

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Mater. Chem. Front.*,
2022, 6, 2826MOF-derived bimetallic core-shell catalyst
HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃: high CO₂ conversion
in reverse water gas shift reaction†Huimin Fang,^a Guofeng Zhao,^b Denghui Cheng,^a Jichang Liu,^b Dengpeng Lan,^c Qi Jiang,^a Xuqiang Liu,^a Jianping Ge,^b Zhenliang Xu^a and Haitao Xu^aReceived 6th April 2022,
Accepted 5th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2qm00307d

rsc.li/frontiers-materials

The bicomponent core-shell catalyst HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ was synthesized via the decomposition of In(NO₃)₃/HZSM-5@UIO-66, which was obtained by impregnating HZSM-5@UIO-66 with In(NO₃)₃ solution. The bimetallic oxide particles of ZrO₂-In₂O₃ were formed through the simultaneous decomposition of UIO-66 and In(NO₃)₃, and were anchored to the surface of the HZSM-5 core. The HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ catalyst exhibited 31.1% CO₂ conversion with 96.3% CO selectivity at 400 °C for the reverse water gas shift reaction.

Introduction

With the rapid development of industry and increasing CO₂ emissions, the CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere has increased by 25% over the past 200 years, leading to severe environmental and ecological problems, such as the melting of glaciers, rising sea levels and climate change.¹ Thus, it is vital to utilize CO₂ to produce high-value-added fuels and chemicals for environmental improvement and industrial development. In recent years, green hydrogen has gradually become an economically and environmentally benign renewable energy,² and using it to reduce CO₂ is of great industrial value.³ CO, CH₄ and CH₃OH are three major products from the direct utilization of CO₂. Among them, CO is widely used as a raw material for the further synthesis of alcohols,⁴ olefins,⁵ aromatics,⁶ and other value-added chemicals. Therefore, the hydrogenation of CO₂ to CO is a promising way to reduce CO₂.⁷

Catalysts for the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction are roughly divided into two categories, which are described as follows. Noble metal catalysts (such as Au,⁸ Pt,⁹ Pd,¹⁰ etc.) have a high catalytic activity and stability, but they are very expensive

and generate CH₄ as a non-negligible by-product; and non-noble metal catalysts (such as Fe,¹¹ Zn,¹² Ce,¹³ etc.) are inexpensive but have a poor low-temperature activity. Hence many studies have focused on designing new catalysts to improve the catalytic performance of metals and oxides.¹⁴ Indium oxide (In₂O₃) has attracted extensive attention due to its surface redox properties and excellent activity for CO₂ hydrogenation.^{15–19} Abundant oxygen vacancies on the surface of In₂O₃ play an essential role in the activation of CO₂.^{20,21} Since In₂O₃ is easily loaded and modified, other precious metals^{22,23} or metal oxides^{24,25} have often been introduced to form more oxygen vacancies and active sites, promoting the activation of CO₂ and H₂. However, most studies involving In₂O₃ have focused on the hydrogenation of CO₂ to methanol, and few studies have included the RWGS reaction.²⁶

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have wide applications in the field of catalysis^{27–31} owing to their tunable composition and structure. Furthermore, MOF derivatives not only avoid the disadvantage of weak coordination bonds between metal clusters and organic linkers but also effectively inhibit metal oxide agglomeration in the pyrolysis process.³² These factors are beneficial for fabricating stable catalysts with high dispersion, high specific surface area and high activity.²⁸

Fig. 1 shows the typical assembly methods for catalysts. This work assembled the Zr-based MOF (UIO-66) and HZSM-5 via a solvothermal method to form a new composite HZSM-5@UIO-66. The HZSM-5@UIO-66 was impregnated with indium nitrate (In(NO₃)₃), followed by decomposition of the UIO-66 membrane and the adsorbed In(NO₃)₃ during calcination, resulting in the formation of the bicomponent core-shell catalyst HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃. Due to the highly synergistic effect of the bimetal ZrO₂-In₂O₃ and the special core-shell structure of HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃, the catalyst exhibited high

^a School of Chemical Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200237, China. E-mail: xuhaitao@ecust.edu.cn^b Key Laboratory for Green Processing of Chemical Engineering of Xinjiang Bingtuan, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832003, China. E-mail: liujc@ecust.edu.cn^c Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China. E-mail: gfzhao@chem.ecnu.edu.cn† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Chemicals, general methods, characterization, procedures and additional figures. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2qm00307d>




Fig. 1 Assembly diagram of composites (from top to bottom) $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3/\text{HZSM-5}$, HZSM-5@ZrO_2 , $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{HZSM-5}$, $\text{ZrO}_2 + \text{HZSM-5}$, $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{HZSM-5}$ and their effects on CO_2 hydrogenation.

CO_2 conversion (31.1%) and excellent CO selectivity (96.3%) at $400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 3 MPa, and $4500\text{ mL g}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}$.

