Environmental Science
Water Research & Technology

PAPER

Check for updates

Does the number of cells of individual strains correlate with their contribution to the total substrate turnover within a microbial community?†

Daniel Buchner, [iD] * Johannes Scheckenbach,
Philipp R. Martin [iD] and Stefan B. Haderlein [iD]

The contribution of individual bacterial strains within a mixed microbial community to the overall turnover of a specific compound is often assessed using qPCR data quantifying strain-specific 16S rRNA or functional genes. Here we compare the results of a qPCR based approach with those of compound specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA), which relies on strain-specific magnitudes of kinetic isotope fractionation associated with the biotransformation of a compound. To this end, we performed tetrachloroethylene (PCE) transformation experiments using a synthetic binary culture containing two different *Desulfitobacterium* strains (*Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51; $\varepsilon_{C,PCE}$ = −5.8‰ and *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1; $\varepsilon_{C,PCE}$ = −19.7‰). Cell abundances were analyzed *via* qPCR of functional genes and compared to strain-specific PCE turnover derived *via* carbon isotope fractionation. Repeated spiking of an initially strain Y51 dominated synthetic binary culture with PCE led to a steadily increasing contribution of strain PCE1 to PCE turnover ($\varepsilon_{C,initial}$ = −5.6 ± 0.6‰ to $\varepsilon_{C,final}$ = −18.0 ± 0.6‰) which was not or only weakly reflected in the changes of the cell abundances. The CSIA data further indicate that strain-specific PCE turnover varied by more than 75% at similar cell abundances of the two strains. Thus, the CSIA approach provided new and unexpected insights into the evolution of the metabolic activity of the single strains within a synthetic binary culture and indicates that strain-specific substrate turnover appears to be controlled by physiological and enzymatic properties of the strains rather than their cell abundance.

Water impact

The biotransformation potential at contaminated aquifers undergoing bioremediation is often assessed using qPCR data targeting 16S rRNA or functional genes. Yet, data from compound specific isotope analysis demonstrates that the most abundant bacterial strain(s) may not be responsible for the transformation under investigation. These findings need to be considered when assessing the potential for bioremediation of aquifers for drinking water production.

1. Introduction

The unambiguous identification of a single bacterial strain within a microbial community that metabolizes a specific biogeochemical process is still a major challenge but is a prerequisite to gain insights into bacterial-driven contaminant transformation.[1,2] Deciphering the metabolic activity of different key organisms within a microbial community is difficult as (i) several bacterial strains can catalyze the same process and/or (ii) metabolic versatile bacterial strains do not necessarily catalyze the process under investigation, *e.g.*, contaminant transformation. Direct evidence of catalytic activity can be obtained through enzyme-activity assays under specific conditions with respect to pH, temperature, or cofactors.[3,4] However, these techniques can be time and cost intensive. Furthermore, the mere detection of a specific enzyme does not provide conclusive evidence of its actual catalytic activity.[5] Despite the potential of new (meta-)omics techniques such as metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and metaproteomics,[6] evidence for bacterial substrate turnover is often derived from the quantification of 16S rRNA of specific bacteria or of functional genes at the DNA level. This is because this

Department of Geosciences, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany.
E-mail: daniel.buchner@uni-tuebingen.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ew00511b

2466 | *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2024, **10**, 2466–2477

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

analytical technique is well established and widely available. Such data are used according to the following principles: firstly, an increase in 16S rRNA of specific bacteria or functional gene copy numbers in space and/or time is correlated with a specific process under investigation; secondly, the specific bacterial strain(s) with the highest abundance catalyze the process. However, it is important to note that these data only provide information on the genetic potential of a microbial community but do not give insights into the actual bacterial activity.[7,8] Consequently, it is possible to imagine a scenario in which high turnover per cell can compensate for low cell numbers (and *vice versa*), thereby violating the basic principles. For metabolically flexible bacteria that can also grow on a different substrate, cell numbers and even growth may not be correlated to the compound turnover under investigation at all.

Identification (and quantification) of bacterial key players is highly relevant for bioremediation, for instance at sites contaminated with chlorinated ethenes (CEs). Bioremediation is often used as a follow-up treatment after the use of conventional methods (*e.g.*, pump and treat), as the latter have proven ineffective at low residual concentrations of CEs.[9–11] These biological remediation approach relies on organohalide-respiring bacteria (OHRB) which use halogenated hydrocarbons like tetrachloroethene (PCE) or trichloroethene (TCE) as terminal electron acceptor to generate growth supporting energy.[9,10] OHRB are found in diverse microbial taxa and can be grouped into metabolically versatile (*e.g.*, *Desulfuromonas*, *Desulfitobacterium*) or obligate OHRB which are restricted to organohalides (*e.g.*, *Dehalococcoides*, *Dehalobacter*).[12,13] The enzymes that catalyze the transformation of CEs belong to the group of reductive dehalogenases (RdhA) and are encoded in the genome of OHRB within *rdh*-gene operons.[13,14]

Characterization and monitoring of OHRB in microbial (enrichment) cultures and at contaminated sites is routinely carried out by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) targeting CE-*rdhA*-genes or 16S rRNA-genes of OHRB like *Desulfitobacterium* or *Dehalococcoides*.[15–18] The analyzed gene trends (increase or decrease in time and/or space) are used to estimate the contribution of individual OHRB strains to the complete dichlorination of PCE (*e.g.*, *vcrA*-gene indicative for the transformation of *cis*-DCE to VC and ethene[19,20]). Numerous studies analyzed CE-*rdhA*- and 16S rRNA-gene abundances of different OHRB from multiple genera.[21–25] These studies indicate that typically several OHRB strains of *Dehalococcoides*, *Desulfitobacterium*, *Dehalobacter*, *Desulfuromonas* coexist within a microbial community and are involved in the complete dehalogenation of PCE to ethene. Furthermore one transformation step (*e.g.*, PCE to TCE is done by *Desulfitobacterium*, *Dehalobacter*, *Geobacter*, and/or *Dehalococcoides*) was shown to be carried out by several OHRB.[10,26] Changes over time in the abundance of *rdhA*-genes of different OHRB in enrichment cultures also indicated changes in the contribution of the different strains to CE turnover.[22,27,28] For obligate OHRB the qPCR data are

quite conclusive as growth is directly coupled to organohalide transformation.[17,29] Nevertheless there are also potential pitfalls in the determination of the actual substrate turnover of these bacteria, as studies have shown that transformation and growth can be decoupled.[30–32] Johnson *et al.*[33] proposed a quorum-sensing-type regulatory mechanism to control maximum cell densities, decoupling the growth of a *Dehalococcoides mccartyi* strain from dehalogenation. The presence and growth of versatile OHRB cannot be taken as clear evidence for organohalide respiration, as they are not restricted to this process to gain energy. In addition, one needs to consider that strain-specific substrate turnover is not a pure function of the number of cells as also the number of the catalyzing enzymes per cell can change.[30] Even further the enzyme activity itself was reported to changes upon environmental factors such as pH, substrate supply or cofactors.[34–36] For example, a scenario is conceivable, in which an increase in the cell numbers of a strain is observed, but due to a simultaneous decrease in enzyme activity, the contribution to the turnover of a substance by this strain is actually reduced. Due to these ambiguities, the presented study aims to shed light onto the qPCR derived quantification of functional genes (equivalent to cell numbers) as a proxy for the PCE turnover of a specific strain within a synthetic binary culture.

