# **Chemical Science**

# EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2023, 14, 3293

**C** All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 18th January 2023 Accepted 23rd February 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc00312d

rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

Singlet fission (SF) is a reversible photophysical process in which two chromophores in the ground state  $(S_0)$  and an excited singlet state  $(S_1)$  interact to form correlated triplet pairs  $(^1$ (TT) and  $5(TT)$ ). These pairs then relax, forming two individual excitons  $(T_1)$  with an extremely high  $T_1$  quantum yield  $(\Phi_T)$  of up to 2 (Fig. 1a).<sup>1-3</sup> This process is very promising and even more successful than other recently developed photophysical processes, including thermally activated delayed fluorescence  $(TADF)$ ,<sup>4</sup> aggregation-induced emission  $(AIE)$ ,<sup>5</sup> and upconversion,<sup>6</sup> toward the construction of photo-relevant materials and optical chemosensors.<sup>7</sup>–<sup>9</sup> For example, the highly efficient generation of multiple excitons allows for a wide array of applications for SF-based materials, including the construction of solar cells,<sup>10</sup> photosensitizers,<sup>11</sup> singlet oxygen generators for photodynamic therapy, and relevant biological systems.<sup>12</sup> Nevertheless, the fabrication of SF-based materials requires that the important energy balance at the excited singlet and triplet levels be met  $(E (S_1) \geq 2E (T_1))$ ,<sup>1-3</sup> which in turn can hamper their wide range of molecular design. One appropriate solution for adjusting such rigidity or non-tunability of SF properties is the flexible/dynamic control achieved by external stimuli such

b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8522, Japan. E-mail: hasobe@chem.keio.ac.jp

# Control of intramolecular singlet fission in a pentacene dimer by hydrostatic pressure†

Tomokazu Kinoshit[a,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2189-8943)<sup>a</sup> Shunta Nakamura,<sup>b</sup> Makoto Harada,<sup>a</sup> Taku Hasobe D<sup>\*b</sup> and Gaku Fukuhara **b** \*<sup>a</sup>

Singlet fission (SF), which produces two triplet excitons from a singlet exciton, has been identified as a novel nanointerface for efficient (photo)energy conversion. This study aims to control exciton formation in a pentacene dimer through intramolecular SF using hydrostatic pressure as an external stimulus. We reveal the hydrostatic-pressure-induced formation and dissociation processes of correlated triplet pairs (TT) in SF by means of pressure-dependent UV/vis and fluorescence spectrometry and fluorescence lifetime and nanosecond transient absorption measurements. The photophysical properties obtained under hydrostatic pressure suggested distinct acceleration of the SF dynamics by microenvironmental desolvation, the volumetric compaction of the TT intermediate based on solvent reorientation toward an individual triplet  $(T_1)$ , and pressure-induced shortening of  $T_1$  lifetimes. This study provides a new perspective on the control of SF by hydrostatic pressure as an attractive alternative to the conventional control strategy for SF-based materials. **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **(a)** Check for undates **Control of intramolecular singlet fission in**<br> **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **Control of intramolecular singlet fission in**<br> **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **Control of intramolecular singlet fission in**<br> **EDGE** 

as temperature,<sup>13-16</sup> solvent,<sup>17-19</sup> or reaction (aggregation<sup>20-23</sup> and supramolecular complexation<sup>19,24,25</sup>). This control strategy has recently attracted significant attention although it is yet to be demonstrated on a trial-and-error basis.

Hydrostatic pressure is a mechanical isotropic stimulus and one of the most significant state quantities, enabling scientists to precisely control thermodynamic equilibria and kinetic rates, particularly in solutions in both ground and excited states.<sup>26-28</sup> Thus, studies on hydrostatic pressure have been widely conducted since the early 1960s.<sup>29</sup> In the 1980s, advances were made in the understanding of photophysical and photochemical characteristic processes, such as excimer/exciplex formation,<sup>30,31</sup> photoinduced electron transfer,<sup>32</sup> and twisted intramolecular charge transfer<sup>33</sup> upon hydrostatic pressurization. In this way, the effects of hydrostatic pressure on the properties of solutions have been investigated on a long-term basis, in addition to solid-state high-pressure chemistry using a diamond anvil cell (DAC).34,35 Although such high-pressure chemistry has been extensively researched, recently, the emergence of mechanochemistry<sup>36</sup> and mechanobiology,<sup>37</sup> in which "pressure" as a mechanical force plays a key role, has brought solution-state hydrostatic pressure chemistry under the spotlight once again. We recently revealed that the TADF properties in a mechanochromic material<sup>38</sup> and the on-off AIE behavior of dynamic AIE-active polymers<sup>39</sup> can be controlled by the application of hydrostatic pressure. These results prompted us to examine how hydrostatic pressure affects or "controls" the SF processes in functional molecules.