Results and discussion

Syntheses and characterizations of fresh catalysts

HZSM-5 has been used widely in various catalytic reactions due to its regular pore channels, large surface area and thermal stability.^{33,34} In Fig. 2, monodispersed HZSM-5 ³⁵ appears as smooth regular hexagonal prisms with a uniform size, while UIO-66 ³⁶ appears as octahedra. The HZSM-5 was placed in the UIO-66 precursor solution as a shape-directed carrier, and the UIO-66 was epitaxially grown onto the HZSM-5 core to obtain HZSM-5@UIO-66 *via* a solvothermal method. The morphology of the HZSM-5 core was well retained, while the UIO-66 was neither a regular octahedra nor small crystalline grains;³⁷ it was completely coated on HZSM-5 as a dense membrane layer (Fig. 2). The formation of the UIO-66 membrane can be attributed to the following factors. It has been confirmed that the solvent dipole moment can change the interfacial tension between the host nanoparticle and guest solvent, affecting the particle growth rate and ultimately changing the material

morphology.³⁸ Thus, ethanol (EtOH , $\mu = 1.68\text{ D}$) with a different dipole moment than *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF , $\mu = 3.86\text{ D}$) was added to the preparation solution of the UIO-66 membrane. The optimal volume ratio of the two above-mentioned solvents was confirmed to be 1 : 1.^{9,39} At this ratio, UIO-66 can grow uniformly along the epitaxial direction of the HZSM-5 core. In addition, the molar amounts of the metal sources (zirconium chloride (ZrCl_4)) and organic linkers (terephthalic acid (H_2BDC)) that constitute the UIO-66 had the most significant influence on the formation of the core-shell structure. The effect of $n(\text{ZrCl}_4)$ and $n(\text{H}_2\text{BDC})$ on the growth of UIO-66 onto HZSM-5 , under $V_{\text{EtOH}}/V_{\text{DMF}} = 1$ was investigated in this study. Fig. S2 (ESI[†]) shows the morphology of the UIO-66 grown on the HZSM-5 surface at different molar amounts. When $n(\text{ZrCl}_4) = n(\text{H}_2\text{BDC}) = 0.035\text{ mM}$, the UIO-66 membrane had a thickness of $\sim 10\text{ nm}$, and there were many standalone spherical UIO-66 crystals of about 40 nm in diameter. This result was ascribed to the high concentrations of the precursors in the solution, which promoted the growth of UIO-66 on the HZSM-5 surface, and led to the independent nucleation of UIO-66 crystals. To obtain a homogeneous material, $n(\text{ZrCl}_4)$ and $n(\text{H}_2\text{BDC})$ were further reduced, from 0.035 mM to




Fig. 2 TEM images of different materials: (A) HZSM-5, (B and C) HZSM-5@UIO-66, (D) UIO-66, (E and F) HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃, (G) In₂O₃/HZSM-5, and (H and I) HZSM-5@ZrO₂.

0.025 mM, upon which both the UIO-66 membrane thickness and the standalone UIO-66 crystal size gradually decreased. Finally, when $n(\text{ZrCl}_4)$ and $n(\text{H}_2\text{BDC})$ were reduced to 0.020 mM, there were almost no standalone UIO-66 particles, and the HZSM-5 surface was evenly covered by a 4 nm membrane. The enlarged view of HZSM-5@UIO-66 (Fig. 2C and Fig. S3D, ESI[†]) showed a continuous UIO-66 membrane around the periphery of HZSM-5. Therefore, 0.020 mM could be regarded as the optimal molar amount for the UIO-66 precursor. Furthermore, these results demonstrated that the UIO-66 membrane thickness in HZSM-5@UIO-66 could be effectively controlled by adjusting the precursor molar amounts.

HZSM-5@ZrO₂ and HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ (Fig. 2) originated from the calcination of HZSM-5@UIO-66 and In(NO₃)₃/HZSM-5@UIO-66, respectively. During calcination, the organic linkers (H₂BDC) in the UIO-66 membrane underwent oxidative decomposition with oxygen and, simultaneously, the UIO-66 membrane was converted to ZrO₂.⁴⁰ The disappearance of the organic linkers resulted in a certain volume contraction;^{41,42} therefore, HZSM-5@ZrO₂ and HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ were slightly smaller in size than HZSM-5@UIO-66. The presence of organic linkers constructed a temporary physical barrier for the ZrO₂ nanoparticles, hindering

their aggregation during calcination.⁴⁰ The metal oxides ZrO₂ and ZrO₂-In₂O₃ that were obtained after calcination were anchored on the HZSM-5 surface in the form of particles (Fig. 2F and I) about 5 nm in diameter. In the enlarged view (Fig. 2F and I and Fig. S3F, ESI[†]), the oxide shell on the surface of HZSM-5 can clearly be seen. Furthermore, compared with HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃, only a small amount of In₂O₃ was loaded on the HZSM-5 (Fig. 2G) at the same In₂O₃ loading due to its worse adsorption than UIO-66. Clearly, coating UIO-66 on HZSM-5 could obtain ZrO₂-In₂O₃ with a small size and the catalyst with a higher In₂O₃ loading. Hollow cubes of pure In₂O₃, granular ZrO₂ and ZrO₂-In₂O₃ were also prepared (Fig. S4, ESI[†]).

