

Nanoscale

Polarization-dependent electric potential distribution across nanoscale ferroelectric $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ in functional memory capacitors

Manuscript ID NR-AR Article Type: Paper Date Submitted by the 09-00	RT-07-2019-005904.R3
Article Type: Paper Date Submitted by the 09-00	
Date Submitted by the 09-00	
Author:	t-2019
Complete List of Authors: Matver Techno Mikhee Negro Zarubi Kumar Grimle Engine LeBea Glosko Tsymt and As Zenke	yev, Yury; DESY, FS-PETRA-S; Moscow Institute of Physics and ology ev, Vitalii; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology v, Dmitry; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology in, Sergey; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology r, Abinash; North Carolina State University ey, Everett; North Carolina State University, Materials Science and eering u, James; North Carolina State University ovskii, Andrei; Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY oal, Evgeny; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Department of Physics stronomy; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology vich, Andrei; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology



Polarization-dependent electric potential distribution across nanoscale ferroelectric $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ in functional memory capacitors

Yury Matveyev^{b,a*}, Vitalii Mikheev^a, Dmitry Negrov^a, Sergei Zarubin^a, Abinash Kumar^c, Everett D. Grimley^c, James M. LeBeau^c, Andrei Gloskovskii^b, Evgeny Y. Tsymbal ^{d,a*} and Andrei Zenkevich^{a*}

a) Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 9, Institutskiy lane, Dolgoprudny, Moscow region, 141700, Russia

b) Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, 85 Notkestraße, Hamburg, D-22607, Germany

c) Department of Materials Science and Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27606, USA

d) Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588,

USA

* E-mails of corresponding authors: <u>yury.matveev@desy.de</u>; <u>zenkevich.av@mipt.ru</u>; <u>tsymbal@unl.edu</u> **Keywords**: ferroelectrics, hafnium oxide, interfaces, electric potential profile, operando hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, standing wave

Abstract

The emergence of ferroelectricity in nanometer-thick films of doped hafnium oxide (HfO_2) makes this material a promising candidate for use in Si-compatible non-volatile memory devices. The switchable polarization of ferroelectric HfO₂ controls functional properties of these devices through the electric potential distribution across the capacitor. The experimental characterization of the local electric potential at the nanoscale has not so far been realized in practice. Here, we develop a new methodology which allows us, for the first time, to experimentally quantify the polarization-dependent potential profile across few-nanometer-thick ferroelectric Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ thin films. Using a standing-wave excitation mode in synchrotron based hard X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, we depth-selectively probe TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/W prototype memory capacitors and determine the local electrostatic potential by analyzing the core-level line shifts. We find that the electric potential profile across the Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ layer is non-linear and changes with in situ polarization switching. Combined with our scanning transmission electron microscopy data and theoretical modeling, we interpret the observed non-linear potential behavior in terms of defects in Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂, at both interfaces, and their charge state modulated by the ferroelectric polarization. Our results provide an important insight into the intrinsic electronic properties of HfO₂ based ferroelectric capacitors and are essential for engineering memory devices.

Introduction

Fast write speed, high-density and low-power consumption non-volatile memories, which would allow retaining information when the power is switched off, are highly desirable for modern computing technologies. Among different concepts of such memories, ferroelectric random access memories (FeRAM) appear to be competitive, where the information is stored through the direction of remnant polarization. In particular, in a ferroelectric field-effect transistor (FeFET) memory device, the polarization direction of a ferroelectric gate affects the threshold voltage controlling the electric current in the transistor channel [1,2]. In an alternative ferroelectric memory concept called ferroelectric tunnel junction (FTJ) [3-5], an ultrathin ferroelectric barrier is sandwiched between two conducting electrodes with different work functions and/or screening lengths. The tunnelling current is strongly dependent on ferroelectric polarization orientation yielding a large tunnel electroresistance (TER) ratio due to changes in the electrostatic potential profile controlling the tunnelling current. Both memory concepts allow for a non-destructive readout, and the combination of functional characteristics potentially makes such ferroelectric devices promising candidates for "universal" memory combining high speed, non-volatility, and scalability down to the nanometer range. Indeed, the implementation of both FeFETs [e.g., 6-8] and FTJs has been reported previously [e.g., 9-13] and showed their potential as memory devices. Unfortunately, commercialization of these devices is hindered by the problems arising from the use of conventional perovskite ferroelectrics due to their poor compatibility with the Si-based CMOS technology.

Recently, ferroelectricity has been discovered in doped or alloyed HfO_2 thin films [14]. The advantage of this class of ferroelectric materials over perovskites is their perfect compatibility with the modern CMOS technology. The ferroelectric properties of HfO_2 have been assigned to

the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic phase (space group Pca2₁) [14, 15]. This structural phase is metastable and crystallizes upon high-temperature annealing in thin films with thicknesses on the order of nanometers to tens of nanometers depending on the type of dopants and their concentration, film thickness, conditions of thermal treatment and the type of electrodes [15-18]. Following the discovery of ferroelectricity in HfO₂, its applicability as a functional gate oxide in nanoscale FeFET memory devices has been successfully demonstrated [7,8,19]. It should be noted that the temperature required for HZO to crystallize in the ferroelectric phase (T ~ 400°C) is significantly lower compared to the other types of doped HfO₂. This feature makes HZO films advantageous for the back-end-of-line fabrication of nonvolatile memory devices. Indeed, the realization of the first CMOS-compatible FTJs based on a ferroelectric HZO barrier layer has recently been reported [20]. However, the functional properties of both HfO₂ based FeFET and FTJ prototypic memory devices obtained so far still need significant improvement in order to have chances for their commercialization.

