


Chemical Science

Volume 13
Number 9
7 March 2022
Pages 2499–2798

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539

EDGE ARTICLE

Jun-Long Li *et al.*

Suzuki-type cross-coupling of alkyl trifluoroborates with
acid fluoride enabled by NHC/photoredox dual catalysis

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 2584

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 4th November 2021

Accepted 20th January 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc06102j

rsc.li/chemical-science

Suzuki-type cross-coupling of alkyl trifluoroborates with acid fluoride enabled by NHC/photoredox dual catalysis†

Hua Huang,^{ab} Qing-Song Dai,^a Hai-Jun Leng,^a Qing-Zhu Li,^a Si-Lin Yang,^a Ying-Mao Tao,^a Xiang Zhang,^a Ting Qi^a and Jun-Long Li^{id} ^{*a}

The Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of C(sp³)-hybridised boronic compounds still remains a challenging task, thereby hindering the broad application of alkyl boron substrates in carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions. Herein, we developed an NHC/photoredox dual catalytic cross-coupling of alkyl trifluoroborates with acid fluorides, providing an alternative solution to the classical acylative Suzuki coupling chemistry. With this protocol, various ketones could be rapidly synthesised from readily available materials under mild conditions. Preliminary mechanistic studies shed light on the unique radical reaction mechanism.

Introduction

The Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of boron compounds with electrophiles, such as organic halides, has played a central role in modern organic synthesis, as it provides a robust protocol to forge carbon–carbon chemical bonds.¹ As one of the most popular synthetic strategies in pharmaceutical science, the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction has been well received by medicinal chemists, with the accomplishment of more than half of the C–C bond-forming processes for drug synthesis.² Despite its significant contributions, the cross-coupling method is still imperfect in many aspects and further improving the reaction outcome remains an important research subject. Typically, the transition-metal-catalysed cross-coupling proceeds through a three-stage catalytic cycle, including oxidative addition, transmetalation and reductive elimination. In particular, the rate-determining step of most Suzuki cross-coupling reactions was found to be the transmetalation process, and the transmetalation rate of C(sp³)-hybridised boronic reagents is often sluggish and problematic, and generally exhibits a much slower rate than that of the C(sp)- or C(sp²)-hybridised boron substrates.³ In this scenario, several slow alkyl transmetalation processes were alternatively realised by using more reactive but air-/moisture-sensitive organometallic species, such as alkyl


Grignard reagents and alkylzincs, to replace the bench-stable boron reagents for cross-coupling.⁴ Thus, the broad application of alkyl boronic compounds in Suzuki–Miyaura reactions still represents a challenging task. Despite a few examples that used the means of heating, strong bases or ligand screening to promote the efficiency of alkyl boronic Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling, the development of mechanistically different catalytic protocols to address the problem is highly desirable. A breakthrough in this area has been recently achieved by Molander, who employed nickel/photoredox dual catalysis to streamline the alkylboron transmetalation through a single-electron transfer event. This dual catalytic approach was successfully applied to the cross-coupling of various alkyl trifluoroborates with aryl bromides, offering a diversity of diarylmethane products (Scheme 1a).⁵ Inspired by their elegant work, we envisioned that the concept of the dual catalytic radical approach might be further explored and modified to enable more types of Suzuki–Miyaura reactions by using easily-available alkylboron reagents.

The acylative Suzuki reaction, cross-coupling of carboxylic acid derivatives with boron reagents, represents a powerful tool for the synthesis of ketones.⁶ As an important kind of carboxylic substrate, acid fluorides are regarded as easy-to-handle and versatile building blocks for cross-coupling chemistry, probably because they display a great balance between stability and reactivity.⁷ Rovis and colleagues for the first time reported the catalytic cross-coupling of acid fluorides in the presence of organozinc reagents in 2004.⁸ However, the boron-based Suzuki-type coupling of acid fluorides remained unexplored until the recent independent studies of Sakai and Sanford's group.⁹ In 2017, Sakai *et al.*^{9a} enriched the acylative Suzuki reaction to include acid fluoride substrates, but the method was still limited to the classical C(sp²)-hybridised boron reagents.

