Volume 9 Number 6 14 February 2021 Pages 3079–3686

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Materials for energy and sustainability

rsc.li/materials-a

ISSN 2050-7488

REVIEW ARTICLE Josué M. Gonçalves, Rodrigo A. A. Munoz, Chandra Sekhar Rout *et al.* Multifunctional spinel MnCo₂O₄ based materials for energy storage and conversion: a review on emerging trends, recent developments and future perspectives

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

REVIEW

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 3095

Multifunctional spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ based materials for energy storage and conversion: a review on emerging trends, recent developments and future perspectives

Josué M. Gonçalves[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0800-077X) \mathbb{D}^{*a} Murillo N. T. Silva, Kusha Kumar Naik, Paulo R. Martins, ^e Diego P. Rocha, ^{Da} Edso[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4380-5549) [N](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4380-5549)o[s](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3639-6783)sol, D^b Rodrigo A. A. Munoz, D^{*b} Lucio Angnes D^a and Chandra Sekhar Rout^{D *d}

The energy requirement of modern society increases every day. The depletion of the reserves of fossil fuel combined with the deleterious effects of $CO₂$ in the atmosphere is forcing all the world to search for alternative ways of generation and storing energy. Many scientists around the world are pursuing different forms to produce and store energy. Solar and wind sources are a reality for production of electricity, but are not continuous and require storage devices. The development of batteries and hybrid supercapacitors of high energy and power density is of great importance to complement this requirement of energy storage. Rechargeable metal–air batteries which utilize oxygen electrocatalysis seem to be an ideal choice, once the source of energy is not intermittent as solar and wind energy and is based on oxygen bifunctional electrocatalysis of both oxygen reduction and $O₂$ evolution reactions. In addition, water splitting allows the conversion and storage of solar/wind energy into chemical energy, generating fuels with high energy content. From this perspective, spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ -based materials are promising structures for energy storage and conversion of energy. In this review, the use of low cost and abundant multifunctional materials for the development of supercapacitor devices and batteries was summarized. Completely, the design of electrocatalysts for water splitting and their capability to proportionate the tetra-electronic process of the oxygen reduction reaction are reviewed, including the main strategies in the preparation of these materials and considering their key multifunctional role in the way to a more sustainable society. **Published on 12:45:47. The Microsoftane of the set of th**

Received 15th November 2020 Accepted 8th December 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ta11129e

rsc.li/materials-a

ªInstituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 748, 05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil. E-mail: josuemartins@usp.br bInstituto de Química, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Av. João Naves de Ávila 2121, Uberlandia, MG, 2121, Brazil. E-mail: munoz@ufu.br ˆ c Department of Physics, Berhampur University, Odisha, India

^dCentre for Nano and Material Sciences, Jain University, Jain Global Campus, Jakkasandra, Ramanagaram, Bangalore-562112, India. E-mail: r.chandrasekhar@ jainuniversity.ac.in; csrout@gmail.com

e Instituto de Qu´ımica, Universidade Federal de Goias, Av. Esperança s/n, 74690-900 ´ Goiânia, GO, Brazil

Josué Martins Gonçalves is a postdoctoral researcher in the group headed by Prof. Lucio Angnes at the University of Sao Paulo (USP), Brazil, and honored with a prestigious research fellowship from FAPESP. He graduated in Chemistry from University Vale do Acaraú (UVA) in 2014 and received his Ph.D. degree from USP in 2019, under the supervision of Prof. Koiti Araki. His current research interests include applications of nano-

materials in sensors, electrocatalysis and energy conversion and storage devices.

Paulo Roberto Martins received his Ph.D. degree from the Institute of Chemistry of University of Sao Paulo in 2012, under the guidance of Professor Koiti Araki. Currently, he is Assistant Professor at the Federal University of Goiás, Brazil. His research interests are focused on the development of new materials based on layered double hydroxides for energy storage purposes.

1. Introduction

With the increasing demand for environmentally friendly energy sources, alternatives have accelerated research on various renewable energy technologies such as fuel cells, metal– air batteries, and water-splitting devices as alternative energy production and storage systems.¹

However, there are several scientific and technological challenges which require great efforts in the search for a more sustainable society. Among the main challenges, it is easy to identify the scientific race for low-cost and abundant materials for the command of the tetra-protonic and tetra-electronic reaction mechanism of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) ,^{2,3} a formidable challenge in the development of H_2 fuel cells. In addition, electrochemical oxygen reduction (ORR) and OER reactions are two key processes that limit the efficiency of important energy conversion devices such as metal–air batteries (MABs) and electrolytic cells.⁴ On the other hand, the quest for much higher power and energy density devices, especially hybrid supercapacitors (HSCs), as alternatives to lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), has been the main objective of several research groups, as they can combine the outstanding power density of supercapacitive materials with the high energy density of battery-type materials into a single device.⁵ **Fouriers** of Materials Chemistry A
 Excellential Constrained (Figure 2020) and the tensoring demand for environmentally friendly that for user

With the increasing demand for environmentally friendly table for user

env

In this sense, researchers in materials science have strived to develop advanced and multifunctional materials for modern energy technologies, aiming to overcome the main challenges of energy conversion and storage. In fact, among the several recently studied materials, transition metal oxides (TMOs) have garnered attention due their high electronegativity, rich redox reactions and abundant density of active sites, low cost, environmental friendliness, and excellent electrochemical

Diego Pessoa Rocha graduated in Chemistry in 2013, and received his Master's degree in Chemistry (2015) and Ph.D. (2020) from Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil, under the guidance of Rodrigo A. A. Munoz, who is currently Associate Professor of Chemistry at the same university. He graduated in Chemistry in 2002 and obtained his Ph.D. in 2006 from the University of Sao Paulo Brazil. His current research interests focus on the development of novel electrochemical devices for (bio)sensors and electrocatalysis. He is an affiliate member of the Brazilian Academy of Science.

performance.⁶ For instance, recently some review articles reported the use of $Co₃O₄$ and $Co₃O₄$ -containing electrode materials for supercapacitors⁷ and batteries.⁸ In one of these recent

Edson Nossol received his Ph.D. degree from the Department of Chemistry of Federal University of Paraná in 2013. Currently, he is Adjunct Professor at the Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil. His research interests are focused on the preparation of hexacyanoferrates/carbon nanostructures for application in sensors and energy storage devices.

Lucio Angnes is full professor at the Institute of Chemistry of University of Sao Paulo. His research interests include the construction of electrodes with new and alternative materials, development of modified electrodes, design of arrays of microelectrodes, design of different procedures of enzyme immobilization (on electrodes or inside microchannels) and association of the created devices with

flowing systems. He has authorized 160 research papers, is a member of the scientific board of Biosensors & Bioelectronics, Electroanalysis, and the Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and serves as Coordinator of Innovation at FAPESP (São Paulo State Research Foundation). He is a member of the Sao Paulo State Academy of Science.

Dr. Chandra Sekhar Rout is Associate Professor at Centre for Nano & Material Sciences, Jain University. Before joining CNMS, he was a DST-Ramanujan Fellow at I.I.T. Bhubaneswar, India (2013–2017). He received his B.Sc. (2001) and M.Sc. (2003) degrees from Utkal University and his Ph.D. from JNCASR, Bangalore (2008) under the supervision of Prof. C.N.R. Rao. He did his postdoctoral research

at the National University of Singapore (2008–2009), Purdue University, USA (2010–2012) and UNIST, South Korea (2012– 2013). His research interests include 2D materials for sensors, supercapacitors and energy storage devices, field emitters and electronic devices.

studies, Hu et al.⁹ summarized the proposed strategies for improving specific capacitance, cycling stability, multifunctional capabilities of $Co₃O₄$ based materials and development prospects of $Co₃O₄$ -based supercapacitor materials, providing a certain direction for application of $Co₃O₄$ in supercapacitors in the future. Complementarily, Shi and co-workers⁸ discussed the synthesis and application of pure $Co₃O₄$ and its composites $(Co_3O_4/C, Co_3O_4/graphene, Co_3O_4/metal)$ in the field of LIBs. On the other hand, in the field of energy conversion, M. R. N. S. Hamdani, R. N. Singh, & P. Chartier $(2010)^{10}$ reviewed the performance of $Co₃O₄$ and Co-based spinel oxides as electrocatalysts for the OER or ORR.

Similar to $Co₃O₄$ and $Co₃O₄$ -based materials, manganesecontaining TMOs have also been intensively reported for applications in energy technologies,⁶ especially those based on $Mn₃O₄$. For example, Zhu et al.¹¹ reviewed the electrochemical properties and reaction principles of $Mn₃O₄$ -based composites with carbon and other metal compounds for supercapacitor electrodes. In addition to the use in supercapacitors, Ubale and colleagues¹² reported the main advances in the deposition, characterization, and applications of nanostructured manganese oxide thin films (NMOTFs) in LIBs, highlighting the structural and morphological studies. On the other hand, Tian and co-workers¹³ reported the emerging applications of a series of MnO_x materials as highly efficient electrocatalysts for the OER, highlighting the reaction mechanisms, superiorities, and challenges of each type of MnO_x for future applications in the highly exciting energy-conversion-related areas.

As already mentioned in the studies cited above, spinel materials with a typical chemical formula of AB_2O_4 have been widely recognized and considered in the energy storage field, 14 and also as electrocatalysts in energy conversion devices. In fact, special attention has been given to spinel materials with bimetallic oxide structure, as they can result in materials with higher electrochemical activity, electrical conductivity, and more abundant redox reactions compared with monometallic oxides of A and B.¹⁵ For example, some reviews reported the recent progress in the use of the $NiCo₂O₄$ spinel in supercapacitors,¹⁶ batteries¹⁷ and sensors.¹⁸ More recently, Zhao et al.¹⁴ summarized the main advances of 2D spinel structured Co-based $MCO₂O₄$ (M = Co, Ni, Zn, Cu, Fe, and Mn) materials as integrated electrodes for supercapacitor (SC) applications, detailing other different nanomaterials and 2D spinel structured Co-based materials for this application.

To our knowledge, more than five hundred articles report the preparation and/or use of the $MnCo₂O₄$ spinel for various applications, especially for energy conversion and storage. Thus, this compound has been widely recognized as a promising, versatile, and cost-efficiently bifunctional non-noblemetal electrocatalyst, due to its high redox stability, the complementation and synergy of both transition metals (manganese and cobalt), and efficient variable valence states.¹⁹–²² As shown earlier, a few of the previous reviews have discussed the applications of $Co₃O₄$, Mn₃O₄ and NiCo₂O₄ spinels in energy storage, especially in supercapacitors and LIBs. However, as far as we know, there is no review work

Scheme 1 Illustration of the strategies and applications of $MnCo₂O₄$ spinels. The atomic structure of the $MnCo₂O₄$ inverse spinel structure in the center of the scheme was reproduced with permission from ref. 23.

describing the promising results of $MnCo₂O₄$ in energy technologies. Therefore, in this review article we focus on the recent advances in $MnCo₂O₄$ -based materials for energy applications and the main strategies used for the design of these materials (Scheme 1), including HSCs, LIBs and MABs, as well as the advancements achieved as electrocatalysts for water-splitting, more specifically for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and OER. The pros and cons of using this spinel in the different devices are critically discussed. Finally, the evolving application of $MnCo₂O₄$ materials in the ORR is discussed, as well as the perspectives and future directions anticipated.

2. MnCo₂O₄ spinel: a supercapacitive or battery-type material?

The growing and fast demand for clean and sustainable energy storage devices has generated a scientific race for abundant and low-cost materials that can be used in high energy density devices, especially in high power density applications. However, this scientific race has also resulted in a great deal of confusion in the classification of supercapacitive and battery-like materials, especially in the distinction of "pseudocapacitive" and "battery" materials.²⁴ In this sense, several review articles have recently been published in order to alert the scientific community on these misunderstandings.

To clarify the confusion, Chodankar et al.²⁴ reported a review article that serves as a guide, providing the meanings and correct performance metrics of different electrode materials and using the electrochemical signatures and quantitative kinetics analysis as a method to distinguish battery-type and pseudocapacitive materials. For instance, electrical double-layer

Fig. 1 The schematic illustration of the energy storage mechanisms with their corresponding electrochemical signatures (representative shapes of CV and CD curves): (a–c) electrical double layer capacitance, (d–f) surface redox capacitance, (g–i) intercalation capacitance, and (j–l) faradaic battery-type. Reproduced with permission from ref. 24. Copyright © 2020 Wiley-VCH GmbH.

capacitors (EDLCs) that store energy purely in the double-layer on a high surface area conductor 25 show a typical electrochemical signature of a supercapacitive material (Fig. 1a), that is, a rectangular cyclic voltammogram (CV, Fig. 1b) and galvanostatic charge/discharge (GCD) profile in the form of a symmetrical triangle (Fig. 1c). Similarly, pseudocapacitive materials have quasi-rectangular CVs and quasi-triangular GCD curves, however the charge storage mechanisms involve either (a) redox reactions at or near the surface (intrinsic pseudocapacitors); or (b) intercalation-type reactions.²⁴

Surface-redox pseudocapacitors, for example, are well represented by ruthenium (RuO_2) and manganese oxides (MnO_2) . In fact, due to their fast proton and electron-conducting properties at the surface of the electrode (Fig. 1d), their electrochemical signatures resemble those of EDLCs, as shown in Fig. 1e and f. In contrast, some layered oxides, such as $Nb₂O₅$ and MoO₃, can store energy by faradaic processes through the intercalation of electrolyte ions into the layers (Fig. 1g), especially in a nonaqueous electrolyte system, but without crystallographic phase changes. These materials are of the type "intercalation pseudocapacitors", but they should not be confused and called redox pseudocapacitors. According to Chodankar et $al.^{24}$ a way to avoid this confusion is to carefully

analyze the electrochemical features of intercalation pseudocapacitive materials and it was found that (i) they do not undergo phase transformations during intercalation, (ii) their peak potentials do not shift considerably with sweep rate, (iii) their current is linearly proportional to the sweep rate and (iv) their capacity does not vary significantly with charging time.

