



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, 2, 3014

## Fabrication of chiral polydiacetylene nanotubes via supramolecular gelation of a triterpenoid-derived amphiphile<sup>†</sup>

Xia Yu, <sup>a</sup> Hao Zhang, <sup>a</sup> Yuxia Gao, <sup>b</sup> Jun Hu <sup>\*a</sup> and Min-Hui Li <sup>\*ac</sup>

Polydiacetylenes (PDA) can undergo a blue-to-red color transition in response to external stimuli because of the extensive delocalized  $\pi$ -electron networks and intrinsic conformational restrictions, which make PDA particularly attractive building blocks for stimuli-responsive materials. In this work, a blue chiral **C4-MOP/PDA** gel was fabricated successfully by UV irradiating a white supramolecular gel that was assembled from a triterpenoid-derived gelator (**C4-MOP**) and a diacetylene monomer (**PCDA**). This photopolymerization was completed within 5 min with the generation of the new negative Cotton effect at 657 nm in the visible blue light region, which strongly revealed the transmission, amplification and visualization of molecular chirality during this gelation and the photopolymerization process. Notably, the nanotubular microstructures of the white gel **C4-MOP/PCDA** that curled from twisted ribbons barely changed after polymerization into **C4-MOP/PDA**. In addition, because **C4-MOP/PDA** contained an alternating ene-acetylene conjugated structure, it exhibited multiple color transitions to external stimuli including temperature, organic solvent, and mechanical force. This work provides chiral polydiacetylene assemblies by using natural triterpenoid gelators, and may open new developments in the design of smart responsive chiral PDA materials.

Received 27th February 2021,  
Accepted 19th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00170a

[rsc.li/materials-advances](http://rsc.li/materials-advances)

## Introduction

Polydiacetylenes (PDA) are a type of highly conjugated polymer and normally formed *via* the topochemical 1,4-polymerization of diacetylene (DA) monomers upon UV light or  $\gamma$ -ray irradiation.<sup>1–3</sup> Because of the extensive delocalized  $\pi$ -electron networks and intrinsic conformational restrictions, PDA can undergo a blue-to-red color transition in response to external stimuli like temperature,<sup>4</sup> organic solvents,<sup>5</sup> pH,<sup>6</sup> and mechanical forces,<sup>7</sup> thus showing intriguing colorimetric and optical properties. These distinctive advantages make PDA particularly attractive building blocks for stimuli-responsive functional materials.<sup>8–11</sup> It should be mentioned that an appropriate

orderly arrangement of DA monomers is often required for the topochemical polymerization of PDA.<sup>12,13</sup> So far, two common strategies have been reported for promoting the regular packing of DA monomers. One is to covalently attach functional groups to DA backbone for the synthesis of amphiphilic DA-tailored molecules. For example, Sureshan and co-workers have developed an organic gelator by conjugating 4,6-*O*-benzylidene  $\beta$ -D-galactopyranoside to the DA skeleton,<sup>14</sup> and found that this gelator can adhere to fabrics to generate semiconducting PDA materials upon UV-irradiation. By contrast, another strategy is to noncovalently co-assemble DA monomers with small molecules. For example, Liu *et al.* have co-assembled a chiral L-histidine ester derivative with the DA monomer into a spiral nano-broadband supramolecular gel, which was easily polymerized into PDA upon UV-irradiation.<sup>15</sup> This PDA not only had an excellent colorimetric response, but also exhibited a strong circular dichroism signal and circularly polarized luminescence. Obviously, compared with the former strategy involving covalent chemical modifications, the latter one is simple and convenient because the noncovalent physical packing can avoid time-consuming synthesis tasks and expand the selection range of small molecules.<sup>16,17</sup> It should be pointed out here that the existence of a suitable co-assembly partner with the DA monomer is the key point in the latter strategy. However, as far as we know, chiral partners remain limited. Thus, searching for chiral molecules with a

<sup>a</sup> Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China.


