. In 2017, Darensbourg, Zhang and co-workers demonstrated the exceptional performance of simple $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ Lewis base (amidine, guanidine or onium salt) catalyst pairs for the copolymerization of COS with PO and other epoxides (Scheme 6). ${ }^{92}$ Thus, transparent poly (monothiocarbonate)s were generated with high molar masses ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $92 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$ ) and completely alternating structure. Moreover, oxygen-sulfur exchange was fully suppressed (the polymer structure was not randomized to contain also thiocarbonate or carbonate moieties). In particular, the high head-totail content ( $>99 \%$ ) and the colourlessness were considered advantageous compared to metal-based copolymerization catalysts. Mechanistically, it is interesting to note that amidine and guanidine functional groups are active for copolymerization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments suggested that addition of $\operatorname{COS}$ and PO to a DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene)/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ Lewis pair results in the formation of a DBU-PO-COS-Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ species (the signal of the methine proton of PO shifts by 0.17 ppm ),


Scheme 6 Top: general polymerization reaction for the preparation of well-defined poly(monothiocarbonate) via epoxide/COS copolymerization using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in combination with several Lewis bases. Bottom: $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ DBU ion pair and its reactivity with the monomers.
which can ring-open PO (Scheme 6). Initiation efficiency can be an issue under these conditions, especially if combined with swift propagation, engendering a loss of control over molar masses and broader molar mass distributions.

It should be noted that under the same conditions, no polymerization was observed when $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ was exchanged for BCF or $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. Also, an initial screening of frequently employed Lewis pairs $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{BCF} / \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{BCF} / \mathrm{DBU}\right)$ failed to produce the desired polymer, underlining the unique suitability of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. Using the same polymerization strategy in the presence of mono- or dihydroxylated poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) macroinitiators as chain-transfer agents (CTAs), welldefined AB or ABA block copolymers were constructed. ${ }^{93}$ Compared to the polymerization in the absence of CTAs, the excellent selectivity was retained while overall the catalytic reactivity even increased somewhat. Molar masses up to $M_{\mathrm{n}}=$ $50 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ were achieved this way, overall displaying narrow mass distributions ( $D_{M}<1.3$ ).

Interestingly, the catalytic activity for $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{COS}$ copolymerization could be further improved by using $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine (TEED) in combination with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} .{ }^{94}$ Indeed, a dramatic increase of turnover frequency (TOF) to $22500 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ was reported with this setup, presumably on account of a higher probability of monomer activation. The spacer length between nitrogen atoms was indeed found to be a key tuning parameter, with two methylene units, as present in TEED, being the optimum. The polymerization retained a high selectivity for strictly alternating copolymerization; moreover, once COS was consumed, the polymerization stopped even if excess of PO was still present. Further addition of COS then reactivated the polymerization. Several such "on-off" cycles were conducted and parallel GPC analysis proved the wellbehaved, complete chain extension.

This behaviour markedly changes when more than one equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ per tertiary nitrogen moiety was present. Using a setup of $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{COS} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ TEED of $500: 200: 2: 0.5$ (molar ratio), after rapid copolymerization, a block of PPO is slowly grown on the poly(monothiocarbonate), giving access to a onepot, one-step process for preparing this interesting type of copolymer.

The terpolymerization of PO with both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and COS has also been investigated recently. ${ }^{95}$ Using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ amine pairs, sulfur-containing polycarbonates with high molar masses ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $\left.59 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ and moderate dispersity ( $Đ_{\mathrm{M}}<1.56$ ) could be obtained; by adjusting the $\operatorname{COS}$ feed, it was possible to adjust the thiocarbonate content in a range of $27-81 \%$. Kinetic analyses showed that the polymer is characterized by a notable gradient (preferential incorporation of COS, Scheme 7 top). Interestingly, using the same reaction conditions with $[\mathrm{PO}]:[\mathrm{COS}]:[$ Lewis base $]:\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]=500: 250: 1: 2$ under 1.7 MPa of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure, choice of the amine Lewis base had a significant impact on the polymer microstructure. For example, replacing $N, N$-dimethylcyclohexylamine by using $N, N$-diethylcyclohexylamine resulted in a loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ polymerizability. Use of a difunctional amine entailed a rise of polyether linkages. Similar to earlier studies, it was found that an



Scheme 7 Top: terpolymerization of PO with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and COS. Bottom: copolymerization of PGE with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, resulting in a polymer with two different types of linkage motifs and three cyclic side products.
increase in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ loading relative to Lewis base also entails a growing proportion of ether linkages while simultaneously the amount of cyclic side products decreased. The latter can most likely be explained by a more effective masking of the propagating chain end if more borane is present. This will inhibit the backbiting reaction necessary for forming the undesired cyclic product. Application of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ resulted in similar behaviour while the arylborane dimesitylfluoroborane failed to show polymerization activity.

The $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-mediated copolymerization of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ with epoxides has received much less attention. In 2020, Zhang and coworkers have studied this, focusing on PGE as epoxide component. ${ }^{96}$ PGE was specifically selected because its reactivity with COS was found to be somewhat sluggish. ${ }^{92}$ This feature was hoped to simplify the array of different linkage motifs which can occur when copolymerizing cyclic ethers with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ under the excessive $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{S}$ scrambling ( COS is an important intermediate). Indeed, when applied to PGE, catalyst setups using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in combination with several Lewis bases resulted in just two different linkage types and three variants of cyclic by-products (Scheme 7, bottom). The relative proportion of $\mathbf{L 1}$ and $\mathbf{L} 2$ is decisive for the polymer properties, since the optical characteristics are largely dependent on the sulfur content. Indeed, this parameter could be impacted by tailoring the catalyst pair and the reaction conditions. Thus, using $[\mathrm{PGE}]:\left[\mathrm{CS}_{2}\right]:[$ Lewis base $]:\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]=500: 750: 1: 1$ at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an increase in the temperature entailed a strong increase in $\mathbf{L} 2$ over $\mathbf{L 1}$, meaning the polymer became richer in sulfur atoms. This, however, came at the cost of more undesired cyclic product and sharply decreasing molar masses. On the other hand, choice of the Lewis base also impacted this property: triethylamine (TEA) effected almost the double $\mathbf{L} 2$ content compared to $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{PBr}(32 \%$ vs. $17 \%)$. Curiously, when the wellknown 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (TBD) was employed, no polymer at all was generated. Instead, almost exclusively C1 is formed. Application of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) failed to yield any polymer at all. Under optimized conditions, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ TEA delivered molar masses of up to $12 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\left(\Xi_{\mathrm{M}}=\right.$ 1.7, alongside $60 \%$ cyclic product).

Finally, it was also shown in an elegant study that the borane-mediated copolymerization can be extended to fourmembered cyclic ethers (oxetane, (OX)), whereby choice of a suitable (pseudo-)halide initiator enabled a tailoring of the product spectrum from six-membered cyclic carbonate to polycarbonate, depending on the leaving group properties of the halide. ${ }^{97}$ The incorporation of oxetanes in such copolymers is usually challenging and success of the process is duly dependent on the involvement of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in several transition sates and intermediates. While a high carbonate content was achieved, the molar mass distribution was somewhat broad $\left(~_{\mathrm{M}}=\right.$ $1.5-1.6$ ) and molar masses limited to $M_{\mathrm{n}}<10 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

## Epoxide homopolymerization

As discussed above, the balancing of the borane equivalents relative to the number of propagating species is a key tuning site if copolymerization with carbon dioxide is desired. In general, an excess of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ will favour epoxide homopolymerization, whereby the specific number of borane equivalents is epoxide-dependent. This can be used to tailor polymer microstructures and also presents a powerful way of creating polyether structures in precisely defined ways.

In 2018, the research groups of Zhao ${ }^{98}$ and Zhang ${ }^{99}$ independently described the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-mediated homopolymerization of epoxides in the presence of organobases and alcohol-type initiators. These findings not only underlined high catalytic efficiency, but also provided valuable new insights in the polymerization mechanism. Thus, in his detailed work Zhao demonstrated that for EO homopolymerization, using $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{1} /$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ (1:3 molar ratio) under optimized conditions, a very high TOF of $6000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ can be achieved, alongside low catalyst loadings (144 ppm by weight of base and borane combined) and well-defined PEO properties $\left(~_{\mathrm{M}}<1.3\right)$. Molar masses of well over $100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$ were accessible this way in relatively short reaction times (few hours).

For PO, likewise impressive results were generated. Molar masses of up to $M_{\mathrm{n}}=200 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ were achieved in short reaction times ( $7 \mathrm{~h}, t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}=1: 3$ ). As evidenced by the narrow molar mass distribution ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.1$ ), MALDI-ToF MS and the linear development of molar mass with conversion, the notorious transfer-to-monomer side reaction is fully suppressed in this approach. It should be noted that this behaviour is vastly superior to KOH -mediated polymerization, but also outperforms previous organocatalysts such as N -heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) ${ }^{58}$ or N-heterocyclic olefins (NHOs). ${ }^{59}$ Other epoxides such as BO, PGE, AGE, EHGE or tert-butyl glycidyl ether (TBGE) were also successfully converted to the corresponding homopolymers, albeit a significantly lower polymerization rate was observed. ${ }^{98,99}$ Interestingly, SO could not be converted, and also the polymerization of CHO was unsuccessful under these conditions.

Both Zhao and Zhang also found that phosphazene organobases are not strictly necessary to obtain polymerization of EO or PO; also the milder amidine or guanidine compounds DBU or MTBD (7-methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene) could be applied. ${ }^{98,99}$ Indeed, as shown later by Naumann and co-
worker, even the rather weak nitrogen bases DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) or DMAP are suitable. ${ }^{100}$ The polymerization rates clearly scale with the basicity of the corresponding organobase, resulting in rapid conversion for $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ and gentle monomer incorporation for DABCO or DMAP. Also NHCs have been applied jointly with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to prepare polyethers. ${ }^{101}$

A closer inspection of polymerization kinetics revealed that a higher concentration of -OH functionalities ([hydroxyl], by adding a larger excess of alcohol initiator) entailed a significant slowdown of the polymerization rate. This is a crucial result, not only by having mechanistic implications, but also because epoxide polymerization in larger reactors is often started in the presence of a high amount of alcohol relative to epoxide (for safety reasons or to target oligomeric products). In the experiments conducted by Zhao an increase of [hydroxyl] from $\left[t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right] /[h y d r o x y l] /[\mathrm{PO}]=1: 3: 2: 1600$ to $1: 3: 40: 1600$ halved the polymerization rate. ${ }^{98}$ An increase of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ organobase ratio entailed the expected increase of the polymerization rate, while the polymerizations became slower when less than two equivalents borane were present and often did not even start when less than one equivalent was used. Together with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR experiments, these findings served to come up with a more refined polymerization mechanism (Scheme 8). The two key species for monomer enchainment, activated monomer ( $\mathbf{A M}$ ) and activated hydroxyl (AH), are both delivered by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-dependent equilibria ((1) and (2), respectively). It is important to note that the basicity of the organobase will regulate the activity of the $\mathbf{A H}$; a stronger base can thus entail a faster propagation, in full accordance with above observations. In addition, the borane moiety will stabilize the negatively charged chain end, thereby acidifying the
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Scheme 8 Polymerization mechanism for $E t_{3} B$-mediated epoxide homopolymerization, as proposed by Zhao.
hydroxyl (rendering also comparatively weak organobases suitable for engendering polymerization). Simultaneously, this stabilization reduces the electron density at the alkoxides oxygen, likewise lowering its propensity for base-related side reactions. Transfer-to-monomer is thus effectively suppressed and very high molar masses are easily obtained.