The HAADF-STEM image of HZSM-5@UIO-66 (Fig. 3A) showed a large difference in brightness between the middle and edge regions of the material, indicating a typical core-shell structure. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) elemental mapping and line-scan EDX (Fig. 3B-I) demonstrated the structural composition and elemental distribution of HZSM-5@UIO-66. Si and Al were the main components of the HZSM-5 core, and O existed in the whole material. Zr and C, which formed UIO-66, appeared as a shell, indicating that UIO-66 was successfully coated on the surface of the HZSM-5 core. Moreover, EDX




Fig. 3 (A) HAADF-STEM image of HZSM-5@UIO-66, (B–G) EDX elemental mapping of the selected region, and (H and I) line-scan EDX of HZSM-5@UIO-66.

elemental mapping (Fig. S5, ESI[†]) of HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃, verified that In₂O₃ was successfully loaded on the surface of the material after impregnation.

The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of UIO-66, HZSM-5, HZSM-5@UIO-66, and HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ were obtained (Fig. S6A, ESI[†]). All isotherms were the typical type-I, meaning that all the samples were microporous. However, the pore size distribution image (Fig. S6B, ESI[†]) of HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ showed the formation of mesopores. The disappearance of organic linkers in the UIO-66 membrane during calcination generated some extra spaces in the material, leading to mesopores.^{40,43} The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of HZSM-5@UIO-66 was 296 m² g⁻¹, which is lower than that of HZSM-5 (456 m² g⁻¹), and significantly lower than that of UIO-66 (1307 m² g⁻¹) (Table S1, ESI[†]). This is because HZSM-5 dominated the HZSM-5@UIO-66 composite, while the UIO-66 content was low. Furthermore, the UIO-66 membrane blocked part of the pores of HZSM-5 due to the mismatching aperture during preparation, which caused the BET surface area of HZSM-5@UIO-66 to be smaller than HZSM-5. HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ still maintained a high BET specific surface area (309 m² g⁻¹).


Both UIO-66 and HZSM-5 showed diffraction peaks that matched their corresponding simulated powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns (Fig. S7, ESI[†]). However, for HZSM-5@UIO-66, only the characteristic peaks of HZSM-5 were observed. The absence of the characteristic peaks of UIO-66 might be attributed to its indistinguishability from HZSM-5 in the PXRD pattern and its low content in the composite. Although no UIO-66 diffraction peaks were observed in the PXRD pattern, Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Fig. S9, ESI[†]) showed that both UIO-66 and HZSM-5@UIO-66 had the characteristic bands of UIO-66 at 1591, 1395 and 747 cm⁻¹, confirming the existence of the UIO-66 membrane in HZSM-5@UIO-66. HZSM-5@UIO-66 was calcined in air to obtain HZSM-5@ZrO₂, and its XRD pattern clearly showed the characteristic peaks of HZSM-5 and the highly crystalline tetragonal ZrO₂ (*t*-ZrO₂)⁴⁴ derived from the UIO-66 membrane. The peaks of HZSM-5 and pure cubic In₂O₃ (*c*-In₂O₃, formed *via* the pyrolysis of In(NO₃)₃)⁴⁵ were observed in In₂O₃/HZSM-5. By comparison with the precursors UIO-66 and HZSM-5@UIO-66, four new characteristic peaks ($2\theta = 25\text{--}70^\circ$) appeared in ZrO₂-In₂O₃ and HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃. For ZrO₂-In₂O₃, the characteristic peaks of

UIO-66 disappeared completely due to the phase transition. The local spectra were magnified at 25–70° to verify the phase of the new peaks. For HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ (with an In₂O₃ loading of 20 wt%), only the diffraction peaks of *t*-ZrO₂ were observed because of impregnation loss and uniform distribution. When the In₂O₃ loading was increased to 70 wt%, the ZrO₂ peaks grew accordingly and shifted towards a higher diffraction angle (the characteristic peaks of ZrO₂-In₂O₃ (70 wt%) were the same as this), indicating that the loading was increased and some of the In₂O₃ was doped into ZrO₂.⁴⁶

The peaks of In and Zr in HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ appeared at the corresponding positions of In³⁺ and Zr⁴⁺ (Fig. S10, ESI[†]), indicating the existence of oxides.⁴⁷ Compared with pure ZrO₂ and pure In₂O₃, new peaks appeared at higher binding energies. This phenomenon was ascribed to the change in the coordination states of In and Zr, which was caused by In doping in the ZrO₂ lattice to form the In–O–Zr structure (solid solution). This result was consistent with the XRD, and the In doping could force O ions away to balance the charge, forming more oxygen vacancies.⁴⁸ The O1s spectrum of HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ also exhibited a higher concentration of oxygen vacancies than HZSM-5@ZrO₂ (Fig. S10, ESI[†]).

