


 Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2025, 27, 11066

Tuning high-order multiexciton properties of colloidal CdSe quantum dots *via* size and surface modification†

 Raktim Baruah,‡^{ab} Krishan Kumar,§^{ab} Jan Dellith^b and Maria Wächtler^{‡,*a}

Excitonic properties of colloidal quantum dots are strongly dependent on size and surface properties. The same is predicted to be valid for the properties of multiexcitons. To realize applications exploiting the generation of multiexcitons in colloidal nanocrystals, a comprehensive understanding of size and surface influence, *e.g.*, on the lifetimes of multiexciton species, is required. In this study, we employ intensity-dependent transient absorption spectroscopy to probe multiexcitons in colloidal CdSe quantum dots of four different sizes capped with long-chained organic (majorly trioctylphosphine oxide) or short inorganic (sulfide, S²⁻) ligands. To analyze the intensity-dependent transient absorption data, a global fit method based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling was employed. Applying a simple Auger recombination model, the lifetimes and spectra of multiexciton species were analyzed. The spectra obtained for different multiexciton species exhibit both size and surface functionalization dependent features, allowing us to distinguish between different species. Independent of the surface modification, we find that the multiexciton lifetimes follow the volume scaling laws established earlier. Owing to the strong surface hole trapping induced by the S²⁻ ligands, S²⁻-capped QDs show prolonged multiexciton lifetimes compared to the QDs capped with the native organic ligands with long alkyl chains. Deconvoluting contributions of bleach, stimulated emission, and photoinduced absorption in the species spectra enables us to determine multiexciton binding energies. We observe a decrease of binding energies with increasing size and a clear reduction in multiexciton binding energies for the S²⁻-capped QDs. The observed trends can be explained by changes in the overlap of electron and hole wave functions depending on the QDs' diameter and the charge carrier localization, which can be induced by trapping in surface defect sites.

 Received 14th February 2025,
 Accepted 24th April 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cp00608b

rsc.li/pccp

Introduction

Semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs) have garnered considerable attention over the past few decades as prospective materials for light-harvesting applications,^{1–3} light-emitting diodes (LEDs)^{4–6} and NC lasers,^{7,8} among other potential uses. Owing to their distinctive size-dependent optoelectronic properties caused by the quantum confinement effect, NCs stand out as one of the

most promising materials for such applications.^{9,10} One of the highly interesting phenomena exhibited by semiconductor NCs is the formation of multiple excitons or multiexcitons, a coherent state involving multiple excitations. Multiexcitons are either formed upon excitation with radiation of high photon density photoexcitation¹¹ or *via* a process called multiexciton generation (MEG),¹² which takes place at very high energy excitation with a photon energy of at least twice the band gap of the NC. Multiexcitons in NCs are particularly interesting for applications such as optical amplification.^{8,13} The formation of multiexcitons increases the population inversion probability enhancing the optical gain in NC lasers.⁸ Furthermore, multiexcitons are considered to potentially increase performance in photovoltaics¹⁴ as well as photocatalysis^{15,16} involving multi-electron mechanisms. For example, photovoltaic materials implementing MEG hold the potential to surpass the so-called Shockley–Queisser limit and enhance the conversion efficiency in photovoltaics.¹² In all these applications, the multiexciton lifetime is crucial. In photocatalysis and

^a Chemistry Department and State Research Center OPTIMAS, RPTU Kaiserslautern-Landau, Erwin-Schrödinger-Str. 52, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

^b Department Functional Interfaces, Leibniz Institute of Photonic Technology Jena, Albert-Einstein-Straße 9, 07745 Jena, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cp00608b>

‡ Current address: Institute of Physical Chemistry and Kiel Nano, Surface and Interface Science (KiNSIS), Kiel University, Max-Eyth-Straße 1, 24118, Kiel, Germany. E-mail: waechtler@phc.uni-kiel.de

§ Institut für Physik, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany.



photovoltaics, long multiexciton lifetimes are a precondition for efficient separation and utilization of charge carriers before recombination.¹⁷ However, in contrast to bulk semiconductors, multiexcitons in NCs have short lifetimes of only a few tens to hundreds of ps.^{11,15,18,19} This is one of the major challenges for applications involving multiexcitons. The short multiexciton lifetime in NCs is due to the strong spatial confinement of charge carriers, which leads to strong Coulombic interactions between electrons and holes, and lowers the barrier for momentum conservation.^{18,20} Multiexciton recombination majorly occurs *via* Auger recombination, which is a three-carrier process, where the electron-hole exchange energy is transferred to a third carrier by promoting it to higher energy levels.^{18,21} The probability of Auger recombination and hence the multiexciton lifetimes depend on the NC's structural parameters such as size, shape, and composition. Exemplarily, a linear scaling of multiexciton lifetime with NC volume is typically found.²¹ On the other hand, heterostructures having unique band alignment can reduce carrier wavefunction overlap that can result in prolonged multiexciton lifetimes.^{15,22} Similarly, the surface properties of NCs also impact multiexciton lifetimes.¹⁷ In the past few years, a substantial number of theoretical and experimental studies have been conducted to unfold the mechanism of Auger recombination of multiexcitons in semiconductor NCs.^{20,21,23–25}

A particular emphasis has been placed on the investigation of Cd-chalcogenide-based materials, with a specific focus on CdSe quantum dots (QDs).^{26–28} The established electronic structure of CdSe QDs enables a comprehensive understanding of multiexciton states.^{29–31} Colloidal CdSe QDs, which can be synthesized *via* the hot injection method with narrow size distribution and high crystallinity,³² contain organic surface ligands (*e.g.*, phosphonic acids, carboxylic acids, and amines with long aliphatic chains) that provide colloidal stability and prevent the QDs from aggregation and degradation by lowering the surface energy.³³ With the help of surface modification, the parent ligands can be replaced with surface ligands containing different functional groups impacting the dispersibility of QDs to a wide range of solvents.^{34,35} Additionally, the type of surface ligand can also alter the optoelectronic properties of QDs by modifying the electronic structure. For example, for thiol-capped CdSe QDs, strong photoluminescence quenching is observed originating from surface hole localization at thiolate introduced trapping sites. In contrast, for surface ligands with different anchoring groups such as amines, the QD surface is passivated and photoluminescence can be increased.³⁶ Besides organic surface ligands, inorganic single atom ligands, *e.g.*, halides or sulfides (S^{2-}), are extensively studied.^{37–39} S^{2-} -capped QDs exhibit strong photoluminescence quenching caused by the enhanced hole trapping.^{38,40} The strong dependence of the monoexciton (X) processes, here reflected in the discussed changes in photoluminescence, on the QD surface properties raises the question on the impact of surface functionalization on multiexciton behaviour in these structures and whether the size of the QDs influences the sensitivity towards changes in surface properties. Multiexciton lifetimes in CdSe

QDs have been shown to scale with the volume.^{21,41} Similar dependencies were observed in PbSe,²¹ HgTe,⁴² and InAs⁴³ QDs and perovskite NCs,^{44,45} and hence this relation seems to be a universal trend. Trapping of charge carriers at surface trapping sites is discussed to decouple charge carriers from the QD core, significantly impacting multiexciton lifetimes.⁴⁶ For example, Yan *et al.*⁴⁷ demonstrated the effect of hole trapping *via* phenothiazine resulting in an increased biexciton (BX) lifetime of CdSe QDs. This implies that different surface functionalities could have a strong influence on the multiexciton properties. The surface volume ratio changes with the size of QDs and the decreasing charge carrier interaction extending multiexciton lifetimes provoke the question of whether the sensitivity of multiexciton properties to surface properties is dependent on the particle size.

