



Cite this: *New J. Chem.*, 2019, 43, 8835

Photoacoustic imaging and photothermal therapy in the second near-infrared window

Xiaoguang Ge,^{†ab} Qinrui Fu,^{†b} Lin Bai,^{†a} Bin Chen,^a Renjie Wang,^a Shi Gao^{*a} and Jibin Song^{ib*}

The second near-infrared (NIR-II) window, with a broadband absorption ranging from 1000 nm to 1350 nm, has received increasing attention for photoacoustic imaging and imaging-guided NIR-II photothermal therapy. Compared to conventional nanoagents in the first near-infrared (NIR-I) window, with an optical absorption of 700–1000 nm, NIR-II nanoagents offer various merits such as high-performance photothermal treatment outcomes and photoacoustic imaging with both deeper tissue penetration and higher SNR. Thus, this review focuses on the recent progress in photoacoustic imaging and photothermal therapy in the NIR-II window. The merits of the NIR-II window relative to the NIR-I window are systematically compared. This is followed by a summary of nanoagents in the NIR-II window and their biomedical application, including photoacoustic imaging in the NIR-II window and imaging-guided NIR-II photothermal therapy. Finally, the prospects and challenges of both photoacoustic imaging and photothermal therapy in the NIR-II window are summarized.

Received 17th March 2019,
Accepted 29th April 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9nj01402k

rsc.li/njc

Introduction

Photoacoustic imaging (PAI) is a promising biomedical molecular imaging technology that depends on the conversion of photons *via* the photoacoustic (PA) effect and their absorption

by exogenous and endogenous contrast agents. This technology combines the excellent spatial resolution of ultrasonic imaging with the exceptional contrast of optical imaging.^{1–6} In recent years, PAI has attracted significant attention due to its high imaging contrast and resolution, low scattering and dissipation in biological tissue, and excellent penetrability and sensitivity to tissue functional characteristics. Therefore, PAI plays an important role in life sciences and cancer therapy.^{4,7–14} Recently, research on PAI has mainly focused on the NIR-I window (700–1000 nm); however, due to the strong scattering and absorption of biological tissues in this region, the depth of tissue penetration and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) are relatively low. Moreover, the major constituents of biological tissues such as deoxyhemoglobin, oxyhemoglobin, skin, and fat show a decreasing trend of laser absorption in the NIR-II window (1000–1350 nm)^{15,16} (Fig. 1a and b).^{17,18} In addition, The NIR-II window with its longer wavelength excitation laser has the merits of better tolerance of biological tissue, much higher maximum permissible exposure (MPE) in the NIR-II window compared to that of the NIR-I window due to the lower tissue absorption and lower energy of photons at longer wavelengths.^{18–20} For example, 1064 nm light possesses an MPE value of 1.0 W cm^{–2}, while 808 nm light has a lower MPE value of 0.33 W cm^{–2} according to ANSI Z136.1-2007.¹⁶ Therefore, the higher MPE of an NIR-II window laser with stronger irradiation power can be used for deep-tissue imaging. Nevertheless, PAI in the NIR-II window has rarely been investigated to date. Reports on PAI in the NIR-II window have been limited to noble metals,^{21,22} copper sulfide nanoparticles,²³ perfluorocarbon nanodroplets,²⁴

^a Department of Nuclear Medicine, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, 130033, China. E-mail: gaoshi@jlu.edu.cn

^b MOE Key Laboratory for Analytical Science of Food Safety and Biology, College of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China. E-mail: jibinsong@fzu.edu.cn

† These authors contributed equally to this work.



Jibin Song

Jibin Song obtained his PhD degree in Chemical and Biomedical Engineering at Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, in 2014. He then worked with Prof. Xiaoyuan (Shawn) Chen as a Postdoctoral Fellow at the National Institutes of Health (NIH). After finishing his postdoctoral training, he joined the Fuzhou University as a “Min Jiang Scholar” Professor of Analytical Chemistry. Prof. Song has published over 50 papers in high impact journal. His research

focuses on developing molecular imaging nanoprobes for bioimaging, biosensing and drug/gene delivery.





Fig. 1 Visible, NIR-I, and NIR-II windows. (a) Reduced scattering coefficients (μ_s') of various biological tissues as a function of wavelength. (b) Effective attenuation coefficients of fat, skin, and blood as a function of wavelength. (a and b) Reproduced from ref. 17 and 18 with permission from the Nature Publishing Group, Copyright 2017 and 2009, respectively.

phosphorus phthalocyanine,²⁵ and semiconducting polymer nanoparticles (SPN).^{15,26} In summary, the NIR-II window possesses intrinsic merits of deeper tissue penetration and higher MPE due to the decreased tissue scattering and the decrease in the energy of long wavelength photons, respectively. Compared to the NIR-I window, the NIR-II window has been reported to offer excellent spatial resolution and enhanced signal-to-background ratio (SBR) for PAI.

Photothermal therapy (PTT) is a promising and non-invasive method for cancer treatment that has advanced to clinical trials. As an effective approach against cancer, PTT has attracted much attention in recent years due to its localized ablation of solid tumors and minimal heating damage to normal tissue adjacent to cancer tissue. The mechanism of PTT involves the adequate accumulation of photothermal (PT) agents within tumors, which then absorb near infrared (NIR) light and convert it into thermal energy to ablate localized tumor cells.^{27–34} Currently, numerous materials have been employed as effective PT agents such as NIR dye,^{35,36} polymer nanoparticles,^{37,38} inorganic nanomaterials,^{39–41} and organic nanomaterials.^{42–44} However, despite their excellent PT effect, the strong background signal, short tissue penetration, and low SNR of NIR-I window nanomaterials still limit their further application in imaging-guided cancer treatment. However, biological applications that use NIR-II laser achieve deeper penetration and larger MPE compared to traditional applications that use the NIR-I laser; thus, PTT in the NIR-II window can improve treatment outcomes.⁴⁵



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of PA imaging contrast agents and their biomedical applications in the NIR-II window.

This review summarizes the recent progress of PA contrast agents and PT agents in the NIR-II window and their biomedical applications (Scheme 1). First, a comprehensive summary and discussion are presented on the available PA contrast agents and PT agents in the NIR-II window, including inorganic materials, organic materials, and other nanocomposites. These NIR-II nanoagents achieve high-performance PT treatment outcomes and allow PA imaging with deeper tissue penetration and higher SNR. Then, we review and compare the effects of PAI and PTT between the NIR-I and NIR-II windows. Subsequently, the recent progress in biomedical applications of these nanoagents is presented, including subcutaneous tumor imaging, deep brain vasculature imaging, orthotopic tumor imaging, stem cell imaging, and imaging-guided NIR-II PTT. Finally, a brief summary is provided together with a discussion of the current opportunities and challenges of NIR-II nanoagents.

PA contrast agents/PT agents in the second near-infrared window

Nanoagents with excellent absorption in the NIR-II window and outstanding photothermal conversion efficiency can significantly improve the outcomes of cancer treatment and the achieved imaging contrast; therefore, they have aroused significant interest in research. Herein, three categories of NIR-II PA contrast agents and PT agents are reviewed: (1) inorganic materials, (2) organic materials, and (3) other nanomaterials.

Inorganic materials

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) show remarkable potential as PAI contrast agents and PT agents due to their superior light absorption abilities and tunable localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) properties. For example, Duan *et al.* synthesized





Fig. 2 TEM of (a) hyperbranched Au plasmonic blackbodies (AuPBs), (b) gold hollow nanorods (AuHNRs), (c) bismuth nanoparticles (Bi NPs), (d) Bi_2Se_3 nanoplates (NPs), (e) CuS@BSA-RGD NPs, (f) Cu_3BiS_3 nanorods (NRs), (g) Cu_2MnS_2 NPs, (h) mesoporous carbon nanospheres (Meso-CNs), and (i) black hollow silicon oxide nanoparticles (H- SiO_x NPs). (a) was reproduced from ref. 16 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018, (b) was reproduced from ref. 47 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018, (c) was reproduced from ref. 48 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2017, (d) was reproduced from ref. 49 with permission from the Royal Society Chemistry, Copyright 2018, (e) was reproduced from ref. 50 with permission from the Royal Society Chemistry, Copyright 2018, (f) was reproduced from ref. 51 with permission from Elsevier Ltd, Copyright 2017, (g) was reproduced from ref. 52 with permission from Ivyspring International Publisher, Copyright 2017, (h) was reproduced from ref. 53 with permission from Ivyspring International Publisher, Copyright 2018 and (i) was reproduced from ref. 54 with permission from Elsevier Ltd, Copyright 2017.