On the other hand, battery-type electrode materials have an electrochemical signature quite different from supercapacitive materials, since CVs display a couple of redox peaks (Fig. 1k) and plateau GCD profiles (Fig. 1l). This is due to the solid-state diffusion-controlled faradaic reactions characteristic of materials that present phase change of the electrode materials during the electrochemical process (Fig. 1j), such as oxides/ hydroxides of Ni, Co, Cu, and Cd that react with hydroxide ions in alkaline media to store a charge.²⁴

Then, is the $MnCo₂O₄$ spinel a supercapacitive or battery-type material? In the literature it is possible to find some studies that classify $MnCo₂O₄$ as a supercapacitive material, while others as battery-type. For example, V. Sannasi & K. Subbian²⁶ reported the preparation of high-pseudocapacitance $MnCo₂O₄$ nanostructures, while S. G. Krishnan, M. H. A. Rahim & R. Jose²⁷ reported the synthesis and characterization of $MnCo₂O₄$ cuboidal microcrystals as intercalation pseudocapacitors, however, both

studies presented the characteristic electrochemical signature of a battery-like material, such as CVs with a couple of redox peaks and plateau GCD profiles. In addition, peak potentials shifted considerably with sweep rate and capacity varied significantly with charging time. Thus, although many studies classify $MnCo₂O₄$ as a supercapacitive material, in this review work it was considered as a battery-type material, and in many cases the energy stored in the form of specific charge (C $\rm g^{-1})$ was recalculated, since the average capacitance (F) was not constant throughout the potential window in the CVs.

In addition, it is also important to clarify that when assembling a battery-type electrode (ex.: $MnCo₂O₄$) with a supercapacitive-type electrode, a HSC is obtained, matching the advantages from both batteries and supercapacitors, and rendering them promising advanced energy storage devices for commercial applications.²⁸ In fact, experimental and theoretical studies²⁹ (shown below) demonstrated that $MnCo₂O₄$ has a superior electrical conductivity when compared to $Co₃O₄$, also showing greater storage capacity compared to other cobaltite spinels (MCo₂O₄; M = Ni,²⁸ Cu,²⁸ Zn³⁰ and Co^{28,30}) with good cycling lifespan.²⁹ These characteristics demonstrate the promising possibilities of using these materials in high performance HSCs, as discussed below.

3. MnCo₂O₄-based materials for energy storage applications

3.1. Supercapacitors

3.1.1. Pristine $MnCo₂O₄$. Supercapacitors have received great attention owing to their high energy and power densities. Supercapacitors are highly desirable since this type of device can deliver high power and reasonable energy densities concurrently. Carbonaceous materials, conducting polymers and transition metal oxides have displayed higher energy density compared to other materials.³¹⁻³³ In particular, binary

metal oxides have been considered for supercapacitor electrodes due to their high electrical conductivity relative to single component oxides and advantages of achievable mixed valences.³⁴ MnCo₂O₄ has attracted considerable interest in supercapacitor application since cobalt has a high oxidation potential, whereas manganese can have multiple oxidation states and exhibit higher capacity.³⁵

In addition, it is important for a supercapacitor to have suitable fitting pore size distribution and large specific surface area, aiming to decrease the consumption of electrolyte by regulating the porous structure and morphology of the electrode, which determine the ion diffusion and conductivity, thereby affecting the capacitance of the supercapacitor. $MnCo₂O₄$ materials with different morphologies, such as spheres,³⁶ granules,³⁷ cuboidal microcrystals, 27 nanoneedles, 38 nanorods,^{39,40} cubes,^{26,41} nanosheets,⁴²⁻⁴⁴ nanocages,⁴⁵ tunable porous structures,⁴⁶ hollow spheres,⁴⁷ and network-like porous structures,^{48,49} can be prepared and tested for their usefulness as supercapacitor electrodes. For example, 1D $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowire arrays showed a specific capacitance of 349.8 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} and an energy density of 35.4 W h kg^{-1} at a power density of 225 W kg^{-1} .⁵⁰ Similarly, specific capacitances of 1342 F g^{-1} at 1 A g^{-1} and 988 F g^{-1} at 20 A g^{-1} were observed for $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowires synthesized by Xu et al.⁵¹ Power Fouries of the control of the control of the control of the transformed on the control of the c

Liu et al.²⁹ reported a MnCo₂O₄ mesoporous nanowire array grown on nickel foam (NF) with a high specific capacitance. From Fig. 2a it is possible to observe that the nanowire has a mesoporous characteristic being formed by $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles with a size distribution of \sim 20 nm (Fig. 2b) and a surface area of 98.5 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (determined from N_2 isotherms). In order to figure out the effect of Mn on the $MnCo₂O₄$ spinel the projected density of states and electronic band structures were determined and the results are shown in Fig. 2c and d, respectively. Those studies demonstrated that $MnCo₂O₄$ has a superior electrical conductivity when compared to $Co₃O₄$. In fact, $MnCo₂O₄$ presented a valence bond very near the Fermi

Fig. 2 TEM (a) and HRTEM (b) images of MnCo₂O₄ nanowires, (c and d) projected density of states and electronic band structures of MnCo₂O₄. (e) GCD curves of MnCo₂O₄ nanowires (black, at 1 A g⁻¹) and (f) cycling performance of MnCo₂O₄ nanowires (black, at 1 A g⁻¹). Reproduced with permission from ref. 29. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry.

level and a low bandgap of 0.35 eV at the G point, in contrast the $Co₃O₄$ presented a bandgap of 1.72 eV at the same point. Also, the electrochemical behavior of $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowires was superior to that of Co₃O₄. A specific capacitance of 2146 F $\rm g^{-1}$ at a current density of 1 A $\rm g^{-1}$ was observed for MnCo₂O₄ nanowires, while for $\rm{Co_3O_4}$ the specific capacitance was 948 F $\rm{g^{-1},^{29}}$ Fig. 2e. In addition, the $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowires presented an excellent capacitance retention of 92.1% even after 5000 cycles of charge–discharge process, as can be seen in Fig. 2f.

3.1.2. MnCo₂O₄–metal oxide composite. The recent development of hierarchical nanostructures obtained using metal oxides directly grown on an active material (current collector) showed promising results.⁵² These structures can be modulated in relation to their porosity and contact area between active materials and the electrolyte, providing more active sites in a given unit area without any auxiliary components, resulting in higher electrochemical properties. To link the performance gap between these materials, a variety of core–shell structure electrodes consisting of diverse compounds, such as $Co₃O₄(a)$ $MnCo_2O_4$,^{53,54} $MnCo_2O_4@MnMoO_4$,⁵⁵ $MnCo_2O_4@NiMoO_4$,^{56,57} and $MnCo₂O₄(Q)CoMnO₄$ have been constructed so far which remarkably improved the electrochemical properties of these materials compared with the individual components. In this way, Shrestha et al.⁵⁸ reported a sandwich-type architecture of $MnCo₂O₄@M-C@MnO₂$ as an electrode material exhibiting an excellent areal/gravimetric capacity of 0.75 mA h cm^{-2} / 312 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 3 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ with a capacity retention of 89.6% after 10 000 cycles. Besides, the hybrid supercapacitor presented an energy density of 68.2 W h kg^{-1} at 749.2 W kg^{-1} power density. **Journal of Materials Chemistry A**
 Excelle and also bandgap of 0.55 eV at the Up timit, in contrast the Since-1020. The same published in Fig. 40. The Moreo, Duestine in the same published in Fig. 40. The Moreo, Append

Liu et al.⁵⁹ reported the synthesis of a hierarchical MnCo₂O₄ nanowire@MnO2 sheet core shell nanostructure showing an energy density of 85.7 W h kg^{-1} at a power density of 800 W kg^{-1} . Zheng and coauthors 60 also reported a hierarchical $MnCo₂O₄(\mathfrak{D}MnO₂)$ core–shell nanowire array exhibiting an energy density of 135.6 W h kg^{-1} at a power density of 513 W $\mathrm{kg}^{-1}.$

Smarter integrated designs combined with different oxide materials are also reported, such as $MnO₂$,⁶¹ CoO,⁶² NiWO₄,⁶³ $ZnO, ^{64}$ Ni $O, ^{65}$ CoCo₂O₄, 66 CuCo₂O₄, 67 NiCo₂O₄, 68 and CoMnO₄. 69 These types of structures possess many competitive advantages, including improvement of electrical conductivity, high electron aggregation efficiency, rich approachable electroactive sites, and even excellent synergetic effects or multifunctional properties of the nanostructure components.⁷⁰

3.1.3. $MnCo₂O₄$ -conducting polymer composites. Conducting polymers can store energy through rapid faradaic charge transfer, since the electrochemical process occurs both on the surface and interface of the electrode material (between the electrode and electrolyte), and due to this, they have been combined with $Mn_2Co_2O_4$ in the form of composites to increase the capacitance.⁷¹ Conducting polymers with high electrical conductivity provide more active sites, which improve the maximum utilization of the $MnCo₂O₄$ electrode material. The $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoflakes@polypyrrole (PPy) nanowire electrode displayed a specific capacitance of 2933 F g^{-1} at 20 A g^{-1} .³² Similarly, Wang et $al.^{72}$ reported the preparation of $MnCo₂O₄(Q)$ PPy nanostructures on graphite foam (GNF), as described in Fig. 3a. The $MnCo₂O₄(Q)PPy/GNF$ was prepared in different concentrations of PPy, being denoted as $MnCo₂O₄@$ -PPy/GNF-n, where n was varied from 1 to 6 and the SEM images are shown in Fig. 3b–g. It is possible to observe that PPy grows vertically on $MnCo₂O₄$ as the concentration of PPy increases, thus forming interconnected network nanosheets. The $MnCo₂O₄(Q)$ PPy/GNF-5 exhibited a specific capacitance of 2364 F $\rm g^{-1}$ and a rate capability of 55.2% from 1 to 50 A $\rm g^{-1}.$

Furthermore, a HSC was built with $MnCo₂O₄(@PPy/GNF-5)$ and activated microwave exfoliated graphite oxide (a-MEGO) as positive and negative electrodes, respectively. The HSC showed an energy density of 25.7 W h kg^{-1} and a power density of 16.1 kW kg^{-1} , besides a capacitance retention of 85.5% after incredible 10 000 cycles (Fig. 3h). Hu et al^{73} also reported a composite based on PPy decorated by $MnCo₂O₄$ urchins showing an energy density of 0.785 mW h cm^{-1} at a power density of 7.49 W cm^{-1} as the positive electrode for supercapacitors.

3.1.4. $MnCo₂O₄$ -carbon based composites. Materials based on carbon structures have a large specific surface area, good electrical conductivity and strong mechanical strength, thus becoming leading materials for the electrodes applied for supercapacitors. However, the specific capacitance of carbon is much lower than that of transition metal oxides, which limits their practical applications to a certain degree. Fortunately, carbon modifications can improve the electrical conductivity of $MnCo₂O₄$ -based electrode materials.^{74,75} Moreover, the combined effects of $MnCo₂O₄$ structure with different materials of conducting carbons, such as graphene, $31,33,76,77$ activated carbon,^{78,79} carbon nanofibers,⁸⁰ carbon aerogels,⁸¹ reduced graphene oxide (rGO) ,^{82,83} carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and graphene quantum dots,⁸⁴ are very promising to improve the overall performance of the system. In this way, Saren et al.⁸⁵ reported the preparation of flower-like hybrid spinel $MnCo₂$ - O_4 @graphene nanosheets and MnCo₂O₄@CNT nanocomposites by a hydrothermal method for supercapacitor application. A specific capacitance of 923.97 F g^{-1} , an energy density of 82.13 W h kg^{-1} and a power density of 399.74 W kg^{-1} at a current density of 1 A $\rm g^{-1}$ were observed for MnCo₂O₄@graphene nanosheets, while a specific capacitance of 579.71 F $\rm g^{-1}$ was achieved for MnCo₂O₄@CNT.

Wu and coworkers⁷⁷ developed a new bifunctional composite based on $MnCo₂O₄/nanographene (B-n-MnCo₂O₄) prepared on$ a macroporous electrically conductive network (MECN) as an electrode material for supercapacitors and sodium ion batteries. The 3D structure of nanographene coating MECN and B-n-MnCo₂O₄@MECN can be seen in Fig. 3i–l. Fig. 3i and k show nanographene layers with a lateral size of 50–200 nm and when the $B-n-MnCo₂O₄$ was incorporated onto the MECN (Fig. 3j and l) the 3D nanostructure displayed a uniform diameter of \sim 50 nm. This 3D interconnected morphology seems to be very interesting since it can improve the electronic conductivity, in addition to facilitating electrochemical reactions, because of its large surface area. The B-n-MnCo₂O₄@-MECN presented a high specific capacitance of 7.02 F cm^{-2} (2341 F g^{-1}) at 3 mA cm⁻². The specific capacitance of B-n-

Fig. 3 Scheme of the synthesis process of PPy@MnCo₂O₄/GNF (a), SEM images of the PPy@MnCo₂O₄/GNF-n (n = 1-6) (b-g) and cycling stability performance of the hybrid supercapacitor PPy@MnCo₂O₄/GNF-5//a-MEGO (h). Reproduced with permission from ref. 72. Copyright © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. SEM images of nanographene@MECN at low (i) and high magnification (k) and B-n-MnCo₂O₄@MECN at low (j) and high magnification (l). Stability electrochemical performance of the hybrid supercapacitor B-n-MnCo₂O₄@MECN//AC@Ni foam (m). Reproduced with permission from ref. 77. Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

 $MnCo₂O₄(@MECN)$ is much larger than that of $Mn₃O₄/nano$ $graphene@MECN$ (2.7 F $\rm cm^{-2})$ and $Co₃O₄/nano$ graphene@MECN (2.2 F $\rm cm^{-2})$ at the same current density, which highlights the presence of $MnCo₂O₄$ in the composite.