Next to molecular biological analysis, transformation processes can also be monitored and quantified *via* compound specific stable isotope analysis (CSIA). This approach relies on typically slightly faster reaction rate constants for molecules containing a light isotope (*e.g.*, ^{12}C or ^{35}Cl) at the reactive position compared to molecules containing a heavy isotope (*e.g.*, ^{13}C or ^{37}Cl). This process, known as kinetic isotope fractionation, results in an enrichment of the heavy isotopes in the residual substrate pool as it undergoes transformation.[37,38] The magnitude of isotope fractionation is quantified by the isotope enrichment factor (ε).[37,39] Previous studies investigated the effect of different cultivation conditions on the ε values for chlorinated ethenes both in pure cultures and in enrichment cultures in which a single OHRB catalyzed the dehalogenation.[30,40] Measured ε values were robust and not affected by repeated chlorinated ethene addition, different electron donor and acceptor concentrations or micronutrient availability.[5,30,40] However, the ε value observed in a mixed culture is a lumped parameter comprising the strain-specific enrichment factors and the strains' contributions to substrate turnover.[41,42] To this end, the shift of 8‰ in the PCE carbon isotope enrichment factor (ε_C) in a microbial enrichment culture was correlated with a change in the predominantly dechlorinating OHRB, highlighting the use of CSIA to observe and even quantify changes in the contribution of different transformation processes.[43] CSIA is particularly valuable in cases where the substrate is converted to the same products (*e.g.*, PCE to TCE), as this makes it impossible to distinguish between the two transformation pathways based on product formation. The diagnostic power of CSIA is further improved

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2024, **10**, 2466–2477 | **2467**

by the analysis of a second isotope system. For chlorinated ethenes the combination of carbon and chlorine CSIA may reveal mass transfer limitation, masking effects as well as changes in the underlying reaction mechanisms of the catalyzing enzymes.[44–46]

Our study aimed to investigate the reliability of changes in cell abundances (quantification of functional genes *via* qPCR on DNA level) as proxy for strain-specific substrate turnover during contaminant biotransformation and to gain insights into the development of the metabolic activity of the investigated OHRB. To this end, reductive dechlorination of PCE was investigated within a synthetic binary culture containing *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51 (initial PCE1 : Y51 ratio of 1 : 5 (v/v)). These strains were selected because their isotope enrichment factors for PCE differ considerably (strain PCE1: $\varepsilon_C = -19.7‰$ and $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -6.3‰$, strain Y51: $\varepsilon_C = -5.8‰$ and $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -2.2‰$),[47] allowing the use of isotope fractionation as a proxy for strain-specific PCE turnover. Additionally, cell numbers of the individual strains were tracked quantifying PCE-*rdhA*-gene abundances of the individual strains (strain PCE1: *prdA*-gene, strain Y51: *pceA*-gene; one copy of the respective gene per genome).[48–50]

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals

Chemicals were purchased from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany), Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) or Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) with the highest available level of purity.

2.2 Cultivation of *Desulfitobacterium* spp. and experimental setups

Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans strain PCE1 (no. 10344) was obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ). *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51 was kindly provided by Prof. Furukawa of the Department of Food and Bioscience, Beppu University (Japan). Precultures were cultivated in 250 mL serum flasks containing 200 mL liquid medium, 200 μM PCE and 1 mM pyruvate. Serum bottles were placed on a horizontal shaker (140 rpm, 48 h) to dissolve the neat PCE. Serum bottles contained an anoxic, sodium carbonate buffered medium as described by Buchner *et al.*,[30] while the headspace was flushed with N_2/CO_2 (80% : 20%). Precultures were grown on PCE after prior degassing with N_2/CO_2 (80% : 20%) for 90 min prior to inoculation to remove the PCE transformation products. Successful removal was screened *via* GC-MS.

The re-spike transformation experiments were conducted in 560 mL serum bottles sealed with butyl rubber stoppers. The inoculation was done in an anaerobic glove box ($O_2 < 1$ ppm) by adding 40 mL (8% v/v) of a strain PCE1 preculture to 200 mL of PCE adapted cells of strain Y51. PCE and pyruvate were added pre-dissolved in 250 mL fresh medium as spike solution yielding a final concentration of 200 μM PCE and 1 mM pyruvate. The setup comprised two living-replicates and a cell-free control.

The setup was continuously cultivated within six monitored PCE (re-)spike experiments to investigate development of cell numbers as well as the observable PCE and TCE isotope fractionation. All experiments were conducted with PCE (200 μM) as electron acceptor, except for the 8th spike in which 200 μM TCE were supplied as electron acceptor. After initial PCE transformation PCE was continuously supplied to the culture and selected dehalogenation events were monitored (see Table 1 and Fig. 1). For non-monitored PCE additions, neat PCE was added and dissolved by placing the cultures on a rotary shaker at 140 rpm to achieve a final concentration of 200 μM. Monitored experiments were initiated in the glovebox by adding PCE spike solutions. For the 8th spike, initial TCE transformation was monitored and followed by three additional non-monitored additions of neat TCE (each 200 μM). Each chlorinated ethene addition was accompanied with the addition of 1 mM pyruvate as electron donor. After each complete monitored or non-monitored PCE/TCE transformation, cultures were degassed with N_2/CO_2 and screened for residual chlorinated ethenes by GC-MS. Experimental flasks were individually maintained including the 7th spike. Thereafter, both living replicates were pooled in a sterilized 1 L glass bottle after monitored transformation experiments and equally divided into sterilized 560 mL serum flasks prior to the following monitored experiment. Cultures were stored in the dark. The control bottle was treated the same way as living replicates, but non-monitored PCE/TCE additions were omitted.

2.3 Sample extraction and analytical methods

Sample extraction. For downstream analysis (qPCR, chlorinated ethene concentration, carbon and chlorine isotope analysis, qPCR) liquid samples were extracted using gas-tight glass syringes (ILS GmbH, Stützerbach, Germany). Further information on sample handling, preservation and storage can be found in the ESI.†

Chlorinated ethene concentrations. Analysis of chlorinated ethenes was performed in duplicates on a GC-MS system (Agilent Technologies 7890A GC coupled to an Agilent Technologies 5975VL MS Detector, Santa Clara, CA) *via* static headspace injection of 500 μL using a Gerstel MultiPurpose Sampler (Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany). For details see ESI.†

Stable carbon isotope analysis. Stable carbon isotope signatures of PCE, TCE and *cis*-DCE were determined using gas chromatography combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS) comprising a Trace GC Ultra (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA) coupled to a Delta Plus XP IRMS detector (ThermoFinnigan) *via* a GC Combustion III interface (ThermoFinnigan). For details see ESI.†

Stable chlorine isotope analysis. Stable chlorine isotope signatures of PCE and TCE were analyzed using an Agilent Technologies 7890B GC coupled to an Agilent Technologies

2468 | *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2024, **10**, 2466–2477

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Table 1 Overview of experiments conducted with the synthetic binary culture of *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51. Shown is the provided chlorinated ethene, the corresponding number of total chlorinated ethene (CE) dehalogenation events between the monitored experiments, duration of the monitored experiments and the time in between the experiments

Experiment	Provided CE (number of transformation event(s))	Duration in days	Time since last monitored dehalogenation event in days
1st spike	PCE	<1	0
4th spike	PCE (3)	1 (M1)[a]	22
		2 (M2)[a]	
7th spike	PCE (6)	<1	36
8th spike	TCE (1)	6 (M1)[a]	21
		16 (M2)[a]	
12th spike	PCE (7) after 4× TCE	<1	26
15th spike	PCE (10)	<1	38

[a] Refers to the single microcosms (M) of the individual experiment.