hyperbranched gold plasmonic blackbodies (AuPBs, Fig. 2a) with a sub-50 nm size *via* a surfactant-free and seedless method using mussel-inspired dopamine.¹⁶ AuPBs have wide-band absorption ranging from 400 nm to 1350 nm with outstanding photothermal efficiency above 80% in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows due to the strong plasmonic coupling among the gold branches. Therefore, AuPBs can be used as PAI contrast agent and for PAI-guided PTT. Gold nanorods (AuNRs) are well-known nanoagents. NIR-II window-responsive PAI contrast agents and PTT agents with sizes exceeding the 200 nm of traditional AuNRs have been employed; however, their biological safety needs to be further explored. AuNRs with sub-100 nm sizes and tunable NIR-II window plasmonic peaks are promising candidates for *in vivo* bioapplication and offer excellent biosafety due to their merits in size. For example, Yeh *et al.* developed AuNRs with a rod-in-shell configuration, which were smaller than 100 nm⁴⁶ and exhibited broad-band absorption across 350–1350 nm. Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests showed good biosafety and excellent efficacy in the NIR photothermal ablation of cancer cells when illuminated using a 1064 nm (NIR-II window) or a 808 nm (NIR-I window) laser. Zhai *et al.* developed gold hollow nanorods with adjustable aspect ratios *via* a templated method

with Se-doped Te nanorods, aided by L-cysteine (Fig. 2b).⁴⁷ They had an LSPR peak in the NIR-II window and showed an excellent effect for the chemo-photothermal combined therapy of cancer. A semimetal is a material with properties between a metal and a non-metal. Its appearance shows the properties of a metal, but its chemical properties exhibit both metallic and non-metallic characteristics. After a semi-metallic material is irradiated by infrared light, electron energy is rapidly excited from the valence band to the conduction band, which results in a change in electrical conductivity; thus, detecting infrared light. Bismuth (Bi) is a representative semimetal element with a small carrier effective mass, small band overlap energy, and long Fermi wavelength. When Bi semimetal is transformed into a semiconductor at the nanoscale due to nanoconfinement effects, the applications of Bi-based nanomaterials can be broadened to the biomedicine field as cancer therapeutic agents.³⁷ For example, Li *et al.* prepared ultra-small semimetal Bi nanoparticles with high photostability (Fig. 2c), excellent absorption ability, and outstanding photothermal conversion efficiency in the NIR-II window. Therefore, Bi nanoparticles are suitable for PAI-guided NIR-II window PTT cancer treatment.⁴⁸ Bi_2Se_3 has received considerable attention in the biomedical



field due to its low toxicity, outstanding photothermal conversion efficiency, excellent PA signal, and fast clearance. Kim *et al.* developed poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP)-capped Bi₂Se₃ nanoplates with strong absorption ability in the NIR-II window, which could be used as PA contrast agents in the NIR-II window for deep tissue PAI (Fig. 2d).⁴⁹ An *in vitro* experiment showed that an imaging depth up to 4.6 cm, and an *in vivo* experiment verified the clinical feasibility of Bi₂Se₃ nanoagents by PAI of the bladder, gastrointestinal tract, and sentinel lymph nodes in mice. Copper sulphide (CuS)-based nanoparticles are promising nanoagents due to their negligible toxicity, excellent NIR-II absorption, and efficient biodegradability. Li *et al.* developed CuS semiconductor nanoparticles for NIR-II window PAI of orthotopic brain tumors and rat lymph nodes in deep regions.²³ Yan *et al.* developed tumor targeted protein–CuS nanoparticles through bovine serum albumin (BSA) encapsulated cyclic arginine–glycine–aspartic acid (cRGD) peptide-conjugated CuS nanoparticles (CuS@BSA-RGD NPs) for PAI of orthotopic hepatocellular carcinoma with a high SNR (Fig. 2e).⁵⁰ Copper bismuth sulfide (Cu₃BiS₃) as a ternary semiconductor nanomaterial possesses broad and strong NIR-II absorption, and thus can be used for NIR-II PTT. Li *et al.* developed Cu₃BiS₃ nanorods *via* a feasible organic synthesis route, employing oleylamine as a stabilizing agent (Fig. 2f). PEGylated Cu₃BiS₃, with NIR-II window absorption and photothermal conversion efficiency of 40.7%, were used as PAI and computer tomography (CT) imaging agents and for imaging-guided NIR-II window PTT.⁵¹ Yang *et al.* prepared copper manganese sulfide (Cu₂MnS₂) nanoplates with negligible cytotoxicity, excellent photostability, outstanding photothermal conversion efficiency, and strong absorbance in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows *via* a facile one-pot solvothermal method (Fig. 2g). Furthermore, Cu₂MnS₂ nanoplates were used as nanoagents for imaging-guided NIR-II window PTT.⁵² Carbon-based nanomaterials as a type of PAI and PT nanoagent have attracted increasing attention due to their wide-band absorption in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows and their simple functionalization. For example, Wu *et al.* developed mesoporous carbon nanospheres (Meso-CNs, Fig. 2h) with a wide band and strong absorption across 300–1400 nm using a silica-assisted synthetic route, and further investigated their potential as a versatile platform for PAI and imaging-guided chemophotothermal therapy.⁵³ Silicon (Si), as a type of semiconductor material with LSPR, has attracted much attention for biomedical applications due to its unique optical and electronic properties, abundance, good biodegradability, and biocompatibility. For example, Li *et al.* designed non-stoichiometric hollow silicon oxide nanoparticles (H-SiO_x) *via* a magnesiothermic reduction strategy (Fig. 2i). H-SiO_x exhibited a broadband absorption across 200–1100 nm and a photothermal conversion efficiency of 48.6% in the NIR-II region; therefore, it can be used as a nanoagent for PA imaging-guided NIR-II PTT.⁵⁴

Organic materials

Organic molecular PAI contrast agents and PTT agents have received much attention in recent years, especially conjugated polymer (CP) NPs and semiconducting polymer nanoparticles

(SPN) that have a donor–acceptor (D–A) structure.^{55–61} Due to the strong electron-withdrawing abilities of both CP and SPN, their band gap can be further lowered, resulting in an absorption peak in the NIR-II window. Both CP and SPN have the merits of excellent photostability, good biocompatibility, outstanding imaging contrast, and high extinction coefficient with promising potential for PTT. For example, Pu *et al.* developed the first wide-band absorbing NIR-II PA organic imaging agent (SPN-II) for direct comparison between the NIR-I vs. NIR-II PA imaging effects and reported that NIR-II PAI offers the advantages of weaker background signals, higher SNR, and better imaging depth (Fig. 3a).⁶² Recently, Pu *et al.* designed a series of SPN-based metabolizable NIR-II nanoagents with high-performance *in vivo* for NIR-II window PAI of both deep brain vasculature and subcutaneous tumor at a low dosage (Fig. 3b).⁶³ In addition, Mei *et al.* developed thienoisindigo (TII)-based SPN (TSPNs) with enhanced absorption across 1000–1350 nm as a contrast agent for centimeter-deep NIR-II PA imaging (Fig. 3c and d).¹⁵ Parmanik *et al.* designed novel SPNs with absorption in the NIR-II window consisting of ultranarrow bandgap poly (thienoisindigo-*alt*-diketopyrrolepyrrole) (PIGD) with high structural planarity *via* the nanoprecipitation method. PIGD as a contrast agent possesses outstanding PA imaging capabilities, and thus can be used for deep-tissue contrast-enhancement in *in vivo* PAI in the NIR-II window (Fig. 3e). Organic semiconducting polymer nanoparticles (OSPNS) synthesized from semiconducting polymers (SP) are both prospective contrast agents and PTT agents with admirable optical properties for imaging-guided therapy.⁶⁴ Liu *et al.* designed NIR-II window D–A CP (P1) with excellent biocompatibility, good PA stability, and good imaging ability in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows *via* D–A selection (Fig. 3f).⁶⁵ This was the first time that organic molecules were prepared for high-performance NIR-II PAI of orthotopic brain tumors. Subsequently, Liu *et al.* developed CP NPs with NIR-II absorption *via* the nanoprecipitation method and subsequent chemical modification with cRGD (an active targeting ligand) for targeted PAI and imaging-guided PTT of brain tumors, penetrating the skull and scalp (Fig. 3g).⁶⁶ Bian *et al.* developed positively charged OSPNs (OSPNS⁺) consisting of a hydrophobic SP (Fig. 3h) core, an anionic interlayer, and a cationic shell.⁶⁷ The SP core with the strong electron-withdrawing ability of the thiadiazoloquin-oxaline unit served as the PA signal generator upon NIR-II and NIR-I light excitation and was prepared *via* a Pd-catalyzed Stille coupling reaction. Subsequently, water soluble nanoparticles (OSPNS[−]) with a large negative surface charge were prepared by encapsulating amphiphilic poly(styrene maleic anhydride) (PSMA) in the SP core *via* nanoprecipitation. Poly(L-lysine) (PLL) was strongly attracted onto the OSPNS[−] surface through electrostatic interactions to obtain cationic nanoparticles (OSPNS⁺). The NIR-II window absorptive OSPN⁺ nanoprobe was employed for PA imaging and tracking of stem cells (Fig. 3i).