Crucially, the AH itself is not able to achieve effective propagation. Uncomplexed $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, free to engage in the formation of $\mathbf{A M}$, is necessary for this and consequently all factors that manipulate the concentration of $\mathbf{A M}$ will impact the polymerization rate. Competition for coordination to the borane Lewis acid is one such factor. One example for the latter is when larger amounts of hydroxyl species are present. Alcohols are slightly stronger bases than epoxides, ${ }^{102}$ which means they can effectively compete for interaction with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ even in bulk polymerization of, i.e., PO. Less of the borane is thus available for formation of $\mathbf{A M}$, and the polymerization rate drops significantly. The addition of more equivalents of borane can partly compensate for this loss of activity. This behaviour also explains why functionalized monomers such as AGE are sometimes found difficult to polymerize; both the exocyclic oxygen as well as the double bond have been found to weakly interact with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} .{ }^{86}$ Obviously, parameters such as temperature, polarity of solvent or steric effects will likewise impact the equilibria (1) and (2), albeit further research is necessary to clarify these effects. It should be noted that application of a weak organobase may lead to a situation where not exactly one equivalent of borane is consumed, since the less activated hydroxyl will bind the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ less effectively. Under these conditions a slow polymerization might be observed even when $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]<$ [organobase]. Finally, it must be considered that the exchange between non-activated hydroxyls (dormant chain ends) and $\mathbf{A H}$ is much faster than propagation, which is typical for epoxide polymerizations with anionic character. ${ }^{1}$ As a result, all chains grow at the same speed and narrow molar mass distributions are obtained.

The ability to create such well-defined polyether moieties has been quickly taken up to realize improved material applications. Thus, Hadjichristidis, Sarathy and co-workers have tailored a range of all-polyether diblock or triblock architectures for use as lubricant additives, which is also of interest from an energy-saving point of view. ${ }^{103}$ While polyethers are generally known for beneficial properties in this regard (low pour point, high viscosity indices and flash points), they must be finetuned for best performance (thermal stability, rheological properties, antifriction properties). Such a screening was readily achieved by using $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, creating polyethers such as PHO-b-PPO or POO-b-PPO-b-PSO via sequential monomer addition. Very well-defined block copolymers were received ( $\Xi_{\mathrm{M}}=1.04-1.12$ ) and candidates with superior lubrication performance or improved thermal stability were identified.

Borane-mediated epoxide homopolymerization has also been used to create tailored variants ${ }^{98-100,104,105}$ of the "Pluronic"- or "Reverse Pluronic"-triblock copolyethers (Fig. 3). Here, the ability to finely tune the degree of polymerization, the hydrophilic to lipophilic balance (HLB) and the overall
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Fig. 3 Amphiphilic block copolyether series based on PEO macroinitiators with different molar masses for OMC/OMS preparation. Middle: correlation of $n / 2$ and pore diameter (OMC). Bottom: TEM of OMC based on $\mathrm{PO}_{82}-\mathrm{EO}_{454}-\mathrm{PO}_{82}$ ( 9 nm pore diameter). Reproduced from ref. 104 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2021.
molar mass has been employed to establish libraries of polymers with a stepwise and systematic variation of properties. This is especially useful when applying these amphiphilic polymers for (soft-)templating processes in order to prepare, i.e., mesoporous materials. Mesopore diameter and the symmetry of pore arrangement are dependent on these parameters and very susceptible to small changes; ${ }^{15,106}$ the commercially available grades (i.e., F127, P123) are limited in this regard, in particular long PPO blocks are not provided. Thus, using $\mathrm{NHO} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, Naumann and Bruckner synthesized a polyether series of $\mathrm{PO}_{n / 2}-\mathrm{EO}_{m}-\mathrm{PO}_{n / 2}(m=90-795, n / 2=10-280)$ and applied the resulting block copolyethers as templates for ordered mesoporous carbon (OMC) materials ${ }^{104}$ or ordered mesoporous silica (OMS) materials. ${ }^{105}$ The resulting mesopores could be tuned continuously between $c a .5-20 \mathrm{~nm}$, whereby a linear relationship between $n / 2$ and mesopore diameter was observed (Fig. 3). It should be noted that success for these applications is dependent on very well defined polyethers and no limitations in designing $m$ or $n / 2$, underlining why the borane-mediated polymerization catalysis has already found application in this field of science.

Since the polymerization conditions for the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-catalyzed epoxide homopolymerization are usually gentle $\left(0-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and, in particular, because the propagating chain end is efficiently masked to a reduced basicity, a notable functional group tolerance emerges in such polymerizations. In 2019, glyzidyl azide
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Scheme 9 Functional group tolerance as a distinctive feature of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ mediated polymerization catalysis.
(GA) was successfully subjected to homopolymerization using $\mathrm{Oct}_{4} \mathrm{NBr} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 9a). ${ }^{107}$ NMR investigations showed slight interaction with the oxygen atom in the monomer but also with the azide group. While transfer reactions could not be fully circumvented, still well-defined PGA resulted ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $11 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{M}}=1.1-1.2$ ). In view of the sensitive azide moiety, which is susceptible to nucleophiles and also acids, this feature is remarkable and the first report to polymerize this monomer. Copolymerization with carbon dioxide did also work out, as did postfunctionalization of the PGA (via "click"-chemistry). GA is used in the formulation of solid propellants, where also application of oligomeric/polymeric variants may be beneficial for future applications.

Enantiopure glycidyl butyrates (GBs), compounds containing both an epoxide functionality and an ester group, were polymerized by Zhao and co-workers. ${ }^{108}$ Using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ (5:1, diol initiator), selective ROP exclusively via the epoxide moiety occurred. Indeed, DFT calculations suggested a preferred interaction of borane and epoxide, while coordination to the carbonyl oxygen is disfavoured, probably on account of steric reasons. This finding most likely explains why also a high loading of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ does not result in any kind of transesterification. The resulting polymers are well-defined ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.15$ ) with molar masses $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ up to $40 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$. Interestingly, the ester side chains can be cleaved in a subsequent step via methanolysis, granting access to isotactic, linear polyglycerol (Scheme 9b). Such a very polar polyether is not accessible via direct polymerization of glycidol (GD, due to the inimer char-
acter of the latter), while a conventional anionic polymerization of GB would be detrimental for the ester functional groups. Borane catalysis thus provides a convenient route to these hydrophilic, linear polyglycerols. In the same work, block copolyethers with double hydrophilic or amphiphilic character were generated.

This potential for selective and functional group-tolerant polymerization was further illustrated by the group of Zhao, ${ }^{109-111}$ in particular for the preparation of end-functionalized polyethers such as PEG. Thus, carboxylic (di)acids were employed as initiators ( $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}, 1: 3$ ) for the polymerization of EO, PO, BO or AGE. ${ }^{109}$ In all cases the desired polyethers were received (Scheme 9c). No transesterification was observed and narrow mass distributions were found $\left(D_{M}<\right.$ 1.12). The thus functionalized and well-defined polymers are of interest for manifold applications, since non-alcoholic initiators allow for subsequent selective cleavage or modification of the polymer. This was illustrated in the same work by the construction of an enzymatically degradable polyurethane, starting from a diacid initiator and the resulting PPO macrodiol. Mechanistically, it is interesting to note that the polymerization displays a pronounced induction period (1-2 h) combined with a relatively swift ensuing epoxide conversion. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR observation reveals that the reaction between carboxylate and PO occurs during this induction time, then monomer consumption ensues (first-order kinetics regarding the epoxide). Clearly, the activated acid species is a weaker nucleophile than activated hydroxyl. Still, a very controlled polymer formation is guaranteed on account of the different acidity of -COOH and -OH . As long as unreacted acid initiator is present, the anionic (partial) charge will reside there and the newly formed hydroxyls will remain dormant. Only once a large majority of acid has been consumed ( $95 \%$ in these examples), the epoxide polymerization will kick in. Hence, slow initiation does not entail a broadening of molar mass distribution.

Similarly, the ability of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-catalyzed epoxide polymerization to conserve ester functionalities was employed to generate $\alpha$-carboxyl- $\omega$-hydroxyl PEG, ${ }^{110}$ which is a popular structure for bioconjugation. Usually, these compounds are prepared in more laborious ways, but using the beneficial features of borane catalysis a direct synthesis via hydroxyl-carboxylic esters as initiators is possible (Scheme 9d). NMR and MALDI-ToF MS analyses substantiated successful polymerization and subsequent hydrolysis of the ester moiety. At high $M_{\mathrm{n}}$, a quantitative formation of the carboxylic acid end group is somewhat more difficult and longer reaction times must be considered. Instead of hydrolysis, also primary amines can be reacted with the polymer, delivering the corresponding $\alpha$-amide- $\omega$-hydroxyl PEG.

Likewise, $\alpha$-amino- $\omega$-hydroxy PEG is a sought-after motif for PEGylation since amino groups are much more capable in promoting conjugation reactions. Again the peculiarities of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}-$ catalysis allow for a reduction of synthetic effort. Thus, amino alcohols can be directly employed as initiators, using $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{1} /$ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, whereby propagation exclusively occurs via the -OH terminus (Scheme 9e). ${ }^{111}$ At first glance, this seems surprising
given that the primary amine is the stronger nucleophile. However, it is exactly this feature which can be used against the amine when $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is present. The Lewis acid preferentially complexes the amine to such a degree that it is effectively masked and does not take part in the polymerization. The $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ thus acts as a noncovalent protecting group. Indeed, control experiments show that in the absence of the borane, the amine will attack EO, while in the presence of one equivalent no reaction at all occurs (all the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is consumed via amine complexation). If an excess of borane and a low phosphazene loading is applied, however, a smooth polymerization ensues, delivering well-defined amino-terminated polyether ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $10 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.09$ ).

In 2019, Zhao, Ling and co-workers took these efforts a step further by recognizing that the polymerization mechanism not only allows for tolerating (poly)esters but also for selectively polymerizing cyclic esters and epoxides from one-pot mixtures, notably in a manner where quantitative preference of the catalyst system for either ester or epoxide monomer can be switched back and forth several times. ${ }^{63}$ This process delivers remarkably well-defined poly(ester-ether) multiblock copolymers (up to pentadecablocks, see Scheme 10).

Key to this principle is judicious choice of the organobase/ $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ stoichiometry. In the absence of the borane Lewis acid or if the organobase is used in excess, cyclic esters such as $\varepsilon$ caprolactone (CL), $\delta$-valerolactone (VL) or lactide (LA) are polymerized, while the epoxide remains untouched. In the reverse case, applying an excess of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, only epoxide is enchained while transesterification does not occur. Note that the relative excess of either Lewis acid or organobase is slight, but is promptly translated in the aforementioned switch of selectivity, alternatingly turning the respective comonomer consumption on or off.

