

## PAPER

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2023,  
11, 13788Long-term spontaneous negative aging behavior  
of encapsulated blue quantum dot light emitting  
devices: the influence of the hole transport  
material†Junfei Chen,<sup>a</sup> Atefeh Ghorbani,<sup>a</sup> Fatemeh Samaeifar,<sup>a</sup> Peter Chun,<sup>b</sup>  
Quan Lyu,<sup>c</sup> Giovanni Cotella,<sup>c</sup> Dandan Song,<sup>d</sup> Zheng Xu<sup>de</sup> and Hany Aziz<sup>a</sup>

Encapsulated quantum dot light emitting devices (QLEDs) commonly exhibit a positive aging behavior, *i.e.*, a spontaneous gradual improvement in their efficiency and performance during the first 10's of hours after encapsulation. In the long term, however, the trend reverses and the devices exhibit a spontaneous gradual deterioration in efficiency, a behavior referred to as negative aging. The root causes of this negative aging – which occurs without any electrical bias and therefore seriously limits the shelf life of QLEDs – remain unclear. Here, we carried out an in-depth analysis of the root causes behind the long-term spontaneous negative aging of encapsulated blue QLEDs. It is revealed that the long-term spontaneous negative aging is mainly due to the degradation of poly[(9,9-dioctylfluorenyl-2,7-diyl)-*alt*-(4,4'-(*N*-(4-butylphenyl))-diphenylamine)] (TFB), the most commonly used hole transport layer (HTL) material. Replacing TFB with 9,9-bis[4-[(4-ethenylphenyl)methoxy]phenyl]-*N*,*N*′-di-1-naphthalenyl-*N*,*N*′-diphenyl-9*H*-fluorene-2,7-diamine (VB-FNPD), a material that forms a cross-linked polymer, allows for preventing this negative aging behavior and maintaining the efficiency of the devices for over two months. This work provides design principles to realize long shelf-life QLEDs, and presents a new starting point for both fundamental studies and technological innovation.

Received 18th May 2023,  
Accepted 3rd September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3tc01720f

[rsc.li/materials-c](https://rsc.li/materials-c)

## Introduction

Since their first demonstration in 1994,<sup>1</sup> quantum dot light emitting devices (QLEDs) have attracted immense interest from the research community due to their tremendous potential for flat panel display applications. This potential stems from their ability to offer a unique set of attractive features that include a wide color gamut range, high efficiency, low power consumption, amenability to low-cost fabrication processes, and compatibility with large-size and flexible substrates.<sup>2–11</sup> After decades of development, the external quantum efficiency

(EQE) of colloidal CdSe-based QLEDs has witnessed significant improvements from an initial 0.1% to over 20%<sup>2–11</sup> reaching the theoretical limit possible for planar devices.<sup>12,13</sup> In addition, the unique sub-bandgap turn-on phenomenon offers the possibility for the development of up-conversion devices with power conversion efficiency exceeding 100%.<sup>10</sup> Besides the high efficiencies and low power consumption, a stable EL performance over time is also important for display applications. Electrical bias can drive various changes in the materials resulting in a deterioration in the EL performance of QLEDs and limiting their operational lifetime. Changes can also occur spontaneously (*i.e.* without electrical bias) limiting their shelf-life. Studies reveal that, in addition to the QDs themselves, the properties of the other functional layers (*i.e.* the charge injection and transport layers) and interlayer interfaces play critical roles in both stability behaviors.<sup>14,15</sup> Although of critical importance for commercialization, the issue of the shelf stability of QLEDs has received very little attention from the scientific community.