Other nanocomposites

In addition to the aforementioned nanoagents, researchers have also developed numerous other nanomaterial-based NIR-II window PA imaging contrast agents and PTT agents, such as






Fig. 3 (a) Chemical structure of SP2 and SP1, and illustration of the fabrication of SPN-II. (b) Chemical structures of PBBT-OT, PBBT-PT, and PBBT-DT, as well as the preparation route of NIR-II PA SPNs. (c) Chemical structure of TII-TEG. (d) Proposed mechanism of TSPN prepared by nanoprecipitation. (e) Proposed mechanism of NIR-II absorbing NPs. (f) Preparation of P1 and its self-assembly via nanoprecipitation. (g) Schematic illustration of the fabrication of P1RGD nanoparticles (NPs) and their application in brain tumor treatment. (h) Chemical structure of SP. (i) Schematic illustration of the synthetic route of OSPNs⁺ and their *in vivo* application. (f) was reproduced from ref. 65 with permission from the Royal Society Chemistry, Copyright 2017, (g) was reproduced from ref. 66 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018, (a) was reproduced from ref. 62 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2017, (b) was reproduced from ref. 63 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2019, (c and d) were reproduced from ref. 15 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2017, (e) was reproduced from ref. 68 with permission from the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, Copyright 2019 and (h and i) were reproduced from ref. 67 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018.

perfluorocarbon nanodroplets,²⁴ phosphorus phthalocyanine,²⁵ and two-dimensional (2D) materials.⁶⁹ MXenes, consisting of transition metal carbides and either carbonitrides or nitride, have received much attention for biomedical applications due to their excellent biocompatibility, natural hydrophilicity, enhanced absorption in the NIR window, and ready functionalization. For example, Zhang *et al.* developed 2D vanadium carbide quantum dots (V₂C QDs, Fig. 4a) with enhanced photothermal effect and PA imaging contrast in the NIR-II window as well as MR imaging ability *via* a liquid exfoliation and hydrothermal strategy.⁶⁹ A multifunctional theranostic platform (V₂C-TAT@Ex-RGD) with long circulation time, excellent biocompatibility, and endosomal escape ability was constructed, through V₂C QDs modified with cell nucleus-target TAT peptides, which was packaged into endogenous exosomes (Ex) with RGD modification (Fig. 4b). The nanoagents could target cells and enter the nucleus to achieve low

temperature PTT with high cancer destruction efficiency. TiO₂ with a wide bandgap of 3.2 eV is a semiconductor nanomaterial with good biomedical application prospects due to its excellent biocompatibility. However, semiconductors with such a wide bandgap can only absorb ultraviolet and visible light rather than NIR light, and therefore it is difficult to use TiO₂ as an NIR-responsive nanoagent. However, its absorption band can be effectively adjusted to the NIR region through the Nb-doping method.⁷⁰ An Nb-doped TiO₂ nanoagent could convert light energy into heat under the illumination of a 1064 nm laser; thus, PTT can be realized. WO_{3-x} nanostructures with strong NIR absorption rooted in the LSPR of free electrons have been used as PTT agents;⁷¹ however, their PTT in the NIR-II window has rarely been developed due to insufficient photothermal conversion efficiency. The NIR absorption and photothermal conversion efficiencies can be enhanced by doping heterogeneous atoms to






Fig. 4 (a) TEM images of V_2C QDs, (b) synthetic route of the V_2C -TAT@Ex-RGD, and multimodal imaging-guided NIR-II photothermal therapy, (c) TEM image of TONW NRs, (d) proposed mechanism of the applications of PEG-TONW NRs, (e) TEM image of $Ti_3C_2@Au$ nanocomposites, and (f) schematic illustration of the synthetic route and dual-modal imaging-guided cancer therapy. (a and b) were reproduced from ref. 69 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2019, (c and d) were reproduced from ref. 70 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2019 and (e and f) were reproduced from ref. 73 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2019.

construct M_xWO_3 . For example, Zhang *et al.* designed 2D ultrathin tellurium oxide/ammonium tungsten bronze nano-ribbons (TONW NRs, Fig. 4c) with enhanced PA imaging ability and advanced photothermal conversion efficiency in the NIR-II window. They used a liquid–liquid interface-mediated strategy for CT and PA imaging and imaging-guided NIR-II PTT cancer treatment (Fig. 4d).⁷² Cheng *et al.* developed multifunctional 2D nanocomposites $Ti_3C_2@Au$ (Fig. 4e) with a core–shell structure *via* a seed-growth strategy.⁷³ $Ti_3C_2@Au$ nanocomposites have the merits of improved stability and biocompatibility, enhanced optical absorbance ability in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows, as well as an outstanding optical performance and advanced X-ray attenuation capability. Therefore, they can be used as nanoagents for CT and PA dual-modal imaging-guided NIR-II PTT and photothermal radiotherapy (Fig. 4f).

Different types of PA imaging nanoagents possess different advantages and disadvantages. Inorganic materials such as metallic nanomaterials have the advantages of facily adjustable biochemical and physiochemical properties and excellent biocompatibility, and disadvantages of poor biodegradability. 2D nanomaterials such as carbon-based or transition-metal chalcogenides/MXene-based nanomaterials have the merits of

good photothermal stability, high drug-loading content and drawbacks of needing surface modification and poor biodegradability. Organic nanomaterials have the advantages of excellent optical properties and biocompatibility, low toxicity, high photostability, fast clearance, and controllable size, and disadvantages of short *in vivo* circulation time, poor aqueous solubility and unknown biodegradation behaviour.

Photoacoustic imaging in the second near-infrared window

Comparison of photoacoustic imaging effects between first and second near-infrared window

The NIR-II window possesses intrinsic merits of deeper tissue penetration and higher MPE due to decreased tissue scattering and decreased energy of long wavelength photons, respectively. Compared to the NIR-I window, NIR-II light has been reported to offer excellent spatial resolution and enhanced SBR for PA imaging.^{74,75} For example, Yuan *et al.* designed CuS NPs with a broadband absorption ranging from 600 to 1350 nm (Fig. 5a), which acted as excellent contrast agents for multispectral PAI.⁷⁶ In a phantom experiment, an agar gel phantom containing CuS NPs was buried in chicken breast tissues at different depths, and the penetration depths of the NIR-I and NIR-II windows by PA imaging were measured with a laser density of 5 mJ cm^{-2} . Yuan *et al.* reported that the PA signal intensity of CuS decreased with an increase in depth in both the NIR-II and NIR-I regions. For larger SNR, stronger imaging contrast and higher penetration depths upon illumination with a 1050 nm laser were found compared to that of a 700 nm laser. In addition, when CuS NPs were intravenously injected into tumor-bearing mice in an *in vivo* experiment, the PA signal gradually increased in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows. Especially in the NIR-II window, the signal intensity at 5 h post-injection was about two times of that at pre-injection (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, the SNR in the NIR-II window was higher than that in the NIR-I window (Fig. 5c). Pu *et al.* developed the first SPN-based PA contrast agent with broadband absorption in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows,⁶² encapsulating SP in an amphiphilic copolymer (PEG-*b*-PPG-*b*-PEG) (Fig. 3c) to form SPN *via* a self-assembly approach. Compared to SP1, with a maximum absorption at 744 nm, SP2 with thiazoloquinoxaline showed more powerful electron-withdrawing ability; thus, further reducing the band gap and yielding the maximum absorption peak at 1253 nm in the NIR-II window (Fig. 5d). Thus, SP2 can function as a PA contrast agent for biomedical imaging in both the NIR-I and NIR-II regions. Due to the higher MPE of a 1064 nm laser compared to a 750 nm laser, the imaging depth was deeper when the former was used. Up to 3 cm of tissue imaging depth at both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows could be achieved with a laser of 5.5 mJ cm^{-2} , while an imaging depth of 4 and 5 cm at NIR-II window was also achieved when using a laser power density of 20 mJ cm^{-2} (Fig. 5e). In addition, the SBR for NIR-II imaging was higher than that for NIR-I imaging for all depths (Fig. 4f). An *in vivo* experiment of brain imaging in living






Fig. 5 (a) Absorption spectra of CuS nanoparticles, (b) *in vivo* PA tumor imaging, (c) SNR of tumor images in the NIR-I and NIR-II windows, (d) absorption spectra of SPN-I and SPN-II, (e) PA images of an agar gel phantom containing SPN-II solutions at different depths, (f) SNR at 1064 or 750 nm as a function of chicken breast tissue depth, (g) PA images of brain vasculature at different wavelengths and (h) SNR of the NIR-I and NIR-II windows. (a–c) were reproduced from ref. 76 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018 and (d–h) were reproduced from ref. 62 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2017.

rats exhibited a 1.5-fold higher SNR for the NIR-II window than that of NIR-I PA imaging (Fig. 5g and h).

