



Does deamidation affect inhibitory mechanisms towards amyloid protein aggregation?[†]

 Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 9787

 Received 18th May 2020,
Accepted 21st July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cc03548c

rsc.li/chemcomm

 Yuko P. Y. Lam,^{ib}^a Cookson K. C. Chiu,^{ib}^a Christopher A. Wootton,^{ib}^a
Ian Hands-Portman,^{ib}^b Meng Li,^{ib}^a Mark P. Barrow^{ib}^a and
Peter B. O'Connor^{ib}^{*a}

Deamidated amyloid proteins have been shown to accelerate fibril formation. Herein, the results show the inhibition performance and the interaction site between site-specific inhibitor and amyloid protein are significantly influenced by deamidation; while the inhibition mechanism of non-site specific inhibitor shows no significant disruption caused by amyloid protein deamidation.

Deamidation is a non-enzymatic post-translational modification associated with protein ageing.¹ Deamidation at asparagine (Asn) and glutamine (Gln) residues contributes to the formation of aspartic acid or iso-aspartic acid and glutamic acid or γ -glutamic acid respectively.¹ Deamidated amyloid proteins have been shown to accelerate amyloid fibril formation,^{2–5} and iso-aspartic acid, one of the deamidated products, was found to have a greater acceleration effect compared to the other deamidation isomeric product – aspartic acid.^{2,3} Even though deamidated amyloid proteins have been shown to have a strong correlation with the rate of fibril formation, the effect of deamidation on the inhibition of amyloid protein aggregation has not yet been fully addressed.

Human islet amyloid polypeptide (hIAPP) is a 37 amino acid hormone with an intramolecular disulfide bond between Cys-2 and Cys-7.⁶ hIAPP is stored in pancreatic β -cell secretory granules and co-secreted with insulin to regulate blood glucose levels.⁷ In general, monomeric hIAPP is water soluble and inherently disordered, conversely hIAPP amyloid fibrils are water insoluble and are observed in type II diabetes (T2D) patients.⁶ hIAPP contains 6 Asn and 1 Gln residues; deamidation is observed at Asn-21, Asn-22, and Asn-35 residues after 1 week incubation at 37 °C.³ Deamidated hIAPP has been shown to accelerate amyloid fibril formation *via* disruption of the β -sheet structure around the Leu-27 residue.⁴ Many inhibitors

have been reported to effectively inhibit hIAPP amyloid fibril formation;⁸ the inhibition performance of these inhibitors against deamidated hIAPP aggregation, however, has not yet been studied.

Human insulin (hINS), one of the most common inhibitors against hIAPP aggregation, contains 51 amino acid residues over 2 chains linked by an intra-strand disulfide bond and 2 inter-strand disulfide bonds.⁹ hINS is also an amyloid protein which aggregates rapidly at low pH (\sim pH 2–3);¹⁰ while hIAPP aggregates much faster than hINS at neutral pH (\sim pH 7).¹¹ Heterodimers, containing a hINS and a hIAPP molecules, have been observed in previous studies which are believed to be the key complex which prevents the formation of amyloid fibrils.¹²

(–)-Epigallocatechin 3-gallate (EGCG) is an extract from green tea and has shown to be an effective inhibitor against various types of amyloid protein aggregation, including amyloid β , α -synuclein, and hIAPP.^{13,14} EGCG molecules attach to amyloid proteins non-specifically which is believed to re-direct the aggregation pathway into the formation of an off-pathway oligomer in order to prevent the generation of amyloid fibrils.¹⁴

MS provides the high sensitivity and resolution required to study the interaction between early oligomers and inhibitors.¹⁵ Early hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP oligomers, from monomer up to pentamer, were observed in the MS spectra of the 10 μ M solutions of hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP (Fig. 1A and B) respectively. These results are similar to the observations obtained in the previous studies,^{3,16} indicating both peptides are amyloidogenic and aggregate rapidly to form high order oligomers in solution. When 10 μ M hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP were individually co-incubated with equimolar hINS solution, only the heterodimer complex (one hIAPP/(isoD)₃hIAPP plus one hINS), as well as monomers and homodimers of hIAPP/(isoD)₃hIAPP and hINS were observed in the MS spectra (Fig. 1C and D), suggesting the interactions between both the polypeptides and hINS are site-specific; which agrees with the previous results demonstrating the interaction between hIAPP and hINS.¹⁷ When 10 μ M hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP solutions were instead mixed with equimolar of EGCG solution (Fig. 1E and F), early

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.
E-mail: p.oconnor@warwick.ac.uk

^b Department of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0cc03548c



between the polypeptides and the site-specific inhibitor – hINS, which may result in influencing the performance of the inhibitor.