The block copolymers resulting from this strategy are interesting for a large number of prospective applications since it enables several polymer architectures which are usually not accessible (perhaps with the exception of laborious coupling approaches). Using conventional methods, a polyester block or polyester macroinitiator would suffer excessive transesterification during the reaction conditions necessary for epoxide enchainment. A seemingly simple ABA triblock copolymer with a central polyester block and two polyether terminal blocks would thus previously have posed a considerable obstacle, not to speak of multiblock motifs. Bio-related applications or the preparations of polymeric additives can be expected to profit from this advance.

The results also suggested that a modification of the assumed polymerization mechanism may be necessary. Based on NMR experiments and DFT calculations, Zhao and Ling proposed that overall three different activated hydroxyl species may be involved (Scheme 10). ${ }^{63}$ Here, AH1 represents the activated species resulting from interaction of the alcohol with the phosphazene base. This active chain end is able to polymerize lactones, but not the epoxide. If $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is added, it will be consumed by the oxyanionic chain end, forming AH2. This species obviously displays a reduced basicity and nucleophili-
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Scheme 10 Top: using the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ organobase stoichiometry to synthesize well-defined poly(ester-ether) multiblock copolymers. Bottom: different species of activated hydroxyl and respective selectivity.
city; it is not able to enchain either lactone or epoxide, but as long as less than one equivalent of borane is present, a certain proportion of AH1 will persist and enable a controlled lactone polymerization. Crucially, if $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]$ is increased further, all chain ends will be masked and the excess borane is suggested to be involved with the propagating chain-end, generating AH3. This species is now able to polymerize the epoxide (but not the lactone).

Thus, it is proposed that rather than direct monomer activation (AM, Scheme 8) - the shifts observed in NMR control experiments are described as indiscernible ${ }^{112}$ - an "insertion" between the alkoxide and boron atom takes place. DFT calculations were presented which support this mechanism, in particular it is shown that also the involvement of the second $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to form $\mathbf{A H} \mathbf{3}$ is favoured. The Gibbs free energy barrier lowers significantly from $29.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ to 10.6 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ when considering either AH2 or AH3 for epoxide opening, respectively. These findings are in accordance with the experimental results for poly(ester-ether) multiblock formation and also earlier research in that still two molecules of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ are required for the propagation step. In this context, a recent publication by Gnanou and Feng should be noted. ${ }^{113}$ It was found that setups inactive for lactone polymerization (one equivalent of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ per growing chain end, which means all oxyanionic species are capped by
borane under formation of ate-complexes, analogous to AH2) can be activated for lactone conversion by addition of amines and (thio)ureas. As underpinned by detailed NMR investigations, the role of the amine thereby is to activate the monomer via $H$-bonding, while the urea derivative breaks up the ate-complex by trapping the borane species. This way, well-defined "polyester-first" block copolymers (PVL- $b$-PPO or PPO- $b$-PVL- $b$-PPO) were received. This technique is significant since it can avoid at all times the occurrence of free anionic species ( $\mathbf{A H} \mathbf{1}$ ) while still lactone conversion is enabled, thus suppressing transesterification side reactions.

## Copolymerization with anhydrides and lactones, terpolymerizations

As detailed above, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-mediated epoxide (co)polymerization shows a pronounced tolerance for ester functionalities and also interacts well with carboxylates. In sum, this also suggests that copolymerization with anhydrides, delivering versatile polyester materials, is worth investigating. And indeed, in 2018, Zhang and co-workers described the copolymerization of several epoxides (PO, PGE, ECH) with a range of anhydrides (maleic anhydride (MA), phthalic anhydride (PA), succinic anhydride (SA) and diglycolic anhydride (DGA)). ${ }^{114}$ Impressively, under suitable conditions (1:1 ratio of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and


Scheme 11 Selective copolymerization of epoxides and anhydrides (including tricyclic ones) using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-cocatalysis.
onium salts) perfectly alternating polymer microstructures were found, while undesired transesterification or polyether linkages were absent (Scheme 11, top). The strictly alternating nature of the polymer was also retained at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where TOFs of 102 and $303 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ were achieved for the copolymerization of PO/MA and PO/PA, respectively. The well-behaved character of the copolymerization was attributed to the presence of the borane, which masks the propagating chain end, thereby reducing its basicity/nucleophilicity and thus its propensity for side reactions. This is fully in line with the considerations described earlier and in fact polymerizations with only the Lewis base present (i.e., PPNCl, $\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ ) result in rather regioirregular polyesters, slow polymerization, transesterification and, if stereocenters are present, pronounced epimerization. ${ }^{114,115}$

Albeit bimodal GPC results, a consequence of different competing initiating species (water, acid), can be challenging, this technique could still be used to construct well-defined block copolymers of the type poly[(PO-alt-MA)-b-(PO-alt-SA)]. A moderate regioselectivity of up to $77 \%$ head-to-tail arrangements was found. The same authors also investigated the impact of different Lewis acids/bases on polymerization rate and selectivity for the copolymerization of PO and biorenewable SA. It was found that the basicity of the organobase controls the speed of conversion (TEA $\left(7 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)<\operatorname{DBU}\left(9 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)<$ $\operatorname{MTBD}\left(11 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)<t-\operatorname{BuP}_{1}\left(15 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)<t$ - $\left.\operatorname{BuP}_{2}\left(20 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)\right)$, while selectivity for ester formation (in relation to polyether linkages) is only marginally influenced by this property. ${ }^{116}$ Li pointed out that also very low $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ loadings ( 0.05 eq. relative to a phosphazene base) are sufficient for the copolymerization of PA with different epoxides. ${ }^{117}$

Wang, Li and co-workers described the highly regioselective and stereoregular copolmyerization of various epoxides with tricyclic anhydrides such as cis-5-norbornene-exo-2,3-dicarboxylic anhydride (exo-CPMA), which is the Diels-Alder product of cyclopentadiene and MA. ${ }^{115}$ Such copolymers can display a high $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, but to achieve this feature, epimerization
has to be suppressed and both the relative stereochemistry as well as the regioselectivity must be controlled. Faced with these requirements, the authors opted for borane cocatalysis, using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{PPNCl}$ (1:1 molar ratio). Also for this comonomer set, $>99 \%$ selectivity for alternating enchainment is observed while for the anhydride components a zero-order kinetic dependence is found. Arrhenius analysis reveals a low activation energy of $50.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which is less than a quarter of the value found for single $\mathrm{PPNCl}^{118}\left(214.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$, underlining the key presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. The regioregularity of poly(endo-CPMA-alt-PO) was very high ( $98 \%$ head-to-tail at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the borane-masked chain end was also found to avoid transesterification and epimerization in a quantitative manner. However, care must be taken to avoid any excess of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, otherwise the expected epoxide homopolymerization will take over. An excess of PPNCl entails epimerization since not all of the propagating chain ends are masked by borane and a certain proportion of "free" oxyanionic species can abstract the $\alpha-\mathrm{H}$ of the anhydride and scramble the stereoinformation accordingly. Overall, a broad range of epoxides was converted (Scheme 11, bottom). The $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the thus prepared materials could be modulated over a range of $33-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereby especially the use of enantiopure epoxide and strict absence of anhydride epimerization led to the highest values.

Proper choice of the catalytic setup and the borane stoichiometry allows tuning this approach to yield polyester-polyether block copolymers in a one-step procedure. This only works if the epoxide homopolymerization kicks in after the anhydride has been consumed quantitatively and no tapering or undesired transesterification occurs. Both Zhao ${ }^{119}$ as well as Wang and $\mathrm{Li}^{120}$ demonstrated that this can be achieved in a straightforward manner, using mild phosphazene bases and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ as catalysts. Thus, using $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in a $3: 1$ or $2: 1$ molar ratio, well-defined blockcopolymers result, whereby the polyester block is generated by alternating anhydride/epoxide copolymerization, followed by epoxide homopolymerization. Albeit polymerization time can be 24 h or longer, this delivered molar masses up to $50 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{-1}$ and narrow distributions $\left(Đ_{\mathrm{M}}\right.$ $=1.03-1.04) .{ }^{119}$ At equimolar ratio, a tapered sequence distribution if found, as epoxide homopolymerization occurs prematurely. With an excess of borane, a gradient structure is formed. Interestingly, if EO is applied as epoxide component, amphiphilic block copolymers can be obtained this way in a single step, ${ }^{119}$ while an excess presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ can deliver statistical distribution of ester functionalities along the polyether chain ("degradable PEG"). ${ }^{121}$ If multifunctional alcohols are employed as initiators, also, i.e., star-shaped block copolymers can be synthesized. ${ }^{119}$ The well-defined character of the thus received copolymers is also reflected in macroscopic properties. PPO- $b-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PA}-a l t-\mathrm{PO})-b-\mathrm{PPO}$, for example, displays two distinct glass transition temperatures of $T_{g}=-64.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $54.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PEO- $b-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PA}-a l t-\mathrm{EO})-b-\mathrm{PEO}$, on the other hand, is water-soluble and shows self-assembly behaviour. Using enantiopure $(S)$-PO, a high degree of isotacticity is found both in the polyester as well as in the polyether block of $\mathrm{P}((S)-\mathrm{PO})-b-\mathrm{P}$ (PA-alt-(S)-PO)-b-P((S)-PO), indicating selective attack at the



Scheme 12 One-step preparation of $P((S)-P O)-b-P(P A-a l t-(S)-P O)-b-P$ ((S)-PO) triblock copolymer.
methylene moiety of the monomer (Scheme 12). ${ }^{120}$ Coherently, ${ }^{13}$ C NMR analysis also showed that head-to-head and tail-totail linkages were absent from the polyether blocks.

