

## PAPER

View Article Online  
View Journal | View Issue

# Ionic conductivity and disorder in calcium and barium nitrogen hydrogen phases†

Gavin J. Irvine \* and John T. S. Irvine \*

Received 23rd December 2022, Accepted 11th January 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2fd00178k


Nitrogen–hydrogen based alkali and alkaline earth metal compounds have recently received a substantial amount of attention as co-catalysts for heterogeneous mild condition ammonia synthesis (MCAS). The incorporation of these materials has been shown to result in positive reaction orders with respect to  $H_2$ , solving the issue of hydrogen poisoning, e.g., the occupation of the majority of transition metal (TM) active sites by H-adatoms due to the significantly faster kinetics of  $H_2$  dissociation as compared to  $N_2$ . The mechanism that underlies this is thought to be the incorporation (sinking) of H-adatoms from the surface of TMs to the bulk of the N–H phases. Thus, the slower kinetics of  $N_2$  dissociation no longer inhibit ammonia synthesis, and improvements in the kinetics dissociation for TM can be realised without consideration for which specific gases are affected (e.g., the circumventing of scaling relations). The ability to transport H-adatoms from the surface of TM is therefore of fundamental importance to the properties of the N–H co-catalyst implying that the conductivity of these species towards H and N ions, and  $NH_x$  species, is of utmost importance. As such, we investigate two N–H systems that can be prepared by reacting the respective hydrides with nitrogen resulting in nitride–hydride and imide forms for Ca and Ba, respectively. These have both been previously shown to promote ammonia synthesis and here we investigate their conductive properties, and discuss these systems in the context of activity and stability of the total system with specific focus on the rise of secondary anion species, and the presence of barium in the system.

## 1 Introduction

Nitrogen–hydrogen (N–H) co-catalysts for mild-condition ammonia synthesis (MCAS, 0.1 mPa, <300 °C) have recently been explored. A large number of materials have been investigated, including, nitride–hydrides ( $N^{3-}-H^-$ ),<sup>1–4</sup> imides ( $NH^{2-}$ ),<sup>4–7</sup> and amides ( $NH_2^-$ ).<sup>3,8</sup> N–H materials are theorised to act as atomic sinks for adatoms absorbed on the TM surfaces,<sup>1,5–7</sup> freeing up active sites for further dissociation of gaseous species and breaking the scaling relations<sup>9</sup> that

School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9ST, UK. E-mail: [gji4@st-andrews.ac.uk](mailto:gji4@st-andrews.ac.uk); [jtsi@st-andrews.ac.uk](mailto:jtsi@st-andrews.ac.uk); Tel: +44 (0)7 4532 28686

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2fd00178k>




have hindered advancements in MCAS for several decades. Scaling relations referring to the phenomenon were improvements in the kinetics of one step associated with a process that gives proportional improvement to another competing step. In the case of MCAS, the preferential dissociation of  $\text{H}_2$  on TM surfaces is well known and leads to a negative reaction order with  $\text{H}_2$ . Improvements in the kinetics of  $\text{N}_2$  dissociation equally benefited hydrogen dissociation, thus the issue with hydrogen poisoning remains. The removal of H-adatoms from the active sites is paramount, implying that a good support material should show good ionic conductivity for species involved in ammonia synthesis:  $\text{H}^-$ ,  $\text{N}^{3-}$ ,  $\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_x$ , and  $\text{e}^-$ .

Further research has suggested that N–H materials also directly participate in the ammonia synthesis steps rather than just acting as a sink for adatoms:<sup>1,10</sup> isotopically labelled nitrogen and hydrogen experiments were conducted that showed that hydrogen atoms from the N–H support lattice were incorporated into the resulting  $\text{NH}_3(\text{g})$  molecules, while N atoms were not. In the case of LiH and  $\text{BaH}_2$ , research from the Chen research group has shown that lattice N-species can also participate in the synthesis step, as evidenced by the recovery of the hydride species from the imide during chemical looping processes.<sup>6,7</sup>

N–H species are also thought to affect the activity and stability of the TM metals through anchoring. Work by Inoue *et al.*, showed that bonding between N-species in the N–H support, in this case  $\text{Ca}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ , and surface Ru particles, prevented the conglomeration of the TM catalysts over time.<sup>8</sup> The introduction of Ba was found to improve the stability of the system, without a substantial change in activity. Abe *et al.* found much the same for the Ru– $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  system.<sup>2</sup> A follow up paper from the Hosono group found that altering the synthesis route of the Ba– $\text{Ca}(\text{NH}_2)_2$  system leads to substantial activity gains attributed to a “core–shell” structure.<sup>3</sup>

As noted by Gao *et al.*, the identity of the alkaline earth metal cation can dramatically affect the performance of the system.<sup>6</sup> For example,  $\text{CaNH}$  is known to be a poor catalyst for ammonia production,<sup>1</sup> while  $\text{BaNH}$  has been successfully used.<sup>6</sup> In a recent paper, we showed that the presence of the imide species in a predominately nitride–hydride system (actual stoichiometry of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}_{0.8}\text{H}_{0.8}(\text{NH})_{0.4}$ ) resulted in the loss of symmetry associated with the nitride–hydride, as well as poor ionic conductivity, as compared to a system without.<sup>11</sup> We introduced the nomenclature of  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ - to denote solid solutions and the pure system, respectively. The observation of poor activity and ionic conductivity of calcium systems containing the imide is potentially of significant importance; implying a link between the two properties. In this paper, we show that the emergence of the imide in a  $\beta$ - $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  (*e.g.* the conversion of the  $\beta$ - to the  $\alpha$ -) is correlated with worsening electrical performance of the system. Previously published work by Inoue *et al.* noticed that systems of pure  $\text{Ca}(\text{NH}_2)_2$  lost activity over the course of several hours of ammonia synthesis.<sup>8</sup> A close look at their XRD patterns shows that these phases began to form shoulders that are telltale signs of the formation of a solid solution.<sup>4,11</sup> Interestingly, the presence of barium in the system prevented the deterioration of performance over time as observed in the pure  $\text{Ca}(\text{NH}_2)_2$  systems, and the XRD patterns do not show the asymmetric broadening observed in systems without barium.<sup>3,8</sup> The presence of barium has also been shown to improve the performance and stability of  $\text{CaH}_2$  supported systems.<sup>12</sup>