In general, because of the sensitivity of the QDs, metal oxide nanoparticle electron transport materials, and the organic hole transport materials to the ambient, QLEDs are usually encapsulated using a glass cover that is sealed to the substrate using

<sup>a</sup> Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Waterloo Institute for Nanotechnology, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada. E-mail: j2275che@uwaterloo.ca

<sup>b</sup> Ottawa IC Laboratory, Huawei Canada, 19 Allstate Parkway, Markham, ON L3R 5B4, Canada

<sup>c</sup> Ipswich Research Centre, Huawei Technologies Research & Development (UK) Ltd., Phoenix House (B55), Adastral Park, Ipswich IP5 3RE, UK

<sup>d</sup> Key Laboratory of Luminescence and Optical Information, Beijing Jiaotong University, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100044, China

<sup>e</sup> Institute of Optoelectronics Technology, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3tc01720f>

ultraviolet (UV)-curable resin to protect them from the ambient. In the case of blue QLEDs in particular, such encapsulation is also essential for obtaining high efficiency.<sup>13,16</sup> Surprisingly, a so-called positive aging behavior, *i.e.*, a spontaneous gradual improvement in device performance including its efficiency, is often observed in encapsulated QLEDs in the short term (*i.e.* during the first 10's hours after encapsulation).<sup>13,16–20</sup> In the long term, however, the trend reverses and the devices exhibit a spontaneous gradual deterioration in efficiency that occurs even without any electric bias, often referred to as spontaneous negative aging.<sup>16,17,19,21</sup> The underlying mechanism for this spontaneous negative aging behavior in encapsulated QLEDs remains unclear. Therefore, the shelf life of QLEDs, even state-of-the-art ones, continues to be generally limited, which is an issue that urgently calls for solutions.

In this work, we carry out mechanistic in-depth studies to elucidate the factors behind this long-term spontaneous negative aging behavior in encapsulated blue QLEDs. The electron transport and hole transport parts of the device are studied separately. Analysis of current changes shows that this behavior is primarily associated with degradation in poly[(9,9-dioctylfluorenyl-2,7-diyl)-*alt*-(4,4'-(*N*-(4-butylphenyl))-diphenylamine)] (TFB), the commonly used hole transport layer (HTL). Replacing TFB with a cross-linkable material 9,9-bis[4-(4-ethenylphenyl)methoxy] phenyl]-*N*,*N*'-di-1-naphthalenyl-*N*,*N*'-diphenyl-9H-fluorene-2,7-diamine (VB-FNPD) allows for taking advantage of the benefits of the initial positive aging behavior yet prevents the subsequent negative aging behavior. With this stable HTL, the shelf life of the QLEDs is significantly enhanced, maintaining their efficiency for 2 months under storage conditions. Our work provides an in-depth and systematic understanding of the mechanism of negative aging and offers a practical method for realizing more robust QLEDs.

## Results and discussion

Upright blue QLEDs with the structure of ITO (100 nm)/PEDOT:PSS (45 nm)/HTL/B-QDs (20 nm)/ZnMgO (60 nm)/Al (100 nm) were fabricated and characterized. In these devices, the ITO and Al serve as hole and electron-injecting contacts respectively whereas the PEDOT:PSS and ZnMgO serve as a hole injection layer and an electron transport layer (ETL) respectively. For HTL, three commonly used hole transport materials are selected; poly-TPD, TFB and PVK. The materials were coated from solutions with different concentrations to produce HTLs of different thicknesses in order to obtain the best device performance. All layers except for the cathode Al were deposited by sequential spin-coating on the ITO. After fabrication, the QLEDs were encapsulated with UV-curable resin and then stored in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Fig. S2 (ESI†) shows the density-voltage-luminance (*J*-*V*-*L*) and EQE characteristics of these devices. It can be seen that the EQE of the blue QLEDs does not change appreciably with the HTL material concentration. The best EQEs of devices with poly-TPD and PVK as HTLs are 1.46% and 1.71% respectively. With TFB – in

