

# Materials Advances

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Segale, T. Seadira, R. Sigwadi, T. Mokrani and G. Summers, *Mater. Adv.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

**A New Frontier Towards Development of Efficient SPEEK Polymer Membranes for PEM Fuel Cells Applications: A Review**

Mayetu Segale<sup>a</sup>, Tumelo Seadira<sup>a\*</sup>, Rudzani Sigwadi<sup>a</sup>, Touhami Mokrani <sup>a</sup>, Gabriel Summers<sup>b</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering (CSET), University of South Africa (Science Campus), Private Bag X6, Florida Park, Roodepoort, 1709, South Africa.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry (CSET), University of South Africa (Science Campus), Private Bag X6, Florida Park, Roodepoort, 1709, South Africa.

**Abstract**

Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) have gained popularity over the last decade as a potential clean energy source for electric vehicles and portable electronic devices. Nafion is commonly used as a membrane material but suffers from high methanol crossover and cost. These drawbacks negatively influence the widespread commercial application of PEMFCs. Currently, the focus is on developing high-performance, low-cost PEMs to replace Nafion membranes. Sulfonated poly-ether-ketone-ether (SPEEK) has been identified as a promising alternative PEM in fuel cell applications due to its advantageous properties, such as low cost, mechanical and chemical stability, and ease of preparation and operation. The main purpose of this review is to demonstrate the benefits of SPEEK-based composite membranes over Nafion® by mixing the SPEEK material with fluorinated polymers, hydrocarbon polymers, carbon-based materials, metal oxide materials, etc. The ion-exchange capacity and proton conductivity of SPEEK polymer with different fillers are highlighted. SPEEK-based composite membranes are far more suitable for PEMFC and DMFC applications because SPEEK polymer is produced in an environmentally friendly manner. This critical review guides researchers in developing processes to maximise the SPEEK-based membrane’s properties for fuel cell applications.

Keywords: Polymers, Composite membranes, SPEEK-based membranes, PEM Fuel Cells

**1. Introduction**

For decades, fossil fuels have been considered the main sources of the increased pollution levels in the environment due to the emission of toxic greenhouse gases such as CO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SO<sub>x</sub> upon their combustion [1-3]. Furthermore, there are growing concerns about the long-term viability of fossil fuels which are expected to run out sooner rather than later. As a result, sustainable, renewable, and environmentally friendly fuels will emerge sooner or later.

\*Corresponding Author: Tumelo Seadira (tumelo.seadira@gmail.com)



To address these issues, extensive research and development are being conducted to identify alternative sources of electricity that are efficient, renewable, and environmentally friendly. Fuel cells are one of many technologies that will enable future sustainable hydrogen, carbon-free cycles, and a circular economy [4, 5]. Over the last two decades, fuel cell applications have grown in popularity as engines, stationary and portable power sources. [6, 7]. Mohammed et al.,[8] described a fuel cell as an electrochemical device that converts chemical energy of a fuel (the reactant) such as methanol, ethanol or ethylene glycol into electrical energy without any fuel combustion. The fuel is directly oxidised, producing electricity, heat, and water vapour. The electrochemical reactions within the fuel cell are explained as follows: When hydrogen passes through the anode, it is converted into hydrogen ions, and electrons are released, which travel through an external circuit before reaching the cathode to produce electrical current. [9]. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA) is the primary component of the fuel cell, consisting of a gas diffusion layer, catalyst, and electrolyte (membrane). The protons migrate through the electrolyte to the cathode, where they unite with oxygen and the electrons to produce water and heat. Fuel cell technologies are characterized by the nature of electrolyte they use. The electrolyte is one of the important part of the fuel cells as it defines the properties and the operating conditions of the fuel cell [10, 11]. There are six distinct types of fuel cells namely, (i) Alkaline Fuel Cell (AFC), (ii) Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC), (iii) Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell (MCFC), (iv) Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell (PAFC), (v) Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) and (vi) Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC), each operating at different reaction conditions and use different electrolytes. Out of the six fuel cells, two fuel cells (i.e. hydrogen Fuel Cell (H<sub>2</sub>-FC) and direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC)) use polymeric membrane as an electrolyte, while others are based on the electrochemical principles. The components, fuel types and performance characteristics of the types various of Fuel Cells are presented in Figure 1.

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1059/D4MA00628C








Figure 1. Schematic representation of different types of Fuel Cells and their properties

Among the fuel cells listed above, proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) technology is a major area of global research interest [12]. Their high energy density and efficiency, along with their potential for low emissions, make them a promising clean energy technology. The proton exchange membrane (PEM) acts as a barrier to the fuel gas between the electrodes, transferring protons from the anode to the cathode of the PEMFC. The reactions that occur in the PEMFC are as follows.



For the anodic reaction, hydrogen flows through the gas diffusion layer before dissociating into two electrons and two protons in the catalyst layer (equation 1). The two protons pass through the PEM to reach the catalyst layer at the cathode, and the two electrons pass through the external circuit to the cathode [13, 14]. Similar to the anodic reaction, which results in the production of heat and water, the cathodic reaction occurs when air enters the catalyst layer through the gas diffusion layer and

reacts with the two electrons and two protons (equation 2). The most common membranes used in PEM fuel cells are fluorinated membranes. The perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) polymers known as Nafion membranes are the most common type. Sulfonated polymers, such as Nafion, with perfluorinated backbones and sulfonated side-chains, are the most widely used membrane for PEM cells because they function well at temperatures below 100 °C. Perfluoroether in Nafion is responsible for chemical stability, while sulfonated sidechains aggregate and facilitate hydration [15]. Due to its high ionic conductivity (approximately 0.1 S/cm when fully hydrated), as well as its thermal and chemical stability, Nafion membrane has been chosen as a standard for polymeric electrolyte fuel cells [16]. However, Nafion membranes have several drawbacks, including a decrease in ionic conductivity and low humidity at high temperatures, which makes commercialization difficult. For these reasons, other proton conducting membranes with either partially fluorinated or hydrocarbon-based polymers containing ionic transfer sites have been developed to improve fuel cell performance. The chemical structure of Nafion is shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Chemical structure of Nafion.

In this context, many studies have been conducted to develop PEM with improved performance characteristics such as low cost, ease of synthesis, good thermal and mechanical stability, and eco-friendliness. Partially fluorinated PEM can be created by synthesizing block copolymers, one of which is a fluoropolymer. Partially fluorinated membranes, like fluorinated membranes, have demonstrated high proton conductivity. However, it is costly and cannot be referred to as low cost due to the use of expensive




fluorinated materials. Furthermore, commercialization of these materials has been hampered by high costs and a scarcity of trifluorostyrene monomer [16].

Non-fluorinated membranes are made from polymer materials with aromatic structures and functional groups in either the polymeric backbone or side groups are being used in the proton exchange membranes (PEMs) in place of perfluorinated membranes.

One major advantage of hydrocarbon membranes is that it's simple to design the polymeric structure to have the right characteristics for fuel cell applications [17]. Various types of monomers are used to control the reaction conditions when preparing hydrocarbon membranes with excellent properties. Moreover, the cost of the monomers used in the production of hydrocarbon-based polymers is comparatively lower than that of fluorinated membranes, which is a major benefit for commercialization [15, 18]. In general, hydrocarbon-based polymers have polar groups and a carbon backbone, and they have high water uptake over a wide temperature range. Despite having increased proton conductivity and poor dimensional stability in membranes, water channel formation occurs [19]. Rigid sites, such as aromatic structures, are incorporated directly into the polymer backbone to improve membrane stability and properties. The aromatic rings provide rigidity, which leads to thermal and mechanical stability. As a result, a variety of hydrocarbon-based polymers, including, poly-ether ketones (PEK), poly(arylene ethers), polyether ether ketone (PEEK), polyesters, and polyimides (PI), have been actively researched and developed for use in fuel cell applications [20-23]. With a wide variety of alternative aromatic polymers to choose from, polyether ether ketone (PEEK) appears to have the best potential as a PEM for fuel cell application. PEEKs are semicrystalline thermoplastic polymers with ether and ketone chemical properties. This polymer has a well-balanced blend of excellent mechanical properties, low cost and superior thermo-oxidative stability [22]. PEEK polymer has an aromatic, nonfluorinated backbone with 1,4-disubstituted phenyl groups separated by ether ( $\text{—O—}$ ) and carbonyl ( $\text{—C=O}$ ) linkages (as shown on Figure 3 below), making it a high-performance thermostable engineering polymer [24].







View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C

Figure 3. General structure of PEEK [24].

This polymer's inherent hydrophobicity is typically overcome through chemical modification of the polymer chains. Sulfonic acid functionalities are easily incorporated onto the aromatic backbone of PEEK. Sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) is a semi-crystalline, amorphous polymer that exhibits high chemical and thermal stabilities due to the presence of aromatic rings [25]. It is made by polymerizing different monomers using the following synthesis techniques: (i) displacement reaction; (ii) nickel-catalyzed coupling polymerization; (iv) ring-opening polymerization involving monomers with sulfonic acid groups; and (iii) Friedel-Craft acylation [26]. The degree of sulfonation (DS) has a strong influence on the properties of SPEEK, which can be controlled by adjusting the reaction conditions (reaction temperature, acid concentration, and sulfonation time). The SPEEK demonstrated desirable chemical durability at low DS, with greater dimensional, mechanical, and thermal stability than Nafion but lower water uptake and proton conductivity [27]. At higher DS, membrane swelling in aqueous solutions promotes the formation of interconnected channels of hydrophilic clusters. This resulted in high proton conductivity similar to Nafion, but with undesirable mechanical properties, excessive dimensional swelling, fuel permeability, and consequently low durability. Many studies have been conducted to develop modified SPEEK membranes in order to improve fuel cell performance [28, 29].

The intensive research activities in the development of modified SPEEK membranes, particularly for fuel cell applications, are critical for evaluating progress in this specific research field. With considerable efforts made to enhance SPEEK membranes, this review concentrates on the development of PEMs based on sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) polymers. The physicochemical properties and characteristics of pure sulfonated polyether ether ketone membranes (SPEEK) are discussed. The article also discusses strategies for improving the performance of the SPEEK matrix membrane. The results for various types of modified SPEEK membranes are



Open Access Article. Published on 28/04/2024. Downloaded on 12/9/2024 04:19:36.  
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.  


162 summarised and analysed. This paper concludes with the challenges and  
163 opportunities encountered during the development of SPEEK-based membranes for  
164 fuel cell applications.

165  
166 **2. Mechanism of proton conduction in PEM**  
167 Proton conduction is the most important factor to consider when assessing  
168 membranes for possible fuel cell applications. In PEMFC operation, the membrane  
169 must ensure the systematic movement of water and ions, rejection of electrons, and  
170 dissociation of reactant gases. Sufficient hydration levels of PEM are critical for  
171 maintaining high proton conductivity during fuel cell operation. Two major mechanisms  
172 can hydrate proton transfer at the molecular level: electro-osmotic drag (vehicle) and  
173 proton hopping (Grotthuss) [30]. A schematic design of the Grotthuss and vehicular  
174 mechanisms is shown in Figure 4.



175  
176 Figure 4. A schematic representation of the Grotthuss and vehicular mechanisms [31].

177  
178 In the proton hopping (Grotthuss) mechanism, protons move from one hydrolyzed ion  
179 ( $\text{SO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ ) to another via polymeric matrices. The activation energy required for  
180 proton conductivity to occur for this proton hopping mechanism is 0.1- 0.4 eV. Protons  
181 are drawn from the hydronium ions by more adjacent water molecules, and the cycle  
182 is repeated. In this mechanism, the ion area forms a specific hydrophilic cluster that  
183 expands with water. As a result, protons will undergo percolation mechanisms. The



Grotthuss mechanism contributes to the conductivity of a perfluorinated sulfonic acid membrane like Nafion [32].

The ion exchange capacity (IEC) value affects Grotthuss-type transfer because it represents the number of ionizable groups loaded into the fuel cell membrane. Electro-osmotic drag (vehicle) in the membrane transports hydrogen ions ( $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ ) throughout the aqueous medium. As a result, water and methanol molecules act as proton transporters in the polymeric membrane. The activation energy is required to initiate proton conductivity  $E_{\text{act}} > 0.5 \text{ eV}$  [33]. In this mechanism, hydrated protons (hydronium ions) permeate an aqueous medium due to electrochemical differences. Protons bind to vehicles such as water or methanol before diffusing into the medium to form cationic complexes such as  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ ,  $\text{H}_5\text{O}_2^+$ ,  $\text{H}_9\text{O}_4^+$ , and  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_2^+$ . The presence of free volumes in the polymeric chain of proton exchange membranes is critical to the vehicular mechanism. Water aids proton conductivity in PEM by influencing size, stability, production, clusters and ion route connection. In aqueous conditions, as cluster size increases, proton conductivity increases in proportion to humidity. The proton conductivity of polymeric membranes at high temperatures and low relative humidity could be enhanced by choosing inorganic additives using this mechanism [34].

### 3. Sulfonation of PEEK

Poly (ether ether ketone) polymers are chemically resistant, thermally and mechanically stable. However, due to their completely hydrophobic segments, they cannot be used directly in fuel cell applications [29]. As a result, adding a sulfonic acid group to PEEK polymer improves its hydrophilicity, solubility in polar solvents, and ion exchange capacity. At different degrees of sulfonation, the sulfonated form (SPEEK) is soluble in different solvents. The solubility of the SPEEK membrane is reported as follows: A sulfonation degree (DS) of about 30% makes it soluble only in hot DMF, DMAc, DMSO, and NMP; a DS of 40–70% makes it soluble at room temperature in DMF, DMAc, DMSO, and NMP; a DS of above 70% makes it soluble in methanol; and a DS of 100% makes it soluble in hot water [35]. Three synthetic methods can be used to prepare sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone):

- (a) Direct sulfonated monomer copolymerization reactions with suitable monomers.
- (b) Direct post-polymerization sulfonation reactions with poly(ether ether ketone).



(c) Sulfonation reactions of poly(ether ether ketone)s to introduce the sulfonate group pendant into the polymer chains.

### 3.1 Direct sulfonated monomer copolymerization reactions with suitable monomers.

A specific sulfonated dihalogenated diaryl ketone monomer or sulfonated bisphenol derivative can be directly copolymerized with a suitable dihalogenated diaryl ether monomer unit to produce random (statistical) sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) [36]. Through careful control of the reaction stoichiometry, the sulfonate group can be introduced regiospecifically along the polymer backbone. This is achieved through the step-growth copolymerization reaction utilising sulfonated monomers [37]. Electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions with various sulfonating agents can be used to produce sulfonated dihalogenated diaryl ketone or bisphenol monomer derivatives [38]. Nguyen et al. [39] synthesised a sulfonated di-halogenated diaryl ketone monomer by treating 4,4'-difluorobenzophenone with 25.3% fuming sulfuric acid, resulting in 100% yield and high purity disodium-3,3'-disulfate-4,4'-difluorobenzophenone (Figure 5).




Figure 5. The reaction formula of a sulfonated di-halogenated diaryl ketone monomer [39].

A series of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) were produced by the base-catalysed nucleophilic aromatic polycondensation reaction of 4,4'-difluorobenzophenone and pure sulfonated monomer, disodium-3,3'-disulfate-4,4'-difluorobenzophenone with hexa-fluoro isopropylidene diphenol (Figure 6) [40]. High molecular weight polymers



that exhibit thermal stability up to 260 °C were produced. The resulting sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone)s were used as proton exchange membranes in fuel cells.




Figure 6. Reaction mechanism for preparation of Sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) by the base catalyzed nucleophilic aromatic polycondensation [40].

### 3.2 Direct post-polymerization sulfonation reactions with poly(ether ether ketone)

Poly(ether ether ketone)s are highly effective polymers that are insoluble in the vast majority of organic solvents. Incorporating the sulfonic acid group along the polymer backbone of poly(ether ether ketone) reduces crystallinity and increases polymer solubility [41]. Direct sulfonation of poly(ether ether ketone)s using different sulfonating agents is not region-specific due to the lack of control over the degree and site of sulfonation during the sulfonation process [41]. Furthermore, polymer degradation and numerous side reactions have been observed. The electrophilic substitution reaction mechanism is used in the sulfonation of poly(ether ether ketone)s by sulfonating agents such as Sulfuric acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) as shown in figure 7. The ether linkage activates the polymer chain's phenyl rings for electrophilic substitution reactions, and the sulfonating group is introduced into the polymer chain's hydroquinone segment [42, 43]. One sulfonic acid group is typically added per unit because the carbonyl group attracts electrons, which lowers the electron density of the other aromatic rings [41, 44]. However, disulfonation reactions are possible at higher temperatures or for longer reaction time. Sulfonation reactions with poly(ether ether ketone)s are typically carried out in the presence of sulfonating agents like chlorosulfonic acid or sulfuric acid [45].



