

Showcasing research from Professor Li-Long Dang's laboratory, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Henan province, China.

Highly selective synthesis and near-infrared photothermal conversion of metalla-Borromean ring and [2]catenane assemblies

The novel Borromean ring and [2]catenane could be constructed based on two rigid alkynyl pyridine ligands with/without methyl groups through π - π stacking interactions, the electron density and the steric hindrance of the central arene ring units of which resulted in distinct assembly processes. Besides, the π - π stacking interactions also promote nonradiative transitions and trigger photothermal conversion. This study provides a new strategy to construct valuable half-sandwich-based NIR photothermal conversion materials while also providing promising candidates for the further development of materials science.

As featured in:



See Li-Long Dang,
Guo-Xin Jin et al.,
Chem. Sci., 2022, **13**, 5130.



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 5130

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Highly selective synthesis and near-infrared photothermal conversion of metalla-Borromean ring and [2]catenane assemblies†

Li-Long Dang,‡*^{ab} Ting-Ting Li,‡^{ac} Ting-Ting Zhang,^a Ying Zhao,^a Tian Chen,^a Xiang Gao,^b Lu-Fang Ma ^a and Guo-Xin Jin *^b

Although the selective synthesis of complicated supramolecular architectures has seen significant progress in recent years, the exploration of the properties of these complexes remains a fascinating challenge. Herein, a series of new supramolecular topologies, metalla[2]catenanes and Borromean ring assemblies, were constructed based on appropriate Cp^*Rh building blocks and two rigid alkynyl pyridine ligands (**L1**, **L2**) via coordination-driven self-assembly. Interestingly, minor differences between the two rigid alkynyl pyridine ligands with/without organic substituents led to products with dramatically different topologies. Careful structural analysis showed that π - π stacking interactions play a crucial role in stabilizing these [2]catenanes and Borromean ring assemblies, while also promoting nonradiative transitions and triggering photothermal conversion in both the solution and the solid states. These results were showcased through comparative studies of the NIR photothermal conversion efficiencies of the Borromean ring assemblies, [2]catenanes and metallarectangles, which exhibited a wide range of photothermal conversion efficiencies (12.64–72.21%). The influence of the different Cp^*Rh building blocks on the NIR photothermal conversion efficiencies of their assemblies was investigated. Good photothermal conversion properties of the assemblies were also found in the solid state. This study provides a new strategy to construct valuable half-sandwich-based NIR photothermal conversion materials while also providing promising candidates for the further development of materials science.

Received 23rd January 2022

Accepted 4th April 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc00437b

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

In recent decades, supramolecular coordination chemistry has made immense progress in the synthesis of highly complex structures and their potential prospects in applications, such as molecular machines, biomimetic materials, photothermal effects, among others.^{1–5} Thereby, a series of complicated coordination supramolecular topologies, such as metalla[2–4]catenanes,^{6–12} rotaxanes,^{13–17} molecular cages,^{18–23} and various knots,^{24–31} have been successfully prepared according to a range

of construction strategies by an ever-growing group of scientists. These synthetic strategies include hydrogen bonding induction,^{32–35} metal-ion-templated self-assembly and template-free self-assembly methods, with the latter two representing the most commonly used synthetic methods.²⁴ The impressive syntheses of a number of intricate topologies, such as [2, 3, 4]catenanes,^{36,37} 8₁₉,³⁸ +3₁#+3₁#+3₁,³⁹ granny,⁴⁰ 5₁,⁴¹ 5₂,⁴² and 7₄ knots,⁴³ underlines the importance of metal-ion-directed self-assembly, and has led to a boom in the development of supramolecular chemistry.

Alternatively, template-free self-assembly strategies have shown significant advantages in the synthesis and structural transformation of aesthetically pleasing supramolecular structures, thanks mainly to the dynamic and reversible nature of metal-ligand coordination. Using this strategy, a series of higher-order topologies and their structural responses have now been explored. Fujita and coworkers reported a number of catenanes and a 7₁ knot through the coordination of Ag^+ ions and pyridine peptides, but their structural transformations were not studied.^{34,35} The group of Jin has reported the selective construction of a series of intricate structures, such as molecular Borromean ring assemblies,^{44–51} Solomon links,^{52–54} 3₁,⁵⁵ double trefoil,⁵⁶ and 4₁ knots,⁵⁷ and [2, 3, 4]catenanes.^{24,58} The topological transformations between these structures were

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Luoyang Normal University, Henan Province Function-Oriented Porous Materials Key Laboratory, Luoyang 471934, P. R. China

^bShanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative Materials; State Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering of Polymers, Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, P. R. China. E-mail: gxjin@fudan.edu.cn

^cCollege of Chemistry and Bioengineering (Guangxi Key Laboratory of Electrochemical and Magnetochemical Functional Materials), Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2132803 (1), 2132804 (3b), 2132805 (5b), 2163622 (7) and 2132807 (8) and 2142719 (10). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: [10.1039/d2sc00437b](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc00437b)

‡ These authors contributed equally: Li-Long Dang, Ting-Ting Li.



explored in detail through concentration-, solvent- and host-guest chemistry effects or *via* the chemical reactivity of the metal ions and organic ligands.^{47,59} After careful analysis of the structural characteristics of these supramolecular topologies, we have found that although a number of different forces, such as hydrogen bonding interactions,⁵⁷ hydrophobic effects, and others,⁴⁹ play diverse roles in the formation of these complexes, the decisive factor in the generation of these structures is generally π - π stacking interactions. These topological transformations are mainly induced through the reduction or enhancement of these accumulated interactions. Therefore, detailed studies of the π - π stacking interactions in these assemblies are critical to the advancement of their supramolecular chemistry.