^aAntibiotics Research and Re-evaluation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan Industrial Institute of Antibiotics, School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University, Chengdu 610106, P. R. China. E-mail: lijunlong709@hotmail.com

^bState Key Laboratory of Southwestern Chinese Medicine Resources, School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu 611137, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, characterisation data for new compounds and crystallographic data. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc06102j



Scheme 1 Research motivation for NHC/photoredox dual catalysed Suzuki-type coupling reactions.

Later, Sanford and colleagues disclosed an elegant base-free decarbonylative Suzuki-coupling of acid fluorides with various aryl and alkenyl boronic acids. Intriguingly, a few examples of alkyl boron substrates were found to be productive in the reaction and gave the alkyl substituted aromatic rings; however, the related acylative Suzuki-coupling that leads to selective synthesis of ketones could still not be realised in their catalytic system^{9b} (Scheme 1b). To address the challenge, the direct acylative coupling of acid fluorides with alkyl boronic compounds may require a mechanistically different approach that not only allows the catalytic activation of acid fluorides but also bypasses the traditional boron-transmetalation process.

Recently, the radical-mediated N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) organocatalysis has emerged as a fertile platform for the discovery of novel acylation reactions.¹⁰ Of particular interest are the diverse newly-developed approaches that allow radical synthesis of ketones from various feedstock materials. For example, Ohmiya and co-workers for the first time combined aldehydes with carboxylic acid-derived redox esters to synthesise ketones under NHC radical catalysis.¹¹ Schiedt and Chi's group independently employed acyl imidazoles or carboxylic esters to react with Hantzsch ester for ketone synthesis by using light-driven NHC catalysis.¹² Moreover, the NHC-catalysed three-component reactions were developed for the preparation

of structurally complex ketones by Ohmiya, Studer, our group and other research teams.¹³ In these NHC catalysed reactions, the radical Breslow intermediate, generated through either the single-electron oxidation or single-electron reduction process, played a key role in the catalytic process (Scheme 1c). Encouraged by these previous achievements and our continuing interest in this area,¹⁴ the NHC radical catalysis, showing great potential in ketonisation chemistry,¹⁵ was envisaged to be a feasible alternative solution to the aforementioned acylative Suzuki-type couplings. Compared to the traditional preparation of ketones, such as Weinreb ketone synthesis,¹⁶ the radical organocatalytic methods could avoid the use of strong organometallic reagents at a low reaction temperature, thereby improving the synthetic generality and practicability. Herein, we report our recent progress in the development of cross-coupling of acid fluorides with alkyl trifluoroborates by using an NHC/photoredox dual catalysis strategy¹⁷ (Scheme 1d). Preliminary mechanistic studies, including control reactions, photo-physical experimentation, determination of redox potential through cyclic voltammetry,¹⁸ and DFT calculations, suggested a unique sequential energy transfer and single-electron transfer activation pathway.

Results and discussion

We commenced the investigations by selecting alkyl trifluoroborate **1a** and benzoyl fluoride **2a** as model substrates, and a blue light-emitting diode (LED) was used as the visible-light source. First, several photocatalysts were screened in the presence of NHC organocatalyst **N1** and Cs_2CO_3 in acetone at room temperature. As shown in Table 1, the iridium-based photocatalyst **PC4** could promote the desired reaction with the highest efficiency, providing the ketone product **3a** in 61% yield (Table 1, entries 1–5). Then, various NHC catalysts (**N2–N7**), including triazolium, imidazolium and thiazolium salts, were tested in this dual catalytic system, but poor conversions were generally observed (Table 1, entries 6–11). The effect of the solvent was subsequently investigated, but no better results were achieved (Table 1, entries 12–15). Further screening of various inorganic and organic bases did not improve the reaction outcome (Table 1, entries 16–19). Finally, the solvent amount was screened, and we were delighted to find that the isolated yield could be improved to 71% by using 0.05 M reaction concentration (Table 1, entries 20–22).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we then explored the generality and limitations of the NHC/photoredox dual catalysed cross-coupling reaction by testing various substituted alkyl trifluoroborates **1** and acyl fluorides **2**. In general, the electronic variation of the aromatic ring on trifluoroborate **1** had limited effect on the reaction outcome. As shown in Table 2, the substituted trifluoroborates bearing either an electron-withdrawing or electron-donating group, such as the halogen, methyl or methoxyl group, were well tolerated to give the corresponding ketone products **3a–3e** in 56–71% yields. It is noteworthy that the *ortho*-substituted trifluoroborate could smoothly participate in this reaction, affording the desired products **3f** and **3g** in 60% and 50% yields, respectively.