Fig. 1 Overview of the (re-)spike experiments of the synthetic binary culture containing *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51.

5977A MSD, equipped with a CombiPAL autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland).[51,52] A two-point calibration curve with external standards (PCE: $\delta^{37}Cl_{EIL-2}$ = −2.52‰; $\delta^{37}Cl$-PCE$_{enriched}$ = 10.8‰ (recently developed by Buchner *et al.*[53]) and TCE: $\delta^{37}Cl_{EIL2}$ = −2.7‰, $\delta^{37}Cl_{EIL1}$ = 3.05‰) was used to convert raw data into $\delta^{37}Cl$ isotope values relative to the SMOC scale as recommended in previous studies.[51,52] For details see ESI.†

Determination of isotope enrichment factors (ε). Isotope enrichment factors for carbon and chlorine were determined according to the double logarithmic Rayleigh equation without forcing the regression line through zero as suggested by Scott *et al.* (2004):[54]

$$\ln\left(\frac{R(t)}{R(0)}\right) = \varepsilon \times \ln\left(f(t)_{substrate}\right) \quad (1)$$

$R(t)$ and $R(0)$ denote the isotope ratios at different sampling points and the initial isotope ratio at the start of the experiment, respectively; $f(t)_{substrate}$ is the remaining fraction of PCE or TCE at sampling point t. Fractions of PCE as well as of the less chlorinated ethenes (TCE and *cis*-DCE) were calculated based on the timepoint-wise mass balance correction method described by Buchner *et al.* (2017)[55] to account for the cumulative mass removal due to repetitive sampling. Dual isotope slopes ($\Lambda_{C/Cl}$) and associated standard errors (SE) were calculated for the individual experiments by regressing measured $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{37}Cl$ data using the York method.[56]

Strain-specific substrate turnover based on CSIA data. Strain-specific contribution (F_A and F_B) to the overall PCE turnover within the synthetic binary culture was calculated by:

$$F_A = \frac{\varepsilon_{measured} - \varepsilon_B}{\varepsilon_A - \varepsilon_B} \quad (2)$$

The $\varepsilon_{measured}$ is the measured enrichment factor of the synthetic binary culture and represents the weighted average of strain-specific ε values (ε_A or ε_B).[37,57] Corresponding errors were calculated by error propagation (eqn (S2)†) In an ideal scenario the values of F_A are equal to the fraction of the cell numbers f_A derived *via* qPCR analysis (see eqn (3)).

2.4 Molecular biological analysis

Cell abundances of *D. dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *D. hafniense* strain Y51 were determined using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assays. For strain PCE1, copy numbers of the *prdA*-gene encoding the PCE transforming PrdA enzyme were quantified.[48] For strain Y51, copy numbers of the *pceA*-gene encoding the PCE transforming PceA enzyme were quantified.[49] Based on genome analysis, one copy of the genes is present per genome of the individual strains and measured gene copy numbers therefore equal the cell numbers of strain PCE1 and strain Y51, respectively.[48,58] Detailed information on DNA extraction and the quantification of *prdA*- and *pceA*-genes are provided in the ESI† as well as the determination of error the qPCR analysis.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2024, **10**, 2466–2477 | **2469**

Calculation of cell fractions. Strain-specific PCE-*rdhA*-gene abundances were determined for each experiment at the start of the experiment, the last point with a $\delta^{13}C$ value for PCE and the last sampling point of the experiment. Strain abundances were converted into strain fractions (f_A or f_B) using the total cell numbers of both strains according to:

$$F_A = \frac{\text{cells}_A}{\text{cells}_A + \text{cells}_B} \quad (3)$$

where cells$_A$ or cells$_B$ represent the average of the cell numbers of the two strains of the microcosm replicates at time point t. In an ideal scenario the values of f_A are equal to the strain-specific contribution F_A derived *via* CSIA (see eqn (2)).

Since measured cell numbers of each microcosm are associated with an error, the error of averaged strain fractions at time point t was calculated *via* Gaussian error propagation (see eqn (S1)†).

Calculation of expected isotope enrichment factors in the synthetic binary culture. Theoretical expected ε_C values ($\varepsilon_{C,calculated}$) for each transformation event were calculated and compared to the measured ε_C values ($\varepsilon_{C,measured}$). The $\varepsilon_{C,calculated}$ values were calculated based on the measured strain fractions obtained *via* qPCR analysis (f_A or f_B) and the ε_C values analyzed for pure cultures of the strains ($\varepsilon_{C,A}$ or $\varepsilon_{C,B}$):[41]

$$\varepsilon_{C,calculated} = (\varepsilon_{C,A} \times f_A) + (\varepsilon_{C,B} \times f_B) \quad (4)$$

The $\varepsilon_{C,calculated}$ values represent the weighted average of the strain-specific enrichment factor and the strain-specific contribution. Errors were calculated by error propagation, considering the errors for the strain fractions and the confidence intervals of the pure strain ε values (eqn (S3)†).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Chlorinated ethene transformation pattern within the synthetic binary culture

Setting up a synthetic binary culture containing *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 (dechlorination of PCE to TCE) and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51 (dechlorination of PCE to *cis*-DCE) we monitored PCE as well as TCE turnover upon continuously PCE and TCE re-spiking (see Table 1 and Fig. 1). To gain insight into strain-specific turnover of PCE and TCE, we conducted carbon (and chlorine) isotope analysis and quantified kinetic isotope fractionation. Furthermore, the cell numbers of each strain were tracked by quantifying functional gene numbers *via* qPCR. The initial synthetic binary culture containing the strains PCE1 and Y51 at a volume ratio of 1:5 (strain$_{PCE1}$:strain$_{Y51}$) was re-spiked as follows: (i) 1st spike with PCE followed by, (ii) six PCE re-spikes while the 4th and 7th re-spike were monitored, (iii) four spikes of TCE while the 1st TCE spike (equals to the 8th re-spike) was monitored and finally (iv) five PCE spikes while the 12th and 15th re-spike were monitored.

For all PCE spikes a complete transformation to TCE and finally to *cis*-DCE was observed (see Fig. S1–S5†).

Single PCE transformation events showed durations of up to two days while TCE transformation in the 8th re-spike was completed after 16 days (see Fig. S6†). As TCE can be only metabolized by strain Y51 the TCE profile allows to draw first conclusions on the activity of this strain within the synthetic binary culture. For comparison, in pure culture experiments with strain Y51 a maximum TCE fractions of 20 to 25% are reported when PCE is provided as initial substrate.[47] Thus, high transient TCE fractions such as 65 to 80% (observed in the 7th spike) suggest that strain PCE1 predominantly catalyzed PCE dehalogenation. While low TCE fractions implicate primary catalysis of PCE dehalogenation by strain Y51 as observed in the 1st spike.