It has been established that three conditions need to be satisfied for the formation of π - π stacking interactions: (i) two strong electron-rich conjugated planes or alternating electron-donor and electron-acceptor conjugated planes; (ii) a suitable parallel distance between the planes (3.4–4.2 Å); and (iii) external forces are best removed to avoid the interference of steric hindrance. If these three conditions are met simultaneously, π - π stacking interactions can be realized and complicated topologies might be formed. Previous reports of supramolecular topologies have also revealed that π - π stacking can promote nonradiative transitions and trigger photothermal conversion in both the solution and the solid state,^{60–62} which inspired us to explore the photothermal conversion abilities of the complicated topologies prepared in our laboratories.

In view of the requirements for the formation of π - π stacking interactions, two new rigid ligands were selected: 4,4'–((2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-phenylene)bis(ethyne-2,1-diyl))

dipyridine (**L1**, strong electron-rich center and larger steric hindrance) and 1,4-bis(pyridin-4-ylethynyl)benzene (**L2**, weak electron-rich center and little steric hindrance) (Fig. 1). By comparing the complexes built from ligands **L1** and **L2**, we found that ligand **L1** could result in strong accumulation effects and generate more intricate structures, promoting nonradiative transitions and triggering efficient photothermal conversion.

The two similar, rigid alkynyl pyridine ligands (**L1**, **L2**) were subsequently utilized to prepare metal-directed assemblies. The ligands differ only in their central phenylene group: **L2** has a simple C₆H₄ group at its centre, while **L1** contains a tetramethylphenylene group (C₆Me₄). By combining these two ligands with the appropriate Cp*⁺Rh building blocks (**E1**–**E5**), three kinds of novel supramolecular topologies were constructed: metallarectangles, [2]catenanes, and Borromean ring assemblies. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis showed that the tetramethylphenylene group of **L1** allows self-accumulation, resulting in the formation of [2]catenanes by combination with **E2** or **E3**. Meanwhile, the assembly of **L2** with **E2** or **E3** under the same conditions resulted in simple metallarectangles in both cases. The building block **E4**, which has a larger conjugated plane, leads to a Borromean ring assembly with **L2**, while with the larger **L1** a [2]catenane was obtained. When the larger building block **E5** was used, two new Borromean rings were obtained based on ligands **L1** and **L2**, which might be due to the Rh–Rh nonbonding distance (11.8 Å) being large enough to avoid the steric hindrance from the methyl groups of **L1**, thereby allowing the formation of π - π stacking between central phenyl unit of **L1** and **E5**. In addition, good photothermal conversion properties of the assemblies were found in both the solution and the solid state. Remarkably, the NIR photothermal conversion efficiency increased as the π - π stacking increased in the solution state, and a wide range of photothermal conversion efficiencies (12.64–72.21%) were observed. This work demonstrates that by altering the substituents at the backbone of the bridge ligands, the electron density and the steric hindrance of the central arene ring unit is varied, allowing a number of distinct assembly processes.

Results and discussion

Self-assembly of tetranuclear macrocycles **1** and **2** based on **E1**

Under dark conditions, two experiments were carried out whereby [Cp*₂Rh₂(BiBzlm)][Cl]₂ (**E1**) with AgOTf (2.0 equiv.) were in a mixed CH₃OH/DMF solution (5 : 1 v/v), followed by the addition of ligand **L1** or **L2**, resulting in the tetranuclear metallarectangles **1** and **2** (yield: 88.2% for **1** and 83.4% for **2**; Scheme S1†), respectively. The structures of **1** and **2** were demonstrated clearly by NMR spectroscopy and/or X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 2).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** in CD₃OD showed doublets at δ 7.76 and 6.99 ppm and a singlet at δ 2.14 ppm corresponding to the protons of **L1**, while the protons of BiBzlm appeared as two multiplet peaks at δ 8.10–8.08 and 7.56–7.55 ppm (Fig. S3, ESI†). The ¹H DOSY NMR spectrum of **1** showed a single diffusion coefficient ($D = 2.81 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), suggesting that only one stoichiometry of assembly was generated (Fig. S5, ESI†).




Fig. 1 Features of ligands used in this work for synthesizing interlocked topologies; (b) topological representation of interlocked complexes prepared in this work; (c) dinuclear building blocks applied frequently by our research group.






Fig. 2 Molecular structure of complex **1**: (a) top view, (b) side view. Most hydrogen atoms, anions, solvent molecules and disordered elements are omitted for clarity (N, blue; O, red; C, gray; Rh, aqua).


Single crystals of complex **1** were acquired by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into the methanol mother liquor. **1** was determined to be a tetranuclear metallarectangle by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 2). The two binuclear edge units (**E1**) are linked by two dipyridyl ligands **L1**, accompanied by short Rh–Rh nonbonding distances (5.56 Å) and long Rh–Rh nonbonding distances (20.43 Å). Moreover, the shortest distance between two phenyl units of ligands **L1** is *ca.* 3.53 Å. This small internal space in **1** likely hinders the generation of interlocked metallarectangles or other more complicated species. The same principle could also be applied to complex **2**, the NMR spectrum of which reflected the formation of a tetranuclear metallarectangle (Fig. S6–S8†).

The selective construction of [2]catenanes based on **E2**, **E3**

The presence of four electron-donating methyl units in **L1** was predicted to enhance the electron density of benzene unit, thus

promoting the formation of π – π stacking interactions more than in the phenylene-containing ligand **L2** and resulting in the generation of a more complicated topology. Two longer building blocks, **E2**, **E3** (Rh–Rh nonbonding distances: 7.93 Å, 8.36 Å, respectively), were chosen to react with ligand **L1** in methanol. Each mixture was stirred for 8 h, resulting in brown and dark brown solutions, respectively. A 1 : 1 mixture of methanol and isopropyl ether as transition layer solution was gradually added to the above solutions until the transition layer became colorless (Scheme 1), at which point an equivalent volume of isopropyl ether was added. Fortuitously, a series of crystalline complexes based on **E2** were acquired in high yields (88.5%).