An important role in the performance of both types of ferroelectric memory devices is played by the electrostatic potential, which dynamically changes in the process of memory operation. The potential profile is controlled by ferroelectric polarization as well as by electronic and structural properties of the ferroelectric layer and boundary conditions at the interfaces with metal electrodes. By proper engineering of the electrostatic potential profile across a ferroelectric HfO₂ layer it is feasible to enhance the memory performance. To this end, it is critical to develop a methodology for the quantitative characterization of the electrostatic potential in the nanoscale ferroelectric devices.

Previously, X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) was used to derive the electronic band alignment at the metal/ferroelectric interfaces of BaTiO₃ [13] and HZO [20] layers for a

fixed polarization orientation intrinsically acquired by the ferroelectric. At the same time, it was shown that the laboratory XPS analysis can be employed to monitor the effect of polarization reversal in bulk BaTiO₃ following *in situ* deposition of a metal overlayer [21]. By combining the spectroscopic measurements with *in situ* electrical biasing, the study of polarization and electric-field induced effects on the electronic structure of the interface between metal and bulk ferroelectric PMN-PT was performed [22]. Recently, we used synchrotron-based hard X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) in operando to quantify polarization dependent conduction band offsets at interfaces in ferroelectric TiN/HZO/TiN capacitors [23]. With a tunable energy range of 5-12 keV, this technique enables probing layers down to about 20 nm beneath the surface. This approach allows for a non-destructive characterization of the interface properties in the functional ferroelectric capacitors. In addition, by using a so-called X-ray standing-wave (SW) excited mode in HAXPES [24], the elemental depth profile, interface properties, and the polarization-induced built-in electric potentials at interfaces, particularly, in the LCO/STO superlattices, were recently determined [25].

In this paper, we report on the application of SW-HAXPES technique to study *in operando* the prototype of memory devices. Since such devices usually comprise polycrystalline rather than epitaxial layers with characteristic thicknesses in ~10 nm range, the use of "classic" X-rays standing wave, excited by the interference of Braggs reflections from single crystals, is limited. Therefore, we have implemented the so-called near-total reflection (NTR) X-ray standing wave excitation mode, where the SWs are formed by the interference of X-rays incident at a glancing angle and reflected from the engineered bottom layer comprising heavy atoms. This technique was previously used to determine the depth resolved charge accumulation at the ferroelectric layer (BiFeO₃) in contact with a doped Mott insulator (Ca_{1-x}Ce_xMnO₃) [26].

Using NTR-SW HAXPES we depth-selectively probe the local electrostatic potential across nanoscale ferroelectric HZO layer in functional TiN/HZO/W prototype memory capacitors by measuring the kinetic energy of photoelectrons and subsequent core-line shifts of HZO constituents. Furthermore, *in situ* electric biasing of the sample allows us to directly monitor the effect of ferroelectric polarization switching on the potential profile. The experimentally determined non-linear polarization-dependent potential across ferroelectric HZO reveals the presence of space charges in a sub-nm-thick layer close to both interfaces, which effectively screen the depolarizing electric field. Based on our electrostatic modelling with realistic parameters, we attribute charges at the top interface to oxygen vacancies in hafnia originating from the redox reaction at the TiN/HZO interface, in agreement with the angular-dependent photoemission spectra analysis. HAADF STEM is employed to characterize the atomic-scale structure of the HZO layer in the TiN/HZO/W capacitors which reveals structural distortions of HZO at the HZO/W interface, which hints at the origin of the charged defects there.

1. Experimental

The samples were grown on Si chips $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2$ in size. Firstly, the 50-nm-thick W layer was deposited by magnetron sputtering. On top of this layer Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ (HZO) layer 9 nm in thickness was grown by atomic layer deposition (ALD) on top. The HZO growth process has been described in detail previously [23, 27]. A TiN overlayer was deposited by magnetron sputtering at the optimized thickness d ~ 10 nm to ensure continuous film coverage, sufficient conductivity and reasonably high yield of photoelectrons from the buried interfaces. In order to stabilize the non-centrosymmetric orthorhombic phase, the as-grown structures were exposed to rapid thermal annealing at T = 400° C. Thus formed tri-layers were patterned into functional

ferroelectric capacitor devices with lateral dimensions $150x3000 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ chosen to match the X-ray beam footprint at P09 beamline at DESY. To ensure the ability of *in situ* pulsed switching and polarization measurements from the remote source-meter unit the metal pads ~0.25 mm² in size connected to capacitor electrodes were also fabricated on the chip. More details are provided in Supplementary Section S1.

The ferroelectric properties of the fabricated TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/W capacitors were examined upon the growth *ex situ* using Cascade Summit 1100 probe station coupled with Agilent semiconductor device analyzer B1500A and *in situ* during HAXPES analysis with Agilent B2912A source-measure unit. In both cases, the positive-up negative-down (PUND) technique was utilized (see Supplementary Section S2).

