


Lab on a Chip

Devices and applications at the micro- and nanoscale

rsc.li/loc



Themed issue: 2024 LC Lab on a Chip Reviews Issue 2024

ISSN 1473-0197



Cite this: *Lab Chip*, 2024, 24, 1419

AI-enhanced biomedical micro/nanorobots in microfluidics

Hui Dong,^{abc} Jiawen Lin,^a Yihui Tao,^d Yuan Jia,^{id e} Lining Sun,^{bc}
 Wen Jung Li^f and Hao Sun^{id *abg}

Human beings encompass sophisticated microcirculation and microenvironments, incorporating a broad spectrum of microfluidic systems that adopt fundamental roles in orchestrating physiological mechanisms. *In vitro* recapitulation of human microenvironments based on lab-on-a-chip technology represents a critical paradigm to better understand the intricate mechanisms. Moreover, the advent of micro/nanorobotics provides brand new perspectives and dynamic tools for elucidating the complex process in microfluidics. Currently, artificial intelligence (AI) has endowed micro/nanorobots (MNRs) with unprecedented benefits, such as material synthesis, optimal design, fabrication, and swarm behavior. Using advanced AI algorithms, the motion control, environment perception, and swarm intelligence of MNRs in microfluidics are significantly enhanced. This emerging interdisciplinary research trend holds great potential to propel biomedical research to the forefront and make valuable contributions to human health. Herein, we initially introduce the AI algorithms integral to the development of MNRs. We briefly revisit the components, designs, and fabrication techniques adopted by robots in microfluidics with an emphasis on the application of AI. Then, we review the latest research pertinent to AI-enhanced MNRs, focusing on their motion control, sensing abilities, and intricate collective behavior in microfluidics. Furthermore, we spotlight biomedical domains that are already witnessing or will undergo game-changing evolution based on AI-enhanced MNRs. Finally, we identify the current challenges that hinder the practical use of the pioneering interdisciplinary technology.

Received 22nd October 2023,
 Accepted 11th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3lc00909b

rsc.li/loc

1. Introduction

The human body comprises a myriad of sophisticated microcirculation networks that play significant roles in managing physiological mechanisms to maintain systemic balance and optimal health.¹ Networks of arterioles, capillaries, venules and interstitial space, which function as innate microfluidic systems, are integral to the microcirculation.² The

transportation of nutrients, hormones and oxygen and the simultaneous removal of metabolic waste through the microfluidic systems are essential to meeting metabolic demands, maintaining body fluid balance, and mediating immune responses.³ Lab-on-a-chip technology, derived from the miniaturization and integration of chemical and biological processes onto chips, enables the precise control and manipulation of fluids that are geometrically constrained to a small scale.^{4–6} As a subset of microfluidics, organ-on-a-chip (OOC) technology has been developed to recapitulate *in vitro* human microphysiological systems, including organ level structures, physiological features and mechanical cues.⁷ This technology offers a sophisticated tool for studying cell-to-cell interactions, signal transmission and essential cell functions.⁸ Within these microengineered biomimetic systems, cells can be cultured in an dynamic environment that closely mimics their *in vivo* conditions, enabling researchers to perform the precise and controlled investigations of complex cellular dynamics.⁹ By linking together multiple OOCs through fluidic interfaces, ‘body-on-chips’ can be built and systemic responses of the human body can be studied.¹⁰ Beyond the original progress in OOC development focused on recapitulating healthy organ

^a School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, China. E-mail: sh@fzu.edu.cn

^b School of Mechatronics Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

^c State Key Laboratory of Robotics and System, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

^d Department of Automation Control and System Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

^e Sino-German College of Intelligent Manufacturing, Shenzhen Technology University, Shenzhen, China


^f Department of Mechanical Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

^g Research Center of Aerospace Mechanism and Control, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

environments, OOC disease models have been recently created for more in-depth investigations.^{11,12} These models have served as predictive tools of human responses to pathogens, medicines and chemicals.¹³

Following Richard Feynman's groundbreaking 1959 discourse "There is Plenty of Room at the Bottom",¹⁴ continuing advancements in the conception and manufacture of active miniaturized components have prompted an array of explorations within the micro, nano, and quantum dimensions.^{15,16} Nowadays, micro/nanorobots (MNRs) have extended our ability to observe, exploit and control the microscopic world.^{17,18} MNRs refer to robotic agents with dimensions from the millimeter to nanometer range that are capable of transforming energy to movement and forces. They became reified owing to the microelectromechanical system (MEMS) technology that emerged in the late 1980s.¹⁹ Thereafter, various microrobotic devices (such as microgripper,²⁰ microgears,²¹ micromotors²² and microdrillers²³) were successfully developed for multiple applications. With a size

similar to or larger than the small organisms (e.g., bacteria and viruses), microrobots can interact with the microscale world in ways that are not possible for macroscopic robots.²⁴ Meanwhile, nanorobots are typically developed with the intent of interacting with the molecular components of living organisms, such as DNA and proteins.²⁵ Microfluidics offers a robust foundation to faithfully reveal the characteristics of MNRs in the human body.²⁶ In microfluidics, interactions of MNRs with small organisms can be precisely monitored and analyzed, and therefore enable refinement of the robots' functionalities.^{27,28} Manipulation of MNRs (like a microswimmer or vehicle) in microfluidics is essential for practical use. During the past decade, the microscale or nanoscale objects made from soft or solid materials have been positioned, oriented, and controlled by external magnetic,²⁹ acoustic,³⁰ optical³¹ or electric³² fields. Theranostic payloads for targeted treatment can also be maneuvered, controlled, and delivered by tethered or untethered MNRs.^{33,34} The microscopic robot MNRs have also been utilized to manipulate, capture, sort and deliver cells.³⁵



Hui Dong

principal research interests include deep learning, machine vision and robotics with an emphasis on developing intelligent systems for biomedical research.

Dong Hui is currently a Professor at the School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation at the Fuzhou University, and also the 'Shenzhou Scholar' Distinguished Professor at the State Key Laboratory of Robotics and Systems, Harbin Institute of Technology, where she received her Ph.D. degree in 2015. From 2012 to 2013, she was a Visiting Scholar at the School of Mechatronics, Johns Hopkins University, Maryland, US. Her



Jiawen Lin

Jiawen Lin received her Bachelor's degree in Engineering from the Huaqiao University, Xiamen, China, in 2022. She is currently pursuing a Master's degree in Prof. Hui Dong's group at the School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Fuzhou University, China. Her research focuses on microfluidics and robotics.



Yihui Tao

Yihui Tao received a B.Eng. degree from the Harbin Institute of Technology in 2015. He received his Ph.D. degree at the University of Sheffield from the Department of Automation Control. His research primarily focuses on data modelling and Fuzzy Logic systems.



Yuan Jia

Yuan Jia received M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Mechanical Engineering from Columbia University, NY, USA, in 2012 and 2017, respectively. He currently is an Assistant Professor at Shenzhen Technology University, Shenzhen, China. His research interests include the development of flexible MEMS temperature sensors, MEMS calorimetric sensors for biomedical applications, and point-of-care microfluidics platforms for environmental and biomedical applications.

Moreover, minimally invasive surgeries have benefited from MNRs aids based on precise motion and advanced imaging.³⁶

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has established groundbreaking benchmarks across many industries and academic realms, and revolutionized virtually all aspects of human life. As an integral part of AI, machine learning equips computers with the ability to learn from data without the requirement of explicit programming.³⁷ Numerous algorithms of machine learning (such as decision tree, random forest, support vector machine

(SVM), k -nearest neighbors (k -NN), convolution neural network (CNN), recurrent neural network (RNN), Transformers, graph neural network (GNN), reinforcement learning (RL), and their variants) have made remarkable accomplishments in diverse domains.^{38–42} These include computer vision, natural language processing, facial recognition, robotic process automation and bioinformatics. For instance, in the field of computer vision, AI algorithms have improved the ability to interpret and understand visual data, which have a profound impact on areas



Lining Sun

Lining Sun received M.S. and Ph. D. degrees in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering from the Robotics Institute, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China, in 1988 and 1993, respectively. He is currently the Director of the Robotics and Microsystems Center and the Dean of the School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Soochow University, Suzhou, China. He is the Deputy Director of the State Key Laboratory of

Robot Technology and System, Harbin Institute of Technology. He has over 300 published academic articles and over 40 invention patents. His current research interests include surgical and rehabilitation robots, micro–nano operational robot and equipment, industrial and service robots and advanced robot and control. He is a Foreign Full Member and an Academician of the Russian Academy of Engineering. He gained the China National Funds for Distinguished Young Scientists. He is the Distinguished Professor of Changjiang Scholar. He received the Science and Technology Achievement Award by the Ho Leung Ho Lee Foundation.



Wen Jung Li

Wen Jung Li is the Vice President (Talent and International Strategy) and Chair Professor (Dept. of Mechanical Engineering) of the City University of Hong Kong (CityU). Prior to joining CityU in 2011, he was affiliated with the Dept. of Mechanical and Automation Engineering of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) from 1997 to 2011, where he also served as the Director of the Centre for Micro and Nano

Systems from 2002 to 2011. His academic honors include IEEE Fellow, ASME Fellow, AIAA (Asia-Pacific AI Association) Fellow and 100 Talents of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. He served as the President of the IEEE Nanotechnology Council in 2016/2017 and as the founding Editor-in-Chief of the IEEE Open Journal on Nanotechnology (2019–2022) and the IEEE Nanotechnology Magazine (2007–2013). His research areas are tied by diverse engineering disciplines, including electrical engineering, mechanical engineering, biomedical engineering and artificial intelligence.



Hao Sun

Hao Sun is a Professor at the School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation at the Fuzhou University, Fujian China. He completed his Ph.D. at Harbin Institute of Technology in 2015. From 2012 to 2014, he was a joint training doctoral scholar at Columbia University, NY, USA. His research focuses on developing integrated microfluidic devices. These devices aim to allow sensitive and accurate characterization of

biomolecules in micro-scale environments, thereby enabling new insights into fundamental research as well as innovative capabilities for practical applications.

like image analysis.⁴³ AI has also reshaped the micro/nanorobotics study by providing a unique prowess in motion measurement and autonomous control of MNRs.^{44,45} Firstly, by leveraging AI-based data processing techniques, the robotic systems can increase the accuracy and reliability of motion measurement.⁴⁶ These algorithms can extract meaningful information to facilitate robust tracking and localization of MNRs. In parallel, by AI-based control strategies, MNRs can precisely navigate and autonomously respond to environmental obstacles with improved adaptability.⁴⁷ Multiple complex tasks such as sorting, filtering and transporting cells or substances within a microfluidic environment can be accomplished.⁴⁸ Furthermore, accompanied by the prevalence trend of MNRs in the medical field, it can be foreseen that massive amounts of image or video data,⁴⁹ time-series data⁵⁰ or multimodal sensing data^{51,52} will be generated in the future, either experimentally or clinically. The capability to proficiently investigate vast quantities of data is indeed the primary strength of AI. Therefore, it can be anticipated that AI and micro-nanorobotics will intersect more closely, and the convergence will be projected to reciprocally stimulate progress in their respective fields.

Although initially developed independently, MNRs, microfluidics and AI have become more intertwined in the past few years (Fig. 1). Following Richard Feynman's conceptual trope, there should also be significant advances in intelligence consequently endowed by the interdisciplinary technologies. The cross-disciplinary research integrating AI, MNRs and microfluidics is rapidly growing, which may make substantial contributions to the paradigm shift in the field of biomedical research. In this review, we initially illustrate the useful AI algorithms for developing MNRs. A concise examination of the

components, design, and assembly of robots in microfluidics is also presented. Subsequently, we provide an overview of the most recent research relating to AI-enhanced MNRs with an emphasis on their motion control, sensory capabilities, and group dynamics. Moreover, various biomedical sectors which are experiencing change or poised for transformations resulting from the integration of AI and MNRs are discussed. Such sectors include drug delivery, cell maneuvering, organ-on-chip systems, biopsy and precision surgery, and implantation techniques. Finally, we profile the predominant barriers that pose as constraints to the practical application of this groundbreaking interdisciplinary technology.

2. AI and micro/nanorobots

2.1 Algorithms

Since the landmark Dartmouth Conference in 1956,⁵³ AI has experienced several phases of refinement. Numerous algorithms have been continuously developed, ranging from elementary rule-based algorithms to advanced machine learning and deep learning algorithms that dominate the landscape today. This section of review selectively presents a collection of classic algorithms that are relevant to microfluidics. A concise introduction is provided for algorithms without delving excessively into technical details.