The crystals were initially added to CD₃OD to observe their solution behavior. At low concentrations (*ca.* 0.5 mM), the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated a simple set of signals. Similar to compound **1**, there are two doublet signals at 8.29, 7.59 ppm and a singlet at 5.67 ppm in the aromatic region, corresponding to the signals of the **L1** pyridine and **E2** phenylene ring protons. The signals for the central –CH₃ groups of **L1** and the Cp* protons were found at 2.34 and 1.70 ppm, reflecting the formation of the monorectangle complex **3a** (Fig. S9–S11†). The concentration was then gradually increased and changes in the NMR signals were observed. The initial signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum slowly decreased in intensity, while a set of new signals appeared and rose with increasing concentration, suggesting the transformation from a simple monorectangle to an intricate complex **3b** (Fig. 4). Finally, the initial signals almost disappeared completely, suggesting transformation of the monorectangle complex into the intricate structure **3b**, which was analysed by the ¹H–¹H COSY and ¹H–¹H DOSY NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S18†). All proton resonances were found to be split into two signals rather than the simple resonances previously observed for **3a**. The resonances belonging to the pyridinyl protons were recorded as four doublets at 8.42, 8.35, 7.63, and



Scheme 1 Synthesis of metallarectangles **3a**, **4a**, **6**, **7**, [2]catenanes **3b**, **4b** and the transformations between **3a** and **3b**, **4a** and **4b**.



7.59 ppm with identical intensity. Besides, two sets of signals were observed for the Cp^* protons at 1.74 and 1.69 ppm in an intensity ratio of 1 : 1. All ^1H NMR signal assignments were supported by ^1H - ^1H COSY NMR data (Fig. S15, S16 \dagger). Furthermore, the ^1H - ^1H DOSY NMR spectrum of **3b** (Fig. S17 \dagger) confirmed that all aromatic and Cp^* proton signals featured a single diffusion constant ($D = 2.94 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), suggesting that all resonances belong to just one assembly. The results are reasonable according to Le Chatelier's principle, *i.e.* changes in concentration should promote a reversible conversion between the catenane structure and the corresponding metallarectangle due to the dynamic metal-ligand bonds and weak interactions between the subunits. In general, coordination-driven self-assembly favors the formation of interlocked metallasupramolecules at high concentrations, while disfavoring interlocked structures at lower concentrations. This assumption was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study with crystals of **3b**, confirming the formation of a metalla[2]catenane (Fig. 5).

Nevertheless, single crystals of **3b** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained at high concentration (20.0 mM in methanol) by slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into a saturated methanolic solution of **3b**. The molecular structure determination confirmed the formation of the interlocked metalla[2]catenane. Complex **3b** is stabilized by strong sandwich-type π - π stacking interactions between the four phenyl moieties of **L1** from two metallarectangles, with distances between the benzene ring planes of 3.72, 3.59, and 3.63 Å, respectively (Fig. 5c). Along with the NMR spectroscopic data, ESI-MS also indicated the presence of complex **3b** in solution: $[\text{3b-3OTf}]^{3+}$ ($m/z = 1915.19$) (Fig. 3a, S61 \dagger).

Recently, a number of experimental reports on self-assembled architectures have indicated that the relatively rigid yet dynamic nature of coordination bonds in supramolecular structures provide distinct advantages for the study of supramolecular transformations. A wide range of external stimuli, such as solvent, concentration, and guest templates, have been shown to trigger transformations within metallasupramolecular architectures. We have also reported solvent-




Fig. 4 The ^1H NMR spectra of metallamacrocycle **3a** (500 MHz, ppm) in CD_3OD (0.5 mmol), showing the transformation of metallamacrocycle **3a** into metalla[2]catenane **3b** with increasing concentration (0.5–15.0 mM).




Fig. 5 (a) Chemical structure of [2]catenane **3b**; (b) top view of the structure of **3b**; (c) solid-state structure of **3b**, showing the π - π stacking interactions. (d) Side view of the structure of **3b**.




Fig. 3 Calculated (bottom, red) and experimental (top, blue) ESI-MS spectra of the 3+ ion of [2]catenane **3b** (a) and the 2+ ion of [2]catenane **5b** (b).

induced supramolecular transformations between metalla[2] catenanes and the corresponding simple complexes (Fig. 6).^{8,24}

A ^1H NMR titration experiment was carried out to further characterize the transformation process from **3b** to **3a** by adjusting the proportion of DMF-d_7 and CD_3OD in the solution. Firstly, a 20.00 mM solution of **3b** in pure CD_3OD was prepared, and then DMF-d_7 solution was added gradually ($\text{CD}_3\text{OD}/\text{DMF-d}_7$ ratio from 6 : 0 to 6 : 7, v/v). The ^1H NMR spectra (Fig. 6, S19, S20 \dagger) clearly showed the replacement of the proton resonances of **3b** by a set of new, simple signals for **3a** upon addition of DMF-d_7 , ultimately indicating that **3b** had almost completely disappeared and only monorectangle **3a** was present in the solution. This transformation was ascribed to a weakening of the π - π stacking interactions by the DMF molecules.

NMR spectroscopic studies of the concentration effects with the complex based on **E3** were also carried out. At low






Fig. 6 The ^1H NMR spectra of metallacyclic complex **3b** (500 MHz, ppm) in CD_3OD (20.0 mmol), showing the transformation of **3b** into the metallamacrocyclic **3a** upon addition of DMF-d_7 .

concentration, the ^1H NMR spectra show a set of simple signals. The two doublets at 8.40, 7.52 ppm and a singlet at 2.32 ppm derive from the pyridyl and methyl groups of ligand **L1**, and the two singlets at 7.26, 1.62 ppm are attributed to the phenylene ring and Cp^* protons of **E3** (Fig. S21–S23 \dagger). Moreover, the NMR spectra also clearly displayed the disappearance of the simple signals and the appearance of another set of signals, suggesting the structural transformation from simple metallarectangle **4a** to [2]catenane **4b** based on concentration effects (Fig. S24, S25 \dagger).

Meanwhile, the respective reactions of **E2** and **E3** with **L2** were performed in methanol solution, and after stirring for 8 h, two complexes (**6**, yield: 85.3%; **7**, yield: 82.4%) were obtained. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopic studies were carried out to determine both structures.