Table 1 Optimisation of reaction conditions^a

NHC catalyst

N1 Ar = 2,4,6-Me₃-C₆H₂
N2 Ar = C₆F₅
N3 R = Me, X = I
N4 R = 2,6-*i*Pr₂-C₆H₃, X = Cl
N5
N6 R = 2,6-*i*Pr₂-C₆H₃
N7 R = -CH₂-*t*Bu

photocatalyst

PC1
PC2 R = H
PC3 R = CF₃
PC4 R¹ = H, R² = H
PC5 R¹ = CF₃, R² = F

Entry	NHC	PC	Solvent	Base	Yield ^b (%)
1	N1	PC1	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	25
2	N1	PC2	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	<5
3	N1	PC3	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	15
4	N1	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	61
5	N1	PC5	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	51
6	N2	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	8
7	N3	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	<5
8	N4	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	22
9	N5	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	<5
10	N6	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	5
11	N7	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	<5
12	N1	PC4	Toluene	Cs ₂ CO ₃	44
13	N1	PC4	MeCN	Cs ₂ CO ₃	42
14	N1	PC4	DCM	Cs ₂ CO ₃	42
15	N1	PC4	DMF	Cs ₂ CO ₃	27
16	N1	PC4	Acetone	K ₂ CO ₃	43
17	N1	PC4	Acetone	NaOAc	15
18	N1	PC4	Acetone	Et ₃ N	<5
29	N1	PC4	Acetone	DBU	33
20 ^c	N1	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	36
21 ^d	N1	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	71
22 ^e	N1	PC4	Acetone	Cs ₂ CO ₃	64

^a Unless noted otherwise, reactions were performed with 0.10 mmol of **1a**, 0.20 mmol of **2a**, 0.02 mmol of NHC catalyst **N**, 0.10 mmol of base and 2 mol% of photocatalyst **PC** in 1 mL solvent at room temperature for 3 h. ^b Isolated yields. ^c 0.5 mL solvent. ^d 2.0 mL solvent. ^e 3.0 mL solvent.

In addition, the reaction proceeded smoothly when trifluoroborate bearing a biphenyl group was used (**3i**). Furthermore, the reactions between various substituted trifluoroborates and the biphenyl benzoylfluoride were also efficient, which offered the ketone products **3j–3n** in 64–81% yields. The 2-naphthyl-substituted **1** was compatible in this reaction, delivering the corresponding product **3o** in 43% yield. However, when the indenyl or benzyl-ethyl trifluoroborates were tested, a decrease in the reaction yield was observed (**3p** and **3q**). Then, the reactions


Table 2 Substrate scope of the cross-coupling of acid fluorides and alkyl trifluoroborates^a

3a 71% **3b** 66% **3c** 56% **3d** 62%
3e 68% **3f** 60% **3g** 50% **3h** 58%
3i 50% **3j** 81% **3k** 70%
3l 64% **3m** 65% **3n** 70%
3o 43% **3p** 22% **3q** 23%
3r 65% **3s** 53% **3t** 66% **3u** 51%
3v 64% **3w** 50% **3x** 53% **3y** 46%
3z 61% **3aa** 72% **3ab** 61% **3ac** 52%
3ad 50% **3ae** 36% **3af** 24%
3ag 35% Probenecid analogue **3ah** 30% Ketoprofen analogue

^a Unless noted otherwise, reactions were performed with 0.10 mmol of **1**, 0.20 mmol of **2**, 0.02 mmol of the **N1** catalyst, 0.10 mmol of Cs₂CO₃ and 2% mmol of **PC4** in 2 mL acetone at room temperature for 3 h; the yield refers to isolated yield of the reactions. ^b Using Ir[dF(CF₃)ppy]₂(Phen)PF₆ instead of **PC4**.

with various aryl fluorides **2** were examined under the established NHC/photoredox dual catalytic system. A broad range of acyl fluorides **2** with diverse electronic and steric properties were