Catalytic performance

For the prepared catalysts, three main products (CO, CH₄ and CH₃OH) were generated *via* the following three reactions. Since the appropriate reaction temperature for converting CO₂ to methanol was below 300 °C, only trace amounts of methanol were detected at 400 °C.



In Fig. 4A, In₂O₃/HZSM-5 (where HZSM-5 was directly impregnated without coating with UIO-66) showed an inferior catalytic performance with 12.7% CO₂ conversion, and HZSM-5@ZrO₂ (where HZSM-5 was coated with UIO-66 but not impregnated) showed the lowest activity with 7.1% CO₂ conversion. However, HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ exhibited a superior CO₂ conversion (27.8%). On the one hand, the excellent adsorption and special pore structure of UIO-66 enabled HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ to have more active sites (Table S2, ESI[†]). On the other hand, the synergy of the ZrO₂-In₂O₃ bimetal played a crucial role in activating CO₂. To verify the improved catalytic performance of the bimetallic ZrO₂-In₂O₃ shell compared with the monometallic oxide, In₂O₃ + HZSM-5 and ZrO₂ + HZSM-5 were prepared (monometallic In₂O₃ and monometallic ZrO₂ were mixed with HZSM-5, respectively). The CO₂ conversion of HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ was about 2.5 and 3.4 times that of In₂O₃ + HZSM-5 and ZrO₂ + HZSM-5, respectively, suggesting a strong synergy between ZrO₂ and In₂O₃. The introduction of ZrO₂ can form the Zr–In interface and more oxygen vacancies,⁴⁹ significantly improving the adsorption and hydrogenation of CO₂.^{50,51} In addition, materials with a core-shell structure have been shown to be superior catalysts in many reactions.⁵² Hence for comparison, a mixed ZrO₂-In₂O₃ + HZSM-5 catalyst containing the same active oxide content as HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ was also prepared according to the ICP results (Table S2, ESI[†]). However, ZrO₂-In₂O₃ + HZSM-5 afforded a lower CO₂ conversion (22.6%) and CO yield (22.2%) than HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ (27.8%, 26.9%), suggesting that besides the same active sites, the core-shell structure of the HZSM-5@ZrO₂-In₂O₃ catalyst also plays an important role in this reaction. The physical mixing of ZrO₂-In₂O₃ and HZSM-5 was disordered, and the core-shell




Fig. 4 Catalytic performance of (A) the various catalysts, (B) In₂O₃ loadings, (C) reaction pressures, (D) GHSV, and (E) reaction temperatures.

structure of $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ and HZSM-5 improved the distribution of the $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ components on the HZSM-5 surface. Although $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{HZSM-5}$ was not as active as $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$, it still exhibited a higher CO_2 conversion and CO yield compared with the monometallic oxides ($\text{In}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{HZSM-5}$ and $\text{ZrO}_2 + \text{HZSM-5}$), which further proved the excellent catalytic performance of the MOF-derived bimetallic oxide in the RWGS reaction.

As shown in Fig. 4B, with the increase in In_2O_3 loading from 20 wt% to 70 wt%, the CO_2 conversion increased from 11.9% to 27.8%. The higher the In_2O_3 amount, the more the number of $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ active sites. In addition, the operation conditions also have a significant influence on this reaction. Fig. 4C–E shows the influence of the pressure, space velocity (GHSV), and reaction temperature on the catalyst performance. When the pressure was 0.1 MPa, the CO_2 conversion was 14.5% with 99.9% CO selectivity. When the pressure was increased from 2 to 3 MPa, the CO_2 conversion increased from 17.5% to 21.4%. When the pressure was raised to 4 MPa, the CO_2 conversion hardly changed, but the CO selectivity decreased to 94.7%. The increase in pressure typically leads to increased collisions between the reactant gas molecules, activating more molecules, and promoting the adsorption of activated molecules on the catalyst surface, thereby increasing the CO_2 conversion. Moreover, the CO_2 methanation side-reaction has a reduced amount of gas molecules, and the high pressure tends to promote the side-reaction, thus decreasing the CO selectivity. With the GHSV increasing from 4500 to 18000 $\text{mL h}^{-1} \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$, the CO_2 conversion decreased from 31.1% to 17.8%, and the CO/CH_4 selectivity remained at around 97%/3%. The reduction in GHSV increased the residence time of the reactant gas in the catalyst bed, thus enhancing the CO_2 conversion. When the temperature was gradually increased from 280 to 400 °C, the CO_2 conversion increased from 6.1% to 31.1%. This is because CO_2 is inert and a high temperature is beneficial for activating CO_2 . The CH_3OH selectivity decreased from 72% to 0.2% within this temperature range, while the CO selectivity increased from 24.5% to 96.3%. The RWGS reaction is a typical endothermic reaction, whereas the conversion of CO_2 to methanol is typically exothermic; hence increasing the temperature transforms the product from CH_3OH to CO. Based on the above discussions, the optimal reaction conditions for the $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst (In_2O_3 loading of 70 wt%) were as follows: 400 °C, 3 MPa, and 4500 $\text{mL h}^{-1} \text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}$. Under these conditions, the CO_2 conversion and CO selectivity were 31.1% and 96.3%, respectively. Furthermore, only a small amount of methanol intermediate was generated due to the high reaction temperature, and the UIO-66 membrane might occupy the acidic sites of HZSM-5. Therefore, despite the presence of HZSM-5 in the catalysts, only very small amounts of hydrocarbons were detected in the product, while the main product was CO. Table S3 (ESI[†]) compares $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ with other catalysts, including metal oxides and noble metal catalysts, in the RWGS reaction. Both the CO_2 conversion and CO selectivity of $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ are outstanding. The special core-shell structure and the full synergistic effect of bimetallic $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$