The evolution of solid solutions was a known phenomenon for the application of N–H compounds in solid state storage.<sup>13–15</sup> Generally, these mixed phases were called ‘quasi-imide’ since they tended to take the cubic structures of the pure imide structures.<sup>15</sup> The stoichiometry of the materials could vary widely (see for example a recent paper by Makepeace *et al.*<sup>4</sup>), creating issues for repeatability. This created serious debate about the decomposition pathway of Li<sub>2</sub>NH.<sup>13–16</sup> More recently, these N–H solid solutions have been re-envisioned as potential candidates for ammonia synthesis catalysis.<sup>4</sup>

In this paper we explore the ionic conductivity properties of Ca<sub>2</sub>NH from the precursor Ca<sub>2</sub>N (subnitride). The properties of Ca<sub>2</sub>N have been explored previously, with Kitano *et al.* using it as their precursor for the formation of Ca<sub>2</sub>NH.<sup>1,17,18</sup>


In the second part of this paper, we look at the electrical properties of BaNH(D) formed from BaH(D)<sub>2</sub> when the latter was exposed to N<sub>2</sub> at 650 °C. Combined diffraction and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data allow for an estimation of the ionic conductivity of the BaND phase at 650 °C. Additionally, analysis of the peak shifting prior to the emergence of BaND provides evidence for the conduction mechanism of the high temperature barium hydride phase.<sup>19</sup>

Finally, we draw some general conclusions about the relationship between ionic conductivity of different N–H phases, the performance of ammonia synthesis systems, and the presence of secondary anionic species.

## 2 Experimental

Ca<sub>2</sub>N was made from  $\alpha$ -Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (Alfa Aesar) by heating the granules/pressed pellets in a sealed reactor to 1000 °C under flowing dry Ar for 20 h. The pellets were then painted with Pd paste and dried in a glove box for 10 min at 100 °C. The pellets were measured for thickness as well as the area of the electrodes and then loaded into a conductivity rig and heated under flowing Ar with a mixture of N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> depending on the experiment. EIS data were collected on the pellets using a Solartron 1280c in a two point configuration under a perturbation voltage of 40 mV and a frequency range of 100 kHz to 1 Hz. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the precursor and post-experiment samples were collected on a Panalytical Empyrean diffractometer using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation. Post-experiment samples were ground using a mortar and pestle in a glovebox. The samples were protected from the atmosphere using Kapton films.

BaD<sub>2</sub> samples were made by exposing Ba metal in a sealed reactor to flowing 5% D<sub>2</sub> in Ar at 700 °C for 8 h. The samples were then ground and pressed in 25 mm pellets to an approximate thickness of 3 mm. The pressed pellets were then sintered at 800 °C in 5% D<sub>2</sub> in Ar for 2 h. These pellets were painted with Pd electrodes and dried at 100 °C for 10 min in a glovebox. The pellets were then transferred to ISIS Neutron and Muon Source in sealed containers and loaded into the St Andrews *in situ* cell (see Irvine *et al.*<sup>19</sup>). The *in situ* cell allowed for the simultaneous collection of EIS data (using an IVIUMSTAT). The cell was loaded into a Polaris diffractometer<sup>20</sup> and heated under flowing 5% D<sub>2</sub> in Ar gas to 650 °C. Neutron powder diffraction (NPD) patterns and EIS spectra were collected every 2 min during the exposure of the system to 5/5/90 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>−1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>/Ar over the course of 8 h.



EIS spectra were modelled using equivalent circuits which consist of a resistor in series with units of parallel capacitors and resistors (CR unit). Generally, the series resistor ( $R_s$ ) was the element of interest as the timescales of bulk diffusion fell outside of the range of frequencies available to the spectrometer. The geometric capacitance of the high frequency CR unit was typically associated with grain boundary response or electrode-surface processes,<sup>21</sup> implying the  $R_s$  was associated with bulk diffusion in the former case, and bulk and grain boundary response in the latter. In the second case, the grain boundary contribution was assumed to be relatively small compared to the bulk due to the typically large activation energies of the grain boundary processes.<sup>21</sup> The conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) is defined as:

$$\sigma = \frac{l}{RA} = G \frac{1}{R} \quad (1)$$

where  $l$  is the length between electrodes (*i.e.* thickness of the pellet), and  $A$  is the area of the electrodes (calculated using photographs of the electrodes captured using a microscopic camera and with a calibration slide) and  $G$  is the geometric factor. The activation energy for the system was calculated using the Arrhenius equation:

$$\sigma T = \sigma_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}\right) \quad (2)$$


where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity calculated from eqn (1). Diffraction data was analysed using the GSAS-I suite of programs.<sup>22</sup> SEQGSAS was used to refine the 240 patterns associated with the BaD<sub>2</sub> experiment.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Calcium nitride-hydride

After synthesis, Ca<sub>2</sub>N phase formation was confirmed using X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1a). The XRD pattern showed a 90% pure phase with 10% oxide phase (CaO,  $Fm\bar{3}m$ ,  $a = 4.84$  Å). The phase agreed well with results published by Gregory *et al.*,<sup>17</sup> with lattice parameters of  $a = b = 3.627$  Å, and  $c = 19.105$  Å (compared with 3.623 Å and 19.102 Å, respectively). Ca<sub>2</sub>N is a rhombohedral structure (space group  $R\bar{3}m$ ) with alternating layers of trapped electrons ( $e^-$ ) and nitride ions ( $N^{3-}$ ) – see Fig. 2. These materials are known as ‘subnitrides’ and are electrides meaning that localised electrons exist as anionic species.<sup>17</sup> Interestingly, these compounds have a very similar structure to the nitride-hydride phases formed by barium and strontium metals with the electride layer replaced with hydride ions ( $H^-$ ).<sup>23,24</sup> Recently, we showed calcium nitride-hydride likely forms in the super-rock-salt structure ( $Fd\bar{3}m$ ) – essentially the rock-salt structure with a slightly distorted FCC Ca<sup>2+</sup> and ordered octahedral positions split between  $N^{3-}$  and  $H^-$  based on the  $d$  (diamond glide plane) symmetry.<sup>11</sup>