Table 3 Cross-coupling of acyl fluorides and α -silyl carbazole 4^a

^a Reactions were performed with 0.10 mmol of **1**, 0.20 mmol of **2**, 0.02 mmol of **N1**, 0.10 mmol of Cs₂CO₃ and 2% mmol of **PC4** in 1 mL MeCN at room temperature for 1 h, isolated yields.

tested. As shown in Table 2, the aroyl fluorides bearing electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents at the *para*-position could all participate in this reaction and offer the products **3r–3u** in 51–66% yields. The reaction could also proceed smoothly when testing various substituents in the *meta*-position of the aroyl fluorides (**3v–3x**). Notably, the *ortho*-substituted aroyl fluorides could successfully afford the desired products **3y** and **3z** in 46% and 61% yields, respectively. Aroyl fluorides bearing a 1-naphthyl or 2-naphthyl group reacted well to afford ketones **3aa** and **3ab** in 72% and 61% yields, respectively. Importantly, the reaction proceeded smoothly when the aliphatic acyl fluorides were employed as the substrates (**3ac** and **3ae**). To our gratification, this catalytic protocol could also be suitable for the late-stage functionalisation of bioactive molecules. For example, the acyl fluorides, derived from probenecid and ketoprofen, could readily be converted into the corresponding ketone derivatives **3ag** and **3ah** through the established cross-coupling reaction.


To further illustrate the generality and expandability of this protocol, this catalytic method was subsequently tested for the

acylation reaction of α -silyl carbazole **4**. In general, the reactions proceeded efficiently with various substituted α -silyl carbazoles **4** and acyl fluorides **2**. As shown in Table 3, the aroyl fluorides bearing either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents at the *para*-, *meta*- or *ortho*-position could all participate in this reaction and offered the products **5a–5j** in 50–90% yields. In addition, heteroaryl fluoride was also compatible in the reaction albeit with lower yield (**5k**). Notably, the acyl fluoride featuring a cycloheptyl substituent could also be suitable for the catalytic transformation to provide the corresponding product **5l** with good yield. Furthermore, the reactions between various substituted α -silyl carbazoles and the benzoyl fluorides also occurred efficiently, offering the ketone products **5m–5v** in 56–90% yields.


To gain insights into the dual catalytic system, we set up control experiments to shed light on the reaction mechanism (Scheme 2). First, in the presence of alkyl trifluoroborate **1a**, the direct use of acyl azolium ion **6** under photoredox catalysis provided ketone **3a** in 74% yield.¹⁹ This result indicated that the acyl azolium intermediate, *in situ* generated from acyl fluorides and the NHC catalyst, should be involved in this catalytic reaction. Moreover, the catalytic process could be completely suppressed by adding TEMPO in the reaction, suggesting a radical nature of this transformation (Scheme 2a). Next, a light on–off experiment was carried out with alkyl trifluoroborate **1a** and benzoyl fluoride **2a** (Scheme 2b). We observed that product formation only occurred during the periods of constant irradiation. In addition, we also measured the quantum yield of the reaction, and determined that ϕ was 0.69 (see the ESI† for details).²⁰ The results indicated that the reaction underwent a catalytic radical process rather than a radical chain pathway.

Moreover, the UV-vis absorption spectrum of the photocatalyst [Ir(ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆ revealed significant absorption of


a) Control experiments




b) Light on–off experiments



Scheme 2 Control experiments and the light on–off experiment.



Scheme 3 Mechanistic investigations of the reaction.



Scheme 4 Plausible reaction pathway.

visible light, and the tail wavelength reached over 500 nm (Scheme 3a, I). Without a photocatalyst, no absorption was observed in the spectrum of visible light for the substrates, the NHC catalyst or their combinations (Scheme 3a, II–V). These results indicated that the photocatalytic process is initiated by the visible light excitation of the photocatalyst. Besides, we also performed Stern–Volmer fluorescence quenching experiments. As illustrated in Scheme 3b, the excited state of photocatalyst PC4 could be readily quenched by acyl azolium, rather than trifluoroborates. Furthermore, the reduction potential of acyl azolium 6 ($E_{red} = -1.31$ V vs. SCE) was measured by cyclic voltammetry analysis (Scheme 3c). Compared with the oxidation potential of the excited $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$ ($E_{1/2}\text{IV/III}^* = -0.96$ V vs. SCE),²¹ a single-electron transfer between the excited photocatalyst and acyl acylium is unlikely. Then, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations of the triplet energy of acyl azolium intermediates and photocatalyst using the (U) B3LYP functional (for computational details, see the ESI†).²² The calculated triplet–singlet energy gaps $[\Delta G(\text{T1-S0})]$ of the key intermediates 6 and 7 are 39.5 and 27.1 kcal mol^{−1}, respectively, which are below the calculated $[\Delta G(\text{T1-S0})]$ (49.7 kcal mol^{−1}) and experimentally determined result (49.2 kcal mol^{−1})²³ of