The ^1H NMR spectra of **6** and **7** show simple signals regardless of concentration and solvent changes, suggesting the formation of two simple metallarectangles (Fig. S36, S39, S40, S41 \dagger), in contrast to compounds **3b** (Fig. S15 \dagger) and **4b** (Fig. S25 \dagger). Single crystals of **7** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were acquired by slow diffusion of isopropyl ether into its methanol mother liquor. Unlike complexes **3b** and **4b**, **7** was determined to be a stable tetranuclear metallarectangle (Fig. 7) comprising two dinuclear edge units (**E3**) linked by two diphenyl ligands **L2**. The structural analysis showed that the Rh–Rh nonbonding distances were 8.35 Å (short) and 20.50 Å (long). From the perspective of size, the cavity is large enough to allow the formation of π – π interactions between two phenylene groups of **L2** or between the phenylene groups of **L2** and the edge unit **E3**, if another ring was to be threaded inside the rectangle. However, the absence of complicated threaded structures might reflect that the weakly-donating phenylene conjugated unit (*i.e.* without methyl groups) is not conducive to the formation of accumulation interactions. The same principle could also be applied to complex **6**. These results reflect that the tetramethylphenylene unit in **L1** has a stronger donating effect, and is thus more conducive to the formation of π – π stacking interactions, than the simple phenylene group in **L2**.





Fig. 7 Molecular structure of complex **7**. (a) Side view, (b) top view. Most hydrogen atoms, anions, solvent molecules and disordered elements are omitted for clarity (N, blue; O, red; C, gray; Rh, aqua).

The selective construction of molecular [2]catenane and Borromean ring based on **E4**

The building blocks **E3** and **E4** are significantly wider than **E2**, but have similar Rh–Rh distances (8.35 Å for **E3** and 8.46 Å for **E4**). The building block **E4** thus has enough space to allow one **L1** ligand to thread another identical metallarectangle, possibly forming a catenane. Therefore, **E4** was chosen to target a [2]catenane by self-assembly with ligand **L1** in a 1 : 1 molar ratio in methanol solution, providing a brown complex (yield: 90.0%) (Scheme 2). Fortunately, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained and the structure of this complex was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy, ESI-MS, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

Similar to compound **3a**, the initial ^1H NMR spectroscopic signals of this complex were very simple at low concentration (*ca.* 0.5 mM). The ^1H NMR spectrum showed doublets at δ 8.47 and 7.39 ppm corresponding to the pyridyl protons of **L1**, while the two multiplets at 8.75–8.73 ppm and 7.97–7.95 ppm correspond to the arene protons of **E4**. The protons of the methyl groups of **L1** and Cp^* were located at 2.19 and 1.75 ppm, respectively, in line with the formation of the simple rectangle **5a** (Fig. S28–S30 \dagger). Moreover, the ^1H NMR spectrum also showed the presence and enhancement of new signals and the weakening of the initial signals with increasing concentration (Fig. S31, S32 \dagger). However, unlike the transformation from **3a** to



Scheme 2 Directed synthesis of [2]catenane **5b** and Borromean rings assembly **8**.



3b, in which all proton resonances were observed to split into two sets of signals, most of the resonances were found to transform into a new set of simple signals, similar to the transformation between **4a** and **4b**. Thus, for a CD₃OD solution of **5b**, the two pyridinyl doublets of **L1** were observed at 8.56 and 7.31 ppm, showing a slight upfield shift relative to that of **5a** (8.47 and 7.39 ppm). In addition, the two multiplets of **E4** were located at 8.82–8.80 ppm and 8.03–8.01 ppm, while the signal for the Cp* protons was located at 1.76 ppm. However, the resonance for the –CH₃ protons of **L1** were found to be split into two signals at 1.96 and 1.31 ppm, which was further demonstrated by ¹H–¹H DOSY spectroscopy (Fig. S33, S35[†]). Thus, overall, it was very difficult to determine the topology of **5b** merely by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Single crystals of **5b** were acquired by slow diffusion of diethyl ether vapor into a solution of **5b** in methanol and the solid-state structure was demonstrated by X-ray diffraction analysis. The crystal structure of **5b** was refined in the *Pna*/21 space group. The crystal structure unequivocally confirmed the topology of a [2]catenane. Obvious triple π–π stacking interactions were observed in the structure of **5b**, with separations between central units of 3.50, 3.52 and 3.57 Å, respectively. These are smaller than those of compound **3b** (3.72, 3.59 and 3.63 Å), likely reflecting a stronger accumulation effect in the solid state (Fig. 8). ESI-MS data for **5b** indicated that the [2]catenane structure of the complex is retained in solution: [5b–2OTf]²⁺ (*m/z* = 2647.36) (Fig. 3b, S62[†]).

In the ligand **L2**, the central phenylene unit provides little steric hindrance and only a weakly-donating conjugated plane. The single crystal structures of complexes **6**, **7** demonstrate that this ligand is unlikely to allow formation of self-accumulation interactions. However, stacking interactions might be achieved if a strong electron-acceptor unit can pair with the central phenylene group of **L2**. Therefore, **L2** and the dinuclear building block **E4**, which bears a larger conjugative plane, were combined in a 1 : 1 molar ratio in a methanol solution with the addition of a few drops of DMSO, resulting in a brown complex,




Fig. 9 Molecular structure of complex **8**. (a) and (b) Representations showing π–π interactions between **L1** and the NDI groups of **E4**; (c) and (d) simplified and space-filling representations; most hydrogen atoms, anions, solvent molecules and disordered elements are omitted for clarity (N, blue; O, red; C, gray; Rh, aqua).