In this study, to implement the hydrostatic pressure control concept in SF chemistry, we focused on a biphenyl-bridged

ROYAL SOCIETY<br>OF CHEMISTRY

a Department of Chemistry, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan. E-mail: gaku@chem.titech.ac.jp

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Photographs for experimental apparatus; hydrostatic pressure spectroscopic results; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Pc-BP-Pc. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc00312d>



Fig. 1 (a) Schematic of the mechanism of SF in a TIPS-pentacene dimer.  $k_f$  and  $k_{nr}$ : deactivation processes from  $S_1$ ;  $k_{SF}$ : SF from  $S_1$  to TT;  $k_{Rec}$ : recombination process from TT to S<sub>0</sub>;  $k_{\text{Diss}}$ : dissociation process from TT to T<sub>1</sub> + T<sub>1</sub> (2T<sub>1</sub>);  $k_T$ : deactivation process from 2T<sub>1</sub> to S<sub>0</sub>. Considering the reported energies of S<sub>1</sub> (1.9 eV) and TT (1.6 eV), the reverse triplet–triplet annihilation process (TT  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>0</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>) can be omitted because of the large exergonic trend (~0.3 eV).<sup>42</sup> (b) Target chemical structures of Pc-BP-Pc and Pc-ref. (c) Structures and their SF rates of TIPS-pentacene dimers that are linked by  $m$ - and  $o$ -phenylenes.<sup>42</sup>

pentacene dimer (Pc-BP-Pc, Fig. 1b), which possesses a more flexible biphenyl linker than its corresponding reference monomer (Pc-ref, Fig. 1b). Recently, Asbury et al. investigated the solid-state pressure effects of Pc-ref using the DAC technique, revealing efficient triplet-pair separation.<sup>40</sup> This sophisticated case provided us with a breakthrough in the design of smart solar cells and relevant materials in the thin film state. However, control of solution-state SF processes upon the application of hydrostatic pressure, for example, photodynamic therapy in physiological (buffer) solutions, remains a major challenge in current multidisciplinary chemistry.

As a guideline for molecular design, the choice of linkers between bichromophores<sup>41</sup> is significant for achieving the stated purpose. This significance is highlighted by the results shown in Fig. 1c: the SF kinetics  $(k_{SF})$  in the  $m$ - and  $o$ -phenylene bridges in toluene were 2.1  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.2  $\times$  10<sup>11</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>42</sup> respectively, indicating that adjusting the distance and angle of each chromophore is critical. These results allowed us to choose a relatively flexible biphenyl linker with a  $k_{\rm SF}$  of 1.8  $\times$  10 $^9$  s $^{-1}$  in tetrahydrofuran (THF) under atmospheric conditions (0.1 MPa).<sup>17</sup>

We now report the unprecedented excited-state dynamics of Pc-BP-Pc, in which the SF kinetics are drastically facilitated by

hydrostatic pressurization. This study enabled us to examine the extent to which hydrostatic pressure affects the SF dynamics. The present demonstration of hydrostatic pressure control highlights the potential for a wide variety of attractive applications using SF processes in solution systems.

### Results and discussion

#### Investigation of pressure-induced structural relaxation

First, to investigate the degree of aggregation of the pentacene dimer under hydrostatic pressure (∼320 MPa), concentrationdependent (14-228  $\mu$ M) UV/vis spectra were measured at 0.1 (atmospheric pressure), 160, and 320 MPa in toluene. As shown in Fig. S2 in ESI,† the absorbance increased at a constant rate with increasing concentration without any spectral changes in each hydrostatic pressure range, with the standard absorbance curves exhibiting strong linear relationships. Therefore, we confirmed that pressure-induced aggregation and crystallization based on intermolecular interactions of Pc-BP-Pc do not occur in these concentration ranges, which enables us to treat the pentacene analogs as well-dispersed, "monomeric" states in the following experiments.

Next, through steady-state UV/vis and fluorescence spectrometry under hydrostatic pressure, we investigated the effect of hydrostatic pressure on both ground-state absorption and excited-state fluorescence properties. As a control experiment, we performed similar tests using Pc-ref, a monomeric portion of the target pentacene dimer, and compared the pressureinduced spectral changes. As shown in Fig. 2a–f, under hydrostatic pressure, the spectra of both Pc-BP-Pc and Pc-ref showed gradual increases in absorbance and stepwise bathochromic shifts without significant changes in the spectral shape. This result suggests that intramolecular  $\pi$ -stacking does not occur in the ground state and in the excimer species in the excited state even under high pressure. It is well known that an increase in pressure induces a considerable change in solvent polarizability, causing the absorption and fluorescence peaks to



Fig. 2 (a–f) Pressure-dependent UV/vis spectra of (a) Pc-BP-Pc (82  $\mu$ M) and (b) Pc-ref (91  $\mu$ M); fluorescence spectra of (c) Pc-BP-Pc (67  $\mu$ M) ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 580$  nm) and (d) Pc-ref (91  $\mu$ M) ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 543$  nm); and excitation spectra of (e) Pc-BP-Pc (82  $\mu$ M) ( $\lambda$ <sub>em</sub> = 660 nm) and (f) Pc-ref (91  $\mu$ M) ( $\lambda$ <sub>em</sub> = 650 nm) in toluene at room temperature at 0.1, 20, 40, 60 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, and 180 MPa (from black to sky blue), measured in a high-pressure cell. The black dotted lines represent the normalized UV/vis spectra at 0.1 MPa.