E-mail: [jhu@mail.buct.edu.cn](mailto:jhu@mail.buct.edu.cn)

<sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

<sup>c</sup> Chimie ParisTech, PSL University Paris, CNRS, Institut de Recherche de Chimie Paris, Paris 75005, France. E-mail: [min-hui.li@chimieparistech.psl.eu](mailto:min-hui.li@chimieparistech.psl.eu)

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed statistical results of assembled nanostructures; TEM images of nanotubes; intermolecular forces of **C4-MOP** single crystal structure; *d*-spacing from XRD; UV-vis spectra and fluorescence spectra of **C4-MOP/PDA** before and after external stimuli. CCDC 2057123. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00170a



Scheme 1 Molecular structures of co-gelator **C4-MOP** and **PCDA**.

suitable assembly ability will enrich the library of co-assembly partners with DA monomers and benefit the production of diverse PDA.

Triterpenoids, consisting of six isoprene units, are abundant in many plants in the form of free acids or aglycones. They possess unique rigid chiral skeletons, multiple reactive sites, and good biocompatibility.<sup>18,19</sup> These features allow for the easy modification and stacking of rigid skeletons, thus rendering triterpenoids great supramolecular gelators.<sup>20,21</sup> For example, Bag's group have found that triterpenoids like corosolic acid, betulin, and ursolic acid can assemble into supramolecular gels with different spherical, flower-like, fibrous, and vesicular microstructures;<sup>22–24</sup> Cienfuegos *et al.* modified oleanolic acid with the benzotriazole moiety and prepared a homogeneous stiff gel when capturing atmospheric water.<sup>25</sup> Clearly, their intrinsic good assembly ability and multiple reactive sites make triterpenoids ideal candidates as chiral co-assembly partners with DA monomers.

Herein, in this research a triterpenoid-derived gelator (**C4-MOP**, Scheme 1) consisting of hydrophobic methyl oleanonate and a hydrophilic pyridinium terminal group was synthesized to co-assemble with the diacetylene monomer (10,12-pentacosadiynoic acid, **PCDA**, Scheme 1) for the fabrication of orderly assemblies, followed by topochemical photopolymerization, since **C4-MOP** can be regulated into twisted ribbons in methanol/water mixed solvents.<sup>26</sup> The results showed that **C4-MOP/PCDA** formed a stable white gel in methanol/water mixed solvents, and upon UV irradiation this gel underwent a noticeable white-to-blue color change with the generation of **C4-MOP/PDA** *via* the 1,4-photo-polymerization of **PCDA**. During this gelation and polymerization process, the transmission and visualization of molecular chirality were achieved successfully with the appearance of a negative Cotton effect at 657 nm in the visible blue light region. The assembled nanotubular microstructures of the white gel that curled from helical ribbons barely changed after polymerization. It is known that many supramolecular templates have been used for co-assembly with DA monomers, followed by post-polymerization, for the immobilization of these ordered superstructures<sup>27</sup> whereas only a few of them, like polysaccharides, amino acids and triazoles, have achieved *in situ* chirality transcription and amplification.<sup>15,28–30</sup> This **C4-MOP/PCDA**-dictated transmission and visualization of molecular chirality is a vital supplement for the post-polymerization of preorganized chiral assemblies. In addition, because **C4-MOP/PDA** contains an alternating ene–acetylene conjugated structure, it exhibited color transitions to external stimuli including temperature, organic solvent, and mechanical force. Our chiral PDA assemblies dictated by the natural triterpenoid gelator might be expected to open new developments in the design of smart PDA materials.

## Experimental

### Materials

10,12-Pentacosadiynoic acid (**PCDA**), oleanolic acid (**OA**), methyl iodide ( $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ ), 4-bromobutryl chloride, dichloromethane (DCM), *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), potassium carbonate ( $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ ), pyridine and other reagents were local commercial products and used as received. Milli-Q water was used in all cases.