3.2 Isotope fractionation as tool to decipher single strain PCE turnover in a synthetic binary culture

PCE isotope fractionation. All observed ε_C, ε_{Cl} and $\Lambda_{C/Cl}$ values of the synthetic binary culture varied within the range given by the pure cultures of strain Y51 and strain PCE1 ($\varepsilon_C = -5.8$ to $-19.7‰$; $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -2.2$ to $-6.3‰$; $\Lambda_{C/Cl} = 2.4$ to 3.1) (Fig. 2).[47] Isotope data of all PCE dehalogenation experiments could be described well by the Rayleigh model in the double logarithmic plots (adjusted $R^2 \geq 0.98$ for carbon & ≥ 0.96 for chlorine). For the synthetic binary culture with an initial inoculated strain$_{PCE1}$:strain$_{Y51}$ volume ratio of 1:5 a gradual shift from a strain Y51 dominated culture towards a strain PCE1 dominated one was observed. While the initial ε_C value was $-5.6 \pm 0.6‰$, ε_C values of $-7.3 \pm 0.3‰$ and $-17.4 \pm 0.7‰$ were observed for the 4th and 7th PCE spike, respectively. The shift was also reflected in ε_{Cl} and $\Lambda_{C/Cl}$ values (4th spike $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -2.6 \pm 0.4‰$, $\Lambda_{C/Cl} = 3.0 \pm 0.1$ and 7th spike $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -5.6 \pm 0.6‰$, $\Lambda_{C/Cl} = 3.13 \pm 0.04$). After four sequential re-spikes with TCE and therefore a provoked selective stimulation of strain Y51 an ε_C value of $-7.3 \pm 0.2‰$ was observed for PCE in the 12th spike, while two further PCE additions resulted again in a shift of the ε_C value to $-18.0 \pm 0.6‰$ in the 15th spike.

The observed variability of the ε_C values in the synthetic binary culture exhibited a clear and reproducible trend regarding the contribution of the two strains to PCE turnover. While in the 1st spike PCE transformation was performed by strain Y51, continuous PCE re-spikes resulted in increasing contributions of strain PCE1 to the overall PCE turnover. The addition of TCE had the potential to reverse this effect, thereby re-establishing a culture in which PCE turnover is once again dominated by strain Y51.

TCE isotope fractionation. TCE transformation in the 8th spike was associated with ε values of $-8.0 \pm 0.2‰$ for carbon and $-2.9 \pm 0.1‰$ for chlorine ($\Lambda_{C/Cl} = 2.8 \pm 0.1$) (Table 2). These values agree well with TCE isotope fractionation reported for strain Y51 pure cultures ($\varepsilon_C = -8.7 \pm 0.2‰$; $\varepsilon_{Cl} = -2.7 \pm 0.2‰$; $\Lambda_{C/Cl} = 3.2 \pm 0.2$)[5,30] and therefore showed that TCE transformation was solely conducted by stain Y51. These values represent the intrinsic enzymatic

2470 | *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.,* 2024, **10**, 2466–2477

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Fig. 2 Carbon and chlorine isotope fractionation of PCE in the synthetic binary culture (re-)spike experiment. Shown are double logarithmic Rayleigh plots for (A) carbon and (B) chlorine isotope fractionation and (C) dual isotope plots ($\delta^{37}Cl$ *vs.* $\delta^{13}C$). Error bars represent standard deviation of measurement replicates (n = 2 for $\delta^{13}C$, n = 3 to 5 for $\delta^{37}Cl$). Dashed lines in (A)–(C) represent PCE isotope fractionation of *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51 in pure culture after Büsing *et al.*[47]

TCE isotope fractionation of the PceA enzyme of strain Y51. Therefore, any effects on the catalyzing enzyme resulting from co-culturing is excluded.

The ε values (carbon and chlorine) for PCE observed for the synthetic binary culture result from the strain-specific ε values and thus reflect the contributions of the individual strains.[41] Therefore, the observed carbon isotope enrichment factors of the synthetic binary culture were used to calculate the strain-specific contribution (F) to the PCE turnover according to eqn (2) (see Table 3). In previous studies in which PCE and TCE isotope fractionation of the two strains was individually investigated in detail, robust strain-specific intrinsic ε_C and ε_{Cl} values were observed despite significant changes of the growth conditions.[5,30,47] Therefore, it is well justified to assume robust strain-specific ε values within the synthetic binary culture. This assumption is further supported by the observed robust TCE isotope fractionation of strain Y51 in the synthetic binary culture.

In the (re-)spike experiments the contribution of strain PCE1 to the overall PCE turnover successively increased during successive PCE addition from no contribution in the 1st PCE spike to 11 ± 3% and 83 ± 6% in 4th re-spike and the 7th re-spike, respectively. A decrease in the contribution to PCE turnover by strain PCE1 was observed after four TCE additions resulting in a contribution of 11 ± 2% in the 12th spike. The following repeated three PCE additions resulted again in an increased PCE turnover of strain PCE1 with a contribution of 88 ± 5% in the 15th re-spike. These results imply that (i) the PCE transformation within the synthetic binary culture develops from a strain Y51 dominated process to a strain PCE1 dominated one and (ii) that this effect can be reversed. Thus, the added chlorinated ethene and the growth history had major impacts on the contribution and subsequently on the currently observed metabolic activities of the two strains. While the PCE turnover of strain PCE1 was enhanced by repeated PCE addition, the PCE turnover of strain Y51 was adversely affected by cultivation in the synthetic binary culture. It is noteworthy that even though TCE can only be used by strain Y51, the strain is unable to use this competitive advantage in order to dominate the synthetic binary culture. On the other hand, repeated TCE addition allows strain Y51 to outcompete strain PCE1 and selectively enhanced the PCE turnover of strain Y51 for the following PCE addition. These results demonstrate that the addition of chlorinated ethene exerts selective pressure on single OHRB strains, which is consistent with previous studies on microbial enrichment cultures and contaminated sites.[28,59] Further studies may investigate possible inhibition/competition effects of the two strains to elucidate the factor underlying the increasing dominance of strain PCE1.

3.3 Correlation of PCE-*rdhA*-gene abundances and strain-specific contribution to PCE turnover

Based on PCE isotope fractionation we demonstrated that PCE turnover of the single strains significantly differed in the synthetic binary culture. In a next step we investigated whether strain-specific PCE turnover is correlated with PCE-

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2024, **10**, 2466–2477 | **2471**

Table 2 Isotope enrichment factors and slopes of dual-isotope plots (Λ) measured in pure cultures for *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51 and *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and the re-spike experiments conducted with a synthetic binary culture containing the two strains. Adjusted R^2 were ≥0.98 for carbon isotope enrichment factors and ≥0.96 for chlorine isotope enrichment factors

Experiment	$\varepsilon_C \pm$ 95% CI[a] in ‰	$\varepsilon_{Cl} \pm$ 95% CI[a] in ‰	$\Lambda_{C/Cl} \pm$ SE[b]
Pure cultures			
Dsb strain Y51 pure[c]	−5.8 ± 0.3	−2.2 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.1
Dsb strain PCE1 pure[c]	−19.7 ± 0.5	−6.3 ± 0.3	3.1 ± 0.1
(Re-)spike experiment			
1st spike (v/v = 1$_{PCE1}$: 5$_{Y51}$)	−5.6 ± 0.6	−2.7 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 0.1
4th spike with PCE	−7.3 ± 0.3	−2.6 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.1
7th spike with PCE	−17.4 ± 0.7	−5.6 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 0.1
12th spike with PCE (after 4× TCE spikes)	−7.3 ± 0.2	−3.6 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.3
15th spike with PCE	−18.0 ± 0.6	−5.5 ± 0.9	3.3 ±0.3
TCE addition			
Dsb strain Y51 pure[d]	−8.7 ± 0.2	−2.7 ± 0.2	3.2 ± 0.2
1st TCE addition (8th spike)	−8.0 ± 0.2	−2.9 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1