decrease in energy.<sup>29</sup> In addition, the monotonic hyperchromic effect on absorbance is simply due to the increase in the effective concentration upon pressurization. Therefore, such pressure-induced wavelength shifts can provide us with significant information about the pentacene analogs in hydrostaticpressurized solutions; the absorption and fluorescence slopes in toluene were calculated to be  $-0.750$  and  $-0.856$  cm<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup> $-1$ </sup> for Pc-BP-Pc, and  $-0.848$  and  $-0.891$  cm<sup> $-1$ </sup> MPa<sup> $-1$ </sup> for Pcref, respectively, as listed in Table S1.† These values appear to be very similar to those observed in other  $\pi$  systems such as anthracene, pyrene, and perylene.<sup>28</sup> Hence, these results confirm that the intramolecular stacking behavior (vide supra) and substantial conformational relaxation around the biphenyl linker (particularly in the  $S_1$  transition) may not be affected by hydrostatic pressure. Parallel experiments in methylcyclohexane (MCH) and THF showed similar slopes from −0.588 to  $-0.755$  cm<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>, verifying the occurrence of no significant conformational changes even in a wide variety of dipole moments (0.00–1.75 (Table S1 and Fig. S3–S10 in ESI†)). Edge Article<br>
Next, should see absorption the effects of absorption in the specifical development of absorption of the effec

#### Intramolecular SF dynamics

We estimated the effects of hydrostatic pressure on the intramolecular SF kinetics of the pentacene analogs. The fluorescence lifetimes of Pc-BP-Pc and Pc-ref under hydrostatic pressure were measured in toluene (Fig. 3a and b), with the resulting decay profile obtained for Pc-BP-Pc containing multiple components. The profile reasonably fitted to the sum of two exponential functions, in contrast to that of the monoexponential function observed for Pc-ref (see Fig. S11–S16 and Tables S2–S4 in ESI†). Very short-lived decays  $(\tau_2)$  in Pc-BP-Pc are observed in the enlarged figure. The flexible Pc-BP-Pc dimer adopts some conformers,<sup>17,43</sup> in which the  $\tau_1$  species emits the fluorescence as a monomer (without the SF process) and the  $\tau_2$  species mainly decays as a deactivation path (involved the SF process). Indeed, the strong fluorescence quenching of Pc-BP-Pc at 0.1 MPa, rather than Pc-ref, was clearly observed.<sup>17</sup> Certainly, other Pc dimers also showed the same decay behavior; the long-lived species (monomer conformer) and the short-lived species (TT process).<sup>44</sup> Thus, the rate constant of SF,  $k_{SF, app}$ , for the generation of correlated TTs can be written as eqn (1):

$$
k_{\rm SF, app} = (k_{\rm SF, app} + k_0) - k_0 = \frac{1}{\tau_{2,\text{Pe-BP-Pc}}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{1,\text{Pe-ref}}}
$$
(1)

where  $k_0$  represents the decay rate constant from the excited singlet state Pc-ref, which can further be divided into the sum of  $k_f$  (fluorescence rate constant) and  $k_{nr}$  (radiationless deactivation rate constant). According to our previous study, $17$  the rate constant in the SF process should be expressed  $k_{SF,app}$  as an apparent rate because the reverse TTA process has not been proved in this dimer. As listed in Tables 1 and S5, $\dagger$  the  $k_{SF,app}$ values increased from 1.65  $\times$  10 $^{9}$  s $^{-1}$  to 1.96  $\times$  10 $^{9}$  s $^{-1},$  consistent with the elevated pressures (0.1–180 MPa) in toluene. Interestingly, a clear increasing trend of  $k_{\rm SF, app}$  from 1.23  $\times$  10 $^{9}$  s $^{-1}$  to 1.67  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (40-160 MPa) in the more polar THF upon hydrostatic pressurization was also observed, whereas the  $k_{SF,app}$  values in nonpolar MCH were almost constant in the range of pressures



Fig. 3 (a and b) Enlarged time-correlated fluorescence decays ( $\lambda_{ex} = 405$  nm) of (a) Pc-BP-Pc (74 µM) ( $\lambda_{em} = 660$  nm) in toluene at room temperature at 0.1, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, and 180 MPa (from black to sky blue) and (b) Pc-BP-Pc (74  $\mu$ M) ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 660 nm, red) and Pcref. 89  $\mu$ M ( $\lambda$ <sub>em</sub> = 650 nm, black) in toluene at room temperature at 180 MPa, measured in a high-pressure cell. The black dotted line represents the instrument response function. (c) Pressure dependence of SF rate constants ( $k_{SF,app}$ ) of Pc-BP-Pc in toluene (red, correlation coefficient  $r =$ 0.655), THF (blue,  $r = 0.706$ ), and MCH (black,  $r = 0.059$ ) at room temperature; the separate plots with error bars are given in Fig. S26.† The large deviations for r are simply based on the roughness of the number of the digits in the lifetime apparatus. (d) Schematic diagram of Pc-BP-Pc for volumetric changes in the transition state via the intramolecular SF process.

examined. These findings indicate that hydrostatic pressure critically affects the SF process with solvent polarity.