The reaction time, temperature, and acid concentration all influence sulfonation with sulfuric acid. In order to create polymers with different levels of sulfonation, Daud et al., [46] prepared sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) from Victrex and 95-97% concentrated sulfuric acid and chlorosulfuric at room temperature acid to avoid PEEK polymer degradation and cross-linking reactions. The reaction was performed over a range of reaction times and degree of sulfonation of 80% was reported. In another study, Muthu Lakshmi et al., [47] investigated the effect of temperature and reaction duration on the degree of sulphonation of Gatone, as well as the characteristics of sulphonated polymers. Sulphonation was performed at 35-50 °C for 3-5 hours. The degree of sulphonation was between 50-80%. The sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) derivatives were used in fuel cell and electrodialysis processes as electrochemical device.




Figure 7. Sulfonation reactions of Gatone poly (ether ether ketone) [47]

To make it easier to incorporate the sulfonic acid group pendant into the polymer chain, standard organic reactions are commonly used to functionalize poly(ether ether ketone)s. Reactive sites in the polymer chain can be added either directly along the polymer backbone or by incorporating a suitable functional group pendant to the chain prior to the polymer precursor's sulfonation functionalization reaction.

Xu et al., [42] used dihydroxy functionalized poly(ether ether ketones) as substrates to synthesise a series of new sulfonated poly(ether ether ketones). The base catalyzed nucleophilic aromatic substitution polymerization method was used to create the corresponding dihydroxynaphthalene based poly (ether ether ketone) derivative. Sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) was produced by the base-catalyzed nucleophilic reaction of dihydroxynaphthalene-based poly(ether ether ketone) with 1,4-butane sulfone (Figure 8). The resulting sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) derivative exhibited high proton conductivity in DMFC applications.






Figure 8. Nucleophilic reaction of dihydroxynaphthalene-based poly(ether ether ketone) with 1,4-butane sulfone [42]

Another synthetic method for creating sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone)s with the sulfonic acid group pendant to the polymer chain was created by Tsai et al., [48]. In order to produce pristine sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone), poly(ether ether ketone) was first treated with concentrated sulfuric acid. This was done by treating the resulting sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) with 1,1'-carbonyl-diimidazole (CDI). Novel main-chain and side-chain sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) with enhanced nano-phase separation morphology were formed after reaction with 2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (see figure 9). The addition of the new sulfonated group pendant to the polymer chain



resulted in well-defined nano-phase separation morphology and improved the properties of the proton exchange membrane in DMFC applications.




Figure 9. Sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) synthesis route using 1,1'-carbonyl-diimidazole (CDI) [48]

The sulfonic acid group can also be added to the poly(ether ether ketone) chain by the thiol-ene reaction, which involves the following steps:

- (i) The synthesis of a poly(ether ether ketone) precursor derivative with an unsaturation site attached to the polymer chain.
- (ii) The pendant site of unsaturation reacts with a mercapto compound containing a sulfonate group using the classic thiol-ene reaction.



Li et al., [49] employed the thiol-ene method to synthesise poly(aryl ether ketone) ionomers with pendant sulfonic acid groups to the polymer backbone, as illustrated in figure 10. Quantitative yields of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) were obtained by treating the propenyl derivative of poly(ether ether ketone) with sodium 3-mercaptopropane sulfonate and AIBN in NMP/DMSO. Sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone)s were utilised as polymeric membrane substrates for fuel cell technology.




Figure 10: synthesis of Sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) using the Thiol-ene method [49]

#### 4. SPEEK modification methods

SPEEK polymer sulfonation is highly dependent on reaction conditions such as reaction time, temperature, and inert atmosphere. If the conditions are not properly maintained, the degree of sulfonation (DS) will either increase or decrease. The higher the DS of the SPEEK material, the more it swells at high temperatures before dissolving in water. Higher DS has always been associated with superior ion exchange capacity (IEC) and proton conductivity. Consequently, a range of modification



techniques, including blending and cross-linking, have been researched to create effective SPEEK membranes [50].

**4.1 Membrane crosslinking**

**4.1.1 Electron beam (EB) radiation**

Radiation-induced crosslinking using electron beam (EB) is now extensively used for processing polymer materials due to its inherent advantages over UV and thermal curing methods. A radiation cross-linking strategy is a simple and efficient way to reduce methanol permeability and improve membrane thermal and dimensional stability, as well as mechanical properties, while maintaining proton conductivity [50].

Xiang et al [51] used a combination of cross-linking agents comprising trimethylolpropane triacrylate (TMPTA), polyester acrylate, 2-(2-ethoxy-ethoxy)ethyl acrylate (EOEOA), and 1,6-hexandiol diacrylate (HDDA) to introduce EB cross-linking in the SPEEK structure. When different EB irradiation samples dosed at 6 kGy min<sup>-1</sup> were used, the degree of cross-linking and the density of the structure were directly influenced by the exposure dose. Higher EB irradiation doses resulted in greater thermomechanical and dimensional stability. They discovered that cross-linked polymer membranes have greater cluster transition temperature than Nafion® 117 membrane, implying that cross-linked membranes may be more beneficial in high temperature fuel cells than Nafion® 117 membrane. SAXS revealed ionic sites were not deactivated by the cross-linking reaction, but rather increased proton conductivity, especially at higher temperatures (90 °C). Moreover, greater proton conductivity and dimensional stability at 80 °C and fully humidified conditions allowed for the achievement of the maximum power density of 0.225 W.cm<sup>-2</sup> at a higher EB irradiation dosage (200 kGy). Xiaomin et al [52] synthesised 1,6-bis (4-vinylphenyl) hexane (BVPH), an unhydrolyzable cross-linker, to cross-link SPEEK membranes by EB irradiation at room temperature in order to address challenges with dimensional stability, mechanical strength, and methanol crossover. A higher degree of cross-linking was achieved by adding the cross-linking agent (BVPH) at varying content ranges of 5-15 wt% at a constant irradiation dose of 350 kGy and dose rate of 6 kGy min<sup>-1</sup>. Cross-linked membranes containing 15% BVPH outperformed pristine SPEEK membranes in terms of dimensional and chemical stability, as well as mechanical strength. Additionally, SPEEK containing 15% BVPH showed enhanced oxidative resistance and tensile strength of 93 MPa (dry) and 38 h (3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 2 ppm Fe<sup>2+</sup>, 80



°C). However, due to increased hydrophobicity and decreased water sorption and active ionic sites, the proton conductivity of cross-linked SPEEK was slightly reduced.

#### 4.1.2 UV radiation

Prior to Hayes publishing a patent claiming that UV crosslinking increases the environmental stability and lowers the gas permeability of polyimide materials, the crosslinking of polymer materials by ultraviolet (UV) irradiation has been studied for a long time but received little attention in the PEM research field [53]. Several polymer membranes cross-linked by UV irradiation have since been studied in an effort to improve the properties of the membrane.

Although there are many photo initiators and crosslinking agents on the market, they can be highly unstable or very costly [54]. Chemical crosslinking can decrease the efficiency of polymer chain packing, leading to an increase in gas permeability and potential modifications in the properties of membranes [55]. Consequently, most researchers have focused their attention on adding the photo initiator and/or suitable crosslinking sites to the polymer backbone. The UV-crosslinked hybrid SPEEK membrane, which is combined with biodegradable polymer, reduces the polymer chains' elasticity by forming a denser network. Ramly et al., [56] studied SPEEK with methylcellulose (MC) and UV radiation, using benzoin ethyl ether (BEE) as a photo initiator. Increased hydrophilicity was achieved through radiation-induced demethylation, chain cleavage, acid group formation, and carbonyl in MC. After the non-crosslinked membrane was crosslinked with BEE under UV light for 30 minutes, the proton conductivity at 30 °C increased from 0.004 S cm<sup>-1</sup> to 0.008 S cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV membrane improved dimensional stability after crosslinking because of its denser structure. Teruel-Juanes et al., [57] carried out the crosslinking reaction first by UV irradiating polystyrene-ethylene-butylene block copolymers (SEBS) with DVB, as opposed to first performing the sulfonation and then the crosslinking. This was followed by a post-sulfonation of the hardened membranes in trimethylsilyl chlorosulfonate solutions in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE). The dielectric relaxation spectrum (Figure 11) revealed two main relaxations that corresponded to the glass transitions of the ethylene-butylene (EB) and styrene (S) blocks, as well as sub-T<sub>g</sub> intramolecular non-cooperative dielectric relaxation. In addition to having an impact on the fragilities of both styrene (S) and ethylene-butylene (EB) blocks, the photo-crosslinking and post-sulfonation processes also have an effect on the entire dielectric



relaxation spectrum. They concluded that the behaviour of the membranes can be estimated and reengineered based on modifications to the desired cell performance thanks to a correlation found between relaxation processes and membrane performance in H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>-PEM single cells.




Figure 11: Dielectric relaxation spectrum [57].

4.1.3 Chemical cross-linking

Crosslinking of polymers can also be initiated by adding chemical additives during the membrane casting process. A wide range of unique additives, such as sulfonic acid groups and "free" hydrocarbon locations on the polymer chain, are identified in the literature, each with a unique crosslinking mechanism.

Polyatomic alcohols such as glycerol, ethylene glycol, and meso-erythrite can be used as crosslinking agents to increase or maintain the SPEEK membrane's flexibility (Figure 12). This is due to the fact that sulfone bond created by thermal crosslinking between two sulfonic acid groups are less flexible than the sulfonic ester bond created by condensation with polyatomic alcohol. Conductivity is enhanced by the flexibility of the macromolecular chains, which enable them to align into hydrophilic and hydrophobic domains [58]. Kumari et al., [59] reported on the effect of polyatomic alcohol linker length. They used ethylene glycol (PEG) with different molecular weights



(MW/Da: 200 to 10000) and measured the effect of the molecular weight on the membrane's final properties. The authors reported that PEG 400 could form many small hydrophilic and hydrophobic clusters, which were more feasible than larger clusters formed by PEG with a MW less than 600. They made this discovery using atomic force microscopy (AFM) and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). The results also demonstrated that there was an optimal linker length for stacking macromolecular chains into hydrophobic and hydrophilic domains.




Figure 12: Reaction scheme of SPEEK cross-linked with polyatomic alcohol [42]

The diol crosslinking agents' flexibility was also examined by Gupta et al. in a different study [60]. To crosslink the SPEEK membrane, cyclohexane di-methanol (CDM) was utilised as the stiff crosslinking reagent and PEG (MW 200) as the flexible crosslinking reagent. According to their report, the ideal ratio of polymer to crosslinker was determined to be 3:1 after conducting conductivity and water uptake experiments with various crosslinking agent ratios. Moreover, membranes crosslinked with the stiff CDM exhibited inferior properties in comparison to those crosslinked with the flexible crosslinker PEG. Therefore, the crosslinkers' flexibility is another factor to consider when crosslinking SAP.



## 4.2 SPEEK blend polymer membrane

Blending is a simple method for defining and adjusting phase separation in the microstructure of homopolymers, provided the second polymer is completely compatible with the primary polymer [61]. Hydrogen bonds and ionic interactions, which are the most common physical interactions between polymers, can be used to reinforce blend membranes.

### 4.2.1 Acid-base polymer blend

An acid-base blend membrane is formed when benzimidazole side groups are introduced to the polysulfone backbone and blended with SPEEK [62]. The benzimidazole group uses basic nitrogen as a medium to transfer protons between the sulfonic acid groups of SPEEK, supporting both the hopping-type and vehicle-type mechanisms. The blend membrane outperforms the Nafion<sup>®</sup> and pristine SPEEK membranes in PEMFC between 60 and 100 °C [63]. Numerous researchers selected Poly(amide imide) (PAI) to blend with SPEEK due to the effectiveness of sulfonated polyimides in preventing methanol diffusion. The addition of PAI to the membrane structure reduces the swelling ratio and methanol permeability while increasing mechanical, thermal, and oxidative properties. As expected, as the PAI content was increased, a decrease in proton conductivity was observed. To improve mechanical properties, Raja et al., [64] loaded BaCeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles in the SPEEK/poly (amide-imide) (PAI) matrices. The addition of BaCeO<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles improved conductivity, ion exchange, and water uptake (WU) properties while maintaining controlled stability due to the good interfacial interaction between nanoparticles and polymer. The polymer was reported to have stronger methanol barrier properties, making them suitable for DMFC.

### 4.2.2 Acid-acid polymer blend

Introducing hydrogen bonds between polymer chains in a blend PEM is an appealing approach to reduce methanol permeability and improve the durability of DMFC. Fully aromatic polyamide (fa-PA) was blended with 76% sulfonation degree into SPEEK by Li et al [65]. There are plenty of electronegative sites, such as carbonyl, amine, and sulfonate groups, which can form dense morphology, to establish H-bonds between polymer chains, as shown in Figure 13a. In comparison to pristine SPEEK, the fa-PASP-20 (20 weight percent fully aromatic polyamide) showed improved mechanical strength and swelling behaviour at 25 °C. Furthermore, in an aqueous methanol solution (2 M) at room temperature, faPASP-20 demonstrated relatively low methanol permeability of





1.29  $\times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> /s, while SPEEK's values were 3.06  $\times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> /s. The open circuit voltage (OCV) values for fa-PASP-20 and Nafion® 117 as a function of methanol concentration are shown in Figure 13b, indicating that the blend PEM has good methanol resistance even at high concentrations.




Figure 13: Expected hydrogen bonding between the blend of sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) with fully aromatic polyamide (fa-PA), and (b) OCV of fa-PASP-20 blend and Nafion® 117 membranes at different methanol concentrations [65].

Haragirimana et al., [66] created a synergistic effect in SPEEK and SPAES by using acid-acid blending and sulfone bridges between sulfonic acid groups and electron-rich phenyl units. In their study, they fabricated a series of PEEK/SPAES polymer blends through a three-component system. Ductile and dense membranes were successfully fabricated through simple solution mixing and casting due to the excellent compatibility and fine dispersion of both copolymers inside the membrane. The incorporation of SPAES into SPEEK had a significant positive effect on the control of membrane water-swelling behaviour and oxidative stability, particularly at high temperatures. This resulted from the interfacial interactions ( $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions) and strong hydrogen bond formation between the SPAES and SPEEK chains.



### 4.2.3 Blending with Perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) membrane.

PEMs can be made from PFSA polymers blended with SPEEK. The SPEEK nanofiber mat was first electrospun, followed by impregnation with PFSA polymers [67]. Dimensional stability and mechanical properties improved, but proton conductivity decreased when compared to the pristine membrane. Fluoropolymers, such as poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) or poly(vinylidene fluoride-cohexafluoropropylene) (PVDF-HFP), can simply be blended with SPAEKs or SPAESs to create composite membranes [68]. The addition of PVDF or PVDFHFP decreased proton conductivity while improving water uptake, swelling ratio, and methanol barrier properties.

In another fascinating study, Nayak et al., [69] combined non-fluorinated blend membranes and SPEEK with fluorinated blend membranes. Sulfonated poly(ether-ether-ketone)/poly(vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoro propylene)/Silica (SPEEK/PVdF-HFP/SiO<sub>2</sub>) composite proton exchange membranes were developed for fuel cell applications. The SiO<sub>2</sub> (7.5 wt.%) polymer membrane of SPEEK (80 wt.%) / PVdF-HFP (20 wt.%) demonstrated the highest proton conductivity value of  $8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$ . Additionally, a maximum power density of  $1.5 \text{ mW.m}^{-2}$  was reported. According to this study, a different PEM may be possible if SiO<sub>2</sub> is added to polymer composite membranes.

A high ionic conduction sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone)/poly(vinylidene fluoride) (SPEEK/PVDF) blend membrane doped with boron phosphate (BP) was developed by Cali et al. [70]. SPEEK/ PVDF/10BP had the highest current density ( $0.4 \text{ A.cm}^{-2}$ ) and power density ( $0.242 \text{ W.cm}^{-2}$ ) at 0.6 V. The proton conductivity of the SPEEK/PVDF/10BP sample was measured at 80 °C to be  $39 \text{ mS.cm}^{-1}$ . The addition of both the boron phosphate and the SPEEK/PVDF mix membrane resulted in promising results for future fuel cell operations.