### Characterization

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker AVANCE III 400 spectrometer. UV-vis spectra were recorded in quartz cuvettes (light path 1 mm) with a Hitachi U-3900 spectrophotometer. CD spectra were obtained using a Pistar  $\pi$ -180 instrument (Applied Photophysics Ltd, Leatherhead, UK) with a 150 W xenon lamp as the light source. Experiments were performed at room temperature in a quartz cell with a 1 mm path length over the range of 220–800 nm. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed using a HT7700 instrument (Hitachi, Japan) with an 80 kV acceleration voltage. Samples were prepared by drop-casting the sol onto a carbon-coated copper grid, and allowing this stand for about 1 min. After that, the excess sample solution was wiped away using filter paper and dried in air. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were recorded using an Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Co., Tokyo, Japan) with  $\text{CuK}\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$ ), operating at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA. X-ray diffraction data of single crystals was collected using an XtaLAB PRO 007HF (Mo) single crystal X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan). The extended packing plots and data from crystal packing were implemented using the Mercury 1.4.3 program. FT-IR spectra were recorded using a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, USA) *in situ*. The test samples were prepared by mixing with potassium bromide and tabletting.

### Synthesis of **C4-MOP**

**C4-MOP** was synthesized with a yield of 61% according to the previous literature.<sup>18</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , ppm):  $\delta = 9.59\text{--}9.58$  (d,  $2 \times 1\text{H}$ ,  $J = 4 \text{ Hz}$ , pyridinium-H),  $8.50\text{--}8.46$  (t,  $1\text{H}$ ,  $J_1 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_2 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ , pyridinium-H),  $8.10\text{--}8.06$  (t,  $1\text{H}$ ,  $J_1 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_2 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ , pyridinium-H),  $5.28\text{--}5.27$  (t,  $1\text{H}$ ,  $J = 4 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $12\text{-CH}=\text{C}$ ),  $5.20\text{--}5.16$  (t,  $2\text{H}$ ,  $J_1 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_2 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ , pyridinium-CH<sub>2</sub>),  $4.48\text{--}4.43$  (dd,  $1\text{H}$ ,  $J_1 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_2 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $-\text{COOCH}_2$ ),  $3.62$  (s,  $3\text{H}$ ,  $-\text{COOCH}_3$ ),  $2.60\text{--}2.57$  (t,  $2\text{H}$ ,  $J_1 = 8 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_2 = 4 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $-\text{CH}_2\text{COO}$ ),  $1.63$ ,  $1.12$ ,  $0.92$ ,  $0.89$ ,  $0.84$ ,  $0.72$  (s,  $7 \times 3\text{H}$ ,  $7 \times \text{CH}_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , ppm):  $\delta = 178.4$ ,  $172.5$ ,  $148.3$ ,  $145.5$ ,  $145.2$ ,  $144.0$ ,  $128.4$ ,  $122.3$ ,  $82.2$ ,  $60.9$ ,  $55.5$ ,  $51.7$ ,  $47.7$ ,  $46.9$ ,  $46.0$ ,  $41.8$ ,  $41.4$ ,  $39.4$ ,  $38.3$ ,  $37.9$ ,  $37.1$ ,  $34.0$ ,  $33.3$ ,  $32.7$ ,  $32.5$ ,  $30.8$ ,  $30.5$ ,  $28.4$ ,  $27.8$ ,  $27.4$ ,  $26.1$ ,  $23.8$ ,  $23.6$ ,  $23.2$ ,  $18.4$ ,  $17.0$ ,  $16.9$ ,  $15.5$ .