Operando HAXPES measurements were performed at the beamline P09 of PETRA III (DESY) with Specs 225 HV analyzer choosing an excitation energy E = 6 keV with an overall energy resolution of about 0.2 eV [28]. The spectra were acquired in short-circuit configurations, with both electrodes kept grounded. The acquisition of spectra always started upon ~ 10 min. after switching voltage pulses in order to obtain the true steady-state conditions. The sample angle with respect to the beam was controlled by monitoring the relative positions of direct and partially reflected beam with Pilatus 100K detector system placed behind the sample. First, four regions of interest at the incidence angle 3° were acquired: W4*d*, Hf3*d*_{5/2}, Hf4*f* and Ti2*p*. The O1*s* spectrum was found consisting of multiple components originating from the different layers in our structure (Supplementary Section S5.1). For each polarization direction, the spectra were acquired at 20 different angles in the range from 0.35 to 1.05 degrees.

HAADF-STEM imaging was performed on a FEI Titan G2 60-300 kV equipped with a probe-corrector operated at 200 kV with a beam current of 60 pA and probe semi-convergence

7

angle \approx 19.6 mrad. The collection inner semi-angle was 77 mrad. Drift and scan-coil distortion correction has been applied to the atomically resolved images using the RevSTEM based approach [29]. Atom column spacing maps were generated by fitting the atom columns to 2D Gaussian distributions via a custom Python script.

2. Results and discussion

In the HAXPES experiment, a standing wave is generated by interference of the incident monochromatic X-ray beam with photons reflected from the bottom metal layer close to total external reflection condition. By changing the incidence angle, one can shift the position of the standing-wave maximum, thus allowing a depth-selective scan across the ferroelectric layer. The electric potential profile is obtained by measuring the energy spectra of emitted photoelectrons associated with the core levels of the elements comprising the capacitor. Changing the incidence angle of the X-ray beam and thus the position of the standing-wave maximum results in a shift of the core-level spectrum, which reflects the local potential at the point corresponding to the maximum intensity of the standing wave. Thus, by measuring the core-level spectra at different incidence angles one can reconstruct the electric potential profile across the ferroelectric layer. We note that the observed shifts are not related to the dynamic charging effect of the HZO since no shifts were observed while continuously measuring at the fixed incidence angle (for details, see Supplementary Section S4).

We employ this technique to measure an electric potential across functional TiN/HZO/W capacitors. Figure 1a shows the general experimental layout for the HAXPES standing-wave measurements. Here an X-ray beam is incident at a glancing angle on the surface of the ferroelectric capacitor. Depending on the angle of incidence, the maximum intensity of the standing wave appears at a different depth within the HZO layer. This behavior is illustrated in

Fig. 1b, where the local X-ray intensity distribution across a TiN(10 nm)/HZO(9 nm)/W(50 nm) capacitor as a function of the angle of incidence is modelled using the parameters of the sample (Supplementary Sections S5.3-5.5). The appearance of the maximum standing-wave intensity at different depths enables selective probing of a specific region within the sample by measuring the core-level spectra of emitted photoelectrons.



Figure 1. a) Schematic view of operando standing-wave HAXPES experiment on TiN/HZO/W FE devices; b) Simulated local X-ray intensity distribution map across a $TiN(10nm)/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2(10nm)/W(50nm)$ capacitor as a function of incident angle

The remnant polarization of the ferroelectric capacitor device used in HAXPES analysis is determined *in situ* by the pulsed switching technique known as PUND (positive-up negative-down [30]; see Supplementary Section S2). The typical ferroelectric response is illustrated by the polarization-voltage curve shown in Fig. S2, which yields a remnant polarization P $\approx 16.5 \,\mu\text{C}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$. HAXPES spectra are acquired upon about 20 polarization switching cycles to "wake-up" the devices (Supplementary Section S3). Figure 2a-c shows typical photoemission spectra of the Ti2*p*, Hf4*f* and W4d_{5/2} core-level lines, acquired for the particular incident X-ray

angle $\theta = 3^{\circ}$ with respect to the sample surface and normally emitted photoelectrons. These spectra demonstrate the possibility of obtaining the required spectroscopic information from the whole capacitor stack at the employed experimental conditions.

Figure 2d and 2e show, respectively, the Hf4f_{7/2} core-level line intensity and binding energy as a function of the X-ray incident angle for two opposite ferroelectric polarization orientations of the HZO layer. The observed changes in the Hf4f peak position are due to the varying electric potential [23, 25] across the HZO layer. In the absence of the electric field resulting from the potential variation, the Hf4f peak position would be constant independent of the X-ray incidence angle. We find that the measured angular-dependent Hf4f_{7/2} binding energy values cannot be satisfactory modeled assuming a linear potential distribution across the HZO layer, which would appear in case of a uniform depolarizing field due to incomplete screening of polarization charges at the two interfaces. We therefore apply a model based on a three-segment piecewise linear potential, where the breakpoints are considered as fitting parameters (details of the model and the fitting procedure as well as the error analysis are described in Supplementary Sections S5.3-S5.5). In Fig. 2e, we show by solid lines the resulting fit of the Hf4f_{7/2} core-level binding energy, indicating an excellent agreement with the experimental data.