To further elucidate the hydrostatic-pressure-induced SF dynamics more quantitatively, we calculated the activation volume change  $(\Delta V^{\ddagger})$  in the transition state according to eqn (2):

$$
\Delta V^{\ddagger} = -RT \left( \frac{\partial \ln k_{\text{SF,app}}}{\partial P} \right) \tag{2}
$$

The natural logarithm of  $k_{SF,app}$  was plotted against pressure, with a linear relationship obtained in each solvent (Fig. 3c). This indicates that the excited-state reaction toward the transition state proceeds by only a single mechanism, that is, the SF process, in the range of the hydrostatic pressures examined. A distinct difference in the negative  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$  was observed for the different solvents:  $-2.5$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> in toluene and  $-3.5$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> in THF, compared to the almost zero  $\Delta V^{\dagger}$  (−0.2 cm<sup>3</sup> mol $^{-1}$ ) in MCH (see Table 1). Considering that  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$  is the degree





sured at 298 K.  $^{\prime\prime}$  Not determined.  $^{\circ}$  At 40 MPa.  $^{\prime\prime}$  At 160 MPa

of compactness in a transition state depending on not only structural changes, such as differences in bond lengths and angles,<sup>26</sup> but also solvation,<sup>28,45</sup> in this case relating to the intramolecular SF system, the latter solvation contribution seems to be preferable. Hence, these results can be explained in terms of the intramolecular charge density change in the correlated TT. As the desolvation process proceeds, the transition-state structure becomes more compact in polarized toluene  $(0.38 \text{ D})$  or THF  $(1.75 \text{ D})$ , as shown in Fig. 3d. This scenario may be supported by a very small contribution of  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$ in nonpolarized MCH (0.00 D). Here, as the volume of one toluene or THF molecule is 106  $\rm cm^3$  mol $^{-1}$  or 81  $\rm cm^3$  mol $^{-1}$ , the desolvation contribution to each  $\Delta V^{\ddagger}$  is approximately 2% in one toluene molecule or 4% in one THF molecule. This indicates that intramolecular SF processes can be accelerated by critical desolvation, which allows the transition-state assembly involving the solvent cluster to be much more compact. Therefore, the solvation/desolvation contribution plays a decisive role in the precise control of intramolecular SF dynamics. Edge Article<br>
Taske 1 Apparent rate constants of singlet fission  $\theta_{\text{new}}$  and activation velocity of Pe-BP-Ps under by Chemical Celence<br>
Solvent Dipole moments  $\frac{6u_0w^{10.9} s^{-1}}{1.25}$ <br>
Solvent Dipole moments  $\theta_{\text{new$ 

#### Hydrostatic pressure effects of triplets

We elucidated the hydrostatic-pressure-induced intramolecular SF processes, at which the active exciton, TT, eventually becomes T1. Nanosecond transient absorption (nsTA) spectrometry is an effective analytical tool for elucidating the photophysical properties of  $T_1$  as a final step of SF.<sup>17</sup> The construction of a newly designed optical system for hydrostatic pressure nsTA spectrometry is detailed in the Materials and methods section. This system was used to measure the solvent-dependent spectra of toluene, MCH, and THF solutions in the triplet absorption band (Fig. 4a). As shown in Fig. 4b and S17a–c,† spectral measurements at 0.1 (atmospheric pressure), 160, and 320 MPa also showed pressuredependent decays and slight pressure-induced bathochromic shifts, similar to those observed in the steady-state UV/vis absorption spectra upon hydrostatic pressurization. In addition, the nsTA decay profiles of the generated individual  $T_1$  at each hydrostatic pressure in Fig. 4c and S17d† can be reasonably fitted to a monoexponential function with triplet lifetimes ( $\tau$ ) of 0.7–1.6  $\mu$ s (Fig. S18–S19 and Table S6†). So far, we have revealed that  $\tau$  of Pc-BP-Pc can be prolonged from 0.36  $\mu$ s in THF to 1.0  $\mu$ s by mixing paraffin into THF, based on the suppression of collisional deactivation by the polar solvent.<sup>17</sup> We achieved precise hydrostatic pressure control of  $\tau$ <sub>T</sub> without changing the solvent, in which the shortened lifetimes in response to hydrostatic pressurization are responsible for  $T_1$  deactivation under higher

viscosity solvent conditions, that is, acceleration of solvent interactions with  $T_1$  excitons (vide infra: result of the bulkier  $T_1$ structure). As shown in Fig. S27,† the pressure-induced viscosity changes play significant roles in the excited-state processes rather than the polarizability, density, and polarity; the latter ones almost affect to the absorption behavior.<sup>29</sup> Indeed, Lacour and Vauthey et al. demonstrated the SF control by solvent viscosity.<sup>19</sup>

Finally, the S<sub>1</sub>, TT, and T<sub>1</sub> quantum yields ( $\Phi_{\rm S}$ ,  $\Phi_{\rm TT}$ , and  $\Phi_{\rm T}$ ) were calculated (see the Materials and methods section), enabling us to estimate the SF thermodynamics, that is, the reaction volume changes as  $\Delta V^{\circ}_{\mathrm{S}\rightarrow\mathrm{TT}}$  in the equilibrium between  $S_1$  and TT (eqn (3)) and  $\Delta V_{TT\rightarrow T}^{\circ}$  in the equilibrium between TT and  $T_1$  (eqn (4)).