Xiao and Meng, ${ }^{122}$ Liu, Kang, and $\mathrm{Li}^{123}$ as well as Gnanou and Feng ${ }^{124}$ extended this principle to the one-pot, one-step terpolymerization of epoxide, anhydride and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, resulting in polyester-block-polycarbonate structures. According to the authors, this was the first time this feature was achieved in a metal-free manner. Thus, using a setup of $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{PPNCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ $=500: 50: 1: 0.5$ molar ratio under carbon dioxide pressure of 1 MPa ( $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), it was found that in the initial phase of the copolymerization almost only polyester was formed. ${ }^{123}$ Once about $95 \%$ pf the PA was consumed, the polycarbonate formation ensued via the copolymerization of the epoxide with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Scheme 13). Consequently, the formed diblock copolymer showed only very little tapering and well-behaved characteristics (no polyether linkages, $D_{\mathrm{M}}=1.10$ ). By adding the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ only after full PA consumption, perfect copolymers without any tapering were received. ${ }^{123,124}$

In full accordance with the previous discussion on epoxide/ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and epoxide/anhydride copolymerization above, also in this case a pronounced dependency on the type of epoxide and catalyst stoichiometry is found. Hence, under identical conditions, BO is only converted into the corresponding polyester and cyclic carbonate, while PO is still successfully used as comonomer to yield the diblock structure. ${ }^{123}$ A modified setup of $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{PPNCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}=500: 50: 1: 2$ resulted in almost pure polycarbonate. Mechanistically, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-activation of the epoxide is proposed, and it is noted that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ enchainment only becomes competitive once the carboxylate anion is not the dominant propagating species anymore (that is, in the (almost) absence of PA monomer). This is also supported by DFT calculations and frontier orbital analysis of the attack of the CHO-derived propagating oxyanion on PA and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$,


Scheme $13 \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-cocatalyzed terpolymerization of epoxides, anhydrides and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
respectively. This characteristic can also be used to prepare random structures: if the feed contains very little anhydride component, a polycarbonate will form which contains distributed ester functionalities along the chain. ${ }^{124}$ A quaterpolymer using such an approach ( $\mathrm{CHO}, \mathrm{PO}, \mathrm{PA}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) delivered the corresponding polyester-block-polycarbonate, whereby the resulting material was found to have a notably high transparency. ${ }^{122}$

Given the chemoselective properties of the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} /$ organobase catalyst pair when cyclic esters are copolymerized with epoxides (see above, i.e. Scheme 10), also other types of terpolymerization are possible, resulting in intriguing polymer architectures from one-pot mixtures. In 2020, Wang and co-workers employed PO, PA and rac-lactide (rac-LA) to prepare triblock, pentablock or tapered pentablock terpolymers (Scheme 14). ${ }^{125}$ These copolymer structures were received from the same monomer mixtures in each case ( $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{rac}-\mathrm{LA}=500: 100: 100$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as initiator) and selectivity was simply determined by the $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{DBU}$ ratio. For the $1: 1$ ratio, the strictly alternating copolymerization of epoxide and anhydride component to yield polyester is followed by a second polyester block consisting of lactide repeat units while the excess PO remains untouched. If more borane is present ( $2: 1$ ratio) then an additional final PPO block will be formed. Thus, under these conditions the preference of the catalyst system is PO/PA copolymerization > rac-LA homopolymerization $>\mathrm{PO}$ homopolymerization. It should be noted that this example underlines the optimum borane loading for cyclic ester or epoxide selectivity is dependent on the chemical nature of both and potentially the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the organobase (compare Scheme 10 for an example addressing VL and PO with $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ as organobase, respectively). ${ }^{63,126}$ If the excess of borane is further enhanced, then the expected increase of PO conversion and decrease of lactide conversion rate entails a tapering of the block structure ( $3: 1$ ratio). Similarly, the repeated addition of batches of such three-component monomer mixtures can lead to even larger multiblock copolymers, for example hepta- or undecablockcopolymers. ${ }^{126}$

Wang and co-workers also succeeded in the preparation of well-defined triblock copolymers ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.20$ ) from mixtures of epoxides, anhydrides, rac-LA and vinyl monomers (such as styrene or methyl methacrylate). ${ }^{127}$ In this case $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{DBU}$ was employed alongside a specific RAFT transfer agent (trithiocarbamate with a carboxylic group) so that the living radical


Scheme 14 One-pot terpolymerization resulting in different polymer architectures depending on catalyst stoichiometry.
polymerization of the vinyl monomer occurred alongside the ROP. The action of the borane was unperturbed by the presence of radical species $\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The transfer agent thereby bridges the catalytic cycles and ensured the connection between the polyvinyl block and the two polyester blocks of the resulting $\mathrm{P}($ vinyl $)-b-\mathrm{P}$ (anhydride-alt-epoxide) $-b-\mathrm{P}(r a c-\mathrm{LA})$ polymer. Several different epoxides and anhydrides were successfully converted in this approach.

The direct copolymerization of $L$-LA and EO was described in 2019 by Gnanou and Feng. ${ }^{128}$ As discussed above, lactones such as CL or VL and various epoxides can be polymerized to yield exciting and well-defined multiblock structures, whereby the organobase $/ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ratio controls which of both types of monomer is enchained. ${ }^{63}$ While block-like architecture is useful in many ways, in this work the statistical incorporation of the lactide in a PEO chain was achieved. Using five eq. of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ relative to the Lewis base and toluene as solvent, indeed the successful formation of copolymers was observed, as substantiated by careful NMR investigations. The copolymerization parameters were determined using an integrated, nonterminal approach. Using this excess of borane, the setup is clearly biased for epoxide polymerization and only relatively low degrees of ester content can be achieved (2-14\%). Nonetheless, this method provides for a metal-free access to "degradable PEG", including semi-crystallinity with a melting point depending on the ester content. It is currently not clear whether this strategy can be extended to other epoxides or cyclic esters. Recently, a statistical copolymer of VL and PO with high ester content was described by Feng and Gnanou, using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ( $2: 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) in the presence of ethylenediamine as additive ( 0.5 eq.). ${ }^{113}$ NMR investigations clearly demonstrated the statistical monomer incorporation of the welldefined polymer ( $D_{\mathrm{M}}=1.1$ ). Most likely the amine activates VL via H -bonding, thus partially compensating for the epoxide bias of the setup ( PO is still incorporated somewhat faster).

Relatedly, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ has also been used to prepare "degradable PEG" via the polymerization of the cheap cyclic resource ethylene carbonate. ${ }^{129}$ Here, predominant decarboxylation during the propagation step entails the formation of a polyether main chain along which degradable carbonate functionalities are distributed.

## Other monomers

Other types of comonomer have also been copolymerized with epoxides using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-catalysis. In 2021, Zhao and co-workers described a new approach to generate main chain sulfur-containing polymers. ${ }^{130}$ This was achieved by copolymerizing epoxides with isothiocyanates in a strictly alternating manner, using a diol initiator and $\left[t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right]>\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]$. Interestingly, the resulting polythioimidocarbonates (Scheme 15) are free of ether linkages and also the formation of cyclic by-products (via backbiting) is suppressed, providing high molar masses and a narrow PDI ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}>50 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{M}}<1.15$ ). Crucially, once the catalyst stoichiometry is inverted $\left(\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]>\left[t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}\right]\right)$, copolymerization ceases and epoxide homopolymerization is selectively switched on. DFT calculations suggest that an attack of the propagating oxyanion on the isocyanate is both kinetically and


Scheme 15 Alternating copolymerization of epoxides and isothiocyanates to yield polythioimidocarbonates.
thermodynamically favoured by a low energy barrier and a considerable gain in Gibbs free energy, while the corresponding attack on the epoxide is accompanied by a significantly higher barrier. This is in agreement with the observed alternating copolymerization. While the protonated organobase is important in stabilizing further propagation, the role of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is mainly to prohibit undesired backbiting, raising the energy barrier for this step to $38.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. If $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right]$ is then further increased, all alkoxides chain ends are borane-capped and cannot react anymore with the isocyanate. Epoxide homopolymerization then occurs, accordingly.

These desirable features were then used to construct block copolymers via in situ catalyst switches, i.e., PPO-b-P(PhITC-alt-$\mathrm{PO})-b-\mathrm{PPO}$ or $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PhITC}-a l t-\mathrm{PO}-b-\mathrm{PPO}-b-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PhITC}-a l t-\mathrm{PO})$, whereby PhITC denotes phenyl isothiocyanate. ${ }^{130}$ Strikingly, in the presence of anhydride monomer ( $\mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{PhITC} / \mathrm{PO} /-\mathrm{OH} / t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}=$ $50: 50: 250: 2: 0.5: 0.3$ ), the one-step synthesis of well-defined block terpolymers succeeds. Starting from a diol, first the alternating copolymerization of anhydride and epoxide occurs, which is then followed by epoxide/isothiocyanate copolymerization, yielding $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PhITC}-$ alt -PO$)-b-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PA}-a l t-\mathrm{PO})-b-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{PhITC}-a l t-$ PO) in 30 h reaction time.

In 2021, the copolymerization of several epoxides with an electron-poor isocyanate ( $p$-tosyl isocyanate, TSI, Scheme 16 top) was described. ${ }^{131}$ With this specific monomer and under


Scheme 16 Top: borane-mediated copolymerization of epoxides and an electron-poor isocyanate and resulting motifs. Ts = tosyl. Bottom: alternating copolymerization of o-phthalaldehyde and epoxides at room temperature.
optimized conditions, it is possible to prepare the corresponding polyurethane, whereby neither allophanate nor isocyanurate is found as impurity. Also, the selectivity for linear polymer can be $>99 \%$, demonstrating that the formation of the cyclic oxazolidinone is suppressed, most likely because $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ capping of the propagating species disfavours backbiting. Further, selectivity for urethane formation of $>99 \%$ regarding the competing imidocarbonate motif can be achieved. Polyether linkages do not occur to a relevant degree, underlining the strictly alternating monomer incorporation, delivering well-defined polymer ( $1.1<Ð_{\mathrm{M}}<1.3$ ). Depending on the concentration of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, polymerization temperature and epoxide monomer, also higher (or even predominant) imidocarbonate content can be realized. Thus, importantly, the microstructure of the polymer can be tailored to some degree. A current limitation seems to be the strict requirement for a very electronpoor isocyanate. With hexyl isocyanate, only trimerization (and epoxide homopolymerization) is observed. This was attributed by the authors to the high reactivity of the isocyanate functional group, which means that $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-capping of the propagating species is not sufficient to prevent trimerization.

In 2022, Feng, Gnanou and co-worker described the copolymerization of various epoxides with $o$-phthalaldehyde (OPA) to result in the corresponding polyacetals. ${ }^{132}$ Using $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ (1:2 eq.), a relatively swift and well-controlled monomer conversion ( $\emptyset_{\mathrm{M}}=1.02-1.17$ ) was found, resulting in a microstructure with a high degree of alternating monomer incorporation ( $92-99 \%$, Scheme 16 bottom). Strikingly, these reactions succeeded at room temperature, a notable difference to the typically low polymerization temperatures used for the homo- or copolymerization of this monomer $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /-36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This can be most likely related to favourable polymerization kinetics, which quickly trap OPA repeat units by ring-opening of the activated epoxides. The range of suitable epoxides is broad, encompassing EO, PO, BO, OO, SO, AGE and PGE. These polymers are acid-degradable yet thermally stable up to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and display glass transition temperatures between $5.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (poly (OPA-alt-OO)) and $65.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (poly(OPA-alt-SO). Polymerization with an excess of PO delivered a tapered copolymer, where a segment with alternating microstructure was followed by ether-rich segments with randomly occurring acetal functionalities and a terminal pure polyether block. No polymerization was observed when ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ or $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ were employed as catalysts.

## Modified boranes

Above examples underline the capabilities of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to advance epoxide homo- and copolymerization, enabling fast reactions, well-defined and novel polymer architectures and a broad functional group tolerance. Nonetheless, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is not without downsides. It has to be handled in solution, which in case of high borane loadings can introduce notable amounts of solvent into the polymerization reaction. The pyrophoric characteristics, its moderate toxicity and a certain degree of volatility (boiling point: $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) means it has to be handled
with care. Most importantly perhaps, it has been found that in the presence of a large excess of -OH species, epoxide homopolymerization is slowed down massively. ${ }^{98}$ This is important since such conditions are frequently employed on larger scale or in industrial alkoxylation reactors as a safety measure, where usually the monomer is gradually added to a mixture of catalyst and initiator (the latter almost always alcohols). Also, if oligoether products are targeted, similar unfavourable conditions for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ may apply. Finally, it should be noted that the usually invoked polymerization mechanism for epoxide homopolymerization requires an encounter of borane-activated epoxide and the borane-capped propagating chain end. Such a setup is vulnerable to dilution (i.e., very low catalyst loadings), in analogy to what is characteristic for Lewis pair polymerization (LPP). ${ }^{85}$ The corresponding zero order kinetics in epoxide monomer, indicating that only activated monomer reacts (the concentration of which is constant), has indeed been observed in some cases (see below).