Figure 2. The Hf4f (a), Ti2p (b) and W4d_{5/2} (c) core-level lines collected at the X-ray incidence angle $\theta = 3$ grad (each spectrum is represented by several components, Ar2p peak arises from the residual Ar in magnetron sputtered TiN and W layers). The Hf4f_{7/2} line intensity (d) and position (e) as a function of X-ray beam incident angle: circles – experimental points, lines – fit; blue – polarization "up", red – polarization "down".

The associated electrostatic potential distribution across the HZO layer for two opposite polarization orientations is presented in Fig. 3a.



Figure 3. a) The derived potential distribution (solid) with the confidence regions (semitransparent) across FE-HZO layer for up (red) and down (blue) polarization orientations and the results of theoretical modelling (dashed line); b) Schematic representation of the model structure comprising positively charged oxygen vacancies and negatively charged structural defects in HZO layer at the top and bottom interfaces, respectively. Oxygen vacancy (E_V) and defect (E_D) energy levels are indicated by the red and blue dashed lines, respectively. The negative (positive) charges in the TiN (W) electrodes are due to the difference in the effective work functions of the electrodes (including oxidized interfaces) in contact with HZO, resulting in the built-in electric field across the HZO layer independent of polarization orientation. The conduction band minimum (CBM) and the valence band maximum (VBM) are schematically indicated by the dashed lines. c) Full potential energy profile in TiN/HZO/W ferroelectric capacitor for two opposite polarization directions reconstructed from the experimental data.

This plot takes into account the conduction band offsets at the TiN/HZO and HZO/W interfaces which are determined using the well-known method [31, 32]. According to this method, the conduction band offset (CBO) at a given interface is determined by [23, 31]:

$$CBO = E_g - ((BE_{Hf4f})_{int} - (BE_{Hf4f} - VBM)_{ref}),$$

where it is assumed that both capacitor electrodes are grounded. Here $(BE_{Hf4f})_{int}$ is the Hf4f_{7/2} core-level binding energy with respect to the Fermi level at the corresponding interface in the capacitor, $(BE_{Hf4f} - VBM)_{ref}$ is the energy separation between the Hf4f_{7/2} core-level line and the valence band maximum in the reference "bulk" HZO, and $E_g \approx 5.0$ eV [27] is the band gap of the HZO. Since the exact position of the Hf4f core-level peak at both top and bottom interfaces is known from our experimental data for both polarization orientations, the band offset determination is straightforward. The derived electronic band structure across the TiN/HZO/W capacitor for both polarization orientations is summarized in Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table S3.

The obtained potential profile across the ferroelectric HZO layer demonstrates that the depolarizing electric field is largely screened near the interfaces, and in the interior region of HZO, it nearly vanishes irrespective of polarization orientation. This observation indicates the presence of space charges in the interfacial regions of HZO, which effectively screen the depolarizing field. This behavior points to the presence of defects and other imperfections in the HZO layer accumulating the polarization-dependent space charge.

To elucidate possible correlations between the established electronic properties and structural distortions within the HZO layer, we have performed STEM analysis of the cycled TiN/HZO/W/Si samples used in HAXPES (Fig. 4). As is evident from Fig. 4a,b, there is an oxidized WO_x layer at the HZO/W interface, and this interface appears to be rougher than the

TiN/HZO interface. We note that the WO_x layer is formed at the HZO/W interface upon exposure of the W film surface to atmospheric air prior to the HZO layer growth. The atomic resolution STEM analysis (Fig. 4c,d) reveals that the dominant structural phase of the grown HZO layer is the orthorhombic $Pca2_1$ phase, which is capable of supporting switchable ferroelectric polarization. The detailed description of the TEM based phase identification methodology can be found in Ref. 15, 33, 34. There are, however, grains of the non-ferroelectric monoclinic HZO phase at the bottom interface, which coexist with ferroelectric orthorhombic bulk grains within the film and can be distinguished based on the projected atom arrangement. Figures 4c,d show that a monoclinic region is separated by an interphase boundary from an orthorhombic region, similar to those previously reported for polycrystalline hafnia and epitaxial zirconia ferroelectrics [34, 35]. HAADF STEM additionally reveals the presence of several unit cells of strained/distorted HZO regions at the interface with the W electrode, as seen from Fig. 4c,d. These regions may form during the growth of the HZO layer on the rough surface of oxidized W due to misfit strain. They may serve as sinks for non-ferroelectric space charges as they dynamically respond to polarization reversal by screening the depolarizing field at the HZO/W interface.

At the top TiN/HZO interface, the likely origin of the space charge in HZO is evident from our analysis of the Ti2*p* core-level line acquired by HAXPES. We find that, in addition to the metallic component, the Ti2*p* spectra contain features attributed to the oxidized TiN, i.e. TiO_xN_y , and such component is particularly present in contact with HZO (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Section S6). The oxidation of TiN at the interface with HZO has been observed previously [23], which is corroborated here by the observation of strain at the interface via HAADF STEM [36] and by the occurrence of redox reactions during rapid thermal annealing at T = 400° C. Such redox reactions imply the formation of oxygen vacancies in the HZO region adjacent to TiN (which eventually may give rise to the resistive switching effect in HZO). It is reasonable to assume that biasing of the device with voltage pulses $U \sim \pm 3$ V during polarization switching results in charging/discharging of these oxygen vacancies in a ~1 nm-thick HZO sublayer close to the TiN interface, thus yielding a non-linear shape of the potential distribution. Such interfacial layers with strain/distortion have been observed at TiN/hafnia interfaces previously [29, 33, 37], and also occur in our samples, as seen from Fig. 4c,d.