Also, a HSC was built with B-n-MnCo₂O₄@MECN and AC@Ni foam as positive and negative electrodes, respectively. The device provided an outstanding long lifetime and stability as well. Even after incredible 20 000 charge-discharge cycles the device showed a capacitance retention of 80%, as can be seen in Fig. 3m. The long lifetime can be attributed to good adhesion between all the components and good electrical contact between B-n-MnCo₂O₄ and MECN as the current collector.

3.1.5. Other $MnCo₂O₄$ -based composite materials. $MnCo₂O₄(QCoS⁸⁶, MnCo₂O₄/Ni^{87,88}, MnCo₂O₄(Qnitrogen-doped$ carbon,⁸⁹ MnCo₂O₄/Ni/Cu,⁹⁰ MnCo₂O₄@Co(OH)₂,⁹¹ and $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni(OH)₂$ belts⁹² are also introduced as positive electrodes for supercapacitor applications, which delivered capacitances comparable with those of the previously discussed composites. For example, Lv et $al.^{93}$ reported a novel selfsupported MnCo₂O₄@Ni₃S₂ core-shell heterostructure, showing a specific capacitance of 2807 F g^{-1} at 3 A $\mathrm{g}^{-1}.$ The same research group⁹⁴ also described a hierarchical MnCo₂O₄/ NiMn composite deposited on Ni foam. The layer-by-layer architecture combined with the synergistic effect of both components of the composite provided a specific capacitance of 3063 F g^{-1} at 3 A g^{-1} and a cycle stability of 94.7% at 20 A g^{-1} over 5000 cycles.

Most of the materials previously-mentioned were deposited on Ni foam as the conductive substrate,^{27,57,60,65} which did not require the addition of polymer binders, and promoted rapid electron transport between the active material and current collector, thereby resulting in a substantially efficient substrate, due to the high electrical conductivity $(1.43 \times 10^7 \Omega^{-1})$ and thermal conductivity (90.7 W mK^{-1}) of Ni.⁹⁰ Nevertheless, other conductive substrates and current collectors have also been employed, such as carbon aerogels, s^{s1} graphite foam, $⁷²$ indium-</sup> doped tin oxide,⁴⁴ activated carbon,⁷⁸ microporous electrically conductive networks,⁷⁷ graphite paper, $59,67,95$ carbon fiber paper⁹⁶ and copper foil.³⁵ Table 1 presents the performance of different supercapacitor materials coupled with different types of electrodes based on $MnCo₂O₄$.

3.2. Batteries

3.2.1. Lithium-ion batteries. Rechargeable Li-ion batteries (LIBs) were shown as the most efficient energy storage devices, since the small size of lithium ions makes their diffusion more favorable in a variety of structures.¹⁰³ Spinel binary transitionmetal oxide materials, such as $MnCo₂O₄$, have been widely investigated as anode electrodes for LIBs, due to their lower cost and better electronic conductivity than single-metal oxides.^{104,105}

Table 1 Performances of some reported MnCo₂O₄-based supercapacitors^ª Table 1 Performances of some reported MnCo₂O₄-based supercapacitors $^{\alpha}$

10 mV s-۳.

(vs. Ag/AgCl)

Table 1 (Contd.) Table 1 (Contd.)

62

NiCo₂O₄–MnCo₂O₄ rose-NiCo₂O₄-MnCo₂O₄ rose- 1100 F g⁻
like composite 1 A g⁻¹

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\overline{ }$

 1 0.0–0.4 V (vs. 69.1%, (1–10 A g $^{-}$ SCE)

 \widehat{H}

——— — 102

 $\int_{1}^{8} g^{-1} dt$ 440 C g^{-1}

 1 A g^{-1}

 $_{\rm PP}$ $=$

 p olypyrrole; rMnCo₂O @rMnO₂ = reduced core–shell structured MnCo₂O @MnO₂. * By cyclic voltammetry.

A large variety of nanoscale building blocks of $MnCo₂O₄$ have been widely investigated, especially due to their good electrochemical performance.¹⁰⁶

In order to improve the electrochemical performance of $MnCo₂O₄$ structures as anodic materials in LIBs, morphological modifications and synthesis methods have been the focus of investigations.¹⁰⁷–¹¹³ One of these strategies has been focused on the preparation of porous $MnCo₂O₄$ materials^{114,115} and on the development of diverse substrates as current collectors (carbon cloth,¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ Ni foam¹¹³ and copper foil^{115,119}) with robust adhesion to obtain a binder-free anode material. Li et al .¹²⁰ first developed a two-step method to prepare uniform hollow $MnCo₂O₄$ submicrospheres with multilevel interiors (mesoporous, hollow, yolk–shell, shell-in-shell, and yolk-in-doubleshell spheres). The yolk–shell morphology (Fig. 4a) showed the best performance among these multilevel interior structures, with an initial discharge capacity of 1425 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at a current density of 400 mA g^{-1} (Fig. 4b). Huang *et al.*121 also fabricated spherical yolk–shell $MnCo₂O₄$ powders (Fig. 4c) by a hydrothermal method followed by a thermal treatment, with an initial discharge capacity of 1445 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.2 A $\rm g^{-1}$ and capacity retention of \sim 860.0 mA h g⁻¹ after 40 cycles at 0.2 A g⁻¹ (Fig. 4d). The literature reports the preparation of different $MnCo₂O₄$ porous based structures for anode electrodes, such as spheres,^{122,123} yolk-shell microspheres¹²⁴ (Fig. 4e and f), microflowers,¹²⁵ hydrangea-like structures,¹²⁶ and dumbbell-shaped structures.¹²⁷ Power Society of a moselle building blocks of Article.0.0, have 394 mah je³ at a current density of Somals chemistry Article between width investigated, especially december 2020. Online the proposal in the proposal on t

Fu et al.¹⁰⁶ reported the preparation of microspheres of $MnCo₂O₄$ by a calcination-free method; in this work, two kinds of MnCo₂O₄ crystals with different exposed facets of (110) and $(1\overline{1}\overline{2})$ were synthesized, presenting two different morphologies, particle-assembled and sheet-assembled microspheres, respectively. The anode was evaluated, and the microspheres delivered a capacity of 722 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ after 25 cycles at a current density of 200 mA $\rm g^{-1}$, and capacities up to 553 and 320 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ after 200 cycles at a current density of 400 and 900 mA $\rm g^{-1}$, respectively.

Huang and colleagues¹²⁸ proposed a novel core-shell ellipsoidal $MnCo₂O₄$ powder with a desired micro-/nano-structure and unique concentration gradient. The battery tests demonstrated excellent values of initial discharge capacities (1433.3 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.1 A $\rm g^{-1}$ and 1248.4 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.4 A $\rm g^{-1}$), capacity retention (~900.0 mA h g^{-1} after 60 cycles at 0.1 A g^{-1}) and rate performance (\sim 620.0 mA h g^{-1} after 50 cycles at $0.4 A g^{-1}$).

Developing composites of $MnCo₂O₄$ with other materials is an important strategy to improve the performance of LIBs.^{129,130} A 3D sandwich-shape graphene based nanocomposite intercalated with double-shelled hollow $MnCo₂O₄$ spheres as an anode material for LIBs has been synthesized, showing a rate capability of 538 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at a current density of 1000 mA $\rm g^{-1}$ and outstanding cycle performance, with a capability of 703 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ after 100 cycles at 200 mA $\rm g^{-1.131}$ The literature also reports the synthesis of $MnCo₂O₄$ containing nickel,^{132,133} $Co₃O₄$,¹¹⁹ CoO,¹¹⁸ MnO₂,¹³⁴ TiO₂,¹³⁵ and NiCo₂O₄.¹⁰² Huang and coauthors¹³⁶ designed a MnCo₂O₄@N-doped carbon@MnO₂ three layered core shell octahedron as an anode material for Liion storage, which displayed a discharge capacity of 894 mA h g^{-1} at a current density of 500 mA g^{-1} after 120 cycles. Even at a high current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} , the discharge capacity remained at 839 mA h g^{-1} after 600 cycles.

Some conductive substrates have also served as current collectors to further improve the electrochemical performance of electrode materials, including carbon materials and conductive polymers, which have been mixed with $MnCo₂O₄$ structures,^{137,138} such as graphene,¹³⁹ CNTs,¹⁴⁰ carbon cloth^{116,117} and PPy.¹⁴¹ Hence, the construction of composites with the combination of two or more different materials has been proved as a promising strategy to boost the electrochemical performance of $MnCo₂O₄$. Due to the electronic conductivity and the specific surface area, rGO has been considered for the formation of composites with $MnCo₂O₄$. Fan et al.¹⁴² reported the synthesis of $MnCo₂O₄/rGO$ composites with an initial discharge capacity of 1657 mA h \rm{g}^{-1} at a current density of 0.1 A $\rm{g}^{-1},$ and a reversible capacity of 791 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.2 A $\rm g^{-1}$ for 100 cycles. A $MnCo₂O₄(Q)PANi-rGO composite was also synthesized by Huang$ et al.,¹⁴³ with a discharge capacity of 745 mA h g^{-1} and a coulombic efficiency of 100% after 1050 cycles at a current density of 500 mA g^{-1} .

3.2.2. Sodium ion batteries. Operating with similar chemistry to Li-ion electrodes, sodium-ion batteries (SIBs) are under intense investigation to overtake LIBs with the advantages of low-cost and safety. Wu and coworkers¹⁴⁴ reported mesoporous Ni-doped $MnCo₂O₄$ hollow nanotubes (MCNO-HNTs) as an anode in SIBs, with a remarkable capacity retention of 81% at 1 A g^{-1} even after 11 000 cycles. Flower-like MnCo₂O₄ synthesized by a co-precipitation method exhibited a discharge capacity of 244 mA h g^{-1} after 40 cycles at 50 mA h g^{-1} , which corresponds to 77.1% compared with the second discharge capacity cycle.¹⁴⁵ Table 2 summarizes the performance of different cells of metal ion batteries coupled with different types of electrodes based on $MnCo₂O₄$.

3.2.3. Li- $O₂$ batteries. Lithium-oxygen batteries have a specific potential energy density of approximately 1700 W h kg^{-1} , which is 5-fold higher than that of conventional current LIBs. This type of device has also significant advantage in their gravimetric energy densities.¹⁴⁹ Transition metal oxides, such as $MnCo₂O₄$, have been investigated as cathodes for Li-O₂ batteries,^{150,151} especially due to their low-cost catalyst, good stability, high activity, and simple preparation.¹⁵² Wu et $al.^{153}$ reported hierarchical porous 3D $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowire bundles as a cathode for $Li-O₂$ cell application (Fig. 5a), which exhibited specific capacities of 500 and 1000 mA h g^{-1} over 300 and 144 cycles, respectively, and a discharge capacity of 12 919 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.1 mA cm^{-2} . More importantly, after two months of cycling, the microstructure of the cathode was maintained and a recyclability of over 200 cycles was achieved. Other structures with high electrochemical performance can be obtained, such as nanotubes¹⁵⁴ (Fig. 5b) and spheres^{155,156} (Fig. 5c). Composites are also explored to maximize the electrochemical performance of Li– $O₂$ batteries, particularly highlighting materials containing porous carbon,¹⁵⁷ Ti₄O₇¹⁵⁸ and MoO₂/Ni¹⁵⁹.

Large surface areas can provide a promising electrocatalytic activity for the ORR and OER in $Li-O₂$ batteries. In this way, to enhance the charge transfer rate, composites between $MnCo₂O₄$

Fig. 4 Scanning electron microscopy images (SEM) and galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles (GCD) of yolk–shell MnCo₂O₄. SEM images of (a) hollow MnCo₂O₄ submicrospheres. (c) Hierarchical porous MnCo₂O₄ yolk–shell microspheres and (e) spherical yolk–shell MnCo₂O₄ powders. GCD curves of (b) hollow MnCo₂O₄ submicrospheres, (d) hierarchical porous MnCo₂O₄ yolk–shell microspheres and (f) spherical yolk– shell MnCo₂O₄ powders. (a) and (b) adapted with permission from ref. 120. Copyright © 2014, American Chemical Society. (c and d) adapted with permission from ref. 121. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry. (e and f) adapted with permission from ref. 124. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry.

and carbon materials have been reported.152,160–¹⁶³ A peanut shaped $MnCo₂O₄$ which is encapsulated by multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MCO/MWCNTs) was synthesized through a solvothermal method. The batteries exhibited a discharge capacity of 8849 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ with a restricted voltage of 2 V at 100 mA $\rm g^{-1}$ and a cycle life of 120 times at 100 mA g^{-1} with a limited capacity of 500 mA h g^{-1} .¹⁶⁴

3.2.4. Other metal-air batteries. $MnCo₂O₄$ electrodes can be prepared as active materials for different metal–air batteries. Ishihara et al.¹⁶⁵ studied ORR/OER on mesoporous spinels for Zn-air rechargeable batteries. The $MnCo₂O₄$ spinel showed a surface area of 108 m^2 g^{-1} and an average pore size of 2 nm, providing a decrease of overpotential for the ORR/OER in Zn–air batteries, which showed a stable discharge potential and capacity at 1.05 V and 700 mA h g^{-1} , respectively.

Carbonaceous materials and heteroatom doped-carbon materials were also mixed with $MnCo₂O₄$ due to their intrinsic advantages as ORR catalysts, such as higher surface area and electrochemical stability. Chandrappa et al.¹⁶⁶ reported a composite formed by combining $MnCo₂O₄$

Table 2 Performances reported for MnCo₂O₄-based metal ion batteries["] Table 2 Performances reported for MnCo₂O₄-based metal ion batteries["]

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 3095-3124 | 3107

Table 2 (Contd.)

nanospheres with graphene sheets (MCO/GS) as a bifunctional cathode catalyst for Zn–air batteries. The electrochemical measurements revealed a unique small charge–discharge overpotential, cycling stability and higher rate capability than a bare MCO catalyst. Carbon coated $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowires $(MnCo₂O₄(a)C)$ were also used as a bifunctional oxygen catalyst for rechargeable Zn–air batteries. The authors recorded an excellent electrochemical performance and improved cycling stability, with an onset potential of 0.92 V and current retention rate of 99% within 10 000 s at 0.80 V vs. RHE.¹⁶⁷ Besides Zn-air batteries,¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁶⁹ Na-air devices have also been reported in the literature.170,171 Table 3 summarizes the performance of different cells coupled with different metal–air batteries of electrodes based on $MnCo₂O₄$.