### 4.2.4 Blending with non-fluorinated membrane

Wang et al. reported the preparation of PVA-SSA/SPEEK composite membranes from sulfosuccinic acid crosslinked with polyvinyl alcohol (PVA-SSA) [71]. In comparison to the pristine membrane, the blended polymers ion exchange capacity (IEC) and water uptake (WU) were found to be lower, despite their high tensile strength PVA-SSA reaction may be hindered by low DS and PVA crosslinking when SPEEK is present. The presence of SPEEK in the blend, as well as the crosslinking of PVA with SSA, appear to result in greater thermal stability. When hydrated, PVA-SSA/SPEEK



(70:30) was found to have a proton conductivity of  $0.070 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$ . A blend of SPEEK and sulfonated poly (phthalazinone ether sulfone ketone) (SPPEsk) was developed by Liu et al., [72]. Excellent water absorption and a low swelling ratio are features of the reported SPPEsk/SPEEK membrane. At  $80^\circ\text{C}$ , the blend membrane's proton conductivity was reported to be  $0.212 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$ .

#### 4.3 The modification of SPEEK membranes with other polymers

In most polymers, carbon atoms are covalently bound to other elements like hydrogen, oxygen, or nitrogen. These organic molecules can be thought of as polymers. Hence, combining a polymer with SPEEK can result in the formation of acid-base interactions or hydrogen bonds between polymer chains, which can drastically alter the mixture's characteristics. SPEEK combined with other polymers is a technique commonly utilized in composite membranes manufacture, offering excellent proton conductivity and acceptable mechanical qualities. Blends of SPEEK with various polymers, including polyacrylonitrile (PAN) [73], polybenzimidazole (PBI) [74], poly(ether sulfone) (PES) [75], polyimide (PI) [76], polyphenylene oxide (PPO) [77], polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) [78], vinylidene fluoride [79], polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) [80] and poly(tungstophosphoric acid (TPA) [81]. According to Peng et al., the performance of SPEEK membrane can be enhanced by altering its microstructure using either dibutyl phthalate (DBP) porogen or Nafion resin, which is applied as a layer over polypropylene (PP). It has been reported that a modified membrane structure consisting of the SPEEK membrane coated with polydopamine (PDA) layers improves mechanical strength and selectivity. All of these methods improve performance and point to the use of composite SPEEK membranes in PEMFC applications.

Recently, phosphonate membranes have received increased attention as potential replacement for PEMFC applications. Phosphorylated polysulfone (PPSU-As) in the acid form with degrees of phosphonation (DP) of 0.4, 0.75, and 0.96 was successfully prepared and blended by Abu-Thabit et al., [82] using SPEEK with a DS of 0.75. The phosphoryl group ( $-\text{PO}_3\text{H}_2$ ) could form strong hydrogen bonds with acidic SPEEK polymers, reducing swelling while sacrificing minimal proton conductivity. When compared to pure SPEEK, the blend SPEEK/PPSU membrane demonstrated lower methanol permeability, increased mechanical strength, and water uptake without sacrificing proton conductivity. The blend membrane (30PPSU-A-0.96) performed



577 better in terms of proton conductivity than the pristine SPEEK membrane. The  
578 maximum proton conductivity of  $0.124 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  was reached at  $120^\circ\text{C}$ , where this  
579 performance was sustained. Sultan et al., [83] created a novel hybrid membrane poly  
580 (trimellitic anhydride chloride-co-4,4'-methylenedianiline) (SPEEK/PTCMA) with  
581 PTCMA loadings ranging from 10% to 50% with a DS of 53%. SPEEK/PTCMA (50  
582 wt.%) exhibited lower water uptake of 11% at room temperature, because of the acid-  
583 base interaction of amine and sulfonated groups. At  $90^\circ\text{C}$ , the composite membrane  
584 SPEEK/PTCMA (20 wt.%) demonstrated higher proton conductivity of  $0.004 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$ .  
585 The addition of PTCMA improved proton conductivity because the nitrogen atom of  
586 PTCMA can be protonated and contribute to proton transfer. Overall, the findings  
587 demonstrated that the proton conductivity value decreased as the PTCMA content  
588 increased. In turn, this lowers the amount of sulfonic acid groups in the composites,  
589 increasing their crystallinity and thereby decreasing water uptake a crucial stage in  
590 proton transfer.

591 Han et al., [84] ., created SPEEK/PBI composite membranes by dissolving the two  
592 polymers in DMAc before casting the membrane . The interaction of the -NH groups  
593 in PBI and the  $-\text{SO}_3$  groups in SPEEK results in the formation of a three-dimensional  
594 network polymer structure that is advantageous for proton transport. The PEM  
595 demonstrated excellent proton conductivity performance, with a value of  $0.14 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$   
596 at  $80^\circ\text{C}$ , comparable to Nafion 117 ( $0.142 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ ). The permeability of methanol is  
597 also as low as  $2.38 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2. \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is much lower than that of Nafion. Aside from  
598 mechanical properties, thermal stability is also important. Such a polymer membrane  
599 design is successful and close to being used in DMFCs. Wei et al., [76] proposed a  
600 PI/SPEEK/PI nanofiber composite membrane with a sandwich structure and simple  
601 fabrication processes. The formation of an acid-rich layer and the solid support of PI  
602 nanofibers on the SPEEK matrix resulted in significantly improved proton  
603 performance. Due to the acid-base interaction between tertiary amide groups and  
604 sulfonated groups, the novel hybrid membranes PI/SPEEK with PI loadings of 3% and  
605 PI/SPEEK/PI with PI loadings of 1.5% had lower methanol permeability than SPEEK  
606 membranes. The sandwiched membranes demonstrated excellent conductivity of  
607  $0.178 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  at  $60^\circ\text{C}$ , which is noticeably higher than that of neat SPEEK membrane.  
608 The fuel cell's performance can reach  $0.152 \text{ W.cm}^{-2}$ . The swelling ratio and water  
609 uptake of the PI/SPEEK nanofiber composite membrane are 24.3% and 50.8%,



respectively, at 60 °C and 100% RH, demonstrating the sandwiched PEM's excellent dimensional stability. The excellent results of the polymers indicate that the PI/SPEEK membrane is a promising candidate for commercial PEM with balanced proton conductivity, stability, and durability. The sandwich-structure membrane concept can also be applied in other areas such as vanadium redox flow battery, gas separation membrane, and so on.

Another promising membrane with strong chemical resistance and high hydrophilicity is chitosan. The combination of SPEEK and the natural polymer chitosan was suggested by Hidayati et al. [85]. Chitosan with low methanol permeability and good conductivity has been treated with SPEEK to eliminate hydroxyl and amine groups. The SPEEK/Chitosan composite membrane showed enhanced methanol permeability of  $2.46 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  at room temperature when compared to pristine chitosan. SPEEK/Chitosan IEC values are higher, resulting in high proton conductivity. It was reported that SPEEK/Chitosan produced contrasting results for DMFC, implying that more research is needed.

## 5. Modification of SPEEK membranes with inorganic materials

SPEEK polymer modification with inorganic materials such as silica, clays, metal oxides, HPA, carbon nanotubes, and others has recently been investigated in fuel cell applications. The incorporation of inorganic substances into PEMs is known to improve proton conductivity, mechanical strength, and composite membrane durability [86]. While simultaneously enhancing the mechanical and thermal stabilities of the composites, Inorganic elements can reduce methanol crossover and excessive water swelling [87]. The various types of additives in SPEEK, such as graphene, silica, metal oxides, Heteropolyacids (HPAs), carbon nanotubes, Metal organic framework (MOFs) and clay will be thoroughly discussed in these subtopics. The influence of different additives on the SPEEK matrix; and its impact on the SPEEK performance with focus on fuel cells are shown in Table 1.





**TABLE 1: THE INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT ADDITIVES ON THE SPEEK POLYMER MATRIX**

Additive Type	Temperature (°C)	IEC (meq g <sup>-1</sup> )	Water Uptake (%)	Proton conductivity (S cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Power density (mW cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Ref
SiO <sub>2</sub> @CNT	25	-	43	4.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[88]
SWCNT-fly ash	90	1.59	27.3	3.4x10 <sup>-2</sup>	672	[89]
SsCNT-5	90	2.19	43.85	4.31x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[90]
CCNF	80	-	32.3	5.6x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[91]
β-CD-DHNTS/HPW	-	1.04	30	9.0x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[92]
Cs-HPAs	80	-	40	2.25x10 <sup>-3</sup>	247	[93]
Pt-Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PW <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub>	60	1.96	46	6.82x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[94]
PEOS/PWA/SiO <sub>2</sub>	100	-	-	6.25x10 <sup>-3</sup>	25	[95]
Cs-TPA	80	1.5	37	1.3x10 <sup>-1</sup>	-	[96]
Pd-GO-L-Tyr	-	2.05	50.6	2.56x10 <sup>-3</sup>	-	[109]
PANI-GO	-	1.83	40	8.4x10 <sup>-3</sup>	13.51	[97]
SPBI/PrSGO	90	2.02	-	1.7x10 <sup>-1</sup>	820	[98]
SPVdF-HFP-SiO <sub>2</sub>	90	1.83	36.5	7.9x10 <sup>-2</sup>	110	[99]
HPW@KMSNs	60	-	31.5	2.43x10 <sup>-1</sup>	-	[100]
PVA/TEOS	80	2.02	76	8.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	336	[101]
IL/SHMO	200	-	-	4.6x10 <sup>-3</sup>	-	[88]
Bentonite/clesite30	80	-	18.4	1.24x10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	[102]
fGO/halloysite	-	0.35	-	4.7x10 <sup>-4</sup>	72.2	[103]
SiO <sub>2</sub> -montmorillonite	100	-	25	1.58x10 <sup>-1</sup>	-	[104]
BaZrO <sub>3</sub>	90	1.96	41.5	3.12x10 <sup>-1</sup>	183	[105]
Al-CeZrO <sub>4</sub> /HPW	80	1.65	8.1	1.3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1001	[106]
NBO	90	1.80	38.4	2.9x10 <sup>-2</sup>	601	[107]
ZCO	90	1.46	20.3	2.0x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[108]
HPW@ML	60	1.54	50	1.36x10 <sup>-1</sup>	-	[109]
MOF-C-SO <sub>3</sub> H	80	1.63	28.7	1.1x10 <sup>-1</sup>	82	[110]
Co-MOF-74/[IM <sub>2</sub> ][H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ]	120	6.5	90	2.6x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[111]
Cu-MOF	80	2.46	36.7	7.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[112]
ZIF-8/CNT	120	1.48	40.2	5.0x10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	[113]
ZIF-67	120	0.3	40	1.4x10 <sup>-2</sup>	28	[114]

**5.1 Carbon nanotubes as fillers for SPEEK membrane**

CNTs are one-dimensional tubular-like hexagonal graphene sheets formed by sp<sup>2</sup> bonds between carbon atoms. CNTs have extremely high mechanical properties due to this bonding structure, which is stronger than the sp<sup>3</sup> bonds found in diamond. CNTs can be single-walled (SWCNT) or multiwalled (MWCNT), with diameters ranging from 1 nm to more than 100 nm, as shown in Figure 14. The rolling-up direction of the graphene sheet has a significant impact on the CNT's electrical conductivity. This is due to the fact that the chirality vector describes the hexagonal carbon atom lattice. However, due to their higher surface defects and lower electrical conductivity,





MWCNTs are preferred over SWCNTs for use in PEMs [115]. Due to their stiffness, low density, high aspect ratio, and optical qualities, as well as their exceptional tensile strength of roughly 63 GPa, which is 50 times stronger than steel, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have received a lot of attention as a reinforcing material for polymers [116].




Figure 14: Single walled and multiwalled carbon nanotubes [116].

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are a cutting-edge nanomaterial for the production of exceptional polymer composites. Recently, SPEEK has employed CNTs as fillers to address DS-dependent issues. Nonetheless, CNTs have a negative impact on proton conductivity because they are an electron conductor rather than a proton conductor, which may pose a significant risk of short-circuiting on PEMs in fuel cells. Cui et al., [88] successfully prepared silica-coated CNTs ( $\text{SiO}_2\text{@CNTs}$ ) by a simple sol-gel method, and subsequently applied as a novel additive to SPEEK-based composite membranes, which are enhanced by silica's exceptional water retention and electronic shield properties. Not only did the hydrophilic and insulated silica coating on the CNTs' surface prevent short circuiting, but it also enhanced the CNTs' interfacial contact with the SPEEK matrix, promoting the CNTs' uniform dispersion. Moreover, the methanol permeability of the SPEEK/ $\text{SiO}_2\text{@CNT}$  composite membrane with a  $\text{SiO}_2\text{@CNT}$  loading of 5% was nearly one order of magnitude lower at  $4.22 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  as



compared to the pure SPEEK membrane ( $3.42 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ). At room temperature, the proton conductivity remained greater than  $10^{-2} \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ . The obtained results demonstrate that SPEEK/SiO<sub>2</sub>@CNT membranes can be used as high-performance PEMs in direct methanol fuel cells. Sivasubramanian et al., [89] successfully synthesised Sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) (SPEEK)-based polymer nanocomposite membranes comprising single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and fly ash as inorganic fillers using the solution casting method. The degree of sulfonation in poly (ether ether ketone) was evaluated using proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and found to be 64%. They investigated and analyzed the produced membranes' physicochemical characteristics and potential for fuel cell applications. At 90°C, the SP-CNT-FA-8 membrane had the maximum proton conductivity ( $3.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ ), whereas the pristine membrane had a conductivity of  $3.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ . Apart from their favourable proton conductivity, it was also reported that the electrolyte membranes demonstrated remarkable thermal and mechanical stability. These findings suggest that the composite membranes utilizing SPEEK, SWCNT, and fly ash could be promising electrolyte membrane options for fuel cell applications.

Gahlot et al., [90] used solution casting to create functionalized carbon nanotubes (f-CNT) that are aligned electrically with SPEEK. The CNTs were functionalized via carboxylation and sulfonation. During the membrane's drying process, the CNTs were aligned using a constant electric field of  $500 \text{ V} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ . To determine whether they have the potential for direct methanol fuel cell application, the proton conductivity and methanol crossover resistance were assessed at temperatures ranging from 30 °C to 90 °C. According to the findings, addition of aligned carbon nanotubes (CNTs) reduces the permeability of methanol while increasing the ion-exchange capacity, water retention, and proton conductivity. The highest proton conductivity ( $4.31 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) was observed in the SsCNT-5 nanohybrid PEM, which exhibited a higher resistance to methanol crossover. As the concentration of s-CNTs in the SPEEK matrix increased, so did the storage modulus (Figure 15). The S-sCNT-5 membrane had the highest modulus value of 2503 MPa, which is nearly 2.4 times higher than the SPEEK membrane. The increase in storage modulus of S-sCNT membranes indicates strong bonding due to the presence of a common sulfonic acid group in CNT and



PEEK, as well as the effect of electric field on CNT alignment in the SPEEK matrix. The electrically aligned functionalized CNT/SPEEK membranes outperformed the randomly aligned composite membranes.




Figure 15: (a) Proton conductivity vs temperature (b) TGA thermographs and (c) DMA of SPEEK, S-sCNT-2, and S-sCNT-5 membranes[90].

Zhao Guodong et al., [91] prepared a composite membrane for use in PEMs by incorporating continuous carbon nanofibers (CCNFs) into SPEEK. The (CCNFs) were evenly distributed in an electrolyte polymer membrane after being easily blended into SPEEK matrix. The characterization of the composite membranes shows that all dense composite membranes have low methanol permeability, good proton conductivity, high mechanical performance, and excellent water swelling. The composite membrane containing 0.51 weight percent (wt%) CCNFs was fully hydrated and had a proton conductivity of 0.056 S.cm<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature. Moreover, 1.5 times the relative selectivity of a pure SPEEK membrane was observed in the hybrid membrane containing 2.52 weight percent CCNFs. These results showed that




716 polyelectrolyte membranes for fuel cells with CCNF support (SPEEK) are a promising  
717 option.