play a critical role in enhancing the performance. In addition, compared with noble metal catalysts, In-based catalysts have lower costs and, thus, better practical application prospects in industries.

Catalytic mechanism

There are roughly two reaction mechanisms for the RWGS reaction, one of which is the redox mechanism.⁵³ In this process, H_2 does not directly participate in forming intermediates but acts only as a reducing agent to reduce the catalyst ($\text{CO}_2 + \text{M}^0 \rightarrow \text{MO}_x + \text{CO}$; $\text{H}_2 + \text{MO}_x \rightarrow \text{M}^0 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$). Since the main product with the $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst was CH_3OH at low temperature, the redox reaction was first excluded. The second most common mechanism is the degradation mechanism of the formate intermediate ($\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{*HCOO} \rightarrow \text{CO*} \rightarrow \text{CO}$).^{54,55} In this pathway, H_2 is involved in the formation of the intermediate *HCOO . CO_2 is hydrogenated to the intermediate *HCOO on the catalyst surface, and the intermediate further decomposes to form CO. The combination of ZrO_2 and In_2O_3 increases the oxygen vacancies on the oxide surface, and the most significant role of the oxygen vacancies is in the adsorption and activation of CO_2 .^{21,56} Adsorbed CO_2 is further hydrogenated to the formate intermediate. This mechanism can explain the product transformation due to the change in temperature: formate is further hydrogenated to methanol at low temperature whereas it is directly pyrolyzed to CO at high temperature.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the bicomponent core-shell catalyst $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ was synthesized *via* the decomposition of $\text{In}(\text{NO}_3)_3/\text{HZSM-5@UIO-66}$, which was obtained by impregnating HZSM-5@UIO-66 with the $\text{In}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ solution. Under the synergistic effect of bimetallic $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ derived from the UIO-66 membrane and $\text{In}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, the $\text{HZSM-5@ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ catalyst with a special core-shell structure afforded excellent CO_2 conversion (31.1%) and CO selectivity (96.3%). The traditional concept is that the bimetallic oxide $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ is suitable for CO_2 hydrogenation to methanol. This work reveals the superior catalytic performance of bimetallic $\text{ZrO}_2\text{-In}_2\text{O}_3$ derived from MOF towards the RWGS reaction, and provides a novel fabrication strategy for future catalysts for effectively catalysing CO_2 hydrogenation to CO.

Author contributions

Under Haitao Xu's guidance, Huimin Fang performed the experiments, collected and analyzed the data, then wrote the draft of the manuscript. Qi Jiang completed the XRD characterization, and the STEM characterization was completed by Dengpeng Lan. Denghui Cheng and Xuqiang Liu assisted in the experimental process. Haitao Xu and Guofeng Zhao revised the article. The manuscript was reviewed by Jichang Liu, Jianping Ge, and Zhenliang Xu. All the authors endorsed the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by financial support from the Joint Fund by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and PetroChina (Project U1862204), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21371058 and No. 22179038) and the Special Project for Peak Carbon Dioxide Emissions-Carbon Neutrality (21DZ1206700) from the Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Commission. The authors are grateful to the Research Center of Analysis and Testing, East China University of Science and Technology, and Prof. Jianping Ge from the Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry of Chemical Processes, East China Normal University, for help in the transmission electron microscopy and high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy analysis.