The spectroscopic techniques employed for the investigation of multiexciton properties primarily encompass transient absorption^{23,48} (TA) spectroscopy and time-resolved photoluminescence^{49–51} (tr-PL) spectroscopy. In addition to the temporal evolution, TA spectra with complex contributions of state-filling and Stark effects to the spectral features offer a comprehensive representation of the electronic population and (multi)exciton states.^{20,52,53} Because of the Pauli exclusion principle, the filling of quantized energy levels leads to the bleach of the corresponding transition in the transient spectra.^{23,54} This effect enables to determine the relative carrier population by analysing the relative changes of bleach amplitudes with respect to the pump intensity. Additionally, local fields of the pump generated carriers cause energetic shifts in optical transitions as well as changes in oscillator strengths.²⁰ These effects result in characteristic changes in the TA spectrum that are unique for multiexcitons of varying order. Utilizing the advantage of distinguishing multiexciton species with their unique spectral features, TA spectroscopy has the potential to detect high-order multiexcitons beyond BX in excitation intensity-dependent experiments. Typically, the intensity-dependent TA data are analysed at a single probe wavelength of the band-edge bleach.¹⁹ The fast-decaying components of the band-edge bleach appearing at high pump intensities are attributed to multiexciton annihilation due to Auger recombination.¹⁹ This method works well for the evaluation of BX in CdSe QDs. With higher-order multiexciton population at high pump intensities, the evaluation of single wavelength kinetics can be challenging. This is because of the relatively weak contribution of higher-order multiexcitons (higher than BX) to the signal at the band-edge as well as the presence of ultrafast trapping,⁵⁵ hot carrier cooling,⁵⁶ *etc.*, components occurring on a similar time range as the decay of higher-order multiexcitons that could be misleading in assigning multiexciton recombination decay components. The spectral features of different excitonic states tend to overlap to form a complex signature that needs careful analysis and can only be reliably disentangled by global analysis of a broad spectral range.^{26,57} Ashner *et al.*⁵⁷ and Shulenberg *et al.*²⁷ have determined the spectra and lifetimes of X and BX in CsPbBr₃ NCs and CdSe QDs, respectively, using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling method. Applying this technique, the detection of multiexcitons should not be limited to BX.⁵⁸



In this work, we present a comparative investigation of multiexciton properties in dependence on the size and properties of the NC surface. To this end, we perform intensity-dependent TA spectroscopy on four different sizes of CdSe QDs, ranging from 2.6 nm to 4.8 nm diameters, and surface functionalization with a long-chain aliphatic organic ligand shell (majorly containing trioctylphosphine oxide, TOPO and phosphonic acids octadecylphosphonic acid) or short inorganic ligand (S^{2-}). The TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs provide the two extremes of weak and strong surface trapping, respectively. To analyse the intensity-dependent TA data, we adopt the global fitting method based on MCMC sampling established by Ashner *et al.*⁵⁷ to simultaneously determine the spectra and lifetime of multiexciton species. Analysing the spectral profiles of different multiexciton species, we also determine multiexciton binding energies using a multi-Gaussian fit method, which particularly complements the understanding of the influence of surface functionalization on multiexciton properties.

Experimental

Chemicals

Trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO, 99%), trioctylphosphine (TOP, 97%), cadmium oxide (CdO, 99.99%), selenium (Se, 99.99%), sodium sulfide (Na_2S , 98%), toluene (99.8% anhydrous), *N*-methylformamide (NMF, 99%), hexane (95% anhydrous), acetonitrile (MeCN, 99.8% anhydrous), acetone (99.5%) and methanol (MeOH, 99.8% anhydrous) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and octadecylphosphonic acid (ODPA, 97%) was purchased from Carl Roth.

Synthesis of colloidal CdSe QDs

CdSe QDs were synthesized following the well-established hot-injection method.⁵⁹ Briefly, 60 mg of CdO, 0.28 g of ODPA and 3.0 g of TOPO were mixed in a 25 mL three-necked flask. The mixture was heated to 80 °C under an N_2 atmosphere followed by evacuation until gas release stopped. Under nitrogen, the mixture was heated to 150 °C and evacuated for 1 h. After that, the reaction vessel was filled with an N_2 atmosphere again and further heated up. The mixture became optically clear and colourless at around 300 °C, and at 320 °C, 1.5 g of TOP was injected into the mixture. When the temperature reached 380 °C, a solution of TOP:Se (0.058 g Se dissolved in 0.36 g TOP) was injected and the reaction mixture was immediately cooled by removing the heating mantle, followed by additional cooling with cold water. At 60 °C, 10 mL of toluene were injected into the mixture. The QDs were precipitated by adding 10 mL of methanol to the reaction mixture and centrifugation at 5300 rpm. The precipitate was redispersed in toluene and the same step was repeated three more times for purification. Finally, the QDs were dispersed in 10 mL of toluene and stored in a glovebox. Following this protocol resulted in QDs with a 2.6 nm diameter. To synthesize 3.4 nm QDs, TOP:Se was injected at 370 °C and the reaction mixture was cooled in a similar manner as described for the 2.6 nm QD synthesis. 4 nm

and 4.8 nm QDs were obtained by injecting TOP:Se at 370 °C. However, instead of immediate cooling, the reaction mixture was held at 370 °C for 3 min (for 4 nm) and 5 min (for 4.8 nm).

The synthesized QDs contain a mixture of ligands such as TOPO, ODPA, and TOP, which are denoted as TOPO-capped QDs in the subsequent discussion.