718 **5.2 Heteropolyacids (HPAs) as fillers for SPEEK membrane**

719 The HPAs are highly conductive and thermally stable crystalline inorganic materials.  
720 HPAs salts are composed of  $MO_x$  polyhedral, where M represents polyatoms such as  
721 Tungsten (W), Molybdenum (Mo), Niobium (Nb), Tantalum (Ta), and Vanadium (V),  
722 and x represents heteroatoms such as Silicon (Si), Phosphorus (P), Iron (Fe), and  
723 Cobalt (Co) through an oxygen atom coordination bridge [117]. They are typically  
724 distinguished by Wells-Dawson, Keggin, or lacunar structural configurations (Figure  
725 16). Different salts with different structures and properties can be formed by changing  
726 the central metal ion and addenda atoms. HPAs are soluble in polar solvents, where  
727 they form the Keggin structure ( $XM_{12}O_{40}$ ), which is a heteropolyacid anion structure  
728 with a condensation ratio of 1:12. The high acidity of HPAs is attributed to the  
729 polyanion's large size, which results in a low delocalized charge density. Chemical  
730 analysis, ion selective membranes, sensors, chemical cleaners, catalysts, and  
731 additives in fuel cell component materials are just a few of the applications for HPAs.  
732 Phosphotungstic acid (PWA) is one of the most promising inorganic additives for PEM  
733 composites due to its high proton conductivity and excellent thermal stability.

Open Access Article. Published on 28/04/2024. Downloaded on 12/9/2024 04:19:36.  
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.







View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C

Figure 16: Structures of (a) Keggin, (b) Lacunary Keggin [118] and Wells-Dowson [119].

HPA can be partially replaced with cesium (CsHPA) to increase its surface acidity [120]. This substitution can maximise contact with the polymer matrix by decreasing the solubility of salt in water while increasing its surface area. Silica-based salts enhance the mechanical properties of the membrane while also improving its conductivity. Metal oxides (silica, titania), functional metal oxides [121], clay [122], aluminium phosphate (ALP) [123] and zeolites [124] have been used to modify the SPEEK membrane as a water retainer.

He et al., [92] successfully incorporated  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin ( $\beta$ -CD) onto halloysite nanotubes (HNTs) using polydopamine coating to make water-insoluble  $\beta$ -CD-DHNTs, and subsequently SPEEK/ $\beta$ -CD-DHNTs/HPW composite membranes were fabricated by traditional solution casting. It is reported that both HPW and  $\beta$ -CD-DHNTs were well dispersed in the SPEEK matrix because of the hydrogen bonding complexation between  $[\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]^{3-}$  and  $\beta$ -CD. The SPEEK/ $\beta$ -CD-DHNTs/HPW composite membranes proton conductivity increased with the increase of HPW content ( $0.090 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ ), reaching the maximum of  $\sim 120\%$  increase relative to that of the SPEEK membrane. Cs-HPAs, were added to the SPEEK matrix by Oh et al., [93] to create composite membranes. The greatest power density values ( $245$  and  $247 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ ) and enhanced conductivity of approximately  $2.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  under  $80\%$  RH were demonstrated by these membranes. By embedding  $\text{Cs}_{2.5}\text{H}_{0.5}\text{PW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$  on Pt in a SPEEK matrix, Zhang et al., [125] and Peighambardoust et al., [94] obtained nearly identical results. At  $60^\circ\text{C}$  and  $100\%$  relative humidity, Zhang et al., obtained a proton conductivity of  $5.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ , while Peighambardoust et al. obtained approximately



6.82 x 10<sup>-2</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is thought to be higher than Nafion 117. Colicchio et al. [95] also investigated SPEEK, polyethoxysiloxane (PEOS), and PWA (H<sub>3</sub>PW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>) with 20% silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>). According to the findings, this combination's proton conductivity is twice as high as pure SPEEK's at 90% relative humidity and 100 °C. Overall, the HPA-modified SPEEK membranes showed higher stability and increased proton conductivity values (6.25 x 10<sup>-3</sup> S.cm<sup>-1</sup>) when compared to the low values produced by a plain SPEEK membrane (2.21 x 10<sup>-3</sup> S.cm<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, the HPA/SPEEK composite membranes are good candidates to replace Nafion-based membranes in PEM fuel cells due to their better proton conductivity and long-term stability.

Dogan et al., [96] created cesium salt of tungstophosphoric acid (Cs-TPA) particles by combining aqueous solutions of tungstophosphoric acid and cesium hydroxide, as well as Cs-TPA particles and sulfonated (polyether ether ketone). They investigated the effects of Cs-TPA on SPEEK membranes in terms of SPEEK sulfonation degrees and Cs-TPA content. The composite membranes' performance was measured in terms of water uptake, ion exchange capacity, proton conductivity, chemical stability, hydrolytic stability, thermal stability, and methanol permeability. It was discovered that the Cs-TPA particles aggregated as the degree of sulfonation of SPEEK increased from 60 to 70%. SPEEK (DS: 60%)/Cs-TPA membrane with 10% Cs-TPA concentration reduced methanol permeability to 4.7 × 10<sup>-7</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>. At 80 °C and 100% RH, the membrane attained an acceptable proton conductivity of 1.3 × 10<sup>-1</sup> S cm<sup>-1</sup>. They also discovered that weight loss at 900 °C increased with the inclusion of inorganic particles, as expected. The addition of Cs-TPA particles to the SPEEK/Cs-TPA based composite membranes increased their hydrolytic stability. The authors also discovered that SPEEK60/Cs-TPA composite membranes were more hydrolytically stable than SPEEK70/Cs-TPA composite membranes. SPEEK60 composite membranes had reduced permeability values for methanol, water vapor, and hydrogen compared to Nafion®.

### 5.3 Graphene as fillers for SPEEK membrane

Graphene, a two-dimensional sheet of carbon, has astounded the world with its fascinating unique chemical, physical, and thermal properties, opening the door to numerous applications [115]. Due to its large surface area, which is highly valued in






energy storage systems, graphene is primarily used as an electrode material in various electrochemical applications. Graphene is a carbon allotrope with a honeycomb lattice of  $sp^2$ -hybridized two-dimensional monolayers [126]. In comparison to graphite and carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene has a larger surface area ( $2629 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ) than CNTs ( $1315 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ), and is regarded as a fundamental building block for graphitic materials [127]. Graphene also has excellent electronic properties, exhibiting half integral quantum Hall effect even at room temperature [128, 129]. Graphene was extracted from graphite using a simple scotch tape method, and it was awarded the Nobel Prize in 2010 for its discovery [130]. Since then, many scientists have shifted their research focus to it, particularly in the areas of synthesis, functionalization, and application in various electrochemical devices such as fuel cells, solar cells, batteries, and ultra-capacitors.

Das et al., [131] synthesised solution-cast palladium graphite oxide-grafted amino acid nanocomposites (Pd-GO-L-Tyr) in sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK). The composite membrane exhibited enhanced proton conductivity when compared to the pristine SPEEK membrane, owing to its increased hydrophilicity, surface wettability, and ion exchange capacity, which are attributed to the increased presence of hydroxy and carboxyl groups. The SPEEK/Pd-GO-L-Tyr membrane's high proton conductivity ( $2.56 \text{ mS.cm}^{-1}$ ) and low methanol crossover resulted in significantly higher selectivity ( $5.57 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-3} \text{ s}$ ) compared to the SPEEK membrane ( $4.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and Nafion-117 membranes ( $2.78 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). Incorporating Pd-GO-L-Tyr into the SPEEK membrane matrix creates a physical barrier to prevent methanol crossover. With the above-mentioned factors, the authors concluded that composite membranes (Pd-GO-L-Tyr-SPEEK) are better candidates for DMFC applications, compared to the standard Nafion®117 membranes. Yogarathinam et al., [97] synthesised conductive polyaniline decorated graphene oxide (PANI-GO) and graphene oxide (GO), which they added to a sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) nanocomposite membrane to decrease methanol crossover. Surface morphology and crystallinity analysis verified the formation of PANI coated GO nanostructures (Figure 17). The analysis of membrane topography and morphology verified that PANI-GO and GO were evenly distributed across the surface of the SPEEK membrane. With a water uptake of 40% and an ion exchange capacity of  $1.74 \text{ meq g}^{-1}$ , the 0.1 wt.% PANI-GO modified SPEEK nanocomposite membrane demonstrated the highest performance.



826 The nanocomposite membranes' oxidative stability was also improved. The modified  
827 SPEEK membrane with 0.1 wt.% PANI-GO had a lower methanol permeability of  $4.33$   
828  $\times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{S}^{-1}$ . The presence of acidic and hydrophilic groups in PANI and GO  
829 increased the proton conductivity of the PANI-GO modified SPEEK membrane. The  
830 selectivity of the PANI-GO modified SPEEK membrane was  $1.94 \times 10^4 \text{ S cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .  
831 PANI-GO modified SPEEK membrane was discovered to be a potential material for  
832 DMFC applications.

Open Access Article. Published on 28/04/2024. Downloaded on 12/9/2024 04:19:36.  
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.



834  
835 Figure 17: (A) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) morphology of GO, (B) TEM morphology of  
836 PANI-GO nanocomposites and (C) X-ray diffractometer (XRD) patterns of GO and PANI-GO  
837 nanocomposites [97].

Materials Advances Accepted Manuscript

Maiti et al., [98] investigated a novel strategy for the advancement of proton exchange membranes by incorporating propylsulfonic acid-functionalized graphene oxide in crosslinked acid-base polymer blends and explored its fuel cells applications. The molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were conducted at different PrSGO loadings for the SPEEK/SPBI, XSPEEK/SPBI, and cross-linked SPEEK/SPBI composite systems. After increasing the loading percentage of SPBI and PrSGO filler in the polymer matrix and cross-linking the polymer composites, the glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) was increased. It was also reported that the mechanical, chemical, and thermal stability of the XSPEEK/SPBI/PrSGO nanocomposite membranes increased significantly with an increase in PrSGO loading, owing to the strong interfacial interaction between PrSGO and the XSPEEK/SPBI matrix. The proton conductivity of the XSPEEK/SPBI/PrSGO nanocomposite membrane improved significantly up to  $0.17 \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  at 4 weight percent PrSGO loading at 100% relative humidity (RH) and  $90^\circ\text{C}$ . Furthermore, at 100% RH,  $80^\circ\text{C}$ , the XSPEEK/SPBI/PrSGO nanocomposite membrane demonstrated excellent fuel cell (FC) performance with a maximum power density of  $0.82 \text{ W.cm}^{-2}$ . Due to the hygroscopic nature of PRs GO, the authors observed a higher number of sulfonic acid groups and excellent interaction between the acid functionalized fillers and the cross-linked SPEEK/SPBI-based matrix. The membranes' overall performance and other critical characteristics, including their proton conductivity, were enhanced by the addition of PrSGO nanofillers to the polymer matrix.

#### 5.4 Silica as fillers for SPEEK membrane

The extensive research on silica-based nanoparticles is due to their lower cost, good mechanical, and water retention properties. However, due to their poor organic compatibility and non-conductive properties,  $\text{SiO}_2$  particles aggregate in the polymeric matrix and reduce the conductivity of PEMs [132]. Higher silica loading in the membrane results in a significant dilution effect for the membrane's ion exchangeable groups [133]. As a result, as pure silica content increases, the membrane's ion exchange capacity decreases [134]. However, numerous studies have been conducted to improve membrane IEC by functionalizing silica filler with sulfonic group derivatives. Optimal silica loading improves membrane strength [135]. Higher silica content in the matrix, on the other hand, is detrimental to the mechanical properties of the polymer due to increased filler-filler interaction compared to filler-polymer interaction, which destroys membrane homogeneity and causes the membrane to



become brittle. As a result, a perfect combination of inorganic material and membrane can result in nanocomposites with improved mechanical properties [136].

Martina et al., [99] used the solvent cast method to create Sulfonated silica (S-SiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles incorporated into a blend of sulfonated poly (vinylidene fluoride-co-hexafluoropropylene) (SPVdF-HFP) and sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) (SPEEK). They claimed that incorporating S-SiO<sub>2</sub> into SPEEK improved the polymer's water uptake, IEC, and mechanical properties. At 90 °C and 100% RH, sulfonated silica with an 80 wt% SPEEK-20 wt% SPVdF-HFP nanocomposite membrane demonstrated maximum proton conductivity and current density of  $7.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  and  $354 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , respectively. The enhanced proton conductivity is attributed to the presence of S-SiO<sub>2</sub>. The hydrophilic nature promotes the ion channels and swells the membrane which results in enhanced proton conductivity.

Meng et al., [100] investigated how amino-modified mesoporous silica nanospheres affected the properties of SPEEK/phosphotungstic acid (HPW). They state that while immobilising acids is an issue, adding acid proton carriers to a polymer matrix is an effective method for increasing proton conductivity. They discovered that adding HPW and aminated mesoporous silica nanoparticles (K-MSNs) to SPEEK enhanced dimensional stability and proton conductivity. At temperature of 60 °C and 1 wt.% K-MSNs loading, the composite membrane's proton conductivity was  $243 \text{ mS/cm}$ , indicating that SPEEK/HPW/K-MSNs composite membranes have significant potential in methanol fuel cells application. Sahin et al. produced a blending polymer consisting of SPEEK, PVA, and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) [101]. The author demonstrated how adding PVA could increase the number of modifiable groups, which would enhance proton transport and improve oxidative and hydrolytic stability when TEOS is added. This finding was supported by the author's results, which showed that the SPEEK/PVA/TEOS blend outperformed the other samples (pure SPEEK, PVA, and SPEEK/PVA composite) in terms of oxidative and hydrolytic stability. The addition of TEOS increased hydrolytic stability while reducing the amount of –OH groups, which in turn improved water resistivity. Additionally, the membrane shows better cell performance values when compared to Nafion 117. The outcomes demonstrate how promising these membranes are as PEMFC application candidates.



In another study, Li et al., [88] successfully synthesised composite membrane by dispersing ionic liquid (IL) in sulfonated hollow mesoporous organosilica (sHMO) into the SPEEK polymer backbone. The comparison study of various SPEEK/IL/sHMO-x (where x represents: 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10 wt%) composite membranes and SPEEK/IL/HMO was conducted. The authors reported that SPEEK/IL-30/sHMO-7.5 membrane had a conductivity of  $1.13 \text{ mS.cm}^{-1}$  at  $200^\circ\text{C}$ , which is twice that of the SPEEK/IL-30/HMO-7.5 ( $0.60 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$ ) at same conditions. The improvement of the conductivity can be attributed to the addition of sHMO might have facilitated the formation of continuous network or continuous pathway with IL. The organosilica sphere's surface exhibits a strong interaction between the hydroxyl group and IL, leading to significant IL retention. The IL loss study revealed that adding organosilica sphere significantly reduced the membrane's IL loss. The anhydrous membrane is expected to be useful in PEMFCs at medium temperature conditions.

### 5.5 Clay as fillers for SPEEK membrane

Clay is a common nanofiller used in many different applications. Natural and synthetic clays include talc, mica, layered double hydroxide (LDH), laponite (LAP), SAP, and montmorillonite (MMT). The structures of laponite clay, layered double hydroxide, and montmorillonite are depicted in Figure 18. Compared to mica and talc, MMT, which has the chemical formula  $(\text{Na,Ca})_{0.33}(\text{AlMg})_2(\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10})(\text{OH})_2\text{nH}_2\text{O}$ , has drawn a lot of attention and is used as a nanofiller in many applications, including fuel cells. MMT is a cation clay with a 2:1 crystal structure made up of one layer of octahedral aluminium hydroxide or magnesium hydroxide sheets and two interconnected tetrahedral silicon oxide sheets. LDH consists of a positively charged metal hydroxide brucite-type sheet with various anions and water inside galleries to counterbalance the charge [137]. Apart from LDH, LAP is also a component of a synthetic clay that belongs to the 2:1 phyllosilicate structural group. The structure and composition of LAP, which has the chemical formula  $\text{Na}_{0.7}(\text{Si}_8\text{Mg}_{5.5}\text{Li}_{0.3})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4$  are similar to those of natural clay hectorite minerals [138]. LAP is made up of octahedral magnesium oxide and two parallel sheets of tetrahedral silica, resulting in two-dimensional layers. A single LAP layer is 25 nm in diameter and 1 nm thick, with positive charges on the edges and negative charges on the faces. Clay is readily available in nature and easily synthesised. It has high ion-exchange capacity, chemical stability, and rheological





properties. Clay's thin platelet structure contributes to its high aspect ratio [139]. Due to its morphology, size, structure, and ionic nature, clay nanofiller performs exceptionally well as an electrolyte, particularly in fuel cell composite membranes.