Subcutaneous tumor imaging

Subcutaneous tumor imaging is one of the most commonly used experimental methods for biomedical application. For example, Mei *et al.* developed thienoisindigo (TII)-based SPNs (TSNPs) with a broadband absorption from 600–1800 nm (Fig. 6a) and enhanced PA signals in the NIR-II window.¹⁵ The TSNPs consisted of TII-based SP-attached triethylene glycol chains (Fig. 3e and f). The as-prepared TSNPs exhibited outstanding PA imaging ability in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows and significantly minimized the background signals of endogenous chromophores in the NIR-II window (Fig. 6b and c). In response to illumination with a 1064 nm laser and at contrast agent concentrations as low as $40 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, the imaging depth of chicken-breast tissue with a TSNP-containing tube exceeded 5 cm. Therefore, TSNP, with a PA imaging ability of several centimeter depth, can be used as a suitable NIR-II contrast agent. Compared to the NIR-I window, the PA intensity of the biological tissue itself in the NIR-II region is considerably lower. For skin tissue without injection of TSNP-containing contrast agent, only a negligible PA signal was recorded at 1100 nm and 1300 nm (NIR-II window), while a higher PA signal intensity was observed at 800 nm (NIR-I window). Additionally, the PA signal was enhanced approximately 7.3- and 10.7-fold at 1100 nm and 1300 nm, respectively, following injection of 50 μL of $40 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ TSNP solution, compared to the PA signal of skin tissue without contrast agent. *In vivo* PA imaging of tumor-bearing mice was performed. Without TSNP injection, the strong PA signal from the tumor

tissue appeared only at 800 nm and 1000 nm due to the abundance of blood vessels around the tumor. The PA signal was improved by about 7.0- and 13.3-fold at 1100 nm and 1300 nm, respectively, following intratumoral injection of 50 μL of $40 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ TSNP solution compared to the PA signal of the tumor without contrast agent (Fig. 6d). NIR-II PA contrast agents and photothermal agents for application in the tumor microenvironment have rarely been reported. Hypoxia is a typical characteristic of tumors, which threatens physiological function; thus, imaging of hypoxia can be employed as a predictor of cancer and can guide the therapeutic regimen. Cai *et al.* designed a hypoxia-responsive and nitroreductase enzyme-responsive single molecule nanoagent for NIR-II window PA tumor imaging with high contrast and hypoxia-triggered PTT.⁷⁷ They achieved higher tissue penetration depth and increased accuracy of the imaging of the boundary and position of tumors. Importantly, the hypoxia-triggered PTT of the nanoagent showed excellent phototherapeutic efficacy with rapid temperature increase and led to the ablation of tumors without recurrence. Through abnormal energy metabolism and self-regulation of specific proteins, tumor cells form and maintain an extracellular acidic microenvironment that is unsuitable for the survival of normal cells, but ensures the occurrence, proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of tumor cells. The acidic environment created by the tumor is of great use for the growth of the tumor. It can change the function of macrophages, promote tumor growth, inhibit the activity of killer T cells, and create an environment that is free from immune system agents, all of which benefit cancer cells.⁷⁸ Therefore, it is very meaningful to design PA probes that have a specific response to the acidic






Fig. 6 (a) UV-vis-NIR absorption spectrum of TSPN, (b) spectra of water, hemoglobin (Hb), olive oil (lipid), and TSPNs, (c) PA images of blood, TSPNs, and olive oil in plastic tubes at different laser wavelengths, (d) *in vivo* PA/US tumor imaging of TSPN, (e) proposed mechanism of CTN preparation, degradation, and *in vivo* PA imaging and (f) *in vivo* PA tumor images in the NIR-II window. (a–d) were reproduced from ref. 15 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2017 and (e and f) were reproduced from ref. 79 with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright 2018.

environment of tumors. For example, Xing *et al.* developed a novel pH-sensitive NIR-II window organic charge-transfer nano-complex (CTN) PA probe that is biodegradable under physiological conditions through a self-assembly method using 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) and its dication structure (TMB²⁺). The CTN probe responded specifically to a variation in acidity and permitted the sensitive and noninvasive visualization of the tumor-bearing mice acidic environment with a high SNR (Fig. 6e).⁷⁹ *In vivo* PA imaging of tumor-bearing mice with intratumoral injection of 200 μL of 1 mg mL⁻¹ CNT was compared to *in vivo* PA imaging of control mice with subcutaneous injection of the same dosage CNT. Both the control and tumor-bearing mice only showed background signals at pre-injection due to tissue absorption in the NIR-II window. The PA signal in the tumor increased significantly with time due to the response of the CTN probe in the acidic tumor environment. After 4 h, a very distinct difference in PA signal was found between normal tissue and tumor. At 24 h post-injection, the tumor-bearing mice still exhibited marked PA signals; however, the control mice showed no PA signal because CNT could be degraded at physiological pH levels (Fig. 6f).

Deep brain vasculature imaging

The brain is the most important organ of living organisms, and if disease occurs here, the consequences will be very severe. Brain vascular imaging technologies such as CT and MRI have been developed in simple and non-invasive methods for the

diagnosis of various intracranial vascular diseases. These methods offer the advantages of simple examination method, no special preparation required, short scanning time, and high imaging resolution. Furthermore, lesions can be suitably displayed *via* many types of image post-processing technologies. However, research on the PA imaging of brain vasculature is still being developed. Pramanik *et al.* developed PIGD with a wide-band absorption band ranging from 600 nm to 1200 nm (Fig. 7a) as an NIR-II contrast agent for the PA imaging of rat brain vasculature *in vivo*.⁶⁸ By burying the PIGD probe in chicken-breast tissue, a PA imaging depth of approximately 5 cm could be achieved upon irradiation with a 1064 nm laser. Inspired by this, an *in vivo* brain vasculature imaging experiment was conducted, where the rat brain vascular was imaged *via* an PA imaging system in the NIR-II window following injection of 0.25 mL of 2 mg mL⁻¹ PIGD probe. The imaging contrast of the brain vascular was poor because the blood at 1064 nm only possessed low absorption. However, the PA signal and SNR gradually increased over time after injection, reaching a peak at 70 min (Fig. 7b and c). As the main organ of the central nervous system, the brain plays an important role in the study of neuron-related pathology. However, due to the strong scattering caused by the skull, the imaging of the brain with optical contrast agents remains challenging. However, the light scattering of the skull in the NIR-II window is greatly reduced; therefore, NIR-II PAI provides a promising solution to overcome






Fig. 7 (a) Absorption spectrum of PIGD, (b) *in vivo* PA rat brain vasculature imaging, (c) PA spectra and SNR as a function of post-injection time, (d) proposed mechanism of NIR-II PA imaging of tumor and brain as well as *in vivo* biodegradation of SPNs, (e) normalized absorption spectra of SPN-PT, SPN-OT, and SPN-DT, (f) TEM images and photographs of a series of SPNs, (g) PA amplitude of muscle, skin, brain cortex, and blood, (h) PA images of brain vasculature at 1064 nm and (i) quantification of PA signal of blood vessels in panel (h). (a–c) were reproduced from ref. 68 with permission from the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers, Copyright 2018; (d–i) were reproduced from ref. 63 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2019.

this problem. For example, Pu *et al.* designed a series of metabolizable SPN-based NIR-II PA probes for the imaging of both deep brain vasculature and subcutaneous tumors (Fig. 7d).⁶³ The SPN-based nanoprobe (named SPN-PT, SPN-OT, and SPN-DT) with a spherical morphology (Fig. 7f) had a wide-band absorption ranging from 700 nm to 1350 nm (Fig. 7e). Among them, the photothermal conversion efficiencies of SPN-PT was highest (53%). Therefore, SPN-PT as a probe was used in subsequent *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. To emphasize the potential merits of NIR-II PAI over NIR-I PAI, the PA amplitude of the brain cortex, fresh blood, muscles, and skin was tested (Fig. 7g). The PA amplitude of biological tissues, including the above-mentioned four categories in the NIR-II region, showed a marked decrease compared to that of the NIR-I window. The PA images of subcutaneous tumors at different time points in the NIR-II window after intravenous injection of 200 μL of 250 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ SPN-PT probe showed that the PA signal intensities in the tumor areas were gradually

enhanced over time, reaching the maximum at 8 h post-injection. Subsequently, NIR-II PA images of deep brain vasculature were obtained, and the PA signal intensities of the brain vasculature immediately enhanced, resulting in an excellent contrast between background parenchyma and brain vasculature. The superior sagittal sinus (i in Fig. 7h), transverse sinus (ii in Fig. 7h), vascular branches (iii in Fig. 7h), and middle cerebral arteries (iv in Fig. 7h) could be clearly observed at 2 min post-injection. Furthermore, the PA signal still remained visible at 3 h post-injection due to the long blood circulation of SPN-PT (Fig. 7e).