4. MnCo₂O₄-based electrocatalysts for energy conversion and storage

4.1. ORR catalysts in energy storage

As already highlighted in the previous topics, the development of high-performance electrocatalysts is essential for achieving high-performance energy devices, especially catalysts for oxygen reactions (OER and ORR) due to the sluggish reaction kinetics, which often requires a large overpotential to sustain a reasonable rate of electrode reactions.¹⁷² In fact, the design and optimization of catalysts for the ORR/OER is of fundamental importance for the development of more efficient and competitive energy storage devices, such as for metal–air batteries¹⁷³ and proton exchange membrane fuel cells $(PEMFCs)$.¹⁷⁴

Currently, Ir and/or Ru based oxides and Pt-based materials are the most widely used catalysts for the OER and ORR, respectively. However, the high cost, scarcity, and poor bifunctional activity of precious metals greatly hinder their industrial application on a large scale. 175 To solve these disadvantages, intensive efforts have been devoted to development of noble metal-free oxygen reaction catalysts with low cost and high activity in the past decades,¹⁷⁶ especially for ORR catalysts. Among these reported noble metal-free ORR catalysts or bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts, $MnCo₂O₄$ and $MnCo₂O₄$ -derived composites show great potential as electrocatalysts because of their high intrinsic activity, and the corresponding activities can be further tuned through their phase and composition.¹⁷⁷

In fact, based on Table 4, it is possible to perceive important and well-known strategies that have been employed in the design of electrocatalysts containing $MnCo₂O₄$ for the ORR, as for example: (1) active site engineering, obtained through the control of size, morphology and defects, as well as the crystalline phase, in order to maximize the density of active sites, $3,178$ and (2) conductivity optimization, obtained especially by doping with hetero-atoms and/or by formation of composites with conducting materials.^{3,178,179}

As an excellent example of the active site engineering strategy, Yang et al.¹⁸⁰ successfully reported a facile precursor pyrolysis method to prepare porous spinel cobalt manganese oxides with tunable size, shape, chemical composition and

Fig. 5 Scanning electron microscopy images (SEM) of different morphologies of MnCo₂O₄. SEM images of (a) MnCo₂O₄ nanowires, (b) singlewall MnCo₂O₄ nanotubes and (c) MnCo₂O₄ nanospheres. Fig. 1a adapted with permission from ref. 53. Copyright © 2017, American Chemical Society. Fig. 1b, adapted with permission from ref. 154. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry. Fig. 1c adapted with permission from ref. 155. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry.

crystalline structure via a facile precursor pyrolysis method (Fig. 6a). The capping agent and reaction temperature in the reaction were found to be crucial in the formation of porous spinel cobalt manganese oxides from cubic Co₂MnO₄ nanorods (c-CMO NRs) to tetragonal CoMn₂O₄ microspheres (t-CMO MSs) and tetragonal CoMn₂O₄ cubes (t-CMO CBs).

 $^{\emph{a}}$ The overpotential was calculated based on the difference of discharge–charge voltage plateaus. rGO = reduced graphene oxide, MWCNT = multi wall carbon nanotube, and $C =$ carbon.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A **Review** A Review A Review

Table 4 $\,$ Catalytic activity parameters of recently reported ORR MnCo2O4-based electrocatalysts $\,$

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 3095-3124 | 3111

Table 4 (Contd.)

Table 4 (Contd.)

Review Journal of Materials Chemistry A

and sulfur co-doped mesoporous carbon spheres, N-doped MWCNT nitrogen-doped multi-walled carbon nanotube, N,S-CNT N,S-doped carbon nanotubes, 3D-G three-dimensional graphene, N–C N-doped carbon, pNGr N-doped porous graphene, D-AC AC-based defective carbon, t-CMO CBs = tetragonal CoMn₂O₄ cubes, tetragonal CoMn₂O₄ microspheres, and c-CMO NRs $=$ cubic Co₂MnO₄ nanorods.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A Review A Review **Review Review Review Review**

Table 4 (Contd.)

Table 4 (Contd.)

Fig. 6 (a) The synthetic process of different spinel Co_xMn_{3-x}O₄. ORR data of the prepared CMOs in O₂ versus Ar-saturated 0.1 M KOH with a catalyst mass loading of 0.21 mg cm $^{-2}$. (b) Cyclic voltammetry curves of electrocatalysts in O₂ versus Ar-saturated 0.1 M KOH. (c) Linear sweep voltammograms of the electrocatalysts in 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm. (d) Electron transfer number n at different potentials. (e) Chronoamperometric responses (percentage of current retained versus operation time) of the different spinel CMOs and 20% Pt/C kept at 0.50 V vs. RHE in O₂saturated 0.1 M KOH. Reproduced with permission from ref. 180. Copyright © 2016, Tsinghua University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

As illustrated in CVs (Fig. 6b) and polarization curves (Fig. 6c) of porous CMOs and 20% Pt/C, all the prepared spinel CMOs exhibited good ORR electrocatalytic activities, of which the c-CMO NRs showed a much more positive onset potential of 0.9 V and a half-wave potential of 0.72 V, which are very close values to those obtained by a commercial Pt/C. Furthermore, the n value of the c-CMO NRs in ORR electrocatalysis was calculated to be about 3.9 in the range of 0.45 and 0.60 V (Fig. 6d, which is in good agreement with a 4-electron oxygen reduction process) and demonstrated a desired durability with negligible degradation of their electrocatalytic activity after a continuous operation time of 10 000 seconds (Fig. 6e), which is much better than that of the commercial Pt/C electrocatalyst.¹⁸⁰

The active site engineering strategy has also been used in the development of a mesoporous MnCo₂O₄ electrode material.¹⁸¹ In one of these studies, Wang and co-workers¹⁸² reported a mesoporous bifunctional oxygen $MnCo₂O₄$ electrocatalyst synthesized through a spray-pyrolysis route (Fig. 7a), with Mn^W in the surface and Mn ^{III} in the bulk while Co^H was present both in the surface and bulk, as confirmed by X-ray near-edge structure (XANES) and XPS investigation. As a result, the $MnCo₂O₄$ exhibited both $Co₃O₄$ -like activity for the OER (Fig. 7b) and Mn_2O_3 -like performance for the ORR (Fig. 7c), with a potential difference between the ORR and OER of 0.83 V. According to the Koutecky–Levich (K–L) equation, the electron

transfer number (n) of MnCo₂O₄ was calculated to be 3.94 and after 10 h, the loss of current density for $MnCo₂O₄$ was only 15%, indicating higher stability of $MnCo₂O₄$ than Pt/C (Fig. 7e). Another advantage is that the electrode material can be obtained on a large-scale at a relatively low temperature with precise chemical control of the components. The prominent bifunctional activity shows that $MnCo₂O₄$ could be used in metal-air batteries and/or other energy devices, as confirmed by the home-build Zn–air battery used to study the bifunctional stability of mesoporous $MnCo₂O₄$ (Fig. 7e).¹⁸²

On the other hand, conductivity optimization by the formation of composites with conducting carbon materials has been the main strategy for preparing excellent electrocatalysts for the ORR. In fact, pure $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles displayed certain ORR catalytic activity, but with a poor onset potential and peak potential.¹⁸³ In this context, among the most used carbon materials for this application, it is possible to highlight Vulcan carbons, 184 CNTs²² and graphene derivatives.²⁰

In one of these studies, Zhang et al.¹⁸⁵ designed a strategy to prepare $MnCo₂O₄$ on three-dimensional graphene (3D-G), as shown in Fig. 8. Typically, 3D-G (with multilayered structure of graphene) was synthesized using a coal tar pitch as the carbon source and nano MgO as the template (Fig. 8a). Then, spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles were *in situ* prepared and deposited on the inner walls of pores in the 3D-G by a facile hydrothermal method, resulting in the $MnCo₂O₄/3D-G$ composite.¹⁸⁵

Fig. 7 (a) SEM of MnCo₂O₄; (b) OER polarization curves of catalysts at 1600 rpm; (c) ORR polarization curves of catalysts at 1600 rpm; (d) percentage of peroxide and electron numbers (n) of Co₃O₄, Mn₂O₃, MnCo₂O₄, Pt/C, and the physical mixture of Mn₂O₃ and Co₃O₄. (e) Chronoamperometric measurements of MnCo₂O₄ and Pt/C at -0.3 V (V vs. Ag/AgCl) in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm. (f) A green light emitting diode (LED) panel powered by six Zn-air batteries (containing MnCo₂O₄). Reproduced with permission from ref. 182. Copyright © 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Surprisingly, the $MnCo₂O₄/3D-G$ catalyst showed an onset potential of 0.98 V (vs. RHE) and the half-wave potential was 0.81 V (vs. RHE) in a solution of 0.1 M KOH (Fig. 8b), which was clearly superior to those of 20 wt% Pt/C $(0.97 \text{ V}, 0.80 \text{ V})$, MnCo₂O₄/rGO (0.94 V, 0.78 V), MnCo₂O₄/CNTs (0.93 V, 0.74 V), and MnCo₂O₄/C (0.92 V, 0.72 V).¹⁸⁵ In addition, the electron transfer number was 3.96 at 0.4 V (vs. RHE), and its catalyzed ORR mainly follows a four-electron process (Fig. 8c), indicating that the $MnCo₂O₄/3D-G$ catalyst possesses superior selectivity for the ORR process. Besides that, $MnCo₂O₄/3D-G$ showed the lowest Tafel slope of 68.5 mV dec⁻¹ compared to those of Pt/C $(70.2 \text{ mV dec}^{-1} \text{ of } 20 \text{ wt\%}), \text{ MnCo}_2\text{O}_4/\text{rGO } (75.4 \text{ mV dec}^{-1}),$ $\rm MnCo_2O_4/CNTs$ $(84.6\,$ mV $\rm\,dec^{-1})$ and $\rm MnCo_2O_4/C$ $(87.4\,$ mV dec^{-1}) (Fig. 8d), and the durability test demonstrated that the

Fig. 8 (a) The synthesis route to the MnCo₂O₄/3D-G catalyst. (b) LSV curves of the different catalysts. (c) Electron transfer number per oxygen molecule of the different catalysts at different potentials. (d) Tafel slopes of the electrode assemblies fabricated with different catalysts. Reproduced with permission from ref. 185. Copyright @ 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

 $MnCo₂O₄/3D-G$ catalyst has a much better durability than commercial Pt/C.¹⁸⁵ This work shows that the preparation of composites with carbonaceous materials is really an inspiring strategy to prepare high performance electrocatalysts for the development of fuel cells.

It is important to highlight that results as promising as those obtained in the work by Zhang et al.¹⁸⁵ were obtained by forming composites (conductivity optimization strategy) with conductive carbonaceous materials, applying the active site engineering strategy to the catalytic nanocarbon sites of these composites. In this combination of strategies, N and S-doped carbonaceous materials have been intensively studied, 21 for example, Fu and co-workers¹⁸⁶ successfully prepared composed of N-doped carbon (N–C) and $MnCo₂O₄$ NPs for the ORR $(MnCo₂O₄/N-C)$, with an ORR onset potential of 0.943 V, ORR half-wave potential of 0.795 V, synthesized by pyrolyzing the mesoporous-silica-protected zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) and etching, followed by a facile hydrothermal procedure (Fig. 9a). The superior performance of $MnCo₂O₄/N-C$ was

attributed to its porous structure and large surface area, N-doping effect, small size $MnCo₂O₄$ NPs and synergistic effects between the doped active species.¹⁸⁶ Based on the values of onset and half-wave potentials shown in Table 1, it is possible to infer that the MnCo₂O₄/N–C reported by Fu et al.¹⁸⁶ presented slightly better performance than other composites containing N-doped carbonaceous materials, such as N-MWCNT-MnCo₂O₄ (ORR onset potential of 0.86 V, ORR half-wave potential of 0.75 V), 22 and CMO/20N-rGO (ORR onset potential of 0.93 V, ORR half-wave potential of 0.79 V).¹⁸⁷

Using a slightly different approach, Liang et al.¹⁸⁸ developed hybrid composites through direct NP nucleation and growth on nitrogen doped-reduced graphene oxide (N-rmGO) sheets and Mn substitution of spinel Co₃O₄ NPs (average size of \sim 5 nm) for the ORR under alkaline conditions (Fig. 9b), as confirmed by the SEM (Fig. 9c) and TEM (Fig. 9d) images, as well as by the HRTEM images, showing the lattice fringes of the nanocrystals, consistent with the $MnCo₂O₄$ crystal structure (Fig. 9e). This method results in covalent coupling between oxide NPs and N-

Fig. 9 Schematic illustration of preparation of (a) MnCo₂O₄/N–C nanocomposites. Reproduced with permission from ref. 186. Copyright © 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. Schematic illustration of preparation of (b) the MnCo₂O₄/N-rmGO hybrid. (c) SEM image and (d) TEM image with an inset of the electron diffraction pattern of the MnCo₂O₄/N-rmGO hybrid, respectively. (e) A high-magnification TEM image of the MnCo₂O₄/N-rmGO hybrid. (f) CV curves of the MnCo₂O₄/N-rmGO hybrid, MnCo₂O₄ + N-rmGO mixture, Co₃O₄/N-rmGO hybrid, and N-rmGO on glassy carbon electrodes in O₂-saturated (solid line) or N₂-saturated (dashed line) 1 M KOH. The peak position of Pt/C was shown as a dashed line for comparison. (g) Rotating-disk electrode voltammograms of the MnCo₂O₄/N-rmGO hybrid, MnCo₂O₄ + N-rmGO mixture, Co₃O₄/N-rmGO hybrid, N-rmGO, and Pt/C in O₂-saturated 1 M KOH at a sweep rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ at 1600 rpm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 188. Copyright © 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

rmGO sheets, yielding higher activity and stronger durability than the physical mixture of NPs and N-rmGO.¹⁸⁸

Interestingly, the C–O and C–N bonds in the N-rmGO sheet were strongly perturbed, suggesting the formation of C–O– metal and C–N–metal bonds between N-rmGO and spinel oxide NPs, as confirmed by electrochemical and XANES investigations. As a result, the composite showed a more positive onset (0.95 V vs. RHE, Fig. 9f–g) and a greater electron transfer number (~ 3.9) than the corresponding physical mixture of $MnCo₂O₄$ NPs and N-rmGO (0.91 V vs. RHE and the electron transfer number $= \sim 3.7$).¹⁸⁸ Based on the above, it is feasible to mention that the combination of conductivity optimization and active site engineering strategies should benefit the design of advanced ORR electrocatalysts for energy conversion and storage. **Journal of Materials Chemistry A**

Putual Engine methods on the system of When the Hydrod State in the system of When the physical matter points and New York 2020. The content of NPs and New York 2020. The formation of C

4.2. Water-splitting electrocatalysts for energy conversion (OER and HER)

Over the years, the energy demand has increased significantly, and this consumption has intensified year after year, which has led to the depletion of non-renewable energy sources (fossil fuels), as well as an increase in environmental pollution.²⁰⁰ Therefore, the development of new technologies, in which energy is obtained safely, cheaply, and without harming the environment is essential for the preservation of our society.