A pellet of Ca<sub>2</sub>N was tested for conductivity using a gas tight conductivity rig under flowing Ar and the results are shown in Fig. 3. The results of these tests strongly suggest that Ca<sub>2</sub>N is a semiconductor. Very similar behaviour is also observable from the precursor  $\alpha$ -Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup> By removing a quarter of the nitride ions from  $\alpha$ -Ca<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, the performance of the system increases dramatically; the activation energy is nearly halved (from 224 meV to 133 meV), and the conductivity






Fig. 1 XRD patterns for the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  and the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  formed from it. (a)  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  post synthesis XRD pattern. The pattern matches well with the structure published by Gregory *et al.*<sup>17</sup> The weight fractions are 0.90 and 0.10 for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{CaO}$ , respectively. (b) XRD pattern of the resulting system from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  exposed to 5%  $\text{H}_2$  in flowing Ar at 700 °C for 8 h. The pattern was collected at room temperature and shows a 93%  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase with the remainder being  $\text{CaO}$ . (c) XRD pattern and refinement for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  doped with  $\text{H}_2$  at temperatures above 600 °C. Refinement shows a distinct shoulder associated with the presence of a solid solution.<sup>4,11</sup>





Fig. 2 Crystal model of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  showing  $\text{Ca-N}$  octahedra. Electrons are thought to exist between the layers.<sup>17</sup>






Fig. 3 Arrhenius plot of conductivity data measured on  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  and  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$  systems.

increases  $100\times$  (from  $0.0145\text{ S cm}^{-1}$  to  $1.30\text{ S cm}^{-1}$  at  $300\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ). As shown in Fig. 2 the structure of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  is made up of layers of face sharing Ca–N octahedrals separated by layers of electrons. The high conductivity measured in the system is likely the result of these layers of electrons.<sup>17</sup>

As Kitano *et al.* showed, this phase can be converted into a nitride–hydride phase by exposing the sample to  $\text{H}_2$ .<sup>1</sup> Fig. 1b shows the XRD pattern of the resulting phase after exposing  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  to 5%  $\text{H}_2$  gas in Ar at  $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 8 h. Rietveld refinement of the structure showed the pattern to be 93%  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  with  $a = 10.138$

**Table 1** Table of Rietveld refinement parameters from XRD patterns for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  and the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  systems<sup>a</sup>

| Phase                      | $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$                    | $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$ (1)               | $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$ (1)                                   | $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$ (2)                                   | $\text{CaNH}^b$                                              |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Space group                | $R\bar{3}m$                              | $R\bar{3}m$                              | $Fd\bar{3}m$                                                 | $Fd\bar{3}m$                                                 | $Fm\bar{3}m$                                                 |
| $a$ (Å)                    | 3.62729(10)                              | 3.58440(8)                               | 10.13818(19)                                                 | 10.14373(23)                                                 | 5.0443(4)                                                    |
| $c$ (Å)                    | 19.1047(8)                               | 17.5596(9)                               | —                                                            | —                                                            | —                                                            |
| $V(\text{\AA}^3)$          | 217.689(13)                              | 195.379(11)                              | 1042.030(34)                                                 | 1043.74(4)                                                   | 128.351(18)                                                  |
| Ca (0 0 x)                 | Ca (0 0 x)                               | Ca (0 0 x)                               | Ca (x x x)                                                   | Ca (x x x)                                                   | Ca(0 0 0)                                                    |
| x                          | 0.26787(8)                               | 0.24034(8)                               | 0.25996(7)                                                   | 0.26091(10)                                                  | —                                                            |
| Uiso100 ( $\text{\AA}^2$ ) | 0                                        | 1.44(7)                                  | 1.60(6)                                                      | 0                                                            | 2.5                                                          |
|                            | $\text{N}\left(0\ 0\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $\text{N}\left(0\ 0\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $\text{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $\text{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $\text{N}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| Occupancy                  | 1                                        | 1                                        | 1                                                            | 1                                                            | 0.8567                                                       |
| Uiso                       | 0                                        | 0                                        | 0                                                            | 0                                                            | 2.5                                                          |
| $\chi^2$                   | 3.452                                    | 2.063                                    | 1.944                                                        | 6.098                                                        | —                                                            |
| $R_p$                      | 0.0678                                   | 0.0473                                   | 0.0461                                                       | 0.084                                                        | —                                                            |
| $R_{wp}$                   | 0.0889                                   | 0.0649                                   | 0.0631                                                       | 0.1059                                                       | —                                                            |
| Secondary phase            | 10% Cao                                  | 5% CaO                                   | 5% CaO                                                       | 9% Cao & 27% CaNH                                            | —                                                            |

<sup>a</sup> The number in parentheses denotes the two experiments discussed in the text: 1 being the pure  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  compound formed at  $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  in 5%  $\text{H}_2$  in Ar. The resulting compound was fit using both the  $R\bar{3}m$  and  $Fd\bar{3}m$  space groups with no apparent difference in fit quality; 2 denotes the resulting compound from an experiment where  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  was exposed to  $\text{H}_2$  at varying temperatures while EIS data was collected. <sup>b</sup> This species is of mixed character and it is unclear what its true stoichiometry is. This fact is reflected in the N site occupancy.






Fig. 4 EIS calculated conductivity from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  exposed to 5%  $\text{H}_2$  in Ar at 600 °C. The initial conductivity is for the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  phase, while the resulting conductivity is from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  as shown by the post experiment XRD pattern 1 (see Fig. 1b).