Figure 4. (a) and (b) HAADF-STEM images indicating film stacks and representative roughness. (c) and (d) HAADF STEM imaging with and without atom column distances measured across a representative HZO grain from the electrically-cycled sample with expanded insets showing (1) the orthorhombic grain interface with TiN, (2) the orthorhombic grain film bulk, and (3) the interface of the monoclinic grain with the W electrode.

To support the proposed qualitative explanation of the polarization-dependent potential shape in terms of the charged oxygen vacancies and other structural defects, we develop a quantitative model with realistic parameters. The schematic representation of the model is shown in Fig. 3(b). Within this model, we assume that there are several sources of charges, which contribute to the resulting electrostatic potential energy profile. First, there are screening charges in the electrodes, positive in W (including the interfacial WO_x layer) and negative in TiN (including the interfacial TiO_xN_y layer). These charges originate from the difference in their effective work functions and produce a *polarization independent* electric field pointing toward the TiN electrode. There are also polarization charges at both ferroelectric/electrode interfaces (not shown in Fig. 3(b)), which sign is reversed with ferroelectric polarization. As is evident from our experimental data (Fig. 3(a)), however, switching the polarization *does not* reverse the electrostatic potential energy (though affects its magnitude and profile), which remains higher at the top HZO layer. Therefore, independent of polarization orientation, there is an electric field in the HZO layer pointing toward the top TiN electrode.

This residual electric field is further screened by non-ferroelectric space charges in the ferroelectric originating from the positively charged oxygen vacancies and negatively charged structural defects at the top and bottom interfaces, respectively (Fig. 3(b)). More specifically, we assume that oxygen vacancies are formed within a thin layer of thickness l_V close to the TiN/HZO interface and have single energy level E_V and constant concentration N_V . However, they can be neutral or charged according to the Fermi-Dirac statistics, depending on their energy level with respect to the Fermi level at the particular depth, as described in Supplementary Section S6. The electric potential built up by the charged oxygen vacancies in HZO layer depends on their initial concentration N_V and their energy levels E_V in the band gap, which are

assumed to be independent of the charge state. Alternatively, the electric potential in a layer of thickness l_D at the bottom HZO/W interface is shaped by chargeable defects generated due to structural imperfections with the total concentration N_D and energy level E_D in the band gap. The boundary conditions for two opposite ferroelectric polarization orientations are set by the electric potential values at the Ti/HZO and HZO/W interfaces determined from our experiment. As the result, the solution of the Poisson equation is unique and independent of the charges external to the HZO layer. By fitting the experimentally derived electric potential profile for both polarization orientations with this model, where l_V , N_V , l_D , E_V , N_D , and E_D are taken as fitting parameters, we find their values.

The detailed description of the calculation methodology is presented in Supplementary Section S7. The obtained fitting results, shown in Fig. 2e, yield oxygen vacancy concentration $N_V = 7.3 \cdot 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ at the energy level $E_V \approx 1.5$ eV with respect to the conduction band edge in the ~1 nm thick HZO layer adjacent to the top interface, which lies within the range of theoretically predicted values [38]. The derived concentration of defects in the ~3-nm-thick layer at the bottom interface is $N_D = 3 \cdot 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ at the same energy $E_D \approx 1.4$ eV.

Charged oxygen vacancies in hafnia-zirconia films, particularly at the interface with an electrode, were previously identified as the cause for the observed instability of ferroelectric performance [39, 40]. The overall concentration of charged oxygen vacancies in the "bulk" of the HZO film ~ $1 \cdot 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ derived in that study is in surprisingly good agreement with the results from our modelling yielding V₀⁺ concentration at the interfaces N_V⁺ \approx 3-7 $\cdot 10^{20}$ cm⁻³, depending on the polarization orientation.

Finally, since we use HAXPES technique to probe properties of the ferroelectric capacitors, it is worth to discuss possible detrimental effects of intense synchrotron X-ray radiation on the

device structure as well as the electronic and electrical properties. Indeed, there have been several reports on the damage from the X-ray beam in oxides [see 41 and reference therein], which may lead to changes in stoichiometry and phase composition. However, careful analysis of leakage currents and remnant polarization values in the devices under investigation acquired *in situ* during HAXPES experiment does not show noticeable changes of electrical properties following X-ray illumination (Supplementary Figures S3 and S4). We take this fact as an evidence of no (or negligible) effect of X-ray beam on the generation of (electrically active) defects.