Figure 18: Structures of (A) montmorillonite (MMT), (B) layered double hydroxides (LDH), and (C) laponite (LAP) clays with single laponite crystal [140]

He et al., [141] compare the performance of unmodified clays (Na<sup>+</sup> montmorillonite) (IC) with that of organ modified clays (I.44P (Na<sup>+</sup> montmorillonite modified by I.24TL (Na<sup>+</sup>montmorillonite modified by HOOC(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>17</sub>NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>)(HC) and (CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>17</sub>N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>) (OC). When loading less than 10 wt% of HC, SPEEK/HC membrane outperforms all other types of SPEEK/clay composite membranes in terms of overall performance and achieves higher selectivity than pure SPEEK membrane. These SPEEK/HC composite membranes have been reported to have increased methanol permeability and proton conductivity. Combining the HC carboxylic acid group increased HC bonding between membrane ion groups and dispersibility due to higher proton conductivity without compromising membrane stability. For SPEEK/IC and SPEEK/OC hybrid membranes, proton conductivity simultaneously decreases with increasing filler content.



954 Kumar et al., [102] created sulfonated polyether ether ketone (SPEEK) composites  
955 with of bentonite and cloisite 30B nanoclays. The enhanced glass transition  
956 temperature and altered membrane morphology in the pristine SPEEK membrane  
957 (Figure 19) indicated the presence of nanoclays. In comparison to the pristine  
958 membrane, the addition of 0.5 weight percent of bentonite and cloisite to SPEEK  
959 decreased proton conductivity and water uptake. According to the author, this could  
960 be due to blocked ionic micro-structure channels caused by nano clay particles, which  
961 reduce ion exchange carriers. The addition of cloisite and bentonite to SPEEK polymer  
962 matrices limits the available nanometric channels for the migration of polar molecules  
963 such as hydrogen ions and water. Cloisite and bentonite layers' increased rigidity  
964 complicates proton transport, which accounts for the decrease in conductivity.  
965 Gokulakrishnan et al., [103] synthesised membranes of functionalized graphene oxide  
966 (f-GO) nanocomposites at different concentrations and halloysite nano clay using dry  
967 phase inversion. The study discovered that the sulfonic acid group in SPEEK and  
968 silane functionalization of GO increased the ion exchange capacity from 0.22 to 0.35  
969 meq/g, which improved proton conductivity. In comparison to the pure SPEEK  
970 membrane, which had proton conductivity of  $0.31 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$  and power density of  $28 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ,  
971 the composite membrane, which contained 3 wt.% halloysite nano clay and  
972 2 wt.% f-GO, maintained values of  $0.47 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$  and  $72.2 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The 2 wt.% f-GO  
973 and 3 wt.% SPEEK membranes with halloysite incorporation showed improved proton  
974 conductivity; these membranes are crucial for direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC)  
975 applications.






Figure 19: SEM images of various membranes: (a) SPEEK, (b) SPEEK/bentonite, (c) SPEEK/cloisite and (d)SPEEK/bentonite/cloisite, (B) TGA thermograms of SPEEK (M-1), SPEEK/cloisite (M-2), SPEEK/bentonite(M-3) and SPEEK/cloisite/bentonite (M-4) [102].

To create composite membranes with different nanofiller contents, Charradi et al., [104] used a porous SiO<sub>2</sub>-montmorillonite heterostructured material packed with delaminated clay particles and a synthetic Mg-Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) exchanged with sulphate anions. The addition of Mg-Al LDH and SiO<sub>2</sub>-motmorillonite fillers to the SPEEK appears to improve water retention and thermal stability of the resulting composite electrolyte membranes. At 120°C and 100% relative humidity, Si-motmorillonite exhibited a higher proton conductivity (0.158 S.cm<sup>-1</sup>) than both Mg-Al LDH and neat SPEEK (0.070 and 0.023 S.cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). This could improve the performance of fuel cell membranes at high temperatures.

### 5.6 Metal Oxide as fillers for SPEEK membrane

Interfacial interactions between membranes and catalysts, which constitute MEA components, are critical to the proper operation of fuel cells. This interfacial interaction is closely related to the catalyst and membrane structures, as well as the method used

to prepare MEA [142]. Metal oxides are classified into several types, including  $\text{ZrO}_2$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{TiO}_2$ , each with its own set of properties. The conductivity of the membrane protons is typically increased when a metal oxide is added as an additive in composite polymers for a variety of reasons, such as the following:

1. Defects in the interface that arise when metal oxides occupy the polymer chamber and distance charge sheets are present.
2. Metal oxides nanofillers are the predominant material in amorphous conditions because they promote proton transport and increase the free volume within the polymer matrix.
3. Increased ion dissociation in the polymer electrolyte membrane.

However, the PEM water intake and conductivity are affected by the properties and reactions of metal oxides such as strontium cerate, silica, titania, zeolite and zirconia. Because of their large aspect ratio and surface area, metal oxide nanofibers are superior to other additives for composite materials. The addition of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  to PEEK, SPEEK, SPES and Nafion improved proton conductivity by promoting precise water hopping mechanisms. Furthermore, molybdenum oxide ( $\text{MoO}_3$ ) has good conductivity and physicochemical properties, making it suitable for use in energy-related fields.

Alumina, or aluminium oxide, is a common nanofiller in composites. Alumina has the chemical formula  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and can be found in a variety of minerals, including bauxite, diaspore ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and gibbsite ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) [143, 144]. Alumina can exist in various crystalline structures, but  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is the most thermodynamically stable. Its large surface area and high catalytic activity make it a good nanofiller. One of the metal oxide types that is frequently utilised as a nanofiller in fuel cells is silicon oxide, or silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ). Sol gel, microemulsion, fuming, and precipitation are a few of the techniques used to create nanoparticle silica. The hydrophilic characteristics of this metal oxide have been attributed to the development of siloxane and silanol groups on the  $\text{SiO}_2$  surface, which is a 3D network in structure. Surface-modified  $\text{SiO}_2$ , which comes in a range of sizes (mesopores, spheres, fibres, and rods) has been employed as a nanofiller in fuel cell membranes. The common techniques for producing the silica/polymer composite are sol-gel, in situ polymerization, and straightforward blending. The most popular technique is simple blending because it is easy to control the parameters (sonicating



time and temperature) needed to create high homogeneity of the polymer composite [145].

Selvakumar et al., [105] created sulfonation PEEK membranes using the solvent casting technique of barium zirconate ( $\text{BaZrO}_3$ ). The polymer electrolyte's proton conductivity was significantly enhanced at a high weight ratio of 6 wt.%  $\text{BaZrO}_3$  filler, with  $3.12 \times 10^{-1} \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  at  $90^\circ\text{C}$ . They found that the values of proton conductivity rise with increasing temperature. Proton conduction occurs because  $\text{BaZrO}_3$  nanoparticles can dissolve protons from water in wet environments. The composite membrane exhibited current density of  $280 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  and power density of  $183 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The author concluded that the 94 wt% SPEEK 6 wt%  $\text{BaZrO}_3$  polymer composite membrane is a viable option for PEM fuel cell applications. Wang et al. have effectively synthesised a sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) nanocomposite membrane through the integration of phosphotungstic acid (HPW) and aluminium doped cerium-based oxides ( $\text{Al-CeZrO}_4$ ) into the SPEEK matrix [106]. The addition of  $\text{Al-CeZrO}_4$  improved the chemical stability of SPEEK membrane while maintaining conductivity, and the addition of HPW increased proton conduction via acid-base interactions. Comparing the SPEEK/ $\text{Al-CeZrO}_4$  nanocomposite membrane to the SPEEK/HPW nanocomposite membrane, there was a 15.5% increase in proton conductivity. Therefore, it is believed that  $\text{Al-CeZrO}_4$ /HPW is a useful inorganic nanofiller for enhancing the chemical stability and proton conductivity of SPEEK membranes, and more research should be done on the hybrid composite membrane.

Gandhimathi et al., [107] developed sulfonation PEEK membranes by casting niobium oxide in a solvent. At a high weight ratio of 10% NBO filler, the polymer electrolyte's proton conductivity was dramatically increased to  $2.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  at  $90^\circ\text{C}$ , compared to  $1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S.cm}^{-1}$  for pure SPEEK membrane. The thermal stability of the composite membranes was also significantly enhanced by the impregnation of NBO. It was reported that SP-NBO-10 nanocomposite membrane achieved a maximum power density of  $601 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ , while the pristine membrane could only achieve a maximum of  $497 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . The increase in the trend of current density and power density of the composite membrane may be induced by the vehicular proton transport mechanism involved in sulfonic acid-based ionomeric membrane that leads to adsorption and retention of more water molecules. Based on the electrochemical results the author concluded that the SP-NBO-10 polymer composite membrane is a feasible material



for PEM fuel cell applications. Prathap et al., [108] successfully created a new set of polymer composite membranes employing a linear sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) polymer and zinc cobalt oxide (ZCO) as an inorganic filler, which were tested for fuel cell applications. SPEEK was created by directly sulfonating PEEK with concentrated sulfuric acid, then loading sufficient amounts of ZCO into it to form polymer composites. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance investigations demonstrated a 55% sulfonation of SPEEK, whereas XRD and morphological examinations confirmed the successful integration of inorganic fillers into the polymer matrix, as illustrated in Figure 20. Additionally, the authors stated that at 30°C, the pristine SPEEK membrane had a proton conductivity of  $9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ , while the composite membranes loaded with 2.5 to 10 wt% of ZCO showed values in the range of  $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ – $2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ . The membranes' measured ion exchange capacities fell between 1.26 and 1.46 meq g<sup>-1</sup>. The composite membranes demonstrated remarkable thermal stability up to 370°C. Thus, the membranes created in this study have the potential to considerably contribute to the creation of new proton conducting SP-ZCO composite membranes for use in PEM fuel cells.




Figure 20: FESEM images of (a), (b) ZCO, (c) SP-ZCO-5, and (d) SP-ZCO-10, (e) XRD spectra of SPEEK and SP-SZO nanocomposites.



## 5.7 Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) as fillers for SPEEK membrane

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are highly porous materials with tunable pore size and chemical functionality. They are created by unifying metal ions or their clusters with various organic linkers. Compared to other additives, MOFs' organic linkers provide higher loading because of their improved compatibility with the organic polymers [146, 147]. The effective manipulation of MOFs' composition and pore size can be achieved through the appropriate choice of precursors, adjustment of synthesis conditions (reagent molar ratio, temperature, etc.), or post synthetic chemical modifications. The tunable functionality of MOFs, combined with their high porosity and surface area, makes them appealing for applications involving interactions with guest species [148]. Zeolite imidazolate frameworks, or ZIFs, are a significant subclass of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) that offer high surface area and thermal stability due to their structural similarities to zeolite and the structural diversity that MOFs provide through properties like pore size tunability and chemical functionality [149]. For small molecules like hydrogen, the tiny pores at the entrance provide a molecular sieving effect, and the large internal cavities encourage quick diffusion [150, 151]. Several ZIF-polymer composite membranes containing ZIF-7, ZIF-8, ZIF-22, ZIF-90, ZIF-100, and other components have been proven to significantly improve hydrogen separation, but ZIF-8 has been successfully commercialised and widely used due to its higher stability and better resistance to acid and alkaline environments [152]. MOFs are increasingly being used in electrochemical systems for clean energy applications, such as solar cells, fuel cells, hydrogen production and storage, supercapacitors, and lithium-ion batteries. Over the last decade, the chemistry of MOF compounds has received a lot of attention for its use in many fields of material chemistry, including gas storage and separation [153], biomedical applications [154], catalysis [155], and electro-optical devices [156].

Zhang et al., [109] developed a (SPEEK/HPW@MIL) membrane by combining amino-modified MIL-101 (Cr) with HPW to form nano-hybrid membranes for PEMFC applications. HPW was anchored using hydrogen bonds to reduce leakage and improve overall compatibility. The SPEEK/HPW@MIL composite membrane's proton conductivity increased by 26% due to the effective anchoring effect of MIL-101(Cr)-NH<sub>2</sub> on HPW and the hydrogen bond network with HPW and SPEEK. Huang et al.





created sulfonated spindle-like carbon derived from metal-organic framework, MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H, has been employed as a filler for the SPEEK membrane [110]. The obtained MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H@SPEEK membrane exhibits improved properties as a PEM for DMFCs when 3 wt.% MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H is added. They also found that the MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H@SPEEK membrane had higher proton conductivity and significantly lower methanol permeability than Nafion 115. According to their findings, the MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H@SPEEK membrane's high performance was due in large part to its specific porous and sulfonated carbon structures. The effective dispersion of MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H in the SPEEK matrix decreased the membrane's methanol permeability and swelling ratio while simultaneously enhancing proton transport and improving the membrane's proton conductivity. The authors reported a maximum power density of 83.91 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, approximately 50% higher than that of Nafion 115. The superior stability of the MOF-C-SO<sub>3</sub>H@SPEEK membrane in contrast to Nafion 115 implies that it is a viable proton exchange membrane for fuel cells.

In another study, Sun et al., [111] used the solution casting method to incorporate Co-MOF-74/phosphate-4-phenylimidazole into SPEEK ternary composite membranes (Co-MOF-74/[IM<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]/SPEEK). A minor agglomeration on the surface of the Co-MOF-74/[IM<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]/SPEEK. A ternary composite membrane with increased Co-MOF-74 contents and a gear-like structure was observed in the cross section of the prepared composite membrane using SEM. By means of hydrogen bonding, the metal organic framework (MOF) encapsulates the ionic liquid (IL) and reducing its loss, consequently enhancing the proton conductivity of the Co-MOF-74/[IM<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]/SPEEK membrane. The authors found that using 2.5 wt.% Co-MOF-74/[IM<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]/SPEEK resulted in a 25.96 mS·cm<sup>-1</sup> increase in proton conductivity at 120 °C, as well as a decrease in IL loss rate. Additionally, it was proposed that the Co-MOF-74/[IM<sub>2</sub>][H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>]/SPEEK ternary composite membrane could be used at temperatures up to 320 °C. These suggest that encapsulating IL in the MOF enhances the SPEEK membrane's thermal stability in addition to increasing its proton conductivity.

Aparna et al., [112] have also fabricated Cu-MOF anchored SPEEK and SPEEK/PI composites membranes for PEMFC applications. This membrane displayed high mechanical, thermal and physiochemical properties. Cu-MOF loading at 3 wt.% resulted in maximum proton conductivity of 0.0711 S cm<sup>-1</sup> IEC value of 2.35 meq g<sup>-1</sup> with a water uptake of 38.18 %. The experimental results of the prepared membranes



revealed that they function as an efficient proton exchange membrane (PEM) for PEMFCs. Sun et al., successfully synthesizes a novel two-dimensional (2D) zeolite structure ZIF8/CNT hybrid crosslinked networks (ZCN) by in-situ growth procedure as shown in Figure 21 [113]. The introduction of ZCN and SPEEK significantly improved the proton conductivity and inhibited methanol permeability. The proton conductivity of SPEEK@ZCN composite membrane was reaching  $50.24 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$  at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  and 30% RH, which was 11.2 times that of the recast SPEEK membrane  $4.50 \text{ mS cm}^{-1}$  under the same conditions. Furthermore, it was discovered that the membrane's proton conductivity was greatly enhanced by the addition of two-dimensional fillers.




Figure 21: Schematic illustration of the synthesis process of ZCN through in situ growth procedure [113]

Barjola et al., [114] prepared nanocomposite membranes by mixing of 1, 3, and 5 wt% (SPEEK-Z1, SPEEK-Z3, and SPEEK-Z5) cobalt-based zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF-67) with a sulfonated poly(ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) by casting method. Thermal stability and proton conductivity were greatly enhanced by the addition of 1wt% ZIF-67 to SPEEK. The proton conductivity of  $0.014 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$  for SPEEK-Z1 composite membrane was reported. The authors believe that a proper optimisation process is still necessary, even though the Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFC) performance experiments showed promising results for these membranes working at intermediate temperatures above  $100^\circ\text{C}$ .

Open Access Article. Published on 28/04/2024. Downloaded on 12/09/2024 04:19:36. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.