Among the energy conversion systems, electrochemical water splitting has proved to be very efficient when it comes to obtaining clean and high purity fuels.²⁰¹ In fact, from the electrochemical water splitting it is possible to obtain O_2 and H_2 through OER and HER that occur at the anode and cathode electrodes, respectively.^{2,202}

The HER can be expressed depending on electrolyte pH, according to eqn (1) and (2) :

Acidic medium:
$$
2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2
$$
 (1)

Neutral/alkaline medium: $4H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2 + 4OH^-$ (2)

In addition, the HER can be divided into two steps called Volmer and Heyrovsky or Tafel pathways, where Hads acts as an intermediate species and plays a crucial role in the mechanism. The first step can proceed in acidic (eqn (3)) and neutral/ alkaline solutions (eqn (4)), as indicated by the equations below:

$$
H^+ + e^- \to H_{ads} \tag{3}
$$

$$
H_2O + e^- \rightarrow H_{ads} + OH^-
$$
 (4)

Depending on the coverage ratio of $H_{ads}(\theta_H)$, the second step can occur through Heyrovsky or Tafel pathways. Whereas the Heyrovsky pathway occurs due to low θ_H (eqn (5) in acidic medium and eqn (6) in neutral/alkaline medium), the Tafel pathway occurs in consequence of high θ_H , regardless of the pH value (eqn (7)).

$$
H_{ads} + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2 \tag{5}
$$

$$
H_2O + e^- + H_{ads} \rightarrow H_2 + OH^-
$$
 (6)

$$
H_{ads} + H_{ads} \rightarrow H_2 \tag{7}
$$

However, the obtaining of $H₂$ is limited by the sluggish reaction kinetics of the OER, because of the four-step electron transfer process (4OH⁻ \rightarrow H₂O + O₂ + 4e⁻) in neutral or alkaline medium.

Currently, catalysts formed by using noble metals, such as $RuO₂$, IrO₂, and Pt, have been used in electrochemical water splitting in order to overcome the slow reaction kinetics of the OER.²⁰³ However, these catalysts are scarce and expensive, and their use in industry is not feasible. Therefore, noble metal catalysts have been replaced by alternative ones such as layered double hydroxides,^{2,204} oxides,^{205,206} nitrides and sulfides,^{207,208} and spinel structures.^{209,210} Among these catalyst groups, spinel oxides with AB_2O_4 (A and B transition metals) in special $MnCo₂O₄$ have stood out as promising electrode materials for water splitting due to the ease of preparation, variable valence states and high redox stability in alkaline medium.¹⁹⁴

The main $MnCo₂O₄$ -based catalysts for the OER and HER are shown in Table 5. Most of the studies reported in the literature are related to the electrochemical performance of $MnCo₂O₄$ concerning the OER, and very few studies were found in the literature using $MnCo₂O₄$ as an electrocatalyst for the HER, suggesting that there is a vast unexplored field that deserves attention.

Despite advantages mentioned above, the catalytic activity of $MnCo₂O₄$ is limited by its low electrical conductivity. However, strategies have been explored in order to improve the electrical conductivity such as introduction of hetero-atoms, combining $MnCo₂O₄$ with conducting materials forming composites, incorporation of oxygen vacancies and nanoparticle size control.

In this sense, Rebekah and co-authors^{211,212} showed that the catalytic activity of $MnCo₂O₄$ has been improved by the introduction of hetero-atoms (Ni and Zn), as well as by the combination of spinel oxide with rGO. Both Ni and Zn substituted $MnCo₂O₄$ on the rGO surface were synthesized through a hydrothermal method. The electrochemical behavior of $\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ and $\text{Mn}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Co}_2\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$ electrodes towards the OER was verified by Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV). Better results were achieved for the following compositions, $Mn_{0.4}Ni_{0.6}Co_2O_4/rGO^{211}$ (overpotential of 250 mV at 10 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ and a Tafel slope of 78 mV dec $^{-1})$ and $\rm Mn_{0.8} Zn_{0.2} Co_2O_4/$ rGO 212 (overpotential of 320 mV at 10 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ and a Tafel slope of 80.6 mV dec $^{-1}$). In summary, good electrochemical performance achieved by $Mn_{1-x}Ni_xCo_2O_4/rGO$ and $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xCo_2O_4/r$ rGO electrodes towards the OER can be explained by the faster electron transport due to the more exposed active sites in consequence of the high surface area of rGO and of the reduction of metal ion aggregation owing to stacking between the sheets. In addition, the incorporation of another metallic ion resulted in a material with excellent electrochemical behavior and high conductivity.

The strategy of doping $MnCo₂O₄$ was also used by Huang et al.²¹³ in order to obtain an efficient electrocatalyst for both OER and HER. Indeed, the authors doped $MnCo₂O₄$ with Ce, being named as Ce-MnCo₂O₄. The OER and HER performances

Table 5 Catalytic activity parameters of recently reported HER/OER MnCo₂O₄-based electrocatalysts: overpotential at 10 mA cm⁻², onset potential, Tafel slope, stability, and pH condition^a

 a NW = nanowire; NF = nickel foam; NS = nanosheet; MCO = MnCo₂O₄; NS-MCS = nitrogen and sulfur co-doped mesoporous carbon spheres; rGO $=$ reduced graphene oxide; GCE $=$ glassy carbon electrode; YSM $=$ yolk–shell; N-rmGO $=$ N-doped reduced graphene oxide; NC $=$ nitrogen doped carbon; CMO/20N-rGO = Co₃O₄-MnCo₂O₄/N-doped reduced graphene oxide with a mass ratio of NrGO/(Co + Mn) of ca. 20 wt%; NFF = Ni–Fe foam.

were evaluated by LSV and the material reached an overpotential of 390 and 379 mV, respectively. Those values when compared to $MnCo₂O₄$ without Ce doping are much superior. In fact, $MnCo₂O₄$ without Ce presented an overpotential at 10 mA ${\rm cm^{-2}}$ of 560 and 477 mV, respectively for the OER and HER. The

OER results can be attributed to the introduction of Ce into $MnCo₂O₄$ that facilitates oxygen transfer through adsorption, dissociation and release of atomic O for the OER, besides the introduction of oxygen vacancies to dissociate water.²¹⁴⁻²¹⁶

Fig. 10 TEM images of (a) MnCo₂O₄@CoS nanowires and (b) MnCo₂O₄@CoS nanosheets. Reproduced with permission from ref. 217. Copyright © 2019 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. (c, d) TEM and (e) HRTEM images of MnCo₂O₄@NC. (f) LSV curves of MnCo₂O₄@NC, RuO₂ and MnCo₂O₄ and (g) LSV initial curve and after 4000 curves for MnCo₂O₄@NC. Reproduced with permission from ref. 218. Copyright © 2016, Tsinghua University Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.

Also, the electrical conductivity of $MnCo₂O₄$ can be improved through design of hierarchical 3D core@shell structures. Indeed, Du and co-authors²¹⁷ reported the synthesis of two materials based on $MnCo₂O₄@CoS$ with different morphologies, using a hydrothermal method followed by the electrodeposition technique. As a matter of fact, $MnCo₂O₄(@CoS was$ synthesized in the nanowire and nanosheet shapes, as can be seen in TEM images displayed in Fig. 10a and b, respectively.

The electrocatalytic activity performances of $MnCo₂O₄(QCoS)$ with different morphologies towards the OER are very similar, reaching 280 mV and 270 mV at 20 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ for MnCo $\rm _2O_4@CoS$ nanowires and $MnCo₂O₄(QCoS)$ nanosheets, respectively. Also, the surface areas of the materials were very similar as well. For $MnCo₂O₄@CoS$ nanowires and $MnCo₂O₄@CoS$ nanosheets the BET surface areas were 68.46 and 69.38 $\mathrm{m^2~g^{-1}}$, respectively. Therefore, the electrochemical results cannot be attributed to the materials' surface area, but it can be related to the synergistic effect between $MnCo₂O₄$ and CoS, since the poor electrical conductivity of $MnCo₂O₄$ is compensated by the conductive CoS, and by the abundant oxygen vacancies. In fact, the existence of a large amount of oxygen vacancies can be estimated through XPS studies, where due to the co-existence of $Co²⁺$ and $Co³⁺$ ions the molar ratio of $Co²⁺/Co³⁺$ is a good parameter to evaluate the oxygen vacancies. The molar ratio found for the $MnCo₂O₄@CoS$ nanowires and $MnCo₂O₄@CoS$ nanosheets was 0.88 and 0.93, respectively, indicating a large amount of oxygen vacancies. **Journal of Materials Chemistry A**

Materials contents of the controlline intertional concellents intertional controlline intertional controlline intertional controlline intertional controlline intertional controlline int

Over the years, several studies have been reported in the literature where it is demonstrated that the size control of nanoparticles plays a key role in improving the properties of the materials. Using this strategy, Su et $al.^{218}$ encapsulated $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles using nitrogen-doped carbon (NC), since the NC can not only act as an encapsulating agent controlling the growth of the nanoparticles but also improve the catalytic performance in water splitting.²¹⁹ The MnCo₂O₄ was encapsulated in NC, here denoted as $MnCo₂O₄(a)NC$ from a metal–organic complex as a precursor, using a hydrothermal method. The TEM and HRTEM images of the as-prepared material are shown in Fig. 10c–e. It is possible to observe that the $MnCo₂O₄(Qn)NC$ nanowires were made of several welldispersed nanoparticles with less than 10 nm of average diameter (Fig. 10c). Besides, in Fig. 10e, the HRTEM image of $MnCo₂O₄(QNC)$ is displayed, where it is clearly possible to observe that $MnCo₂O₄$ was encapsulated by NC, forming a core@shell structure. However, the $MnCo₂O₄$ nanowires without NC presented nanoparticles with an average diameter of 100 nm, demonstrating that the growth of $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles was limited by the NC.

The results presented above can directly influence the catalytic activity of the material. The $MnCo₂O₄(@NC)$ presented an electrochemical performance (overpotential of 287 mV at 10 mV cm^{-2} and Tafel slope of 55 mV dec⁻¹) far superior to that of MnCo₂O₄ nanowires (overpotential of \sim 420 mV at 10 mV cm⁻² and Tafel slope of 101 mV dec⁻¹), Fig. 10f. Also, the MnCo₂. $O₄(QNC)$ did not show any change in the electrochemical profile after 4000 cycles, as can be seen in Fig. 10g. These results demonstrated that the size control of $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoparticles (less than 10 nm) using NP, in order to limit the growth of the nanoparticles, provided several active sites are exposed to oxygen adsorption and desorption. Besides, the nanoporous

Fig. 11 LSV curves of different samples of Mn_{3-x}Co_xO₄ (0 < x ≤ 1.5) (a) and schematic orbital energy diagram for Mn³⁺ 3d at octahedral sites and Mn^{2+} and Co²⁺ at tetrahedral sites (b). Reproduced with permission from ref. 220. Copyright © Marketplace™, Royal Society of Chemistry. TEM image of MnCo₂O₄@Ni₂P (c), LSV curves for the electrocatalytic performance of the OER (d) and HER (e). Reproduced with permission from ref. 221. Copyright © 2020 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

core@shell structure provided easy access of electrolyte ions and improved the electron transfer rate.

Recent studies have pointed out that one of the causes of the low catalytic activity and stability of Co-based compounds for water oxidation is the Jahn–Teller distortion. In this sense, Hirai et al.²²⁰ performed a systematic study for tetragonal spinel oxides $Mn_{3-x}Co_xO_4$ ($0 \le x < 1$ and $1 < x \le 1.5$) in order to evaluate the relation between the Jahn–Teller distortion and catalytic activity for the OER. They figured out that for Mn_{3-x} Co_xO_4 ($0 \le x < 1$) the catalytic activity was improved with the increase of Co concentration, due to the Jahn–Teller distortion suppression. However, for $Mn_{3-x}Co_xO_4$ $(1 \le x \le 1.5)$ the OER activity decreased with Co concentration above 1 up to 1.5, when compared to $Mn_{3-x}Co_xO_4$ $(0 \le x < 1)$, as can be seen through LSV curves in Fig. 11a. Although Mn^{3+} still remains occupying the octahedral sites on the $Mn_{3-x}Co_xO_4$ $(0 \le x < 1)$, when cobalt is added it will occupy the tetrahedral sites, and thus the Jahn–Teller distortion is suppressed, represented by an indicator $c/\sqrt{2}a$ (Fig. 11b) and consequently there is an increase in catalytic activity, due to the strong interaction between the antibonding electron e_g and oxygen species adsorbed $\mathsf{(O_2}^{2-}$ and O^{2-}). Nevertheless, when the cobalt concentration is above >1, the octahedral sites are occupied by a mixture of Mn^{3+} , Co^{3+} , Mn^{4+} , and Co²⁺ ions and then the e_g orbital can be occupied by more than 1 electron or even not be occupied thus decreasing the catalytic activity. Power Source of the content method of the content method of the content method of the content method in the particle of the content method on the content of the

Similarly, Ge et al.,²²¹ using an interface engineering strategy to suppress the Jahn–Teller distortion in $MnCo₂O₄$, grew Ni₂P nanosheets on the $MnCo₂O₄$ surface obtaining a bifunctional catalyst for the OER and HER. The $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P$ was obtained in four steps. The precursor MnCo-LDH was obtained through the hydrothermal method, and then the as-precursor MnCo-LDH was annealed in order to obtain $MnCo₂O₄$. A second hydrothermal method was used to deposit $Ni(OH)_{2}$ nanosheets on MnCo₂O₄. After that, the MnCo₂O₄@Ni(OH)₂ was calcined in the presence of NAH_2PO_2 in order to obtain $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P.$ In Fig. 11c the TEM image of $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P)$ is displayed, where it is possible to observe that the $MnCo₂O₄$ nanoneedles are coated by a large number of $Ni₂P$ nanosheets.