Å comparing well with the structure published by Brice *et al.*, and 7% CaO ( $\chi^2 = 1.944$ , see Table 1). This lattice parameter is close to the  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  formed from  $\text{CaH}_2$  of  $a = 10.135$  Å.<sup>11</sup> Verbraeken *et al.* have previously suggested that  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  might be better modelled using the  $R\bar{3}m$  space group.<sup>25</sup> Our fit of the room temperature XRD pattern using the  $R\bar{3}m$  space group, returned values of  $a = 3.5844$  Å and  $c = 17.5596$  Å with  $\chi^2 = 2.072$  (see Table 1). The goodness-of-fit ( $\chi^2$ ) is slightly worse than the  $Fd\bar{3}m$  fit, but not enough to definitively dismiss the possibility that the structure forms in the  $R\bar{3}m$ .

Next, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted on pellets of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  exposed to  $\text{H}_2$ . Fig. 4 shows the conductivity measured as a function of time exposed to flowing ( $100 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ) 5%  $\text{H}_2$  gas in Ar at 600 °C. As shown in Fig. 4, the conductivity of the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  system is initially really high, but after only a few minutes it plummets by two orders of magnitude before increasing to approximately  $1.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ , placing the system in the fast ion conducting category. Interestingly, the conductivity measured for this system is about  $6 \times$





Fig. 5 EIS data collected on a  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  pellet exposed to  $\text{H}_2$  gas at varying temperatures. Data is in chronological order. The data shows that the arc associated with a surface layer ( $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-7} \text{ F}^{21}$ ) doubles after two days at 600 °C. The grey spectrum shows the data collected at 600 °C used for the conductivity log plot (see Fig. 6).



lower than the conductivity measured for the  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>NH system from CaH<sub>2</sub> (0.08 S cm<sup>-1</sup>). The measured difference may be a result of the presence of the CaH<sub>2</sub> in the  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>NH system. Alternatively, the difference may be the result of the formation of Ca<sub>2</sub>NH in the  $R\bar{3}m$  space group following along from Ca<sub>2</sub>N.

After two days, the pellet conductivity dropped by 20% and the resistance associated with a surface layer (likely the electrode with a capacitance between 10<sup>-7</sup> to 10<sup>-9</sup> F) had more than doubled (see Fig. 5). Upon heating the system to 650 °C and 700 °C, the electrode resistance decreased as expected for a mass transport phenomenon, however, the  $R_s$  (bulk conductivity) continued to degrade. The sample was then cooled back to 600 °C, where the conductivity measured  $7 \times 10^{-3}$  S cm<sup>-1</sup>, nearly half the value measured before heating. Thus, it seems that high temperatures (>600 °C) are correlated with decreasing performance of the system. The system was then cooled to 100 °C, then heated back up to 600 °C in 100 °C increments to measure  $\sigma(T)$ . Although,  $R_{\text{electrode}}$  showed continued growth, the measured conductivity was  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  S cm<sup>-1</sup> at 600 °C, implying that the ionic conductivity of the bulk was unaffected by lower temperatures.

The  $\sigma(T)$  is shown in a log plot in Fig. 6. Capacitance values were calculated for high frequency response using equivalent circuits for the 400 and 500 °C data and returned values of  $8.9 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $1.9 \times 10^{-9}$  F, respectively. These values fall comfortably within the grain boundary response values,<sup>21</sup> implying that the change in the trend is not a result in change from a bulk to grain boundary measurement due to the increase in temperature. However, the decrease in resistance associated with the grain boundary is notable; decreasing by an order of magnitude from  $3.67 \times 10^4$  to  $1.01 \times 10^3 \Omega$ . In a previous paper, we showed that  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>NH likely conducts ions *via* a vacancy mediated diffusion pathway.<sup>11</sup> Although Ba<sub>2</sub>NH is not easily formed by direct reaction, it has been reported as growing from the vapour phase,<sup>23</sup> the conductivity *vs.* temperature published previously by Altorfer *et al.* matches well with our results on Ca<sub>2</sub>NH.<sup>26</sup> They noted a change in the trend in ionic conductivity starting at 250 °C. Interestingly, low temperature (30 K) NPD data refinement by the authors showed that the intrinsic vacancy concentration (15%) remained, implying that the sudden increase in




Fig. 6 Log of conductivity plot for the Ca<sub>2</sub>NH system. A large jump in conductivity is observed between 400 and 500 °C. A similar jump was observed in the H<sup>-</sup> conductor Ba<sub>2</sub>NH by Altorfer *et al.*<sup>26</sup>




conductivity was not related to the populating of the secondary site. Brice *et al.* also seem to suggest that  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  has an intrinsic vacancy concentration at RT.<sup>27</sup> Therefore, the reason for the sudden change in conductivity for these two systems at elevated temperatures is not clear as the thermally induced occupation of a secondary site, opening up the main site for diffusion, is a compelling explanation.

A post-experiment XRD pattern was taken and analysed (see Fig. 1c). Some of the nitride-hydride peaks have asymmetric broadening toward larger  $2\theta$  values. The shoulder peaks are indicative of a secondary anionic species.<sup>4,11</sup> Refinement gave a ratio 75:25 between the main peak and the shoulder (with a 9%  $\text{CaO}$  phase). The shoulder was modelled using a nitrogen deficient octahedral site (0.8567 occupancy) implying the phase was a mixed nitride-hydride, imide species similar to  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . The lattice parameter of this phase reflects its mixed nature with  $a = 5.045 \text{ \AA}$ , smaller than the value given by Sichla *et al.* for  $\text{CaNH}$  of  $5.143 \text{ \AA}$ , and smaller than the equivalent value for the nitride-hydride phase of  $5.065 \text{ \AA}$  ( $10.13 \text{ \AA}$  divided by 2). However, unlike  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  from  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$ , the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase retained its peak associated with the  $Fd\bar{3}m$  ordering ( $2\theta = 15.1^\circ$ ). Furthermore,  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  had a shoulder at lower  $2\theta$  with a lattice parameter of  $5.112 \text{ \AA}$ . A previous experiment on  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  from  $\text{CaH}_2$  also displayed these shoulder peaks (Fig. S1 and S2†). In that experiment, a large growth in  $R_p$  (electrode response,  $C = 10^{-8} \text{ F}$ )<sup>21</sup> was noted when the sample was heated above  $650^\circ \text{C}$ . Although the  $R_s$  was not significantly affected, the large gain in  $R_{\text{electrode}}$  was irreversible. This dramatic increase in  $R_{\text{electrode}}$  is potentially related to anchoring effects explored by Abe *et al.*:<sup>2</sup> the formation of even a small amount of imide at the interface between the bulk and electrode could lead to poor charge transfer processes and the decrease in electrode performance.