[a] 95% confidence interval (CI) for the linear regression in the double logarithmic Rayleigh plots. [b] Standard error (SE) for the York regression calculated after Ojeda *et al.*[56] [c] Data from Büsing *et al.*[47] [d] Data from Buchner *et al.*[5] and Buchner *et al.*[30]

rdhA-gene abundances of the strains. To this end PCE/TCE-*rdhA*-gene copy numbers on DNA level (strain PCE1: *prdA* and strain Y51: *pceA*) were quantified at the start of the experiment, the last point with a $\delta^{13}C$ value for PCE and after complete transformation of PCE to *cis*-DCE. Note that measured PCE-*rdhA*-gene copy numbers correspond to cell numbers of each strain, as there is only one copy of the respective gene per genome.[48,58] In the synthetic binary culture, the total bacterial cell numbers are equal to the cell numbers of strain PCE1 plus the cell numbers of strain Y51. Therefore, for the purposes of simplicity, we will only discuss the development of the cell fractions of strain PCE1 (f_{PCE1}) in %.

In general, only minor changes in the absolute cell numbers of the two strains and subsequently in the strain fractions were observed within one single PCE transformation event (see Fig. 3). For example, in the 1st PCE spike the cell fraction of strain PCE1 shifted slightly from initially 17% to a final fraction of 12% when PCE was fully transformed to *cis*-DCE. On the other hand, a significant shift in f_{PCE1} was observed when comparing the repeated PCE addition events. While the cell fraction of the 1st spike indicates a Y51-dominated culture (f_{PCE1} = 12%) the cell fraction shifted to be PCE1 dominated (f_{PCE1} = 93%) in the 7th spike. Thereafter, the synthetic binary culture composition was dominated by strain PCE1, with strain fractions equal to or greater than 90%. Hence, both strains decoupled cell growth from PCE transformation. Similar observations were reported for pure and enrichment cultures of other OHRB when the total cell numbers exceeded 10^7 cells per mL.[29,30,60] Nutrient limitation and accumulation of toxic metabolites can be excluded to limit microbial cell growth in our experiments as with each spike a 1:2 dilution of the cultures with fresh medium and degassing of dehalogenation products was done.

Total cell numbers of both strains followed a similar trend over all monitored transformation events (see Fig. 3B). The change in the composition of the synthetic binary culture from strain Y51 to strain PCE1 was due to a smaller decrease in the cell number of strain PCE1 compared to strain Y51 from the 1st to the 4th spike, and a stronger growth of strain PCE1 from the 4th to the 7th spike. The results indicate that strain PCE1 was more adaptable in the synthetic binary culture during repeated PCE spikes compared to strain Y51. Interestingly, strain PCE1 maintained its predominant abundance during TCE addition, although no appropriate electron acceptor for this strain was provided. This may be explained by an efficient generation of metabolic energy by strain PCE1 from fermentation of pyruvate which was added with each chlorinated ethene addition.[61]

3.4 Comparison of strain-specific PCE turnover: CSIA *vs.* qPCR

To investigated how strain-specific PCE turnover compares with the measured cell abundances we calculated the contribution of strain PCE1 (F_{PCE1}) according to eqn (2) considering the measured ε_C values ($\varepsilon_{C,measured}$) and the ε_C values of pure cultures of each strain (see Table 3).

The $\varepsilon_{C,calculated}$ value represents the average of the enrichment factors calculated based on the strain fractions at the beginning and the end of the experiment (see Table S1†). The results show that contribution of strain PCE1 (F_{PCE1}) to PCE turnover in most cases did not correlate with the average PCE1 strain fraction (f_{PCE1}) with deviations ranging from 0 to 82% (Table 3). Based on calculated F_{PCE1} values, the cell abundance derived PCE turnover of strain PCE1 was overestimated, especially for the initial transformation period, as well as for the 4th and 12th spike. It is notable

Table 3 Comparison of the contributions of *D. dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *D. hafniense* strain Y51 to PCE transformation based on carbon CSIA and the respective cell fractions based on qPCR in the (re-)spike experiments. Contributions were calculated based on the theoretical framework of van Breukelen (2007)[41] and the corresponding errors *via* error propagation based on the confidence intervals of ε_C values (see ESI† for detailed equations)

Experiment	Carbon CSIA		qPCR		Deviation f_{PCE1} and F_{PCE1}[e] ± error in %
	Measured ε_C ± 95% CI in ‰	Contribution PCE1 $(F_{PCE1})^a$ ± error[b] in %	Average fraction PCE1 (f_{PCE1}) ± error[c] in %	Calculated ε_C ± error[d] in ‰	
1st spike	−5.6 ± 0.6	0 ± 5	18 ± 9	−8.3 ± 1.8	18 ± 10
4th spike	−7.3 ± 0.3	11 ± 3	31 ± 22	−10.1 ± 4.3	20 ± 22
7th spike	−17.4 ± 0.7	83 ± 6	94 ± 5	−18.8 ± 1.1	11 ± 8
12th spike (after 4 TCE spikes)	−7.3 ± 0.2	11 ± 2	92 ± 1	−18.6 ± 0.5	81 ± 2
15th spike	−18.0 ± 0.6	88 ± 5	93 ± 4	−18.9 ± 0.9	5 ± 6

[a] Values calculated according to eqn (2). [b] Values calculated according to eqn (S2).† [c] Values calculated according to eqn (S1).† [d] Values calculated according to eqn (S3).† [e] Deviation was calculated using the formula: dev = $(f_{PCE1} − F_{PCE1}) \times 100\%$.

that at the 1st spike 10^7 cells per mL of strain PCE1 and 4×10^7 cells per mL of strain Y51 were present corresponding to a f_{PCE1} values of 18 ± 9%. However, the CSIA data revealed that the transformation of PCE was solely carried out by strain Y51 (F_{PCE1} = 0 ± 5%). Good correlations were only obtained for 7th and 15th spike where the synthetic binary culture seams to reach a steady state condition and PCE transformation is solely conducted by strain PCE1.