Notes and references

- J. Hansen, M. Sato, R. Ruedy, K. Lo, W. Lea David and M. Medina-Elizade, Global temperature change, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**, 14288–14293.
- P. Lianos, Review of recent trends in photoelectrocatalytic conversion of solar energy to electricity and hydrogen, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2017, **210**, 235–254.
- G. Centi, E. A. Quadrelli and S. Perathoner, Catalysis for CO₂ conversion: a key technology for rapid introduction of renewable energy in the value chain of chemical industries, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 1711–1731.
- B. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Duan, T. Ding, Y. Tang and C. Zheng, Effect of supports on performance of Cu–Fe based catalysts for higher alcohols synthesis from syngas, *React. Kinet., Mech. Catal.*, 2019, **128**, 695–706.
- F. Jiao, J. Li, X. Pan, J. Xiao, H. Li, H. Ma, M. Wei, Y. Pan, Z. Zhou, M. Li, S. Miao, J. Li, Y. Zhu, D. Xiao, T. He, J. Yang, F. Qi, Q. Fu and X. Bao, Selective conversion of syngas to light olefins, *Science*, 2016, **351**, 1065–1068.
- K. Cheng, W. Zhou, J. Kang, S. He, S. Shi, Q. Zhang, Y. Pan, W. Wen and Y. Wang, Bifunctional Catalysts for One-Step Conversion of Syngas into Aromatics with Excellent Selectivity and Stability, *Chem*, 2017, **3**, 334–347.
- X. Zhang, X. Zhu, L. Lin, S. Yao, M. Zhang, X. Liu, X. Wang, Y.-W. Li, C. Shi and D. Ma, Highly Dispersed Copper over β -Mo₂C as an Efficient and Stable Catalyst for the Reverse Water Gas Shift (RWGS) Reaction, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 912–918.
- L. C. Wang, M. Tahvildar Khazaneh, D. Widmann and R. J. Behm, TAP reactor studies of the oxidizing capability of CO₂ on a Au/CeO₂ catalyst – A first step toward identifying a redox mechanism in the Reverse Water–Gas Shift reaction, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **302**, 20–30.
- Y. Wu, D. Lan, J. Liu, J. Ge, H. Xu, Y. Han, H. Zhang, X. Pan, Z. Xu and J. Liu, UiO₆₆-membranized SAPO-34 Pt catalyst for enhanced carbon dioxide conversion efficiency, *Mater. Today, Energy*, 2021, **21**, 100781.
- N. C. Nelson, L. Chen, D. Meira, L. Kovarik and J. Szanyi, In Situ Dispersion of Palladium on TiO₂ During Reverse Water–Gas Shift Reaction: Formation of Atomically Dispersed Palladium, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 17657–17663.
- D. H. Kim, S. W. Han, H. S. Yoon and Y. D. Kim, Reverse water gas shift reaction catalyzed by Fe nanoparticles with high catalytic activity and stability, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2015, **23**, 67–71.
- J. Wen, C. Huang, Y. Sun, L. Liang, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, M. Fu, J. Wu, L. Chen and D. Ye, The Study of Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction Activity over Different Interfaces: The Design of Cu-Plate ZnO Model Catalysts, *Catalysts*, 2020, **10**(5), 533.
- B. Dai, G. Zhou, S. Ge, H. Xie, Z. Jiao, G. Zhang and K. Xiong, CO₂ reverse water-gas shift reaction on mesoporous M-CeO₂ catalysts, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **95**, 634–642.
- Y. Xu, M. Cao and Q. Zhang, Recent advances and perspective on heterogeneous catalysis using metals and oxide nanocrystals, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **5**, 151–222.
- K. Sun, Z. Fan, J. Ye, J. Yan, Q. Ge, Y. Li, W. He, W. Yang and C.-J. Liu, Hydrogenation of CO₂ to methanol over In₂O₃ catalyst, *J. CO₂ Util.*, 2015, **12**, 1–6.
- P. Gao, S. Li, X. Bu, S. Dang, Z. Liu, H. Wang, L. Zhong, M. Qiu, C. Yang, J. Cai, W. Wei and Y. Sun, Direct conversion of CO₂ into liquid fuels with high selectivity over a bifunctional catalyst, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 1019–1024.
- P. Gao, S. Dang, S. Li, X. Bu, Z. Liu, M. Qiu, C. Yang, H. Wang, L. Zhong, Y. Han, Q. Liu, W. Wei and Y. Sun, Direct Production of Lower Olefins from CO₂ Conversion via Bifunctional Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 571–578.
- S. Wang, P. Wang, Z. Qin, W. Yan, M. Dong, J. Li, J. Wang and W. Fan, Enhancement of light olefin production in CO₂ hydrogenation over In₂O₃-based oxide and SAPO-34 composite, *J. Catal.*, 2020, **391**, 459–470.
- S. Dang, P. Gao, Z. Liu, X. Chen, C. Yang, H. Wang, L. Zhong, S. Li and Y. Sun, Role of zirconium in direct CO₂ hydrogenation to lower olefins on oxide/zeolite bifunctional catalysts, *J. Catal.*, 2018, **364**, 382–393.
- J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei and Q. Ge, Active Oxygen Vacancy Site for Methanol Synthesis from CO₂ Hydrogenation on In₂O₃(110): A DFT Study, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1296–1306.
- J. Wang, G. Zhang, J. Zhu, X. Zhang, F. Ding, A. Zhang, X. Guo and C. Song, CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol over In₂O₃-Based Catalysts: From Mechanism to Catalyst Development, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 1406–1423.
- K. Sun, N. Rui, Z. Zhang, Z. Sun, Q. Ge and C.-J. Liu, A highly active Pt/In₂O₃ catalyst for CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol with enhanced stability, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**, 5059–5066.
- N. H. M. D. Dostagir, C. Thompson, H. Kobayashi, A. M. Karim, A. Fukuoka and A. Shrotri, Rh promoted In₂O₃ as a highly active catalyst for CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **10**, 8196–8202.