2.1.1 Traditional machine learning. Traditional machine learning refers to a class of algorithms that mainly utilize statistical techniques to extract patterns and make predictions from data. These algorithms can be supervised (learning from labeled data), unsupervised (learning from unlabeled data), or semi-supervised (a mix of the two). Naive Bayes, linear regression, logistic regression, SVM, decision




Fig. 1 Artificial intelligence-enhanced robots and their applications in microfluidics.

trees, random forests, hierarchical clustering, Gaussian mixture models, self-organizing maps, Apriori algorithm and k -NN are among the mainstream traditional algorithms. Traditional machine learning methods have many advantages. They excel in handling large datasets, extracting meaningful features that may lead to accurate predictions for labeled data. The algorithms are usually well-established with a solid theoretical foundation and wide understanding. Their effectiveness in processing structured data also enhances their usability.⁵⁴ However, traditional methods have some limitations. They often require extensive manual feature engineering, making them time-consuming processes. The algorithms may struggle with generalizing complex and high dimensional data well, and can be sensitive to outliers and noise, which potentially impact the robustness.⁵⁵ Nevertheless, on-chip study, cell classification,⁵⁶ biomarker detection,⁵⁷ optimization of chemical reactions⁵⁸ and fluid or droplet control^{42,59} have been successfully improved by machine learning technologies.

2.1.2 Deep learning. Deep learning (DL), a key subset of machine learning, is a computational approach that emulates the human brain's neural networks to process intricate patterns and extract meaningful representations from datasets. By employing multiple layers of interconnected nodes, DL models enable hierarchical feature extraction and data transformation. DL algorithms can also be supervised, unsupervised, or semi-supervised. Convolution neural networks (CNNs), deep residual networks, sequence-to-sequence models, recurrent neural networks (RNNs), long short-term memory networks (LSTMs), transformer networks (including BERT, GPT, *etc.*), graph neural networks (GNN), and generative adversarial networks (GANs) are among the most famous DL algorithms. DL offers several notable advantages over traditional methods.⁶⁰ The deep neural networks are proficient in autonomously handling high dimensional and unstructured data, such as images, text, and audio independent of domain knowledge. The need for manual feature extraction required in conventional machine learning can be avoided. DL also thrives with a larger dataset and higher computational power, which make it especially effective in dealing with massive data. Despite noteworthy advancements, DL is constricted by certain limitations.⁶¹ The process of training a DL model typically calls for thousands or potentially millions of datasets. This requirement often presents a significant challenge due to the difficulties intrinsic to gathering such extensive data in every context. DL models also suffer from a lack of interpretability, which causes challenges to understanding how they have arrived at a particular decision through “black boxes”. Recently, interpretable and trustworthy deep learning which aim at producing dependable and comprehensible models has evolved into a topic of significant interest.^{62,63} By these approaches, the transparency, robustness, fairness and privacy of models can be enhanced. On-chip cell classification,^{64,65} drug design⁶⁶ and delivery,⁶⁷ target recognition,⁶⁸ and nucleic acid amplification prediction⁶⁹ have been successfully assisted by DL models (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 Exemplary AI algorithms developed for microfluidics. A) A generative deep learning model based on a long-short term memory network for on-chip synthesis for drug design.⁶⁶ B) machine learning-guided ultrasonic method for versatile two-dimensional droplet manipulation in programmable microfluidic devices.⁵⁹ C) Schematic illustrating an artificial-intelligence velocimetry model based on PINN. A fully connected neural network is employed to approximate the solutions for the desired output parameters.⁷⁶ Reproduced with permission from ref. 66. Copyright 2021, *Science Advances*, ref. 59. Copyright 2022, ACS Publications, ref. 76. Copyright 2021, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

2.1.3 Physics-based deep learning. Physics-based deep learning (PBDL) is an emerging interdisciplinary field that integrates principles of physics into DL to enhance model interpretability and training efficiency.⁷⁰ These physical laws, commonly articulated as ordinary differential equations (ODEs) or partial differential equations (PDEs), support DL models with innovated structures. The models transcend the rudimentary dependencies on data-driven correlations, and offer a more sophisticated learning paradigm.⁷¹ Current representative methods under this discipline include physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) and deep hidden physics models (DHPMs). PINNs mold the deep learning procedure by supplementing the loss function with ODEs or PDEs (*i.e.*, governing equations, initial and boundary conditions), which orient the functioning of the neural network towards compliance with physical laws.⁷² From a different perspective, DHPMs learn the time evolution of nonlinear systems to discover unknown equations governing the system dynamics, followed by reasonably forecasting future states.⁷³ It is noteworthy that PBDL methods do not undermine the conventional data-driven learning. Instead, they enrich AI methodologies by layering on the systematic knowledge derived from physical laws. The intersection of physics and deep learning leads to more robust and interpretable paradigms. On-chip concentration gradient generator,⁷⁴ droplet formation,⁷⁵ and blood flow analysis⁷⁶ have been empowered by PBDL models.

2.1.4 Reinforcement learning. Reinforcement learning (RL) is a facet of AI that employs a method of learning *via* interaction with the environment. The concept revolves around an agent making decisions based on a set of actions with the aim of maximizing the cumulative reward. RL does not necessitate the presence of pre-labeled data as a prerequisite for the initiation of the learning process. Instead, it learns through a system of trial and error through

continuous observation of its actions and the results.⁷⁷ One major advantage of RL is the capacity to robotically improve the performance through repeated learning patterns. However, the learning process by RL may be inevitably time-consuming and computationally expensive, and struggle in situations where the reward system or environment are unpredictable. Specifically, in the field of robotics, the impact of RL is monumental. Algorithms based on the RL framework have enabled robots to learn a plethora of complex tasks independently and adapt to new environments. On-chip experiments, including flow network construction,⁷⁸ pump control⁷⁹ and microbead manipulation,⁸⁰ were elevated by RL algorithms.

2.1.5 Swarm intelligence. Swarm intelligence (SI) represents an innovative distributed intelligent paradigm for problem-solving systems inspired by the collective behavior of social insects and other animal societies.⁸¹ The power of SI arises from the process of self-organization, a set of individuals interacting with one another in a decentralized manner to achieve global behaviors. It significantly embodies the Aristotle's axiom, "the whole is greater than the sum of the parts". Ant colony optimization (ACO) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) are the main SI algorithms used, as well as bacterial foraging optimization, artificial shepherd algorithm, artificial bee swarm, and fish school search.⁸² The fusion of SI and ML can potentially overcome their individual limitations and fortify their strengths. For instance, ACO and PSO can be used to optimize the hyperparameters of ML models efficiently, which eliminates the need for trial-and-error in hyperparameter tuning.⁸³ Conversely, RL can enhance the learning and adaptation abilities of SI systems, making them more intelligent and capable of solving a wider range of problems.⁸⁴ On-chip fault localization and residue removal,⁸⁵ single-particle micropatterning,⁸⁶ and droplet sorting⁸⁷ were refined by ACO and PSO algorithms.

2.2 Material

MNRs are typically made from solid materials (like metals, ceramics, polymers, and composites) or non-solid materials (such as gels, bubbles and droplets) that are suitable for miniaturization. These materials are selected for their mechanical strength, biocompatibility, and responsiveness to external stimuli, such as light, acoustic and magnetic fields or chemicals. Biocompatibility is a critical requirement for materials used in MNRs. The materials should be non-toxic and non-immunogenic, resistant to corrosion, and capable of peacefully coexisting within a biological environment without causing adverse reactions. AI promotes material science by accelerating the discovery and development of new materials. These advanced algorithms also provide unique advantages in the aspect of material and composition of MNRs.⁸⁸ In order to discover new materials, machine learning algorithms have been harnessed to process massive databases of the existing materials. These algorithms can extract features and predict the properties of potential materials, and thus

expedite the materials discovery process.⁸⁹ To optimize material synthesis, AI collaborates the parameters and conditions with specific qualities. Deep learning models predicted the resultant material properties based on different protocols, which may improve the manufacturing efficiency. Furthermore, AI can forecast the relationships between the MNR's structures and their resulting biological or physical functions.⁹⁰ Interesting, AI tools, particularly inverse design approaches, are being utilized to engineer materials with bespoke functions.⁹¹ By providing the desired functionality, AI algorithms backtrack to determine the optimal composition. The unique contributions of AI in MNRs-related material research are expected to offer invaluable opportunities for future advancements.

2.3 Design

For AI-enhanced material selection, the configuration design of MNRs can be rendered flexible, but still nontrivial. First, the miniaturization of robots should incorporate scalability, which enable them to retain functionality at reduced scales. The actuation mechanisms based on light, acoustic and magnetic fields or chemicals methods should also be harmonized with design strategy. Moreover, it is imperative to consider the mechanical properties and dynamic response characteristics in the configuration design phase. Evaluating these factors is crucial to the successful execution of the micro/nano robotic systems. The contribution of AI to the optimized design process in macro mechanical design has a similar applicability in the domain of MNRs. Machine learning enables the exploration of numerous design possibilities and predicts performance outcomes.⁹² For the driving or energy transducing units, AI can analyze variables such as electrostatic, electromagnetic, and electrothermal forces followed by evaluating the driving performance and regulating energy consumption.⁹³ For actuators or end-effect manipulators, AI is able to facilitate the design with emphasis on high precision and responsiveness.⁹⁴ On the other hand, considering the mature application of numerical simulation such as multi-physics coupled simulation in design optimization, the integration of multi-physics simulation with PBDL can provide additional insights for optimizing the design of MNRs.⁹⁵

Biomimetics offers a wealth of inspirational concepts for improving micro and nanorobot design. Learning from natural structures and physiological systems, bionic MNRs can imitate cells and microorganisms. Biological motors like kinesins,⁹⁶ sperm,⁹⁷ and bacteria⁹⁸ presented potential for ecologically benign self-propulsion biomedical applications. The synergistic interaction between natural cells such as red blood cells,⁹⁹ macrophages,¹⁰⁰ and intelligent MNRs enhanced biocompatibility and autonomous movement. AI-enhanced bionic carriers, termed "smart nanocarriers" like pollen¹⁰¹ and microalgae,¹⁰² also offered invisibility to the immune system, enhancing targeting precision and minimizing toxicity.




Fig. 3 AI-enhanced material synthesis, device design and fabrication. A) Algorithmic framework for a high-throughput experimental loop to assist the synthesis of metal nanoparticles.⁹¹ B) A comprehensive structure for the machine learning-aided design of Kirigami meta-atoms and metamaterials.⁹⁴ C) A neural network trained on binary design patterns and SEM images from focused ion beam milling, enabling the accurate prediction of postfabrication appearances for unseen designs.¹⁰⁴ Reproduced with permission from ref. 91. Copyright 2022, Nature Portfolio, ref. 94. Copyright 2022, Nature Portfolio, ref. 104. Copyright 2022, ACS Publications.

2.4 Fabrication

The main fabrication methods for MNRs include photolithography, chemical vapor deposition electron beam lithography, and additive manufacturing, which utilize the optical transfer of patterns, chemical reactions, focused electron beams and printing technique, respectively.¹⁰³ They offer customized structures with high precision at a micro/nanoscale. Encapsulation technologies for MNRs primarily involve self-assembly, DNA origami, and sol-gel chemistry. Moreover, coating methods such as layer-by-layer assembly can be employed to encapsulate the robots. Each of these technologies contributes different attributes towards the mechanical strength and biochemical stability. The adoption of environmentally sustainable materials and methods to minimize hazardous waste is highly favored in the manufacturing process. For medical utilization, it is crucial that the manufactured devices are nontoxic, biocompatible, and ultimately recyclable or biodegradable. The contributions of AI to the manufacturing of MNRs are versatile. In the stages prior to and during manufacturing, machine learning can be used to perform predictive analysis and optimization (Fig. 3).¹⁰⁴ By studying the key manufacturing parameters and possible outcomes, machine learning was employed to predict the potential flaws or errors. In addition, the intermediate products of micro/nano manufacturing can be identified by AI.¹⁰⁵ In the stage of post-manufacturing, by collaborating with microscopic morphological characterization or material composition analysis, the fabricated robot products can be assessed

quickly through automated morphological analysis based on AI.¹⁰⁶

3. Intelligent robotics in microfluidics

3.1 Propulsion

The simple transition of traditional power sources by instrumental miniaturization is often not suitable for MNRs. Activating these tiny robots in a biological microfluidic environment such as blood vessels and interstitial space within tissues can be difficult. As device dimensions decrease to a few micrometers/nanometers, the ratio of inertial to viscous forces becomes significantly small. Thus, inertial forces become virtually negligible for MNRs movement. In these highly viscous, low Reynolds number environments, continuous power provision for propelling these devices becomes important.¹⁰⁷ Prior to clinical applications, it is imperative to conduct a certain amount of tests on MNRs in microfluidic environments. In microfluidics, the motion of these microscopic robots can be either self-propelled or remotely stimulated.^{108–110} Self-propulsion uses chemical reactions on the MNRs surface to convert chemical energy into kinetic energy. Such strategies can be divided into two categories: chemical propulsion, which typically requires a range of chemical fuels; and propulsive forces based on microorganisms, such as enzymes or motile cells.¹¹¹ For instance, the tiny mechanized entities has employed temperature fluctuations for efficient propelling.¹¹²

Computational capabilities and machine learning algorithms have enabled an achievement of desirable energy for activating

MNRs with optimal velocities.¹¹³ Rohr *et al.* presented a probabilistic learning strategy rooted in the concepts of Gaussian processes and Bayesian optimization for activating soft microrobots through light. This data-driven methodology led to a propelling procedure with optimized gait under a restrictive trials budget. The method illustrated a significant amplification of locomotive performance of microrobots by 115%.¹¹⁴ For gait optimization, recent studies using RL and genetic algorithms for swimming strategy optimization in different microswimmers have been explored.^{115–117} Hartl *et al.* employed the NEAT (NeuroEvolution of Augmenting Topologies) genetic algorithm and artificial neural networks to control the motion of microswimmers. This method obtained inspiration from biologically relevant chemotactic sensing strategies.¹¹⁸ Huang *et al.* developed a closed-loop method for propelling swimming microrobots along arbitrary three-dimensional (3-D) trajectories at low Reynolds numbers using visual servoing. The trajectory was segmented into a sequence of linear parts within this approach. The microrobots were activated to follow intricate 3-D paths without requirement for inputting parametric equations.¹¹⁹ Janiak *et al.* delineated a discernment and depiction of the microbubbles' behavior within a limited aperture between the glass confines in an acoustic field. With discontinuous activation, transformation occurred from spherical to ellipsoidal forms, facilitating entrapment within the interstice. Meanwhile, persistent activation propelled alterations in the ellipsoidal microbubbles' shape and volume modes.¹²⁰ The ongoing research and evolution in AI-enhanced propulsion methodologies will be instrumental in supporting and revolutionizing the capabilities of MNR technologies.