These results demonstrate that strain abundances did not reflect the contribution to PCE turnover of the two strains. Therefore, other physiological factors must have compensated for low cell numbers of strain Y51 at least in the 12th spike. The reductive dehalogenases PrdA (strain PCE1) and PceA (strain Y51) are the catalysts of PCE transformation suggesting that strain-specific dehalogenation contribution was governed by a complex interplay between RdhA *de novo* synthesis rates, amount of catalytically active RdhA per cell and RhdA specific properties of the strains. Thus, low abundances of strain Y51 were likely compensated by a higher content of active PCE-RdhA per cell and/or faster *de novo* RdhA synthesis rates compared to strain PCE1. In addition, more beneficial RdhA properties such as high substrate specificity for PCE (*i.e.*, low Michaelis–Menten constant K_M) and high enzymatic dehalogenation rates may have contributed to high dehalogenation activities of this strain. The high contribution of strain Y51 in the 12th spike, may be explained by constant upregulation of PceA synthesis during prior TCE additions.[30] In contrast, PrdA is not expressed upon exposure to TCE.[62] In general, due to previous TCE addition, a higher physiological adaption by strain Y51 compared to strain PCE1 may explain major PCE transformation by strain Y51 despite its lower abundance in the 12th spike. A calculation of the contribution to PCE turnover per cell revealed that the turnover per cell is highly variable. It is notable that the contribution of strain Y51 cells significantly increased (see eqn (S4) and Fig. S7†) after addition of TCE. This further points towards an enhanced metabolic status of strain Y51 cells due to previous TCE additions.

In summary, our data show that the contribution of individual strains to substrate turnover cannot reliably be inferred from the abundance of cell numbers and/or functional genes, at least not for metabolically versatile bacteria. While CE-*rdhA*-gene and 16S rRNA gene quantification is an essential tool for monitoring and characterizing OHRB in microbial communities, it does not allow for the inference of substrate turnover.

4. Conclusions

Quantifying the turnover of individual bacterial strains is crucial for evaluating and optimizing bioremediation approaches. Well-established techniques include quantification of functional genes encoding key enzymes and CSIA. While functional gene analysis can be used to characterize the general bacterial potential, CSIA can estimate or even quantify *in situ* biotransformation based on appropriate isotope enrichment factors. As multiple OHRB strains are typically present at contaminated sites, it is important to understand the contribution of each strain to the investigated transformation process. This can be achieved by monitoring the evolution of cell numbers and/or functional gene abundance. Increasing numbers are commonly assumed to indicate the contribution to the transformation process under investigation.

In this study, CSIA data revealed that PCE-*rdhA*-gene analysis of a synthetic binary culture containing two OHRB – the simplest case of a microbial community – the contribution to the substrate turnover of the strains is not necessarily reflected by their relative abundance in terms of cell numbers. Thus, activities of single OHRB strains in microbial communities cannot be reliably assessed by CE-*rdhA*-gene and/or cell abundances. Our results demonstrate that despite low *rdhA*-gene abundance, strain-specific RdhA properties and physiological properties may give rise to high dehalogenation turnover.

A combination of CSIA and functional gene analysis in synthetic lab studies can help elucidating strain-specific contaminant turnover. The strain-specific contaminant

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2024, **10**, 2466–2477 | **2473**

Fig. 3 Culture composition and measured carbon isotope enrichment factors for the synthetic binary culture containing *Desulfitobacterium dehalogenans* strain PCE1 and *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* strain Y51. (A) Average fraction of the strain PCE1 and Y51 calculated based on total cell numbers in living replicates (*n* = 2). (B) Averaged cell numbers based on *prdA*- and *pceA*-gene copy numbers of living replicates (*n* = 2). Gene abundances were corrected for dilution due to addition of spike solution. Error bars represent percentage errors calculated *via* error propagation from qPCR assays. Average strain fractions were calculated according to eqn (3) and corresponding error by Gaussian error propagation (see eqn (S1)†).

can be derived from these findings that describes the use of CSIA to elucidate strain-specific contributions at a field site.

Future studies should therefore attempt to investigate the effect of changing cultivation conditions on isotope fractionation of mixed cultures in continuous chemostat cultures, which allows a more dynamic simulation of changing environmental conditions compared to batch cultures. Under certain settings the combination of CSIA and molecular biological tools quantifying *rdhA*-gene transcription can then be applied to elucidate the effect of changes in bacterial physiologies on dehalogenation activities and isotope fractionation.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

D. B.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, supervision, writing – original draft, review & editing. J. S.: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, writing – original draft. P. R. M.: formal analysis, methodology, writing – review & editing. S. B. H: resources, funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

References

1 G. K. Druschel and A. Kappler, Geomicrobiology and Microbial Geochemistry, *Elements*, 2015, **11**, 389–394.

2 C. M. Kao, H. Y. Liao, C. C. Chien, Y. K. Tseng, P. Tang, C. E. Lin and S. C. Chen, The change of microbial community from chlorinated solvent-contaminated groundwater after biostimulation using the metagenome analysis, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2016, **302**, 144–150.

3 H. Bisswanger, Enzyme assays, *Perspect. Sci.*, 2014, **1**, 41–55.

4 D. Turkowsky, N. Jehmlich, G. Diekert, L. Adrian, M. von Bergen and T. Goris, An integrative overview of genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic analyses in organohalide respiration research, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2018, **94**, fiy013.

5 D. Buchner, P. R. Martin, J. Scheckenbach, S. Behrens and S. B. Haderlein, Effects of Bacterial Growth Conditions on Carbon and Chlorine Isotope Fractionation Associated with TCE Biotransformation, *ACS ES&T Water*, 2022, **2**, 2510–2518.

turnover at a field site can be evaluated by quantifying isotope fractionation and OHRB abundance in laboratory experiments using autochthonous microbial inocula from groundwater and sediment in combination with hydrogeochemical data such as pH and redox sensitive species. Furthermore, the performance of *in situ* measures such as stimulating microbial activity or bioaugmentation can be better accessed by such a combined approach. Finally, we would like to point out that a comprehensive analysis of the contribution of different microbial strains using CSIA, as done in the presented work, necessitates specific requirements: (i) only two processes are active in the system under investigation, (ii) the enrichment factors of the individual processes must be known, and (iii) exhibit a high degree of variation. Consequently, no standardized procedure

2474 | *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2024, **10**, 2466–2477

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

6 T. Van Den Bossche, M. O. Arntzen, D. Becher, D. Benndorf, V. G. H. Eijsink, C. Henry, P. D. Jagtap, N. Jehmlich, C. Juste, B. J. Kunath, B. Mesuere, T. Muth, P. B. Pope, J. Seifert, A. Tanca, S. Uzzau, P. Wilmes, R. L. Hettich and J. Armengaud, The Metaproteomics Initiative: a coordinated approach for propelling the functional characterization of microbiomes, Microbiome, 2021, 9, 243.

7 L. A. Achenbach and J. D. Coates, Disparity between Bacterial Phylogeny and Physiology - Comparing 16S rRNA sequences to assess relationships can be a powerful tool, but its limitations need to be considered, ASM news, 2000, 66, 714–715.

8 C. Desai, H. Pathak and D. Madamwar, Advances in molecular and "-omics" technologies to gauge microbial communities and bioremediation at xenobiotic/anthropogen contaminated sites, Bioresour. Technol., 2010, 101, 1558–1569.

9 A. Tiehm, K. R. Schmidt, C. Stoll, A. Müller, S. Lohner, M. Heidinger, F. Wickert and U. Karch, Assessment of natural microbial dechlorination, Ital. J. Eng. Geol. Environ., 2007, 71–77.

10 L. Adrian and F. E. Löffler, Organohalide-Respiring Bacteria, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2016.

11 D. Buchner, M. Schweikhart, S. Behrens, T. Schöndorf, C. Laskov and S. B. Haderlein, Sanierung eines PCE-Schadens in einem makroskopisch oxischen Grundwasserleiter durch Stimulation anaerober dehalogenierender Bakterien, Grundwasser, 2019, 24, 51–63.