### Cultivation of **C4-MOP** single crystal

Initially, an appropriate amount of **C4-MOP** was dissolved in methylene chloride, and then methanol was added under the premise of keeping the solution clear. After that, it was allowed to stand at room temperature for the slow evaporation of the solvent. Consequently, the needle-like single crystals were



obtained. Detailed data on the single crystals can be found in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

## Results and discussion

### Fabrication and photopolymerization of orderly structures *via* supramolecular gelation

As a general procedure, **C4-MOP** and **PCDA** (equivalent ratio 1:1) were initially dissolved in methanol to form a clear solution, followed by the gradual addition of water (methanol/water = 1/2, v/v). After heating at 90 °C for 5 min, the solution was cooled to room temperature, and consequently a white supramolecular gel of **C4-MOP/PCDA** was formed (Fig. 1A), mainly driven by the synergistic effect of hydrophobic interactions, van der Waals forces, and C–H···O interactions. The photopolymerization of **C4-MOP/PCDA** was investigated by means of UV light irradiation. As shown in Fig. 1A, upon exposure to UV light (254 nm, 10 W), the white **C4-MOP/PCDA** gel rapidly turned to blue as a result of 1,4-polymerization. This indicated that the supramolecular gelation did help the photopolymerization of the diacetylene monomers. UV-vis absorption was employed to explore the kinetics process and structural changes. A new absorption band appeared at around 657 nm accompanied by a shoulder at 594 nm upon UV-irradiation (Fig. 1B), which clearly established the beginning of the structure transition from the co-assembled **C4-MOP/PCDA** to the conjugated alternating ene-yne **C4-MOP/PDA**, which corresponded well with the generation of blue color in Fig. 1A. With the extension of the irradiation time, the intensity at 657 nm gradually increased until no significant change was observed after 5 min (Fig. 1C), which indicated complete photopolymerization.




Fig. 1 (A) Digital photos of the white gel **C4-MOP/PCDA** and the blue gel **C4-MOP/PDA** after UV-irradiation (254 nm, 10 W). (B) UV-vis spectra of **C4-MOP/PCDA** under UV-irradiation (254 nm, 10 W) for different time. (C) Absorbance intensity of **C4-MOP/PCDA** at 657 nm as a function of the irradiation time. (D) Raman spectra of **C4-MOP/PCDA** and **C4-MOP/PDA**. (E) CD spectra of **C4-MOP**, **PCDA**, **C4-MOP/PCDA** and **C4-MOP/PDA** in methanol/water (1/2, v:v, 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>).

Further evidence for the formation of **C4-MOP/PDA** came from Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 1D, two bands associated with the conjugated ene-yne of **C4-MOP/PDA** at 2071 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡C) and 1448 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C) appeared, whereas the C≡C band at 2256 cm<sup>-1</sup> of **C4-MOP/PCDA** disappeared completely, which served as solid proof of topochemical 1,4-polymerization. It should be pointed out that the generation of bands at 1444 and 2099 cm<sup>-1</sup> for **C4-MOP/PCDA** was due to laser irradiation as the Raman spectrum was obtained.<sup>31</sup> All of the above results indicated that the co-assembled **C4-MOP/PCDA** gel afforded orderly assemblies, which in turn promoted the following photopolymerization process.

It is known that the co-assembly of achiral molecules with chiral molecules is an effective method for realizing chirality transfer and amplification.<sup>15,32</sup> To evaluate whether the **C4-MOP/PDA** gel possessed optical activity, circular dichroism (CD) was carried out because the Cotton effect can be used as a sensitive chiral indicator.<sup>33</sup> As shown in Fig. 1E, the chiral **C4-MOP** exhibited a negative Cotton effect at 266 nm, whereas it was silent for achiral **PCDA**. Accordingly, no CD signal of the co-assembled **C4-MOP/PCDA** was observed in the visible light region in addition to the negative Cotton effect at 266 nm. Conversely, after UV light irradiation an additional negative Cotton effect at 657 nm was observed. The appearance of the CD signals in the visible blue light region was attributed to the ordered assembly of structures in white gel which transferred and amplified the chirality from chiral **C4-MOP** to **C4-MOP/PDA**.<sup>34</sup> Obviously, **C4-MOP** offered a suitable chiral template to induce supramolecular chirality, which can be imprinted into the polymerized **C4-MOP/PDA** gel.