Ligand exchange

The original ligands were exchanged with S^{2-} ligands using a biphasic method.³⁷ 1 mL of the QD solution was precipitated with 1 mL of MeOH followed by centrifugation at 5300 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the precipitate was redispersed in 1 mL of hexane. 1 mL of Na_2S (1 M, NMF) solution was added to the QD solution in hexane and two separate phases of NMF (transparent) and hexane (coloured) were observed. After 2 hours of stirring, the QDs were transferred to the NMF phase, indicating a successful ligand exchange. Then, the clear hexane phase was removed. Furthermore, 2 mL of hexane was added to the QD solution, which was again removed after 5 minutes of stirring. Then, 2 mL MeCN was added to precipitate the QDs in NMF, followed by centrifugation at 5300 rpm for 10 min. Finally, the QDs were dispersed in 1 mL NMF. The QDs obtained after the ligand exchange are denoted as S^{2-} -capped QDs in the subsequent discussion.

UV-vis absorption spectroscopy

Steady-state UV-vis absorption spectra were collected using a Jasco V-600 spectrophotometer in the wavelength range from 200 nm to 800 nm. 1 cm quartz cuvettes were used for QD solutions (in toluene for TOPO-capped QDs and NMF for S^{2-} -capped QDs).

Photoluminescence spectroscopy

Photoluminescence spectra of QD solutions, prepared in 1 cm quartz cuvettes, were recorded using an FLS 980 Fluorometer from Edinburgh Instruments with a 400 nm excitation wavelength.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM images were collected using a Hitachi HT7820 instrument with an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. For this, a drop of QD solution was drop cast on carbon film-supported copper grids followed by vacuum drying.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)

FTIR spectra were collected using a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer in attenuated total reflection (ATR) mode. Samples were prepared by dropping colloidal QD solutions on CaF_2 substrates.

Transient absorption spectroscopy (TA)

TA spectroscopy was performed using a home-built setup. The output of a 1 kHz Ti:Sapphire laser (Astrella, Coherent, USA) with a pulse duration of ~ 100 fs at an 800 nm central wavelength was split into two parts, one to generate a 400 nm pump pulse through an optical parametric amplifier (TOPAS, Light Conversion, Lithuania) and the other to focus the beam



into a rotating CaF_2 crystal to generate a spectrally broad supercontinuum probe pulse. The probe pulses travel through an optical delay line with a delay of maximum 2 ns and were then split into a probe and a reference beam. Pump and probe beams were spatially and temporally overlapped at the sample position. The probe and reference pulses were spectrally resolved with a Czerny–Turner spectrograph and the spectra were detected with a CCD detector. The relative polarization of the pump and probe was set to the magic angle, 54.7° . The repetition rate of the pump pulse was reduced to 500 Hz using a mechanical chopper and the consecutive probe pulses were used to calculate the differential signals. The pump power was varied with a rotating neutral density filter wheel and measured using a power meter. Five power points between 15 μW and 1500 μW were recorded for each sample. The Gaussian profile of the pump beam was recorded using a beam profiler to estimate the area to determine the power density (intensity). The QD solutions were measured in 1 mm inert quartz cuvettes (TOPO-capped QDs in toluene and S^{2-} -capped QDs in NMF). The chirp correction of the TA data was done by using a Python-based package, KiMoPack.⁶⁰ The chirp-corrected data were then cut to the window of 2 ps to 1900 ps and 430 nm to 700 nm to perform the MCMC fit using a MATLAB package.⁵⁷

Results and discussion

TOPO-capped CdSe QDs of four different sizes were synthesized *via* hot injection, as described in the experimental section in detail. The QD sizes were estimated from the lowest energy band edge transition in the steady-state absorption spectra using an empirical formula described by Yu *et al.*⁶¹ and further validated by TEM image analysis (see the ESI,† Fig. S1 and Table S1). The four QDs are denoted according to the diameters

determined from the absorption spectra, as 2.6 nm, 3.4 nm, 4.0 nm, and 4.8 nm QDs. The steady-state absorption spectra exhibit the characteristic distinct features of the three lowest energy $1\text{S}_{3/2}-1\text{S}_e$ (1S), $2\text{S}_{3/2}-1\text{S}_e$ (2S), and $1\text{P}_{3/2}-1\text{P}_e$ (1P) excitonic transitions (Fig. 1).²⁹ With increasing the QD size, the 1S peak position is redshifted as a consequence of the quantum confinement effect.⁶² To prepare the respective S^{2-} -capped QDs, a biphasic ligand exchange³⁹ protocol was adapted. The FT-IR spectra confirm efficient ligand exchange with disappearing vibrational signatures of the original ligand sphere (Fig. S2, ESI†). The steady-state absorption spectra of the four QDs show no significant changes upon ligand exchange (Fig. 1a), indicating that size distributions are unchanged. In contrast, strong changes are observed in the photoluminescence spectra (Fig. S3, ESI†). A strong quenching of the band edge photoluminescence and enhancement of the trap state photoluminescence occurs for S^{2-} -capped QDs (Fig. S3, ESI†), originating from hole trapping in surface sites introduced by the S^{2-} ligands.^{38–40}

To investigate exciton and multiexciton properties, intensity-dependent TA spectroscopy was performed with pump pulse intensities between $1.0 \times 10^4 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ and $1.0 \times 10^6 \mu\text{W cm}^{-2}$ (400 nm central wavelength and 100 fs pulse duration). A comparison of the 2 ps TA spectrum collected at the lowest excitation intensity with the steady-state absorption spectrum for the TOPO-capped 2.6 nm QDs is shown in Fig. 1c. The comparison allows us to assign the characteristic bleach signals B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 , to the 1S, 2S, and 1P transitions, respectively (Fig. 1c). These transitions occur in the other three QDs in the respective different spectral positions according to the size-dependent shift of the spectral signatures (Fig. S4, ESI†). The intensity-dependent TA spectra of the 2.6 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs at a delay of 2 ps show a gradual increase of B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 amplitudes with the excitation intensity (Fig. 2c, g and Fig. S5, ESI†). Additionally, the 2 ps TA spectra of 2.6 nm TOPO-



Fig. 1 (a) Steady-state absorption spectra of TOPO-capped (solid lines) and S^{2-} -capped (dashed lines) QDs of four different sizes. (b) Schematic of 1S, 2S and 1P electronic transitions from the valence band levels to conduction band levels of CdSe QDs. (c) Steady-state absorption spectrum (top panel) of 2.6 nm TOPO-capped QDs with marked 1S, 2S and 1P transitions, and the corresponding bleach features of these transitions marked in the TA spectrum collected at 2 ps delay (bottom panel) as B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 . A_1 and A_3 are the photoinduced absorption signatures.