In sum, for the purpose of epoxide homo- and copolymerization, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ is a well available but not an optimized structure. The question how to modify it for further improved catalyst performance will be the subject of the following sections.

## Trialkyl- or triaryl monoboranes

A first and obvious step to adapt the borane co-catalyst, regarding both its electron deficiency and steric demand, is an exchange of its ethyl moieties for other alkyl chains or aryl groups. Thereby, Lewis acidity of borane compounds is most often discussed by reporting the respective acceptor number (AN), determined via ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (triethyl phosphine oxide as probe molecule, Gutmann-Beckett-method), which in the case of cationic epoxide polymerization scales qualitatively with the rate of conversion. ${ }^{133}$ For the polymerizations discussed in this review, which are more anionic in character, the situation is less clear-cut; a well-behaved correlation has not always been found in the studies which have specifically looked at this feature (see discussion below). This may be down to the notorious difference between Lewis acid strength and Lewis acid effect, which cannot be fully assessed by the Gutmann-Beckett approach alone. ${ }^{134}$ Nonetheless, excessively high or very low Lewis acidity has repeatedly been shown to quench polymerization activity, while moderate Lewis acids (comparable to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ) are usually the ones with superior performance. To put this into perspective, Table 1 lists AN values obtained for typical borane Lewis acids and some of the more complex borane catalysts described in detail further below.

As one of the closest $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-analogues, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, which is also commercially available, has been employed in some cases and generally shows a very comparable behaviour to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B} ;{ }^{95,97}$ Zhang and co-workers noted that it may be beneficial on account of its increased size to further suppress side reactions when cyclic by-products can be formed via back-biting (i.e., copolymerization with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{89}$ Tri-sec-butylborane has been tested for the copolymerization of epoxides with TSI for polyurethane formation. This compound is a weaker Lewis acid

Table 1 AN values for selected boranes as determined via the Gutmann-Beckett approach. More extensive data can be found in ref. 72

| Compound | AN | Solvent | Ref. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ | 109.3 | Neat | 133 |
| $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ | 105.7 | Neat | 133 |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ | 88.5 | Neat | 133 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{BCF})$ | $76-81$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 72 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 72 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{TPBX})$ | $49-73$ | $\mathrm{THF}^{2} d_{8}$ | 135 |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ | 136 and 137 |  |
| Borinane $^{a}$ | $24.1-30.3$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 154 |
| $\mathrm{BBN}^{a}$ | 23.4 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 154 |
| $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{a}$ | 21.7 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 154 |
| $\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ | 18.8 | $\mathrm{Neat}^{\text {Bpin }}$ |  |
| Bpa $^{a}$ | 17.1 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 133 |

${ }^{a}$ See Scheme 22 for structure and full catalyst design.
than $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and its application resulted in a significantly reduced yield and selectivity. ${ }^{131}$

More interest was directed at derivatives with electron-withdrawing substituents. For example, in 2019 Kerton employed $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and BCF to study the reaction of several epoxides with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{138}$ The authors found that under conditions where $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ delivers strictly alternating polycarbonates (compare Schemes 1 and 2) both aryl boranes are more suitable to yield the cyclic carbonates. The latter reaction is achieved with high TON and TOF, clearly outperforming other organocatalysts. Conversion is somewhat slower for BCF, which was attributed to a stronger association between the borane and the nucleophile (chloride or DMAP). When the borane loading is increased relative to the nucleophile concentration, a mixture of cyclic product and polymer is received. Under optimized conditions, polycarbonate with $>99 \%$ carbonate linkages is received when using $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. No conversion $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ or exclusive polyether formation (BCF) is observed in the case of $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization. This was later substantiated by Zhang and co-workers who also found that $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ does not produce polymer when applied to $\mathrm{PO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} .{ }^{89}$

The group of Kerton also succeeded in the preparation of block copolymers using a two-step process (Scheme 17). ${ }^{139}$ Thus, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{PPNCl}(1: 1)$ was applied to a mixture of epoxide and anhydride ( $5: 1, \mathrm{CHO}$ and PA , neat) and held at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After cooling to room temperature, 40 bar of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was used for $24 \mathrm{~h}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The formation of a poly-ester-block-polycarbonate diblock structure was supported by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR analysis. Notably, in the first step, after full consumption of the anhydride component, no polyether formation is observed in spite of the excess of epoxide. This is in

polyester-block-polycarbonate
Scheme $17 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ as employed for block copolymer preparation in a two-step, one-pot strategy.
accordance with the inability of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ to catalyse epoxide homopolymerization, both on its own ${ }^{75}$ as well as under conditions where $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ delivers well-defined polyethers. ${ }^{98,99}$ However, most likely on account of its higher Lewis acidity compared to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, the reaction has to be stopped timely after anhydride consumption, since otherwise severe transesterification will occur (which does not readily happen for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ). Both the polyester and the polycarbonate formation, under the conditions employed by Kerton and co-workers, cannot be catalysed by BCF. However, interestingly, the latter is able to selectively depolymerize the polycarbonate block $\left(130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ of the polyester-block-polycarbonate structures whereby selectively cis-cyclohexene carbonate is produced while the polyester block remains remarkably untouched. ${ }^{139}$

Relatedly, Zhang and co-workers compared four different boranes $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right.$, diethylmethoxyborane $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ) regarding the copolymerization of anhydride ( SA ) and epoxide (PO). ${ }^{116}$ Interestingly, in all cases the desired poly(propylene succinate) resulted, whereby the observed TOFs were $10 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 9 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 7 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and $5 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, respectively, while the found ester selectivity (over ether formation) was $97 \%, 94 \%, 88 \%$ and $85 \%$, respectively. Thus, both activity and selectivity are lower for the more Lewis acidic $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ compared to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. Similar was reported by Li, ${ }^{17}$ Wang, Li and co-workers ${ }^{115}$ as well as Zhang. ${ }^{144}$

In sharp contrast, the aforementioned copolymerization of the electron-poor isocyanate TSI and PO to yield polyurethanes was rapid and strongly exothermic in the presence of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{~B} .{ }^{131}$ Still, selectivity was retained to yield a strictly alternating monomer incorporation. TOFs of over $10000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and molar masses up to $225 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ were achieved this way. The reaction was completed much faster than in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$.

BCF has been found to be able to homopolymerize PO, but the resulting material is typically regioirregular as discussed by Chen and co-workers in 2003 (showing undesired head-tohead and tail-to-tail linkages, entailing a mixture of primary and secondary hydroxyl end groups). ${ }^{75}$ The presence of an excess of alcohol initiator is necessary, otherwise isomerization of the monomer to propionaldehyde occurs, but such conditions simultaneously enforce relatively low molar masses. As a minor impurity, also cyclic PPO was observed; in later work by Barroso-Bujans the formation of polyether macrocycles, using glycidyl ether monomers, via application of BCF in the absence of protic initiators was further substantiated. ${ }^{140} \mathrm{BCF}$ has also been used to increase the primary hydroxyl content of polyether polyols, ${ }^{141,142}$ a feature which is desirable for further reaction but not readily realized with i.e. DMC catalysis.

In general, epoxide polymerization catalysed by BCF is hard to control, which can be attributed to the polymerization mechanism: the strongly electrophilic borane will acidify involved R-OH functionalities, engendering a cationic polymerization mechanism, including elements of epoxide activation by coordination between the oxirane oxygen atom and the Lewis acid. The addition of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right)^{143}$ or tributylamine/pyridine ${ }^{144}$ seems to improve control over the polymerization. BCF has also been employed in the copolymerization of vinyl
ethers and isobutylene oxide (IBO). ${ }^{145}$ While not strictly a monoborane structure, the related triphenylboroxine (TPBX) has also successfully been applied to polymerize epoxides (TPBX/PPNCl $\left.=2: 1,0-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{146}$ The catalyst structure seems to be a challenging one as substitution reactions by the alkoxy anion with the phenyl moieties or even the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ring can occur. Accordingly, at lower temperatures the polymerization is more controlled, yet overall TPBX and versions thereof seem to be less robust and less active than $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$.

## Bifunctional mono-, di-, tri- and tetranuclear organoboron catalysts

A step-change in borane catalyst design for epoxide (co) polymerization occurred in 2020, when Wu and co-workers started to publish a series of papers describing novel, bifunctional borane catalysts (Fig. 4). ${ }^{147}$ A central idea to this design was the spatial proximity of the active centres, which can be guaranteed and tuned by linking them together via a suitable backbone, this way circumventing the unfavourable entropic situation with binary catalyst setups. This is of obvious benefit considering the polymerization mechanisms discussed above, where often two equivalents of borane are involved. Moreover, the introduction of an ammonium functionality in the backbone engenders bifunctionality and includes a nucleophilic counter ion (i.e., halide). Interestingly, this nucleophile is stabilized both by coulombic interaction with the positively charged nitrogen atom and by a (weak) dative coordination to the boron atom. This dynamic situation is even more pronounced if more than one boron functionality is part of the catalyst structure and it displays a specific profile in ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analyses, were broadened signals are found. Overall, this catalyst structure provides a multitude of tuning sites (Fig. 4, right). This includes (a) the number of boron centres and the electronic situation (degree of Lewis acidity) found for them, (b) steric hindrance around the ammonium motif, (c) type of nucleophilic anion and its interactions with ammonium and boron atom(s) and (d) linker length $L$ (number of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ units) between the nitrogen atom and the boron atom. This modular toolbox of catalysts can be further extended if, for example, nitrogen is exchanged for phosphorous (see below).

While these catalysts are obviously not commercially available, in contrast to the ones discussed previously, it should be stressed that their synthesis is easy and usually conducted in two high-yielding steps (reaction of a suitable amine with
alkenyl halides to prepare the quaternary ammonium salt, followed by hydroboration using i.e. 9-BBN). Notably, some of the corresponding syntheses have been realized on the kg-scale. ${ }^{148}$ The catalyst class is described as white solids which can be stored for months under protective conditions. A certain sensitivity to oxygen is mentioned. ${ }^{147}$

A first focus of application for these catalysts was the reaction between epoxides and carbon dioxide. ${ }^{148,149}$ Here, a series of monoboron organocatalysts was applied to convert CHO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into the corresponding polycarbonate. This worked out very successfully, whereby $>99 \%$ polymer selectivity (relative to the cyclic carbonate) and $>99 \%$ carbonate linkages were found under relatively mild conditions ( $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). An odour- and colourless polymer was received via a single precipitation from ethanol.