Materials Advances Accepted Manuscript

## 6. Conclusion and future perspectives

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C

Without a doubt, the growing fuel cell market will provide a powerful driving force for increased research into non-fluorinated PEMs, which are less expensive and perform better than expensive Nafion® membranes. Polymers based on sulfonated poly (ether ether) ketone have the potential to be used as fuel cell electrolyte membranes. This review article examined the most current advancements in the design of various SPEEK-based electrolyte membranes for PEMFC and DMFC applications. Nevertheless, there are still certain issues with using SPEEK membranes in practical applications.: 1) SPEEKs could not achieve the excellent performance of the C-F chemical bond of PFSA membranes without any modification; 2) excessive overall swelling and low thermal stability are always caused by the higher DS of SPEEK for higher proton conductivity; 3) cross-linked SPEEK membranes formed by covalent bonds may improve dimension and chemical stability, but they will also reduce proton conductivity. Other polymers and fillers should and have been introduced into the fabrication of SPEEK membranes. As a result, one of the primary goals of future research will be to design and prepare the SPEEK membrane with proper structure in the presence of other polymers and fillers. When compared to Nafion® membranes, SPEEK's organic-inorganic composite membranes offer the best chances of superior performance. The addition of inorganic fillers may improve membrane mechanical and electrical properties, making them more suitable for fuel cell applications. However, there are still some issues that require further investigation:

- a) More hopping sites should be produced by the composite process to encourage the tendency of the proton conduction mechanisms towards the hopping mechanism, which will help to increase the methanol permeability and proton conductivity even at higher temperatures (preferably 120 °C).
- b) To strengthen the bond between filler and polymer, it's crucial to choose the right inorganic filler and modify their interface.
- c) To understand the morphology and structure of PEMs, it is important to perform dynamic simulations using mathematics and computer software. This allows for the design of modifications to SPEEK and inorganic fillers, as well as optimisation of polymer and filler combinations.

The SPEEK composite membrane has significant advantages, including low methanol crossover and high proton exchange. Proton conductivity of almost all SPEEK-related





composite materials was on the order of  $10^{-2}$  S cm<sup>-1</sup>, which was adequate for them to be utilised as a membrane in a hydrogen-oxygen fuel cell. The impact of various metal oxides on the SPEEK matrix was also covered in this review, which concludes that SPEEK-based membranes are among the best polymer electrolytes for proton exchange in fuel cells. More investigation is needed to use the right inorganic particles and focus on the increase in affinity towards water-containing membranes, which increases proton conductivity. Regarding PEM development in the future, it is unrealistic to think that a single type of PEM will be able to satisfy all the needs for a larger range of applications, including stationary, mobile, and automotive fuel cell applications. Research priorities will vary depending on the application goals, but it is more important for specialists in various fields to collaborate, including physics, electrochemistry, polymers, composite materials, and simulation. As a result, we hope that this review will give a general overview of the developments surrounding SPEEK-based PEMs and offer some suggestions for the creation of high-performing non-fluorinated PEMs in the future.

**CRedit authorship contribution statement**

**Mayetu Segale:** Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.  
**Tumelo Seadira:** Conceptualization, Supervision, review & editing. **Rudzani Sigwadi:** Conceptualization, Supervision, review & editing. **Touhami Mokrani:** Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **Gabriel Summers:** Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

**Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to acknowledge that this work has been supported in part by University of South and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South). The opinions, findings and conclusions/recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors, and the NRF accepts no liability whatsoever in this regard.

## 7. References

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C

- Singh, P. and D. Yadav, *Link between air pollution and global climate change*, in *Global Climate Change*. 2021, Elsevier. p. 79-108.
- Al Shaikh, R., A. Al-Othman, M. Tawalbeh, A. Shamayleh, and P. Nancarrow, *Development of MXene incorporated PVDF based membranes for an enhanced performance in higher temperature PEM fuel cells*. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2024. **189**: p. 985-994.
- Al-Othman, A., M. Tawalbeh, A. Ka'aki, I. Shomope, and M.F. Hassan, *Novel zirconium phosphate/MXene/ionic liquid membranes for PEM fuel cells operating up to 145° C*. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2024. **189**: p. 1368-1378.
- Ali, A.A., A. Al-Othman, and M. Tawalbeh, *Exploring natural polymers for the development of proton exchange membranes in fuel cells*. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2024. **189**: p. 1379-1401.
- Nimir, W., A. Al-Othman, and M. Tawalbeh, *Unveiling zirconium phytate-heteropolyacids-ionic liquids membranes for PEM fuel cells applications up to 150° C*. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2024.
- Abdelkareem, M.A., K. Elsaid, T. Wilberforce, M. Kamil, E.T. Sayed, and A. Olabi, *Environmental aspects of fuel cells: A review*. *Science of The Total Environment*, 2021. **752**: p. 141803.
- Nazir, H., N. Muthuswamy, C. Louis, S. Jose, J. Prakash, M.E. Buan, C. Flox, S. Chavan, X. Shi, and P. Kauranen, *Is the H2 economy realizable in the foreseeable future? Part III: H2 usage technologies, applications, and challenges and opportunities*. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, 2020. **45**(53): p. 28217-28239.
- Mohammed, H., A. Al-Othman, P. Nancarrow, M. Tawalbeh, and M.E.H. Assad, *Direct hydrocarbon fuel cells: A promising technology for improving energy efficiency*. *Energy*, 2019. **172**: p. 207-219.
- Suter, T.A., K. Smith, J. Hack, L. Rasha, Z. Rana, G.M.A. Angel, P.R. Shearing, T.S. Miller, and D.J. Brett, *Engineering Catalyst Layers for Next-Generation Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells: A Review of Design, Materials, and Methods*. *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2021. **11**(37): p. 2101025.
- Wang, Y., K.S. Chen, J. Mishler, S.C. Cho, and X.C. Adroher, *A review of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells: technology, applications, and needs on fundamental research*. *Applied Energy*, 2011. **88**(4): p. 981-1007.
- Zhang, H. and P.K. Shen, *Recent development of polymer electrolyte membranes for fuel cells*. *Chemical reviews*, 2012. **112**(5): p. 2780-2832.
- Xiao, F., Y.C. Wang, Z.P. Wu, G. Chen, F. Yang, S. Zhu, K. Siddharth, Z. Kong, A. Lu, and J.C. Li, *Recent advances in electrocatalysts for proton exchange membrane fuel cells and alkaline membrane fuel cells*. *Advanced Materials*, 2021. **33**(50): p. 2006292.
- Gao, X., J. Chen, R. Xu, Z. Zhen, X. Zeng, X. Chen, and L. Cui, *Research progress and prospect of the materials of bipolar plates for proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs)*. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2023.
- Shabani, B., M. Hafttananian, S. Khamani, A. Ramiar, and A. Ranjbar, *Poisoning of proton exchange membrane fuel cells by contaminants and impurities: Review of mechanisms, effects, and mitigation strategies*. *Journal of Power Sources*, 2019. **427**: p. 21-48.
- Ogungbemi, E., O. Ijaodola, F. Khatib, T. Wilberforce, Z. El Hassan, J. Thompson, M. Ramadan, and A. Olabi, *Fuel cell membranes—Pros and cons*. *Energy*, 2019. **172**: p. 155-172.
- Kim, D.J., M.J. Jo, and S.Y. Nam, *A review of polymer–nanocomposite electrolyte membranes for fuel cell application*. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 2015. **21**: p. 36-52.
- Gagliardi, G.G., A. Ibrahim, D. Borello, and A. El-Kharouf, *Composite polymers development and application for polymer electrolyte membrane technologies—A review*. *Molecules*, 2020. **25**(7): p. 1712.





- 1284 18. Walkowiak-Kulikowska, J., J. Wolska, and H. Koroniak, *10. Polymers application in*  
1285 *proton exchange membranes for fuel cells (PEMFCs)*. Polymer Engineering, 2017: p.  
1286 293-348.
- 1287 19. Park, C.H., C.H. Lee, M.D. Guiver, and Y.M. Lee, *Sulfonated hydrocarbon membranes*  
1288 *for medium-temperature and low-humidity proton exchange membrane fuel cells*  
1289 *(PEMFCs)*. Progress in Polymer Science, 2011. **36**(11): p. 1443-1498.
- 1290 20. Ghita, O., E. James, R. Trimble, and K.E. Evans, *Physico-chemical behaviour of poly*  
1291 *(ether ketone)(PEK) in high temperature laser sintering (HT-LS)*. Journal of Materials  
1292 Processing Technology, 2014. **214**(4): p. 969-978.
- 1293 21. Huang, Z., J. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Xu, R. Li, H. Hong, L. Shen, H. Lin, and B.-Q. Liao,  
1294 *Enhanced permeability and antifouling performance of polyether sulfone (PES)*  
1295 *membrane via elevating magnetic Ni@ MXene nanoparticles to upper layer in phase*  
1296 *inversion process*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2021. **623**: p. 119080.
- 1297 22. Luo, H., G. Vaivars, and M. Mathe, *Double cross-linked polyetheretherketone proton*  
1298 *exchange membrane for fuel cell*. international journal of hydrogen energy, 2012.  
1299 **37**(7): p. 6148-6152.
- 1300 23. Kausar, A., *Progression from polyimide to polyimide composite in proton-exchange*  
1301 *membrane fuel cell: a review*. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering, 2017.  
1302 **56**(13): p. 1375-1390.
- 1303 24. Gil, M., X. Ji, X. Li, H. Na, J.E. Hampsey, and Y. Lu, *Direct synthesis of sulfonated*  
1304 *aromatic poly (ether ether ketone) proton exchange membranes for fuel cell*  
1305 *applications*. Journal of membrane science, 2004. **234**(1-2): p. 75-81.
- 1306 25. Mahimai, B.M., G. Sivasubramanian, K. Sekar, D. Kannaiyan, and P. Deivanayagam,  
1307 *Sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone): efficient ion-exchange polymer electrolytes for*  
1308 *fuel cell applications—a versatile review*. Materials Advances, 2022. **3**(15): p. 6085-  
1309 6095.
- 1310 26. Govinna, N.D., T. Keller, C. Schick, and P. Cebe, *Melt-electrospinning of poly (ether*  
1311 *ether ketone) fibers to avoid sulfonation*. Polymer, 2019. **171**: p. 50-57.
- 1312 27. Sarirchi, S., S. Rowshanzamir, and F. Mehri, *Simultaneous improvement of ionic*  
1313 *conductivity and oxidative stability of sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)*  
1314 *nanocomposite proton exchange membrane for fuel cell application*. International  
1315 Journal of Energy Research, 2020. **44**(4): p. 2783-2800.
- 1316 28. RS, R.R., W. Rashmi, M. Khalid, W. Wong, and J. Priyanka, *Recent progress in the*  
1317 *development of aromatic polymer-based proton exchange membranes for fuel cell*  
1318 *applications*. Polymers, 2020. **12**(5): p. 1061.
- 1319 29. Beyraghi, F., S.H. Mirfarsi, S. Rowshanzamir, A. Karimi, and M.J. Parnian, *Optimal*  
1320 *thermal treatment conditions for durability improvement of highly sulfonated poly (ether*  
1321 *ether ketone) membrane for polymer electrolyte fuel cell applications*. international  
1322 journal of hydrogen energy, 2020. **45**(24): p. 13441-13458.
- 1323 30. Ren, S., H. Lei, L. Wang, Q. Bu, S. Chen, and J. Wu, *Thermal behaviour and kinetic*  
1324 *study for woody biomass torrefaction and torrefied biomass pyrolysis by TGA*.  
1325 Biosystems engineering, 2013. **116**(4): p. 420-426.
- 1326 31. Zakaria, Z., N. Shaari, S.K. Kamarudin, R. Bahru, and M.T. Musa, *A review of*  
1327 *progressive advanced polymer nanohybrid membrane in fuel cell application*.  
1328 International Journal of Energy Research, 2020. **44**(11): p. 8255-8295.
- 1329 32. Gilois, B., F. Goujon, A. Fleury, A. Soldera, and A. Ghoufi, *Water nano-diffusion*  
1330 *through the Nafion fuel cell membrane*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2020. **602**: p.  
1331 117958.
- 1332 33. Wang, G., Z. Liu, C. Liu, and W. Chen, *Molecular Study of Nonequilibrium Transport*  
1333 *Mechanism for Proton and Water in Porous Proton Exchange Membranes*.  
1334 International Journal of Energy Research, 2023. **2023**.
- 1335 34. Haider, R., Y. Wen, Z.-F. Ma, D.P. Wilkinson, L. Zhang, X. Yuan, S. Song, and J.  
1336 Zhang, *High temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells: progress in advanced*  
1337 *materials and key technologies*. Chemical Society Reviews, 2021. **50**(2): p. 1138-  
1338 1187.





- 1339 35. Dong, X., D. Lu, T.A. Harris, and I.C. Escobar, *Polymers and solvents used in*  
1340 *membrane fabrication: a review focusing on sustainable membrane development.*  
1341 Membranes, 2021. **11**(5): p. 309.
- 1342 36. Mukeba, K.M., *Step-growth polymerization of perfluoro-vinyl ether, -cycloalkenes, and-*  
1343 *acyclic alkenes with bisphenols containing variable polycyclic aromatic cores.* 2022:  
1344 Mississippi State University.
- 1345 37. Bossion, A., K.V. Heifferon, L. Meabe, N. Zivic, D. Taton, J.L. Hedrick, T.E. Long, and  
1346 H. Sardon, *Opportunities for organocatalysis in polymer synthesis via step-growth*  
1347 *methods.* Progress in Polymer Science, 2019. **90**: p. 164-210.
- 1348 38. Yang, Y., Y. Wang, M. Zhu, J. Zhao, D. Cai, and H. Cao, *Valorization of lignin for*  
1349 *renewable non-isocyanate polyurethanes: a state-of-the-art review.* Materials Today  
1350 Sustainability, 2023. **22**: p. 100367.
- 1351 39. Nguyen, M.D.T., S. Yang, and D. Kim, *Pendant dual sulfonated poly (arylene ether*  
1352 *ketone) proton exchange membranes for fuel cell application.* Journal of Power  
1353 Sources, 2016. **328**: p. 355-363.
- 1354 40. Fulcrand, H., L. Rouméas, G. Billerach, C. Aouf, and E. Dubreucq, *Advances in*  
1355 *Bio-based thermosetting polymers.* Recent Advances in Polyphenol Research, 2019.  
1356 **6**: p. 285-334.
- 1357 41. Sun, Y., S. Zhou, G. Qin, J. Guo, Q. Zhang, S. Li, and S. Zhang, *A chemical-induced*  
1358 *crystallization strategy to fabricate poly (ether ether ketone) asymmetric membranes*  
1359 *for organic solvent nanofiltration.* Journal of Membrane Science, 2021. **620**: p. 118899.
- 1360 42. Xu, M., H. Xue, Q. Wang, and L. Jia, *Sulfonated poly (arylene ether) s based proton*  
1361 *exchange membranes for fuel cells.* International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2021.  
1362 **46**(62): p. 31727-31753.
- 1363 43. Nor, N.A.M., M.A. Mohamed, and J. Jaafar, *Modified sulfonated polyphenylsulfone*  
1364 *proton exchange membrane with enhanced fuel cell performance: A review.* Journal of  
1365 Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2022.
- 1366 44. Khomein, P., W. Ketelaars, T. Lap, and G. Liu, *Sulfonated aromatic polymer as a future*  
1367 *proton exchange membrane: A review of sulfonation and crosslinking methods.*  
1368 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2021. **137**: p. 110471.
- 1369 45. Harun, N.A.M., N. Shaari, and N.F.H. Nik Zaiman, *A review of alternative polymer*  
1370 *electrolyte membrane for fuel cell application based on sulfonated poly (ether ether*  
1371 *ketone).* International Journal of Energy Research, 2021. **45**(14): p. 19671-19708.
- 1372 46. Sayed Daud, S.N.S., M.N.A. Mohd Norddin, J. Jaafar, and R. Sudirman, *High degree*  
1373 *sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) blend with polyvinylidene fluoride as a potential*  
1374 *proton-conducting membrane fuel cell.* High Performance Polymers, 2020. **32**(1): p.  
1375 103-115.
- 1376 47. Muthu Lakshmi, R., V. Choudhary, and I. Varma, *Sulphonated poly (ether ether*  
1377 *ketone): Synthesis and characterisation.* Journal of materials science, 2005. **40**: p.  
1378 629-636.
- 1379 48. Tsai, S., *Introduction to composite materials.* 2018: Routledge.
- 1380 49. Li, Z., R. Yu, C. Liu, J. Zheng, J. Guo, T.A. Sherazi, S. Li, and S. Zhang, *Preparation*  
1381 *and characterization of side-chain poly (aryl ether ketone) anion exchange membranes*  
1382 *by superacid-catalyzed reaction.* Polymer, 2021. **222**: p. 123639.
- 1383 50. Wong, C.Y., W.Y. Wong, K.S. Loh, W.R.W. Daud, K.L. Lim, M. Khalid, and R.  
1384 Walvekar, *Development of poly (vinyl alcohol)-based polymers as proton exchange*  
1385 *membranes and challenges in fuel cell application: a review.* Polymer reviews, 2020.  
1386 **60**(1): p. 171-202.
- 1387 51. Xiang, Z., H. Liu, P. Deng, M. Liu, Y. Yin, and X. Ge, *The effect of irradiation on*  
1388 *morphology and properties of the PET/HDPE blends with trimethylol propane*  
1389 *trimethacrylate (TMPTA).* Polymer bulletin, 2009. **63**: p. 587-597.
- 1390 52. Xiaomin, G., L. Yonghua, and L. Jinlong, *Review on modification of sulfonated poly (-*  
1391 *ether-ether-ketone) membranes used as proton exchange membranes.* Materials  
1392 Science, 2015. **21**(4): p. 574-582.