Orthotopic tumor imaging

The NIR-II PA imaging of deep orthotopic tumors has gradually attracted attention. For example, Zhang *et al.* designed ultrasmall tumor-targeted protein–CuS nanoagents (CuS@BSA-RGD) with low cytotoxicity, efficient cellular uptake, and negligible hemolysis, which was the first time that PA imaging of orthotopic






Fig. 8 (a) UV-vis-NIR absorption of CuS@BSA-RGD NPs, (b) *in vivo* orthotopic liver cancer photoacoustic imaging of CuS@BSA-RGD NPs, (c) absorption spectra of P1 NPs, (d) photograph of tumor-bearing mice, (e) PA scanning of brain of tumor-bearing mice at different excitation wavelengths, (f) PA background signal intensity of the mouse brain at different wavelengths, (g) PA images of the brain tumor at both pre- and post-injection, (h) absorption spectrum of P1 NPs and P1RGD NPs, (i) *in vivo* PA imaging of the subcutaneous U87 tumor model at different time points, (j) PA imaging of a mouse brain tumor through the scalp and skull and (k) quantitative results of brain tumor PA intensity. (a and b) were reproduced from ref. 50 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2019, (c–g) were reproduced from ref. 65 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, Copyright 2017 and (h–k) were reproduced from ref. 66 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018.

hepatocellular carcinoma was used and achieved excellent SNR.⁵⁰ The CuS@BSA-RGD NPs with good dispersibility and stability had a wide-band absorption ranging from 800 nm to 1350 nm (Fig. 8a). An *in vitro* experiment showed that the CuS@BSA-RGD NPs exhibited lower background noise and higher SNR due to negligible tissue absorption and scattering in the NIR-II window. PA images of orthotopic hepatocellular carcinoma at different time points in the NIR-II window after intravenous injection of 100 μ L of 1 mg mL⁻¹ CuS@BSA-RGD probe showed a low PA amplitude in the abdominal and thorax at pre-injection because blood vessels and intestine surround the liver. After injection, the PA signal of the orthotopic hepatocellular carcinoma gradually enhanced and reached a maximal value at 24 h post-injection due to target accumulation of CuS@BSA-RGD (Fig. 8b). In addition, Li *et al.* developed a CuS nanoagent that can be used for PA imaging of deep orthotopic brain tumors.²³ The CP nanoparticles possess excellent biocompatibility, high imaging contrast, outstanding photostability, and large extinction coefficients. Therefore, they can be used for NIR-II PA imaging of orthotopic brain tumors. For example, Liu *et al.* developed a NIR-II window D–A CP (P1) with excellent biocompatibility, good PA stability, wide-band absorption, and imaging ability in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows through D–A selection (Fig. 8c). This was successfully applied to NIR-II PA imaging of an orthotopic brain tumor.⁶⁵ To select the optimal imaging laser wavelength, PA imaging of U87

tumor-bearing mice with different wavelengths was performed (Fig. 8d). The results showed that PA imaging of brain tumors at 1064 nm lowered the background interference (Fig. 8e and f). Encouraged by the admirable 1064 nm PA imaging ability of P1 NPs, *in vivo* NIR-II PA imaging of an orthotopic brain tumor was assessed. Since skin and skull tissue possess ultra-low extinction coefficients at 1064 nm, an extremely low PA background signal was observed. However, no signal of the brain tumor was detected prior to P1 probe injection. An enhanced PA signal surrounding the skin and skull was observed at 1 h post-injection, suggesting intravascular circulation of the P1 probe. The PA signal of brain tumor could be clearly observed at 2 h post-injection and the imaging depth of the brain tumor region rapidly increased over time. A strong PA signal was detected at an imaging depth of up to 3.4 mm after 24 h injection, and the PA signal at 24 h post-injection was improved by about 94-fold compared to before injection (Fig. 8g). The results successfully illustrated that the P1 probe could pass through the blood brain barrier and accumulate in the brain tumor. Furthermore, Liu *et al.* designed CP NPs (P1) with wide-band absorption in both the NIR-I and the NIR-II windows (Fig. 8h) *via* the nanoprecipitation route and subsequent functionalization with cRGD (P1RGD) for targeted PAI and imaging-guided PTT of orthotopic brain tumors.⁶⁶ In the study of subcutaneous tumor models, the PA signal of the P1RGD probe group was approximately 2-fold higher than that of the P1 probe group 3 h



after injection. Due to the active targeting triggered by RGD, the PA signal reached a peak value and the signal/background ratio of P1RGD probe group was about 1.85-fold that of the P1 probe group after 24 h injection (Fig. 8i). In the study of orthotopic brain tumor models, prior to injection, the PA background signals from the skull, adjacent to the tumor, blood, and tissue around the tumor were not detected, indicating the superiority of the 1064 nm laser for PA imaging of tumor. Strong PA signals surrounded the skin and skull at 3 h post-injection, suggesting intravascular circulation of the P1 contrast agent. Consequently, the PA signal reached maximum both in the P1RGD group and P1 group and imaging depths of up to 2.8 and 3.2 mm were achieved, respectively, at 24 h post-injection. The signal/background ratio of the P1RGD group was approximately 1.5-fold that of the P1 group due to the active brain-tumor targeting by the c-RGD NPs.

Stem cell imaging

Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) have been widely studied in the biomedical field due to their pluripotency. Currently, fluorescence imaging, positron emission tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging are commonly used for stem cell imaging and tracking; however, they have disadvantages. PAI is a living body optical imaging technique with higher imaging depth and spatial resolution. However, the low biological safety of PA contrast agents and strong scattering in the NIR-I imaging limit its application in imaging and tracking of stem cells. PAI in the NIR-II window achieves deeper tissue penetration and higher MPE, which are beneficial for stem cell imaging and tracking. Bian *et al.* developed a OSPN⁺ nanoprobe with a spherical structure (Fig. 9a)

and reported two almost identical absorption intensity peaks at 1025 and 916 nm (Fig. 9b) for PA imaging and tracking of stem cells.⁶⁷ The OSPN⁺ nanoprobe had excellent stability and its PA intensity was almost invariable even after irradiation with 24 000 laser pulses (Fig. 9c). The OSPN⁺ nanoprobe possesses excellent labeling efficacy due to the interactions between the negatively charged cell membrane and the positively charged nanoprobe. Consequently, the PA intensities of the OSPN⁺-labeled cell pellets was about 3-fold higher than that of the OSPNs⁻-labeled cell pellets (Fig. 9c and d). The superiority of PA imaging in the NIR-II window relative to the NIR-I window was tested in a chicken breast tissue model. As shown in Fig. 9f, the OSPNs⁺ achieved better imaging capacity and a higher SNR (Fig. 9g) in the NIR-II window compared to the NIR-I window. *In vivo* studies showed that the NIR-II PAI of OSPN⁺-labeled hMSCs increased by 40.6 and 21.7 times after subcutaneous and brain transplantation, respectively, compared to the unlabeled control group (Fig. 9h and i). In addition, PA imaging in the NIR-II window showed a higher SNR than that in the NIR-I region (1.38 times) in deep brain tissue (Fig. 9i), which further corroborates its superiority for the labeling and tracking of stem cells.