contrast – the EQE is significantly higher, reaching 7.71% for a concentration of 8 mg ml<sup>−1</sup>. The higher EQE can be attributed to the higher hole mobility of TFB and its better matching energy levels. Therefore we use the TFB-based devices for the purpose of studying the shelf stability of the QLEDs. A schematic diagram of the device structure is shown in Fig. 1a. To evaluate the shelf stability of the devices, the devices were tested immediately after encapsulation (0 d) and after storage for 1, 3, 10, 30, and 60 days. Fig. 1b shows the *J*-*V*-*L* characteristics of these devices at the given times. The curves are from a typical device out of a group of 3 devices of the same structure. It is clear that the performance changes of the QLEDs during storage exhibits 2 behaviors: (1) a positive aging behavior that appears in the first 10 days in the form of a significant spontaneous increase in the luminance at any given voltage, accompanied by a decrease in the leakage current, and (2) a negative aging behavior that occurs in the long term and appears in the form of a spontaneous decrease in the luminance and current density. The performance improvement of the devices during positive aging can be attributed to the increase in electron transport,<sup>13,16–19,21</sup> so in this work, we only focus on investigating the spontaneous negative aging behavior. Not surprisingly, the changes in current density and luminance lead to changes in devices' EQE. As shown in Fig. 1c, during the positive aging stage (*i.e.* in the first 10 days), the EQE<sub>max</sub> of the devices increases from 2.38% to 18.74%. It, however, later decreases, dropping to 11.02% after 60 days. Fig. 1d shows the electroluminescence (EL) spectra of the devices. The spectra show a slight red shift during the positive aging stage. In contrast, during the negative aging stage, the EL spectrum does not exhibit any detectable shifts, indicating that the causes of positive and negative aging may be different. The electrical performance characteristics of devices are summarized in Table 1. The presented data represent the averages and standard deviations from measurements on 15 devices of the same structure.

To investigate if the long-term negative aging behavior is also associated with changes in electron injection, we fabricated electron-only devices with the structure ITO/ZnO sol-gel/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al. The devices were encapsulated and tested immediately after fabrication (0 d) and after storage in a glovebox for 1, 3, 11, 30, and 60 days. As can be seen from the *J*-*V* curve in Fig. 2a, the electron current does not change significantly after the first 3 days, indicating that the negative aging behavior is not associated with changes in electron injection or transport in the devices. The QDs exciton lifetime is also investigated. Samples with the structure of glass/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al were fabricated and tested immediately after encapsulation (0 d) and after storage for 1, 3, 12, 30, and 60 days in a glovebox. Fig. 2b shows time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) decay curves collected at 460 nm under 380 nm excitation. As can be seen, the exciton lifetime of B-QDs remains unchanged over the same period. The above results show that the long-term negative aging behavior of encapsulated QLEDs is not due to changes in exciton relaxation behavior or quenching phenomena affecting the QDs.




Fig. 1 (a) Device structure, (b)  $J$ - $V$ - $L$ , (c)  $\text{EQE}$ - $J$ , inset is the  $\text{EQE}_{\text{max}}$  changes over time. (d) EL spectra of blue QLEDs with encapsulation stored for different days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox.

**Table 1** EL performance characteristics of blue QLEDs with encapsulation stored for different days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The data represent the averages and standard deviations from measurements on 15 devices of the same structure

| Devices | EL peak <sup>a</sup> (nm) | FWHM <sup>b</sup> (nm) | $V^c$ (V)       | $L^d$ ( $\text{cd m}^{-2}$ ) | $\text{CE}_{\text{max}}^e$ ( $\text{cd A}^{-1}$ ) | $\text{EQE}_{\text{max}}^f$ (%) |
|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0 d     | 469.8                     | 27.8                   | $3.03 \pm 0.04$ | $26\,475 \pm 4564$           | $2.32 \pm 0.52$                                   | $2.38 \pm 0.54$                 |
| 1 d     | 470.6                     | 28.2                   | $3.00 \pm 0.02$ | $140\,768 \pm 12\,672$       | $12.19 \pm 0.64$                                  | $12.10 \pm 0.53$                |
| 3 d     | 471.4                     | 28.8                   | $2.96 \pm 0.04$ | $22\,2146 \pm 10\,120$       | $19.19 \pm 1.62$                                  | $18.48 \pm 1.48$                |
| 10 d    | 471.4                     | 28.9                   | $2.98 \pm 0.05$ | $197\,328 \pm 21\,146$       | $19.50 \pm 2.44$                                  | $18.74 \pm 1.28$                |
| 30 d    | 471.4                     | 28.9                   | $3.16 \pm 0.09$ | $165\,335 \pm 26\,248$       | $16.67 \pm 2.42$                                  | $15.78 \pm 1.53$                |
| 60 d    | 471.0                     | 28.9                   | $3.22 \pm 0.04$ | $118\,749 \pm 13\,028$       | $11.85 \pm 1.20$                                  | $11.02 \pm 0.94$                |

<sup>a</sup> Electroluminescence peak at  $20 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ . <sup>b</sup> Full width at half maximum of EL spectra. <sup>c</sup> Measured voltage under the driving current density of  $20 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ . <sup>d</sup> Luminance at  $10 \text{ V}$ . <sup>e</sup> Maximum current efficiency. <sup>f</sup> Maximum external quantum efficiency.