3.2 Sensing

By virtue of their reduced size, these robots have the capability to infiltrate and effectively operate in confined spaces. This characteristic is particularly advantageous for applications wherein the mobile entity is required to actively engage with microenvironments inaccessible to human operators. Microfluidic technology is a vital platform for assessing the sensory capabilities of MNRs. It is also a key tool for analyzing the robot-environment interactions and optimizing the choice of biomarkers. Meanwhile, AI can be utilized for tasks of data mining, feature extraction, specimen classification, and intelligent forecasting tasks.^{121,122} Generally, these tasks represent a unidirectional flow from the physical domain to the information domain. In the context of micro-electromechanical engineering, MNRs, which possess the capacity for energy transformation and move according to predetermined human inputs or machine intelligence, can perceive the environment for themselves. The sensing feedback from their environment subsequently provides dynamic information essential for targeted positioning, navigation, and control of these bots. Within this framework, the data will be bidirectionally communicated between the physical and information domains.

With the grown data scale, AI is delegated with a broader range of responsibilities.¹²³

Dai *et al.* developed microswimmers capable of sensing the external light source illumination direction and adjusting its orientation accordingly. The robot comprised a Janus nanotree with a nanostructured photocathode and photoanode at opposite ends. Chemical alterations allowed control over the photoanode's zeta potential, which facilitated the programmable positive or negative phototaxis.¹²⁴ Li *et al.* presented a cutting-edge AI microrobot capable of responding to environmental changes without an onboard energy supply and transmitted real-time signals wirelessly. Controlled by an external magnetic field, the microbot can move accurately, which could enable minimally invasive *in situ* monitoring, especially in potential disease areas. The study highlighted the feasibility of self-sensing AI microbots for *in situ* diagnostic or even therapeutic development.¹²⁵ Li *et al.* proposed swarming photonic nanorobots capable of mapping diverse physicochemical conditions on-the-fly and guiding localized photothermal therapy. These responsive photonic nanorobots were composed of a photonic nanochain of periodically assembled encapsulated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. The color-responsive mapping of physiological anomalies enables the targeted irradiation for localized photothermal treatment.¹²⁶ AI-enhanced micro/nanorobotic perception technology has achieved significant advancements, such as more precise environment mapping and improved target localization. Existing challenges in this field include constraints in computational capacity and the requirement for enhanced algorithms to adapt complex environments affected by factors, such as pH, temperature, and glucose levels.

3.3 Navigation

For guiding a robot from the current location to a designated destination, it often requires the intricate interplay of two fundamental factors: localization and path planning. Accurate localization is performed either relative to an environmental landmark or based on various sensor data. Path planning should be realistically feasible, meaning it should respect the robot's kinematic and dynamic constraints and ensure safety by avoiding obstacles. Navigation of MNRs represents a distinctive subclass characterized by unique demands arising from scale-specific properties.¹²⁷ One of the main challenges at this scale is the influence of Brownian motion, which tends to produce random and unpredictable movements of MNRs. The stochastic movement can lead to imprecise navigation and cause significant deviations from planned routes. Another issue encountered by MNRs is the highly nonlinear and non-Newtonian characteristics of the microfluidic flow, as well as sensitivity to friction and viscosity.^{128,129} Friction and viscosity forces dramatically increase as the size of the objects decreases, which may impede the movements of MNRs and cause them to slow down or even stop. Unanticipated changes in the environment's friction and viscosity can lead to unplanned movements and decreased navigational accuracy of MNRs. Microfluidics can serve as a major observational instrument for assessing the navigational accuracy

and response speed of MNRs, and acts as a principal platform for dynamically optimizing real-time trajectories.

AI has significantly promoted the development of MNRs navigation.¹³⁰ Advanced algorithms have provided contributions in localization, path optimization and adaptive responses to environmental changes. Cai *et al.* proposed an innovative control strategy that relies on deep reinforcement learning (DRL) to maneuver a soft magnetic microrobot (SMMR). A neural network-based simulator was constructed to establish the association between the induced magnetic field and the movement states of the robot. With scant prior knowledge relating to the surrounding environment, a DRL algorithm grounded on a gated recurrent unit (GRU) was formulated. The analysis indicated the promising capabilities of DRL in navigating soft magnetic robots in microfluidic environments.¹³¹ Botros *et al.* introduced a microrobot ultrasound dataset composed of 40k frames. The dataset was examined by four deep learning detectors (named as Faster R-CNN, Yolo, Mask-R-CNN, TPH-Yolo) and four corresponding trackers (named as STARK, DiMP, Tomp, Pr-Dimp). By this means, the enhanced navigation of a greater quantity of microrobots can be potentially obtained.¹³² Liu *et al.* investigated the management of small-scale, electromagnetically actuated swimmers. To establish a navigation system for these magnetically propelled microswimmers, an informed optimal random exploring tree (informed RRT*) global planning algorithm was used. A closed-loop control algorithm was also proposed to track various reference paths using visual feedback. Specifically, a single hidden layer feedforward neural network approximated the mapping between the magnetic self-rotation direction and actual movement.¹³³ In dealing with complex and unknown environments, AI plays a crucial role for microswimmer navigation, especially through RL methods.^{134–137} AI will potentially foster the development of MNRs navigation by facilitating more autonomous capabilities and proactive adaptability.

3.4 Control

Pose and motion control underpin the functionality of robotics by enabling precise operation regulation, accurate execution, and interaction with environments. When it comes to MNRs in microfluidics, the robotic control encounters challenges due to the reduced scale and extreme operating conditions. Miniature robots are often utilized in environments characterized by high dynamism, nonlinearity, and prevalent uncertainty.¹³⁸ High dynamism implies swift and recurring alterations in the environment, to which robots should adapt quickly. This necessitates real-time identification, interpretation, and processing of environmental transformations. Nonlinearity indicates complex spatial configurations through which robots must navigate in real-time, requiring intricate movement controls. Moreover, the inherent uncertainty refers to unpredictable elements and situations that can disrupt robot operations, and demands advanced predictive and reactive mechanisms. In parallel, the multimodal fusion technologies which processes data based on the integration of information

from multiple sensor types have proved the capacity for generating holistic interpretation. The fusion method helps surpass individual sensor limitations with improved robustness and reliability, and can act as a vital facilitator in the motion control of MNRs.¹³⁹

Leveraging AI technology, the control strategies for MNRs will become enriched and augmented. To date, there has been a big scope for the utilization of AI for MNRs control. For controlling the pose of MNRs, Zhang *et al.* illustrated a sim-to-real method to estimate the 3D pose of micro/nano objects. Based on a GAN model, limited experimental data were enhanced through simulated data generation. The method enabled advancement of closed-loop control in micro/nanorobotic systems to handle complex shaped micro/nano-objects.¹⁴⁰ Khiyati *et al.* presented optimal control strategies for thin deformable microswimmers in viscous fluids. The approach addressed complex scenarios, in which the effects of non-homogeneous flow, limited configuration information, robot motion, and decision-making were intertwined. Using multiple independent Q-learning simulations, a set of viable policies was generated, which allowed profound efficiency and robustness for robotic control.¹⁴¹ Chen *et al.* proposed a magnetic untethered peanut-like millirobot (MUPM) with rolling and crablike motion modes. The tiny robot was manipulated under a rotating magnetic field created by a magnetic driving navigation system. An algorithm named as tracking-learning-detection was adopted for facilitating robotic control. The MUPM demonstrated maneuverability in both modes, and had potential applications in intraluminal drug delivery.¹⁴² Xu *et al.* developed a control policy using the broad learning system (BLS), and applied it to a microrobotic system. Advantages of the BLS included its simple structure, and no need for retraining when new demonstration data were provided. The Lyapunov theory was combined with a complex learning algorithm to establish constraints for the controller parameters. The effectiveness of the strategy was confirmed through simulation and tests by a microswimmer trajectory tracking system.¹⁴³ Neghab *et al.* demonstrated a stochastic model predictive controller (MPC) based on a neural network for regulating the motion of the microrobots. The system identification was firstly performed to obtain the model's state spaces for constructing the MPC. A nonlinear neural network was utilized to predict the dynamic model of the physical systems. The controller successfully tracked the reference trajectory without error and reduced noise.¹⁴⁴ As indicated by Reynolds *et al.*, the creation of microscopic robots capable of processing internal information rather than external controls would establish the groundwork for the emergence of “next-generation intelligent micromachines”, which may become a burgeoning field.¹⁴⁵

3.5 Collective behavior

MNRs are more pragmatically meaningful when they operate in swarm mode. From the viewpoint of flow-field control, microfluidic technology provides a controllable variable for manipulating swarmed MNRs. Swarm intelligence, as a bio-

inspired computational paradigm, provides a robust framework for collective behaviors. The collective behavior provides scalability, reconfigurability, self-organization, and optimizes resource utilization.¹⁴⁶ Firstly, the expansion or reduction of the swarm scale can be modulated by recruiting more members or releasing surplus members. This flexibility allows a swarm to operate efficiently under fluctuating conditions and requirements, and thereby enhances resilience. Reconfigurability entails the ability to rearrange components to suit new conditions. Hence, it permits the potential to evolve dynamically as per environmental stimuli. Self-organization endows MNRs with adaptability in response to environmental changes or internal feedback, without explicit external control. This extends to an efficient utilization of system resources, driving towards an optimal state of operation. Moreover, the intelligent collective behavior ensures resource utilization to maximize the output from the available resources. The resource allocation with minimized redundancy upholds the systematic efficiency and sustainability.¹⁴⁷

AI-enhanced swarm intelligence promotes continuous improvement in coordinating and collaborating MNRs. By leveraging AI, adaptive decision-making ability based on environment dynamics requirements can be obtained. The continuous learning capabilities of AI permit enhancement of efficacy, precision, and autonomy.¹⁴⁸ Wang *et al.* presented a novel approach for real-time navigation of a nanoparticle microswarm in active endovascular delivery by employing ultrasound Doppler imaging guidance. A magnetic microswarm was generated and guided near the vessel boundaries. The strategy demonstrated a promising link between swarm control and real-time imaging of microrobotic swarms.¹⁴⁹ Shahrokhi *et al.* conducted a study to find the important factors in manipulating particles based on a collection of online games, in which players steer swarms of up to 500 particles. By analyzing data from over 10 000 players, control techniques that relied solely on the mean and variance of the swarm were investigated. Practicability of the controllers was verified by manipulating 100 kilobots controlled by a global light source direction.¹⁵⁰ Ceraso *et al.* described a swarm intelligence-based control mechanism for medical nanorobots, which function as artificial platelets to locate wounds in the human body. A coloured perceptive particle swarm algorithm was adopted to regulate the movement of nanorobots through self-assembly. The study basically verified that swarm intelligence algorithms can benefit from Compute Unified Device Architecture (CUDA)-based implementations due to their inherent parallel structure.¹⁵¹ Recently, more studies have emerged on the adaptive behaviors of microrobots *via* RL.^{152,153} However, given the intricacy of microrobot swarms coupled with their potential for reconfiguration, the progress in learning-based intelligent navigation has yet to reach a mature stage.

3.6 Bio-hybrid behavior

The conceptual exploration of biomimetic engineering based on gaining insights from nature has formed a crucial junction of contemporary research pursuits. The forthcoming

frontier in robotics is anticipated to be spearheaded by biohybrids.¹⁵⁴ Bio-hybridization of MNRs relies on combining biological (*e.g.*, DNA-, enzyme- or cytomembrane-based) with artificial elements, which are often achieved in microfluidics. In contrast to the counterparts without living organisms, the bio-hybrid MNRs offer notable advantages, such as higher functional versatility, naturally enhanced adaptability, and responsiveness and compatibility.¹⁵⁵ Firstly, the biological components offer specificity and sensitivity, while the artificial module brings resilience. Next, biological constituents in bio-hybrid MNRs possess inherent capability of biological organisms to acclimate autonomously to altering environments or stimuli. Lastly, the presence of biological elements suggests a higher degree of biocompatibility, which is vital in scenarios like medical interventions. Reduced chances of immunological rejection and increased prospects for integration with biological tissues can reduce operating complexity. Within microfluidic systems, the characteristics and behaviors of these bio-hybrid MNRs can be more proficiently observed, controlled, and optimized.