A post experiment Raman spectrum was collected and is shown in Fig. 7a. The spectrum is offset from a spectrum collected on  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  formed from  $\text{CaH}_2$ . The major peaks (associated with the nitride-hydride<sup>1</sup>) centred at  $327 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are almost identical, while the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  shows some small peaks in the imide/amide region ( $\sim 3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Interestingly, the Raman data only shows weak peaks in the imide/amide region, while the XRD analysis gives a shoulder peak concentration of 27% implying the phase is still predominately nitride-hydride in character. Additionally, the shifting of the major peak to lower wavenumbers observed in the  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  from  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$  is not present in this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase.<sup>11</sup> The shifting to lower energy environments is typical of highly disordered phases.

A solid-state-NMR spectrum was collected for the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  synthesised at  $700^\circ \text{C}$ . This is shown in comparison to a  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  from  $\text{CaH}_2$  in Fig. 7b. Corroborating the results of the Raman spectra, the NMR spectrum of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  shows a major peak at  $5.30 \text{ ppm}$ , which is associated with the hydride ion. This peak matches well with the previously found hydride peak for nitride-hydrides of  $5.28 \text{ ppm}$ . A noticeable difference in broadness is apparent in the two spectra, implying a more disordered structure for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  as compared to that prepared from  $\text{CaH}_2$ . Importantly,  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  lacks any peaks associated with imide or amide anions (there is a small peak at  $-1.32 \text{ ppm}$  associated with hydroxyl groups formed during sample preparation).

Together the Raman and NMR results show that the composition between  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  and  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  are quite similar, with  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  has a slightly static displacement associated with it (broadness in the NMR spectra). This observation







Fig. 7 Raman and NMR spectra collected on  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  formed from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  and  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . (a) Offset Raman spectra. The two spectra are very similar with the major difference being the presence of two small peaks at  $3180\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $3221\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . These peaks are likely indicative of a small concentration of imide or amide species within the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase. The spectrum comes from the EIS data examined in this paper. (b) NMR results. The peak shifts for the main hydride peaks are almost identical ( $5.28$  and  $5.30\text{ ppm}$ ) for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  and  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ , respectively. There is a small peak associated with surface  $\text{-OH}$  groups formed during loading ( $-1.32\text{ ppm}$ ). The XRD for this sample showed no shoulders.

is potentially related to the difference in calculated ionic conductivity between the two phases.

Together, we have shown that  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  can be formed from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$ , but with a lower overall conductivity of  $1.4 \times 10^{-2}\text{ S cm}^{-1}$  compared with  $8 \times 10^{-2}\text{ S cm}^{-1}$  for  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . The difference is potentially a result of the precursor properties. The presence of  $\text{CaH}_2$  may aid in the vacancy creation for the  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase. Alternatively, the  $R\bar{3}m$  structure of the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  precursor may carry over to the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase. This structural difference could result in different thermodynamics for vacancy creation, resulting in different effective charge carrier densities.

We also noted that the change in performance of the system was associated with the presence of shoulder peaks revealed by the post-experiment XRD data. This reduction in conductivity is in accord with the poor performance observed in the  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . However, there are important differences between this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  and  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . First, the imide concentration for  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  was found to be 20% from NPD refinement, which at first glance matches nicely with the 27% phase fraction for this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  species. However, careful consideration shows that these two phases are not similar. Firstly, the shoulder on this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase is found at the high  $2\theta$  side, while for  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  it is found at low  $2\theta$  (see Fig. S3†). Furthermore, the  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  forms in the rock-salt structure  $Fm\bar{3}m$ , while this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  diamond glide-plane symmetry. Refinement of XRD pattern in Fig. S3† gives a  $\text{Ca:N}$  ratio of  $2 : 1.4$ , significantly deviating from the ideal ratio of  $2 : 1$  for  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . This ratio



gives an imide concentration in the main phase of 44% in addition to the presence of the shoulder. Thus, it is likely that the true concentration of a secondary imide/amide species in this  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase is significantly lower than for  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . This result explains the lack of imide/amide signal in the NMR data, and also implies that even a small concentration of  $\text{NH}_x$  species in the nitride-hydride lattice has a large negative impact on the ionic conductivity of a  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  phase. It is not clear whether further reaction of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{NH}$  will produce higher concentration of the imide/amide species. However, the decrease in performance over time suggests that it will. Finally, we noted that the conductivity data *vs.* temperature matched well with results published previously by Altorfer *et al.* on  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NH}$ .<sup>26</sup> These authors hypothesised that the  $\text{H}^-$  conduction in  $\text{Ba}_2\text{NH}$  was *via* a main site hopping mechanism, exactly as we showed in our quasi-elastic neutron scattering study on  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ .<sup>11</sup>


### 3.2 Barium imide

A pellet of  $\text{BaD}_2$  was doped with  $\text{N}_2$  at 650 °C on the Polaris diffractometer at ISIS neutron and muon source.<sup>20</sup> Simultaneous collection of EIS and diffraction data allowed for the comparison of electrical response with the change in phase fraction over the course of the experiment. In order to quantify the change in phase fraction, first, representative models of the starting phase, barium