8 (yield: 80.5%) (Scheme 2). The structure of **8** was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed a lone set of signals, which could be analysed by the combination of ¹H–¹H COSY and ¹H–¹H DOSY spectroscopy (Fig. S44–S46[†]). Changing the concentration did not cause the appearance of new signals or the weakening of the original signals, demonstrating the good stability of complex **8** in methanol. Single crystals **8** suitable for single X-ray determination were obtained and the structure of **8** was confirmed to be Borromean ring complex comprising three mechanically interpenetrating but chemically equivalent rings, each of which adopts a rectangle-like conformation with an average length and width of 20.13 and 8.28 Å, respectively. The three distorted rectangles are held together by donor–acceptor stacking interactions (*ca.* 3.5 Å) between the phenyl unit of **L2** and the electron-deficient **E4** moiety, suggesting that the central phenylene unit of **L2** can form π–π stacking interactions, but requires pairing with strong electron-deficient units, which is consistent with our speculation (Fig. 9).

Self-assembly and response exploration of molecular Borromean rings **9b** and **10** based on **E5**

The structures of complexes **3b**, **4b** and **5b** indicate that π–π stacking interactions play a vital role in the formation of [2]catenanes. Furthermore, stacking interactions might also form between the edge units **E1**, **E2**, **E3** or **E4** and the central phenylene groups of **L1** due to their conjugated planes. However, the small sizes of **E1**, **E2**, **E3** and **E4** (with Rh–Rh separations of 5.56, 7.80, 8.35, 8.46 Å, respectively) were insufficient to avoid the steric hindrance of the four –CH₃ groups in the central phenylene unit of **L1**. Thus, a larger conjugated unit was chosen, **E5**, which bears a longer Rh–Rh nonbonding distance (11.8 Å) and potentially provides enough space to avoid the steric hindrance of the –CH₃ protons, possibly resulting in a more complicated supramolecular structure such as




Fig. 8 (a) Chemical structure of [2]catenane **5b**; (b) top view of the structure of **5b**; (c) solid-state structure of **5b** showing the π–π stacking interaction; (d) side view of structure of **5b**.



a Borromean ring assembly. In addition, the larger conjugated unit **E5** might also form π - π stacking interactions with the ligand **L2**, thus inducing the formation of a new topologically intricate complex.

Thereby, the edge unit **E5** was separately combined with ligands **L1** and **L2** in stirred methanol solutions for 8 h at room temperature, at which point evaporation of solvent and recrystallization provided two species as brown solids (yield: 89.5% for **9b** and 83.6% for **10**) (Scheme 3). The structures of the complexes were confirmed by NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

NMR spectroscopic experiments were initially performed to study the solution behavior of **9b**, in particular to test whether concentration effects might bring about a structural transformation of **9b**. Thus, at a very low concentration in CD_3OD (0.4 mM), the ^1H NMR spectrum of **9b** showed a pair of doublets and a singlet at 8.66, 7.63 and 2.24 ppm, respectively, attributed to the pyridinyl and methyl group protons of the ligand **L1**, which was further confirmed by ^1H - ^1H COSY NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S48†). The resonances for the naphthalenediimide (NDI) and Cp^* protons were located at 8.94 and 1.77 ppm. These data suggested the formation of a simple metallarectangle **9a** (Fig. S49, S50†). The emergence of new NMR signals and the weakening of the original signals was observed at higher concentrations (0.5–22.0 mM), which is consistent with the aforementioned transformations between metallarectangles **3a**, **4a**, **5a** and **3b**, **4b**, **5b**. At high concentration (22.0 mM), the NMR data suggested formation of a topologically complicated complex (**9b**), whereby the resonances for the pyridinyl protons of **L1** ligand were consistent with a metallarectangle but with slight shifts (8.92, 7.74 ppm). Meanwhile, an obvious shift towards high field and splitting of signals occurred for the methyl protons of **L1** ligand at 0.89 and 0.68 ppm. In addition, the NDI proton signal also underwent a significant shift to 8.67 ppm (Fig. S51†). The ^1H - ^1H DOSY NMR spectrum of **9b** showed that the aromatic and Cp^* signals are associated with a single diffusion constant ($D = 7.94 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), suggesting that only one stoichiometry of assembly was generated (Fig. S52†). These results clearly suggest the formation of a complicated complex at high concentrations in methanol.

Single crystals of **9b** were grown by slow diffusion of diethyl ether vapor into a solution of **9b** in methanol and a solid-state


structure was derived from X-ray diffraction analysis. Despite many attempts, the crystal data of **9b** remained poor, but nevertheless confirm its Borromean ring topology rather than that of a [2]- or [3]catenane (Fig. S1†). The three chemically independent and equivalent rings are locked in such a way that no two of the three rings are linked with each other, each of them adopting a distorted rectangle-like conformation. This result confirmed our hypothesis that the space provided by the constituent units is large enough to avoid the van der Waals interactions and allow π - π stacking interactions to form between the central unit of **L1** ligand and the NDI unit of **E5** (Fig. S1†).

Changing the concentration or ratio of methanol to DMF has been shown to induce reversible supramolecular transformations between metalla[2]catenanes and the corresponding monorectangles.^{53,54} This structural interconversion might be due to a weakening of the π - π stacking interactions by changing the concentration or the addition of DMF molecules. This inspired us to explore whether the transformation of metalla-Borromean rings could also occur, given the existence of multiple π - π stacking interactions between **E5** and central unit of **L1**. As expected, the metalla-Borromean ring structure **9b** can be transformed to the corresponding monorectangle **9a** by the dropwise addition of DMF. The ^1H NMR spectral patterns of **9b** in CD_3OD solution revealed obvious changes as DMF-d₇ was added gradually. When the ratio of CD_3OD to DMF-d₇ reached 5 : 6 (v/v), **9b** was nearly completely transformed into **9a**. These results further demonstrated that the structural interconversion came from a weakening of the π - π stacking interactions by the DMF molecules (Fig. S54†). Similar phenomena have been described recently.^{24,35} In addition, structural changes induced by concentration effects were also observed (Fig. S53†).