- 1393 53. Hayes, S., C. Boote, C.S. Kamma-Lorger, M.S. Rajan, J. Harris, E. Dooley, N. Hawsworth, J. Hiller, N.J. Terill, and F. Hafezi, *Riboflavin/UVA collagen cross-linking-induced changes in normal and keratoconus corneal stroma*. PloS one, 2011. **6**(8): p. e22405. View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C
- 1394
- 1395
- 1396
- 1397 54. Gao, Y., K. Peng, and S. Mitragotri, *Covalently Crosslinked hydrogels via step-growth reactions: crosslinking chemistries, polymers, and clinical impact*. Advanced Materials, 2021. **33**(25): p. 2006362.
- 1398
- 1399
- 1400 55. Wu, S., J. Liang, Y. Shi, M. Huang, X. Bi, Z. Wang, and J. Jin, *Design of interchain hydrogen bond in polyimide membrane for improved gas selectivity and membrane stability*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2021. **618**: p. 118659.
- 1401
- 1402
- 1403 56. Ramly, N., N. Aini, N. Sahli, S. Aminuddin, M. Yahya, and A. Ali, *Dielectric behaviour of UV-crosslinked sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) with methyl cellulose (SPEEK-MC) as proton exchange membrane*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2017. **42**(14): p. 9284-9292.
- 1404
- 1405
- 1406
- 1407 57. Teruel-Juanes, R., B. Pascual-Jose, C. del Río, O. García, and A. Ribes-Greus, *Dielectric analysis of photocrosslinked and post-sulfonated styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene block copolymer based membranes*. Reactive and Functional Polymers, 2020. **155**: p. 104715.
- 1408
- 1409
- 1410
- 1411 58. Meng, N., F. Lian, and G. Cui, *Macromolecular design of lithium conductive polymer as electrolyte for solid-state lithium batteries*. Small, 2021. **17**(3): p. 2005762.
- 1412
- 1413 59. Kumari, M., H.S. Sodaye, D. Sen, and R.C. Bindal, *Properties and morphology studies of proton exchange membranes based on cross-linked sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) for electrochemical application: effect of cross-linker chain length*. Solid State Ionics, 2018. **316**: p. 75-84.
- 1414
- 1415
- 1416
- 1417 60. Gupta, D. and V. Choudhary, *Studies on novel heat treated sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)[SPEEK]/diol membranes for fuel cell applications*. international journal of hydrogen energy, 2011. **36**(14): p. 8525-8535.
- 1418
- 1419
- 1420 61. Ajitha, A. and S. Thomas, *Introduction: Polymer blends, thermodynamics, miscibility, phase separation, and compatibilization*, in *Compatibilization of polymer blends*. 2020, Elsevier. p. 1-29.
- 1421
- 1422
- 1423 62. Liang, J., J. Ge, K. Wu, Q. Zhang, J. Wang, and Z. Ye, *Sulfonated polyaryletherketone with pendant benzimidazole groups for proton exchange membranes*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2020. **597**: p. 117626.
- 1424
- 1425
- 1426 63. Simari, C., C.L. Vecchio, V. Baglio, and I. Nicotera, *Sulfonated polyethersulfone/polyetheretherketone blend as high performing and cost-effective electrolyte membrane for direct methanol fuel cells*. Renewable Energy, 2020. **159**: p. 336-345.
- 1427
- 1428
- 1429
- 1430 64. Raja, K., M. Raja Pugalenth, and M. Ramesh Prabhu, *Investigation on the sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)/poly (amide-imide)/barium cerate-based nanocomposite membrane for proton exchange membrane fuel cells*. International Journal of Energy Research, 2021. **45**(6): p. 8564-8576.
- 1431
- 1432
- 1433
- 1434 65. Li, C., Z. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Zhang, J. Dong, Q. Zhang, and H. Cheng, *Enhanced performance of sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) membranes by blending fully aromatic polyamide for practical application in direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs)*. international journal of hydrogen energy, 2017. **42**(47): p. 28567-28577.
- 1435
- 1436
- 1437
- 1438 66. Haragirimana, A., P.B. Ingabire, Y. Liu, N. Li, Z. Hu, and S. Chen, *An effective strategy to enhance the performance of the proton exchange membranes based on sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) s*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2020. **45**(16): p. 10017-10029.
- 1439
- 1440
- 1441
- 1442 67. Shang, Z., R. Wycisk, and P. Pintauro, *Electrospun composite proton-exchange and anion-exchange membranes for fuel cells*. Energies, 2021. **14**(20): p. 6709.
- 1443
- 1444 68. Qian, X., M. Ostwal, A. Asatekin, G.M. Geise, Z.P. Smith, W.A. Phillip, R.P. Lively, and J.R. McCutcheon, *A critical review and commentary on recent progress of additive manufacturing and its impact on membrane technology*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2022. **645**: p. 120041.
- 1445
- 1446
- 1447



- 1448 69. Nayak, J.K., U. Shankar, and K. Samal, *Fabrication and development of*  
 1449 *SPEEK/PVdF-HFP/SiO<sub>2</sub> proton exchange membrane for microbial fuel cell*  
 1450 *application*. Chemical Engineering Journal Advances, 2023. **14**: p. 100459.
- 1451 70. Çalı, A., A. Şahin, and A. Irfan, *Experimental Investigation of boron phosphate*  
 1452 *Incorporated speak/pvdf blend membrane for proton exchange membrane fuel cells*.  
 1453 International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2022. **47**(95): p. 40476-40490.
- 1454 71. Wang, D. and C.J. Cornelius, *Ionomer thermodynamic interrelationships associated*  
 1455 *with wettability, surface energy, swelling, and water transport*. European Polymer  
 1456 Journal, 2016. **85**: p. 126-138.
- 1457 72. Liu, Q., X. Li, S. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Chen, S. Zhou, C. Wang, K. Wu, J. Liu, and Q.  
 1458 Mao, *Novel sulfonated N-heterocyclic poly (aryl ether ketone ketone) s with pendant*  
 1459 *phenyl groups for proton exchange membrane performing enhanced oxidative stability*  
 1460 *and excellent fuel cell properties*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2022. **641**: p. 119926.
- 1461 73. Zhao, G., L. Shi, M. Zhang, B. Cheng, G. Yang, and X. Zhuang, *Self-assembly of*  
 1462 *metal-organic framework onto nanofibrous mats to enhance proton conductivity for*  
 1463 *proton exchange membrane*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2021. **46**(73):  
 1464 p. 36415-36423.
- 1465 74. da Trindade, L., L. Zanchet, R. Dreon, J. Souza, M. Assis, E. Longo, E. Martini, A.  
 1466 Chiquito, and F. Pontes, *Microwave-assisted solvothermal preparation of Zr-BDC for*  
 1467 *modification of proton exchange membranes made of SPEEK/PBI blends*. Journal of  
 1468 Materials Science, 2020. **55**: p. 14938-14952.
- 1469 75. Daud, S.N.S.S., M.M. Norddin, J. Jaafar, R. Sudirman, M. Othman, and A. Ismail,  
 1470 *Highly sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) blend with hydrophobic polyether sulfone*  
 1471 *as an alternative electrolyte for proton exchange membrane fuel cell*. Arabian Journal  
 1472 for Science and Engineering, 2021. **46**: p. 6189-6205.
- 1473 76. Wei, P., Y. Sui, X. Li, Q. Liu, B. Zhu, C. Cong, X. Meng, and Q. Zhou, *Sandwich-*  
 1474 *structure PI/SPEEK/PI proton exchange membrane developed for achieving the high*  
 1475 *durability on excellent proton conductivity and stability*. Journal of Membrane Science,  
 1476 2022. **644**: p. 120116.
- 1477 77. Chu, F., X. Chu, T. Lv, Z. Chen, Y. Ren, S. Zhang, N. Yuan, B. Lin, and J. Ding,  
 1478 *Amphoteric membranes based on sulfonated polyether ether ketone and*  
 1479 *imidazolium-functionalized polyphenylene oxide for vanadium redox flow battery*  
 1480 *applications*. ChemElectroChem, 2019. **6**(19): p. 5041-5050.
- 1481 78. Yang, X., H. Zhu, F. Jiang, and X. Zhou, *Notably enhanced proton conductivity by*  
 1482 *thermally-induced phase-separation transition of Nafion/Poly (vinylidene fluoride)*  
 1483 *blend membranes*. Journal of Power Sources, 2020. **473**: p. 228586.
- 1484 79. Liu, G., W.-C. Tsen, S.-C. Jang, F. Hu, F. Zhong, H. Liu, G. Wang, S. Wen, G. Zheng,  
 1485 and C. Gong, *Mechanically robust and highly methanol-resistant sulfonated poly (ether*  
 1486 *ether ketone)/poly (vinylidene fluoride) nanofiber composite membranes for direct*  
 1487 *methanol fuel cells*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2019. **591**: p. 117321.
- 1488 80. Chamakh, M. and A.I. Ayesh, *Production and investigation of flexible nanofibers of*  
 1489 *sPEEK/PVP loaded with RuO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles*. Materials & Design, 2021. **204**: p.  
 1490 109678.
- 1491 81. Purnama, H., M. Mujiburohman, M. Hakim, and N. Hidayati. *Preparation and*  
 1492 *Characterisation of Composite Sulfonated Polyether Ether Ketone for Direct Methanol*  
 1493 *Fuel Cells*. in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2019. IOP Publishing.
- 1494 82. Abu-Thabit, N.Y., S.A. Ali, S.J. Zaidi, and K. Mezghani, *Novel sulfonated poly (ether*  
 1495 *ether ketone)/phosphonated polysulfone polymer blends for proton conducting*  
 1496 *membranes*. Journal of Materials Research, 2012. **27**(15): p. 1958-1968.
- 1497 83. Sultan, A., J.K. Adewole, A. Al-Ahmed, M. Nazal, and S.J. Zaidi, *Preparation and*  
 1498 *performance evaluation of speak/polyaniline composite membrane for direct methanol*  
 1499 *fuel cell*. International Polymer Processing, 2017. **32**(1): p. 41-49.
- 1500 84. Han, M., G. Zhang, M. Li, S. Wang, Z. Liu, H. Li, Y. Zhang, D. Xu, J. Wang, and J. Ni,  
 1501 *Sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)/polybenzimidazole oligomer/epoxy resin*





- 1502 *composite membranes in situ polymerization for direct methanol fuel cell usages*.  
1503 Journal of Power Sources, 2011. **196**(23): p. 9916-9923.
- 1504 85. Hidayati, N., T. Harmoko, M. Mujiburohman, and H. Purnama. *Characterization of*  
1505 *sPEEK/chitosan membrane for the direct methanol fuel cell*. in *AIP Conference*  
1506 *Proceedings*. 2019. AIP Publishing.
- 1507 86. Sun, X., S.C. Simonsen, T. Norby, and A. Chatzitakis, *Composite membranes for high*  
1508 *temperature PEM fuel cells and electrolyzers: a critical review*. Membranes, 2019. **9**(7):  
1509 p. 83.
- 1510 87. Liu, G., W.-C. Tsen, S.-C. Jang, F. Hu, F. Zhong, B. Zhang, J. Wang, H. Liu, G. Wang,  
1511 and S. Wen, *Composite membranes from quaternized chitosan reinforced with*  
1512 *surface-functionalized PVDF electrospun nanofibers for alkaline direct methanol fuel*  
1513 *cells*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2020. **611**: p. 118242.
- 1514 88. Li, Z., Z. Guan, C. Wang, B. Quan, and L. Zhao, *Addition of modified hollow*  
1515 *mesoporous organosilica in anhydrous SPEEK/IL composite membrane enhances its*  
1516 *proton conductivity*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2021. **620**: p. 118897.
- 1517 89. Sivasubramanian, G., K. Hariharasubramanian, P. Deivanayagam, and J.  
1518 Ramaswamy, *High-performance SPEEK/SWCNT/fly ash polymer electrolyte*  
1519 *nanocomposite membranes for fuel cell applications*. Polymer Journal, 2017. **49**(10):  
1520 p. 703-709.
- 1521 90. Gahlot, S. and V. Kulshrestha, *Dramatic improvement in water retention and proton*  
1522 *conductivity in electrically aligned functionalized CNT/SPEEK nanohybrid PEM*. ACS  
1523 Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2015. **7**(1): p. 264-272.
- 1524 91. Di, Y., W. Yang, X. Li, Z. Zhao, M. Wang, and J. Dai, *Preparation and characterization*  
1525 *of continuous carbon nanofiber-supported SPEEK composite membranes for fuel cell*  
1526 *application*. RSC Advances, 2014. **4**(94): p. 52001-52007.
- 1527 92. He, S., Y. Ai, W. Dai, S. Zhai, H. Song, and J. Lin, *Composite membranes anchoring*  
1528 *phosphotungstic acid by  $\beta$ -cyclodextrins modified halloysite nanotubes*. Polymer  
1529 Testing, 2021. **100**: p. 107246.
- 1530 93. Oh, S., T. Yoshida, G. Kawamura, H. Muto, M. Sakai, and A. Matsuda, *Proton*  
1531 *conductivity and fuel cell property of composite electrolyte consisting of Cs-substituted*  
1532 *heteropoly acids and sulfonated poly (ether-ether ketone)*. Journal of Power Sources,  
1533 2010. **195**(18): p. 5822-5828.
- 1534 94. Peighambardoust, S., S. Rowshanzamir, M. Hosseini, and M. Yazdanpour, *Self-*  
1535 *humidifying nanocomposite membranes based on sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)*  
1536 *and heteropolyacid supported Pt catalyst for fuel cells*. International journal of  
1537 hydrogen energy, 2011. **36**(17): p. 10940-10957.
- 1538 95. Colicchio, I., F. Wen, H. Keul, U. Simon, and M. Moeller, *Sulfonated poly (ether ether*  
1539 *ketone)-silica membranes doped with phosphotungstic acid. Morphology and proton*  
1540 *conductivity*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2009. **326**(1): p. 45-57.
- 1541 96. Doğan, H., T.Y. Inan, E. Unveren, and M. Kaya, *Effect of cesium salt of*  
1542 *tungstophosphoric acid (Cs-TPA) on the properties of sulfonated polyether ether*  
1543 *ketone (SPEEK) composite membranes for fuel cell applications*. international journal  
1544 of hydrogen energy, 2010. **35**(15): p. 7784-7795.
- 1545 97. Yogarathinam, L.T., J. Jaafar, A.F. Ismail, P.S. Goh, M.H. Bin Mohamed, M.F. Radzi  
1546 Hanifah, A. Gangasalam, and J. Peter, *Polyaniline decorated graphene oxide on*  
1547 *sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) membrane for direct methanol fuel cells*  
1548 *application*. Polymers for Advanced Technologies, 2022. **33**(1): p. 66-80.
- 1549 98. Maiti, T.K., P. Dixit, J. Singh, N. Talapatra, M. Ray, and S. Chattopadhyay, *A novel*  
1550 *strategy toward the advancement of proton exchange membranes through the*  
1551 *incorporation of propylsulfonic acid-functionalized graphene oxide in crosslinked acid-*  
1552 *base polymer blends*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2023. **48**(4): p. 1482-  
1553 1500.
- 1554 99. Martina, P., R. Gayathri, M.R. Pugalenth, G. Cao, C. Liu, and M.R. Prabhu,  
1555 *Nanosulfonated silica incorporated SPEEK/SPVdF-HFP polymer blend membrane for*  
1556 *PEM fuel cell application*. Ionics, 2020. **26**: p. 3447-3458.