AI greatly assists in advancing hybrid MNRs by providing capabilities, ranging from propulsion to collective behaviors, as mentioned in the above sections. Wang *et al.* developed a data-processing pipeline based on deep neural networks to facilitate the rapid characterization of dynamic DNA devices. Tasks of particle detection and pose estimation were achieved by the YOLOv5 and Resnet50 network architectures. The results by the neural network exhibited fine performance and consistency with experimental distributions. The generalization of the pipeline was verified by diverse nanodevices (Fig. 4).¹⁵⁶ Mirzaiebadizi *et al.* designed an intelligent nanorobot dependent on a DNA framework using molecular programming and logic gate operation. To examine the applicability, DNA nanorobots were applied as capping agents on silica nanoparticles pores.¹⁵⁷ Chen *et al.* presented a biohybrid microrobot with integrated thermal, magnetic and hypoxia sensitivities, and a dual-reporter fluorescent protein for targeted cancer therapy. Probiotic *E. coli* Nissle1917 were loaded with nanoparticles and NDH-2 enzymes. The microrobot effectively targets the tumor area by combining magnetothermal ablation and NDH-2-induced ROS damage, demonstrating its potential utility in AI-based perception and targeted therapy.¹⁵⁸ Although bio-hybrid MNRs have not yet been used in clinical trials, the novel convergence of biology and engineering may offer unprecedented opportunities for advancements in biomedical applications.

4. Game-changing applications

MNRs offer unique and unparalleled applications in the biomedical field. Particularly, by integrating AI algorithms, these intelligent agents demonstrate the proficiency to process information, signal, sense, actuate, communicate, and execute biological tasks at cellular levels. Such




Fig. 4 Intelligent biomedical robots tested in microfluidics for drug delivery and cell manipulation. A) Schematic of the acoustically propelled, train-like microbubble assembly.¹⁰⁴ B) Time-resolved optical images of self-sensing intelligent microrobots for noninvasive and wireless monitoring.¹²⁵ C) Schematic illustration of a pH-responsive photonic nanorobots moving in a 'rolling' mode, and D) time-lapse microscopic images depicting 'rolling' nanorobots moving in a predesigned trajectory (red curves) when navigated by a magnetic field.¹²⁶ E) Illustrative instances of the data procured through CycleGAN-based sim-to-real transfer, and F) conceptual exposition of the learning-to-match approach and the comprehensive architecture of the pose estimation model.¹⁴⁰ G) Schematic elucidation of the swarm origination and navigation within vascular channels driven by ultrasound Doppler imaging. Doppler signals in proximity to the microswarm were observed within the blood environment, allowing for real-time tracking and navigation of the microswarm via Doppler feedback.¹⁴⁹ H) Dynamic DNA origami devices characterized by deep neural networks.¹⁵⁶ Reproduced with permission from ref. 104. Copyright 2023, Nature Portfolio, ref. 125. Copyright 2023, Nature Portfolio, ref. 126. Copyright 2023, Springer Link, ref. 140. Copyright 2022, Nature Portfolio, ref. 149. Copyright 2021, *Science Advances*, ref. 156. Copyright 2023, Biorxiv.

capabilities lead to an enhancement in the efficacy of traditional therapeutics, while also abating the associated side effects. We selected various applications, including drug delivery, cell manipulation, organ-on-chip deployment, precision surgery, and implanted device maintenance, to illustrate the revolutionary impact of integrating AI, MNRs, and microfluidics within these fields.

4.1 Drug delivery and targeted therapy

Traditional drug delivery methods rely on systemic administration of medicines, which are distributed throughout the body *via* the bloodstream. It is difficult to control the timing, dosage, and release rate of drugs, which may lead to fluctuations in drug concentration and compromise the efficacy of the treatment.¹⁵⁹ MNRs are revolutionizing the way that medications are administered by delivering drugs directly to the targeted cells or tissues in the body with reduced toxic side effects.¹⁶⁰ Yu *et al.* presented magnetic photonic crystal microrobots that can visually detect pH changes and enable self-regulated drug delivery. The development of this technology

supported the progression of intelligent MNRs for active, targeted tumor diagnosis and treatment.¹⁶¹ In light of the rising prevalence of neurological disorders and the need for innovative drug delivery, there has been a notable emphasis on expediting brain research in recent years.¹⁶² When diseases occur in the brain, the blood–brain barrier (BBB) may block drugs and hinder their therapeutic effects.¹⁶³ The traditional experimental validation of theranostic agents that successfully cross the BBB to investigate their permeability and targeted drug development in the central nervous system is a time-consuming process. It often spans over a decade with a relatively low success rate upon completion. Nanorobots offer significant advantages in the field of drug delivery to the brain. Their miniature size and precise control enable them to navigate through the complex pathways of the central nervous system. Nanorobots can also be programmed to specifically target diseased cells or regions, thereby increasing the therapeutic efficiency and reducing off-target effects. Furthermore, nanorobots can overcome the BBB, allowing for the transportation of therapeutic agents to targeted areas. Several studies have identified numerous benefits associated with the utilization of nanoscale materials in brain

research.¹⁶⁴ To evaluate various nanobots, the development of prescreening tools is of utmost importance. AI algorithms can analyze large volumes of data and extract patterns to accurately predict the efficacy and safety of nanorobots in crossing the BBB. This enables the identification of optimal nanorobot designs and drug formulations for enhanced brain-targeted drug delivery. Machine learning can also adapt and learn from ongoing experimental data, and improve the predictive capabilities. Alsenan *et al.* presented a deep learning approach utilizing the RNN model for predicting the BBB permeability.¹⁶⁵ Singh *et al.* employed the correlation function as a machine learning algorithm to accurately predict cellular and nuclear shapes, as well as polarity functions. Studies have been performed on the distinctive interactions among nanoparticles (which may vary in comparison to their individual interactions) that govern their diffusion, aggregation, and transportation from the cell culture medium to the cell surfaces.¹⁶⁶

AI algorithms are able to further endow MNRs with higher automation, adaptability, and modulated immune response that deliver precise doses in the target area, rather than relying on the release of ordinary drug doses. Current challenges that limit MNRs from laboratory settings to clinical applications primarily involve entry barriers, such as susceptibility to immune system attacks. For example, the deficiency of highly effective non-viral systems with limited cellular toxicity continues to pose a significant hurdle. The employment of AI holds immense potential to unearth novel paradigms to resolve this issue.¹⁶⁷ Remarkable evidence suggested that AI may hold significant promise in projecting potential immune responses towards not only viral vectors, but also non-viral gene delivery vehicles. This can be achieved through the establishment of a surrogate assay for genotoxicity evaluation that is proficient in predicting the genotoxicity associated with viral and retroviral vectors employed for stem cell gene therapy. Such predictive capabilities are largely attributed to machine learning methodologies.¹⁶⁸ In parallel, when operating the MNRs for drug delivery, one main issue is the aggregation properties of micro or nanovehicles. The surface charge strongly influences the agglomeration phenomena of nanoparticles, which in turn, increases their overall size and triggers a heightened immune response.

This challenge may potentially be mitigated by leveraging AI-based workflows, such as linear regression models, capable of accurately predicting the zeta potential and surface charge of nanoparticles. Additional methodologies encompass deep learning techniques incorporating scanning electron microscopy and a CNN-supported interactive learning and segmentation tool. These methods are proven to facilitate excellent predictive and evaluative capacity in terms of MNRs interactions and their distributions.¹⁶⁹ Moreover, AI has been employed for forecasting and enhancing the functionality of nanorobots embedded with biosensors and transducers. Such an approach could have momentous implications in cancer therapy, and in mitigating adverse drug reactions. Furthermore, some illustrations

entailed the utilization of a CNN algorithm combined with Surface Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS). The combination could be leveraged for the unequivocal analysis of extensive spectral data sets, and quantification of analyte concentrations at the single molecule level. The methods facilitated metabolomic profiling and fundamental investigations into serum and blood components, which interfere with MNRs and impede them from reaching their target cells.^{170,171} To meet the needs of precision medicine and individualized healthcare, AI can be used to analyze patient-specific data to optimize the performance of MNRs. An individual patient's drug dosages based on features such as body weight, age and medical history can be analyzed by AI, which help to reduce the risk of overdosing or underdoing and improving treatment outcomes.¹⁷² Although AI-based MNRs drug delivery and targeted therapy lead to adaptability and precision, it may often require more computational resources. Additional research is still required to optimize and standardize AI-based MNRs in drug delivery and targeted therapy.

4.2 Cell manipulation

Traditional cell manipulation methods consist of micropipette aspiration, optical tweezers, and magnetic tweezers, as well as dielectrophoresis, acoustophoresis, and optoelectronic methods. These techniques are frequently constrained by additional factors that could hinder cell viability and proliferation abilities, such as excessive volume and high power requirements. In contrast, MNRs offer exceptional control and adaptability, which enable the precise manipulation of cells with fewer concerns about damaging cell structures.¹⁷³ Cell health and integrity due to minimal invasiveness could be ensured.¹⁷⁴ Also, these tiny agents can often be scaled for simultaneous manipulation of multiple cells, and thus increase the efficiency of processes. However, conventional micro/nanorobots usually require direct control from an operator for specific tasks. This manual control can be insufficient for tasks requiring high repeatability and adaptability to dynamic cellular environments due to limitations in human response and decision-making speed. Integrated with AI, MNRs can utilize advanced algorithms to learn from and adapt to their environment autonomously with higher speed. Therefore, the intelligent MNRs have the potential to revolutionize cell manipulation, leading to breakthroughs in fields, such as genetic or tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

Grammatikopoulou *et al.* presented a supervised CNN-LSTM method to estimate the transparent microrobots' depth. The model used monocular grayscale images as input, and achieved submicron accuracy in depth estimation. Validation data confirmed the efficacy of the pretrained regression model under various illumination conditions and orientations for a specific geometrical model. This specific design can be applied for actuation purposes in a microfluidic chip or for the manipulation of cells.¹⁷⁵ Most

recently, Schrage *et al.* applied a RL control strategy to navigate ultrasound activated microrobots, enabling efficient transport of a single swarm of microrobots to a user-defined target point. The utilization of a RL strategy can be extended to the manipulation of living cells utilizing a stationary acoustic wavefield. Specifically, when multiple piezo elements are simultaneously activated, a standing acoustic wavefield is generated. As a consequence of the positive contrast factor exhibited by cells in a surrounding microfluidic medium, they can be effectively trapped at the pressure nodes within the wavefield (Fig. 5).¹⁷⁶ As reported by Wang *et al.*, the gap between integrated systems and *in vivo* applications still needs more technical and scientific innovations.¹⁷⁷

4.3 Organ-on-chip deployment

OOC typically consists of microfluidic channels lined with living cells, which closely resemble the physiological environment of the targeted organ.¹⁷⁸ This technology offers several advantages over traditional *in vitro* models and animal testing. The simulated *in vivo*-like conditions of OOC,

together with the precise manipulation provided by MNRs, make it possible to perform experiments that closely mimic *in vivo* scenarios, providing more reliable and physiologically relevant results.¹⁷⁹ Singh *et al.* presented a bacteria-propelled microswimmer utilizing the proactive locomotion and sensory characteristics of bacteria, which facilitated the active transportation and delivery of various cargoes, such as imaging constituents, genetic material, and therapeutics, to living cells. The method also may carry therapeutic gene components to live tissues in *in vitro* disease model systems (e.g., OOC devices).¹⁸⁰ Jeon *et al.* illustrated that MNRs hold the capability to transfer colorectal carcinoma cells to microtissue tumors in a liver-tumor micro-organ-on-chip under *in vitro* conditions. Similarly, it was demonstrated that nanorobots possess the ability to traverse through mesenchymal stem cells located in an intraperitoneal cavity of a nude mouse brain, and in a blood vessel of a rat brain under *in vivo* conditions.¹⁸¹ Sun *et al.* have introduced a caterpillar-styled soft robotic entity in a heart-on-a-chip paradigm, which is driven by cardiomyocytes and derives structural color alteration as a result of employing an inverse




Fig. 5 Drug delivery and cell manipulation by AI-enhanced robots in microfluidics. A) Self-regulated drug delivery by swarming photonic-crystal microrobots, and B) fluorescence images of MCF-7 cells after being incubated with the microrobots and DOX-loaded microrobots for 24 hours.¹⁶¹ C) The possibility to predict and design the next generation of nanorobots that can interact and deliver substances across the blood-brain barrier by combining artificial intelligence with robotics.¹⁶³ D) Machine learning used to profile and quantify the effects of nanomaterials on cell shape.¹⁶⁶ E) Example-learned feature maps obtained from the first, second, and third pair of convolutional and max-pooling layers of the trained network for a input image.¹⁷⁵ F) Real-time images demonstrating the manipulation of the swarm, and G) the utilization of both global and local dynamics in swarm manipulation to spell "ETH".¹⁷⁶ Reproduced with permission from ref. 161. Copyright 2023, Wiley, ref. 163. Copyright 2021, ACS Publications, ref. 166. Copyright 2020, ACS Publications, ref. 175. Copyright 2018, IEEE, ref. 176. Copyright 2023, Wiley.