### Morphologies

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was employed to investigate the morphologies of **C4-MOP/PCDA** before and after UV-irradiation. **PCDA** assembled into microsheets (Fig. 2A), whereas **C4-MOP** formed twisted ribbons with a width of around 0.3 μm (Fig. 2B and Fig. S1A, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Conversely, chiral nanotubes were observed for the white gel of **C4-MOP/PCDA** with a diameter of 0.8 μm, which was clearly curled from twisted ribbons (white arrows, Fig. 2C and Fig. S1B and S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). These fairly uniform nanotubes indicated that **PCDA** and **C4-MOP** co-assembled synergistically rather than forming nanostructures separately. During this process, the chirality was transferred and expressed on account of the rich chiral centers of **C4-MOP**. Notably, no significant change in morphology was observed after photopolymerization (white arrows, Fig. 2D and Fig. S1C and S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>), probably resulting from the fact that the process was a topochemical reaction.<sup>15</sup>

### Possible packing patterns

In order to reveal the packing structures, the single crystal analysis and power X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were carried out. We first used Chem 3D software to measure the molecular chain length of the fully extended **PCDA** molecule, which was 31.1 Å, as shown in Fig. S3A (ESI<sup>†</sup>). Meanwhile, a single crystal of **C4-MOP** was successfully cultured in the mixed solvent of methanol/dichloromethane. The crystal structure






Fig. 2 TEM images of (A) PCDA, (B) C4-MOP, (C) C4-MOP/PCDA, and (D) C4-MOP/PDA in methanol/water (1/2, v:v, 5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>).

and packing patterns are illustrated in Fig. 3, Fig. S4–S6 and Table S1 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The length of the **C4-MOP** molecule was 20.8 Å, and the packing diagram of **C4-MOP** revealed an orthorhombic structure, where two adjacent molecules with a head-to-tail stacking were regarded as repeating units (41.65 Å) along the orientation **c** (Fig. 3). Moreover, two molecules were staggered and stacked in antiparallel fashion along the direction **b**, and the distance between the two identical conformation molecules was 12.56 Å. As for the interactions between molecules, as depicted in Fig. S6 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the C–H···O interaction (with a distance of 2.55 Å) and four types of C–H···Br interactions (with distances of 2.69, 2.87, 2.73, and 2.87 Å) were observed. All these intense multiple interactions helped to solidify the molecular conformations and stabilize the crystal structure.

The XRD results of **C4-MOP**, **PCDA**, and **C4-MOP/PCDA** assemblies are shown in Fig. 4 and Table S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The peaks of **PCDA** at 1.86, 5.55, 7.42, 9.28, 13.03, 16.83, and 22.87° corresponding to 47.44, 15.90, 11.59, 9.52, 6.79, 5.26 and 3.91 Å are observed in Fig. 4A, following the ratio of 1:1/3:1/4:1/5:1/7:1/9:1/12. On the basis of this *d*-spacing (47.44 Å) and the fully stretched molecular length of **PCDA** ( $L_0 = 31.1$  Å, Fig. S3A, ESI<sup>†</sup>), the tilting angle ( $\theta$ ) of **PCDA** molecules with respect to the lamellar plane was estimated to be 49° in Fig. S3B (ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>35,36</sup> As for the **C4-MOP** assemblies, the reflection peaks appeared at 1.99, 4.56, 14.01, 21.52, and 23.88° in Fig. 4B with




Fig. 3 X-ray single crystal structure of **C4-MOP** and its spatial arrangements along the directions **b** and **c**. Purple: bromine atom, red: oxygen atom, gray: carbon atom, blue: nitrogen atom, white: hydrogen atom.