12 L. A. Hug, F. Maphosa, D. Leys, F. E. Löffler, H. Smidt, E. A. Edwards and L. Adrian, Overview of organohalide-respiring bacteria and a proposal for a classification system for reductive dehalogenases, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B, 2013, 368, 20120322.

13 F. Maphosa, W. M. de Vos and H. Smidt, Exploiting the ecogenomics toolbox for environmental diagnostics of organohalide-respiring bacteria, Trends Biotechnol., 2010, 28, 308–316.

14 L. A. Hug and E. A. Edwards, Diversity of reductive dehalogenase genes from environmental samples and enrichment cultures identified with degenerate primer PCR screens, Front. Microbiol., 2013, 4, 341.

15 K. M. Ritalahti, B. K. Amos, Y. Sung, Q. Wu, S. S. Koenigsberg and F. E. Loffler, Quantitative PCR targeting 16S rRNA and reductive dehalogenase genes simultaneously monitors multiple Dehalococcoides strains, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2006, 72, 2765–2774.

16 H. Van Raemdonck, A. Maes, W. Ossieur, K. Verthe, T. Vercauteren, W. Verstraete and N. Boon, Real time PCR quantification in groundwater of the dehalorespiring Desulfitobacterium dichloroeliminans strain DCA1, J. Microbiol. Methods, 2006, 67, 294–303.

17 P. K. Lee, T. W. Macbeth, K. S. Sorenson, Jr., R. A. Deeb and L. Alvarez-Cohen, Quantifying genes and transcripts to assess the in situ physiology of "Dehalococcoides" spp. in a trichloroethene-contaminated groundwater site, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2008, 74, 2728–2739.

18 I. Nijenhuis, M. Nikolausz, A. Koth, T. Felfolfi, H. Weiss, J. Drangmeister, J. Grossmann, M. Kastner and H. H. Richnow, Assessment of the natural attenuation of chlorinated ethenes in an anaerobic contaminated aquifer in the Bitterfeld/Wolfen area using stable isotope techniques, microcosm studies and molecular biomarkers, Chemosphere, 2007, 67(2), 300–311.

19 N. Blazquez-Palli, M. Rosell, J. Varias, M. Bosch, A. Soler, T. Vicent and E. Marco-Urrea, Multi-method assessment of the intrinsic biodegradation potential of an aquifer contaminated with chlorinated ethenes at an industrial area in Barcelona (Spain), Environ. Pollut., 2019, 244, 165–173.

20 B. van der Zaan, F. Hannes, N. Hoekstra, H. Rijnaarts, W. M. de Vos, H. Smidt and J. Gerritse, Correlation of Dehalococcoides 16S rRNA and chloroethene-reductive dehalogenase genes with geochemical conditions in chloroethene-contaminated groundwater, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2010, 76, 843–850.

21 N. Yoshida, K. Asahi, Y. Sakakibara, K. Miyake and A. Katayama, Isolation and quantitative detection of tetrachloroethene (PCE)-dechlorinating bacteria in unsaturated subsurface soils contaminated with chloroethenes, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 2007, 104, 91–97.

22 Y. Yang, M. Pesaro, W. Sigler and J. Zeyer, Identification of microorganisms involved in reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated ethenes in an anaerobic microbial community, Water Res., 2005, 39, 3954–3966.

23 K. Rouzeau-Szynalski, J. Maillard and C. Holliger, Frequent concomitant presence of Desulfitobacterium spp. and "Dehalococcoides" spp. in chloroethene-dechlorinating microbial communities, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2011, 90, 361–368.

24 D. Ghezzi, M. Filippini, M. Cappelletti, A. Firrincieli, D. Zannoni, A. Gargini and S. Fedi, Molecular characterization of microbial communities in a peat-rich aquifer system contaminated with chlorinated aliphatic compounds, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 2021, 28, 23017–23035.

25 J. Li, A. Hu, S. Bai, X. Yang, Q. Sun, X. Liao and C. P. Yu, Characterization and Performance of Lactate-Feeding Consortia for Reductive Dechlorination of Trichloroethene, Microorganisms, 2021, 9, 751.

26 R. E. Richardson, Genomic insights into organohalide respiration, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2013, 24, 498–505.

27 L. Hermon, J. Hellal, J. Denonfoux, S. Vuilleumier, G. Imfeld, C. Urien, S. Ferreira and C. Joulian, Functional Genes and Bacterial Communities During Organohalide Respiration of Chloroethenes in Microcosms of Multi-Contaminated Groundwater, Front. Microbiol., 2019, 10, 89.

28 C. Lihl, L. M. Douglas, S. Franke, A. Perez-de-Mora, A. H. Meyer, M. Daubmeier, E. A. Edwards, I. Nijenhuis, B. Sherwood Lollar and M. Elsner, Mechanistic Dichotomy in Bacterial Trichloroethene Dechlorination Revealed by Carbon and Chlorine Isotope Effects, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53, 4245–4254.

29 P. K. Lee, D. R. Johnson, V. F. Holmes, J. He and L. Alvarez-Cohen, Reductive dehalogenase gene expression as a biomarker for physiological activity of Dehalococcoides spp, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2006, **72**, 6161–6168.

30 D. Buchner, S. Behrens, C. Laskov and S. B. Haderlein, Resiliency of Stable Isotope Fractionation (δ(13)C and δ(37)Cl) of Trichloroethene to Bacterial Growth Physiology and Expression of Key Enzymes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 13230–13237.

31 X. Maymo-Gatell, Y. Chien, J. M. Gossett and S. H. Zinder, Isolation of a bacterium that reductively dechlorinates tetrachloroethene to ethene, *Science*, 1997, **276**, 1568–1571.

32 D. R. Johnson, A. Nemir, G. L. Andersen, S. H. Zinder and L. Alvarez-Cohen, Transcriptomic microarray analysis of corrinoid responsive genes in Dehalococcoides ethenogenes strain 195, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2009, **294**, 198–206.

33 D. R. Johnson, E. L. Brodie, A. E. Hubbard, G. L. Andersen, S. H. Zinder and L. Alvarez-Cohen, Temporal Transcriptomic Microarray Analysis of "Dehalococcoides ethenogenes" Strain 195 during the Transition into Stationary Phase, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2008, **74**, 2864–2872.

34 K. Furukawa, A. Suyama, Y. Tsuboi, T. Futagami and M. Goto, Biochemical and molecular characterization of a tetrachloroethene dechlorinating Desulfitobacterium sp. strain Y51: a review, *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **32**, 534–541.

35 J. Yan, B. Simsir, A. T. Farmer, M. Bi, Y. Yang, S. R. Campagna and F. E. Loffler, The corrinoid cofactor of reductive dehalogenases affects dechlorination rates and extents in organohalide-respiring Dehalococcoides mccartyi, *ISME J.*, 2016, **10**, 1092–1101.

36 S. T. Lohner and A. M. Spormann, Identification of a reductive tetrachloroethene dehalogenase in Shewanella sediminis, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B*, 2013, **368**, 20120326.

37 M. Elsner, Stable isotope fractionation to investigate natural transformation mechanisms of organic contaminants: principles, prospects and limitations, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 2010, **12**, 2005–2031.