- 24 C. Y. Regalado Vera, N. Manavi, Z. Zhou, L.-C. Wang, W. Diao, S. Karakalos, B. Liu, K. J. Stowers, M. Zhou, H. Luo and D. Ding, Mechanistic understanding of support effect on the activity and selectivity of indium oxide catalysts for CO₂ hydrogenation, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **426**, 131767.
- 25 W. Wang, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, J.-M. Yan, Q. Ge and C.-J. Liu, Reverse water gas shift over In₂O₃-CeO₂ catalysts, *Catal. Today*, 2016, **259**, 402–408.
- 26 Q. Sun, J. Ye, C.-J. Liu and Q. Ge, In₂O₃ as a promising catalyst for CO₂ utilization: A case study with reverse water gas shift over In₂O₃, *Greenhouse Gases: Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 140–144.
- 27 N. Martín, A. Portillo, A. Ateka, F. G. Cirujano, L. Oar-Arteta, A. T. Aguayo and M. Dusselier, MOF-derived/zeolite hybrid catalyst for the production of light olefins from CO₂, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 5750–5758.
- 28 A. Pustovarenko, A. Dikhtiarenko, A. Bavykina, L. Gevers, A. Ramírez, A. Russkikh, S. Telalovic, A. Aguilar, J.-L. Hazemann, S. Ould-Chikh and J. Gascon, Metal–Organic Framework-Derived Synthesis of Cobalt Indium Catalysts for the Hydrogenation of CO₂ to Methanol, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 5064–5076.
- 29 Z. Cai, J. Dai, W. Li, K. B. Tan, Z. Huang, G. Zhan, J. Huang and Q. Li, Pd Supported on MIL-68(In)-Derived In₂O₃ Nanotubes as Superior Catalysts to Boost CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 13275–13289.
- 30 Y. Wang, W. Zhan, Z. Chen, J. Chen, X. Li and Y. Li, Advanced 3D Hollow-Out ZnZrO@C Combined with Hierarchical Zeolite for Highly Active and Selective CO Hydrogenation to Aromatics, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 7177–7187.
- 31 L.-L. Ling, W. Yang, P. Yan, M. Wang and H.-L. Jiang, Light-Assisted CO₂ Hydrogenation over Pd₃Cu@UiO-66 Promoted by Active Sites in Close Proximity, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202116396.
- 32 Y.-Z. Chen, R. Zhang, L. Jiao and H.-L. Jiang, Metal–organic framework-derived porous materials for catalysis, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **362**, 1–23.
- 33 Y. Yu, Z. Xi, B. Zhou, B. Jiang, Z. Liao, Y. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Huang, J. Sun and Y. Yang, Acidity Modification of ZSM-5 for Methane Conversion in Co-feeding Method with MTA Reaction, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2022, **38**, 1012–1017.
- 34 L. Luo, S. Wang, Z. Wu, Z. Qin, M. Dong, J. Wang and W. Fan, Influence of the ZSM-5 Support Acidity on the Catalytic Performance of Pd/ZSM-5 in Lean Methane Oxidation, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2022, **38**, 229–236.
- 35 Z. Wan, W. Wu, G. Li, C. Wang, H. Yang and D. Zhang, Effect of SiO₂/Al₂O₃ ratio on the performance of nanocrystal ZSM-5 zeolite catalysts in methanol to gasoline conversion, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2016, **523**, 312–320.
- 36 H. Xu, X. Luo, J. Wang, Y. Su, X. Zhao and Y. Li, Spherical Sandwich Au@Pd@UIO-67/Pt@UIO-n (n = 66, 67, 69) Core–Shell Catalysts: Zr-Based Metal–Organic Frameworks for Effectively Regulating the Reverse Water–Gas Shift Reaction, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 20291–20297.
- 37 G. Zhu, R. Graver, L. Emdadi, B. Liu, K. Y. Choi and D. Liu, Synthesis of zeolite@metal–organic framework core–shell particles as bifunctional catalysts, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 30673–30676.
- 38 H. Bunzen, M. Grzywa, M. Hambach, S. Spirkl and D. Volkmer, From Micro to Nano: A Toolbox for Tuning Crystal Size and Morphology of Benzotriazolate-Based Metal–Organic Frameworks, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 3190–3197.
- 39 X. Pan, H. Xu, X. Zhao and H. Zhang, Metal–Organic Framework-Membranized Bicomponent Core–Shell Catalyst HZSM-5@UIO-66-NH₂/Pd for CO₂ Selective Conversion, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 1087–1094.
- 40 J. Liu, Y. He, L. Yan, K. Li, C. Zhang, H. Xiang, X. Wen and Y. Li, Nano-sized ZrO₂ derived from metal–organic frameworks and their catalytic performance for aromatic synthesis from syngas, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **9**, 2982–2992.
- 41 G. Huang, F. Zhang, X. Du, J. Wang, D. Yin and L. Wang, Core–Shell NiFe₂O₄@TiO₂ Nanorods: An Anode Material with Enhanced Electrochemical Performance for Lithium-Ion Batteries, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 11214–11219.
- 42 L. Zhang, H. B. Wu, S. Madhavi, H. H. Hng and X. W. Lou, Formation of Fe₂O₃ Microboxes with Hierarchical Shell Structures from Metal–Organic Frameworks and Their Lithium Storage Properties, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 17388–17391.
- 43 G. Qu, P. Jia, T. Zhang, Z. Li, C. Chen and Y. Zhao, UiO-66(Zr)-derived t-zirconia with abundant lattice defect for remarkably enhanced arsenic removal, *Chemosphere*, 2022, **288**, 132594.
- 44 T. Numpilai, P. Kidkhunthod, C. K. Cheng, C. Wattanakit, M. Chareonpanich, J. Limtrakul and T. Wittoon, CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol at high reaction temperatures over In₂O₃/ZrO₂ catalysts: Influence of calcination temperatures of ZrO₂ support, *Catal. Today*, 2021, **375**, 298–306.
- 45 J. Wang, C.-Y. Liu, T. P. Senftle, J. Zhu, G. Zhang, X. Guo and C. Song, Variation in the In₂O₃ Crystal Phase Alters Catalytic Performance toward the Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 3264–3273.
- 46 C. Yang, C. Pei, R. Luo, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z.-J. Zhao and J. Gong, Strong Electronic Oxide–Support Interaction over In₂O₃/ZrO₂ for Highly Selective CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19523–19531.
- 47 M. M. Zain, M. Mohammadi, N. Kamiuchi and A. R. Mohamed, Development of highly selective In₂O₃/ZrO₂ catalyst for hydrogenation of CO₂ to methanol: An insight into the catalyst preparation method, *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **37**, 1680–1689.
- 48 Z. Zhang, Y. Huang, H. Ma, W. Qian, H. Zhang and W. Ying, Syngas-to-olefins over MOF-derived ZnZrOx and SAPO-34 bifunctional catalysts, *Catal. Commun.*, 2021, **152**, 106292.
- 49 M. Zhang, M. Dou and Y. Yu, Theoretical study of the promotional effect of ZrO₂ on In₂O₃ catalyzed methanol synthesis from CO₂ hydrogenation, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **433**, 780–789.
- 50 M. Dou, M. Zhang, Y. Chen and Y. Yu, Mechanistic Insight into the Modification of the Surface Stability of In₂O₃