Fig. 4 XRD spectra of (A) PCDA, (B) C4-MOP, and (C) C4-MOP/PCDA assemblies. Insets in (A, B, and C) correspond to the results in the small angle region. (D) FT-IR spectra of PCDA, C4-MOP, and C4-MOP/PCDA assemblies.

*d*-spacings of 44.34, 20.06, 6.31, 4.12, and 3.72 Å, calculating the ratio of 1:1/5:1/7:1/11:1/13. That is to say, the interlayer distance of **C4-MOP** was 44.34 Å. This value was almost identical to that of the repeating units in direction **c** of the **C4-MOP** crystal (41.65 Å), suggesting that the packing mode adopted in the gel was similar to that in direction **c** of the single crystal. In addition, the reflection peaks of **C4-MOP/PCDA** were 1.92, 5.61, 9.40, 19.19, and 22.84° with *d*-spacing of 45.96, 15.73, 9.40, 4.62, and 3.89 Å, following the ratio of 1:1/3:1/5:1/10:1/12 (Fig. 4C). This strongly indicated that the lamellar structures were formed in the gel with a *d* value of 45.96 Å. As this *d* value is very close to that of the **PCDA** bilayer structure in Fig. 4A, it was assumed that **C4-MOP** was inserted into the bilayer structure of **PCDA** to form a structural unit with partial crossover between the hydrophobic part of **C4-MOP** and the flexible chain of **PCDA**.

Furthermore, the FT-IR spectra of **PCDA**, **C4-MOP**, and the xerogel of **C4-MOP/PCDA** were investigated (Fig. 4D). In the case of **PCDA**, the stretching vibration of C=O corresponding to COOH appeared at 1694 cm<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that **PCDA** had a hydrogen-bonded carbonyl stretching band.<sup>37</sup> The peaks at 2921 and 2848 cm<sup>-1</sup> were the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of CH<sub>2</sub>, respectively. For **C4-MOP**, the peak at 2942 cm<sup>-1</sup> was the asymmetric stretching vibration of CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>, and the stretching vibrations at 1726 and 1708 cm<sup>-1</sup> were assigned to C=O groups in the ester groups at positions 3 and 17, respectively. In the co-assembled **C4-MOP/PCDA** system, the stretching vibrations of C=O shifted from 1708 to 1634 cm<sup>-1</sup> upon gelation, whereas the original peaks at 1726 and 1694 cm<sup>-1</sup> remained unchanged. This shift might originated from the hydrogen bonding between the C=O in the ester group of **C4-MOP** and the carboxyl head group of **PCDA**. In a polar methanol/water environment, both the hydrophilic heads of **C4-MOP** and **PCDA** faced outward, while their hydrophobic backbones overlapped partially because of hydrophobic interactions. As a result, they preferentially arrange in a more






Fig. 5 (A) Normalized UV-vis spectra of **C4-MOP/PDA** film before and after heating. (B) Fluorescence spectra of **C4-MOP/PDA** film after heating. The insert "BUCT" pattern in (A) was prepared as follows: initially, a **C4-MOP/PCDA** film was obtained by drying **C4-MOP/PCDA** gel on a glass plate. After that, the film was covered by a mask with a "BUCT" pattern and then irradiated by UV light, thus affording a blue "BUCT" of **C4-MOP/PDA**. The blue color immediately turn red when placed on a hot plate.

orderly manner to form intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions. Also, asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of  $\text{CH}_2$  appearing at 2923 and 2851  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  suggested an ordered packing of alkyl chains primarily promoted by van der Waals forces.<sup>38,39</sup> Apparently, the hydrophobic interactions, the intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions, and van der Waals forces played crucial roles in the formation of the supramolecular gel **C4-MOP/PCDA**.