ECD MS/MS was also used to determine the interaction site between EGCG and the polypeptides (Fig. S7, S8 and Tables S3, S4, ESI[†]). The c_{34-36} fragments and z_{27-35} fragments of hIAPP/(isoD)₃hIAPP were shown to attach to an EGCG molecule in both ECD spectra (Fig. 2B and D), indicating the interaction site between hIAPP/(isoD)₃hIAPP and EGCG are similar to each other but different from the interactions with hINS. The interaction region for both species was shown to be between Arg-11 and Ser-34 residues (Fig. 2G and J). This suggested that deamidation in hIAPP resulted in minimal disruption of the interaction site between the polypeptides and EGCG, suggesting EGCG may still be an effective inhibitor to prevent the aggregation of deamidated polypeptides. The large binding region also suggests that EGCG binds at several positions somewhat stochastically or that it engages in multiple hydrogen bonds within this region, distorting the peptide conformation accordingly.

Fluorescence spectroscopy is useful to quantify amyloid fibrils in solution because of the formation of mature β -sheet fibrils which preferentially attach to thioflavin T (ThT) dye and produce a detectable fluorescence signal.²² In this experiment, pure hINS also generates amyloid fibrils which produce a fluorescence emission signal (Fig. S9C, ESI[†]). In order to focus on the fluorescence emission signal solely generated by the hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP fibrils (Fig. 3A); the fluorescence emission intensities of the ThT solution, hINS, and EGCG were baseline subtracted at each experimental time-point (Fig. S9, ESI[†]). The lag phases of the 50 μ M hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP were both 21 hours; while the relative intensity of the amyloid fibrils formed in the (isoD)₃hIAPP solution was 20% higher than that in the hIAPP solution (Fig. 3A), indicating deamidated hIAPP solution generates amyloid fibrils faster than wild-type solution, which is similar to previous observations.³ The lag phase of hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP incubated with equimolar hINS solutions were at 25 and 29 hours respectively; indicating hINS can effectively postpone the formation of amyloid fibrils in the non-deamidated and deamidated polypeptides solutions; meanwhile, a longer incubation time is required for (isoD)₃hIAPP to form mature fibrils in the solution compared to hIAPP. The lag phase of hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP incubated with equimolar of EGCG were both at 26 hour, suggesting EGCG can also potentially delay the formation of amyloid fibrils for both deamidated and non-deamidated polypeptides to a similar degree. The relative intensities of the mature fibrils formed in the solutions of hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP incubated with either inhibitors (hINS or EGCG) were very similar. Both hINS and EGCG were shown to reduce the amount of hIAPP amyloid fibrils formed in the solutions by 66.5%; while the amount of (isoD)₃hIAPP amyloid fibrils were reduced by 72.5%, suggesting both inhibitors are effective in reducing the formation of mature β -sheet amyloid fibrils consisting of either non-deamidated or deamidated polypeptides.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) is commonly used to observe the overall structures of mature aggregates and fibrils in solution. hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP samples, including




Fig. 3 (A) Normalised fluorescence emission plot of 50 μ M hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP solutions with equimolar hINS and EGCG. (B) MS quantification of 10 μ M hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP with equimolar inhibitors after 1 week incubation and (C) TEM images of fibrils found in solutions after 1 week incubation at 37 °C.