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \ln(\Phi_{TT}/\Phi_{S})}{\partial P}\right) = -\frac{\Delta V_{S\to TT}^{^{\circ}}}{RT}
$$
 (3)

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \ln(\Phi_{\rm T}/\Phi_{\rm TT})}{\partial P}\right) = -\frac{\Delta V_{\rm TT \to T}^{\circ}}{RT}
$$
 (4)

As shown in Table 2 and Fig. S22,† the values of  $\Delta V_{\rm S\rightarrow TT}^{^{\circ}}$  in toluene and MCH were negative and almost zero, respectively, indicating that the TT structure comprising the solvent core was thermodynamically more compact than the  $S_1$  structure upon desolvation. This is consistent with the fact that the kinetically formed TT transition-state complex is also more compact owing to the desolvation-driven behavior (vide supra). In contrast, the values in Table 2 and Fig. S24† for  $\Delta V_{TT\rightarrow T}^{^{\circ}}$  were positive. Strangely, these values in both toluene and MCH are approximately +5.8  $cm<sup>3</sup>$  mol<sup>-1</sup>; such a solvent-independent trend suggests that a different mechanism is at play here, rather than the aforementioned solvation/desolvation. These results are likely attributable to the change in conformers in Pc-BP-Pc during the TT dissociation process (TT  $\rightarrow$  2T<sub>1</sub>), as shown in Fig. 4d. In a previous study on the intramolecular SF of pentacene dimers using time-resolved electron paramagnetic resonance measurements, TT dissociation motion was observed, and the pentacene dimer exhibited a dynamic change in the dihedral angle between chromophores.<sup>43</sup> Similarly, Pc-BP-Pc is highly likely to undergo a conformational change associated with the dihedral angle change around the biphenyl linker during the TT dissociation process even under hydrostatic pressure. This was the case with the D–A–D triad that showed the excited-state conformational change under hydrostatic pressure.<sup>38</sup> Hence, the nature of  $\Delta V_{TT\rightarrow T}^{\circ}$  may be attributed to the solvent reorientation/interaction occurring after the



Fig. 4 (a and b) Normalized nsTA spectra of Pc-BP-Pc (81  $\mu$ M) in (a) toluene (red), THF (blue), and MCH (black) at 0.1 MPa and (b) toluene at 0.1 (black), 160 (pink, the line at 510–520 nm was omitted due to an artifact), and 320 MPa (blue) at room temperature. (c) nsTA decay profiles of Pc-BP-Pc in toluene (81 µM,  $\lambda_{\rm ex} = 532$  nm,  $\lambda_{\rm obs} = 521$  nm) at 0.1, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160, and 180 MPa (from black to sky blue). (d) Schematic of the volumetric changes in the equilibria via the intramolecular SF and dissociation processes for Pc-BP-Pc.

Table 2 Singlet, correlated triplet pair, triplet quantum yields ( $\Phi_{\rm S}$ ,  $\Phi_{\rm TT}$ , and  $\Phi_T$ ) and reaction volume changes ( $\Delta V^{\circ}$ ) of Pc-BP-Pc in toluene and MCH under hydrostatic pressure<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Measured at 298 K. <sup>*b*</sup> Maximum  $\Phi_{\text{TT}} = 1$ . <sup>*c*</sup> Maximum  $\Phi_{\text{T}} = 2$ .

conformational change. Because the TT dissociation process is spatially divided by spin interactions, in contrast to the TT formation (via the exciton coupling of  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ ), a possible interpretation of this result is that the dependence of the solvation term (toluene *vs.* MCH) may be extremely small. The contribution of the conformational change during dissociation is further related to the stepwise reduction of  $\Phi_T$  with increasing hydrostatic pressure (Table 2), which is responsible for the gradually enlarged solvent interactions in Pc-BP-Pc due to the increase in hydrostatic-pressure-driven solvent viscosity. This fact strongly supports the relationship among thermodynamically expanded or "bulkier" structures, positive  $\Delta V_{TT\rightarrow T}^{\circ}$ , and the gradual $\tau$ <sub>T</sub> shortening trend. In detail, the thermodynamically bulkier  $T_1$  exciton by clustering a large number of solvent

molecules is strongly deactivated by the solvent attack in the solvent core to shorten  $\tau_T$ .

## **Conclusions**

In this study, for the first time, we realized the significance of hydrostatic-pressure-induced intramolecular SF behavior. This was demonstrated using the biphenyl-linked pentacene dimer as a model SF material, in which hydrostatic-pressurizationbased solvent-induced property changes are key factors. Although remarkable conformational changes in the dimer were not observed, through hydrostatic pressure steady-state spectrometry, the fluorescence and triplet absorption lifetime measurements enabled us to recognize that the rates of the correlated triplet pairs from the singlet were greatly accelerated by changing the hydrostatic pressure in toluene only. This revealed that the desolvation process in polar solvents plays an important role in the SF dynamics. More importantly, we have shown that the entire process of SF involving fission (S<sub>0</sub> + S<sub>1</sub>  $\rightarrow$ TT) and dissociation (TT  $\rightarrow$  2T<sub>1</sub>) under hydrostatic pressure can be precisely controlled by not only kinetics in the transition state but also thermodynamics in the equilibria on the basis of microenvironmental desolvation and solvent reorientation. Finally, it should be emphasized that by using hydrostatic pressure as an external stimulus, the dynamic control concept of intramolecular SF kinetics observed in this study can be further expanded to other SF scaffolds and relevant systems that are difficult to control in both ground and excited states. Edge Article<br>
Solvent cost to shorten specifically the solvent annal, in the **Hydrostatic pressure specifically** distant and<br>
Solvent cost to shorten specifically distant and the procedure of the procedure of the procedur

### Materials and methods

#### Materials

All commercial reagents and solvents were used without further purification. Sample solutions dissolved in spectroscopic grade toluene, methylcyclohexane (MCH), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were deaerated by five freeze-pump-thaw cycles saturated with  $N_2$  for fluorescence lifetime measurements or by Ar bubbling for nanosecond transient absorption (nsTA) measurements. The SF-based material (Pc-BP-Pc) was synthesized according to the literature.<sup>17</sup> Pc-ref was commercially available.