With changes in electron supply and exciton quenching dynamics ruled out, the other possible cause for the long-term negative aging is a change in the hole injection and transport to QDs. Therefore, hole-only devices (QDs-HODs) with the structure ITO/PEDOT:PSS/TFB/B-QDs/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al were fabricated and encapsulated. The HODs were tested immediately after fabrication (0 d) and after storage in the glovebox for 1, 3, 9, 30, and 60 days. Fig. 3a shows the  $J$ - $V$  characteristics. As can be seen, the  $J$ - $V$  characteristics show significant changes over time in stark contrast to the  $J$ - $V$  characteristics of the EODs presented earlier. In unipolar transport, the  $J$ - $V$  characteristics are typically composed of 4 distinct regions with different  $J$  versus  $V$  power-law dependencies ( $J \propto V^n$ ): (1) ohmic conduction ( $n = 1$ ), (2) trap-limited space charge limited conduction

(t-SCLC,  $n = 1-2$ ), (3) trap-filled limited (TFL) conduction ( $n > 2$ ) and (4) space charge limited conduction (SCLC,  $n = 2$ ).<sup>22-24</sup> Among the four regions, ohmic conduction usually occurs only in defect-free devices and at very low voltages, and therefore is not usually observed in real devices. Both the t-SCLC and TFL regions (especially the TFL region) are significantly affected by charge traps. As shown in Fig. 3a, the current density of QDs-HODs decreases significantly and the transition from t-SCLC to TFL becomes more pronounced after 30 days, which corresponds to the time over which the negative aging behavior in the QLEDs becomes significant. The exponent value of the TFL region increases from 3.43 to 6.11, pointing to an increase in the number of deep traps, which may be caused by material degradation during long-term storage.<sup>22-24</sup> To further identify which




Fig. 2 (a)  $J$ - $V$  curves of the encapsulated electron-only devices with structure ITO/ZnO sol-gel/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al stored for different days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. (b) TRPL decay curves of glass/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al with encapsulation stored for different days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox.




Fig. 3  $J$ - $V$  curves of encapsulated hole-only devices with different structures measured after different storage times in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. (a) ITO/PEDOT: PSS/TFB/B-QDs/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al (QDs-HODs), (b) ITO/PEDOT: PSS/TFB/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al (TFB-HODs), (c) ITO/PEDOT: PSS/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al (PEDOT: PSS-HODs), (d) ITO/PEDOT: PSS/VB-FNPD/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al (VB-FNPD-HODs).

layer is behind the changes in the hole current, HODs in which some of the layers are removed are also fabricated and tested for comparison. At first, TFB-HODs with the structure of ITO/PEDOT: PSS/TFB/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al (without B-QDs) were fabricated and

encapsulated. As shown in Fig. 3b, the hole current still decreases significantly and the TFL region is more significant after 30 days during negative aging, indicating that the decrease in hole current is not due to QDs degradation. Next, PEDOT: PSS-

HODs with the structure of ITO/PEDOT: PSS/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al were fabricated and encapsulated. As can be seen in Fig. 3c, when only PEDOT: PSS is present in the HODs, the hole current does not change during long-term storage. From these observations, it can be concluded that the deterioration in hole conduction that occurs during negative aging is associated with the TFB layer. To verify this conclusion, a stable thermally cross-linked hole transport material, VB-FNPD is used instead of TFB as the HTL to fabricate encapsulated VB-FNPD-HODs with the structure of ITO/PEDOT: PSS/VB-FNPD/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al. As shown in Fig. 3d, the hole current remains unchanged over the same 60 days measurement time in this case. The above results indicate that the deterioration in device performance during long-term negative aging behavior is due to degradation in TFB material in the encapsulated devices that leads to a decrease in hole transport.