Photothermal therapy in the second near-infrared window

PTT is a potential alternative or adjunct to traditional cancer therapy. PTT is a laser irradiation light absorbing technique that kills cancer cells and has recently entered the clinical trial phase. The factors that affect the photothermal ablation of solid tumors are mainly the absorption of the PTT agent in the NIR region and the penetration depth of the tumor tissue.^{65,80–84} Currently, PTT in the NIR-II window has received increasing




Fig. 9 (a) TEM image of OSPNs⁺ nanoparticles, (b) absorption spectra of OSPNs⁺, (c) PA signal intensity of the OSPNs⁺ under laser excitation at different wavelengths, (d) proposed mechanism of the hMSCs incubated with OSPNs⁺, followed by concentration for PA imaging, (e) PAI of the collected hMSCs treated by OSPNs⁺ or OSPNs⁻, (f) comparison of PAI in the NIR-I and NIR-II windows at different imaging depths, (g) SNR of PAI as a function of PA imaging depth, (h) combined ultrasound and PAI of subcutaneously transplanted unlabeled or OSPNs⁺-labeled hMSCs, and (i) merged ultrasound and PAI and SNR of brain transplanted unlabeled or OSPNs⁺-labeled hMSCs. (a–i) were reproduced from ref. 67 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018.



attention due to its merits of deep penetration ability, high MPE, and excellent ablation of tumor.

Comparison of photothermal therapy effects between first and second near-infrared windows

NIR-II PTT has attracted increasing attention due to merits of deep tissue penetration and high MPE. For example, Duan *et al.* developed monodispersed and compact Au plasmonic blackbodies (AuPBs) with a hyperbranched structure *via* a one-pot, seedless, and surfactant-free method using mussel-inspired dopamine (Fig. 10a).¹⁶ The plasmonic coupling between Au branches led to a uniform and strong absorption from 400 nm to 1350 nm. The absorption of AuPBs provided more than 80%

photothermal efficiency and a closely matched photothermal ability in both the NIR-II and NIR-I windows, indicating it is an excellent contrast agent for PA imaging-guided PTT. Several *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies confirmed that the most remarkable benefit of NIR-II imaging-guided PTT is the higher MPE of the NIR-II laser (Fig. 10b–g). A subcutaneous 4T1 mouse tumor model was used to evaluate the PTT ability. Tumor-bearing mice were covered with 5 mm of chicken breast tissue followed by injection of 100 μL of 100 $\mu\text{L mL}^{-1}$ an AuPB probe. The mice were then exposed to light illumination of a MPE dose (Fig. 10d). As shown in Fig. 10e, only the tumor irradiated with the 1064 nm laser at AuPBs post-injection showed tumor growth, while the tumor irradiated with the 808 nm laser




Fig. 10 (a) Proposed mechanism of the synthesis of AuPBs, (b) comparative photothermal therapy of AuPBs under MPE of NIR-I and NIR-II illumination, (c) photothermal performance of 200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ AuPB dispersion insulated by chicken breast tissue of 10 mm thickness, (d) proposed mechanism of *in vivo* PTT on tumor-bearing mice covered by 0.5 cm of tissue after laser irradiation, (e) data of different groups of mice after treatment, (f) *in vivo* PAI of tumor region at 1064 nm irradiation at different time points, (g) relative tumor volume, (h) chemical structure of SPN-II, (i) absorption spectra of SPN-II, (j) proposed mechanism of deep-tissue photothermal study, (k) temperature change of 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ SPN-II solution at different depths of chicken breast tissue *via* different laser irradiations, (l) proposed mechanism of deep tissue PTT and (m) tumor growth curves of mice after treatment at different conditions. (a–g) were reproduced from ref. 16 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018 and (h–m) were reproduced from ref. 85 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018.



showed no obvious therapeutic effect. This result shows that the efficacy of NIR-II-mediated PTT is superior to that of NIR-I-mediated PTT. In addition, the accumulation of AuPBs at the tumor site *via* intravenous injection led to a 4.7-fold higher PA intensity in the tumor region compared to that of the tumor background at 24 h post-injection (Fig. 10f). Fig. 10g shows that PA imaging-guided NIR-II PTT achieved an extremely significant therapeutic effect. To investigate the superiority of the NIR-II laser over NIR-I laser in terms of tumor PTT, Pu *et al.* developed a semiconducting copolymer SPN_{I-II} with wide-band absorption in both NIR-I and NIR-II windows *via* the nanoprecipitation method with PEG-*b*-PPG-*b*-PEG (Fig. 10h and i).⁸⁵ To compare the PTT abilities between the NIR-I and NIR-II windows, SPN_{I-II} was buried in chicken breast tissue of different thicknesses followed by irradiation at 808 or 1064 nm within the permitted laser power density (Fig. 10j). The temperature variation of SPN_{I-II} illuminated at 1064 nm was significantly superior to that illuminated at 808 nm at the same tissue depths at their respective MPE limit, respectively (Fig. 10k). The deep-tissue tumor model that was covered with 5 mm chicken breast tissue, intratumorally injected SPN_{I-II}, and treated with 1064 nm laser illumination produced higher heat and wholly ablated tumors, while the 808 nm laser did not cause these results (Fig. 10l and m).

In summary, the effect of PTT in the NIR-II window is much better than that of the NIR-I window.

Photoacoustic imaging-guided second near-infrared window photothermal therapy

NIR light-induced PTT has received increasing attention in recent years.^{86–88} The tissue penetration depth of the NIR-II window has been identified to be stronger than that of the NIR-I window. Recently, imaging-guided second near-infrared window photothermal therapy has been strongly developed.^{26,47,48,52,69,89–92} For example, Cheng *et al.* grafted gold onto the surface of Ti₃C₂ nanosheets, thus effectively improving its light absorption in both the NIR-I and NIR-II windows (Fig. 11a).⁷³ The as-prepared Ti₃C₂@Au nanocomposites with enhanced optical absorption in the NIR-II window and excellent X-ray attenuation capabilities were used as nanoagents for PA and CT dual-modal imaging-guided NIR-II PTT. Furthermore, the mild PT effect of the Ti₃C₂@Au nanocomposites in the NIR-II region could improve tumor oxygenation, which remarkably increased radiotherapy (Fig. 11b–d). Li *et al.* reported that peptide (LyP-1)-labeled bismuth (Bi) nanoparticles (Bi-LyP-1 NPs) exhibited high tumor accumulation and cellular uptake abilities as well as enhanced absorption in the NIR-II window. Therefore, they were employed




Fig. 11 (a) UV-vis-NIR spectra of Ti₃C₂@Au-PEG, (b) PA images of the tumor at different time points, (c) IR thermal images, (d) tumor volume growth curves of the mice after various treatments, (e) schematic illustration of multifunctional Bi NPs, (f) PA images of tumors after administration of Bi-LyP-1 NPs, (g) corresponding temperature variation of tumors in IR imaging, (h) photographs of tumors in each group after 14 days, (i) proposed mechanism of the preparation of FP NRs and their application, (j) *in vivo* thermal imaging and (k) tumor volume curve of tumor-bearing mice. (a–d) were reproduced from ref. 73 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2019, (e–f) were reproduced from ref. 48 with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2017 and (i–k) were reproduced from ref. 93 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018.



for both dual-modal PA and CT imaging-guided synergistic PTT and tumor radiotherapy (Fig. 11e).⁴⁸ The PA signal intensity at 8 h post-injection of Bi-LyP-1 NPs was about 3.6-fold higher than that before injection (Fig. 11f), suggesting Bi nanoparticles are excellent contrast agents with great potential for PA imaging. For NIR-II PTT and radiotherapy *in vivo*, tumor-bearing mice were intravenously injected with 200 μL of 3 mg mL⁻¹ of Bi-LyP-1 NPs and the mice were then irradiated with a 1064 nm laser at 0.6 W cm⁻². Consequently, the temperature of the tumors instantly increased to 45 °C (Fig. 11g), indicating the Bi-LyP-1 NPs are promising PT agents with positive synergistic PTT and radiotherapy effects on inhibiting tumor growth (Fig. 11h). Liu *et al.* developed ferrous phosphide nanorods (FP NRs) with a photothermal conversion efficiency of up to 56.6% and ultrasound- and PT-enhanced Fenton reaction properties in the NIR-II window, which exhibited significant synergistic therapeutic abilities. In addition, the FP NRs could be employed as promising PAI and magnetic resonance imaging agents due to their excellent photothermal conversion efficiency and high transverse relaxivity (Fig. 11i). Therefore, FP NPs were used as diagnostic agents for synergetic PTT and PT-enhanced chemodynamic therapy (Fig. 11j and k).⁹³