#### Instruments

UV/vis and fluorescence spectra were recorded in a highpressure cell (path length: 2 mm) by using a JASCO V-650 or a JASCO FP-8500. Fluorescence lifetimes were measured in a high-pressure cell by a Hamamatsu Quantaurus-Tau single photon counting apparatus fitted with an LED light source  $(\lambda_{ex})$  $= 405$  nm). Nanosecond transient absorption (nsTA) measurements were performed by using a Unisoku TSP-2000 flash spectrometer-pump pulse source: Surelite-I Nd:YAG (Continuum, 4–6 ns fwhm) laser with the second harmonic at 532 nm, monitor light source: xenon lamp (150 W), and detector: photomultiplier tube. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Pc-BP-Pc was recorded on an ECS-400 spectrometer.

#### Hydrostatic pressure spectroscopy

Steady-state UV/vis absorption/excitation fluorescence spectroscopy and fluorescence lifetime decay measurement were conducted using a custom-built high-pressure apparatus.<sup>28,45</sup> As the process has previously reported in detail in a previous study, here, we briefly describe this. A quartz inner cell was filled with the sample solution, and then the cell was set into the outer cell, where sapphire or quartz windows were fitted. A tightly closed outer cell, which was hydrostatically pressurized by water, was placed in the spectroscopic apparatus (Fig. S1a–e†).

#### Hydrostatic pressure nsTA

The outer cell was placed on the nsTA optical system, which allowed us to apply hydrostatic pressure in an appropriate manner (Fig. S1f†). The T<sub>1</sub> quantum yield ( $\Phi$ <sub>T</sub>) of a toluene solution of Pc-BP-Pc measured in this optical system under an atmospheric pressure (0.1 MPa) was 176%, which is identical to that  $(\Phi_T = 176\%)^{17}$  of a THF solution observed in a regular cuvette at 0.1 MPa. This proves the validity of the nsTA measurements under hydrostatic pressure using the new optical system prepared in this study.

#### Determination of  $S_1$  quantum yield  $(\Phi_S)$  of Pc-BP-Pc

Absolute S<sub>1</sub> quantum yields  $\Phi_{P0}$  ( $\Phi_{S}$  at 0.1 MPa; 0.0140 in toluene,  $0.0514$  in MCH) were evaluated using a spectrofluorometer (FP-8500) fitted with an integrating sphere at 0.1 MPa, and then relative  $S_1$  quantum yields can be determined using the following eqn  $(5):^{46}$ 

$$
\Phi_{\rm S} = \Phi_{\rm P_0} \frac{A_{\rm P_0}}{A_{\rm P}} \frac{I_{\rm P_0}}{I_{\rm P}} \frac{n_{\rm P}^2}{n_{\rm P_0}^2} \frac{D_{\rm P}}{D_{\rm P_0}} \tag{5}
$$

where  $A$ ,  $I$ ,  $n$ , and  $D$  are the absorbance at the excitation wavelength, intensity of the excitation light, refractive index, and area ratio in the fluorescence spectrum, respectively (Tables S7 and S8†). The data for A were extracted from Fig. 2a and S5a.† The data for  $I_P/I_{P_0}$  were 1 because the measurements were performed at the same excitation wavelength (Fig. 2c and S6a†). The refractive indices used under atmospheric pressure  $(n_{\mathrm{P_o}})$ were identical to the values reported in a previous study,<sup>47</sup> and the refractive indices under pressure  $(n_P)$  were calculated using the Eykman equation (eqn (6)) from the change in the density of each solvent with respect to pressure:  $48,49$ 

$$
\frac{n^2 - 1}{n + 0.4} \frac{1}{d} = C
$$
 (6)

Constant  $C$  was calculated using a known value.<sup>47</sup> The data for  $D$  were obtained by fitting the area of the fluorescence spectra in toluene (Fig. 2c) and MCH (Fig. S6a†) using the MATLAB software with a three-component Gaussian function (eqn (7)) (see Fig. S20 and S21 and Tables S9 and S10†):

$$
A_{P}(x) = \int \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \exp\left(-((x - b_{i})/c_{i})^{2}\right)
$$
 (7)

#### Determination of the TT quantum yield  $(\Phi_{TT})$  of Pc-BP-Pc

The  $\Phi_{TT}$  values were calculated using eqn (8) (see Table S11†):

$$
\frac{k_{\text{SF,app}}}{k_0 + k_{\text{SF,app}}} = \Phi_{\text{TT}} \tag{8}
$$

where the data for  $k_0$  and  $k_{SF,app}$  were extracted from Tables S2, S3 and S5,† respectively.