Finding that replacing TFB by VB-FNPD in HODs suppresses changes in hole currents over time, VB-FNPD is used as HTL in upright QLEDs with the same structure as before, *i.e.* ITO/PEDOT: PSS/VB-FNPD/B-QDs/ZnMgO/Al to further verify whether the negative aging behavior of the device is due to the HTL. After fabrication, the QLEDs were again encapsulated with UV-curable resin and then stored in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. As before, the devices were tested immediately after encapsulation (0 d) and after storage in a glove box for 1, 3, 10, 30, and 60 days. From the *J-V-L* in Fig. 4a, a positive aging behavior is still observed over the first 10 days in the form of a

significant increase in the luminance at any given voltage, accompanied by a decrease in the leakage current. This is not surprising since the positive aging behavior is associated with changes in electron injection into the QD and therefore is not expected to change upon changing the HTL material. In contrast however, in this case, the long-term negative aging behavior is not observed, evident from the luminance and current density characteristics that remain unchanged in the long term and the little changes in the EQE and EL spectra after 60 days vs after 30 days of storage as shown in Fig. 4b and c. The spectra still show a slight red shift in the short term, which also indicates that positive aging still happens. To compare the stability of the devices, the maximum EQE *versus t* curves of QLEDs with TFB and VB-FNPD as HTL are shown in Fig. 4d. It can be seen that there is obvious negative aging behavior in TFB devices after 10 days, the maximum EQE gradually decreases with storage time. In contrast, with VB-FNPD as HTL, the maximum EQE of devices remains relatively unchanged even after 60 days of storage. Optical images of encapsulated B-QLEDs with TFB and VB-FNPD as HTL stored for 60 days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox are shown in insets in Fig. 4d. It can be seen that after long-term storage, the edges of the device with the TFB HTL becomes darker and the luminescence is not uniform, whereas the device with VB-FNPD as HTL remains uniform. This also proves that the TFB deteriorates in encapsulated QLEDs over time. While the underlying




Fig. 4 (a) *J-V-L*, (b) EQE-*J*, and (c) EL spectra of encapsulated B-QLEDs with VB-FNPD as HTL stored for different days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. (d) EQE<sub>max</sub> of B-QLEDs with different HTL changes with time. Insets are the encapsulated B-QLEDs with TFB and VB-FNPD as HTL stored for 60 days in a nitrogen-filled glovebox.

mechanisms involved in TFB degradation are still unclear, that the degradation seems to start from the device edges (despite being encapsulated and kept in a glovebox at all times) points to a possible reaction between the UV-curable resin byproducts that are trapped in the encapsulation enclosure and slowly diffuse through the layer edges over time, and TFB that causes its hole transport properties to deteriorate. In contrast, since the VB-FNPD devices remain uniform over the same period and do not show any similar darkening around the edges indicates that the VB-FNPD is not similarly negatively affected by the UV-curable resin or at least that the reaction kinetics are much slower. From these results, it can be concluded that the widely used TFB plays a leading role in limiting the shelf-life of encapsulated QLEDs and that replacing it with VB-FNPD significantly improves stability.