solutions mixed with either insulin or EGCG, were incubated at 37 °C for 1 week and the structures of aggregates were observed using TEM. Elongated and branched fibrils were observed in the pure hIAPP incubated sample; while short and dense fibrils were found in the (isoD)₃hIAPP incubated sample (Fig. 3C, left column), in which similar observations were shown in previous studies.³ When equimolar amounts of hINS were mixed with hIAPP for 1 week incubation, fewer amounts of elongated fibrils were observed in the TEM image, while dense amorphous aggregates were found in the mixture of (isoD)₃hIAPP and hINS solution (Fig. 3C, middle column). The results imply deamidation did affect the inhibitory mechanism of hINS towards the polypeptide aggregation which results in the formation of amorphous aggregates. When equimolar amounts of EGCG and hIAPP were mixed and incubated for 1 week, globular vesicles were clearly observed in the TEM image with some dense amorphous aggregates attaching to them (Fig. 3C, top right column). Compared to the TEM images of incubated EGCG solution (Fig. S10, ESI[†]), the increased in size of the globular vesicles from 12 nm to 48 nm are believed to be a mixture of EGCG and hIAPP molecules. When equimolar amounts of EGCG were incubated with (isoD)₃hIAPP, globular vesicles appeared to collapse and only dense amorphous aggregates were observed in the solutions. The TEM results suggest deamidated hIAPP not only affects the aggregate structures, but also the inhibitory mechanism of potential inhibitors toward its aggregation.



TEM images are used to observe the overall structure of aggregates, however, it is difficult to provide quantitative information of the aggregates or their composition, and thus it is difficult to quantitatively compare the inhibition performance between potential inhibitors. Herein, the performances of the potential inhibitors were justified by quantifying the amount of remaining soluble hIAPP or (isoD)₃hIAPP in the fresh and 1 week incubated solutions (Fig. 3B). A 2-tailed *t*-test was performed to determine whether the differences measured between the fresh and incubated solutions were significant. The incubated solutions contained only 50% soluble hIAPP and 46% (isoD)₃hIAPP quantifiable by MS and the *t*-test *p* values were less than 0.05, indicating both polypeptides aggregate rapidly in a solution and (isoD)₃hIAPP aggregates faster than hIAPP in solution which is similar to the previous observation.³ The remaining soluble hIAPP in the [hIAPP + hINS] 1 week incubated solution was ~100% and the *t*-test *p* value of the fresh and incubated solutions was greater than 0.05, suggesting hINS appears to be a good inhibitor for hIAPP aggregation as nearly all hIAPP can be recovered after the 1 week incubation period. In contrast, only 64% of soluble (isoD)₃hIAPP was detected after 1 week incubation with a *t*-test value less than 0.05, indicating hINS has much less effect in preventing deamidated polypeptide aggregation. Interpretation of the results obtained in the MS spectrum (Fig. 1C and D), together with those from fluorescence spectroscopy (Fig. 3A), and TEM (Fig. 3C) experiments, we hypothesise that hINS can effectively cap each (isoD)₃hIAPP monomer producing heterodimers in a similar manner to [hIAPP + hINS] (supported by MS spectra), but will eventually form amorphous aggregates (supported by fluorescence spectroscopy and TEM images) which is not a reversible process (supported by MS quantification). The levels of soluble hIAPP and (isoD)₃hIAPP were significantly reduced by 55% and 63% in the 1 week EGCG incubated solution respectively, suggesting aggregates are formed in the incubated solutions and the rate of aggregate formation in (isoD)₃hIAPP solution is higher than hIAPP solution. With the data observed *via* fluorescence spectroscopy (Fig. 3A) and TEM (Fig. 3C) experiments, we understand that EGCG triggers the formation of amorphous aggregates in a similar manner for both non-deamidated and deamidated polypeptides.