#### Determination of  $T_1$  quantum yield  $(\Phi_T)$  of Pc-BP-Pc

The  $\Phi_{T,\text{Pc-BP-Pc}}$  value was calculated as the relative  $\Phi_{T}$  quantum yield (see Tables S12 and S13†) in relation to that of zinc tetraphenylporphyrin (ZnTPP) or zinc tetratolylporphyrin (ZnTTP), using the following eqn  $(9)$ :<sup>17</sup>

$$
\Phi_{\text{T,PC-BP-PC}} = \frac{\Delta A_{\text{PC-BP-PC}}}{\Delta A_{\text{ZnTPP}}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{T,ZnTTP}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{T,PC-BP-PC}}} \frac{\text{Abs}_{(532 \text{ nm}, \text{ZnTPP})}}{\text{Abs}_{(532 \text{ nm}, \text{PC-BP-PC})}} \Phi_{\text{T,ZnTTP}}
$$
(9)

where  $\Phi_{\text{T}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\text{T}}$ ,  $\Delta A$  and Abs are the T<sub>1</sub> quantum yield, excitation coefficient, delta absorbance in nsTA, and absorbance at 532 nm in the steady-state absorption measurements, respectively.  $\Phi_{\text{T,ZnTTP}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\text{T,ZnTTP}}$  were acquired from a previous study<sup>50</sup> ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} =$ 532 nm,  $\lambda_{obs} = 470$  nm in toluene;  $\Phi_{T,ZnTTP} = 0.88$ ,  $\varepsilon_{T,ZnTTP} = 69$ 000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\varepsilon_{T,\text{Pe-BP-Pc}}$  from another paper<sup>17</sup> ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} =$ 532 nm,  $\lambda_{\rm obs} = 516$  nm in THF;  $\varepsilon_{\rm T,ZnTPP} = 54\,900 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ ).  $\Delta A_{\text{ZnTPP}}$  was observed at 470 nm and  $\Delta A_{\text{Pe-BP-Pc}}$  was observed at 521 nm for toluene and 516 nm for MCH, taking into account the solvent-induced shift of the excited-state absorption  $T_1 - T_n$  band (Fig. 4a). Data of Abs(532 nm,ZnTPP) and Abs(532 nm,Pc-BP-Pc) are shown in Fig. S23b, 2a, and S5a,† respectively. Chemical Science Forms are a strengthed on 23 Februar 2023. Downloaded on 23 Februar 2023. Downloaded under a Creative Commons Attribution 2023. The strengtheness Articles. Are the strengtheness Articles. The strengthenes

### Data availability

The data supporting this article have been uploaded as part of the ESI.†

### Author contributions

G. F. initiated and supervised the whole study. G. F. and T. H. designed the project and the experiments. T. K. performed the spectroscopic experiments. S. N. prepared the materials. M. H. designed and prepared the foundation for the transient absorption spectrometry under hydrostatic pressure. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr Kiyoshi Miyata of Kyushu University for his constructive discussions. This work was supported by Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No. 19H02746 to G. F.) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers No. JP20KK0120 and JP21H01908 to T. H.