In addition, we note that a ferroelectric capacitor in a FRAM memory array might be used on a different time scale compared to the HAXPES measurements. The readout operation in memory devices after a polarization reversal might be covering a time scale from nanoseconds to years. On the other hand, due to charge trapping/detrapping in the interfacial layers, the local electrostatic potential distribution across nanoscale ferroelectric layer may change on the time scale of up to tens of hours [42]. HAXPES measurements in this work were taken after ~10 min. upon polarization switching at the "equilibrium" conditions judging from the electrical characteristics of the device under investigation.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed new methodology to derive local electrostatic potential distribution across nanoscale dielectric layers based on the standing-wave hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and used it to elucidate electric potential distribution in functional ferroelectric TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/W capacitor devices. The performed operando measurements revealed a non-linear potential profile across the ferroelectric Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ layer, implying the presence of non-ferroelectric space charges close to the interfaces, which effectively screen the

depolarizing electric field. The depth-selective chemical analysis of the TiN electrode pointed at the redox reaction at the top TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ interface yielding the formation of oxygen vacancies in the ferroelectric layer. The atomic-scale STEM analysis indicated the structural relaxation and local phase transition at the bottom $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2/W$ interface presumably accompanied by the formation of defects with energy levels in the band gap. Verified by our theoretical modelling, the proposed model explained the observed shape and changes of the electric potential in terms of polarization and depth dependent distribution of charged defects across the ferroelectric $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ layer. The experimental methodology presented here may be used to characterize the electric potential distribution at the nanoscale in real devices. The obtained quantitative results are important for the understanding of the functional properties of ferroelectric HfO_2 based capacitors and may be useful for the implementation of novel nonvolatile memory devices.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed using equipment of MIPT Center of Shared Facilities and with financial support by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 18-12-00434). We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities of beamline P09. Funding for the HAXPES instrument at beamline P09 by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under contracts 05KS7UM1 and 05K10UMA with Universität Mainz; 05KS7WW3, 05K10WW1 and 05K13WW1 with Universität Würzburg is gratefully acknowledged. EDG acknowledges support for this work through a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship (DGE-1252376). AK, EDG, and JML acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (DMR-1350273) and performed their work in part at the Analytical Instrumentation Facility (AIF) at North

Carolina State University, which is supported by the State of North Carolina and the National Science Foundation (award number ECCS-1542015). The AIF is a member of the North Carolina Research Triangle Nanotechnology Network (RTNN), a site in the National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure (NNCI).

References

[1] Mathews S.; Ramesh R.; Venkatesan T.; Benedetto J. Ferroelectric field effect transistor based on epitaxial perovskite heterostructures. *Science* **1997**, *276*, 238-240.

[2] M. Okuyama Features, Principles and Development of Ferroelectric–Gate Field-Effect Transistors. In *Ferroelectric-Gate Field Effect Transistor Memories. Topics in Applied Physics vol. 131*; Park B.; Ishiwara H.; Okuyama M.; Sakai S.; Yoon S., Eds.; Springer Netherlands, 2016, pp. 3-20.

[3] Zhuravlev M. Y.; Sabirianov R. F.; Jaswal S. S.; Tsymbal E. Y. Giant electroresistance in ferroelectric tunnel junctions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *94*, 246802.

[4] Garcia V.; Bibes M. Ferroelectric tunnel junctions for information storage and processing. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4289.

[5] Velev J. P.; Burton J. D.; Zhuravlev M. Y.; Tsymbal E. Y. Predictive modelling of ferroelectric tunnel junctions. *NPJ Comput. Mater.* **2016**, *2*, 16009.

[6] Sugibuchi K.; Kurogi Y.; Endo N. Ferroelectric field-effect memory device using Bi₄Ti₃O₁₂
film. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1975**, *2877*, 46.

[7] Yurchuk E.; Müller J.; Hoffmann R.; Paul J.; Martin D.; Boschke R.; Schlösser T.; Müller S.; Slesazeck S.; Bentum R.; Trentzsch M.; Schröder U.; Mikolajick T. HfO₂-Based Ferroelectric

Field-Effect Transistors with 260 nm Channel Length and Long Data Retention, *4th IEEE International Memory Workshop* **2012**, p. 1-4.

[8] Mueller S.; Müller J.; Hoffmann R.; Yurchuk E.; Schlösser T.; Boschke R.; Paul J.; Goldbach M.; Herrmann T.; Zaka A.; Schröder U.; Mikolajick T. From MFM Capacitors Toward Ferroelectric Transistors: Endurance and Disturb Characteristics of HfO₂-Based FeFET Devices. *IEEE Transactions on Electron Device* **2013**, *60*, 4199-4205.

[9] Garcia V.; Fusil S.; Bouzehouane K.; Enouz-Vedrenne S.; Mathur N. D.; Barthelemy A.;
 Bibes M. Giant Tunnel Electroresistance for Non-destructive Readout of Ferroelectric States,
 Nature 2009, *460*, 81-84.

[10] Gruverman A.; Wu D.; Lu H.; Wang Y.; Jang H. W.; Folkman C. M.; Zhuravlev M. Y.; Felker D.; Rzchowski M.; Eom C.-B.; Tsymbal E. Y. Tunneling Electroresistance Effect in Ferroelectric Tunnel Junctions at the Nanoscale. *Nano lett.* **2009**, *9*, 3539-3543.