Interestingly, a variation of $L$ under otherwise identical structural features of the catalyst revealed that an increase from 3 up to 7 (heptamethylene, $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7^{-}}$) proved beneficial, whereby a linker length of 5 displayed the highest TON (1550 compared to 330 for $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7}-$ ). Also, choice of the halide had a notable impact, as evident form the observed TOFs of $517 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ (bromide), $367 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ (chloride) and $317 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ (iodide). The three alkyl groups on the nitrogen had, in this case, only a very marginal influence on catalytic performance (comparing triethyl, tri- $n$-propyl and tri- $n$-butyl). An optimized structure was thus found in catalyst 1 (Scheme 18). A screening of reaction conditions showed that no relevant dependence on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure exists, while the polymerization temperature has a massive impact. Thus, a series of experiments ranging from room temperature up to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed that the TOF increases with higher temperature, to reach $4900 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This certainly underlines the thermal stability of $\mathbf{1}$, yet importantly, also the selectivity remained high (no cyclic by-product under these extreme conditions and still $>99 \%$ carbonate linkages). Very low catalyst loadings could be applied ( $0.005 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), resulting in a TON of 13000 and catalytic efficiency of 5 kg polycarbonate per gram of $\mathbf{1}$. According to the authors this was a record achievement, unprecedented both regarding previous organocatalysts (including $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ) but also metal-based setups.

Perhaps especially interesting, also mechanistic investigations were conducted. Thus, kinetics revealed a first-order dependence in 1 as well as in CHO, while carbon dioxide consumption showed zero-order behaviour and was thus not considered the rate-determining step. Overall these results indi-


Fig. 4 Modular and bifunctional mono-, di-, tri- and tetranuclear organoboron catalysts as reported by Wu and summary of tuning sites (insert).


Scheme 18 Polycarbonate formation from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and CHO using a bifunctional monoborane as described by Wu.
cated the involvement of only one catalyst molecule in the ratdetermining step, which was also confirmed by DFT calculations. Strikingly, control experiments with the binary analogue (2) of 1 suggested a strong synergistic effect between the Lewis acidic boron and the ammonium moiety: control experiments under identical conditions showed that 1 delivers a TOF that is hundredfold higher. Once the composition of 2 was adapted to 2 eq. of borane versus ammonium salt, performance went up (from $5 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ to $330 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) but still remained clearly inferior to $\mathbf{1}\left(4900 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)$. This was attributed to the enforced proximity of both functionalities in $\mathbf{1}$, which was also reflected in the respective ${ }^{11}$ B NMR analysis: while 2 showed a welldefined signal (including in the presence of ammonium species), 1 displayed a broad, weakly expressed signal. This was interpreted as supporting the intramolecular interaction of ammonium salt and boron atom (via the halide, Scheme 18). Based on these findings, a polymerization mechanism was proposed, whereby the synergistically confined $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ attacks CHO to form a stabilized alkoxides species, followed by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-insertion in the boron-alkoxide bond. The thus formed weakly nucleophilic carboxylate would dissociate from the boron and interact with the positively charged ammonium moiety, hence opening a coordination site for the epoxide. The catalytic cycle is closed by attack of the carboxylate on the activated CHO (Scheme 18).

As a limitation of the described catalyst, other epoxides like PO do not yield polycarbonate but the five-membered cyclic carbonate instead. However, this property was exploited to develop a modified catalyst with a very high activity for this kind of reaction. ${ }^{149}$ Under optimized conditions, TOFs of up to $11000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ could be realized, whereby $>99 \%$ selectivity for pro-
pylene carbonate was observed. A catalyst screening revealed that iodide was the best choice for the nucleophilic anion component, plausibly explained by its excellent leaving group properties, thus facilitating ring-closing ("back-biting"). Further, it was found that $L=3$ delivered best results, in contrast to what was found for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ copolymerization. Interestingly, the $9-$ BBN derived catalyst proved superior to boron substituted with cyclohexyl groups or pinacol-based ligands. This methodology was extended to other epoxides (EO, BO, HO, SO, various glycidyl ethers), rendering the developed catalysts one of the most active and broadly applicable metal-free ones for cyclic carbonate preparation.

In 2021, the same group reported the selective and highperforming copolymerization of the electron-poor ECH epoxide monomer with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, using a borane co-catalyst bearing four flexible arms connected to a central ammonium motif (3, Scheme 19). ${ }^{150}$ With this catalyst, under mild conditions $\left(25-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25\right.$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure), a perfectly alternating polycarbonate was received, displaying $>99 \%$ carbonate linkages and $>99 \%$ selectivity for polymer formation over the cyclic product formation. The latter is very remarkable, since ring-closing is strongly favoured by the electron-withdrawing substituent on the epoxide. Extensive kinetic experiments revealed a zeroorder dependence on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and first-order kinetics in both 3 and ECH, suggesting that the rate-determining step is epoxide ring-opening and that one catalyst molecule is involved in the propagation step. Under optimized conditions, molar masses $\left(M_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of up to $36.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\left(Đ_{\mathrm{M}}=1.22\right)$ were achieved, which according to the authors is the highest value obtained so far for the corresponding poly(chloropropylene carbonate). The resulting polymers offer exciting venues for i.e. postfunctionalizations.

The catalyst structure was absolutely instrumental for achieving this performance. Control experiments using analogous tri-, di- and monoboranes as well as binary setups highlighted the superiority of $\mathbf{3}$. For example, using the analogue with three boron-bearing arms, only $76 \%$ polymer selectivity and $95 \%$ carbonate linkages were observed. Using the dinuclear compound, these values further shrank to $40 \%$ and $77 \%$, respectively. Applying the monoboron catalyst, the activity was comparable to 3 , yet as a product exclusively the cyclic, fivemembered carbonate was received. The high density of Lewis acidic functionalities per nucleophile is accordingly crucial for maintaining selectivity and fast polymerization, most likely because the local density of activated epoxide in proximity to the boron-capped propagating chain-end is increased. The authors proposed an intriguing, cyclically sequential copolymerization mechanism, where essentially the propagating chain end, after $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ insertion, can easily attack a neighbouring borane-activated epoxide monomer, thus "walking" along the four arms of the catalysts (Scheme 19).

In 2022, Feng, Gnanou and co-workers introduced the borinane motif for generating highly active catalysts for epoxide conversion. In addition to epoxide homopolymerization (see below), these are also powerful in catalysing the copolymerization of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with various epoxides. ${ }^{151}$ Especially in combi-



Scheme 19 Copolymerization of ECH and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, using a tetranuclear organoboron catalyst. Bottom: detail of the polymerization mechanism.
nation with a bulky environment around the quaternary nitrogen atom and $L=5$ (4, Scheme 20), well-defined polycarbonates are received from epoxides such as EO, PO, BO, OO or AGE and even oxetanes can be used as feedstock. For CHO, a monomer very well suited for this type of copolymerization, the productivity could be pushed up to 5.7 kg of polymer per gram of 4 , a record value. While the same polymerization mechanism as proposed for, i.e., $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 18) is assumed, the borinane motif, where the boron atom is part of a sixmembered cyclic ring, enhances reactivity by reducing the steric hindrance around the Lewis acid as compared to $9-\mathrm{BBN}$ derived catalysts. This has a subtle but crucial impact on activation energies, as suggested by DFT calculations.

The bifunctional, multinuclear borane catalysts were also applied as a powerful tool for the preparation of polyethers. Wu and co-workers employed a catalyst bearing two 9-BBNderived borane functionalities (5) to realize an organocatalytic setup with unprecedented activity for epoxide homopolymerization. ${ }^{152}$ For example, 3000 eq. of PO are converted quantitatively in less than 6 h reaction time, whereby a narrow molar mass distribution is maintained. Using 30000 eq. of PO, monomer consumption is complete after 60 h , yielding PPO with a molar mass of $M_{\mathrm{n}}>1000 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. When using the more reactive EO, 10000 eq. can be converted in 20 minutes (TOF $=30000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ), rendering the reaction more than 25 times



Scheme 20 Borinane-type catalyst for polycarbonate production.
faster than with PO. Chain extension experiments, kinetic analyses and MALDI-ToF MS investigations underlined the living characteristics of this polymerization. Importantly, zero-order kinetics were found for PO dependence. Perhaps as a notable limitation of this catalyst, reactions had to be typically conducted at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereby higher temperatures quickly led to a loss of control. The exothermic ring-opening in combination with the high reaction rates must be considered.

Mechanistically, the success of 5 was attributed to the close proximity of two borane moieties (the flexible linkers are important in this regard), whereby one boron carries the propagating chain end which can easily attack the activated epoxide sitting on the other (Scheme 21). The quaternary nitrogen supports the propagation step by stabilizing the alkoxide chain-end and facilitating the transit to the second borane functionality. Indeed, control experiments using an analogous diborane with a neutral linker (where a pure methylene chain connects the two boranes) showed clearly inferior performance, thus underlining that also in this case a synergistic effect is operational. Interestingly, DFT calculations suggest that the nitrogen distributes much of its positive charge on the hydrogens of the methylene units in $\alpha$ and $\beta$ position.

A reduction of steric hindrance around the Lewis acid by using the borinane motif and the application of a four-armed catalyst molecule (6) improved performance even further to achieve spectacular results. ${ }^{153}$ Thus, in the bulk polymerization of PO, 30000 eq. of the monomer were consumed within 10 minutes reaction time $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. This value of $100 \%$ conversion




Scheme 21 Bifunctional borane catalysts for epoxide homopolymerization and proposed mechanism.
went down respectively when analogous compounds to 6 were employed which possessed three (68\%) or two (21\%) borane functionalities. Obviously, the number of Lewis acidic moieties concentrated in the catalyst controls performance to a large degree. To put this into perspective, the very rapid PO consumption by application of 6 yields an exceedingly high TOF of $180000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, which is again two orders of magnitude higher than found for 5 . Crucially, with this setup very high molar masses can be constructed ( $M_{\mathrm{n}}>1000 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{M}}=1.2$ ) but also low molar mass target polymers or oligomers can be prepared. This is of importance for many polyether applications und usually means that either a high ratio of catalyst to monomer would be needed, or, more practically, that the polymerization is conducted in the presence of a significant excess of alcohol-type chain transfer agents (CTAs). As discussed above, such reaction conditions are problematic for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, were only very slow monomer conversion results. Under application of 6 , however, this limitation is removed and a range of alcohols (and water) can be used to precisely control the product degree of polymerization. This can be taken to extremes; for reactive EO, a catalyst loading in the ppb range (and 250000 eq. of CTA relative to the catalyst) can be applied. Within 48 h this leads to $90 \%$ conversion, which in turn translates into an average TOF of $187500 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and a TON of $9 \times 10^{6}$. In sum, this means that 1 g of 6 can yield up to 600 kg of welldefined PEG $\left(~_{\mathrm{M}}=1.03\right)$, easily outperforming the current commercially employed setups. The monomer scope includes, apart from EO and PO, also BO, OO, AGE and PGE. For the glycidyl ethers somewhat reduced TONs and TOFs are observed, yet still the polymerization is very fast and allows for low catalyst loadings and well-defined product polyethers.

Yang, Wu and co-workers set themselves to the important task of systemizing the impact of the various catalyst tuning sites on performance in epoxide homopolymerization. ${ }^{154}$ They focused on four aspects, namely (a) electronic and steric situation of the borane functionality, (b) steric hindrance around the ammonium nitrogen atom, (c) the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ distance and (d) the number of boranes in the catalyst molecule.