The electrochemical performance of $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P)$ towards the OER and HER was evaluated by LSV, and the curves are shown in Fig. 11d and e. The hierarchical $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P)$ structure exhibited an excellent overpotential for the OER $(240\ {\rm mV\ at\ 10\ mA\ cm^{-2}})$ and a Tafel slope of 114 mV dec $^{-1}$. Also, the $MnCo_2O_4@Ni_2P$ showed an outstanding HER performance with an overpotential of 10 mA $\rm cm^{-2}$ (57 mV) and a Tafel slope of 89 mV dec $^{-1}$. This HER performance can be explained by the presence of several $Ni⁰$ and $Ni²⁺$ species, as determined by XPS after phosphorization, once those species can provide energy in order to stabilize the H_{ads} through the weakening of the O-H bond of adsorbed water.²²²

The electronic interactions between $MnCo₂O₄$ and $Ni₂P$ were clarified through differential charge density. The high charge density is placed on the Ni2P side, and thus the electrons migrate from $Ni₂P$ to $MnCo₂O₄$ due to the strong interfacial polarization.²²³ Thus, the strong interaction between MnCo₂- O_4 @Ni₂P reduces the Jahn–Teller distortion and the Ni₂P with

metallic properties increases the electronic conductivity and charge transfer rate of $MnCo₂O₄(@Ni₂P)$.

5. Conclusions and future directions

Lately spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ -based materials have stood out in the energy conversion and storage technologies, especially due to their low cost, simple preparation and chemical composition versatility obtained through different strategies that enabled the rational design of these materials, thus allowing tuning of their electronic properties. In addition, reducing Co ions in the structure of $Co₃O₄$ by replacing them with Mn ions has been an excellent strategy to increase the conductivity and improve the electrochemical performance of the electrode materials. In fact, studies have shown that the conductivity of $MnCo₂O₄$ is greater than that of $Co₃O₄$, and its electrochemical performance is superior since Co provides a higher oxidation potential than Mn and Mn brings a higher capacity than Co owing to its efficient electron transport.¹¹⁵ Thus, the recent advances have been summarized in this review and special emphasis was directed to spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ based materials, which are highly promising for the construction of supercapacitors and batteries, and thus the development of arrangements for water-splitting for energy conversion. It is necessary to highlight that the application of $MnCo₂O₄$ as a multifunctional material still needs fine control of synthesis conditions, which will impact the phase, morphology, cation distribution, and especially the electrical properties, and it is an important requirement for energy applications.

The construction of supercapacitors using $MnCo₂O₄$ in the pristine form or as composites based on metal oxides/ hydroxides, polymers or carbon-based composites or other materials was described as an important strategy to increase the capacitance and rate capability of hybrid devices, resulting in supercapacitors with higher energy and power density.

Additionally, LIBs, SIBs and metal- $O₂$ batteries are also covered. In order to improve the electrochemical performance in LIBs, in addition to developing composites of $MnCo₂O₄$ with other materials, morphological modifications and synthesis methods have been the focus of researchers, particularly on the preparation of porous materials (mesoporous, hollow, yolk– shell, shell-in-shell, and yolk-in-double-shell spheres). Mesoporous transition metal-doped $MnCo₂O₄$ has also been reported for application in SIBs, while heteroatoms doped-carbon materials were mixed with $MnCo₂O₄$ due to intrinsic advantages as ORR catalysts, such as high surface area and electrochemical stability in metal- O_2 batteries. The results obtained with $MnCo₂O₄$ for the construction of supercapacitors, metalion batteries, and metal–air batteries were tabulated.

Conversely, $MnCo₂O₄$ based materials showed great potential as electrocatalysts in energy storage devices. For instance, conductivity optimization by the formation of composites with conducting carbon materials has been the main strategy for preparing excellent electrocatalysts for the ORR (or bifunctional electrocatalysts for the OER/ORR). However, porous spinels with tunable size, shape, chemical composition and crystalline structure were also reported via a facile precursor pyrolysis method.

 $MnCo₂O₄$ was also recently used as an electrocatalyst for energy conversion, mainly in water splitting. Most of the studies reported in the literature are related to the electrochemical performance of $MnCo₂O₄$ concerning the OER, and very few studies were found in the literature using $MnCo₂O₄$ as an electrocatalyst for the HER, suggesting that there is a vast unexplored field that deserves attention. Thereunto, strategies have been explored in order to improve the electrical conductivity and electrocatalytic activity, such as introduction of hetero-atoms (or doping), combination with conducting materials forming composites, incorporation of oxygen vacancies and nanoparticle size control.

As demonstrated in this article, the utilization of spinel MnCo2O4-based materials for energy storage and conversion is a promising new concept in energy technologies. It is also important to highlight that future research should continue to enrich spinel $MnCo₂O₄$ -based materials, focusing attention on the electrochemical performance and reasonable architectural design of those materials for practical application in energy technologies and expanding the fields of application. In fact, it is possible to visualize a field still unexplored and of great potential for application, for instance, the rational design of different composite materials composed of $MnCo₂O₄$ and/or heterojunctions containing the recent advanced 2D materials (such as MXene, transition metal chalcogenides, black phosphorus, etc.), aiming at future applications of these supercapacitor/battery materials for flexible/wearable devices, self-charged energy storage devices, and microsupercapacitors. The future applications of flexible/wearable energy devices depend on suitable flexible substrates that can stably and efficiently incorporate $MnCo₂O₄$ or its composites. In this direction, novel current collectors have been investigated and carbon cloth has shown promising results due to its excellent flexibility and conductivity. Additionally, different synthesis strategies urge investigation, and in this sense, electrochemical growth on flexible conductive substrates is promising (without binders and using a simplified rotocol). Considering large-scale and reproducible production, additive manufacturing (or threedimensional printing) protocols offer great promise in this area. **Journal of Materials Chemistry A
associally for discrete and 2020. The measurement of the street process and 2118172014 energy convention, materials of the street process and Tashminian Chemistry and 2020, a measurem**

Moreover, bifunctional and multifunctional catalysts represent promising directions,¹⁵ especially for electrocatalysts for water splitting (HER/OER) and metal–air batteries (ORR/OER). Other applications, such as the use of $MnCo₂O₄$ catalysts to convert greenhouse gases $(CO₂)$ and toxic gas (CO) into chemical fuels, are also feasible. From this perspective, $MnCo₂O₄$ based materials have a key role in the way to a more sustainable society and industrial applications.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP processes 2018/16896-7, 2017/13137-5 and 2020/00325- 0), the National Council for Scientific and Technological

Development (CNPq Processes 307271/2017-0 and 311847/2018- 8), Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) Financial code 001 and to Brazilian Institute of Science and Technology in Bioanalytics (INCTBio) (CNPq grant no. 465389/2014-7 and FAPESP grant no. 2014/50867-3) and Brazilian Institute of Science and Technology (INCT) in Carbon Nanomaterials. CSR acknowledges the Department of Science and Technology (DST)-SERB Early Career Research project (Grant No. ECR/2017/001850), DST-Nanomission (DST/ NM/NT/2019/205(G)) and Karnataka Science and Technology Promotion Society (KSTePS/VGST-RGS-F/2018-19/GRD NO. 829/ 315).

References

- 1 S. Ghosh and R. N. Basu, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 11241–11280.
- 2 J. M. Gonçalves, P. R. Martins, L. Angnes and K. Araki, New J. Chem., 2020, 44, 9981–9997.
- 3 J. M. Gonçalves, T. A. Matias, K. C. F. Toledo and K. Araki, in Advances in Inorganic Chemistry, ed. R. V. Eldik and C. Hubbard, Elsevier, 2019, vol. 74, p. 63.
- 4 J. Xu, C. Chen, Z. Han, Y. Yang, J. Li and Q. Deng, Nanomaterials, 2019, 9, 1161.
- 5 J. M. Gonçalves, M. I. da Silva, H. E. Toma, L. Angnes, P. R. Martins and K. Araki, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 10534–10570.
- 6 S. J. Uke, V. P. Akhare, D. R. Bambole, A. B. Bodade and G. N. Chaudhari, Front. Mater., 2017, 4, 21.
- 7 X. Wang, A. Hu, C. Meng, C. Wu, S. Yang and X. Hong, Molecules, 2020, 25, 269.
- 8 Y. Shi, X. Pan, B. Li, M. Zhao and H. Pang, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 343, 427–446.
- 9 X. Hu, L. Wei, R. Chen, Q. Wu and J. Li, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 5268–5288.
- 10 M. Hamdani, R. N. Singh and P. Chartier, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2010, 5, 556–577.
- 11 J. Zhu, Q. Wu and J. Li, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 10407– 10423.
- 12 A. U. Ubale, M. A. Waghmare, K. S. Iqbal and H. M. Pathan, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2020, 31, 14003–14021.
- 13 L. Tian, X. Zhai, X. Wang, J. Li and Z. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 14400–14414.
- 14 X. Zhao, L. Mao, Q. Cheng, J. Li, F. Liao, G. Yang, L. Xie, C. Zhao and L. Chen, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 387, 124081.
- 15 Y. Li, X. Han, T. Yi, Y. He and X. Li, J. Energy Chem., 2019, 31, 54–78.
- 16 J. P. Cheng, W. D. Wang, X. C. Wang and F. Liu, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 393, 124747.
- 17 X. Han, X. Gui, T.-F. Yi, Y. Li and C. Yue, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci., 2018, 22, 109–126.
- 18 R. Kumar, Nano-Micro Lett., 2020, 12, 122.
- 19 Y. Lin, Z. Yang, D. Cao and Y. Gong, Crystengcomm, 2020, 22, 1425–1435.
- 20 H. Yang, M. Zhu, X. Guo, C. Yan and S. Lin, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 22325–22331.
- 21 T. Oh, S. Ryu, H. Oh and J. Kim, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 945–953.

-
- 46 M. A. Akhtar, V. Sharma, S. Biswas and A. Chandra, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 96296–96305. 47 Z. Liu, F. Teng, C. Yuan, Z. Ul Abideen, W. Gu and Z. Liu, Energy Technol., 2019, 7, 1900314.

22 S. Yuvaraj, A. Vignesh, S. Shanmugam and R. Kalai Selvan,

23 Y. Li, M. S. Wu and C. Y. Ouyang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2015, 349,

24 N. R. Chodankar, H. D. Pham, A. K. Nanjundan, J. F. S. Fernando, K. Jayaramulu, D. Golberg, Y.-K. Han

25 Y. Gogotsi and R. M. Penner, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 2081–

26 V. Sannasi and K. Subbian, Ceram. Int., 2020, 46, 15510–

27 S. G. Krishnan, M. H. A. Rahim and R. Jose, J. Alloys Compd.,

28 H. Liu, X. Liu, S. Wang, H.-K. Liu and L. Li, Energy Storage

29 S. Liu, D. Ni, H.-F. Li, K. N. Hui, C.-Y. Ouyang and S. C. Jun,

30 H. Adhikari, D. Neupane, C. K. Ranaweera, J. Candler, R. K. Gupta, S. Sapkota, X. Shen and S. R. Mishra,

31 S. Al-Rubaye, R. Rajagopalan, C. M. Subramaniyam, Z. Yu, S. X. Dou and Z. Cheng, J. Power Sources, 2016, 324, 179–187. 32 R. BoopathiRaja and M. Parthibavarman, J. Alloys Compd.,

33 K. R. Shrestha, S. Kandula, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, J. Alloys

34 Y. Zhang, L. Li, H. Su, W. Huang and X. Dong, J. Mater.

35 A. K. Mondal, D. Su, S. Chen, A. Ung, H.-S. Kim and

36 Y. Gao, Y. Xia, H. Wan, X. Xu and S. Jiang, Electrochim. Acta,

37 L.-B. Kong, C. Lu, M.-C. Liu, Y.-C. Luo, L. Kang, X. Li and F. C. Walsh, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 115, 22–27. 38 K. N. Hui, K. S. Hui, Z. Tang, V. V. Jadhav and Q. X. Xia, J.

39 J. Xu, Y. Sun, M. Lu, L. Wang, J. Zhang, E. Tao, J. Qian and

40 V. Venkatachalam, A. Alsalme, A. Alghamdi and R. Jayavel,

41 M. Li, W. Yang, J. Li, M. Feng, W. Li, H. Li and Y. Yu,

42 J. Li, D. Xiong, L. Wang, M. K. S. Hirbod and X. Li, J. Energy

43 S. Nagamuthu, S. Vijayakumar, S.-H. Lee and K.-S. Ryu,

44 S. Sahoo, K. K. Naik and C. S. Rout, Nanotechnology, 2015,

45 Y. Dong, Y. Wang, Y. Xu, C. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Jiao and

H. Yuan, Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 225, 39–46.

G. Wang, Chem.–Eur. J., 2015, 21, 1526–1532.

Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2016, 41, 15199–15207.

and D. P. Dubal, Small, 2020, 16, 2002806.

J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 10674–10685.

Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 225, 514–524.

48 T. Huang, C. Zhao, L. Wu, X. Lang, K. Liu and Z. Hu, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 1968–1974.

- 49 M. Shanmugavadivel, V. V. Dhayabaran and M. Subramanian, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2019, 133, 15–20.
- 50 L. Li, Y. Q. Zhang, X. Y. Liu, S. J. Shi, X. Y. Zhao, H. Zhang, X. Ge, G. F. Cai, C. D. Gu, X. L. Wang and J. P. Tu, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 116, 467–474. Powlew Journal of Materials Chemin and R. Kaiali Schem, 49 M. Shammagawadivel, v. v. Phispabran and Materials Chemistry Apps Chem. Solid Schem, 2008. Downloaded on 21/11/11/2024 12:45:47. Chemin and C. Chemps Article Only
	- 51 Y. Xu, X. Wang, C. An, Y. Wang, L. Jiao and H. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 16480–16488.
	- 52 Q. Wang, X. Wang, B. Liu, G. Yu, X. Hou, D. Chen and G. Shen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 2468–2473.
	- 53 L. Zhao, M. Yang, Z. Zhang, Y. Ji, Y. Teng, Y. Feng and X. Liu, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2018, 89, 22–26.
	- 54 J.-J. Zhou, X. Han, K. Tao, Q. Li, Y.-L. Li, C. Chen and L. Han, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 354, 875–884.
	- 55 Y. Lv, A. Liu, H. Che, J. Mu, Z. Guo, X. Zhang, Y. Bai, Z. Zhang, G. Wang and Z. Pei, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 336, 64–73.
	- 56 T. Chen, R. Shi, Y. Zhang and Z. Wang, ChemPlusChem, 2019, 84, 69–77.
	- 57 J. A.-A. Mehrez, K. A. Owusu, Q. Chen, L. Li, K. Hamwi, W. Luo and L. Mai, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2019, 6, 857–865.
	- 58 K. R. Shrestha, S. Kandula, G. Rajeshkhanna, M. Srivastava, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 24509– 24522.
	- 59 S. Liu, K. S. Hui and K. N. Hui, ChemNanoMat, 2015, 1, 593– 602.
	- 60 X. Zheng, Y. Ye, Q. Yang, B. Geng and X. Zhang, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 572–578.
	- 61 Y. Zhang, H. Xuan, Y. Xu, B. Guo, H. Li, L. Kang, P. Han, D. Wang and Y. Du, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 206, 278–290.
	- 62 M. Harilal, S. G. Krishnan, A. Yar, I. I. Misnon, M. V. Reddy, M. M. Yusoff, J. Ojur Dennis and R. Jose, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 21171–21183.
	- 63 X. Guo, M. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Huang, S. Geng, W. Yang and Y. Yu, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 563, 405–413.
	- 64 V. V. M. Chandu, Gopi, M. Venkata-Haritha, S.-K. Kim, K. Prabakar and H.-J. Kim, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 102961– 102967.
	- 65 B. Cheng, W. Zhang, M. Yang, Y. Zhang and F. Meng, Ceram. Int., 2019, 45, 20451–20457.
	- 66 F. Liao, X. Han, Y. Zhang, X. Han, C. Xu and H. Chen, Ceram. Int., 2019, 45, 7244–7252.
	- 67 S. Liu, K. San Hui, K. N. Hui, J. M. Yun and K. H. Kim, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 8061–8071.
	- 68 H.-M. Lee, C. V. V. Muralee Gopi, P. J. S. Rana, R. Vinodh, S. Kim, R. Padma and H.-J. Kim, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 17190–17194.
	- 69 Y. Feng, W. Liu, L. Sun, Y. Zhu, Y. Chen, M. Meng, J. Li, J. Yang, Y. Zhang and K. Liu, J. Alloys Compd., 2018, 753, 761–770.
	- 70 L. Yu, G. Zhang, C. Yuan and X. W. Lou, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 137–139.
	- 71 J. Yang, Y. Liu, S. Liu, L. Li, C. Zhang and T. Liu, Mater. Chem. Front., 2017, 1, 251–268.
	- 72 F. Wang, X. Lv, L. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Zhu, Z. Hu, Y. Zhang, J. Ji and W. Jiang, J. Power Sources, 2018, 393, 169–176.
	- 73 P. Hu, D. Zhao, H. Liu, K. Chen and X. Wu, CrystEngComm, 2019, 21, 1600–1606.

510–515.

2083.

15520.

2016, 656, 707–713.

2019, 811, 152084.

Compd., 2019, 771, 810–820.

Power Sources, 2016, 330, 195–203.

Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 2218–2225.

Appl. Surf. Sci., 2016, 390, 202–208.

Chem., 2019, 37, 66–72.

26, 455401.

X. Liu, Acta Mater., 2018, 152, 162–174.

J. Electroanal. Chem., 2015, 756, 94–100.

Chem. A, 2015, 3, 43–59.

2019, 301, 294–303.

Mater., 2020, 28, 122–145.

- 74 S. Abouali, M. Akbari Garakani, Z.-L. Xu and J.-K. Kim, Carbon, 2016, 102, 262–272.
- 75 L. L. Zhang and X. S. Zhao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 2520– 2531.
- 76 H. Wang, C. Shen, J. Liu, W. Zhang and S. Yao, J. Alloys Compd., 2019, 792, 122–129.
- 77 D. Wu, H. Han, X. Hong, S. Tao, S. Xu, B. Qian, L. Wang, X. Chen and P. K. Chu, J. Alloys Compd., 2020, 846, 155720.
- 78 X. Hu, H. Nan, M. Liu, S. Liu, T. An and H. Tian, Electrochim. Acta, 2019, 306, 599–609.
- 79 L. Li, F. He, S. Gai, S. Zhang, P. Gao, M. Zhang, Y. Chen and P. Yang, CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 9873–9881.
- 80 T. T. Gebremariam, F. Chen, Q. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Liu, X. Wang and A. Qaseem, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2018, 1, 1612–1625.
- 81 P. Hao, Z. Zhao, L. Li, C.-C. Tuan, H. Li, Y. Sang, H. Jiang, C. P. Wong and H. Liu, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 14401–14412.
- 82 T. Pettong, P. Iamprasertkun, A. Krittayavathananon, P. Sukha, P. Sirisinudomkit, A. Seubsai, M. Chareonpanich, P. Kongkachuichay, J. Limtrakul and M. Sawangphruk, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 34045–34053. Fournal of Materials Chemistry A