- 1557 100. Meng, X., C. Li, J. Wen, H. Ye, C. Cong, Q. Zhou, and L. Xu, *The effect of*  
 1558 *amino-modified mesoporous silica nanospheres on properties of SPEEK/HPW@*  
 1559 *Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles proton exchange membrane*. Journal of the Chinese  
 1560 Chemical Society, 2021. **68**(7): p. 1197-1204.
- 1561 101. Sahin, A., *The development of Speek/Pva/Teos blend membrane for proton exchange*  
 1562 *membrane fuel cells*. Electrochimica Acta, 2018. **271**: p. 127-136.
- 1563 102. Kumar, V., S. GokulaKrishnan, G. Arthanareeswaran, A.F. Ismail, J. Jaafar, D.B. Das,  
 1564 and L.T. Yogarathinam, *Cloisite-and bentonite-based stable nanocomposite*  
 1565 *membranes for enhancement of direct methanol fuel cell applications*. Polymer  
 1566 Bulletin, 2023: p. 1-19.
- 1567 103. Gokulakrishnan, S., V. Kumar, G. Arthanareeswaran, A. Ismail, and J. Jaafar,  
 1568 *Thermally stable nanoclay and functionalized graphene oxide integrated SPEEK*  
 1569 *nanocomposite membranes for direct methanol fuel cell application*. Fuel, 2022. **329**:  
 1570 p. 125407.
- 1571 104. Charradi, K., Z. Ahmed, P. Aranda, and R. Chtourou, *Silica/montmorillonite*  
 1572 *nanoarchitectures and layered double hydroxide-SPEEK based composite*  
 1573 *membranes for fuel cells applications*. Applied Clay Science, 2019. **174**: p. 77-85.
- 1574 105. Selvakumar, K., S. Rajendran, and M. Ramesh Prabhu, *Influence of barium zirconate*  
 1575 *on SPEEK-based polymer electrolytes for PEM fuel cell applications*. Ionics, 2019. **25**:  
 1576 p. 2243-2253.
- 1577 106. Wang, Y., J. You, Z. Cheng, K. Jiang, L. Zhang, W. Cai, Y.-Q. Liu, and S. Li, *A*  
 1578 *promising Al-CeZrO<sub>4</sub>/HPW-incorporated SPEEK composite membrane with improved*  
 1579 *proton conductivity and chemical stability for PEM fuel cells*. High Performance  
 1580 Polymers, 2021. **33**(3): p. 295-308.
- 1581 107. Gandhimathi, S., H. Krishnan, and D. Paradesi, *Development of proton-exchange*  
 1582 *polymer nanocomposite membranes for fuel cell applications*. Polymers and Polymer  
 1583 Composites, 2020. **28**(7): p. 492-501.
- 1584 108. Prathap, M., K. Poonkuzhali, M.M. Berlina, P. Hemalatha, and D. Paradesi, *Synthesis*  
 1585 *and characterization of sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)/zinc cobalt oxide*  
 1586 *composite membranes for fuel cell applications*. High Performance Polymers, 2020.  
 1587 **32**(9): p. 984-991.
- 1588 109. Zhang, X., H. Ma, T. Pei, R. Zhang, and Y. Liu, *Anchoring HPW by amino-modified*  
 1589 *MIL-101 (Cr) to improve the properties of SPEEK in proton exchange membranes*.  
 1590 Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2023: p. e53978.
- 1591 110. Huang, H., Y. Ma, Z. Jiang, and Z.-J. Jiang, *Spindle-like MOFs-derived porous carbon*  
 1592 *filled sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone): A high performance proton exchange*  
 1593 *membrane for direct methanol fuel cells*. Journal of Membrane Science, 2021. **636**: p.  
 1594 119585.
- 1595 111. Sun, L., S. Qu, X. Lv, L. Ding, J. Duan, and W. Wang, *Sulfonated Poly Ether Ether*  
 1596 *Ketone Membranes Reinforced by Metal–Organic Frameworks/Ionic Liquids*. ACS  
 1597 Applied Polymer Materials, 2023. **5**(12): p. 10081-10090.
- 1598 112. Aparna, M., P. Hemalatha, D. Paradesi, and D.A. Raj, *Design and development of*  
 1599 *copper trimesic acid anchored sPEEK/polyimide composite membranes for fuel cell*  
 1600 *applications*. ChemistrySelect, 2023. **8**(14): p. e202204584.
- 1601 113. Sun, H., B. Tang, and P. Wu, *Two-dimensional zeolitic imidazolate framework/carbon*  
 1602 *nanotube hybrid networks modified proton exchange membranes for improving*  
 1603 *transport properties*. ACS applied materials & interfaces, 2017. **9**(40): p. 35075-35085.
- 1604 114. Barjola, A., J.L. Reyes-Rodríguez, O. Solorza-Feria, E. Giménez, and V. Compan,  
 1605 *Novel SPEEK-ZIF-67 proton exchange nanocomposite membrane for PEMFC*  
 1606 *application at intermediate temperatures*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry  
 1607 Research, 2021. **60**(25): p. 9107-9118.
- 1608 115. Taufiq Musa, M., N. Shaari, and S.K. Kamarudin, *Carbon nanotube, graphene oxide*  
 1609 *and montmorillonite as conductive fillers in polymer electrolyte membrane for fuel cell:*  
 1610 *an overview*. International Journal of Energy Research, 2021. **45**(2): p. 1309-1346.





- 1611 116. Gupta, N., S.M. Gupta, and S. Sharma, *Carbon nanotubes: Synthesis, properties and engineering applications*. Carbon Letters, 2019. **29**: p. 419-447.
- 1612 117. Nqakala, N.C., *Construction of an enzyme-free electrochemical sensor based on Ag-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/POM/RGO novel nanocomposite for hydrogen peroxide detection*. 2018.
- 1613 118. Li, N., J. Liu, J.J. Liu, L.Z. Dong, S.L. Li, B.X. Dong, Y.H. Kan, and Y.Q. Lan, *Self-Assembly of a Phosphate-Centered Polyoxo-Titanium Cluster: Discovery of the Heteroatom Keggin Family*. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2019. **58**(48): p. 17260-17264.
- 1614 119. Weinstock, I.A., R.E. Schreiber, and R. Neumann, *Dioxygen in Polyoxometalate Mediated Reactions*. Chemical reviews, 2017. **118** 5: p. 2680-2717.
- 1615 120. Ponomareva, O., O. Matveeva, A. Nikiforov, I. Dobryakova, I. Kasyanov, A. Shkuropatov, and I. Ivanova, *Synthesis of butadiene from Formaldehyde and Propylene on Cesium Salts of Silicotungstic heteropoly Acid*. Petroleum Chemistry, 2021. **61**(8): p. 916-924.
- 1616 121. Sánchez-Velandia, J.E., H.G. Baldoví, A.Y. Sidorenko, J.A. Becerra, and F. Martínez, *Synthesis of heterocycles compounds from condensation of limonene with aldehydes using heteropolyacids supported on metal oxides*. Molecular Catalysis, 2022. **528**: p. 112511.
- 1617 122. Shaari, N., N.F. Raduwan, Y.N. Yusoff, N.A.M. Harun, and N.F.H.N. Zaiman, *Membrane and catalyst in direct methanol fuel cell and direct borohydride fuel cell application*, in *Renewable Energy Production and Distribution Volume 2*. 2023, Elsevier. p. 409-458.
- 1618 123. Mao, H., X. Li, F. Xu, Z. Xiao, W. Zhang, and T. Meng, *Vapour-phase selective O-methylation of catechol with methanol over metal phosphate catalysts*. Catalysts, 2021. **11**(5): p. 531.
- 1619 124. Ryu, G.Y., H. Jae, K.J. Kim, H. Kim, S. Lee, Y. Jeon, D. Roh, and W.S. Chi, *Hollow Heteropoly Acid-Functionalized ZIF Composite Membrane for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells*. ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2023. **6**(8): p. 4283-4296.
- 1620 125. Zhang, Y., H. Zhang, C. Bi, and X. Zhu, *An inorganic/organic self-humidifying composite membranes for proton exchange membrane fuel cell application*. Electrochimica Acta, 2008. **53**(12): p. 4096-4103.
- 1621 126. Ghosh, A., *Synthesis of Graphene: Theory and Application*, in *Constraint Decision-Making Systems in Engineering*. 2023, IGI Global. p. 219-238.
- 1622 127. Esrafil Dizaji, L., *Synthesis of new nano metal-organic frameworks with urea and thiourea ligands and investigation of their application in sensing, catalysis and removal of hazardous materials*. 2022, University of Antwerp.
- 1623 128. Collomb, D., P. Li, and S. Bending, *Frontiers of graphene-based Hall-effect sensors*. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 2021. **33**(24): p. 243002.
- 1624 129. Guo, W., M. Zhang, Z. Xue, P.K. Chu, Y. Mei, Z. Tian, and Z. Di, *Extremely High Intrinsic Carrier Mobility and Quantum Hall Effect Of Single Crystalline Graphene Grown on Ge (110)*. Advanced Materials Interfaces, 2023. **10**(23): p. 2300482.
- 1625 130. Mbayachi, V.B., E. Ndayiragije, T. Sammani, S. Taj, and E.R. Mbuta, *Graphene synthesis, characterization and its applications: A review*. Results in Chemistry, 2021. **3**: p. 100163.
- 1626 131. Das, P., B. Mandal, and S. Gumma, *L-tyrosine grafted palladium graphite oxide and sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) based novel composite membrane for direct methanol fuel cell*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2021. **423**: p. 130235.
- 1627 132. Sun, J., D. Han, M.M. Mohideen, S. Li, C. Wang, P. Hu, and Y. Liu, *Constructing vertical proton transport channels in proton exchange membranes of fuel cells*. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2023.
- 1628 133. Guo, Z., J. Chen, J.J. Byun, R. Cai, M. Perez-Page, M. Sahoo, Z. Ji, S.J. Haigh, and S.M. Holmes, *High-performance polymer electrolyte membranes incorporated with 2D silica nanosheets in high-temperature proton exchange membrane fuel cells*. Journal of Energy Chemistry, 2022. **64**: p. 323-334.



- 1665 134. Porozhnyy, M., S. Shkirskaia, D.Y. Butylskii, V. Dotsenko, E.Y. Safronova, A. Yaroslavl'tsev, S. Deabate, P. Huguet, and V. Nikonenko, *Physicochemical and electrochemical characterization of Nafion-type membranes with embedded silica nanoparticles: Effect of functionalization*. *Electrochimica Acta*, 2021. **370**: p. 137689.
- 1666
- 1667
- 1668
- 1669 135. Mohamednour, A.E.E., N.A.H.M. Nordin, M.R. Bilad, S.N.A. Shafie, S.M. Hizam, and N.I.M. Nawi, *Quantifying the impact of silica hydrophilicity and loading on membrane surface properties through response surface methodology*. *Journal of Materials Science*, 2023. **58**(35): p. 13974-13993.
- 1670
- 1671
- 1672
- 1673 136. Pal, N. and M. Agarwal, *Advances in materials process and separation mechanism of the membrane towards hydrogen separation*. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2021. **46**(53): p. 27062-27087.
- 1674
- 1675
- 1676 137. Mohapi, M., J.S. Sefadi, M.J. Mochane, S.I. Magagula, and K. Lebelo, *Effect of LDHs and other clays on polymer composite in adsorptive removal of contaminants: a review*. *Crystals*, 2020. **10**(11): p. 957.
- 1677
- 1678
- 1679 138. Morariu, S. and M. Teodorescu, *Laponite®—A versatile component in hybrid materials for biomedical applications*. *Mem Sci Sect Romanian Acad*, 2020. **43**: p. 141-155.
- 1680
- 1681 139. Dor, M., Y. Levi-Kalishman, R.J. Day-Stirrat, Y. Mishael, and S. Emmanuel, *Assembly of clay mineral platelets, tactoids, and aggregates: Effect of mineral structure and solution salinity*. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 2020. **566**: p. 163-170.
- 1682
- 1683
- 1684 140. Shaari, N. and S.K. Kamarudin, *Recent advances in additive-enhanced polymer electrolyte membrane properties in fuel cell applications: An overview*. *International Journal of Energy Research*, 2019. **43**(7): p. 2756-2794.
- 1685
- 1686
- 1687 141. He, S., H. Jia, Y. Lin, H. Qian, and J. Lin, *Effect of clay modification on the structure and properties of sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone)/clay nanocomposites*. *Polymer Composites*, 2016. **37**(9): p. 2632-2638.
- 1688
- 1689
- 1690 142. Chen, M., C. Zhao, F. Sun, J. Fan, H. Li, and H. Wang, *Research progress of catalyst layer and interlayer interface structures in membrane electrode assembly (MEA) for proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) system*. *ETransportation*, 2020. **5**: p. 100075.
- 1691
- 1692
- 1693
- 1694 143. Abyzov, A., *Aluminum oxide and alumina ceramics (review). Part 1. Properties of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and commercial production of dispersed Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>*. *Refractories and industrial ceramics*, 2019. **60**: p. 24-32.
- 1695
- 1696
- 1697 144. Liu, F., P. Dong, W. Lu, and K. Sun, *On formation of AlOC bonds at aluminum/polyamide joint interface*. *Applied Surface Science*, 2019. **466**: p. 202-209.
- 1698
- 1699 145. Kamal, A., M. Ashmawy, A.M. Algazzar, and A.H. Elsheikh, *Fabrication techniques of polymeric nanocomposites: A comprehensive review*. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 2022. **236**(9): p. 4843-4861.
- 1700
- 1701
- 1702
- 1703 146. Unnikrishnan, V., O. Zabihi, M. Ahmadi, Q. Li, P. Blanchard, A. Kiziltas, and M. Naebe, *Metal-organic framework structure-property relationships for high-performance multifunctional polymer nanocomposite applications*. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 2021. **9**(8): p. 4348-4378.
- 1704
- 1705
- 1706
- 1707 147. Yang, S., V.V. Karve, A. Justin, I. Kochetygov, J. Espin, M. Asgari, O. Trukhina, D.T. Sun, L. Peng, and W.L. Queen, *Enhancing MOF performance through the introduction of polymer guests*. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 2021. **427**: p. 213525.
- 1708
- 1709
- 1710 148. Nabipour, H., X. Wang, L. Song, and Y. Hu, *Metal-organic frameworks for flame retardant polymers application: A critical review*. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2020. **139**: p. 106113.
- 1711
- 1712
- 1713 149. Zheng, Z., Z. Rong, H.L. Nguyen, and O.M. Yaghi, *Structural Chemistry of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks*. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2023. **62**(51): p. 20861-20873.
- 1714
- 1715 150. Little, M.A. and A.I. Cooper, *The chemistry of porous organic molecular materials*. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2020. **30**(41): p. 1909842.
- 1716
- 1717 151. Qian, Q., P.A. Asinger, M.J. Lee, G. Han, K. Mizrahi Rodriguez, S. Lin, F.M. Benedetti, A.X. Wu, W.S. Chi, and Z.P. Smith, *MOF-based membranes for gas separations*. *Chemical reviews*, 2020. **120**(16): p. 8161-8266.
- 1718
- 1719



Open Access Article. Published on 28/04/2024. Downloaded on 12/9/2024 04:19:36.  
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.



1720 152. Yang, F., J. Wu, X. Zhu, T. Ge, and R. Wang, *Enhanced stability and hydrophobicity*  
1721 *of LiX@ ZIF-8 composite synthesized environmental friendly for CO2 capture in highly*  
1722 *humid flue gas*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2021. **410**: p. 128322.  
1723 153. Li, H., L. Li, R.-B. Lin, W. Zhou, Z. Zhang, S. Xiang, and B. Chen, *Porous metal-organic*  
1724 *frameworks for gas storage and separation: Status and challenges*. EnergyChem,  
1725 2019. **1**(1): p. 100006.  
1726 154. Yang, J. and Y.W. Yang, *Metal–organic frameworks for biomedical applications*. Small,  
1727 2020. **16**(10): p. 1906846.  
1728 155. Li, D., H.-Q. Xu, L. Jiao, and H.-L. Jiang, *Metal-organic frameworks for catalysis: State*  
1729 *of the art, challenges, and opportunities*. EnergyChem, 2019. **1**(1): p. 100005.  
1730 156. Siva, V., A. Murugan, A. samad Shameem, S. Athimoolam, and S.A. Bahadur, *A new*  
1731 *metal-organic hybrid material: Synthesis, structural, electro-optical properties and*  
1732 *quantum chemical investigation*. Optical Materials, 2021. **121**: p. 111616.  
1733

## Data Availability Statement

[View Article Online](#)  
DOI: 10.1039/D4MA00628C

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