The Borromean ring topology of complex **10** was found to be similar to those of compounds **8** and **9b** as judged by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis (Fig. 10). Each of the three equivalent rings adopted a configuration with dimensions of 11.81 and 20.53 Å (Rh-Rh nonbonding distances). As expected, **10** is stabilized by strong π - π stacking interactions (3.43, 3.52, 3.61 Å) between the phenylene rings of **L2** and the NDI unit of **E5**. Interestingly, the internal cavity of Borromean ring complex **10** contained a dinuclear Rh-based half-sandwich complex, in which the two rhodium atoms are bridged through four methanol oxygen atoms. This structure demonstrated that the two Cp^* groups of this guest molecule form stable π - π stacking interactions (3.64 Å) with opposite phenylene groups of the **L2** units, respectively, resulting in a stable host-guest complex (Fig. 10a).

The solution behavior of **10** was explored in CD_3OD solution. Similar to Borromean ring complexes **8** and **9b**, the ^1H NMR spectrum of **10** showed a single, simple set of signals, and these were assigned with help from ^1H - ^1H COSY and ^1H - ^1H DOSY spectroscopy (Fig. S55–S57†). No new signals were observed when the concentration in methanol changed from 2.0 mM to 15.0 mM, reflecting the good stability of the Borromean ring complex **10** in methanol, in contrast to **9b**.

A careful structural analysis and ^1H NMR spectroscopic experiment of Borromean assembly **10** reflected that the



Scheme 3 The synthesis of molecular Borromean ring assemblies **9b** and **10**.






Fig. 10 Molecular structure of complex **10**. (a) and (b) Representation showing host-guest chemistry and π - π interactions between L2 and the NDI groups of E5; (c) the cavity inside **10**; (d) simplified representation; most hydrogen atoms, anions, solvent molecules and disordered elements are omitted for clarity (N, blue; O, red; C, gray; Rh, aqua).

templating effect played an important role in the formation and stability of **10**. From the single crystal structure of **10**, two sets of obvious π - π stacking interactions between Cp^*Rh dimer and opposite benzene group of ligand L2 could be observed clearly (3.64 Å), possibly stabilizing the existence of this structure better. And the ^1H NMR spectrum of **10** showed

a single, simple set of signals, and no new signals were observed when the concentration in methanol changed from 2.0 mM to 15.0 mM, also reflecting the good stability of the Borromean ring **10** in methanol. Meanwhile, the addition of polar solvents DMF-d₇ molecules into a CD₃OD solution of Borromean ring **10** also did not cause Borromean structural change (Fig. S59, S60†). These results demonstrated amply the stability of Borromean ring **10**. However, for the Borromean assembly **9b**, no Cp^*Rh unit was not included in its cavity, possibly resulting from steric hindrance effect of methyl groups, displaying no template effect in the Borromean ring **9b**. The ^1H NMR spectroscopic experiment reflected that the concentration and solvent effects both can induce the structural transformation from simple metallarectangle to the Borromean assembly, showing the weaker structural stability of Borromean ring **9b**. These results demonstrated that Cp^*Rh dimer played an important role as a template to stabilize the Borromean structure **10**.

Near-infrared photothermal conversion studies

In our extensive experience with the synthesis of complicated supramolecular topologies, π - π stacking interactions have proven to be a very important factor. These interactions have been suggested to promote nonradiative transitions and to trigger efficient photothermal conversion.⁶⁰ To gain a reliable measure of the influence of π - π stacking in promoting photothermal conversion, we sought to compare compounds of similar compositions but with different extents of π - π interaction. Thereby, the NIR photothermal conversion properties of four topologies based on the L1 ligand were investigated, including tetranuclear metallarectangle **1** (with only a single π -




Fig. 11 (a) NIR thermal images of four topologies in the spectrophotometer cell ($1 \times 1 \times 5$ cm) under 660 nm laser irradiation; (b) absorption in the near-infrared region of the four topologies (800 to 600 nm); (c) NIR photothermal conversion images of crystalline samples of **1**, **3b**, **4b**, **5b** under 0.2 W cm^{-2} laser irradiation; (d) photothermal conversion curves of the four topologies.






Fig. 12 (a) Absorption in the near-infrared region of the four topologies (800 to 600 nm); (b) photothermal conversion curves of the four complexes.

π stacking interaction in the solid state, as shown in Fig. 2) and [2]catenanes **3b**, **4b** and **5b** (with treble π – π stacking interactions, as shown in Fig. 5 and 8). To ensure the same quantity of conjugated phenylene groups, the applied molar ratio of the topologies **1/3b/4b/5b** was 2 : 1 : 1 : 1. The resulting near-infrared photothermal conversion images are shown in Fig. 11.

The temperature of a solution of tetranuclear metallarectangle **1** (0.67 mM in CH_3OH solvent) increased only 2.3 °C (from 20.3 to 23.0 °C, Fig. 12a) under 0.6 W cm^{-2} laser irradiation at a 660 nm wavelength, which was almost equal to the increase of a blank sample (pure CH_3OH solvent without solute, from 20.4 to 22.9 °C, Fig. 8c). Although a single π – π stacking interaction was found in the solid-state structure of **1**, the interaction might not be present in solution due to a lack of sufficient interactions. In addition, for the three [2]catenanes, although they have the same amount of π – π stacking interactions, they have distinct conjugated planes, possibly leading to some differences in photothermal conversion efficiency. As expected, both [2]catenanes **3b** and **4b** showed a significant increase (from 20.5 to 32.5 °C for **3b**, from 20.3 to 33.6 °C for **4b**, Fig. 12a, b, d), corresponding to distinct photothermal conversion efficiencies (48.28% for **3b**, 60.62% for **4b**) (Fig. S63, S64†). A greater temperature difference (20.5 °C) was observed with a solution of [2]catenane **5b** which might be due to the stronger conjugated effects of the E4 unit in **5b**. According to the equations shown below, a 72.21% efficiency was calculated for **5b** (Fig. S65†). From this we can derive a rule of thumb that states that the NIR photothermal conversion efficiency is related not only to the amount of π – π stacking, but also to the conjugated effects of the half-sandwich-based building block units. Firstly, the presence of plentiful π – π stacking interactions promotes nonradiative transitions and triggers photothermal conversion. Secondly, no fluorescence was observed in these compounds when irradiated with 660 nm wavelength light, suggesting very weak radiative transitions. Thus, nonradiative transitions (photothermal conversion) becomes the predominant method of energy release.