opal flexible substrate, aiming to serve as a platform for drug discovery.¹⁸² Raman *et al.* developed a biohybrid robot by seeding genetically modified myoblasts onto a patterned substrate or a microfluidic chip integrated with elastic cantilevers. The activities of the microrobot were modulated *via* electrical stimulation control and motor neuron innervation through the neuromuscular junction.¹⁸³

The integration of OOC and AI technologies is a burgeoning research area with considerable prospects for advancing drug development, disease modeling, and personalized medicine.¹⁸⁴ AI-powered OOCs are expected to originate innovative paradigms in cellular network modeling.¹⁸⁵ As presented by Jordan and Mitchell, machine learning algorithms can readily be leveraged to detect on-chip recurring patterns among diverse cells and/or cellular clusters once cellular activities were quantified into numerical features. This usage facilitated the comprehension of biological behaviors in response to various external factors encompassing contaminants and chemical stimulants. Comes *et al.* introduced an innovative hybrid-imaging paradigm that hinged on the assimilation of organs-on-chip/time-lapse microscopy (OOC/TLM) with a multi-scale generative adversarial network. The objective was to generate interleaved video frames to yield high-throughput videos. The predictive aptitude of GAN was tested on synthetic videos and on actual OOC experiments. This proposed methodology grants the option to obtain a lower quantity of high-caliber TLM images.¹⁸⁶ Reported by Pérez-Aliacar *et al.*, a CNN framework was formulated to pinpoint the critical parameters of tumor cell behavior from fluorescence images within a glioblastoma OOC setup.¹⁸⁷ Chong *et al.* presented a microfluidic multicellular co-culture array (MCA) combined with machine learning for the appraisal of skin sensitivity to drugs. The predictive efficacy of the MCA and the SVM classification algorithm embodied a model precision of 87.5%, specificity of 75%, and a sensitivity of 100% in foretelling the skin's adverse drug responses.¹⁸⁸ Theoretically, OOCs provide ideal platforms for expanding the capabilities of machine learning-based microrobot control. The synergy of AI, OOC and MNRs may empower the amalgamation of advanced computational methodologies with bioengineered models and nanoscale devices, and is expected to enable breakthroughs in precision medicine and healthcare technology in the future.

4.4 Biopsy and precision surgery

Traditional tissue biopsy procedures typically involve invasive methods that may cause patient discomfort and possible complications. Biopsies-leveraging MNRs can be executed with a higher degree of precision and work in a minimally or non-invasive manner, owing to their minuscule size and superior maneuverability. These attributes make micro-nano robotic biopsies more efficient and have lower associated side effects. Also, the capacity for target specific sampling significantly enhances the accuracy of diagnostics.

Gultepe *et al.* elucidated the application of microgrippers, which distinctly feature a smaller scale compared with biopsy forceps or robotic grasping apparatus. Their operations hinged on the mechanical energy derived from residual stress-fueled microactuators.¹⁸⁹ Actis *et al.* devised a single-cell nanobiopsy platform that depended on scanning ion conductance microscopy tailored for the continuous sampling of the intracellular content from individual cells. This nanobiopsy platform harnesses electrowetting within a nanopipette to sequester cellular material from living cells, while minimally disrupting the cellular environment.¹⁹⁰

Traditional surgical procedures usually require large or multiple incisions, invasive manipulation, and a relatively long recovery period. Surgeries based on MNRs introduce a new dimension to minimally invasive surgery with higher precision and unprecedented dexterity.¹⁹¹ Unique capabilities such as navigability across intricate anatomical structures, accurate targeting with reduced trauma to surrounding tissues can be endowed by MNRs. Then, they help to reduce the risk of postoperative complications, wound infection, and pain, while accelerating patient recovery.¹⁹² In particular, compared with their larger robotic equivalents, MNRs are able to accomplish procedures at the cellular level. In the last decade, micro/nanorobotic instruments (including nanodrillers, microgrippers, and microbullets) have shown distinct capabilities for minimally invasive surgical procedures when utilized in an untethered manner.¹⁹³ Go *et al.* presented microrobots, which can be magnetically directed towards the tumor feeding vessels to perform transcatheter chemoembolization in the liver *in vivo*.¹⁹⁴ Cao *et al.* introduced phototactic/phototherapeutic nanomotors incorporating biodegradable block copolymers adorned with aggregation-induced emission motifs capable of transmuting radiant energy into movement. The potential applicability of these nanomotors in photodynamic therapy was substantiated *in vitro*.¹⁹⁵ Lin *et al.* documented the deployment of a bubble-propelled Ga/Zn Janus micromotor with strong biocompatibility and biodegradability, which can be employed for the active management of bacterial infections. The motility of Ga/Zn micromotors was amplified by the Ga-Zn galvanic effect, and then the antibacterial efficiency against *H. pylori* were significantly elevated.¹⁹⁶

AI grants significant advantages in the sphere of micro and nanoscale robotics concerning targeted biopsies and precision surgery. Through machine learning algorithms, MNRs can be continuously self-improved to enhance their performance over time. The ability to integrate and synthesize data from various sources by AI could also promote surgical procedure or biopsy, following the patient's individual needs. Recently, implementation of computer vision and RL algorithms has suggested potential avenues for further exploration in this domain. Zhang *et al.* introduced a data-driven approach for estimating pose and depth in a system of optically manipulated microrobots. The utilization of focus measurement provided features for Gaussian process

regression (GPR) to facilitate depth estimation. A deep residual neural network fused with prior knowledge encoded in GPR was employed by the microrobots to exhibit various poses. The study demonstrated novel microrobotics for potential surgery application.¹⁹⁷ Xie *et al.* demonstrated algorithms premised on visual data to discern the cell from image plane orientation angles, which leveraged two-dimensional image slices garnered *via* microscope. Subsequently, a feedback controller was presented to accomplish the out-of-plane cellular rotation. Cell nucleus extraction surgeries were conducted to validate the efficacy of the proposed approach.¹⁹⁸ Yang *et al.* reported a model-independent deep RL algorithm that instructed colloidal robots to navigate proficiently amidst unfamiliar terrains. The robot agents acquired the capability to execute navigation decisions based on circumventing obstacles and reducing travel time. The employment of this robot and

training methodology may potentially contribute to the advancement of precision surgery.¹⁹⁹ Biopsy and precision surgical interventions powered by AI-enhanced MNRs are in their initial stages of evolution. However, the amalgamation of these technologies offers promising potential to radically transform the conventional paradigm and improve patient-centered care execution.

4.5 Maintenance of implanted devices

Traditional methods for the maintenance of implantable devices usually consist of external monitoring systems, routine medical check-ups, and invasive procedures. Routine medical check-ups can detect device malfunctions, but the interval between each check-up might leave potential issues for prolonged periods. Invasive procedures for the maintenance, repair, or replacement pose inherent surgical




Fig. 6 Intelligent biomedical robots tested in microfluidics for organ-on-a-chip assay, biopsy and precision surgery, and maintenance of implanted devices. A) Concept of an AI-enhanced high-throughput platform for comprehensive investigation and replication of the intricate characteristics of the tumor microenvironment.¹⁸⁵ B) Deep learning-based prediction of cell behaviour parameters from glioblastoma using on-chip images.¹⁸⁷ C) Fusion of a microfluidic multicellular coculture array coupled with machine learning to forecast the skin sensitizing capability of obeticholic acid.¹⁸⁸ D) Assessment of tumor therapy utilizing microrobots.¹⁹⁴ E) Investigation of the biological efficacy of phototherapeutic nanomotors on cancer cells.¹⁹⁵ F) Assessment of the antibacterial activity of microrobots on a planar substrate.²⁰² Reproduced with permission from ref. 185. Copyright 2019, Frontiers Media S.A., ref. 187. Copyright 2021, Elsevier, ref. 188. Copyright 2022, Royal Society of Chemistry, ref. 194. Copyright 2022, *Science Advances*, ref. 195. Copyright 2021, Nature Portfolio, ref. 202. Copyright 2023, Wiley.

risks. MNRs for the monitoring and maintenance of implantable devices provide several unique advantages, which mainly stem from overcoming the limitations of traditional methods.²⁰⁰ MNRs are able to directly interface with the device and surrounding tissues at a micro/nano level. This allows for an unprecedented level of detail in data acquisition, and facilitate far superior accuracy in monitoring. The high frequency of data acquisition allows for the real-time visibility of device function and condition. Moreover, the precision, small size and excellent maneuverability of MNRs make them ideal candidates for highly targeted maintenance or repair tasks without the need for risky procedures.

Titanium miniplates, which are essential in oral and maxillofacial surgery, often entail removal due to biofilm-induced complications. Ussia *et al.* exploited the potential of light-activated nanorobots to control bacterial biofilms on these implants. By motion analysis, various responses to different wavelengths were disclosed. The results suggested that these nanorobots could enhance the treatment of biofilm-infected metallic miniplates.²⁰¹ Mayorga-Martinez *et al.* effectively eliminated dental biofilm on titanium implants using swarming magnetic microrobots constructed from ferromagnetic and photoactive components. These microrobots derive their propulsion from a rotating magnetic field, while utilizing reactive oxygen species for biofilm eradication. This demonstrates their potential application in precision medicine (Fig. 6).²⁰² The team also developed magnetic microrobots composed of halloysite nanotubes and iron oxide nanoparticles, which were capable of carrying ampicillin. These microrobots demonstrate multimodal motion, transitioning between various forms of movement.²⁰³ Dong *et al.* developed a microswarm controlled by a rotating magnetic field. Remote actuation, high cargo capacity, and strong localized convections had been realized. The swarm efficiently eliminated biofilms by generating bactericidal free radicals and physically disrupting the biofilm. This platform holds promise for treating biofilm occlusions in medical and industrial settings.²⁰⁴ Interestingly, in some scenarios, the MNRs themselves can serve as wireless controlled implantable devices. Chatzipirpiridis *et al.* presented miniaturized devices exhibiting potential applications in ophthalmology, specifically in targeted drug release and minimally invasive surgery. Manipulation of the microimplants in biological settings was facilitated by a 5-degree-of-freedom magnetic manipulation system. The tubular shape maximized the size of the magnetic materials, and enabled seamless injection into the eye.²⁰⁵

Despite the joint studies into AI, MNRs and implantable devices are few so far. The recent application of nanorobots in the dentistry field has provided potential opportunities for this integration.²⁰⁶ A dentifrice containing configured nanorobots was developed for calculus debridement. These nanorobots were capable of identifying pathogenic bacteria present within the plaque that cause halitosis and subsequently eliminating them. To ensure safety, they were preprogrammed with an automatic disablement feature if

inadvertently ingested.²⁰⁷ A nanorobotic dentifrice which could survey all supragingival and subgingival surfaces for undertaking constant calculus removal was also introduced. The nanorobots would be programmed to achieve enhanced teeth cleaning outcomes. With the development in material science, fabrication processes, and algorithms, a new era beckons in the integrated research of AI, MNRs, and implantable technologies.

5. Challenges and perspectives

Intelligent biomedical robots in microfluidics have brought about cutting-edge and promising possibilities within the biomedical field. Nevertheless, the amalgamation of these revolutionary technologies also poses some significant challenges that warrant investigation.

5.1 Design, fabrication and integration

One of the foremost obstacles encountered in the field of MNRs pertains to the difficulty in ensuring the cohesive functionality of constituent components. The primary factor underpinning this trial can be linked to the scale-dependent sensitivity of these robotic systems. In essence, as the dimensions of the robot decrease, an exponential rise in its sensitivity toward environmental changes is experienced. This necessitates that the design components of MNRs not merely function independently, but that they also operate collectively in an intricate, microscopically coordinated manner dedicated to precision.

Also, the gradual reduction in size of these robotic systems poses specific issues with respect to their fabrication. Traditional manufacturing methods, with their broad scopes and larger scales of operation, often find themselves inept at addressing the nuanced needs of MNR production. Therefore, the development of precise, scalable, and specialized fabrication techniques capable of producing these minuscule robotic systems remains an essential and central challenge.²⁰⁸

Additionally, the inherent features of MNRs create further complications. The drastically reduced physical dimensions of micro and nanorobots correlate directly with the limited sensor, actuator, and power-source capacity, thereby catalyzing the demand for advanced miniaturized and integrated technologies that facilitate efficient *in situ* operations. This shifts the focus from an external field dependency toward developing internal capacities, further reinforcing the complexity in the operational design of MNRs.