## Conclusions

The origins of the long-term spontaneous negative aging behavior that occurs – without electrical bias – in encapsulated blue QLEDs and seriously limits their shelf-life is systematically investigated. Analysis of the  $J$ - $V$  characteristics of unipolar hole-only and electron-only devices shows that the long-term spontaneous negative aging of the encapsulated device is due to TFB degradation. To fully take advantage of positive aging but prevent the subsequent negative aging behavior, a cross-linking material VB-FNPD is used instead of TFB as the hole transport layer. With the stable HTL, the encapsulated QLED exhibits a much longer shelf life, maintaining its high efficiency for over two months in storage. Our studies uncover the origin of the long-term spontaneous negative aging and provides a method to improve the shelf stability of encapsulated QLEDs, which offer a new starting point for further research to improve the stability of blue QLEDs for commercial applications.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The financial support from the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and Huawei Technologies Corp. is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- 1 V. L. Colvin, M. C. Schlamp and A. P. Alivisatos, *Nature*, 1994, **370**, 354–357.
- 2 S. Coe, W. K. Woo, M. Bawendi and V. Bulovic, *Nature*, 2002, **420**, 800–803.
- 3 B. S. Mashford, M. Stevenson, Z. Popovic, C. Hamilton, Z. Q. Zhou, C. Breen, J. Steckel, V. Bulovic, M. Bawendi, S. Coe-Sullivan and P. T. Kazlas, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, **7**, 407–412.
- 4 X. L. Dai, Z. X. Zhang, Y. Z. Jin, Y. Niu, H. J. Cao, X. Y. Liang, L. W. Chen, J. P. Wang and X. G. Peng, *Nature*, 2014, **515**, 96–99.
- 5 Y. X. Yang, Y. Zheng, W. R. Cao, A. Titov, J. Hyvonen, J. R. Manders, J. G. Xue, P. H. Holloway and L. Qian, *Nat. Photonics*, 2015, **9**, 259–266.
- 6 L. S. Wang, J. Lin, Y. S. Hu, X. Y. Guo, Y. Lv, Z. B. Tang, J. L. Zhao, Y. Fan, N. Zhang, Y. J. Wang and X. Y. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 38755–38760.
- 7 W. R. Cao, C. Y. Xiang, Y. X. Yang, Q. Chen, L. W. Chen, X. L. Yan and L. Qian, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2608.
- 8 H. B. Shen, Q. Gao, Y. B. Zhang, Y. Lin, Q. L. Lin, Z. H. Li, L. Chen, Z. P. Zeng, X. G. Li, Y. Jia, S. J. Wang, Z. L. Du, L. S. Li and Z. Y. Zhang, *Nat. Photonics*, 2019, **13**, 192–197.
- 9 T. Kim, K. H. Kim, S. Kim, S. M. Choi, H. Jang, H. K. Seo, H. Lee, D. Y. Chung and E. Jang, *Nature*, 2020, **586**, 385–389.
- 10 Q. Su and S. M. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 369.
- 11 A. Ghorbani, J. F. Chen, F. Samaeifar, M. Azadinia, P. Chun, Q. Lyu, G. Cotella and H. Aziz, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2022, **126**, 18144–18151.
- 12 C. D. Pu, X. L. Dai, Y. F. Shu, M. Y. Zhu, Y. Z. Deng, Y. Z. Jin and X. G. Peng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 937.
- 13 Z. N. Chen, Q. Su, Z. Y. Qin and S. M. Chen, *Nano Res.*, 2021, **14**, 320–327.
- 14 H. Moon, C. Lee, W. Lee, J. Kim and H. Chae, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1804294.
- 15 F. Z. Wang, Z. Y. Wang, X. D. Zhu, Y. M. Bai, Y. Yang, S. Q. Hu, Y. Q. Liu, B. G. You, J. Wang, Y. Li and Z. A. Tan, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2007363.
- 16 B. Y. Lin, W. C. Ding, C. H. Chen, Y. P. Kuo, P. Y. Chen, H. H. Lu, N. Tierce, C. J. Bardeen, J. H. Lee, T. L. Chiu and C. Y. Lee, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **417**, 127983.
- 17 K. P. Acharya, A. Titov, J. Hyvonen, C. G. Wang, J. Tokarz and P. H. Holloway, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 14451–14457.
- 18 Q. Su, Y. Z. Sun, H. Zhang and S. M. Chen, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800549.
- 19 D. S. Chen, D. Chen, X. L. Dai, Z. X. Zhang, J. Lin, Y. Z. Deng, Y. L. Hao, C. Zhang, H. M. Zhu, F. Gao and Y. Z. Jin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2006178.
- 20 W. J. Zhang, X. T. Chen, Y. H. Ma, Z. W. Xu, L. J. Wu, Y. X. Yang, S. W. Tsang and S. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 5863–5870.
- 21 Z. N. Chen, Z. Y. Qin, X. Y. Zhou, J. W. Long and S. M. Chen, *J. Soc. Inf. Disp.*, 2019, **50**, 656–659.
- 22 S. K. Kim, H. Yang and Y. S. Kim, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **126**, 185702.
- 23 S. K. Kim and Y. S. Kim, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **126**, 035704.
- 24 M. Chrzanowski, G. Zatoryb, P. Sitarek and A. Podhorodecki, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 20305–20312.