[11] Crassous A.; Garcia V.; Bouzehouane K.; Fusil S.; Vlooswijk A. H. G.; Rispens G.; Noheda
B.; Bibes M.; Barthélémy A. Giant Tunnel Electroresistance with PbTiO₃ Ferroelectric Tunnel
Barriers. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2010, *96*, 042901.

[12] Yamada H.; Garcia V.; Fusil S.; Boyn S.; Marinova M.; Gloter A.; Xavier S.; Grollier J.; Jacquet E.; Carretero C.; Deranlot C.; Bibes M.; Barthelemy A. Giant Electroresistance of Super-Tetragonal BiFeO₃-Based Ferroelectric Tunnel Junctions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc. NANO* 2013, *7*, 5385–5390. [13] Zenkevich A.; Minnekaev M.; Matveyev Y.; Lebedinskii Y.; Bulakh K.; Chouprik A.; Baturin A.; Maksimova K.; Thiess S.; Drube W. Electronic band alignment and electron transport in Cr/BaTiO₃/Pt ferroelectric tunnel junctions *App. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *102*, 06290.

[14] Boescke T. S.; Mueller J.; Braeuhaus D.; Schroeder U. Boettger U. Ferroelectricity in hafnium oxide thin films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, *99*, 102903.

[15] Sang X.; Grimley E. D.; Schenk T.; Schroeder U.; LeBeau J. M. On the Structural Origins of Ferroelectricity in HfO₂ Thin Films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *106*, 162905.

[16] Clima S.; Wouters D.J.; Adelmann C.; Schenk T.; Schroder U.; Jurczak M.; Pourtois G. Identification of the Ferroelectric Switching Process and Dopant-Dependent Switching Properties in Orthorhombic HfO₂: A first principles insight. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *104*, 092906.

[17] Zhou D.; Muller J.; Xu J.; Knebel S.; Brauhaus D.; Schroder U. Insights into Electrical Characteristics of Silicon Doped Hafnium Oxide Ferroelectric Thin Films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *100*, 082905.

[18] Zarubin S.; Suvorova E.; Spiridonov M.; Negrov D.; Chernikova A.; Markeev A.; Zenkevich A. Fully ALD-grown TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/TiN stacks: Ferroelectric and structural properties. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2016**, *109*, 192903.

[19] Seo M.; Kang M.-H.; Jeon S.-B.; Bae H.; Hur J.; Jang B. C.; Yun S.; Cho S.; Kim W.-K.;
Kim M.-S.; Hwang K.-M.; Hong S.; Choi S.-Y.; Choi Y.-K.; First Demonstration of a LogicProcess Compatible Junctionless Ferroelectric FinFET Synapse for Neuromorphic Applications, *IEEE Electr. Device Lett.* 2018, *39*, 1445-1448

[20] Ambriz-Vargas F.; Kolhatkar G.; Broyer M.; Hadj-Youssef A.; Nouar R.; Sarkissian A.; Thomas R.; Gomez-Yáñez C.; Gauthier M. A.; Ruediger A. A Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor Process-Compatible Ferroelectric Tunnel Junction. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 13262–13268.

[21] Chen F.; Klein A. Polarization dependence of Schottky barrier heights at interfaces of ferroelectrics determined by photoelectron spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *86*, 094105.

[22] Kröger E.; Petraru A.; Quer A.; Soni R.; Kalläne M.; Pertsev N.; Kohlstedt H.; Rossnagel K. In situ hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy of barrier-height control at metal/PMN-PT interfaces *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *93*, 235415.

[23] Matveyev Y.; Negrov D.; Chernikova A.; Lebedinskii Y.; Kirtaev R.; Zarubin S.; Suvorova E.; Gloskovskii A.; Zenkevich A. Effect of Polarization Reversal in Ferroelectric TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/TiN Devices on Electronic Conditions at Interfaces Studied in Operando by Hard X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 43370–43376.

[24] Fadley C. S. Hard X-ray photoemission with angular resolution and standing-wave excitation. *J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenomena* **2013**, *190 B*, 165-179.

[25] Lin S.-C.; Kuo C.-T.; Comes R. B.; Rault J. E.; Rueff J.-P.; Nemšák S.; Taleb A.; Kortright J. B.; Meyer-Ilse J.; Gullikson E.; Sushko P. V.; Spurgeon S. R.; Gehlmann M.; Bowden M. E.; Plucinski L.; Chambers S. A.; Fadley C. S.; Interface properties and built-in potential profile of a LaCrO₃/SrTiO₃ superlattice determined by standing-wave excited photoemission spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *98*, 165124

[26] Marinova M.; Rault J.E.; Gloter A.; Slavomir Nemsak S.; Palsson G.K.; Rueff J.-P.; Fadley C.S.; Carrétéro C.; Yamada H.; March K.; †Vincent Garcia V.; Fusil S.; Barthélémy A.; Stéphan O.; Colliex C.; Bibes M.; Depth Profiling Charge Accumulation from a Ferroelectric into a Doped Mott Insulator, *Nano Lett.* 2015 15, 2533-2541

[27] Chernikova A.; Kozodaev M.; Markeev A.; Negrov D.; Spiridonov M.; Zarubin S.; Bak O.;
Buragohain P.; Lu H.; Suvorova E.; Gruverman A.; Zenkevich A. Ultrathin Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂
Ferroelectric Films on Si. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2016, *8*, 7232-7237.