Table 2 Refinement results for  $\text{N}_2$  doping experiment on  $\text{BaD}_2$  at 650 °C<sup>a</sup>

| Phase                                 | $\text{BaD}_2$ (start)                                         | $\text{BaD}_2$ (middle)                                        | $\text{BaND}$ (middle)                                 | $\text{BaND}$ (end)                                    |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Space group                           | $P6_3/mmc$                                                     | $P6_3/mmc$                                                     | $Fm\bar{3}m$                                           | $Fm\bar{3}m$                                           |
| $a$ (Å)                               | 4.44362(32)                                                    | 4.45167(32)                                                    | 5.93710(9)                                             | 5.94083(29)                                            |
| $c$ (Å)                               | 6.6878(6)                                                      | 6.7999(10)                                                     | —                                                      | —                                                      |
| $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )                 | 114.364(15)                                                    | 116.703(21)                                                    | 209.278(6)                                             | 209.672(18)                                            |
|                                       | $\text{Ba} \left( \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{4} \right)$ | $\text{Ba} \left( \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{4} \right)$ | $\text{Ba} (0\ 0\ 0)$                                  | $\text{Ba} (0\ 0\ 0)$                                  |
| Occupancy                             | 1                                                              | 1                                                              | 1                                                      | 1                                                      |
| $U_{11} \times 100$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | 2.35                                                           | 2.35                                                           | 5.78(10)                                               | 5.78(10)                                               |
| $U_{33} \times 100$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | 6.26                                                           | 6.26                                                           | —                                                      | —                                                      |
| $U_{12} \times 100$ (Å <sup>2</sup> ) | 0                                                              | 0                                                              | 0                                                      | 0                                                      |
|                                       | $D1 \left( \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} x \right)$                  | $D1 \left( \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} x \right)$                  | $D (x\ x\ x)$                                          | $D (x\ x\ x)$                                          |
| $x$                                   | 0.8269(5)                                                      | 0.8269(5)                                                      | 0.38367                                                | 0.38367                                                |
| Occupancy                             | 0.4575                                                         | 0.4575                                                         | 0.125                                                  | 0.125                                                  |
| $U_{11} \times 100$                   | 3.39                                                           | 3.39                                                           | 28.6(10)                                               | 28.6(10)                                               |
| $U_{33} \times 100$                   | 9.36                                                           | 9.36                                                           | —                                                      | —                                                      |
| $U_{12} \times 100$                   | 0                                                              | 0                                                              | 9.7(9)                                                 | 9.7(9)                                                 |
|                                       | $D2 (0\ 0\ 0)$                                                 | $D2 (0\ 0\ 0)$                                                 | $N \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)$ | $N \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)$ |
| Occupancy                             | 0.915                                                          | 0.915                                                          | 1                                                      | 1                                                      |
| $U_{11} \times 100$                   | 16.08                                                          | 16.08                                                          | 6.03(5)                                                | 6.03(5)                                                |
| $U_{33} \times 100$                   | 4.36                                                           | 4.36                                                           | —                                                      | —                                                      |
| $U_{12} \times 100$                   | 5.54                                                           | 5.54                                                           | 0                                                      | 0                                                      |
| $\chi^2$                              | 2.775                                                          | 0.9779                                                         | —                                                      | 16.04                                                  |
| $R_p$                                 | 0.0387                                                         | 0.0758                                                         | —                                                      | 0.0292                                                 |
| $R_{wp}$                              | 0.0276                                                         | 0.0498                                                         | —                                                      | 0.0206                                                 |

<sup>a</sup> Three different refinements results are shown: start ( $\text{BaD}_2$ ), middle ( $\text{BaD}_2$  and  $\text{BaND}$ ) and end ( $\text{BaND}$ ).







Fig. 8 Contour plot showing the evolution of NPD patterns versus pattern number (each pattern is  $\sim 2$  min). After 20 min of exposure to  $N_2$ , the (102) reflection of  $BaD_2$  splits, while the (110) peak remains unchanged. The emergence of a second phase is clear at pattern number 40 (80 min).

deuteride, and the resulting phase, barium imide, were produced. In the case of the precursor  $BaD_2$ , a 12 min predoping pattern was fitted using the model published by Irvine *et al.*<sup>19</sup> The refinement results are available in Table 2 and the fit is shown in Fig. 9a. As noted previously, due to the high covariance of the thermal parameters ( $U$ ) and the occupancies of the D sites, the occupancies were fixed according to the thermal gravimetric analysis done by Verbraeken *et al.*<sup>28</sup> A noticeable difference in the lattice parameters is seen for the starting phase of this experiment (the volume is  $\sim 1\%$  smaller), as well as the D1 U33 thermal parameter being  $1/3$  smaller compared to previously published results.<sup>19</sup>

In order to calculate the conductivity measured by EIS, the geometric factor was calculated to be  $0.179\text{ cm}^{-1}$  based on the geometry of the electrodes. Interestingly, this calculated  $G$  gives a conductivity of just  $0.050\text{ S cm}^{-1}$  for  $BaD_2$  at  $650\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , which is significantly smaller than previously reported values.<sup>19,28</sup>

An interesting change was noticed in the  $BaD_2$  reflections before the emergence of a secondary phase: the (102) reflection split in two, with a slightly larger  $d$ -spacing peak growing over the course of the experiment (see Fig. 8). A similar split was not observed in the (110) reflection. Calculations were made to quantify the change in lattice parameter for the  $a$ -axis and  $c$ -axis between pattern 1 and pattern 43, and it was found that the  $c$ -axis grew by  $1.43\%$  while the  $a$ -axis expanded by  $0.0654\%$ , less than half. The expansion of the lattice before a transformation to the  $BaND$  phase implies nitrogen doping into the bulk of  $BaD_2$ .