PC4. Thus, these experimental and computational results indicated that an energy transfer (EnT) process might be feasible under the current circumstance.²⁴

On the basis of the above observations, the mechanism of the present reaction is proposed in Scheme 4. Upon visible light irradiation, the Ir-based photocatalyst was excited to its excited state. Then, the acyl azolium intermediate, generated from the NHC catalyst and acyl fluoride, was activated by the triplet state photocatalyst through an EnT process. Subsequently, the single electron transfer between the excited acyl azolium and benzylic trifluoroborate generated a ketyl radical and a benzyl radical species. Finally, the cross-coupling of these two radical intermediates afforded a ketone product and released the free carbene catalyst.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an alternative strategy to realise the acylative Suzuki-type cross-coupling of alkyl trifluoroborates and acid fluorides by merging NHC organocatalysis with photoredox catalysis. With the developed dual catalytic system, a broad spectrum of ketones could be facily synthesised from easily accessible substrates under mild reaction conditions. To further demonstrate the generality of this protocol, the catalytic acylation of α -silyl carbazoles was also disclosed. In addition, we have performed several mechanistic investigations, such as control reactions, photophysical experimentation, cyclic voltammetry analysis, and computational stimulations, to shed light on the unique sequential energy transfer and single-electron transfer activation pathway. Further detailed studies on the reaction mechanism and extension of this dual catalytic system to activate more challenging substrates are currently underway in our laboratory, and the results will be reported in due course.

Data availability

All experimental data is available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

J.-L. L. and H. H. conceived and designed the study. H. H., Q.-S. D., S.-L. Y., and Y.-M. T. performed the synthetic experiments



with input from J.-L. L. The mechanistic investigations were performed by H. H., H.-J. L., and Q.-Z. L. The DFT calculations were performed by X. Z. and T. Q. The manuscript was prepared by J.-L. L. and H. H. All authors discussed the experimental results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest


There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from NSFC (21871031 and 22071011), the Science & Technology Department of Sichuan Province (2021YJ0404), and Longquan Talents Program, and the start-up founding from Chengdu University is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- (a) A. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6723–6737; (b) N. Miyaure and A. Suzuki, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 2457–2483.
- N. Schneider, D. M. Lowe, R. A. Sayle, M. A. Tarselli and G. A. Landrum, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **59**, 4385–4402.
- (a) J. F. Hartwig, *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, 2010; (b) L. S. Hegedus and B. C. G. Soderberg, *Transition Metals in the Synthesis of Complex Organic Molecules*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, 2010.
- For related reviews, see: (a) A. Sekiya and N. Ishikawa, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1977, **125**, 281–290; (b) M. J. Zacuto, C. S. Shultz and M. Journet, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2011, **15**, 158–161; (c) D. Haas, J. M. Hammann, R. Greiner and P. Knochel, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 1540–1552; (d) C. Cordovilla, C. Bartolomé, J. M. Martínez-Ilarduya and P. Espinet, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 3040–3053; (e) B. Carsten, F. He, H. J. Son, T. Xu and L. Yu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1493–1528; (f) R. Chinchilla and C. Nájera, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 874–922; (g) R. Chinchilla and C. Nájera, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5084–5121.
- J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer and G. A. Molander, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 433–436.
- For selected examples, see: (a) C. S. Cho, K. Itotani and S. Uemura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1993, **443**, 253–259; (b) L. J. Goossen, D. Koley, H. L. Hermann and W. Thiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11102–11114; (c) R. Kakino, H. Narahashi, I. Shimizu and A. Yamamoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2002, **75**, 1333–1345; (d) B. W. Xin, Y. H. Zhang and K. Cheng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 5725–5731; (e) C. G. Frost and K. J. Wadsworth, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2316–2317; (f) S. F. Si, C. Wang, N. Zhang and G. Zou, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 4364–4370; (g) L. S. Liebeskind and J. Srogl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 11260–11261; (h) Y. Yu and L. S. Liebeskind, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 3554–3557; (i) H. Yang, H. Li, R. Wittenberg, M. Egi, W. Huang and L. S. Liebeskind, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1132–1140; (j) L. J. Goossen and K. Ghosh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2084–2085; (k) H. Wu, B. Xu, Y. Li, F. Hong, D. Zhu, J. Jian, X. Pu and Z. Zeng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 2987–2992; (l) H. Wu, B. Xu, Y. Li, F. Hong, D. Zhu, J. Jian, X. Pu and Z. Zeng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 2987–2992; (m) H. Tatamidani, F. Kakiuchi and N. Chatani, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 3597–3599; (n) J. Wang, S. Zuo, W. Chen, X. Zhang, K. Tan, Y. Tian and J. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 8217–8231; (o) T. B. Halima, W. Zhang, I. Yalaoui, X. Hong, Y. F. Yang, K. N. Houk and S. G. Newman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1311–1318, for a related review, see: (p) H. Prokopcová and C. O. Kappe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 2276–2286.
- Y. Ogiwara and N. Sakai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 574–594.
- Y. Zhang and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15964–15965.
- (a) Y. Ogiwara, D. Sakino, Y. Sakurai and N. Sakai, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 4324–4327; (b) C. A. Malapit, J. R. Bour, C. E. Brigham and M. S. Sanford, *Nature*, 2018, **563**, 100–104.
- (a) T. Ishii, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5630–5636; (b) Q. Z. Li, R. Zeng, B. Han and J. L. Li, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 3238–3250; (c) Q. Liu and X. Y. Chen, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 2082–2087; (d) A. Mavroskoufis, M. Jakob and M. N. Hopkinson, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2020, **4**, 5147–5153; (e) L. Dai and S. Ye, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 660–667; (f) H. Ohmiya, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6862–6869; (g) J. Liu, X.-N. Xing, J.-H. Huang, L.-Q. Lu and W.-J. Xiao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 10605–10613.
- (a) T. Ishii, K. Ota, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 14073–14077; (b) T. Ishii, Y. Kakeno, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3854–3858.
- (a) A. V. Bay, K. P. Fitzpatrick, R. C. Betori and K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 9143–9148; (b) S.-C. Ren, W.-X. Lv, X. Yang, J.-L. Yan, J. Xu, F.-X. Wang, L. Hao, H. Chai, Z. Jin and Y. R. Chi, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 2925–2934; (c) A. V. Bay, K. P. Fitzpatrick, G. A. González-Montiel, A. O. Farah, P. H. Cheong and K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 17925–17931; (d) A. A. Bayly, B. R. McDonald, M. Mrksich and K. A. Scheidt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2020, **117**, 13261–13266 for other elegant examples, see: (e) K. Liu and A. Studer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 4903–4909; (f) Q.-Y. Meng, N. Döben and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 19956–19960; (g) Q.-Y. Meng, L. Lezius and A. Studer, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 2068–2075; (h) Z. Zuo, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 25252–25257; (i) M.-S. Liu, L. Min, B.-H. Chen and W. Shu, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 9715–9721; (j) H. Sheng, Q. Liu, X.-D. Su, Y. Lu, Z.-X. Wang and X.-Y. Chen, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 7187–7192; (k) D. Du, K. Zhang, R. Ma, L. Chen, J. Gao, T. Lu, Z. Shi and J. Feng, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 6370–6375; (l) K.-Q. Chen, Z.-X. Wang and X.-Y. Chen, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 8059–8064; (m) A. Mavroskoufis, K. Rajes, P. Golz, A. Agrawal, V. Ruß, J. P. Götze and M. N. Hopkinson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 3190–3194; (n) L. Dai, Z.-H. Xia, Y.-Y. Gao, Z.-H. Gao and S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 18124–18130.