Fig. 2 (a) Schematic of TA spectroscopy. J indicates the pump intensity. (b) Schematic of the energy level diagram of CdSe QDs representing increasing carrier population (exemplarily forming X, BX, and TX) with the intensity (J). The intensity-dependent TA data of the TOPO-capped 2.6 nm QD depicting the (c) spectra at 2 ps, (d) B_1 kinetics, (e) B_3 kinetics, and (f) A_1 kinetics. The intensity-dependent TA data of the S^{2-} -capped 2.6 nm QD depicting the (g) spectra at 2 ps, (h) B_1 kinetics, (i) B_3 kinetics, and (j) A_1 kinetics. Increasing intensity is represented by the lines on the right side.

capped QDs exhibit pronounced photoinduced absorption (PIA) bands, A_1 and A_3 , redshifted from the B_1 and B_3 features (Fig. 2c). With increasing the excitation intensity, the A_1 and A_3 features gradually disappear in the spectra at 2 ps. However, irrespective of the excitation intensity, these features reappear during signal decay after a delay of around 100 ps. In contrast, for the S^{2-} -capped QDs the PIA features are missing. The intensity-dependent TA spectra of the 3.4 nm, 4.0 nm, and 4.8 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs are shown in the ESI† (Fig. S6–S11). The 3.4 nm QDs exhibit similar features to the 2.6 nm QDs. In the case of the TOPO-capped 4.0 nm and 4.8 nm QDs, the B_3 component dominates the spectrum over B_1 and B_2 at 2 ps at high intensities, whereas at lower intensities, B_1 and B_2 are more pronounced compared to B_3 (Fig. S9 and S11, ESI†). The A_1 and A_3 features are similarly observed for the TOPO-capped 3.4 nm, 4.0 nm, and 4.8 nm QDs (Fig. S6–S11, ESI†), while the A_1 features are again absent in the corresponding S^{2-} -capped QDs regardless of the excitation intensity and delay time (between 2 ps and 1900 ps). Furthermore, the A_3 features are weaker in comparison to the TOPO-capped QDs.

An increase in the excitation intensity not only modifies the spectral shapes but also the temporal signal development. The decay of the B_1 and B_3 features of 2.6 nm QDs exhibit arising additional fast components between 2 ps and 500 ps with

increasing excitation intensity (Fig. 2d, e, h and i). As the excitation intensity is increased, the A_1 features of the TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped 2.6 nm QDs disappear and the signal at this position at ~ 1.5 ps gradually turns negative (Fig. 2f and j). In the case of the 2.6 nm TOPO-capped QDs, the A_1 feature reappears with increasing delay time and shows similar decay independent of the excitation intensity. In the case of the S^{2-} -capped 2.6 nm QD, however, the signal remains negative up to 1900 ps (Fig. 2f and j) at the typical A_1 position. The B_1 , B_3 , and A_1 kinetics of the TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped 3.4 nm, 4.0 nm, and 4.8 nm QDs also exhibit similar behaviour (see the ESI† Fig. S6–S11). At the latest recorded delay time of 1900 ps, the TA spectra at different intensities (Fig. S12, ESI†) appear identical when normalized to the B_1 peak position.

The observed spectral features can be understood according to the previous reports in the literature.^{20,23,63} The primary contribution to the TA bleach signal in NCs such as CdSe QDs is the state-filling, *i.e.*, the population of conduction band levels with electrons.⁴⁸ The increasing bleach contributions with an increasing intensity indicate an increasing electron population in the conduction band levels. Fig. 2b illustrates the energy level diagram of CdSe QDs with increasing carrier population with the excitation intensity (exemplarily for X, BX, and triexciton (TX) formation). The $1S_e$ and $1P_e$ levels in the conduction



band exhibit different spin degeneracies of 2-fold and 6-fold, respectively.²⁹ When the $1S_e$ level is fully occupied with two electrons, the $1P_e$ level starts to fill. Therefore, the increasing B_1 bleach amplitudes in all TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs (in the 2 ps TA spectra) indicate the presence of BX and the substantial influence in the B_3 feature for 4 nm and 4.8 nm QDs (Fig. S8–S11, ESI†) suggest the presence of even higher-order multiexcitons than BX. The B_3 feature is observable but weak at a low intensity regime (irrespective of the delay time and excitation intensity) when the population is primarily of the X and BX character, *i.e.*, when only the $1S_e$ level is populated (see Fig. 2c and g exemplarily for the 2 ps TA spectra of 2.6 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs). In this case, the B_3 bleach signals do not originate from the state-filling effect, but rather from the carrier-induced Stark effect.²⁰ The local fields of the carriers in the X and BX states modulate the oscillator strengths of the electronic transition, which result in the bleaching of optical transitions, such as the B_3 feature, without any state-filling effect.^{20,52} The A_1 feature is attributed to the biexciton effect.^{20,26} At low excitation intensities, when the $1S_e$ level is singly occupied, the probe pulse generates another exciton (due to the 2-fold degeneracy of the $1S_e$ level) and the attractive interaction between the two excitons lowers the B_1 transition energy, resulting in a redshifted PIA (*i.e.*, the A_1). Therefore, the energetic difference between the A_1 and B_1 transitions is a measure of the biexciton binding energy. For the TOPO-capped QDs, the prominent A_1 feature at 2 ps (see Fig. 2c exemplarily for the 2.6 nm TOPO-capped QD) at low excitation intensities and at 1900 ps irrespective of the excitation intensities indicates the presence of the X species that allows the biexciton effect to occur. Consequently, the reduction of A_1 amplitudes with increasing excitation intensity signifies the formation of multiexcitons (at least BX). Similar to the 2.6 nm TOPO-capped QDs, the 3.4 nm, 4.0 nm, and 4.8 nm TOPO-capped QDs also exhibit A_1 features, but with different energetic shifts relative to the B_1 bleach position indicating different biexciton binding energies due to the different QD sizes.⁶⁴ On the other hand, the absent A_1 features in the S^{2-} -capped QDs regardless of the intensity and delay time (exemplarily see 2 ps and 1900 ps TA spectra of 2.6 nm S^{2-} -capped QDs, Fig. 2g and Fig. S12b, ESI†) indicate smaller biexciton binding energies. A reduction in the biexciton binding energy may result in a significant degree of overlap between the A_1 and B_1 features, which could potentially lead to even complete absence of the A_1 positive signal. Similar to the A_1 feature, the interaction at the $1P_e$ level gives rise to A_3 redshifted from the B_3 feature. As the excitation intensity increases, the $1P_e$ level gradually fills due to the formation of higher-order multiexcitons compared to BX, as illustrated in Fig. 2b. This results in a decrease in the amplitude of the A_3 . At high intensity, A_3 is also superimposed with B_2 and B_3 , with substantial negative contributions.