- Catalyst Through Metal Oxide Doping, *Catal. Lett.*, 2018, **148**, 3723–3731.
- 51 O. Martin, A. J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T. F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly, D. Curulla-Ferré and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Indium Oxide as a Superior Catalyst for Methanol Synthesis by CO₂ Hydrogenation, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6261–6265.
- 52 Z. Wang, J. Qi, N. Yang, R. Yu and D. Wang, Core-shell nano/microstructures for heterogeneous tandem catalysis, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **5**, 1126–1139.
- 53 G.-C. Wang and J. Nakamura, Structure Sensitivity for Forward and Reverse Water-Gas Shift Reactions on Copper Surfaces: A DFT Study, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 3053–3057.
- 54 C.-S. Chen, W.-H. Cheng and S.-S. Lin, Mechanism of CO formation in reverse water-gas shift reaction over Cu/Al₂O₃ catalyst, *Catal. Lett.*, 2000, **68**, 45–48.
- 55 A. M. Bahmanpour, M. Signorile and O. Kröcher, Recent progress in syngas production via catalytic CO₂ hydrogenation reaction, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **295**, 120319.
- 56 Y. Zhang, L. Liang, Z. Chen, J. Wen, W. Zhong, S. Zou, M. Fu, L. Chen and D. Ye, Highly efficient Cu/CeO₂-hollow nanospheres catalyst for the reverse water-gas shift reaction: Investigation on the role of oxygen vacancies through in situ UV-Raman and DRIFTS, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **516**, 146035.