In the high temperature  $BaD_2$  system, there are two hydride sites. The first site (D1) is on the split 4f site, and has been shown previously to be anisotropically distributed in the  $[001]$ , while the second site (D2) is on the 2a site, and has a spherical distribution (seen both in NPD refinement results, and total scattering reverse Monte Carlo simulations).<sup>19</sup> Previously, we showed that the anisotropic displacement of the D1 site is associated with high energy geometric frustration, that leads to concerted migration and superionic conductivity. The expansion of the lattice matching with the anisotropic distribution of the D1 site, implies that the nitrogen species (be it  $N^{3-}$  or  $NH^{2-}$ ) is selectively doping into the D1. This







Fig. 9 NPD patterns: (a) starting pattern refined with the HT BaD<sub>2</sub> phase. (b) Pattern 120 (i.e. the middle of the experiment) refined with a HT BaD<sub>2</sub> phase and a BaND phase. The refinement gave an 80% concentration of BaND by weight. (c) Last 73 patterns summed and refined with a BaD<sub>2</sub> and BaND phase. The refinement gave a 96% concentration of BaND by weight.

result matches well with the ionic conductivity model predicting a D1 only diffusion mechanism.

A new phase can be seen emerging after 40 min, and becomes clear after 80 min in Fig. 8. This phase was modelled by summing the last 73 patterns of the experiment and refining the result. The results show that doping BaD<sub>2</sub> at 650 °C with N<sub>2</sub> produced barium imide (BaNH(D); see Table 2 and Fig. 9b). The crystal model proposed by Sichla *et al.*<sup>24</sup> was used as a starting point. The imide proton was placed on the 32f position with a fixed occupancy giving a stoichiometric compound. The position of the proton was refined as well as the thermal parameter, but again, due to covariance, these two parameters could not be refined simultaneously. The large thermal parameter observed for the 32f position suggests that a lower symmetry site may better represent the positional disorder. Fourier difference maps indicated that a 192 general site could be used. However, it was found that the fitting became unstable when refinement of the coordinates of the 192 site was attempted, likely due to the extremely low occupancy of the site (2.08% of the sites would actually be occupied). The data does indicate that the imide proton is extremely positionally disordered, likely due to rotation of the NH<sup>2-</sup> species inside the lattice.







Fig. 10 Trend in calculated conductivity and phase fraction of BaND for doping of BaD<sub>2</sub> with N<sub>2</sub> experimentally. The emergence of BaND is associated with a decrease in conductivity. Beyond 280 min, the resistance associated with the high frequency response increases dramatically making the accurate modelling of the  $R_s$  difficult.

Next, the BaD<sub>2</sub> and BaND refinement results were used to model all 240 patterns collected during the experiment. First, manual refinement of the 120th pattern was conducted. These results are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 9c. Next, SEQGSAS refinements were conducted going up and down the sequence from the 120th. Refinement was only conducted on the phase fraction and lattice parameters of the phases. After 8 h, the BaD<sub>2</sub> phase had almost completely been converted to BaND (98%). The series resistance value increased from an initial value of 3.59  $\Omega$  to a value of 12.55  $\Omega$  after 280 min (see Fig. 10). The results show that at 280 min there is an 84% concentration of BaND and a conductivity of 0.0143 S cm<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, it appears possible that barium imide is an ionic conductor as well. However, the next EIS spectrum showed a dramatic increase in the high frequency response with an  $R_p = 8.44 \times 10^5 \Omega$  and a capacitance of  $3.34 \times 10^{-12}$  F cm<sup>-1</sup>, whereas before this dataset, the high frequency response was likely associated with mass transfer at the electrode ( $10^{-4}$  F cm<sup>-1</sup>). According to Irvine *et al.*, the new pF capacitance value would be too small for a grain boundary effect, suggesting that it is associated with bulk diffusion.<sup>21</sup> However, it is not clear why the impedance response of the system would change by several orders of magnitude with a small increase in imide phase fraction (at 282 min the fraction is 87%). Furthermore, there was no noticeable change in the NPD patterns other than the small change in phase fractions. Together, the results suggest that the system reached a percolation threshold.

Therefore, it seems likely that barium imide conducts ions, possibly protons. However, at higher concentrations, there seems to be a dramatic change in behaviour. In conjunction with the results published by Gao *et al.*,<sup>6,7</sup> it is likely that it is an ionic conductor. This result agrees with the Ca<sub>2</sub>NH system; a N-H system that shows good ionic conductivity also has ammonia synthesis activity, and systems that are poor ionic conductors, like CaNH, do not show good activity.

## 4 Conclusions

$\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>NH formed from Ca<sub>2</sub>N is likely a hydride ion conductor like  $\beta$ -Ca<sub>2</sub>NH formed from CaH<sub>2</sub>. However, the measured ionic conductivity for this new  $\beta$ -phase is



about  $4\times$  lower than that measured previously.<sup>11</sup> The difference may be related to the equilibrium formed between  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  and  $\text{CaH}_2$  (roughly 90 : 10) as opposed to the full conversion of  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  to  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$ . Or, the difference may be a result of different anionic species ordering with one  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  derived species potentially forming in the  $R\bar{3}m$  space group rather than the  $Fd\bar{3}m$ . NMR and Raman results show that the average energy profile of the systems are quite similar, with the exception of static disordering present in the  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  derived system, as evidenced by the relative broadness of the NMR peak.

Furthermore, we show that the  $\beta$ -phase formed from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  could be converted to an  $\alpha$ -phase by exposing the system to  $\text{H}_2$  at temperatures above 650 °C. The formation of the  $\alpha$ -phase was characterised by a decrease in the measured bulk ionic conductivity, as well as a dramatic growth in the resistance associated with the electrode response. The growth of the electrode response could be potentially related to the formation of imide species and the mass transfer between them and the Pd electrode. For example, the formation of surface imide species has been shown to affect the agglomeration of TM catalysis.<sup>2</sup>


Finally, it was shown that the solid solution formed from the  $\beta$ -phase was fundamentally different than that formed directly from  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$ .<sup>11</sup> Specifically, we noted that the solid solution formed from the  $\beta$ -phase had the characteristic broadening towards lower  $d$ -spacings, while that from the  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$  phase, had broadening towards higher  $d$ -spacings. This distinction is important since the equivalent lattice parameter for the nitride–hydride is 5.065 Å,<sup>27</sup> while the imide is 5.143 Å,<sup>24</sup> meaning that shoulders towards lower  $d$ -spacings are likely more nitride–hydride in character, with the opposite true for higher  $d$ -spacings. Thus, while the  $\beta$ -phase can and will host secondary anion sites, these sites are lower in concentration than for the  $\alpha$ -phase formed from  $\alpha\text{-Ca}_3\text{N}_2$ . This result perhaps explains why the conductivity measured for the  $\beta$ -phase did not decrease as dramatically as observed previously.