Similar temporal developments of the discussed features with increasing excitation intensity have been previously reported in the literature.^{20,23,63} Excitation at 400 nm, which is above the $1P_e$ level, results in the formation of hot excitons that subsequently cool to the $1S_e$ level *via* the $1P_e$ level in a timescale of hundreds of fs.^{23,65,66} This cooling process is reflected in the initial rise of the B_1 and B_3 signals. As the hot excitons begin to cool, the $1P_e$ levels are filled faster than the

$1S_e$, resulting in an early bleach maximum at 0.5 ps of B_3 (Fig. 2e and i), followed by the B_1 at 1.5 ps (Fig. 2d and h). At low excitation intensities, the B_3 decay in the sub-ps regime can be attributed to the cooling of hot electrons from the $1P_e$ to the $1S_e$ level. The hot exciton formation is also reflected in the initial positive amplitude formation ~ 0.2 ps for the A_1 feature (Fig. 2f and j, exemplarily for 2.6 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs).⁶⁶ When the carriers are at higher energy levels, the Stark effect dominates over the state-filling effect in the TA signal. The hot carriers, which occupy high energy levels and are subjected to a strong local field, modulate the oscillator strength of the optical transitions, thereby giving rise to a pronounced A_1 feature. The further decay of A_1 up to ~ 1.5 ps indicates the cooling process of the hot carriers (Fig. 2f and j, exemplarily for 2.6 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs). However, the dynamics before 1.5 ps in the bleach features also contains contributions of, *e.g.*, ultrafast carrier trapping.⁶⁶ The band relaxation (*i.e.*, the cooling of hot carriers to the lowest energy levels) is completed within ~ 1.5 ps, as indicated by the B_1 bleach maximum (Fig. 2d and h). On the time scales exceeding 2 ps, the signals undergo decay due to the recombination of band edge excitonic states. At high intensities, the presence of multiexcitons gives rise to additional components in the decay kinetics, namely those associated with multiexciton recombination. The additional fast-decaying components in B_1 kinetics (Fig. 2d and h) with increasing excitation intensities can be attributed to Auger recombination on a timescale of up to a few hundred picoseconds until only X remains.^{20,23} Ultimately, X recombines *via* both radiative and non-radiative processes on timescales of up to tens of nanoseconds.^{67,68} The identical decay kinetics independent of the excitation intensity of B_1 after approximately 500 ps as illustrated in Fig. 2d and h (exemplarily for 2.6 nm TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs) predominantly comprise contributions from X decay, which is identical independent of the initial excitation intensity. At low excitation intensities, the A_1 feature shows a remaining positive amplitude after ~ 1.5 ps following cooling, which is caused by the biexciton effect as previously discussed. However, at high excitation intensities, the A_1 amplitude turns negative. This is due to the formation of multiexcitons that do not allow the biexciton effect to occur with the filled $1S_e$ level. In the TOPO-capped QDs (Fig. 2f, exemplarily for the 2.6 nm TOPO-capped QD), the A_1 reappears after different delay times with varying excitation intensities. This reappearance of the biexciton effect originates from the X species formed by multiexciton relaxation. According to the discussion of the spectral features, the A_1 features in the S^{2-} -capped QDs (Fig. 2j, exemplarily for the 2.6 nm S^{2-} -capped QDs) remain absent and only negative amplitudes are observed in the region of the A_1 feature irrespective of all excitation intensities.

The detailed comparative analysis of the B_1 , B_3 , and A_1 spectral features and kinetics of the intensity-dependent TA data of the TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs clearly indicates the presence of contributions of high-order multiexcitons and significant differences in the dependence on surface functionalization. To evaluate the differences quantitatively, we



employ a global analysis routine based on the MCMC-based target analysis established by Ashner *et al.*⁵⁷ for the analysis of large data-sets of intensity-dependent TA, enabling us to determine multiple fit parameters with high precision. It provides means to assess the quality of the selected kinetic model and the uncertainty of the determined parameters, expressed through posterior probability distributions. The MCMC method utilizes random sampling guided by the target distribution, thereby ensuring that the samples are representative of the true posterior distribution. To ensure the precise estimation of the fit parameters, the process undergoes a chain of convergence toward the true distribution. Using this method, the cascade Auger recombination kinetic model of multiexciton recombination is solved.²¹ It is assumed that the N th-order multiexciton relaxes stepwise to the respective lower order exciton.



Hence, to extract multiexciton lifetime and spectra, the development of concentrations of the contributing species is modelled by the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[N]}{dt} &= -\frac{[N]}{\tau_N} \\ \frac{d[N-1]}{dt} &= \frac{[N]}{\tau_N} - \frac{[N-1]}{\tau_{N-1}} \\ \frac{d[N-2]}{dt} &= \frac{[N-1]}{\tau_{N-1}} - \frac{[N-2]}{\tau_{N-2}} \dots \text{so on} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where τ_N and $[N]$ are the lifetime and the concentration of the N th-order multiexciton state. The initial concentration of each N th-order of exciton is determined by the probability of the population upon excitation, P_N , following a Poisson probability distribution,^{21,26}

$$P_N = \frac{\langle N \rangle^N \times e^{-\langle N \rangle}}{N!} \quad (3)$$

with $\langle N \rangle = \sigma \times J$.

Here, $\langle N \rangle$ is the average number of excitons generated by pump excitation, σ is an absorption-cross-section parameter, that can be converted to the cross-sectional area α (see the ESI^\dagger),^{27,58,69} and J is the excitation intensity of the pump. The TA data are treated as a sum of the spectra of the contributing species ($S_N(\lambda)$) corresponding to each multiexciton species, N . In the modelling routine, the spectral shape of S_N is assumed to be independent of the excitation intensity and only its contribution to the overall spectrum varies with the co-efficient $A_N(t, J)$, which is dependent on the excitation intensity and time, and represents the relative concentration of the species.

$$\Delta A(\lambda, t, J) = \sum_N A_N(t, J) S_N(\lambda) \quad (4)$$

The initial amplitude ($A_N(0, J)$) of the contributing X and multiexciton species spectra ($S_N(\lambda)$) corresponds to $P_{N,0}$, which is the known initial concentration determined by eqn (3). In the MCMC fit, the intensity-dependent TA data are simultaneously sampled to determine S_N , τ_N , and σ as fit parameters.

In our analysis, the timeframe below 2 ps was neglected due to the strong superposition with signatures from cooling and trapping. Hence, the contributions of higher-order multiexcitons with much shorter lifetimes, below 2 ps cannot be determined. The longest probe delay time point in our data is 1900 ps, which does not include the complete X recombination regime, but covers the complete expected timescale of multiexciton dynamics. The goodness-of-fit and accuracy of the kinetic model are reflected in the kinetics along with the fits and the contour plots of the fitted parameters (see the ESI^\dagger). Depending on the size of the QDs, kinetic models comprising TX or even tetraexciton (QX) species were used (Fig. 3a). It should be noted that, the spectrum of the highest-order exciton modelled most probably is not a pure spectrum and can contain contributions of even higher-order multiexcitons.