Solid photothermal conversion materials have garnered much interest in recent decades, and a range of such solid materials have been studied. Thus, the half-sandwich-based compounds prepared in this work have promise as a new type of advanced materials. The temperature increases of [2]catenanes **3b**, **4b**, **5b** were 25.1 °C (from 22.8 to 47.9 °C), 30.1 °C

(from 23.0 to 53.1 °C), and 38.7 °C (from 23.2 to 61.9 °C), respectively, in 180 s under low-power irradiation (0.4 W cm^{-2} , Fig. 11c). These results are comparable with other top-performing materials reported elsewhere.^{60,61} This research reflects that our half-sandwich complexes have a more efficient photothermal conversion effect in the solid state than in solution.

In addition, the NIR photothermal conversion of **2**, **6**, **7** and **8** was explored. When the respective solution was subjected to laser irradiation (0.6 W cm^{-2}), **2** and **6** (tetranuclear metallarectangles) showed very weak temperature changes (2.5 °C, 3.1 °C), which are almost indistinguishable from a blank solution without solute (2.2 °C). These results are in line with their structures due to the absence of π – π stacking interactions. In contrast, tetranuclear metallarectangle **7** showed a significant temperature change (10.5 °C), corresponding to a photothermal conversion efficiency of 12.64%, which is ascribed to the large conjugated effect of the building block E3 in **7** (Fig. S66†). Borromean ring assembly **8** showed a large temperature increase (15.5 °C; from 20.5 to 34.1 °C, Fig. 12) under 0.6 W cm^{-2} laser irradiation at 660 nm, corresponding to a 30.53% efficiency and reflecting a strong photothermal conversion effect (Fig. S67†). This result further demonstrates that the strong π – π stacking interaction of the Borromean ring assembly (six sets of π – π stacking interactions) promote nonradiative transitions and trigger efficient photothermal conversion (Fig. 12). These results regarding the photothermal conversion of Borromean ring assemblies are very encouraging for the further applications of complicated topologies and provide a clear rule of thumb whereby the photothermal conversion efficiency is related to the number of π – π stacking interactions and the type of dinuclear building block units.

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated the selective synthesis and reversible transformation of three kinds of supramolecular topologies, molecular Borromean ring assemblies, [2]catenanes and metallarectangles, based on two alkynyl ligands with/without methyl groups. The results showed that the introduction of methyl groups into the ligand enhanced the π -donor effects but also increase its size, ultimately leading to two distinct topologies: Borromean ring assemblies and [2]catenanes. In addition, π – π stacking interactions play a crucial role in stabilizing the topologies, while also promoting nonradiative transitions and triggering efficient photothermal conversion. Along these lines, the NIR photothermal conversion of these assemblies was studied both in solution and in the solid state. The conversion efficiencies of metallarectangle **7**, [2]catenanes **3b**, **4b**, **5b** and Borromean ring assembly **8** were determined to be 12.64%, 48.28%, 60.62%, 72.21% and 30.53%, respectively, in methanol. These data clearly reflect that the photothermal conversion efficiency improves as the number of π – π stacking interactions increases, while it is also dependent on the structure of the half-sandwich building block in the solution state. This research highlights that the introduction of electron-donating groups into the conjugated center has a significant



impact on the formation of π – π stacking interactions, leading to the construction of numerous novel and intricate topologies. These results will further inspire the strategic design of topologically complex molecular architectures that were previously inaccessible, and provide promising candidates for materials science applications.

Data availability

Data for this work, including general experimental procedures, characterization data (NMR and ESI-MS spectra) for all new compounds, X-ray data and near-infrared photothermal conversion research are provided in the ESI.†

Author contributions

All authors conceptualized the research. L. L. Dang and G. X. Jin conceived the idea. T. T. Li, T. T. Zhang and Y. Zhao executed the work and analysed the data along with L. L. Dang and G. X. Jin. The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 22101108), Project of Central Plains Science and Technology Innovation Leading Talents of Henan Province (No. 204200510001), and the Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (19DZ2270100).