In addition to the intricate operational design, miniaturized sensor technology development, and precise fabrication techniques, the issues of functionality optimisation (while ensuring cost-effectiveness) also pose significant challenges. Increased sensitivity to environmental changes, integration at higher densities, and robust operation under dynamic conditions also constitute key hurdles in the successful implementation and deployment of MNRs that researchers strive to address. This industry's evolution thus depends heavily

on technological advancements, fabrication prowess, and interdisciplinary synergy of materials science, robotics, and control engineering, among others.

5.2 Control strategy and algorithm

The paramount algorithmic challenges in the evolving realms of autonomous micro-/nano robotic systems principally encompass the development of real-time decision-making mechanisms, formulating effective coordination algorithms for decentralized control in multi-agent systems, and enhancing machine learning techniques to accommodate fluctuating conditions and environmental uncertainties.

Micro-/nano robotic navigation in intricate environments represents a notable challenge due to the minuscule sizes and distinct navigational constraints of these technologies. Therefore, real-time decision-making tools are indispensable to enhance the dynamism of these systems. Advancements in this area require innovative algorithms that can instantaneously analyze high volumes of data from sensors to calculate an optimal pathway, while simultaneously circumventing obstacles. These algorithms, due to the sensitivity of micro-/nano robotic systems, need to accommodate a variety of uncertainties associated with varying environmental conditions. The task of articulating such sophisticated algorithms requires an in-depth understanding of the micro-/nano scale dynamics, making such ventures intricate and demanding.

Multi-agent systems, characterized by multiple interactive entities or agents working together towards a collective outcome, introduce another significant challenge: devising efficient coordination algorithms for decentralized control. Such systems, given their inherent decentralized structure and collaborative orientation, necessitate the operators (individual agents) to not only make independent decisions, but also synchronize their actions harmoniously with others. The complexities arise from including the individual agents' unique capabilities and inter-agent dynamics, while designing these algorithms, culminating in a multifaceted challenge that involves several areas of research from control theory to computer science.

Moreover, the dynamic nature of micro-/nano environments and the inherent uncertainties implicate the need for refining machine learning techniques for these systems. Machine learning algorithms need to consistently adapt and learn from new data, a challenge that intensifies in environments prone to sudden changes. Consequently, the focus lies in developing algorithms that are dexterous in navigating the thin line between adapting to new information (plasticity) and retaining learnt knowledge from historical data (stability), a conundrum that is widely thought of as the plasticity-stability dilemma in the field of machine learning.

Given the intertwined complexity of the algorithmic challenges, the approach to devise solutions should be interdisciplinary, involving expertise from the fields of computer science, artificial intelligence, nanotechnology, robotics, and data analytics. Liquidating these ostensible bottlenecks would

yield revolutionary advancements in micro-/nano robotic systems, making them more robust, efficient, and adaptable than ever.

5.3 Safety and ethical considerations

AI-enhanced MNRs, owing to their miniature sizes, can infiltrate human systems where any unplanned disturbances could potentially lead to detrimental consequences. This introduces the pivotal importance of the development of detailed error-correction and fault-mitigation strategies that can prevent any unexpected system malfunction or erroneous behavior.

In parallel, the associated system or equipment of these MNRs may potentially collect and monitor personal data without explicit knowledge or consent. The nature of invasive technologies sometimes makes it challenging to preserve the sanctity of private information. In this digital age characterized by massive data creation and storage, questions about data privacy and security have become more pressing. Analogue tracking features must be adequately defended against potential misuse, warranting the encryption of personal health data and ensuring necessary control measures are in place to handle data breaches. This leads to significant ethical implications, such as the transparency in decision-making processes and impact on human life. These MNRs, while contributing immensely to the advancement of science and technology, are effectively making decisions autonomously – a characteristic that may consequently lead to potential ethical quandaries, especially in incidences of error or crisis. It becomes crucial to address these ethical conundrums by striking a delicate balance between encouraging advancements in algorithmic development and ensuring ethical considerations are not compromised.

Precisely, a proactive regulatory framework needs to be devised that governs the operational principles of MNRs, thereby defining a boundary for their decision-making capacity, while ensuring the indispensable accountability. Such a framework would not only free humans from being unreasonably subjected to automated decisions, but also authenticate that the accountability for decisions is not entirely relinquished to AI. The whole exploration of AI-enhanced MNRs thereby stands as a paramount stride towards scientific growth, warranting an equally evolved safety, ethical and regulatory framework.

5.4 Perspectives

Artificial general intelligence (AGI) focuses on developing systems that can learn and perform any intellectual task that a human can. One of the most significant advances in AGI is the development of RL, which can be used to train MNRs to navigate the human body, to deliver drugs to specific cells, or to repair damaged tissue. If AGI is ever achieved, it will have a profound impact on MNRs, which are more intelligent, more autonomous, and more capable than what is currently possible. AGI could also be used to develop new ways to control and manipulate MNRs. Furthermore, it is exciting

that given the promising prospects of compute-in- memory chip or *in situ* learning technology, a superior integration of AI-enhanced MNRs in microfluidics may be achieved. Unencumbered by conscious intervention and devoid of bulky external devices, these robots are poised to conquer much more intricate tasks intelligently and autonomously.

In parallel, with the continuous development of lab-on-a-chip technology, an increasing amount of massive data in formats of time series, image, and video is generated. AI provides an unprecedented ability to integrate these diverse data types, and consequently enhances the performances of decision making and task execution. The attainment of success relied on the standardization of data collected from MNRs across various patient populations. Collaborations among experts in AI, big data, medicine, bioinformatics, MNRs and microfluidics will expedite the interdisciplinary field towards more avant-garde development.

Currently, technological revolutions are spurred by transformative advances in 3D printing nanofabrication, and the fusion of biological and information technologies. These encompass the internet of things (IoT), robotics, artificial intelligence, and nanomedicine. Progressions in 3D technology have given birth to mobile microsystems, capable of diverse *in vitro* and *in vivo* functionalities. Micro-robotics presents a wealth of opportunities to address a myriad of challenges related to minimally invasive diagnostics, targeted drug delivery, gene engineering, organ-on-a-chip phenomena, among others. These burgeoning technologies could play a seminal role in the commercialization of concept-validated micro-robots as clinical devices. In this light, several domains are expected to emerge as future foci: 1) incorporation of artificial intelligence algorithms within MNRs. 2) Optimization of payload efficiency through intelligent, collaborative operation of MNR swarms. 3) Imbuing sensing, actuation, and learning/decision-making capabilities into MNRs using smart materials.

6. Conclusions

Human health is determined by microscopic physiological environments and processes within the body. The integration of artificial intelligence with micro-/nano robots has revolutionized their design, fabrication, and functionality. In particular, motion control, sensing abilities, and intricate collective behavior in microfluidics can be significantly enhanced by artificial intelligence. This pioneering technology has the potential to transform biomedical fields and further our understanding of complex physiological mechanisms, despite current challenges that need to be addressed for its practical implementation.

Author contributions

Hui Dong, Jiawen Lin and Yihui Tao: investigation, visualization, and writing – original draft. Yuan Jia: writing – review and editing. Lining Sun and Wen Jung Li: manuscript editing and project supervision. Hao Sun: funding acquisition,

investigation, supervision; manuscript draft, review, and editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers: 62173093, 61604042], and the National Key Research and Development Program of China [grant number: 2022YFB3606502].

Notes and references

- 1 D. D. Gutterman, D. S. Chabowski, A. O. Kadlec, M. J. Durand, J. K. Freed, K. Ait-Aissa and A. M. Beyer, *Circ. Res.*, 2016, **118**, 157–172.
- 2 H. Luan, Q. Zhang, T.-L. Liu, X. Wang, S. Zhao, H. Wang, S. Yao, Y. Xue, J. W. Kwak and W. Bai, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, eabj3686.
- 3 D. R. Myers and W. A. Lam, *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, 2021, **23**, 407–432.
- 4 A. Manz, N. Graber and H. á. Widmer, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 1990, **1**, 244–248.
- 5 M. A. Unger, H.-P. Chou, T. Thorsen, A. Scherer and S. R. Quake, *Science*, 2000, **288**, 113–116.
- 6 G. M. Whitesides, *Nature*, 2006, **442**, 368–373.
- 7 D. Huh, B. D. Matthews, A. Mammoto, M. Montoya-Zavala, H. Y. Hsin and D. E. Ingber, *Science*, 2010, **328**, 1662–1668.
- 8 H. Sun, Y. Jia, H. Dong, D. Dong and J. Zheng, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **28**, 1–9.
- 9 B. Zhang, A. Korolj, B. F. L. Lai and M. Radisic, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2018, **3**, 257–278.
- 10 S. N. Bhatia and D. E. Ingber, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **32**, 760–772.
- 11 A. Loewa, J. J. Feng and S. Hedtrich, *Nat. Rev. Bioeng.*, 2023, 1–15.
- 12 L. A. Low, C. Mummery, B. R. Berridge, C. P. Austin and D. A. Tagle, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2021, **20**, 345–361.
- 13 P. Vulto and J. Joore, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2021, **20**, 961–962.
- 14 R. P. Feynman, *Eng. Sci.*, 1959, 23.
- 15 P. Guo, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, **5**, 833–842.
- 16 S. Li, C. Li, M. I. Khan, J. Liu, Z. Shi, D. Gao, B. Qiu and W. Ding, *Microsyst. Nanoeng.*, 2023, **9**, 75.
- 17 B. J. Nelson, I. K. Kaliakatsos and J. J. Abbott, *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, 2010, **12**, 55–85.
- 18 B. Wang, K. Kostarelos, B. J. Nelson and L. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2002047.
- 19 M. Sitti, H. Ceylan, W. Hu, J. Giltinan, M. Turan, S. Yim and E. Diller, *Proc. IEEE*, 2015, **103**, 205–224.
- 20 S. Baghbani Kordmahale, J. Qu, A. Muliana and J. Kameoka, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **12**, 21403.
- 21 C. Wang, S. Chandra, X. Tan and S. Tor, *J. Micromech. Mol. Phys.*, 2021, **6**, 2141002.