NIR-II photoacoustic imaging-guided NIR-II window photothermal therapy

Presently, there are very few reports of NIR-II PA imaging-guided PTT in the NIR-II window due to the lack of desirable nanomaterials and advanced NIR-II window PA imaging equipment. Very recently, Chen *et al.* developed a multifunctional

theranostic composite nanocatalyst by conjugating Fe₃O₄-modified polypyrrole (PPy) nanoparticles with glucose oxidase (GOD) to achieve NIR-II PA/MR imaging-guided photothermal and photothermal-enhanced cancer-specific sequential nanocatalytic chemodynamic combination therapy (Fig. 12a),⁸⁴ which illuminates the path of NIR-II PA imaging-guided NIR-II PTT. The nanoagent (Fe₃O₄@PPy@GOD) with a broadband absorption ranging from the NIR-I to NIR-II region and excellent magnetic properties served as contrast agents for MR and PA imaging in both NIR-I and NIR-II windows. As shown in Fig. 5b and c, *in vitro* PA and MR imaging exhibited a linear relationship between concentration of sample and signal intensities. The *in vivo* assay showed the PA intensities at NIR-I or NIR-II window in the tumor site were enhanced gradually with time post-injection of the nanoagent, implying the time-dependent distribution of the Fe₃O₄@PPy@GOD nanoagent in the tumor site. In addition, before injection, the signal in the NIR-I window in the tumor site was stronger than that in the NIR-II window because the absorption of blood in the NIR-II window was minimal (Fig. 5b). NIR-II PA imaging combined with other molecular imaging techniques forms multi-modal molecular imaging, which overcomes the shortcomings of a single imaging mode, realizes complementary advantages, and widens the application range of molecular imaging technology. Herein, MR imaging showed that the intravenous injection of Fe₃O₄@PPy@GOD nanoagents could achieve a more uniform distribution in the whole tumor region compared to intratumoral injection (Fig. 5c). Encouraged by the good imaging quality, PTT in both NIR-I and NIR-II windows was performed, which showed a better treatment effect with NIR-II laser irradiation (Fig. 5d-f).




Fig. 12 (a) Schematic illustration of NIR-II PA/MR imaging-guided NIR-II PTT combination PTT, (b) PA imaging in both NIR-I and NIR-II windows, (c) MR imaging *in vitro* and *in vivo*, (d) IR thermal images and (e) corresponding temperature changes and (f) time-dependent relative tumor volume. (a–f) were reproduced from ref. 84 with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2018.



Prospects and challenges

The latest bioimaging techniques indicate that the NIR-II laser has become a promising alternative to the more commonly used NIR-I window. It has been reported that biological tissues such as deoxyhemoglobin, oxyhemoglobin, skin, and fat have lower extinction coefficients in the NIR-II window, resulting in a significant reduction in the interaction between these tissue components and longer wavelength photons. Consequently, the absorption and scattering of light in the NIR-II region can be significantly reduced. In addition, PTT using NIR-II light with longer wavelengths exhibit enhanced SBR, high MPE and highly efficient ablation of solid tumors, and exerts minimal side-effects for normal tissue. Encouraged by the numerous merits of NIR-II light, recently, NIR-II PAI and imaging-guided NIR-II PTT have been rapidly developed.

Although PAI and PTT offer significant advantages in the NIR-II window, considerable work is still necessary to meet future challenges in the field of biomedicine. Firstly, designing NIR-II PAI contrast agents or PT agents for their further application in fundamental and clinical research requires further development. Secondly, overcoming biological safety problems such as toxicity, biocompatibility, and pharmacokinetics of NIR-II nanoagents is a top priority. Finally, both the further development and optimization of NIR-II PAI and PT instruments are required for the improvement of imaging quality and photothermal effect.

In summary, NIR-II PAI and PTT offer pronounced prospects for development; however, improving their imaging quality and PT effect as well as broadening their application scope to meet the requirements of life sciences and medical research still remains urgent issues. It has been suggested that with the continuous progress of nanoscience and the rapid development of advanced instruments, these problems will be resolved in the near future.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) projects (Grant number: 81871384, 81771869, 81571708, 81501506 and 21874024), the Research Fund of Science and Technology Department of Jilin Province (Grant number: 20160101001JC), the Norman Bethune Program of Jilin University (Grant number: 2015219) and the Hygiene Specific Subjects of Jilin Province (Grant number: 2018SCZ039).

Notes and references

- Q. Fu, R. Zhu, J. Song, H. Yang and X. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1805875.
- K. S. Valluru and J. K. Willmann, *Ultrasonography*, 2016, **35**, 267–280.
- S. Wang, J. Lin, T. Wang, X. Chen and P. Huang, *Theranostics*, 2016, **6**, 2394–2413.
- Y. Jiang and K. Pu, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1700710.
- X. L. Dean-Ben, S. Gottschalk, B. Mc Larney, S. Shoham and D. Razansky, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2158–2198.
- X. Huang, J. Song, B. C. Yung, X. Huang, Y. Xiong and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 2873–2920.
- L. V. Wang and S. Hu, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1458.
- L. Nie and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7132–7170.
- S. Zackrisson, S. M. W. Y. van de Ven and S. S. Gambhir, *Cancer Res.*, 2014, **74**, 979–1004.
- W. Li and X. Chen, *Nanomedicine*, 2015, **10**, 299–320.
- A. Taruttis and V. Ntziachristos, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 219–227.
- L. V. Wang and J. Yao, *Nat. Methods*, 2016, **13**, 627–638.
- J. Weber, P. C. Beard and S. E. Bohndiek, *Nat. Methods*, 2016, **13**, 639–650.
- C. J. Reinhardt and J. Chan, *Biochemistry*, 2018, **57**, 194–199.
- J. Wu, L. You, L. Lan, H. J. Lee, S. T. Chaudhry, R. Li, J. X. Cheng and J. Mei, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1703403.
- J. Zhou, Y. Jiang, S. Hou, P. K. Upputuri, D. Wu, J. Li, P. Wang, X. Zhen, M. Pramanik, K. Pu and H. Duan, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 2643–2651.
- G. Hong, A. L. Antaris and H. Dai, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2017, **1**, 0010.
- A. M. Smith, M. C. Mancini and S. Nie, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **4**, 710–711.
- A. N. Bashkatov, E. A. Genina, V. I. Kochubey and V. V. Tuchin, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2005, **38**, 2543–2555.
- Y. Cao, J.-H. Dou, N.-j. Zhao, S. Zhang, Y.-Q. Zheng, J.-P. Zhang, J.-Y. Wang, J. Pei and Y. Wang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **29**, 718–725.
- K. Homan, S. Kim, Y.-S. Chen, B. Wang, S. Mallidi and S. Emelianov, *Opt. Lett.*, 2010, **35**, 2663–2665.
- Y. S. Chen, Y. Zhao, S. J. Yoon, S. S. Gambhir and S. Emelianov, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, DOI: 10.1038/s41565-019-0392-3.
- G. Ku, M. Zhou, S. Song, Q. Huang, J. Hazle and C. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 7489–7496.
- A. S. Hannah, D. VanderLaan, Y. S. Chen and S. Y. Emelianov, *Biomed. Opt. Express*, 2014, **5**, 3042–3052.
- Y. Zhou, D. Wang, Y. Zhang, U. Chitgupi, J. Geng, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. R. Cook, J. Xia and J. F. Lovell, *Theranostics*, 2016, **6**, 688–697.
- Z. Cao, L. Feng, G. Zhang, J. Wang, S. Shen, D. Li and X. Yang, *Biomaterials*, 2018, **155**, 103–111.
- J. F. Lovell, T. W. B. Liu, J. Chen and G. Zheng, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2839–2857.
- J. U. Menon, P. Jadeja, P. Tambe, V. Khanh, B. Yuan and K. T. Nguyen, *Theranostics*, 2013, **3**, 152–166.
- Q. Dong, X. Wang, X. Hu, L. Xiao, L. Zhang, L. Song, M. Xu, Y. Zou, L. Chen, Z. Chen and W. Tan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 177–181.
- H. Wang, J. Chang, M. Shi, W. Pan, N. Li and B. Tang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 1057–1061.
- X. Wang, Y. Ma, X. Sheng, Y. Wang and H. Xu, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 2217–2225.