Fig. 3b and d illustrate the multiexciton species spectra obtained by this procedure along with the initial concentrations for each species for the series of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs. As expected, at low excitation intensities, the X concentration dominates the transient spectra, while at high excitation intensities, multiexciton species are the dominating species determining the spectral features. For instance, the A_1 feature observed at low excitation intensities in the TOPO-capped QDs (Fig. 3b) is consistent with the X spectrum. The BX, TX, and QX spectra do not exhibit the A_1 feature, which is also similarly not observed in the TA spectra at high excitation intensities. The determined spectral shapes of the species are also in agreement with the proposed energy level structure, as shown in Fig. 2b. The increasing amplitudes of B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 features from X to QX (Fig. 3a and c) are caused by the increasing population in the conduction band levels (1S_e and 1P_e). The A_1 feature is observed only in the X spectra of the TOPO-capped QDs. This is because only in X, the 1S_e level is singly occupied, allowing the biexciton effect to occur. As the 1S_e level is fully occupied from BX to higher multiexcitons, the biexciton effect cannot take place resulting in the absence of the A_1 feature. High-order multiexcitons above BX show a negative signal in the same wavelength region as the A_1 feature in X (e.g., at 560 nm of the 2.6 nm QD), which is attributed to the stimulated emission (SE).⁷⁰ The A_1 feature in the X spectra of S^{2-} -capped QDs are absent (Fig. 3c). The A_3 feature is on the other hand a consequence of the Stark effect induced shift of the B_3 transition occurring at the 1P_e level. As the 1P_e level starts to fill from TX to QX, the B_3 feature appears with significantly high amplitude resulting in decreased A_3 amplitude due to the spectral superposition of B_3 and A_3 . The multiexciton lifetimes estimated from the MCMC fit of the TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs are shown in Table 1. Multiexciton lifetime decreases with the increasing QD size. Due to the small volume in QDs, fast Auger recombination plays a dominant role because of the strong overlap of charge carrier wavefunctions.²⁰ Therefore, multiexciton lifetimes are shorter in small QDs.²¹ In good agreement with previous reports, the multiexciton lifetimes (in particular τ_{BX} and τ_{TX}) of the series of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs exhibit nearly cubic dependency with the QD radius.²¹ The lifetimes





Fig. 3 (a) Illustration of the TX and QX kinetic models used for the MCMC fit. (b) Multiexciton species spectra and the (c) initial concentration of multiexciton species over the pump intensities in TOPO-capped QDs of four different sizes. (d) Multiexciton species spectra and the (e) initial concentration of multiexciton species over the pump intensities in S^{2-} -capped QDs of four different sizes.

follow the relation, $\tau_{MX} \propto R^n$, where τ_{MX} is the multiexciton lifetime, and R is the QD radius (Fig. 4a). For the TOPO-capped QDs, $n = 3.0 \pm 0.6$ for τ_{BX} and $n = 2.9 \pm 0.2$ for τ_{TX} is determined. The S^{2-} -capped QDs also exhibit similar dependency with $n = 3.0 \pm 0.7$ for τ_{BX} and $n = 3.8 \pm 0.9$ for τ_{TX} .

For 4.0 nm and 4.8 nm QDs (having at least three multiexciton lifetimes, Fig. 4b), the lifetimes of multiexcitons in dependence on the order (N) can be described by $\tau_{MX} \propto N^n$. The TOPO-capped 4.0 nm QDs show $n = 5.4 \pm 0.03$, and the 4.8 nm QDs show $n = 5.5 \pm 0.5$. Similarly, for the S^{2-} -capped 4.0 nm

Table 1 Multiexciton lifetimes and absorption cross-sections of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs of four different sizes determined by MCMC fitting. The parameters shown in the table are fitted mean values and 90% confidence intervals obtained from MCMC sampling

	τ_{QX}/ps	τ_{TX}/ps	τ_{BX}/ps	$\alpha \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	τ_{QX}/ps	τ_{TX}/ps	τ_{BX}/ps	$\alpha \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
	TOPO				S^{2-}			
2.6 nm	—	2.24 _{2.23} ^{2.25}	12.72 _{12.68} ^{12.73}	1.601 _{1.601} ^{1.602}	—	5.49 _{5.48} ^{5.50}	61.86 _{61.78} ^{61.98}	2.042 _{2.041} ^{2.043}
3.4 nm	—	5.07 _{5.06} ^{5.08}	47.12 _{47.10} ^{47.15}	2.149 _{2.148} ^{2.149}	—	6.86 _{6.86} ^{6.87}	76.33 _{76.31} ^{76.35}	2.176 _{2.176} ^{2.177}
4.0 nm	1.84 _{1.83} ^{1.85}	8.97 _{8.96} ^{8.98}	79.52 _{79.48} ^{79.67}	3.468 _{3.467} ^{3.469}	3.89 _{3.88} ^{3.90}	25.52 _{25.49} ^{25.57}	107.92 _{107.79} ^{108.04}	2.307 _{2.307} ^{2.308}
4.8 nm	2.03 _{2.03} ^{2.04}	13.58 _{13.57} ^{13.59}	104.13 _{104.07} ^{104.24}	4.609 _{4.608} ^{4.610}	5.40 _{5.39} ^{5.42}	38.81 _{38.75} ^{38.91}	276.59 _{275.00} ^{276.59}	2.971 _{2.970} ^{2.973}





Fig. 4 (a) Dependence of TX and BX lifetimes on the radius of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs. (b) Dependence of lifetimes on the exciton order of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped 4 nm and 4.8 nm QDs. (c) Illustration of TX, in a TOPO-capped QD with the carrier density concentrated in the CdSe core and in a S^{2-} -capped QD with a trapped hole on the surface site.

QDs, $n = 4.8 \pm 0.6$, and for the 4.8 nm QDs, $n = 5.6 \pm 0.6$. Clearly, with both the surface ligands, TOPO and S^{2-} , the 4.0 nm and the 4.8 nm QD exhibit similar dependence of the multiexciton lifetime on the exciton order of around the 5th order. The dependency on the multiexciton order describes the type of kinetic process involved in Auger recombination. In previous reports, several mechanisms have been discussed.²¹ A cubic dependency stands for a three-carrier model, where *e.g.*, an electron and a hole annihilate *via* exciting a third charge carrier (electrons or holes). Theoretically, strongly confined charge carriers in materials like QDs exhibit cubic dependency.^{20,21} The quadratic model is observed in larger particles where Auger recombination occurs *via* exciton–exciton interactions.²⁰ For higher-order multiexcitons, these two models become complicated, because the manifold degeneracy of higher energy levels creates different possibilities of carrier wave function mixing.²¹ For instance, when there is a TX state, the electron population is distributed over $1S_e$ and $1P_e$ levels of the conduction band, and the hole population is distributed over $1S_{3/2}$ and $1P_{3/2}$ before ultrafast cooling and located in the $1S_{3/2}$ level after cooling. In such a situation, when the charge carriers are distributed over levels with manifold degeneracy, multiple possibilities of transitions in Auger recombination can occur influencing the dependency of the Auger lifetime in N . Taking this into account, multiexciton lifetimes are scaled following a statistical model as described by Klimov *et al.*²¹

However, according to our fit, the 5th-order kinetic model does not match with any of the previous reports. In this context, it has to be pointed out that the QDs possess significant surface carrier traps, which become more pronounced with S^{2-} functionalization as indicated by the analysis of the photoluminescence spectra (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). The kinetic models discussed above, *e.g.*, the statistical models, do not consider the population of surface trap states. It is possible that the presence of hole trapping sites further increases the number of recombination channels and consequently the Auger process shows high-order mechanisms. To verify the participation of surface trap states in Auger recombination, the statistical models need to be modified to take the additional recombination channels into account, which is challenging due to the undefined electronic structure of surface trap states.