A first catalyst series targeted monoboron catalysts with boron centres of varying Lewis acidity, using their acceptor numbers as guideline (see also Table 1). A comparison of moieties with decreasing Lewis acid strength, specifically borinane $(\mathrm{AN}=23.4)>\mathrm{BBN}(21.7)>\mathrm{BCy}_{2}(18.8)>$ Bpin (15.5), revealed that irrespective of the borane chosen, only negligible conversion of epoxide (PO) occurred. This nicely fits to the results obtained previously for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, where the involvement of excess borane was crucial to achieve monomer activation, since one equivalent of borane (relative to organobase/nucleophile) is consumed for endcapping of the propagating chain. Since the monoboron bifunctional catalysts introduce exactly one boron per halide initiator, the absence of relevant polymerization is coherent.

Next, a similar series of diboron catalysts was investigated with a fixed $L=3$ (Scheme 22) under identical reaction conditions ( 10000 eq. of PO, $6 \mathrm{~h},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Interestingly, under these conditions the BBN-bearing catalyst achieved $35 \%$ conversion and a TOF of $585 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. In contrast, the weaker Lewis


Scheme 22 Dinuclear organoboron catalysts as screened by Yang and Wu for epoxide homopolymerization.
acidic derivatives having $\mathrm{BCy}_{2}$ or Bpin groups did not show relevant conversion. The somewhat stronger borinane motif, on the other hand, delivered a conversion of $63 \%$ in only 2 h . Thus, moderately strong Lewis acidic boron centres seem favourable. It should be noted that the cited acceptor numbers are still low compared to $\mathrm{BCF} .{ }^{72}$ It is expected that a too excessive Lewis acidity would lead to a loss of control over the polymerization, however, to date it is still an open question where the optimum boron Lewis acidity is located exactly. The current state of research suggests that $\mathrm{AN}=20-40$ may be preferable.

A systematic variation of $L=3,4,5$ and 6 revealed a stepwise decrease of activity in this order, with an observed TOF of $585 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 380 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 325 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and $113 \mathrm{~h}^{-1} .{ }^{154}$ A very comparable trend was also found for EO monomer (TOF $=24600 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, $16150 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 12900 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and $7750 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, respectively). Thus, gradually activity of the dinuclear catalysts decreases as the linker length increases. As both borane functionalities have to cooperate in the propagation step, the spatial arrangement is of obvious importance. This is also underlined when focusing on the steric pressure around the nitrogen atom. Thus, with the same borane moiety (BBN) and the same $L$ (3), a dimethyl substitution pattern engenders of TOF of only $285 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, which increases to $690 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for dibutyl and jumps to $1205 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ if a rigid pentamethylene dialkyl group is installed. Clearly, the steric encumbrance at the nitrogen reduces the available rotational conformations to a degree that is very favourable for the fitting spatial arrangement of the two boron centres. This was further substantiated by analysing the respective crystal structures. The Newman projection revealed that the less active catalysts (i.e., $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ methyl) have the borane moieties in anti-positions, while the larger substituents, and especially the pentamethylene ring, enforce a gauche orientation. As the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}^{+}-\mathrm{B}$ angle $(\theta)$ is reduced, the activity of the catalyst grows. This preorganization helps to achieve high catalysts activity. Coherently, computational studies have shown that the energy barrier for adopting an ideal conformation is lowered by a high steric demand around the nitrogen atom. ${ }^{154}$ Finally, in accordance with previous results, it was confirmed that catalyst activity multiplies with the number of boron groups, that is triand tetranuclear structures outperformed the dinuclear ones.

In the course of similar investigations, Wu's catalyst type was also applied with notable success to the copolymerization of epoxides and anhydrides. ${ }^{155}$ Using CHO and PA as model compounds, a screening of monoboron organocatalysts $(L=3$,


Scheme 23 High-performing bifunctional boron catalyst for polyester formation from epoxide and anhydride.

4, 5, 7; halide $=$ chloride, bromide, iodide) revealed $L=5$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(7, Scheme 23) as best suited for a fast reaction and high catalytic activity. Interestingly, the catalyst setup can tolerate temperatures up to $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which not only entails rapid monomer consumption but also renders the addition of solvent obsolete - the high viscosity at near complete conversion is less of an obstacle at these temperatures. The strictly alternating character of the copolymerization is also retained under such extreme reaction conditions. Molar masses of $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $>90 \mathrm{~kg}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and a TON of up to 9900 can be achieved under optimized conditions. At very low catalyst loading, at some point residual water and the hard to remove phthalic acid content limit the obtainable molar masses. Nonetheless, according to the authors the cited values are record data for CHO/PA copolymerization, outperforming competing metal-free and metalbased setups. Kinetic investigations find a zero-order dependence on PA, and DFT calculations support that ring-opening of the epoxide (by the carboxylate) is the rate-determining step. Interestingly, ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analyses confirm several of the key mechanistic steps, including CHO activation by the borane and the reversible coordination of boron and carboxylate.

Also in 2022, several publications discussed the application of catalysts wherein the nitrogen atom was replaced by a phosphorus atom. ${ }^{156-158}$ Key rationale was the assumption that the larger phosphorous might offer a different set of sterically demanding substituents, which in turn could impact catalyst performance given the crucial role of the electropositive onium moieties in this type of bifunctional catalyst (see above). And indeed, the phosphonium analogues to Wu's catalysts were found to display even further improved performance, both for epoxide homopolymerization as well as for copolymerizations.

For polyether preparation, $\mathrm{Wu}^{157}$ as well as Zhong and $\mathrm{Li}^{156}$ successfully applied compound $\mathbf{8}$, which can be prepared in three steps (Scheme 24). At low reaction temperatures $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$



Scheme 24 Phosphonium-type bifunctional diborane catalyst for epoxide homopolymerization.
to $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), rapid polymerizations ensued, delivering the corresponding $\alpha$-bromide/ $\omega$-hydroxyl PPO, whereby chain extension experiments and narrow molar mass distributions underlined the controlled nature of the process. Under optimized conditions, TOFs of up to $3300 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ could be realized, which was about twice the value achieved by a comparable ammonium diborane catalyst. Interestingly, at very low catalyst loadings ( $\mathrm{PO} / \mathbf{8}=10000: 1$ ) molar masses of up to $M_{\mathrm{n}}=490 \mathrm{~kg}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $97 \%$ conversion in 6 h were observed. ${ }^{156}$ Catalyst 8 is also tolerant regarding the use of water as initiator.

Kinetic experiments found a zero-order dependence with respect to PO concentration. Interestingly, as in the case of nitro-gen-based catalysts, a synergistic effect was observed between phosphonium and borane functionalities: employment of a diborane with a neutral methylene linker and $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{PBr}$ (binary setup) entailed a significantly slower monomer conversion than use of 8. Conversion of BO and AGE also worked, however, monomer consumption was markedly slower in both cases. ${ }^{156}$

Various monoboron catalysts carrying phosphonium groups were employed for the copolymerization of CHO and PA, screening $L=3-6$ and several alkyl- and aryl substituents on the phosphorous atom..$^{157}$ At $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was found that these catalysts delivered well-defined polyester with $>99 \%$ ester linkages. Longer linker lengths delivered the best results (optimum: $L=5$ ) as did soft alkyl phosphonium species. Regarding activity, an order of $\left({ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}>\left({ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})>(\mathrm{Ph})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ was found with the respective TOFs of $304 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}, 226 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and $164 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\left(L=3, \mathrm{PA} / \mathrm{CHO} /\right.$ catalyst $\left.=200: 400: 1,120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The latter was attributed to the high steric demand of the phenyl groups, which hamper the halide-phosphorous interaction, in turn strengthening the halide-boron interaction (compare Scheme 18). The catalyst can tolerate reaction temperatures up to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereby a high TOF of $>2800 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ can be realized.

A direct comparison of P - and N -based monoboron catalysts ( 9 and 10, Fig. 5) shows that the better performance of the former is down to a shorter induction time followed by a faster propagation rate. ${ }^{157}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis, crystal structure ana-


Fig. 5 Direct comparison of P - and N -based monoboron catalyst for the copolymerization of CHO and PA (CHO/PA/9 or $10=1000: 500: 1$, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Adapted with permission from ref. 157. © 2022 American Chemical Society
lysis and DFT calculations suggest that this improved behaviour can be related to a stronger coulombic interaction between halide and phosphonium, which in turn diminishes the halide-boron interaction, leaving the boranes more freedom to activate the epoxide monomer.

Also in the copolymerization of epoxides with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ a superior performance of the phosphonium analogues was observed. Thus, with $9 / \mathrm{CHO}\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ pressure) a TOF of $198 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ was found, double that of catalyst $\mathbf{1 0}$ under identical conditions. ${ }^{157}$ Catalyst optimization revealed $L=5$ as optimum and it was demonstrated that temperatures up to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are easily possible, yielding rapid monomer consumption ( $5210 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ). High molar masses ( $345 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) and high TONs (up to 7800) were achieved.

Lin and co-workers (of ExxonMobile) deepened the understanding of this reaction by undertaking an extensive mechanistic investigation, screening 35 discrete catalysts (Fig. 6), including not only the quaternary phosphonium moieties, but also tertiary ones (which possess an acidic $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ group). ${ }^{158}$ Particular attention was paid to the phosphonium substituents (and their effect on the vacant $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{R})$ orbital, which can interact with the halide), the borane substituents (and ensuing Lewis acidity), the linker length $L$ and thermodynamic and kinetic properties of each catalyst for the copolymerization of CHO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The considerable amount of data necessary for this endeavour was harvested from in situ Raman spectroscopy. In using a non-isothermal temperature sweep technique, the authors were able to derive the entire $k_{\mathrm{p}}$-versus-temperature profile in one experiment for a given catalyst. The received amount of data was then subjected to Eyring fitting to yield $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$. The polymerization rates at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2.76 \mathrm{MPa}$ carbon dioxide) were used to compare and rank the catalysts.
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Fig. 6 Tertiary and quaternary phosphonium-type monoborane catalysts as screened by Lin and co-workers. Insert: multiple interactions of halide and catalyst as tuning site. Note that $H_{\alpha}$ and $H_{\beta}$ act as H-bond donors.