- 32 S. Li, L. Zhang, X. Chen, T. Wang, Y. Zhao, L. Li and C. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 24137–24148.
- 33 L. Zhang, S. Li, X. Chen, T. Wang, L. Li, Z. Su and C. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1803815.
- 34 X. Zhen, J. Zhang, J. Huang, C. Xie, Q. Miao and K. Pu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7804–7808.
- 35 Q. Chen, C. Wang, Z. Zhan, W. He, Z. Cheng, Y. Li and Z. Liu, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 8206–8214.
- 36 Q. Chen, C. Wang, C. Liang and Z. Liu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2015, **213**, e89.
- 37 L. Li, Y. Liu, P. Hao, Z. Wang, L. Fu, Z. Ma and J. Zhou, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **41**, 132–140.
- 38 Y. Tian, J. Zhang, S. Tang, L. Zhou and W. Yang, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 721–726.
- 39 M. Chu, Y. Shao, J. Peng, X. Dai, H. Li, Q. Wu and D. Shi, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 4078–4088.
- 40 L.-E. Deng, Y. Li, L. Gong and J. Wang, *J. Inorg. Mater.*, 2018, **33**, 825–831.
- 41 Y. Cheng, Y. Chang, Y. Feng, H. Jian, Z. Tang and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 246–251.
- 42 Y. Zhang, F. Huang, C. Ren, J. Liu, L. Yang, S. Chen, J. Chang, C. Yang, W. Wang, C. Zhang, Q. Liu, X.-J. Liang and J. Liu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, 1801806.
- 43 R. Chen, J. Wang and H. Qiao, *Prog. Chem.*, 2017, **29**, 329–336.
- 44 H. S. Jung, P. Verwilt, A. Sharma, J. Shin, J. L. Sessler and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 2280–2297.
- 45 Y. Liu, P. Bhattarai, Z. Dai and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, DOI: 10.1039/C8CS00618K.
- 46 M.-F. Tsai, S.-H. G. Chang, F.-Y. Cheng, V. Shanmugam, Y.-S. Cheng, C.-H. Su and C.-S. Yeh, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 5330–5342.
- 47 K. Cai, W. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Li, H. Han and T. Zhai, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 36703–36710.
- 48 X. Yu, A. Li, C. Zhao, K. Yang, X. Chen and W. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 3990–4001.
- 49 S. Park, G. Park, J. Kim, W. Choi, U. Jeong and C. Kim, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 20548–20558.
- 50 H. Yan, J. Chen, Y. Li, Y. Bai, Y. Wu, Z. Sheng, L. Song, C. Liu and H. Zhang, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2018, **7**, 92–103.
- 51 A. Li, X. Li, X. Yu, W. Li, R. Zhao, X. An, D. Cui, X. Chen and W. Li, *Biomaterials*, 2017, **112**, 164–175.
- 52 K. Ke, W. Yang, X. Xie, R. Liu, L. L. Wang, W. W. Lin, G. Huang, C. H. Lu and H. H. Yang, *Theranostics*, 2017, **7**, 4763–4776.
- 53 L. Zhou, Y. Jing, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, D. Gao, H. Chen, W. Song, T. Wang, X. Fang, W. Qin, Z. Yuan, S. Dai, Z. A. Qiao and C. Wu, *Theranostics*, 2018, **8**, 663–675.
- 54 X. Yu, K. Yang, X. Chen and W. Li, *Biomaterials*, 2017, **143**, 120–129.
- 55 K. Pu, A. J. Shuhendler, J. V. Jokerst, J. Mei, S. S. Gambhir, Z. Bao and J. Rao, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 233–239.
- 56 J. Li, J. Rao and K. Pu, *Biomaterials*, 2018, **155**, 217–235.
- 57 Y. Jiang and K. Pu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1840–1849.
- 58 Y. Lyu and K. Pu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2017, **4**, 1600481.
- 59 Y. Lyu, J. Zeng, Y. Jiang, X. Zhen, T. Wang, S. Qiu, X. Lou, M. Gao and K. Pu, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 1801–1810.
- 60 C. Xie, X. Zhen, Y. Lyu and K. Pu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1703693.
- 61 X. Zhen, C. Xie, Y. Jiang, X. Ai, B. Xing and K. Pu, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 1498–1505.
- 62 Y. Jiang, P. K. Upputuri, C. Xie, Y. Lyu, L. Zhang, Q. Xiong, M. Pramanik and K. Pu, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 4964–4969.
- 63 Y. Jiang, P. K. Upputuri, C. Xie, Z. Zeng, A. Sharma, X. Zhen, J. Li, J. Huang, M. Pramanik and K. Pu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, e1808166.
- 64 Y. Lyu and K. Pu, *Adv. Sci.*, 2017, **4**, 1600481.
- 65 B. Guo, Z. Sheng, K. Kenry, D. Hu, X. Lin, S. Xu, C. Liu, H. Zheng and B. Liu, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2017, **4**, 1151–1156.
- 66 B. Guo, Z. Sheng, D. Hu, C. Liu, H. Zheng and B. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1802591.
- 67 C. Yin, G. Wen, C. Liu, B. Yang, S. Lin, J. Huang, P. Zhao, S. H. D. Wong, K. Zhang, X. Chen, G. Li, X. Jiang, J. Huang, K. Pu, L. Wang and L. Bian, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 12201–12211.
- 68 P. K. Upputuri, C. Yang, S. Huang, K. Wang, M. Wang and M. Pramanik, *J. Biomed. Opt.*, 2018, **24**, 1–7.
- 69 Y. Cao, T. Wu, K. Zhang, X. Meng, W. Dai, D. Wang, H. Dong and X. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 1499–1510.
- 70 N. Yu, Y. Hu, X. Wang, G. Liu, Z. Wang, Z. Liu, Q. Tian, M. Zhu, X. Shi and Z. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 9148–9159.
- 71 C. Guo, S. Yin, Q. Dong and T. Sato, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 3394–3398.
- 72 Y. Cheng, F. Yang, G. Xiang, K. Zhang, Y. Cao, D. Wang, H. Dong and X. Zhang, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 1179–1189.
- 73 W. Tang, Z. Dong, R. Zhang, X. Yi, K. Yang, M. Jin, C. Yuan, Z. Xiao, Z. Liu and L. Cheng, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **13**, 284–294.
- 74 K. Huang, Y. Zhang, J. Lin and P. Huang, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2019, **7**, 472–479.
- 75 Y. Jiang and K. Pu, *Adv. Biosyst.*, 2018, **2**, 1700262.
- 76 Y. Liu, D. Gao, M. Xu and Z. Yuan, *J. Biophotonics*, 2018, e201800237.
- 77 X. Meng, J. Zhang, Z. Sun, L. Zhou, G. Deng, S. Li, W. Li, P. Gong and L. Cai, *Theranostics*, 2018, **8**, 6025–6034.
- 78 T. Bohn, S. Rapp, N. Luther, M. Klein, T. J. Bruehl, N. Kojima, P. Aranda Lopez, J. Hahlbrock, S. Muth, S. Endo, S. Pektor, A. Brand, K. Renner, V. Popp, K. Gerlach, D. Vogel, C. Lueckel, D. Arnold-Schild, J. Pouyssegur, M. Kreutz, M. Huber, J. Koenig, B. Weigmann, H. C. Probst, E. von Stebut, C. Becker, H. Schild, E. Schmitt and T. Bopp, *Nat. Immunol.*, 2018, **19**, 1319–1329.
- 79 W. Xie, Q. Gao, D. Wang, Z. Guo, F. Gao, X. Wang, Q. Cai, S.-s. Feng, H. Fan, X. Sun and L. Zhao, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 2470–2487.
- 80 M. Abbas, Q. Zou, S. Li and X. Yan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1605021.
- 81 R. Vankayala and K. C. Hwang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706320.
- 82 J.-J. Hu, Y.-J. Cheng and X.-Z. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 22657–22672.
- 83 B. Shi, Q. Yan, J. Tang, K. Xin, J. Zhang, Y. Zhu, G. Xu, R. Wang, J. Chen, W. Gao, T. Zhu, J. Shi, C. Fan, C. Zhao and H. Tian, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 6411–6416.
- 84 W. Feng, X. Han, R. Wang, X. Gao, P. Hu, W. Yue, Y. Chen and J. Shi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1805919.



- 85 Y. Jiang, J. Li, X. Zhen, C. Xie and K. Pu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, e1705980.
- 86 H. Lin, S. Gao, C. Dai, Y. Chen and J. Shi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 16235–16247.
- 87 P. Zhu, S. Gao, H. Lin, X. Lu, B. Yang, L. Zhang, Y. Chen and J. Shi, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 2128–2137.
- 88 T. Li, C. Li, Z. Ruan, P. Xu, X. Yang, P. Yuan, Q. Wang and L. Yan, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 3691–3702.
- 89 T. Sun, J. H. Dou, S. Liu, X. Wang, X. Zheng, Y. Wang, J. Pei and Z. Xie, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 7919–7926.
- 90 D. Zhang, H. Xu, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, M. Wu, J. Li, H. Yang, G. Liu, X. Liu, J. Liu and Z. Yuan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 25203–25212.
- 91 P. Vijayaraghavan, C.-H. Liu, R. Vankayala, C.-S. Chiang and K. C. Hwang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 6689–6695.
- 92 Q. Ma, L. Cheng, F. Gong, Z. Dong, C. Liang, M. Wang, L. Feng, Y. Li, Z. Liu, C. Li and L. He, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 5069–5079.
- 93 Y. Liu, W. Zhen, Y. Wang, J. Liu, L. Jin, T. Zhang, S. Zhang, Y. Zhao, S. Song, C. Li, J. Zhu, Y. Yang and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 2407–2412.