A general trend can be observed comparing the multiexciton lifetimes of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped QDs. The multiexciton lifetimes of the S^{2-} -capped QDs show a significant increase in comparison to those of the respective TOPO-capped QDs (Table 1). The increase in multiexciton lifetimes can be attributed to a decrease in the carrier wavefunction overlap induced by carrier localization in surface trap states, which suppresses the Auger process.^{22,47,71} The S^{2-} -capped QDs, with higher surface hole trapping sites (compared to TOPO-capped QDs), therefore show prolonged multiexciton lifetimes. To further



Table 2 Δ_{BX} , Δ_{TX} , Δ_{QX} and Δ_{PX} obtained from fitting of eqn (S3)–(S6) (ESI†) in the multiexciton species spectra of the four TOPO-capped QDs and the 4.8 nm S^{2-} -capped QD

	$\Delta_{\text{BX}}/\text{meV}$	$\Delta_{\text{TX}}/\text{meV}$	$\Delta_{\text{QX}}/\text{meV}$	$\Delta_{\text{PX}}/\text{meV}$
TOPO				
2.6 nm	35.9 ^{37.8} _{34.0}	114.4 ^{125.2} _{92.3}	203.6 ^{228.8} _{191.6}	—
3.4 nm	24.2 ^{26.9} _{21.6}	75.0 ^{96.1} _{66.1}	111.0 ^{127.0} _{102.3}	—
4.0 nm	22.7 ^{23.4} _{22.1}	66.2 ^{75.0} _{55.0}	109.6 ^{127.7} _{87.9}	155.6 ^{208.8} _{91.7}
4.8 nm	18.1 ^{19.9} _{16.2}	49.0 ^{58.3} _{25.9}	70.8 ^{91.9} _{47.7}	102.1 ^{127.8} _{83.7}
S^{2-}				
4.8 nm	12.9 ^{18.5} _{7.4}	37.0 ^{39.4} _{32.2}	58.0 ^{73.2} _{57.2}	64.6 ^{70.6} _{51.4}

validate this effect of surface hole trapping on multiexciton lifetimes, determination of multiexciton binding energies can be useful. This is because the multiexciton binding energy and the extent of charge carrier wavefunction overlap influencing the Coulomb interactions between charge carriers are connected. Particularly, the change in spectral profiles for the X species, with a diminished A_1 feature in S^{2-} -capped QDs indicates a change in binding energies. Therefore, taking advantage of the MCMC determined multiexciton spectra, we employ a quantitative Gaussian fit analysis to deconvolute the ground state bleach (GSB), PIA, and SE contributions in the

species spectra and to determine the shift between the B_1 and A_1 features exactly to derive the BX binding energies (Δ_{BX}).^{26,72,73} Similarly, tri- (Δ_{TX}), tetra- (Δ_{QX}), and penta- (Δ_{PX}) exciton binding energies can be estimated from the shifts between B_3 and A_3 in the BX, TX, and QX spectra, respectively.^{26,74} The Gaussian functions used to describe the species spectra are described in eqn (S3)–(S6) (ESI†). A detailed description of the fitting procedure is given in the ESI†. The obtained fit results are shown in Fig. S22–S26 (ESI†) and Tables S10–S14 (ESI†). The multiexciton binding energies of the TOPO-capped QDs increase with a decreasing size and increasing exciton order (Table 2).

To determine the dependency with the QD radius, we fit the function, $\Delta_{\text{MX}} \propto R^n$, where Δ_{MX} is the multiexciton binding energy, and R is the QD radius. For BX, TX, and QX, $n = 1.2 \pm 0.4$, $n = 1.4 \pm 0.2$, and $n = 1.7 \pm 0.1$, respectively. Multiexciton binding energies show weaker dependence on the QD radius than the lifetimes. These observations match with previous reports.⁶⁴ Reducing the QD size enhances the wavefunction overlap leading to an increased multiexciton binding energy as well as the Auger rate as discussed above. Similarly, with an increasing exciton order, the carrier density increases resulting in the increased multiexciton binding energy. Multiexciton binding energies in CdSe QDs are described as bound, *i.e.*,



Fig. 5 The A_1 and B_1 peaks obtained from the multi-Gaussian fitting of the X spectra with eqn (S3) (ESI†) of 4.8 nm (a) TOPO-capped and (c) S^{2-} -capped QDs. The figures demonstrate the extent of the A_1 and B_1 overlap, which determines the Δ_{BX} . (b) Excitonic state diagram representing the optical transitions corresponding to A_1 and B_1 in the X species. (d) Radius dependency ($\Delta_{\text{MX}} \propto R^n$) of Δ_{MX} of BX, TX and QX obtained from the multi-Gaussian fitting of eqn (S3)–(S6) (ESI†).



with negative binding energy. Strandell *et al.*²⁸ report negative multiexciton binding energy up to TX for CdSe QDs of different sizes. Utilizing the Gaussian fit method, we obtained binding energies up to pentaexciton (Δ_{PX}). Here, this is to be clarified that the highest order multiexcitons obtained for each QD may contain contributions of higher order multiexciton states. The Δ_{PX} for the 4.0 nm and 4.8 nm QDs, and Δ_{QX} for the 2.6 nm and 3.4 nm QDs are determined from the highest detected multiexciton species, and thereby may contain a certain degree of error.