- 22 Y. Wu, S. Yakov, A. Fu and G. Yossifon, *Adv. Sci.*, 2023, **10**, 2204931.
- 23 T. Evens, L. Van Hileghem, F. Dal Dosso, J. Lammertyn, O. Malek, S. Castagne, D. Seveno and A. Van Bael, *J. Micro Nano-Manuf.*, 2021, **9**, 030902.
- 24 Z. H. Shah, M. Sokolich, S. Mallick, D. Rivas and S. Das, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2023, **11**, 8926–8932.
- 25 W. Siti, H. L. Too, T. Anderson, X. R. Liu, I. Y. Loh and Z. Wang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, eadi8444.
- 26 E. Diller, J. Giltinan and M. Sitti, *Int. J. Rob. Res.*, 2013, **32**, 614–631.
- 27 G. Hwang, I. A. Ivan, J. Agnus, H. Salmon, S. Alvo, N. Chaillet, S. Régnier and A.-M. Haghiri-Gosnet, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **215**, 56–64.
- 28 H. Choi, B. Kim, S. H. Jeong, T. Y. Kim, D. P. Kim, Y. K. Oh and S. K. Hahn, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2204617.
- 29 H. Zhou, C. C. Mayorga-Martinez, S. Pané, L. Zhang and M. Pumera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 4999–5041.
- 30 Y. Deng, A. Paskert, Z. Zhang, R. Wittkowski and D. Ahmed, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, eadh5260.
- 31 W. Yang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, W. Liang and Z. Ge, *Opt. Lasers Eng.*, 2023, **161**, 107380.
- 32 S. R. Dabbagh, M. R. Sarabi, M. T. Birtek, S. Seyfi, M. Sitti and S. Tasoglu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 5875.
- 33 D. A. LaVan, D. M. Lynn and R. Langer, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2002, **1**, 77–84.
- 34 S. S. Andhari, R. D. Wavhale, K. D. Dhobale, B. V. Tawade, G. P. Chate, Y. N. Patil, J. J. Khandare and S. S. Banerjee, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 4703.
- 35 R. D. Wavhale, K. D. Dhobale, C. S. Rahane, G. P. Chate, B. V. Tawade, Y. N. Patil, S. S. Gawade and S. S. Banerjee, *Commun. Chem.*, 2021, **4**, 159.
- 36 M. Li, N. Xi, Y. Wang and L. Liu, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2020, **68**, 130–147.
- 37 B. J. Heil, M. M. Hoffman, F. Markowetz, S.-I. Lee, C. S. Greene and S. C. Hicks, *Nat. Methods*, 2021, **18**, 1132–1135.
- 38 H. Sun, W. Xie, Y. Huang, J. Mo, H. Dong, X. Chen, Z. Zhang and J. Shang, *Talanta*, 2023, **258**, 124470.
- 39 L. Liu, M. Bi, Y. Wang, J. Liu, X. Jiang, Z. Xu and X. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 19352–19366.
- 40 Y. Zhuang, S. Cheng, N. Kovalchuk, M. Simmons, O. K. Matar, Y.-K. Guo and R. Arcucci, *Lab Chip*, 2022, **22**, 3187–3202.
- 41 H. Sun, W. Xie, J. Mo, Y. Huang and H. Dong, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2023, **11**, 1208648.
- 42 Z. Ao, H. Cai, Z. Wu, L. Hu, A. Nunez, Z. Zhou, H. Liu, M. Bondesson, X. Lu and X. Lu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2022, **119**, e2214569119.
- 43 E. A. Holm, R. Cohn, N. Gao, A. R. Kitahara, T. P. Matson, B. Lei and S. R. Yarasi, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A*, 2020, **51**, 5985–5999.
- 44 L. Huang, Y. Pan, M. Wang and L. Ren, *Eng. Regen.*, 2023, **4**, 411–426.
- 45 Q. Wang and L. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 149–174.
- 46 M. Guo, Z. Lin, C. Zhai, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, Z. Chai, T. Guo and C. Hu, *Opt. Express*, 2023, **31**, 12397–12409.
- 47 S. Yu, Y. Cai, Z. Wu and Q. He, *View*, 2021, **2**, 20200113.
- 48 Y. Zhou, M. Ye, C. Hu, H. Qian, B. J. Nelson and X. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 15254–15276.
- 49 K. A. Brown, S. Brittman, N. Maccaferri, D. Jariwala and U. Celano, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **20**, 2–10.
- 50 H. Sun, L. Xiong, Y. Huang, X. Chen, Y. Yu, S. Ye, H. Dong, Y. Jia and W. Zhang, *Fundam. Res.*, 2022, **2**, 476–486.
- 51 Z. Wang, Y. Wu, B. Zhu, Q. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Zhao, D. Sun, J. Zheng and D. Wu, *Addit. Manuf.*, 2023, **61**, 103320.
- 52 J. E. Huddy, M. S. Rahman, A. B. Hamlin, Y. Ye and W. J. Scheideler, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2022, **3**, 100786.
- 53 J. Moor, *AI Mag.*, 2006, **27**, 87–87.
- 54 R. ElShawi, Y. Sherif, M. Al-Mallah and S. Sakr, *Comput. Intell.*, 2021, **37**, 1633–1650.
- 55 M. Hammad, R. N. Kandala, A. Abdelatey, M. Abdar, M. Zomorodi-Moghadam, R. San Tan, U. R. Acharya, J. Pławiak, R. Tadeusiewicz and V. Makarenkov, *Inf. Sci.*, 2021, **571**, 580–604.
- 56 A. Mavropoulos, C. Johnson, V. Lu, J. Nieto, E. C. Schneider, K. Saini, M. L. Phelan, L. X. Hsie, M. J. Wang and J. Cruz, *Mod. Pathol.*, 2023, **36**, 100195.
- 57 J. Zheng, T. Cole, Y. Zhang, J. Kim and S.-Y. Tang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2021, **194**, 113666.
- 58 Z. Fu, X. Li, Z. Wang, Z. Li, X. Liu, X. Wu, J. Zhao, X. Ding, X. Wan and F. Zhong, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 2269–2277.
- 59 K. Yiannacou, V. Sharma and V. Sariola, *Langmuir*, 2022, **38**, 11557–11564.
- 60 A. Korotcov, V. Tkachenko, D. P. Russo and S. Ekins, *Mol. Pharmaceutics*, 2017, **14**, 4462–4475.
- 61 G. Stiglic, P. Kocbek, N. Fijacko, M. Zitnik, K. Verbert and L. Cilar, *WIREs Data Min. Knowl.*, 2020, **10**, e1379.
- 62 C. Rudin, *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, 2019, **1**, 206–215.
- 63 F. Oviedo, J. L. Ferres, T. Buonassisi and K. T. Butler, *Acc. Mater. Res.*, 2022, **3**, 597–607.
- 64 M. Rubin, O. Stein, N. A. Turko, Y. Nygate, D. Roitshtain, L. Karako, I. Barnea, R. Giryas and N. T. Shaked, *Med. Image Anal.*, 2019, **57**, 176–185.
- 65 A. Ahmad, F. Sala, P. Paiè, A. Candeo, S. d'Annunzio, A. Zippo, C. Frindel, R. Osellame, F. Bragheri and A. Bassi, *Lab Chip*, 2022, **22**, 3453–3463.
- 66 F. Grisoni, B. J. Huisman, A. L. Button, M. Moret, K. Atz, D. Merk and G. Schneider, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, eabg3338.
- 67 C. A. Patino, P. Mukherjee, E. J. Berns, E. H. Mouly, L. Stan, M. Mrksich and H. D. Espinosa, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 7937–7946.
- 68 M. Durve, F. Bonaccorso, A. Montessori, M. Lauricella, A. Tiribocchi and S. Succi, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 2021, **379**, 20200400.
- 69 H. Sun, Q. Jiang, Y. Huang, J. Mo, W. Xie, H. Dong and Y. Jia, *Biomed. Signal Process. Control*, 2023, **83**, 104721.
- 70 L. Zdeborová, *Nat. Phys.*, 2020, **16**, 602–604.
- 71 K. E. Willcox, O. Ghattas and P. Heimbach, *Nat. Comput. Sci.*, 2021, **1**, 166–168.
- 72 G. E. Karniadakis, I. G. Kevrekidis, L. Lu, P. Perdikaris, S. Wang and L. Yang, *Nat. Rev. Phys.*, 2021, **3**, 422–440.
- 73 M. Raissi, *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 2018, **19**, 932–955.

- 74 S. H. Hong, H. Yang and Y. Wang, *Microfluid. Nanofluid.*, 2020, **24**, 1–20.
- 75 J. X. Wang, W. Yu, Z. Wu, X. Liu and Y. Chen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2022, **120**, 204101.
- 76 S. Cai, H. Li, F. Zheng, F. Kong, M. Dao, G. E. Karniadakis and S. Suresh, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2100697118.
- 77 L. P. Kaelbling, M. L. Littman and A. W. Moore, *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, 1996, **4**, 237–285.
- 78 M. Shahab and R. Rengaswamy, *Comput. Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **161**, 107787.
- 79 T. Abe, S. Oh-Hara and Y. Ukita, *Biomechanics*, 2021, **15**, 034101.
- 80 T. Abe, S. Ohhara and Y. Ukita, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2023, 134636.
- 81 J. Kennedy, *Swarm Intell.*, 2006, 187–219.
- 82 J. Tang, G. Liu and Q. Pan, *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 2021, **8**, 1627–1643.
- 83 Q. T. Bui, Q.-H. Nguyen, X. L. Nguyen, V. D. Pham, H. D. Nguyen and V.-M. Pham, *J. Hydrol.*, 2020, **581**, 124379.
- 84 M. Matta, G. C. Cardarilli, L. Di Nunzio, R. Fazzolari, D. Giardino, M. Re, F. Silvestri and S. Spanò, *Electron. Lett.*, 2019, **55**, 589–591.
- 85 S. Mukherjee, I. Pan and T. Samanta, *Appl. Soft Comput.*, 2019, **85**, 105839.
- 86 K. Huang, Z. Cui, J. Lai, B. Lu and H. K. Chu, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, **19**, 818–832.
- 87 E. Arun Sankar, M. Shahab and R. Rengaswamy, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **60**, 1699–1708.
- 88 H. Tao, T. Wu, M. Aldeghi, T. C. Wu, A. Aspuru-Guzik and E. Kumacheva, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2021, **6**, 701–716.
- 89 Y. Jia, X. Hou, Z. Wang and X. Hu, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 6130–6147.
- 90 P. H. Thike, Z. Zhao, P. Shi and Y. Jin, *Bull. Mater. Sci.*, 2020, **43**, 1–22.
- 91 X. Chen and H. Lv, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2022, **14**, 69.
- 92 M. Zeng, S. Yuan, D. Huang and Z. Cheng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 40099–40106.
- 93 P. Jiao, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **88**, 106227.
- 94 N. A. Alderete, N. Pathak and H. D. Espinosa, *npj Comput. Mater.*, 2022, **8**, 191.
- 95 Y. Liu, Y. Feng, M. An, M. T. Sarwar and H. Yang, *Adv. Intell. Syst. Comput.*, 2023, 2200466.
- 96 A. Pena-Francesch, J. Giltinan and M. Sitti, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 3188.
- 97 H. Xu, M. Medina-Sánchez, M. F. Maitz, C. Werner and O. G. Schmidt, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 2982–2993.
- 98 M. M. Stanton, B.-W. Park, D. Vilela, K. Bente, D. Faivre, M. Sitti and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 9968–9978.
- 99 J. Guo, J. O. Agola, R. Serda, S. Franco, Q. Lei, L. Wang, J. Minster, J. G. Croissant, K. S. Butler and W. Zhu, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 7847–7859.
- 100 I. C. Yasa, H. Ceylan, U. Bozuyuk, A.-M. Wild and M. Sitti, *Sci. Robot.*, 2020, **5**, eaaz3867.
- 101 M. Sun, X. Fan, X. Meng, J. Song, W. Chen, L. Sun and H. Xie, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 18382–18392.
- 102 L. Xie, X. Pang, X. Yan, Q. Dai, H. Lin, J. Ye, Y. Cheng, Q. Zhao, X. Ma and X. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 2880–2893.
- 103 J. Li and M. Pumera, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 2794–2838.
- 104 O. Buchnev, J. A. Grant-Jacob, R. W. Eason, N. I. Zheludev, B. Mills and K. F. MacDonald, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 2734–2739.
- 105 N. Theobald, D. Ledvina, K. Kukula, S. Maines, K. Hasz, M. Raschke, J. Crawford, J. Jessing and Y. Li, *IEEE Sens. J.*, 2023, **23**, 7910–7916.
- 106 Z. Sun, J. Shi, J. Wang, M. Jiang, Z. Wang, X. Bai and X. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 10761–10772.
- 107 A. Halder and Y. Sun, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **139**, 111334.
- 108 J. Jiang, Z. Yang, A. Ferreira and L. Zhang, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2022, **4**, 2100279.
- 109 M. Li, A. Pal, A. Aghakhani, A. Pena-Francesch and M. Sitti, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2022, **7**, 235–249.
- 110 P. Sharan, A. Nsamela, S. C. Lesher-Pérez and J. Simmchen, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2007403.
- 111 H. Xu, M. Medina-Sánchez, V. Magdanz, L. Schwarz, F. Hebenstreit and O. G. Schmidt, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 327–337.
- 112 A. P. Bregulla, H. Yang and F. Cichos, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6542–6550.
- 113 A. I. Bunea, D. Martella, S. Nocentini, C. Parmeggiani, R. Taboryski and D. S. Wiersma, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2021, **3**, 2000256.
- 114 A. von Rohr, S. Trimpe, A. Marco, P. Fischer and S. Palagi, Gait Learning for Soft Microrobots Controlled by Light Fields, 2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), Madrid, Spain, 2018, pp. 6199–6206, DOI: [10.1109/IROS.2018.8594092](https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2018.8594092).
- 115 A. C. H. Tsang, P. W. Tong, S. Nallan and O. S. Pak, *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, 2020, **5**, 074101.
- 116 Z. Zou, Y. Liu, Y.-N. Young, O. S. Pak and A. C. Tsang, *Commun. Phys.*, 2022, **5**, 158.
- 117 M. R. Behrens and W. C. Ruder, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2022, **4**, 2200023.
- 118 B. Hartl, M. Hübl, G. Kahl and A. Zöttl, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2019683118.
- 119 C. Huang, T. Xu, J. Liu, L. Manamanchaiyaporn and X. Wu, *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, 2019, **4**, 4185–4191.
- 120 J. Janiak, Y. Li, Y. Ferry, A. A. Doinikov and D. Ahmed, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 4705.
- 121 S. Shi, N. Sharifi, U. K. Cheang and Y. Chen, *IEEE Trans. NanoBiosci.*, 2019, **19**, 267–269.
- 122 L. Zheng, N. Hart and Y. Zeng, *Lab Chip*, 2023, **23**, 3741–3767.
- 123 H. Liu, L. Nan, F. Chen, Y. Zhao and Y. Zhao, *Lab Chip*, 2023, **23**, 2497–2513.
- 124 B. Dai, J. Wang, Z. Xiong, X. Zhan, W. Dai, C.-C. Li, S.-P. Feng and J. Tang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 1087–1092.
- 125 Z. Li, K. Wang, C. Hou, C. Li, F. Zhang, W. Ren, L. Dong and J. Zhao, *Microsyst. Nanoeng.*, 2023, **9**, 102.
- 126 L. Li, Z. Yu, J. Liu, M. Yang, G. Shi, Z. Feng, W. Luo, H. Ma, J. Guan and F. Mou, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2023, **15**, 141.
- 127 K. Xu and R. Su, *Microsyst. Technol.*, 2022, **28**, 2393–2401.
- 128 S. E. Spagnolie and P. T. Underhill, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.*, 2023, **14**, 381–415.
- 129 G. Li, E. Lauga and A. M. Ardekani, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 2021, **297**, 104655.
- 130 L. Yang, J. Jiang, X. Gao, Q. Wang, Q. Dou and L. Zhang, *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, 2022, **4**, 480–493.