74 S. Abouali, M. Akhari Carakani, Z.4. Xu and J.-K. kim, 100 M. Hatiptya, Λ. M. Akhok, S. Hussain and

2020. Downloaded on 2020. The conduct of the conduct on 11/1 in 2020. The conduct o
	- 83 Y. Zhu, C. Zhang, S. Tang, H. Huang, S. Wang, Q. Luo and Y. Du, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 7546–7553.
	- 84 M. Zhang, W. Liu, R. Liang, R. Tjandra and A. Yu, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2019, 3, 2499–2508.
	- 85 P. Saren, A. De Adhikari, S. Khan and G. C. Nayak, J. Solid State Chem., 2019, 271, 282–291.
	- 86 G. Liu, B. Wang, T. Liu, L. Wang, H. Luo, T. Gao, F. Wang, A. Liu and D. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 1822–1831.
	- 87 S. G. Mohamed, T.-F. Hung, C.-J. Chen, C. K. Chen, S.-F. Hu and R.-S. Liu, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 17230–17235.
	- 88 C. Xiao, X. Zhang and D. R. MacFarlane, Electrochim. Acta, 2018, 280, 55–61.
	- 89 N. Cai, J. Fu, V. Chan, M. Liu, W. Chen, J. Wang, H. Zeng and F. Yu, J. Alloys Compd., 2019, 782, 251–262.
	- 90 Y. Ji, J. Xie, J. Wu, Y. Yang, X.-Z. Fu, R. Sun and C.-P. Wong, J. Power Sources, 2018, 393, 54–61.
	- 91 H. Che, Y. Lv, A. Liu, H. Li, Z. Guo, J. Mu, Y. Wang and X. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 384, 123372.
	- 92 Y. Zhao, L. Hu, S. Zhao and L. Wu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 4085–4093.
	- 93 Y. Lv, Z. Guo, A. Liu, H. Che, J. Mu, X. Zhang, Y. Bai, Z. Zhang and G. Wang, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 12948–12956.
	- 94 Y. Lv, A. Liu, Z. Shi, J. Mu, Z. Guo, X. Zhang and H. Che, ChemElectroChem, 2018, 5, 3968–3979.
	- 95 W. Li, K. Xu, G. Song, X. Zhou, R. Zou, J. Yang, Z. Chen and J. Hu, CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 2335–2339.
	- 96 A. Krittayavathananon, T. Pettong, P. Kidkhunthod and M. Sawangphruk, Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 258, 1008–1015.
	- 97 S. Li, J. Wang, M. Wang and Y. Ni, CrystEngComm, 2019, 21, 403–410.
	- 98 H. Che, A. Liu, J. Mu, C. Wu and X. Zhang, Ceram. Int., 2016, 42, 2416–2424.
	- 99 H. Che, Y. Wang and Y. Mao, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 680, 586–594.
- 100 M. Haripriya, A. M. Ashok, S. Hussain and R. Sivasubramanian, Ionics, 2020, DOI: 10.1007/s11581- 020-03788-y.
- 101 H. Liu, Z. Guo, S. Wang, X. Xun, D. Chen and J. Lian, J. Alloys Compd., 2020, 846, 156504.
- 102 Y. Zhai, H. Mao, P. Liu, X. Ren, L. Xu and Y. Qian, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 16142–16149.
- 103 N. Mohamed and N. K. Allam, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 21662– 21685.
- 104 M. M. S. Sanad, A. K. Yousef, M. M. Rashad, A. H. Naggar and A. Y. El-Sayed, Phys. B, 2020, 579, 411889.
- 105 X. Wu, S. Li, B. Wang, J. Liu and M. Yu, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 8416–8423.
- 106 C. Fu, G. Li, D. Luo, X. Huang, J. Zheng and L. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 2439–2449.
- 107 D. Darbar, M. R. Anilkumar, V. Rajagopalan, I. Bhattacharya, H. I. Elim, T. Ramakrishnappa, F. I. Ezema, R. Jose and M. V. Reddy, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 4630–4639.
- 108 S. Zhou, X. Luo, L. Chen, C. Xu and D. Yan, Ceram. Int., 2018, 44, 17858–17863.
- 109 Y. Zhang, X. Wang, Q. Zhao, Y. Fu, H. Wang and H. Shu, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 180, 866–872.
- 110 L. Zhang, Q. Tang, X. Chen, B. Fan, K. Xiao, S. Zhang, W. Deng and A. Hu, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 722, 387–393.
- 111 M. V. Reddy, Y. Xu, V. Rajarajan, T. Ouyang and B. V. R. Chowdari, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 3035–3042.
- 112 G. Li, Q. Zhai, Q. Liu and R. Jin, Cryst. Res. Technol., 2017, 52, 1600255.
- 113 J. Qi, P. Wang, Y. Yan, X. Zheng, J. Cao and J. Feng, Vacuum, 2019, 169, 108959.
- 114 D. S. Baji, H. S. Jadhav, S. V. Nair and A. K. Rai, J. Solid State Chem., 2018, 262, 191–198.
- 115 G. Li, L. Xu, Y. Zhai and Y. Hou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 14298–14306.
- 116 X. Hou, X. Wang, B. Liu, Q. Wang, T. Luo, D. Chen and G. Shen, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 8858–8864.
- 117 T. Huang, Z. Lou, Y. Lu, R. Li, Y. Jiang, G. Shen and D. Chen, ChemElectroChem, 2019, 6, 5836–5843.
- 118 L. Ni, W. Tang, X. Liu, N. Zhang, J. Wang, S. Liang, R. Ma and G. Qiu, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 3775–3784.
- 119 F. Yang, W. Li and B. Tang, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 334, 2021– 2029.
- 120 J. Li, J. Wang, X. Liang, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, Y. Qian and S. Xiong, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 24–30.
- 121 G. Huang, S. Xu, Y. Yang, H. Sun and Z. Xu, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 10763–10774.
- 122 R. Jin, Y. Ma, Y. Sun, H. Li, Q. Wang and G. Chen, Energy Technol., 2017, 5, 293–299.
- 123 J. Li, S. Xiong, X. Li and Y. Qian, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 2045– 2054.
- 124 H. Yang, Y. Xie, M. Zhu, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, M. Xu and S. Lin, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 9205–9213.
- 125 Y. Jin, L. Wang, Q. Jiang, X. Du, C. Ji and X. He, Mater. Lett., 2016, 177, 85–88.
-
- 126 H. Xu, H. Shen, X. Song, X. Kong, Y. Zhang and Z. Qin, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2019, 851, 113455.
- 127 X. Kong, T. Zhu, F. Cheng, M. Zhu, X. Cao, S. Liang, G. Cao and A. Pan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 8730–8738.
- 128 G. Huang, S. Xu, Z. Xu, H. Sun and L. Li, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 21325–21334.
- 129 J. Zhu, G. Cao, Y. Zhou, Y. Li, J. Zheng and D. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2020, 13, 1890–1899.
- 130 T. Li, X. Li, Z. Wang, H. Guo, Q. Hu and W. Peng, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 191, 392–400.
- 131 M. Wang, X. Yu, L. Hou, A. Gagnoud, Y. Fautrelle, R. Moreau and X. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 351, 930–938.
- 132 A. F. Shaikh, R. S. Kalubarme, M. S. Tamboli, S. S. Patil, M. V. Kulkarni, D. R. Patil, S. W. Gosavi, C.-J. Park and B. B. Kale, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 4630–4637.
- 133 L. Wu, J. Lang, S. Wang, P. Zhang and X. Yan, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 203, 128–135.
- 134 Y. Zhu, Y. Huang and M. Wang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 378, 122207.
- 135 R. Ding, Z. Li, C. Wang and M. Chen, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 726, 445–452.
- 136 P. Huang, M. Zhao, B. Jin, H. Li, Z. Zhu, L. Jiang and Q. Jiang, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 14540–14548.
- 137 P. Zhang, J. Liu, J. Wu, W. Wang, C. Zhou, S. Guo, S. Li, Y. Yang and L. Chen, Mater. Today Energy, 2020, 17, 100451.
- 138 C. Chen, B. Liu, Q. Ru, S. Ma, B. An, X. Hou and S. Hu, J. Power Sources, 2016, 326, 252–263.
- 139 G. Huang, X. Guo, X. Cao, Q. Tian and H. Sun, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 695, 2937–2944.
- 140 P. Kulkarni, D. Ghosh, G. Balakrishna, R. S. Rawat, S. Adams and M. V. Reddy, Ceram. Int., 2019, 45, 10619– 10625.
- 141 R. Jin, Y. Meng, Y. Ma, H. Li, Y. Sun and G. Chen, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 209, 163–170.
- 142 L.-Q. Fan, J.-L. Huang, Y.-L. Wang, C.-L. Geng, S.-J. Sun, Y.-F. Huang and J.-H. Wu, J. Energy Storage, 2020, 30, 101427.
- 143 P. Huang, F. Xu, G. Zhu, C. Dong, B. Jin, H. Li and Q. Jiang, ChemPlusChem, 2019, 84, 1596–1603.
- 144 L. Wu, J. Lang, P. Zhang, X. Zhang, R. Guo and X. Yan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 18392–18400.
- 145 X. Wu, W. Wu, K. Wang, W. Chen and D. He, Mater. Lett., 2015, 147, 85–87.
- 146 M. Islam, M. Akbar, G. Ali, K.-W. Nam, K. Y. Chung and H.-G. Jung, Ceram. Int., 2020, 46, 26147–26155.
- 147 L. Zhu, F. Li, T. Yao, T. Liu, J. Wang, Y. Li, H. Lu, R. Qian, Y. Liu and H. Wang, Energy Fuels, 2020, 34, 11574–11580.
- 148 L. Hou, S. Deng, Y. Jiang, R. Cui, Y. Zhou, Y. Guo, J. Li and F. Gao, Nanotechnology, 2020, 31, 375404.
- 149 M. A. Rahman, X. Wang and C. Wen, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2014, 44, 5–22.
- 150 R. S. Kalubarme, H. S. Jadhav, D. T. Ngo, G.-E. Park, J. G. Fisher, Y.-I. Choi, W.-H. Ryu and C.-J. Park, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 13266.
- 151 S. G. Mohamed, Y.-Q. Tsai, C.-J. Chen, Y.-T. Tsai, T.-F. Hung, W.-S. Chang and R.-S. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 12038–12046.
- 152 K. Song, L. Yuan, Z. Li, Y. Lv, B. Yang, Y. Yu, X. Shen and X. Hu, Electrochim. Acta, 2020, 353, 136572.
- 153 H. Wu, W. Sun, Y. Wang, F. Wang, J. Liu, X. Yue, Z. Wang, J. Qiao, D. W. Rooney and K. Sun, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 12355–12365. Powlew Journal of Materias Come, 2011, 2013. Example 15: 2011, 2013. The Example of Materias Come is The Example of National Example of Come 2021, 2013. The Example of Come 2020. The Example of Come 2021, 2013. The Exampl
	- 154 H. Wu, W. Sun, J. Shen, C. Lu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang and K. Sun, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 13149–13158.
	- 155 L. Zou, J. Cheng, Y. Jiang, Y. Gong, B. Chi, J. Pu and L. Jian, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 31248–31255.
	- 156 S. Ma, L. Sun, L. Cong, X. Gao, C. Yao, X. Guo, L. Tai, P. Mei, Y. Zeng, H. Xie and R. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 25890–25897.
	- 157 X. Cao, J. Wu, C. Jin, J. Tian, P. Strasser and R. Yang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4890–4896.
	- 158 X. Cao, Z. Sun, X. Zheng, J. Tian, C. Jin, R. Yang, F. Li, P. He and H. Zhou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 19991–19996.
	- 159 X. Cao, Z. Sun, X. Zheng, C. Jin, J. Tian, X. Li and R. Yang, ChemSusChem, 2018, 11, 574–579.
	- 160 Z. Li, Y. Lv, Y. Yu, J. Yin, K. Song, B. Yang, L. Yuan and X. Hu, J. Alloys Compd., 2020, 817, 152736.
	- 161 G. Karkera, S. G. Chandrappa and A. S. Prakash, Chem.–Eur. J., 2018, 24, 17303–17310.
	- 162 H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Liang, G. Zheng, Y. Li, Y. Cui and H. Dai, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 7931–7935.
	- 163 J. G. Kim, Y. Kim, Y. Noh and W. B. Kim, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 1752–1760.
	- 164 F. Zhu, J. Zhang, B. Yang, X. Shi, C. Lu, J. Yin, Y. Yu and X. Hu, J. Alloys Compd., 2018, 749, 433–440.
	- 165 T. Ishihara, K. Yokoe, T. Miyano and H. Kusaba, Electrochim. Acta, 2019, 300, 455–460.
	- 166 S. G. Chandrappa, P. Moni, G. Karkera and A. S. Prakash, Nanoscale Adv., 2019, 1, 2392–2399.
	- 167 C. Shenghai, S. Liping, K. Fanhao, H. Lihua and Z. Hui, J. Power Sources, 2019, 430, 25–31.
	- 168 K.-N. Jung, S. M. Hwang, M.-S. Park, K. J. Kim, J.-G. Kim, S. X. Dou, J. H. Kim and J.-W. Lee, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 7665.
	- 169 D. Bin, Z. Guo, A. G. Tamirat, Y. Ma, Y. Wang and Y. Xia, Nanoscale, 2017, 9, 11148–11157.
	- 170 Y. Kang, D. Zou, J. Zhang, F. Liang, K. Hayashi, H. Wang, D. Xue, K. Chen, K. R. Adair and X. Sun, Electrochim. Acta, 2017, 244, 222–229.
	- 171 Y. Liu, X. Chi, Q. Han, Y. Du, J. Huang, X. Lin and Y. Liu, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 5285–5294.
	- 172 Y. Zhu, X. Liu, S. Jin, H. Chen, W. Lee, M. Liu and Y. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 5875–5897.
	- 173 F. Cheng and J. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 2172–2192.
	- 174 M. Shao, Q. Chang, J.-P. Dodelet and R. Chenitz, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 3594–3657.
	- 175 Y. Wang, Q. Cao, C. Guan and C. Cheng, Small, 2020, 16, 2002902.
	- 176 J. Han, J. Bian and C. Sun, Research, 2020, 2020, 9512763.
	- 177 T. Zhao, S. Gadipelli, G. He, M. J. Ward, D. Do, P. Zhang and Z. Guo, Chemsuschem, 2018, 11, 1295–1304.
	- 178 D. Yan, Y. Li, J. Huo, R. Chen, L. Dai and S. Wang, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1606459.
	- 179 N.-T. Suen, S.-F. Hung, Q. Quan, N. Zhang, Y.-J. Xu and H. M. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 337–365.
- 180 H. Yang, F. Hu, Y. Zhang, L. Shi and Q. Wang, Nano Res., 2016, 9, 207–213.
- 181 T. Y. Ma, Y. Zheng, S. Dai, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 8676–8682.
- 182 W. Wang, L. Kuai, W. Cao, M. Huttula, S. Ollikkala, T. Ahopelto, A.-P. Honkanen, S. Huotari, M. Yu and B. Geng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 14977–14981.
- 183 G. Fu, Z. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Wu, L. Xu, D. Sun, J. Zhang, Y. Tang and P. Chen, Nano Res., 2016, 9, 2110–2122.
- 184 P. W. Menezes, A. Indra, N. R. Sahraie, A. Bergmann, P. Strasser and M. Driess, Chemsuschem, 2015, 8, 164–171.
- 185 T. Zhang, Z. Li, L. Wang, P. Sun, Z. Zhang and S. Wang, Chemsuschem, 2018, 11, 2730–2736.
- 186 W. Fu, X.-L. Wang, X.-X. Yang and X.-Q. He, ChemistrySelect, 2018, 3, 4228–4236.
- 187 X. He, F. Yin, S. Yuan, N. Liu and X. Huang, Chemelectrochem, 2016, 3, 1107–1115.
- 188 Y. Liang, H. Wang, J. Zhou, Y. Li, J. Wang, T. Regier and H. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 3517–3523.
- 189 X. Cao, C. Jin, F. Lu, Z. Yang, M. Shen and R. Yang, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161, H296–H300.
- 190 J. Shi, K. Lei, W. Sun, F. Li, F. Cheng and J. Chen, Nano Res., 2017, 10, 3836–3847.
- 191 X. Chen, R. Li, J. Wang, Q. Zhong and Y. Bu, ChemistrySelect, 2016, 1, 2159–2162.
- 192 S. K. Singh, V. Kashyap, N. Manna, S. N. Bhange, R. Soni, R. Boukherroub, S. Szunerits and S. Kurungot, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6700–6710.
- 193 A. Ashok, A. Kumar, J. Ponraj and S. A. Mansour, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2020, 167, 054507.
- 194 X. Ge, Y. Liu, F. W. T. Goh, T. S. A. Hor, Y. Zong, P. Xiao, Z. Zhang, S. H. Lim, B. Li, X. Wang and Z. Liu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 12684–12691.
- 195 A. Zhao, J. Masa, W. Xia, A. Maljusch, M.-G. Willinger, G. Clavel, K. Xie, R. Schlögl, W. Schuhmann and M. Muhler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 7551–7554.
- 196 Y. Yang, R. Zeng, Y. Xiong, F. J. DiSalvo and H. D. Abruña, Chem. Mater., 2019, 31, 9331–9337.
- 197 C. Xu, M. Lu, Y. Zhan and J. Y. Lee, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 25089–25092.
- 198 X. Yan, Y. Jia, J. Chen, Z. Zhu and X. Yao, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8771–8778.
- 199 D. Wang, X. Chen, D. G. Evans and W. Yang, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 5312–5315.
- 200 J. M. Gonçalves, M. Ireno da Silva, L. Angnes and K. Araki, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 2171–2206.
- 201 C. Ye, M. Q. Wang, S. J. Bao and C. Ye, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 30887–30893.
- 202 L. Yu, H. Zhou, J. Sun, F. Qin, F. Yu, J. Bao, Y. Yu, S. Chen and Z. Ren, Energy Environ. Sci., 2017, 10, 1820–1827.
- 203 Y. Gong, Z. Xu, H. Pan, Y. Lin, Z. Yang and X. Du, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 5098–5106.
- 204 J. M. Gonçalves, P. R. Martins, K. Araki and L. Angnes, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 2171–2206.
- 205 S. Chu, H. Sun, G. Chen, Y. Chen, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 25227–25235.
- 206 Y.-J. Wang, H. Fan, A. Ignaszak, L. Zhang, S. Shao, D. P. Wilkinson and J. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 348, 416–437.
- 207 Y. Gong, Y. Lin, Z. Yang, F. Jiao, J. Li and W. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 476, 840–849.
- 208 J. Zhang, X. Zhao, L. Du, Y. Li, L. Zhang, S. Liao, J. B. Goodenough and Z. Cui, Nano Lett., 2019, 19, 7457– 7463.
- 209 J. Béjar, L. Álvarez-Contreras, J. Ledesma-García, N. Arjona and L. G. Arriaga, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2019, 847, 113190.
- 210 Y. Huang, W. Yang, Y. Yu and S. Hao, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2019, 840, 409–414.
- 211 A. Rebekah, E. Ashok Kumar, C. Viswanathan and N. Ponpandian, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 6391–6403.
- 212 A. Rebekah, S. Anantharaj, C. Viswanthan and N. Ponpandian, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 14713– 14727. Fournal of Materials Chemistry A

180 th. Name, K. And Sterne 2020. Name, K. And Sterne 2020. Name, Chemis, R. And Sterne 2020. Name, Chemis, Name, Chemis, Name, Chemis, Name, Chemis, Name, Chemis, Name, Chemis, Name, Che
	- 213 X. Huang, H. Zheng, G. Lu, P. Wang, L. Xing, J. Wang and G. Wang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 1169–1177.
	- 214 R. Burch, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 5483–5500.
	- 215 Y. Suchorski, R. Wrobel, S. Becker and H. Weiss, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 20012–20017.
	- 216 F. Yang, J. Graciani, J. Evans, P. Liu, J. Hrbek, J. F. Sanz and J. A. Rodriguez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 3444–3451.
	- 217 X. Du, H. Su and X. Zhang, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 21637–21650.
	- 218 C. Sun, J. Yang, Z. Dai, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, L. Li, P. Chen, W. Huang and X. Dong, Nano Res., 2016, 9, 1300–1309.
	- 219 M. Liu, R. Zhang and W. Chen, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 5117– 5160.
	- 220 S. Hirai, S. Yagi, A. Seno, M. Fujioka, T. Ohno and T. Matsuda, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 2019–2023.
	- 221 J. Ge, W. Zhang, J. Tu, T. Xia, S. Chen and G. Xie, Small, 2020, 16, 2001856.
	- 222 J. Greeley, T. F. Jaramillo, J. Bonde, I. Chorkendorff and J. K. Nørskov, Nat. Mater., 2006, 5, 909–913.
	- 223 K. Wang, X. She, S. Chen, H. Liu, D. Li, Y. Wang, H. Zhang, D. Yang and X. Yao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 5560–5565.
	- 224 J. Han, S. Hao, Z. Liu, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun and Y. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 1077–1080.
	- 225 J. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Li, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, B. Zhang, F. Wang, B. Li and X.-Y. Yu, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 17022–17028.
	- 226 X. Du, J. Fu and X. Zhang, CrystEngComm, 2019, 21, 7293– 7302.
	- 227 K. Lankauf, K. Cysewska, J. Karczewski, A. Mielewczyk-Gryń, K. Górnicka, G. Cempura, M. Chen, P. Jasiński and S. Molin, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 14867–14879.