Based on the above results, a possible assembly process was proposed as follows: initially a few assembled dimers of **C4-MOP** and **PCDA** elongated to form twisted ribbons. Subsequently, in order to expose less hydrophobic areas in the hydrophilic environment, the twisted ribbons continuously curled and finally constructed the nanotubes. During the co-assembly process, the molecular chirality of **C4-MOP** was magnified and transmitted to **C4-MOP/PCDA**, as confirmed by the CD spectra in Fig. 1D and the TEM images in Fig. 2.

### Color transition

Since **C4-MOP/PDA** contains an alternating ene–acetylene conjugated skeleton, we explored its color transitions with external stimuli including temperature, organic solvent, and mechanical force. As shown in Fig. 5A, the **C4-MOP/PDA** underwent a clear blue-to-red color transition when the film was heated, with an absorption shift from 678 and 623 nm to 548 and 506 nm, respectively, in UV-vis spectra. Moreover, a fluorescence signal at 634 nm was generated as the red phase appeared (Fig. 5B). Similar to the phenomenon of thermochromism, both organic solvent and mechanical force could also induce the color change from blue to red. As shown in Fig. S7 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), after external grinding or fuming by chloroform, the blue color of **C4-MOP/PDA** disappeared with the appearance of the red color, as well as the production of strong fluorescence. This phenomenon was due to the low conjugation length of PDA, which originated from the increased motional freedom of the PDA side chains when under the stimulus of temperature, organic solvent, or mechanical force.<sup>40,41</sup> In brief, this color transition of **C4-MOP/PDA** observed by the naked eye could be utilized for the preparation of multifunctional sensors for external stimuli.

## Conclusions

In summary, a stable white supramolecular gel **C4-MOP/PCDA** was formed in methanol/water mixed solvents by co-assembling **PCDA** with **C4-MOP**, which can be photopolymerized into **C4-MOP/PDA** upon UV-irradiation, accompanied by a color change of the gel from white to blue. UV-vis and Raman spectra revealed that the photopolymerization was completed within 5 min, and a possible packing pattern was proposed by measuring the X-ray diffraction and FT-IR spectra. In addition, the new negative Cotton effect at 657 nm in the visible blue light region in the CD spectra indicated that the transmission and visualization of molecular chirality have been achieved successfully during the gelation and polymerization process. Notably, the assembled nanotubes of **C4-MOP/PCDA** that curled from twisted ribbons barely changed after polymerization into **C4-MOP/PDA**. Furthermore, because of the alternating ene–acetylene conjugated structure, the **C4-MOP/PDA** exhibited color transitions to external stimuli including temperature, organic solvent, and mechanical force. These results provide chiral polydiacetylene assemblies by using triterpenoid gelators and may open new developments in the design of smart responsive PDA materials.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Open Fund of National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Advanced Composite (KZ42191814) and National Key R&D Program of China (no. 2017YFD0200302).

## Notes and references

- 1 S. Rondeau-Gagne, J. R. Neabo, M. Desroches, J. Larouche, J. Brisson and J.-F. Morin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 110–113.
- 2 Y.-Y. Xu, Z.-F. Ding, F.-Y. Liu, K. Sun, C. Dietlin, J. Lalevee and P. Xiao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 1658–1664.
- 3 K. Watanabe, H. Imai and Y. Oaki, *Small*, 2020, **16**, 2004586.
- 4 D.-H. Park, W. Jeong, M. Seo, B. J. Park and J.-M. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 498–506.
- 5 G. Shin, M. I. Khazi and J.-M. Kim, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 149–157.
- 6 G. Shin, M. I. Khazi and J.-M. Kim, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**, 13971–13980.
- 7 L. Polacchi, A. Brosseau, R. Metivier and C. Attain, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 14566–14569.
- 8 X. Qian and B. Stadler, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1196–1222.
- 9 M. I. Khazi, W. Jeong and J.-M. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705310.
- 10 X. Chen, G. Zhou, X. Peng and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 4610–4630.



11 M. J. Seo, J. Song, C. Kantha, M. I. Khazi, U. Kundapur, J.-M. Heo and J.-M. Kim, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 8365–8373.