## References

- 1 M. B. Smith and J. Michl, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 6891–6936.
- 2 K. Miyata, F. S. Conrad-Burton, F. L. Geyer and X.-Y. Zhu, Chem. Rev., 2019, 119, 4261–4292.
- 3 T. Ullrich, D. Munz and D. M. Guldi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 3485–3518.
- 4 Z. Yang, Z. Mao, Z. Xie, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Zhao, J. Xu, Z. Chi and M. P. Aldred, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 915–1016.
- 5 J. Mei, N. L. C. Leung, R. T. K. Kwok, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 11718–11940.
- 6 N. Yanai and N. Kimizuka, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 2487– 2495.
- 7 T. W. Bell and N. M. Hext, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2004, 33, 589–598.
- 8 L. You, D. Zha and E. V. Anslyn, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 7840– 7892.
- 9 G. Fukuhara, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2020, 42, 100340.
- 10 M. Einzinger, T. Wu, J. F. Kompalla, H. L. Smith, C. F. Perkinson, L. Nienhaus, S. Wieghold, D. N. Congreve, A. Kahn, M. G. Bawendi and M. A. Baldo, Nature, 2019, 571, 90–94.
- 11 P. D. Reusswig, D. N. Congreve, N. J. Thompson and M. A. Baldo, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2012, 101, 113304.
- 12 T. Saegusa, H. Sakai, H. Nagashima, Y. Kobori, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 14720–14727.
- 13 J. J. Burdett, D. Gosztola and C. J. Bardeen, J. Chem. Phys., 2011, 135, 214508.
- 14 M. W. B. Wilson, A. Rao, K. Johnson, S. Gélinas, R. di Pietro, J. Clark and R. H. Friend, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16680– 16688.
- 15 S. Nakamura, H. Sakai, M. Fuki, Y. Kobori, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2021, 12, 6457–6463.
- 16 X. Zhao, J. P. O'Connor, J. D. Schultz, Y. J. Bae, C. Lin, R. M. Young and M. R. Wasielewski, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2021, 125, 6945–6954.
- 17 T. Sakuma, H. Sakai, Y. Araki, T. Mori, T. Wada, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2016, 120, 1867–1875.
- 18 A. M. Alvertis, S. Lukman, T. J. H. Hele, E. G. Fuemmeler, J. Feng, J. Wu, N. C. Greenham, A. W. Chin and A. J. Musser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 17558–17570.
- 19 A. Aster, F. Zinna, C. Rumble, J. Lacour and E. Vauthey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 2361–2371.
- 20 C. Grieco, G. S. Doucette, R. D. Pensack, M. M. Payne, A. Rimshaw, G. D. Scholes, J. E. Anthony and J. B. Asbury, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 16069–16080.
- 21 M. Dvořák, S. K. K. Prasad, C. B. Dover, C. R. Forest, A. Kaleem, R. W. MacQueen, A. J. Petty II, R. Forecast, J. E. Beves, J. E. Anthony, M. J. Y. Tayebjee, A. Widmer-Cooper, P. Thordarson and T. W. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 13749–13758.
- 22 J. Zhang, H. Sakai, K. Suzuki, T. Hasobe, N. V. Tkachenko, I.-Y. Chang, K. Hyeon-Deuk, H. Kaji, T. Teranishi and M. Sakamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 17388–17394.
- 23 H. Sakai, K. Yoshino, Y. Shoji, T. Kajitani, J. Pu, T. Fukushima, T. Takenobu, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2022, 126, 9396–9406.
- 24 A. J. Tilley, R. D. Pensack, E. L. Kynaston, G. D. Scholes and D. S. Seferos, Chem. Mater., 2018, 30, 4409–4421.
- 25 L. Catti, H. Narita, Y. Tanaka, H. Sakai, T. Hasobe, N. V. Tkachenko and M. Yoshizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 9361–9367.
- 26 A. Drljaca, C. D. Hubbard, R. van Eldik, T. Asano, M. V. Basilevsky and W. J. le Noble, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 2167–2289.
- 27 J. L. Silva, A. C. Oliveira, T. C. R. G. Vieira, G. A. P. de Oliveira, M. C. Suarez and D. Foguel, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 7239– 7267.
- 28 H. Mizuno and G. Fukuhara, Acc. Chem. Res., 2022, 55, 1748– 1762.
- 29 F. A. Bovey and S. S. Yanari, Nature, 1960, 186, 1042–1044.
- 30 P. C. Johnson and H. W. Offen, J. Chem. Phys., 1972, 56, 1638–1642.
- 31 K. Hara and K. Obara, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1985, 117, 96–98.
- 32 K. Hara, T. Arese and J. Osugi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 1968–1972.
- 33 K. Hara, H. Suzuki and W. Rettig, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1988, 145, 269–272.
- 34 R. Lee, J. A. K. Howard, M. R. Probert and J. W. Steed, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 4300–4311.
- 35 E. F. O'Bannon III, Z. Jenei, H. Cynn, M. J. Lipp and J. R. Jeffries, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 2018, 89, 111501.
- 36 Y. Sagara and T. Kato, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 605–610.
- 37 M. Krieg, G. Fläschner, D. Alsteens, B. M. Gaub, W. H. Roos, G. J. L. Wuite, H. E. Gaub, C. Gerber, Y. F. Dufrêne and D. J. Müller, Nat. Rev. Phys., 2019, 1, 41–57.
- 38 Y. Takeda, H. Mizuno, Y. Okada, M. Okazaki, S. Minakata, T. Penfold and G. Fukuhara, ChemPhotoChem, 2019, 3, 1203–1211.
- 39 K. Nakasha and G. Fukuhara, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2020, 2, 2303–2310.
- 40 G. S. Doucette, H.-T. Huang, J. M. Munro, K. T. Munson, C. Park, J. E. Anthony, T. Strobel, I. Dabo, J. V. Badding and J. B. Asbury, Cell Rep. Phys. Sci., 2020, 1, 100005.
- 41 S. Nakamura, H. Sakai, H. Nagashima, M. Fuki, K. Onishi, R. Khan, Y. Kobori, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2021, 125, 18287–18296.
- 42 H. Sakai, R. Inaya, H. Nagashima, S. Nakamura, Y. Kobori, N. V. Tkachenko and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2018, 9, 3354–3360. Edge Article<br>
21 H. Sakai, K. Volume, V. Suigh, T. Kajiani, J. Pu, 38 Y. Takada, H. Mouno, Y. Okazah, S. Munder, A. Munder<br>
2021 A. Furthelm, T. This article. Dependent on 2021 are articles. The Suid-African Commons Artic
	- 43 Y. Kobori, M. Fukui, S. Nakamura and T. Hasobe, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2020, 124, 9411–9419.
	- 44 S. Lukman, K. Chen, J. M. Hodgkiss, D. H. P. Turban, N. D. M. Hine, S. Dong, J. Wu, N. C. Greenham and A. J. Musser, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 13622.
	- 45 A. J.-L. Ayitou, G. Fukuhara, E. Kumarasamy, Y. Inoue and J. Sivaguru, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 4327–4334.
	- 46 J. N. Demas and G. A. Crosby, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 991– 1024.
	- 47 B. González, I. Domínguez, E. J. González and A. Domínguez, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2010, 55, 1003–1011.
	- 48 M. J. Assael, H. M. T. Avelino, N. K. Dalaouti, J. M. N. A. Fareleira and K. R. Harris, Int. J. Thermophys., 2001, 22, 789–799.
	- 49 M. Dakkach, G. Rubio-Pérez, F. E. M. Alaoui, N. Muñoz-Rujas, F. Aguilar and E. A. Montero, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2020, 65, 4892–4904.
	- 50 T. H. Tran-Thi, C. Desforge, C. Thiec and S. Gaspard, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 1226–1233.