Regarding the quaternary phosphonium substituents, it was found that aryl groups slowed down the polymerization reaction ( $k_{\text {obs }}=0.7-0.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), while simple alkyl moieties (ethyl, butyl) delivered much faster monomer consumption ( $k_{\text {obs }}=1.9-2.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). This tendency is comparable to what was observed for epoxide/anhydride copolymerization (see above). Focusing on the ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}$-motif and $9-\mathrm{BBN}$-derived borane groups, the linker length was also screened $(L=3,4,5$, 6 and 10). The respective values for $k_{\text {obs }}\left(0.57 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 1.4 \times\right.$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 2.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\left.0.74 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{158}$ revealed the pentamethylene linker as optimum, in perfect agreement with Wu's results. ${ }^{157}$ Measurement of borane Lewis acidity via the Gutmann-Beckett method, on the other hand, proved more difficult to interpret, most likely because the probe molecule $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ not only interacts with the boron, but also with the phosphonium group (an effect which itself is dependent on $L$ ). Nonetheless, the broad variation of borane moieties revealed a very strong impact on performance. For example, the dimethylborolane (DMB) variant operates in a rather poor manner, compared to BBN $\left(0.19 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ vs. 2.1 $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively). This was attributed to the wider C-BC angle, which aggravates $s p^{2}$ to $s p^{3}$ transition during the catalytic cycle. The use of much stronger Lewis acidic boranes (fluorenyl (FL) or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$-groups) resulted in a complete loss of activity. Likewise, the disiamylborane variant was fully inert, while the reduced steric demand exerted by octyl groups enabled moderate activity ( $k_{\text {obs }}=1.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). The fastest polymerization at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was displayed by the $\mathrm{BCy}_{2}$-motif $(2.4 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), but also here slight further modifications ( ${ }^{\mathrm{Me}} \mathrm{Cy}$ or pinenyl) shut down the polymerization activity completely. Temperature stability was also probed, revealing that the high polymerization temperature reported by $\mathrm{Wu}\left(150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{157}$ is not generally applicable to all of these phosphonium-based bifunctional catalysts. Irreversible catalyst decomposition seems to occur at $T<125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for some compounds even at less than $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Analysis of the enthalpic $\left(\Delta H^{\dagger}\right)$ and entropic $\left(\Delta S^{\ddagger}\right)$ contributions to the Gibbs free energy of activation illuminated further aspects of the polymerization mechanism. For one, it was found that Lewis acidity and the enthalpy of activation are not correlated. Secondly, a trade-off between enthalpic and entropic contributions exists. For example, the more destabilized resting states (favourable enthalpic term) at higher $L$ appear in conjunction with disadvantageous entropy - the longer B-P distance requires a "backfolding" for the cooperative propagation step. This may also explain why "sweet spots" are to be found with an optimal balancing of both thermodynamic contributions. As an example, for an investigated series of compounds, for $L=10,5$, and $3, \Delta H^{\ddagger}$ of 50.2 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}, 67.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $56.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ is found. This is accompanied respectively by $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-145.2 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ), $-88.0 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ) and $-130.6 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ).

Intriguingly, also the tertiary phosphonium-type catalysts were found to be very well-performing, especially at higher temperatures. Interesting perspectives for continuous solution polymerization with the ensuing lower viscosity at high temp-
eratures can be envisioned for this type of catalyst, which is described for the first time in this paper. While not all mechanistic details are understood, it seems clear that the acidic proton is conducive for a rapid and well-controlled polymerization.

## Diboranes with neutral (chiral) linkers

Also in 2022, the group of Naumann described organoboron catalysts for the stereoselective polymerization of rac-PO. ${ }^{66}$ Inspired by the polymerization mechanism for epoxide homopolymerization (see above and Scheme 25), involving two equivalents of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, and by the work of Du on chiral diboranes for hydrogenation reactions, ${ }^{159}$ axially chiral backbones were used as linker structures. Starting from the well-available diol compounds (i.e., 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol, BINOL), a simple sequence of etherification followed by hydroboration yielded the corresponding diboranes 11 and 12. Interestingly, even for these non-optimized compounds, a moderate stereoselectivity (up to isotactic diad placement $(m)=88 \%$ ) could indeed be achieved $\left(-36{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. While choice of the organobase (DBU, $t \mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{P}_{2}$ or a specific NHO) had no impact on selectivity, the introduction of steric congestion (phenyl group, 12) was clearly favourable in this regard, albeit at the cost of a notably decreased polymerization rate. Moreover, dilution via suitable solvents (THF, toluene) proved beneficial. Most likely bimolecular interaction, ${ }^{159}$ which is thought to be non-selective, can be reduced this way. Molar masses of $M_{\mathrm{n}}$ up to $120 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ could be realized, showing typically well-defined properties as

cooperative epoxide polymerization using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$



Scheme 25 Research rationale (top), two-step synthesis (middle) and polymerization procedure (bottom) for the preparation of it-PPO.
found by GPC ( $\Xi_{\mathrm{M}}=1.05-1.25$ ) and MALDI-ToF MS (end groups exclusively derived from initiator, BnOH ). Kinetic experiments with enantiopure monomer revealed that $(R)-\mathbf{1 1}$ is selective for $(S)$-PO (and $(S)$-BO), while $(S)$ - $\mathbf{1 1}$ shows the opposite preferences. In a typical experiment ( 2 M in THF, RT, NHO/ $(R)-11 / \mathrm{BnOH} /$ enantiopure $\mathrm{PO}=1: 2: 5: 2000$, molar ratio) a zero-order dependence on $[\mathrm{PO}]$ is found, with $k_{(S-\mathrm{PO})} 16$ times faster than $k_{(R-\mathrm{PO})}$. Interestingly, using rac-11, the same order of selectivity is observed; tentatively this was attributed to a strong association of propagating chain end and diborane catalyst which would rule out a very frequent exchange of growing polyether chains between catalysts. If future research verifies this trend, catalyst syntheses could be simplified considerably.

The isotactic-enriched (it-)PPO received under optimized conditions ( $m>85 \%$ ) proved to be semi-crystalline, which is obviously different from the fully amorphous PPO received from conventional anionic polymerization ( KOH ), from the action of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ or via any other borane catalysts described so far. Indeed, up this point the stereoselective polymerization of substituted epoxides had been an exclusive domain of metalbased catalysts. ${ }^{65}$ And while the most advanced of the latter are still clearly superior with regard to selectivity (with isotactic triad placement, $m m,>99 \%$ for PO) ${ }^{34}$ the functional group tolerance of the organoboron catalysts is a crucial difference of high practical importance. Indeed, using polycaprolactone or polylactide macroinitiators, it is possible to introduce blocks of $i t$-PPO with high precision by the action of $\mathbf{1 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 2}$ (excess of borane functionalities relative to the organobase). ${ }^{66}$ Such "polyester-first" strategies and related approaches are considered to be of great benefit for the preparation of tacticitytuned polymeric additives and, as outlined above, cannot be realized by conventional means.

It should be noted that $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ are no bifunctional catalysts in the sense of $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$ as their linker setup is fully neutral. Structure-property relationships for this type of diborane catalysts are largely unknown to date. Control experiments using the non-chiral biphenyl analogue (13) and a linear variant (14) have nonetheless shed some light on promising catalyst structures for epoxide homopolymerization. While both 13 and 14 expectedly deliver practically atactic PPO ( $m<57 \%$ ), it is also found that under identical conditions the biphenyl catalyst consumes PO about fifty times faster than 14. Obviously, while in both cases the two borane groups, which need to act cooperatively in the propagation step, are separated by twelve atoms, the preorganization by the biphenyl backbone is clearly beneficial for rapid polymerization, perhaps in a similar way that has been described for the bifunctional catalysts according to Lin. ${ }^{158}$

## Outlook and conclusion

The rise of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and later generations of borane catalysts has provided spectacular advances for epoxide homo- and copolymerization. This is all the more impressive if it is considered how young the whole field of research actually is: more than
$50 \%$ of the topic-related publications discussed herein have emerged in 2020 or later. Certainly the breadth of investigated catalyst structures is evolving rapidly and further breakthroughs can be expected in the near future.

As illustrated by many of the examples discussed above, a unique combination of properties is responsible for this booming growth of interest. For one, the borane catalysis offers a metal-free approach to the corresponding polyethers and other copolymers. Thus, a potentially more sustainable route to typically achromatous product polymer is provided. This is further accentuated by the ultra-low catalyst loadings which can be applied in many cases, rendering catalyst removal redundant. The latter is especially true for the bifunctional/multiborane setups, which, on account of their much increased activity, can also be used in catalytic amounts relative to the CTA/initiator (alcohols). Overall, record values regarding TON, TOF and achievable molar masses have been realized using organoboron catalysts, including epoxide homopolymerization but also copolymerization with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or anhydrides, not to speak of the corresponding terpolymerizations.

Apart from performance, also the functional group tolerance is a striking feature for borane catalysis. This is related to the moderate Lewis acidity of the employed borane species and the dual, cooperative role of the latter: a combination of monomer activation and chain-end capping (deactivation of the anionic, propagating species) allows for the polymerization of sensitive, highly functionalized monomers. Likewise, advanced or even novel polymer architectures have become possible, such as poly(ether-ester) multiblocks.

Also, borane catalysis has delivered the first example of organocatalytic, stereoselective polymerization of epoxides. Albeit in this case selectivity needs to be further improved and the complex structure-property correlations need to be understood further, this nicely complements the benefits for epoxide (co)polymerization achieved via borane catalysis.

Thus, the outlook is promising and this is perhaps also reflected by a first wave of patenting activities. ${ }^{160-162}$ Realization of borane-catalysed polymerization of $O$-heterocyclic monomers on technical scale will be a crucial step for advancing these technologies and might provide a further boost in research interest for this intriguing type of catalysis. Further, an extension to non-epoxide monomer feedstock can be observed. Lactone polymerization is an example, ${ }^{163,164}$ and very recently also acrylic monomers have been polymerized by a specific diborane catalyst. ${ }^{165}$ Likewise, the often underestimated possibility of structural or compositional changes of alkylboranes during the catalytic cycle may offer exciting venues for the development of novel (chemo) selectivities. ${ }^{166}$ Also, the conceptual closeness of (multi)borane catalyst design to Lewis pair polymerization ${ }^{85}$ might prove fruitful for the field as well. Very importantly, also classic organometallic chemistry may inspire further improved borane organocatalysts. An instructive example, Co(iII)-Salen complexes published 2006-2010 combined the Lewis acidic metal centre with a Salen ligand carrying tertiary amine or ammonium functionalities (by which 2,4-dinitrophenolate
counter ions were introduced). ${ }^{167}$ Thereby, two mechanistically important components were combined in one molecule, subsequently leading to much improved TONs, TOFs, selectivity and thermal stability for the copolymerization of epoxides and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In a way, these can be understood as metal-based analogues to the bifunctional, multiborane catalysts discussed above. ${ }^{147}$ There may be more lessons to be learned from these or similar complexes, regarding for example catalyst recyclability or optimized catalyst geometry. Perhaps not coincidentally, a detailed study by Wu , Yang and co-workers recently found an ideal B-B distance of 769 pm for bifunctional diboron catalysts for epoxide homopolymerization (larger distances entailed a notable loss of activity). ${ }^{154}$ This is strongly reminiscent of Coates' bimetallic $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes, which likewise enable swift epoxide conversion and display Co-Co distances of 713 $\mathrm{pm} .{ }^{65}$ Since in both cases the mechanism requires the cooperation of two Lewis acidic centres, similar geometrical constraints seem a plausible consequence. More rigid (multi) borane catalyst backbones seem especially recommended in this regard, perhaps opening exciting new venues for (i.e., stereoselective) epoxide (co)polymerization. Further overlaps of this kind may well exist and could potentially be fruitful for both metal-based and organocatalytic disciplines.

In sum, areas with particular high potential for the field may be identified as (a) a broadening of the monomer scope beyond $O$-heterocyclic monomers, (b) a more detailed understanding of borane deactivation or structural changes during catalysis, (c) a clarification of the role of Lewis acidity, monomer activation and polymerization mechanisms in general, (d) an improvement of stereocontrol, (e) immobilized and/or recyclable solutions and (f) realization of borane-catalyzed (co)polymerization on large scale.

This checklist, which is certainly not exhaustive, demonstrates that the disruptive impact of borane (co)catalysis on epoxide conversion and beyond can be well expected to continue in the near future.
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