To conclude, our results demonstrate deamidation does not affect the binding stoichiometry between the early oligomers of amyloidogenic polypeptides and potential inhibitors. However, deamidation can potentially affect the interaction site of a site-specific inhibitor (hINS) which significantly alters the inhibitory pathway to generate irreversible amorphous aggregates. In contrast, the interaction site and inhibition performance of non-site specific inhibitor are not obviously influenced by deamidation. With the increase in focus of deamidation-induced changes in

amyloid fibril formation and inhibitor efficacy, the data shown herein could inform future therapeutic development for some amyloid diseases. In the future, it would be of interest and importance to compare the cytotoxic effects between wild-type and deamidated hIAPPs with various potential inhibitors to evaluate the dependency of these interactions to the cytotoxic effects.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 N. E. Robinson and A. Robinson, *Molecular clocks: deamidation of asparaginyl and glutaminyl residues in peptides and proteins*, Althouse Press, Oregon, 2004.
- 2 T. Shimizu, H. Fukuda, S. Murayama, N. Izumiyama and T. Shirasawa, *J. Neurosci. Res.*, 2002, **70**, 451–461.
- 3 Y. P. Y. Lam, C. A. Wootton, I. Hands-Portman, J. Wei, C. K. C. Chiu, I. Romero-Canelon, F. Lermyte, M. P. Barrow and P. B. O'Connor, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 13853–13856.
- 4 E. B. Dunkelberger, L. E. Buchanan, P. Marek, P. Cao, D. P. Raleigh and M. T. Zanni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 12658–12667.
- 5 N. M. Kad, N. H. Thomson, D. P. Smith, D. A. Smith and S. E. Radford, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2001, **313**, 559–571.
- 6 P. Westermark, C. Wernstedt, E. Wilander, D. W. Hayden, T. D. O'Brien and K. H. Johnson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1987, **84**, 3881–3885.
- 7 A. Lukinius, E. Wilander, G. Westermark, U. Engström and P. Westermark, *Diabetologia*, 1989, **32**, 240–244.
- 8 P. Cao, P. Marek, H. Noor, V. Patsalo, L.-H. Tu, H. Wang, A. Abedini and D. P. Raleigh, *FEBS Lett.*, 2013, **587**, 1106–1118.
- 9 J. Brange and L. Langkjær, *Stability and Characterization of Protein and Peptide Drugs*, Springer, 1993, pp. 315–350.
- 10 J. Brange, L. Andersen, E. D. Laursen, G. Meyn and E. Rasmussen, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 1997, **86**, 517–525.
- 11 J. Haas, E. Vöhringer-Martinez, A. Bögehold, D. Matthes, U. Hensen, A. Pelah, B. Abel and H. Grubmüller, *ChemBioChem*, 2009, **10**, 1816–1822.
- 12 M. Baram, S. Gilead, E. Gazit and Y. Miller, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4244–4252.
- 13 L. M. Young, J. C. Saunders, R. A. Mahood, C. H. Revill, R. J. Foster, L.-H. Tu, D. P. Raleigh, S. E. Radford and A. E. Ashcroft, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 73–81.
- 14 D. E. Ehrnhoefer, J. Bieschke, A. Boeddrich, M. Herbst, L. Masino, R. Lurz, S. Engemann, A. Pastore and E. E. Wanker, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2008, **15**, 558–566.
- 15 A. E. Ashcroft, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2010, **21**, 1087–1096.
- 16 Y. P. Y. Lam, C. A. Wootton, I. Hands-Portman, J. Wei, C. K. C. Chiu, I. Romero-Canelon, F. Lermyte, M. P. Barrow and P. B. O'Connor, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2020, **31**, 267–276.
- 17 A. C. Susa, C. Wu, S. L. Bernstein, N. F. Dupuis, H. Wang, D. P. Raleigh, J. E. Shea and M. T. Bowers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 12912–12919.
- 18 R. A. Zubarev and Curr. Opin, *Biotechnology*, 2004, **15**, 12–16.
- 19 F. Lermyte, J. Everett, Y. P. Y. Lam, C. A. Wootton, J. Brooks, M. P. Barrow, N. D. Telling, P. J. Sadler, P. B. O'Connor and J. F. Collingwood, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2019, **30**, 2123–2134.
- 20 Y. Xie, J. Zhang, S. Yin and J. A. Loo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14432–14433.
- 21 S. M. Patil, S. H. Xu, S. R. Sheftic and A. T. Alexandrescu, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2009, **284**, 11982–11991.
- 22 P. Arosio, T. P. Knowles and S. Linse, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 7606–7618.