- 13 (a) Y. Matsuki, N. Ohnishi, Y. Kakeno, S. Takemoto, T. Ishii, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3848; (b) Y. Kakeno, M. Kusakabe, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 8524–8529; (c) W. Liu, A. Vianna, Z. Zhang, S. Huang, L. Huang, M. Melaimi, G. Bertrand and X. Yan, *Chem. Catal.*, 2021, **1**, 196–206; (d) Z. Li, M. Huang, X. Zhang, J. Chen and Y. Huang, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 10123–10130; (e) K. Ota, K. Nagao and H. Ohmiya, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 3922–3925; (f) I. Kim, H. Im, H. Lee and S. Hong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 3192–3197; (g) Y. Gao, Y. Quan, Z. Li, L. Gao, Z. Zhang, X. Zou, R. Yan, Y. Qu and K. Guo, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 183–189; (h) J. L. Li, Y. Q. Liu, W. L. Zou, R. Zeng, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, B. Han, Y. He, H. J. Leng and Q. Z. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 1863–1870; (i) B. Zhang, Q. Peng, D. Guo and J. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 443–447; (j) H. B. Yang, Z. H. Wang, J. M. Li and C. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 3801–3804.
- 14 (a) J. L. Li, L. Fu, J. Wu, K. C. Yang, Q. Z. Li, X. J. Gou, C. Peng, B. Han and X. D. Shen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 6875–6878; (b) K. C. Yang, Q. Z. Li, Y. Liu, Q. Q. He, Y. Liu, H. J. Leng, A. Q. Jia, S. Ramachandran and J. L. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 7518–7521; (c) Q. Li, L. Zhou, X.-D. Shen, K.-C. Yang, X. Zhang, Q.-D. Dai, H.-J. Leng, Q.-Z. Li and J.-L. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1913–1917; (d) H. Huang, Q.-Z. Li, Y.-Q. Liu, H.-J. Leng, P. Xiang, Q.-S. Dai, X.-H. He, W. Huang and J.-L. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 3862–3867.
- 15 (a) D. M. Flanagan, F. Romanov-Michailidis, N. A. White and T. Rovis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9307–9387; (b) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler and F. Glorius, *Nature*, 2014, **510**, 485–496; (c) X. Y. Chen, Z. H. Gao and S. Ye, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 690–702.
- 16 For related reviews, see: (a) W. Zhao and W. Liu, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **35**, 55–69; (b) N. Chalotra, S. Sultan and B. A. Shah, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **9**, 863–881; (c) S. G. Davies, A. M. Fletcher and J. E. Thomson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8586–8598; (d) M. Nowak, *Synlett*, 2015, **26**, 561–562, for selected examples, see: (e) S. Nahm and S. M. Weinreb, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, **22**, 3815–3818; (f) V. Malathong and S. D. Rychnovsky, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 4220–4223; (g) C. O. Kangani, D. E. Kelley and B. W. Day, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, **47**, 6289–6292.
- 17 (a) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum and T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10035–10074; (b) S. Witzel, A. S. K. Hashmi and J. Xie, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 8868–8925; (c) X.-Y. Yu, J.-R. Chen and W.-J. Xiao, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 506–561.
- 18 N. Elgrishi, K. J. Rountree, B. D. McCarthy, E. S. Rountree, T. T. Eisenhart and J. L. Dempsey, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2018, **95**, 197–206.
- 19 The triazole-derived acyl azoliums are unstable and are difficult to isolate and handle. Therefore, the imidazole-derived acyl azoliums are used for the mechanistic studies, and the imidazolium species also proved to be the productive intermediate which was confirmed by the result of control experiments. Studer and co-workers also used a similar mechanistic investigation strategy; for details, see ref. 12f.
- 20 (a) A. Bahamonde and P. Melchiorre, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8019–8030; (b) M. A. Cismesia and T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5426–5434.
- 21 (a) K. Teegardin, J. I. Day, J. Chan and J. Weaver, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2016, **20**, 1156–1163; (b) K. Kwon, R. T. Simons, M. Nandakumar and J. L. Roizen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **122**, 2353–2428.
- 22 (a) P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1994, **98**, 11623–11627; (b) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648–5652; (c) C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- 23 F. Strieth-Kalthoff, M. J. James, M. Teders, L. Pitzera and F. Glorius, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7190–7202.
- 24 F. Strieth-Kalthoff and F. Glorius, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 1888–1903.