38 T. B. Hofstetter, R. Bakkour, D. Buchner, H. Eisenmann, A. Fischer, M. Gehre, S. B. Haderlein, P. Höhener, D. Hunkeler, G. Imfeld, M. A. Jochmann, S. Kümmel, P. R. Martin, S. G. Pati, T. C. Schmidt, C. Vogt and M. Elsner, Perspectives of compound-specific isotope analysis of organic contaminants for assessing environmental fate and managing chemical pollution, *Nat. Water*, 2024, **2**, 14–30.

39 M. A. Jochmann and T. C. Schmidt, *Compound-specific Stable Isotope Analysis*, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, U. K., 2012.

40 K. C. Harding, P. K. Lee, M. Bill, T. E. Buscheck, M. E. Conrad and L. Alvarez-Cohen, Effects of varying growth conditions on stable carbon isotope fractionation of trichloroethene (TCE) by tceA-containing Dehalococcoides mccartyi strains, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**, 12342–12350.

41 B. M. van Breukelen, Extending the Rayleigh equation to allow competing isotope fractionating pathways to improve quantification of biodegradation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, **41**, 4004–4010.

42 B. M. Van Breukelen, Quantifying the degradation and dilution contribution to natural attenuation of contaminants by means of an open system rayleigh equation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, **41**, 4980–4985.

43 Y. Dong, E. C. Butler, R. P. Philp and L. R. Krumholz, Impacts of microbial community composition on isotope fractionation during reductive dechlorination of tetrachloroethylene, *Biodegradation*, 2011, **22**, 431–444.

44 S. Cretnik, K. A. Thoreson, A. Bernstein, K. Ebert, D. Buchner, C. Laskov, S. Haderlein, O. Shouakar-Stash, S. Kliegman, K. McNeill and M. Elsner, Reductive dechlorination of TCE by chemical model systems in comparison to dehalogenating bacteria: insights from dual element isotope analysis (13C/12C, 37Cl/35Cl), *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **47**, 6855–6863.

45 B. Heckel, S. Cretnik, S. Kliegman, O. Shouakar-Stash, K. McNeill and M. Elsner, Reductive Outer-Sphere Single Electron Transfer Is an Exception Rather than the Rule in Natural and Engineered Chlorinated Ethene Dehalogenation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 9663–9673.

46 J. Renpenning, S. Keller, S. Cretnik, O. Shouakar-Stash, M. Elsner, T. Schubert and I. Nijenhuis, Combined C and Cl isotope effects indicate differences between corrinoids and enzyme (Sulfurospirillum multivorans PceA) in reductive dehalogenation of tetrachloroethene, but not trichloroethene, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **48**, 11837–11845.

47 J. Büsing, D. Buchner, S. Behrens and S. B. Haderlein, Deciphering the Variability of Stable Isotope (C, Cl) Fractionation of Tetrachloroethene Biotransformation by Desulfitobacterium strains Carrying Different Reductive Dehalogenases Enzymes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **54**, 1593–1602.

48 T. Kruse, T. Goris, J. Maillard, T. Woyke, U. Lechner, W. de Vos and H. Smidt, Comparative genomics of the genus Desulfitobacterium, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2017, **93**, fix135.

49 A. Suyama, M. Yamashita, S. Yoshino and K. Furukawa, Molecular characterization of the PceA reductive dehalogenase of desulfitobacterium sp. strain Y51, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2002, **184**, 3419–3425.

50 B. A. van de Pas, J. Gerritse, W. M. de Vos, G. Schraa and A. J. Stams, Two distinct enzyme systems are responsible for tetrachloroethene and chlorophenol reductive dehalogenation in Desulfitobacterium strain PCE1, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 2001, **176**, 165–169.

51 A. Bernstein, O. Shouakar-Stash, K. Ebert, C. Laskov, D. Hunkeler, S. Jeannottat, K. Sakaguchi-Soder, J. Laaks, M. A. Jochmann, S. Cretnik, J. Jager, S. B. Haderlein, T. C. Schmidt, R. Aravena and M. Elsner, Compound-specific chlorine isotope analysis: a comparison of gas chromatography/isotope ratio mass spectrometry and gas chromatography/quadrupole mass spectrometry methods in an interlaboratory study, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 7624–7634.

52 K. A. Ebert, C. Laskov, M. Elsner and S. B. Haderlein, Calibration bias of experimentally determined chlorine isotope enrichment factors: the need for a two-point

2476 | *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2024, **10**, 2466–2477

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

calibration in compound-specific chlorine isotope analysis, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2017, **31**, 68–74.

53 D. Buchner, P. R. Martin, J. Scheckenbach, S. Kummel, F. Gelman and S. B. Haderlein, Expanding the calibration range of compound-specific chlorine isotope analysis by the preparation of a (37) Cl-enriched tetrachloroethylene, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2022, **36**, e9378.

54 K. M. Scott, X. Lu, C. M. Cavanaugh and J. S. Liu, Optimal methods for estimating kinetic isotope effects from different forms of the Rayleigh distillation equation, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2004, **68**, 433–442.

55 D. Buchner, B. Jin, K. Ebert, M. Rolle, M. Elsner and S. B. Haderlein, Experimental Determination of Isotope Enrichment Factors - Bias from Mass Removal by Repetitive Sampling, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 1527–1536.

56 A. S. Ojeda, E. Phillips, S. A. Mancini and B. S. Lollar, Sources of Uncertainty in Biotransformation Mechanistic Interpretations and Remediation Studies using CSIA, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 9147–9153.

57 M. Lee, E. Wells, Y. K. Wong, J. Koenig, L. Adrian, H. H. Richnow and M. Manefield, Relative contributions of Dehalobacter and zerovalent iron in the degradation of chlorinated methanes, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 4481–4489.

58 H. Nonaka, G. Keresztes, Y. Shinoda, Y. Ikenaga, M. Abe, K. Naito, K. Inatomi, K. Furukawa, M. Inui and H. Yukawa, Complete genome sequence of the dehalorespiring bacterium Desulfitobacterium hafniense Y51 and comparison with Dehalococcoides ethenogenes 195, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2006, **188**, 2262–2274.

59 A. Perez-de-Mora, A. Lacourt, M. L. McMaster, X. Liang, S. M. Dworatzek and E. A. Edwards, Chlorinated Electron Acceptor Abundance Drives Selection of Dehalococcoides mccartyi (D. mccartyi) Strains in Dechlorinating Enrichment Cultures and Groundwater Environments, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2018, **9**, 812.

60 B. G. Rahm, R. M. Morris and R. E. Richardson, Temporal expression of respiratory genes in an enrichment culture containing Dehalococcoides ethenogenes, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2006, **72**, 5486–5491.

61 J. Gerritse, V. Renard, T. M. Pedro Gomes, P. A. Lawson, M. D. Collins and J. C. Gottschal, Desulfitobacterium sp. strain PCE1, an anaerobic bacterium that can grow by reductive dechlorination of tetrachloroethene or ortho-chlorinated phenols, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 1996, **165**, 132–140.

62 N. Tsukagoshi, S. Ezaki, T. Uenaka, N. Suzuki and R. Kurane, Isolation and transcriptional analysis of novel tetrachloroethene reductive dehalogenase gene from Desulfitobacterium sp. strain KBC1, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2006, **69**, 543–553.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2024, **10**, 2466–2477 | **2477**