[28] Gloskovskii A.; Stryganyuk G.; Fecher G.; Felser C.; Thiess S.; Schulz-Ritter H.; Drube W.; Berner G.; Sing M.; Claessen R.; Yamamoto M. Magnetometry of buried layers—Linear magnetic dichroism and spin detection in angular resolved hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **2012**, *185*, 47-52.

[29] Richter C.; Schenk T.; Park M. H.; Tscharntke F. A.; Grimley E. D.; LeBeau J. M.; Zhou
C.; Fancher C. M.; Jones J. L.; Mikolajick T.; Schroeder U. Si Doped Hafnium Oxide—A
"Fragile" Ferroelectric System *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 2017, *3*, 1700131.

[30] Scott J. F.; Kammerdiner L.; Parris M.; Traynor S.; Ottenbacher V.; Shawabkeh A.; Oliver W. F. Switching Kinetics of Lead Zirconate Titanate Submicron Thin-Film Memories *J. Appl. Phys.* 1988, *64*, 787–792.

[31] Kraut E.; Grant R.; Waldrop J.; Kowalczyk S. Semiconductor core-level to valence-band maximum binding-energy differences: Precise determination by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy *Phys. Rev. B* **1983**, *28*, 1965–1977.

[32] Lebedinskii Y.; Zenkevich A.; Gusev E. Measurements of metal gate effective work function by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy *J. of Appl. Phys.* **2007**, *101*, 074504.

[33] Grimley E. D.; Schenk T.; Sang X.; Pešić M.; Schroeder U.; Mikolajick T.; LeBeau J. M.; Structural Changes Underlying Field-Cycling Phenomena in Ferroelectric HfO₂ Thin Films *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2016**, *2*, 1600173.

[34] Grimley E. D.; Schenk T.; Mikolajick T.; Schroeder U.; LeBeau J. M. Atomic Structure of Domain and Interphase Boundaries in Ferroelectric HfO₂. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *5*, 1701258.

[35] Choi S.; Shiraishi T.; Kiguchi T.; Shimizu T.; Funakubo H. and Konno T. J. Formation of polar phase in Fe-doped ZrO₂ epitaxial thin films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2018**, *113*, 262903.

[36] Pešic' M.; Fengler F.P.G.; Larcher L.; Padovani A.; Schenk T., Grimley E.D.; Sang X.; LeBeau J.M.; Slesazeck S.; Schroeder U. and Mikolajick T. Physical Mechanisms behind the Field-Cycling Behavior of HfO₂-Based Ferroelectric Capacitors, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, 4601–4612.

[37] Schroeder U.; Richter C.; Park M. H.; Schenk T.; Pešić M.; Hoffmann M.; Fengler F. P. G.;
Pohl D.; Rellinghaus B.; Zhou C.; Chung C.-C.; Jones J. L.; Mikolajick T. Lanthanum-Doped
Hafnium Oxide: A Robust Ferroelectric Material. *Inorg. Chem.* 2018, *57*, 2752–2765.

[38] Gavartin J.; Muñoz Ramo D.; Shluger A.; Bersuker G.; Lee B. Negative oxygen vacancies in HfO₂ as charge traps in high-k stacks. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2016**, *89*, 082908.

[39] Fengler F. P. G.; Nigon R.; Muralt P.; Grimley E. D.; Sang X.; Sessi V.; Hentschel R.; LeBeau J. M.; Mikolajick T. and Schroeder U. Analysis of Performance Instabilities of Hafnia-

Based Ferroelectrics Using Modulus Spectroscopy and Thermally Stimulated Depolarization Currents. *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2018**, *4*, 1700547.

[40] Chouprik, A.; Spiridonov, M.; Zarubin, S.; Kirtaev, R.; Mikheev, V..; Lebedinskii, Yu.; Zakharchenko, S.; Negrov, D. Wake-Up in a $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ Film: A Cycle-by-Cycle Emergence of the Remnant Polarization via the Domain Depinning and the Vanishing of the Anomalous Polarization Switching. *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* 2019, 1, 3, 275.

[41] Chang S.H.; Kim J.; Phatak C.; D'Aquila K.; Kim S.K.; Kim J.; Song S. J.; Hwang C. S.; Eastman J. A.; Freeland J. W.; Hong S.; X-ray Irradiation Induced Reversible Resistance Change in Pt/TiO₂/Pt Cells, *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 1584-1589

[42] Buragohain P., Erickson A., Kariuki P., Mittmann T., Richter C., Lomenzo P.D., Lu H., Schenk T., Mikolajick T., Schroeder U., Gruverman A.; Fluid Imprint and Inertial Switching in Ferroelectric La:HfO₂ Capacitors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, 11, 35115-35121

Using a newly developed methodology employing a standing-wave excitation mode in synchrotron based hard X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, we depth-selectively probe $TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2/W$ prototype memory capacitors and determine the local electrostatic potential profile across nanoscale $Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2$ layer following *in situ* polarization switching. The non-linear shape of the potential profile implies the presence of non-ferroelectric charges at both interfaces with electrodes, which are believed to originate from oxygen vacancies and structural defects.