Notes and references

- 1 R. S. Forgan, J. P. Sauvage and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 5434–5464.
- 2 E. G. Percastegui, T. K. Ronson and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 13480–13544.
- 3 B. H. Northrop, Y.-R. Zheng, K.-W. Chi and P. J. Stang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1554–1563.
- 4 R. Chakrabarty, P. S. Mukherjee and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 6810–6918.
- 5 G. H. Clever and P. Punt, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 2233–2243.
- 6 S. Ibáñez, M. Poyatos and E. Peris, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 1401–1413.
- 7 A. Pöthig and A. Casini, *Theranostics*, 2019, **9**, 3150–3169.
- 8 W. L. Shan, Y. J. Lin, F. E. Hahn and G. X. Jin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5882–5886.
- 9 M. Denis, J. E. M. Lewis, F. Modicom and S. M. Goldup, *Chem.*, 2019, **5**, 1512–1520.
- 10 A. Garcí, Y. Beldjoudi, M. S. Kodaimati, J. E. Hornick, M. T. Nguyen, M. M. Cetin, C. L. Stern, I. Roy, E. A. Weiss and J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 7956–7967.
- 11 J. E. M. Lewis, P. D. Beer, S. J. Loeb and S. M. Goldup, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2577–2591.
- 12 T. Friščić, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3493–3510.
- 13 E. M. G. Jamieson, F. Modicom and S. M. Goldup, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5266–5311.
- 14 M. Denis and S. M. Goldup, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4462–4464.
- 15 A. W. Heard and S. M. Goldup, *Chem.*, 2020, **6**, 994–1006.
- 16 J. E. M. Lewis, R. J. Bordoli, M. Denis, C. J. Fletcher, M. Galli, E. A. Neal, E. M. Rochette and S. M. Goldup, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 3154–3161.
- 17 J. D. Crowley, S. M. Goldup, A. Lee, D. A. Leigh and R. T. McBurney, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1530–1541.
- 18 H. Sepehrpour, W. X. Fu, Y. Sun and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 14005–14020.
- 19 Y. Tamura, H. Takezawa and M. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5504–5508.
- 20 E. G. Percastegui, T. K. Ronson and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 13480–13544.
- 21 A. J. Gosselin, C. A. Rowland and E. D. Bloch, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 8987–9014.
- 22 M. Han, D. M. Engelhard and G. H. Clever, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1848–1860.
- 23 L. Y. Sun, N. Sinha, T. Yan, Y. S. Wang, T. T. Y. Tan, L. Yu, Y. F. Han and F. E. Hahn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5161–5165.
- 24 W. X. Gao, H. J. Feng, B. B. Guo, Y. Lu and G. X. Jin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 6288–6325.
- 25 L. Zhang, J. F. Lemonnier, A. Acocella, M. Calvaresi, F. Zerbetto and D. A. Leigh, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019, **116**, 2452–2457.
- 26 J. F. Ayme, J. E. Beves, C. J. Campbell and D. A. Leigh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1700–1712.
- 27 S. D. P. Fielden, D. A. Leigh and S. L. Woltering, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11166–11194.
- 28 J. F. Ayme, J. E. Beves, C. J. Campbell, G. Gil-Ramírez, D. A. Leigh and A. J. Stephens, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 9812–9815.
- 29 V. Marcos, A. J. Stephens, J. Jaramillo-Garcia, A. L. Nussbaumer, S. L. Woltering, A. Valero, J. F. Lemonnier, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal and D. A. Leigh, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 1555–1559.
- 30 L. Zhang, A. J. Stephens, J. F. Lemonnier, L. Pirvu, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal, C. J. Robinson and D. A. Leigh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3952–3958.
- 31 Y. Inomata, T. Sawada and M. Fujita, *Chem.*, 2020, **6**, 294–303.
- 32 T. Sawada, Y. Inomata, K. Shimokawa and M. Fujita, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5687.
- 33 O. Safarowsky, M. Nieger, R. Fröhlich and F. Vögtle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1616–1618.
- 34 J. F. Ayme, J. E. Beves, C. J. Campbell and D. A. Leigh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1700–1712.
- 35 L. L. Dang, T. T. Li, Z. Cui, D. Sui, L.-F. Ma and G.-X. Jin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 16984–16989.
- 36 T. K. Ronson, Y. J. Wang, K. Baldridge, J. S. Siegel and J. R. Nitschke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 10267–10272.



37 J. J. Danon, A. Krüger, D. A. Leigh, J. F. Lemonnier, A. J. Stephens, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal and S. L. Woltering, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 159–162.

38 L. Zhang, A. J. Stephens, A. L. Nussbaumer, J. F. Lemonnier, P. Jurček, I. J. Vitorica-Yrezabal and D. A. Leigh, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 1083–1088.

39 D. A. Leigh, L. Pirvu and F. Schaufelberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6054–6059.

40 J. F. Ayme, J. E. Beves, D. A. Leigh, R. T. McBurney, K. Rissanen and D. Schultz, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 15–20.

41 D. P. August, R. A. W. Dryfe, S. J. Haigh, P. R. C. Kent, D. A. Leigh, J. F. Lemonnier, Z. L. Li, C. A. Muryn, L. I. Palmer, Y. W. Song, G. F. S. Whitehead and R. J. Young, *Nature*, 2020, **588**, 429–435.

42 D. A. Leigh, J. J. Danon, S. D. P. Fielden, J. F. Lemonnier, G. F. S. Whitehead and S. L. Woltering, *Nat. Chem.*, 2021, **13**, 117–122.

43 H. N. Zhang, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 1119–1125.

44 K. S. Chichak, S. J. Cantrill, A. R. Pease, S. H. Chiu, G. W. V. Cave, J. L. Atwood and J. F. Stoddart, *Science*, 2004, **304**, 1308–1312.

45 J. C. Loren, M. Yoshizawa, R. F. Haldimann, A. Linden and J. S. Siegel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 5702–5705.

46 W. X. Gao, H. J. Feng, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9160–9164.

47 L. Zhang, L. Lin, D. Liu, Y. J. Lin, Z. H. Li and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1653–1660.

48 Y. Lu, H. N. Zhang and G. X. Jin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2148–2158.

49 Y. Lu, D. Liu, Z. Cui, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 360–366.

50 X. G. Yang, X. M. Lu, Z. M. Zhai, J. H. Qin, X. H. Chang, M. L. Han, F. F. Li and L. F. Ma, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 2224–2230.

51 Y. H. Song, N. Singh, J. Jung, H. Kim, E. H. Kim, H. K. Cheong, Y. Kim and K. W. Chi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2007–2011.

52 Z. Cui, Y. Lu, X. Gao, H. J. Feng and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 13667–13671.

53 H. N. Zhang, W. X. Gao, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16057–16063.

54 W. L. Shan, X. Gao, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 5093–5099.

55 L. L. Dang, Z. B. Sun, W. L. Shan, Y. J. Lin, Z. H. Li and G. X. Jin, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2057.

56 L. L. Dang, X. Gao, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1226–1232.

57 H. N. Zhang, W. B. Yu, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 15466–15471.

58 Y. Lu, D. Liu, Y. J. Lin, Z. H. Li, F. E. Hahn and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 12404–12411.

59 Q. Song, Y. Jiao, Z. Q. Wang and X. Zhang, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 24–31.

60 X. Gao, Z. Cui, Y. R. Shen, D. Liu, Y. J. Lin and G. X. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 17833–17842.

61 C. Sun, L. Wen, J. Zeng, Y. Wang, Q. Sun, L. Deng, C. Zhao and Z. Li, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **91**, 81–89.

62 F. Mao, L. Wen, C. Sun, S. Zhang, G. Wang, J. Zeng, Y. Wang, J. Ma, M. Gao and Z. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 11145–11155.