- 131 M. Cai, Q. Wang, Z. Qi, D. Jin, X. Wu, T. Xu and L. Zhang, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, 2022, **53**, 7699–7711.
- 132 K. Botros, M. Alkhatib, D. Folio and A. Ferreira, *IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett.*, 2023, **8**, 3254–3261.
- 133 J. Liu, T. Xu, S. X. Yang and X. Wu, *IEEE Trans. Industr. Inform.*, 2019, **16**, 477–487.
- 134 S. Colabrese, K. Gustavsson, A. Celani and L. Biferale, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2017, **118**, 158004.
- 135 Y. Yang, M. A. Bevan and B. Li, *Adv. Theory Simul.*, 2020, **3**, 2000034.
- 136 L. Amoudruz and P. Koumoutsakos, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2022, **4**, 2100183.
- 137 M. Putzke and H. Stark, *Eur. Phys. J. E: Soft Matter Biol. Phys.*, 2023, **46**, 48.
- 138 L. Yang and L. Zhang, *Annu. Rev. Control Robot. Auton. Syst.*, 2021, **4**, 509–534.
- 139 L. Yang, M. Zhang, Z. Yang and L. Zhang, *IEEE ASME Trans. Mechatron.*, 2023, **28**, 1662–1673.
- 140 D. Zhang, A. Barbot, F. Seichepine, F. P.-W. Lo, W. Bai, G.-Z. Yang and B. Lo, *Commun. Phys.*, 2022, **5**, 80.
- 141 Z. El Khayati, R. Chesneaux, L. Giraldo and J. Bec, *Eur. Phys. J. E: Soft Matter Biol. Phys.*, 2023, **46**, 43.
- 142 W. Chen, X. Chen, M. Yang, X. Fan, C. Tian, H. Zhang and H. Xie, *IEEE ASME Trans. Mechatron.*, 2023, **28**, 1638–1648.
- 143 S. Xu, J. Liu, C. Yang, X. Wu and T. Xu, *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, 2021, **52**, 13727–13737.
- 144 H. Keshmiri Neghab, M. Jamshidi and H. Keshmiri Neghab, *Information*, 2022, **13**, 321.
- 145 M. F. Reynolds, A. J. Cortese, Q. Liu, Z. Zheng, W. Wang, S. L. Norris, S. Lee, M. Z. Miskin, A. C. Molnar and I. Cohen, *Sci. Robot.*, 2022, **7**, eabq2296.
- 146 H. Duan, M. Huo and Y. Fan, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 2023, **10**, nwad040.
- 147 K. Hou, Y. Yang, X. Yang and J. Lai, *Control Theory Technol.*, 2020, **18**, 114–134.
- 148 F. Cichos, K. Gustavsson, B. Mehlig and G. Volpe, *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, 2020, **2**, 94–103.
- 149 Q. Wang, K. F. Chan, K. Schweizer, X. Du, D. Jin, S. C. H. Yu, B. J. Nelson and L. Zhang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, eabe5914.
- 150 S. Shahrokhi, L. Lin, C. Ertel, M. Wan and A. T. Becker, *IEEE Trans. Robot.*, 2017, **34**, 207–219.
- 151 D. Ceraso and G. Spezzano, Controlling swarms of medical nanorobots using CPPSO on a GPU, *2016 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS)*, Innsbruck, Austria, 2016, pp. 58–65, DOI: [10.1109/HPCSIm.2016.7568316](https://doi.org/10.1109/HPCSIm.2016.7568316).
- 152 S. Muiños-Landin, A. Fischer, V. Holubec and F. Cichos, *Sci. Robot.*, 2021, **6**, eabd9285.
- 153 Y. Liu, Z. Zou, O. S. Pak and A. C. Tsang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2023, **13**, 9397.
- 154 M. Filippi, O. Yasa, R. D. Kamm, R. Raman and R. K. Katzschmann, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2022, **119**, e2200741119.
- 155 T. Patiño, X. Arqué, R. Mestre, L. Palacios and S. Sánchez, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2662–2671.
- 156 Y. Wang, X. Jin and C. Castro, *bioRxiv*, 2023, preprint, DOI: [10.1101/2023.05.11.540408](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.05.11.540408).
- 157 A. Mirzaiebadizi, H. Ravan, S. Dabiri, P. Mohammadi, A. Shahba, M. Ziasistani and M. Khatami, *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 2022, **45**, 1781–1797.
- 158 H. Chen, Y. Li, Y. Wang, P. Ning, Y. Shen, X. Wei, Q. Feng, Y. Liu, Z. Li and C. Xu, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 6118–6133.
- 159 Y. Wang, J. Shen, S. Handschuh-Wang, M. Qiu, S. Du and B. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **17**, 27–50.
- 160 S. M. Mirvakili and R. Langer, *Nat. Electron.*, 2021, **4**, 464–477.
- 161 Z. Yu, L. Li, F. Mou, S. Yu, D. Zhang, M. Yang, Q. Zhao, H. Ma, W. Luo and T. Li, *InfoMat*, 2023, e12464.
- 162 J. Yoo, S. Tang and W. Gao, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2023, 1–3.
- 163 A. V. Singh, V. Chandrasekar, P. Janapareddy, D. E. Mathews, P. Laux, A. Luch, Y. Yang, B. Garcia-Canibano, S. Balakrishnan and J. Abinahed, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2021, **12**, 1835–1853.
- 164 S. P. Lacour, G. Courtine and J. Guck, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, **1**, 1–14.
- 165 S. Alsenan, I. Al-Turaiki and A. Hafez, *Comput. Biol. Chem.*, 2020, **89**, 107377.
- 166 A. V. Singh, R.-S. Maharjan, A. Kanase, K. Siewert, D. Rosenkranz, R. Singh, P. Laux and A. Luch, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **13**, 1943–1955.
- 167 A. Hasanzadeh, M. R. Hamblin, J. Kiani, H. Noori, J. M. Hardie, M. Karimi and H. Shafiee, *Nano Today*, 2022, **47**, 101665.
- 168 A. Schwarzer, S. R. Talbot, A. Selich, M. Morgan, J. W. Schott, O. Dittrich-Breiholz, A. L. Bastone, B. Weigel, T. C. Ha and V. Dziadek, *Mol. Ther.*, 2021, **29**, 3383–3397.
- 169 S. Berg, D. Kutra, T. Kroeger, C. N. Straehle, B. X. Kausler, C. Haubold, M. Schiegg, J. Ales, T. Beier and M. Rudy, *Nat. Methods*, 2019, **16**, 1226–1232.
- 170 W. J. Thrift and R. Ragan, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 13337–13342.
- 171 W. J. Thrift, S. Ronaghi, M. Samad, H. Wei, D. G. Nguyen, A. S. Cabuslay, C. E. Groome, P. J. Santiago, P. Baldi and A. I. Hochbaum, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 15336–15348.
- 172 A. Mukhopadhyay, J. Sumner, L. H. Ling, R. H. C. Quek, A. T. H. Tan, G. G. Teng, S. K. Seetharaman, S. P. K. Gollamudi, D. Ho and M. Motani, *Int. J. Environ. Health*, 2022, **19**, 8979.
- 173 V. Agrahari, V. Agrahari, M.-L. Chou, C. H. Chew, J. Noll and T. Burnouf, *Biomaterials*, 2020, **260**, 120163.
- 174 W. Chen, H. Zhou, B. Zhang, Q. Cao, B. Wang and X. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2110625.
- 175 M. Grammatikopoulou, L. Zhang and G. Z. Yang, Depth Estimation of Optically Transparent Microrobots Using Convolutional and Recurrent Neural Networks, *2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, Madrid, Spain, 2018, pp. 4895–4900, DOI: [10.1109/IROS.2018.8593776](https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2018.8593776).
- 176 M. Schrage, M. Medany and D. Ahmed, *Adv. Mater. Technol.*, 2023, 2201702.
- 177 Q. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Yu, J. Lang, Z. Lyu, Y. Chen and L. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 13081–13109.
- 178 M. Busek, A. Aizenshtadt, T. Koch, A. Frank, L. Delon, M. A. Martinez, A. Golovin, C. Dumas, J. Stokowiec and S. Gruenzner, *Lab Chip*, 2023, **23**, 591–608.

- 179 Y. Leng, X. Li, F. Zheng, H. Liu, C. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Liao, J. Liu, K. Meng and J. Yu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, 2211059.
- 180 A. V. Singh, Z. Hosseinidoust, B.-W. Park, O. Yasa and M. Sitti, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 9759–9769.
- 181 S. Jeon, S. Kim, S. Ha, S. Lee, E. Kim, S. Y. Kim, S. H. Park, J. H. Jeon, S. W. Kim and C. Moon, *Sci. Robot.*, 2019, **4**, eaav4317.
- 182 L. Sun, Z. Chen, F. Bian and Y. Zhao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 1907820.
- 183 R. Raman, C. Cvetkovic, S. G. Uzel, R. J. Platt, P. Sengupta, R. D. Kamm and R. Bashir, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 3497–3502.
- 184 S. Deng, C. Li, J. Cao, Z. Cui, J. Du, Z. Fu, H. Yang and P. Chen, *Theranostics*, 2023, **13**, 4526.
- 185 A. Mencattini, F. Mattei, G. Schiavoni, A. Gerardino, L. Businaro, C. Di Natale and E. Martinelli, *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2019, **10**, 100.
- 186 M. C. Comes, J. Filippi, A. Mencattini, P. Casti, G. Cerrato, A. Sauvati, E. Vacchelli, A. De Ninno, D. Di Giuseppe and M. D'Orazio, *Neural. Comput. Appl.*, 2021, **33**, 3671–3689.
- 187 M. Pérez-Aliacar, M. H. Doweidar, M. Doblaré and J. Ayensa-Jiménez, *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 2021, **135**, 104547.
- 188 L. H. Chong, T. Ching, H. J. Farm, G. Greci, K.-H. Chiam and Y.-C. Toh, *Lab Chip*, 2022, **22**, 1890–1904.
- 189 E. Gultepe, J. S. Randhawa, S. Kadam, S. Yamanaka, F. M. Selaru, E. J. Shin, A. N. Kalloo and D. H. Gracias, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 514–519.
- 190 P. Actis, M. M. Maalouf, H. J. Kim, A. Lohith, B. Villozny, R. A. Seger and N. Pourmand, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 546–553.
- 191 A. Shakoor, W. Gao, L. Zhao, Z. Jiang and D. Sun, *Microsyst. Nanoeng.*, 2022, **8**, 47.
- 192 J. Li, B. Esteban-Fernández de Ávila, W. Gao, L. Zhang and J. Wang, *Sci. Robot.*, 2017, **2**, eaam6431.
- 193 S. Shivalkar, A. Roy, S. Chaudhary, S. K. Samanta, P. Chowdhary and A. K. Sahoo, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2023, **18**, 062003.
- 194 G. Go, A. Yoo, K. T. Nguyen, M. Nan, B. A. Darmawan, S. Zheng, B. Kang, C.-S. Kim, D. Bang and S. Lee, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, **8**, eabq8545.
- 195 S. Cao, J. Shao, H. Wu, S. Song, M. T. De Martino, I. A. Pijpers, H. Friedrich, L. K. Abdelmohsen, D. S. Williams and J. C. van Hest, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 2077.
- 196 Z. Lin, C. Gao, D. Wang and Q. He, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 8750–8754.
- 197 D. Zhang, F. P.-W. Lo, J.-Q. Zheng, W. Bai, G.-Z. Yang and B. Lo, *ACS Photonics*, 2020, **7**, 3003–3014.
- 198 M. Xie, A. Shakoor, Y. Shen, J. K. Mills and D. Sun, *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, 2018, 1.
- 199 Y. Yang, M. A. Bevan and B. Li, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2020, **2**, 1900106.
- 200 M. Javaid, A. Haleem, R. P. Singh and R. Suman, *Biomed. Technol.*, 2023, **1**, 39–47.
- 201 M. Ussia, M. Urso, S. Kment, T. Fialova, K. Klima, K. Dolezelikova and M. Pumera, *Small*, 2022, **18**, 2200708.
- 202 C. C. Mayorga-Martinez, J. Zelenka, K. Klima, P. Mayorga-Burrezo, L. Hoang, T. Ruml and M. Pumera, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 8694–8703.
- 203 C. C. Mayorga-Martinez, J. Zelenka, K. Klima, M. Kubanova, T. Ruml and M. Pumera, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, 2300191.
- 204 Y. Dong, L. Wang, K. Yuan, F. Ji, J. Gao, Z. Zhang, X. Du, Y. Tian, Q. Wang and L. Zhang, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 5056–5067.
- 205 G. Chatzipirpiridis, O. Ergeneman, J. Pokki, F. Ullrich, S. Fusco, J. A. Ortega, K. M. Sivaraman, B. J. Nelson and S. Pané, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2015, **4**, 209–214.
- 206 S. Adel, A. Zaher, N. El Harouni, A. Venugopal, P. Premjani and N. Vaid, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2021, **2021**, 1–16.
- 207 B. D. Bhat, S. Bhandary, R. Naik and D. Shetty, *Journal of Dental Research and Review*, 2017, **4**, 67–68.
- 208 C. Mo, G. Li and X. Bian, *Front. Phys.*, 2023, **11**, 1279883.