Next, we explored the effects of doping  $\text{BaD}_2$  with  $\text{N}_2$  at 650 °C. The NPD data suggests that the N-species is preferentially doped into the D1 site of  $\text{BaD}_2$ , as shown by the anisotropic expansion of the lattice in  $[001]$  and the splitting of the (102) reflection.

Next, we noted that as barium imide appeared, the measured conductivity dropped before hitting a nadir of 1/4 the starting value at a phase fraction of 84% BaND. Past this point, a dramatic change in the EIS data showed a new arc appearing with a resistance of  $8.44 \times 10^5 \Omega$  and a capacitance of  $3.34 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F cm}^{-1}$ . The reason for this change is not clear, but it may indicate that the imide system performs poorly as a pure species.

Together, the results show that  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  can be made from  $\text{Ca}_2\text{N}$  with a good ionic conductivity of  $1.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ . This conductivity potentially plays an important role in promoting ammonia synthesis, as shown by the activity of this species with a Ru catalyst.<sup>1</sup>

Finally, we showed that the formation of BaND up to a weight percent of 84% only marginally affected the ionic conductivity of the system, while the formation of imide species in a concentration of just 20% (from 0.857 occupancy of N in the  $\text{CaNH}$  phase, and the 27% weight fraction of that phase) in the  $\beta\text{-Ca}_2\text{NH}$  was shown to decrease the measured conductivity by half. This result may explain why the presence of barium stabilises N–H systems as observed by Inoue, Kitano, and Hattori *et al.*<sup>3,8,12</sup>




# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Notes and references

- 1 M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, H. Ishikawa, K. Yamagata, T. Nakao, T. Tada, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4036–4043.
- 2 H. Abe, Y. Niwa, M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, M. Sasase, T. Nakao, T. Tada, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 20900–20904.
- 3 M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, M. Sasase, K. Kishida, Y. Kobayashi, K. Nishiyama, T. Tada, S. Kawamura, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2648–2652.
- 4 J. W. Makepeace, J. M. Brittain, A. Sukhwani Manghnani, C. A. Murray, T. J. Wood and W. I. David, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, **23**, 15091–15100.
- 5 P. Wang, F. Chang, W. Gao, J. Guo, G. Wu, T. He and P. Chen, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 64–70.
- 6 W. Gao, P. Wang, J. Guo, F. Chang, T. He, Q. Wang, G. Wu and P. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 3654–3661.
- 7 W. Gao, J. Guo, P. Wang, Q. Wang, F. Chang, Q. Pei, W. Zhang, L. Liu and P. Chen, *Nat. Energy*, 2018, **3**, 1067–1075.
- 8 Y. Inoue, M. Kitano, K. Kishida, H. Abe, Y. Niwa, M. Sasase, Y. Fujita, H. Ishikawa, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 7577–7584.
- 9 F. Abild-Pedersen, J. Greeley, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl, T. R. Munter, P. G. Moses, E. Skúlason, T. Bligaard and J. K. Nørskov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 4–7.
- 10 M. Kitano, J. Kujirai, K. Ogasawara, S. Matsuishi, T. Tada, H. Abe, Y. Niwa and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 20344–20353.
- 11 G. J. Irvine, R. I. Smith, M. O. Owen Jones and J. T. Irvine, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, in press.
- 12 M. Hattori, T. Mori, T. Arai, Y. Inoue, M. Sasase, T. Tada, M. Kitano, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 10977–10984.
- 13 P. Chen, Z. Xiong, J. Luo, J. Lin and K. L. Tan, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 10967–10970.
- 14 W. I. David, M. O. Jones, D. H. Gregory, C. M. Jewell, S. R. Johnson, A. Walton and P. P. Edwards, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1594–1601.
- 15 E. Weidner, D. J. Bull, I. L. Shabalin, S. G. Keens, M. T. F. Telling and D. K. Ross, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **444**, 76–79.
- 16 G. Miceli, C. S. Cucinotta, M. Bernasconi and M. Parrinello, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 2645.
- 17 D. H. Gregory, A. Bowman, C. F. Baker and D. P. Weston, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2000, **10**, 1635–1641.
- 18 K. Lee, S. W. Kim, Y. Toda, S. Matsuishi and H. Hosono, *Nature*, 2013, **494**, 336–340.
- 19 G. J. Irvine, F. Demmel, H. Y. Playford, G. Carins, M. O. Jones and J. T. S. Irvine, *Chem. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 9934–9944.
- 20 S. Hull, R. I. Smith, W. I. David, A. C. Hannon, J. Mayers and R. Cywinski, *Phys. B*, 1992, **180–181**, 1000–1002.



- 21 J. T. S. Irvine, D. C. Sinclair and A. R. West, *Adv. Mater.*, 1990, **2**, 132–138.
- 22 B. H. Toby, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2001, **34**, 210–213.
- 23 B. Wegner, R. Essmann, J. Bock, H. Jacobs and P. Fischer, *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **29**, 1217–1227.
- 24 T. Sichla, F. Altorfer, D. Hohlwein, K. Reimann, M. Steube, J. Wrzesinski and H. Jacobs, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1997, **623**, 414–422.
- 25 M. C. Verbraeken, E. Suard and J. T. Irvine, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2011, **184**, 2088–2096.
- 26 F. Altorfer, W. Buhner, B. Winkler, G. Coddens, R. Essmann and H. Jacobs, *Solid State Ionics*, 1994, **70–71**, 272–277.
- 27 J. F. Brice, J. P. Motte, A. Courtois, J. Protas and J. Aubry, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1976, **17**, 135–142.
- 28 M. C. Verbraeken, C. Cheung, E. Suard and J. T. S. Irvine, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 95–100.