12 Y. Meng, J. Jiang and M. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 7199–7206.

13 J. R. Neabo, K. I. S. Tohounjona and J.-F. Morin, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 1358–1361.

14 B. P. Krishnan, S. Mukherjee, P. M. Aneesh, M. A. G. Namboothiry and K. M. Sureshan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2345–2349.

15 C. Chen, J. Chen, T. Wang and M. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 30608–30615.

16 X. Huang, S. G. Jiang and M. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 114–119.

17 P. Duan, Y. Li and M. Liu, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 432–437.

18 Y. Gao, J. Hao, J. Wu, X. Zhang, J. Hu and Y. Ju, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 13568–13575.

19 B. G. Bag, C. Garai, R. Majumdar and M. Laguerre, *Struct. Chem.*, 2012, **23**, 393–398.

20 J. Wang, M. Jin, C. Jin, C. Ye, Y. Zhou, R. Wang, H. Cui, W. Zhou and G. Li, *Nat. Prod. Res.*, 2020, **34**, 3313–3319.

21 Y. Gao, J. Hao, Q. Yan, F. Du, Y. Ju and J. Hu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 17352–17358.

22 B. G. Bag, C. Garai and S. Ghorai, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 15190–15195.

23 B. G. Bag and S. S. Dash, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 13664–13672.

24 B. G. Bag, S. Das, S. N. Hasan and A. C. Barai, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 18136–18143.

25 K. Vega-Granados, G. Belen Ramirez-Rodriguez, R. Contreras-Montoya, F. J. Ramirez, L. Palomo, A. Parra, J. M. Delgado-Lopez, M. T. Lopez-Lopez and L. Alvarez de Cienfuegos, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **3**, 2637–2646.

26 Y. Gao, J. Hao, J. Liu, Y. Liang, F. Du, J. Hu and Y. Ju, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **3**, 308–313.

27 K. Sada, M. Takeuchi, N. Fujita, M. Numata and S. Shinkai, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 415–435.

28 H. Fan, H. Jiang, X. Zhu, M. Zhu, L. Zhang and M. Liu, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2019, **118**, 146–152.

29 T. Hasegawa, S. Haraguchi, M. Numata, C. Li, A. Bae, T. Fujisawa, K. Kaneko, K. Sakuraic and S. Shinkai, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 4321–4328.

30 J. Hu, T. Zhu, C. He, Y. Zhang, Q. Zhang and G. Zou, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 5135–5142.

31 J. K. Lim, Y. Lee, K. Lee, M. Gong and S.-W. Joo, *Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **36**, 1226–1227.

32 M. Liu, L. Zhang and T. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7304–7397.

33 E. Yashima, T. Matsushima and Y. Okamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 6345–6359.

34 B. Yue, L. Yin, W. Zhao, X. Jia, M. Zhu, B. Wu, S. Wu and L. Zhu, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 12438–12444.

35 M. Okaniwa, Y. Oaki, S. Kaneko, K. Ishida, H. Maki and H. Imai, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 2627–2632.

36 N. Phonchai, C. Khanantong, F. Kielar, R. Traiphol and N. Traiphol, *ACS Appl. Nano. Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 4489–4498.

37 D. J. Ahn, E. Chae, G. S. Lee, H. Shim, T. Chang, K. Ahn and J. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 8976–8977.

38 Y. Zhou, T. Yi, T. Li, Z. Zhou, F. Li, W. Huang and C. Huang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 2974–2981.

39 Y. Gao, J. Lu, J. Wu, J. Hu and Y. Ju, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 63539–63543.

40 Y.-J. Choi, S. Park, W.-J. Yoon, S.-I. Lim, J. Koo, D.-G. Kang, S. Park, N. Kim and K.-U. Jeong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2003980.

41 D. J. Ahn, S. Lee and J.-M. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2009, **19**, 1483–1496.