A similar treatment of the species spectra allowed us to determine the multiexciton binding energies of the 4.8 nm S^{2-} -capped QD (Table 2). The multiexciton binding energies for the S^{2-} -capped 4.8 nm QD are smaller in comparison to those for the TOPO-capped 4.8 nm QD. The reduction of the multiexciton binding energy can be explained by the reduced carrier wavefunction overlapping due to the surface hole trapping reducing the electron–hole attractive interaction.⁴⁹ The surface hole trapping results in a relative increase of the electron–electron repulsion shifting the multiexciton states more towards an unbound state resulting in lowering the multiexciton binding energy.^{49,75} This results in a strong spectral overlap of the PIA feature and the respective bleach.⁷³ Fig. 5a and c show the individual Gaussians obtained for the B_1 and A_1 of the X spectra of TOPO- and S^{2-} -capped 4.8 nm QDs. It can clearly be seen that the stronger overlap of B_1 and A_1 components leads to the nearly vanishing of the overall A_1 feature to the red of the B_1 bleach in the spectra of the S^{2-} -capped QDs. With the weak PIA features in the species spectra of 2.6 nm, 3.4 nm and 4.8 nm QDs, reliable fits of eqn (S3)–(S6) (ESI†) were challenging. We assume, due to the smaller QD size with a higher carrier density, the electron–electron repulsion gets more and more dominating further reducing the binding energy and the spectral shift, or even resulting in an unbound multiexciton species with a positive binding energy. In this case, the A_1 feature can be expected to blue shift from B_1 resulting in a more complex superposition of multiple spectral features, *e.g.*, with B_2 and A_3 . Therefore, the Gaussian fit of the species spectra of S^{2-} -capped QDs with eqn (S3)–(S6) (ESI†) might be misleading with overparameterization of the fit parameters and was not performed for the smaller S^{2-} -capped QDs.

Conclusions

To summarize, multiexciton lifetimes and spectral shapes for four different sizes of colloidal CdSe QDs with two surface ligands (long chain organic capping or short inorganic strong hole trapping ligands) have been analysed by utilizing global fitting of the intensity-dependent TA spectra. The multiexciton lifetimes exhibit the ‘universal volume scaling’ independent of the surface functionalization. Upon introduction of the S^{2-} ligand, the multiexciton lifetimes significantly increased. This is attributed to the reduction of the carrier wavefunction overlap due to strong hole trapping by S^{2-} surface traps resulting in the suppression of the Auger process. A quantitative spectral

evaluation was performed to determine multiexciton binding energies. A weak scaling with the volume in good agreement with the previous literature was observed. The reduction of the multiexciton binding energy in S^{2-} -capped QDs indicates a relative decrease in electron–hole Coulomb attraction. This behaviour can be expected due to the hole localization in the surface trap states of S^{2-} -capped QDs. Hence, this study exemplifies the potential of applying spectral analysis of excitation intensity-dependent TA-data to access details in multiexciton properties and advance the understanding of the impact of the structural change, here in this study changes in size and surface functionalization, to influence multiexciton dynamics, which lays the foundation for exploiting multiexciton effects in applications such as photocatalysis, photovoltaics, NC lasing, *etc.*

Author contributions

Raktim Baruah: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, verification, and writing – original draft and review & editing. Krishan Kumar: writing – review & editing. Jan Dellith: data curation and formal analysis. Maria Wächtler: conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, resources, supervision, and writing – review & editing.

Data availability

The supporting data for this study are shown in the ESI.† The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Mathias Micheel for the valuable discussion. We acknowledge the German Research Foundation (DFG) for supporting this work under project number 39881677–CRC 1375 NOA, C04, project number 364549901–TRR234 CATALIGHT, TP B04 and project number 468735112 – KU 4220/1-1.

References

- 1 X. L. Xiang, L. X. Wang, J. J. Zhang, B. Cheng, J. G. Yu and W. Macyk, *Adv. Photonics Res.*, 2022, **3**, 2200065.
- 2 M. A. Holmes, T. K. Townsend and F. E. Osterloh, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 371–373.
- 3 K. F. Wu and T. Q. Lian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 3781–3810.
- 4 V. Singh, Priyanka, P. V. More, E. Hemmer, Y. K. Mishra and P. K. Khanna, *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, **2**, 1204–1228.
- 5 Y. Shu, X. Lin, H. Qin, Z. Hu, Y. Jin and X. Peng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 22312–22323.



- 6 Y. Shirasaki, G. J. Supran, M. G. Bawendi and V. Bulovic, *Nat. Photonics*, 2013, **7**, 13–23.
- 7 Y. S. Park, J. Roh, B. T. Diroll, R. D. Schaller and V. I. Klimov, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2021, **6**, 382–401.
- 8 N. Ahn, C. Livache, V. Pinchetti and V. I. Klimov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **123**, 8251–8296.
- 9 R. Koole, E. Groeneveld, D. Vanmaekelbergh, A. Meijerink and C. de Mello Donegá, in *Nanoparticles: Workhorses of Nanoscience*, ed. C. de Mello Donegá, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014, pp. 13–51.
- 10 M. G. Bawendi, M. L. Steigerwald and L. E. Brus, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 1990, **41**, 477–496.
- 11 V. I. Klimov, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 16827–16845.
- 12 H. Eshet, R. Baer, D. Neuhauser and E. Rabani, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 13178.
- 13 F. García-Santamaría, Y. F. Chen, J. Vela, R. D. Schaller, J. A. Hollingsworth and V. I. Klimov, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 3482–3488.
- 14 M. C. Beard, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 1282–1288.
- 15 H. M. Zhu, Y. Yang and T. Q. Lian, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 1270–1279.
- 16 Y. Ben-Shahar, J. P. Philbin, F. Scotognella, L. Ganzer, G. Cerullo, E. Rabani and U. Banin, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5211–5216.
- 17 Y. W. Liu, D. A. Cullen and T. Q. Lian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 20264–20273.
- 18 C. Melnychuk and P. Guyot-Sionnest, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 2325–2372.
- 19 V. I. Klimov, A. A. Mikhailovsky, D. W. McBranch, C. A. Leatherdale and M. G. Bawendi, *Science*, 2000, **287**, 1011–1013.
- 20 V. I. Klimov, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2007, **58**, 635–673.
- 21 V. I. Klimov, J. A. McGuire, R. D. Schaller and V. I. Rupasov, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **77**, 195324.
- 22 D. G. Kong, Y. Y. Jia, Y. P. Ren, Z. X. Xie, K. F. Wu and T. Q. Lian, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 14091–14098.
- 23 V. I. Klimov, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2000, **104**, 6112–6123.
- 24 J. P. Philbin and E. Rabani, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 7889–7895.
- 25 R. Vaxenburg, A. Rodina, A. Shabaev, E. Lifshitz and A. L. Efros, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 2092–2098.
- 26 T. Labrador and G. Dukovic, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 8439–8447.
- 27 K. E. Shulenberger, S. J. Sherman, M. R. Jilek, H. R. Keller, L. M. Pellows and G. Dukovic, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2024, **160**, 014708.
- 28 D. P. Strandell, A. Ghosh, D. Zenatti, P. Nagpal and P. Kambhampati, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2023, **14**, 6904–6911.
- 29 D. J. Norris and M. G. Bawendi, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **53**, 16338–16346.
- 30 J. M. Pietryga, Y. S. Park, J. H. Lim, A. F. Fidler, W. K. Bae, S. Brovelli and V. I. Klimov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10513–10622.
- 31 A. L. Efros and M. Rosen, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, 2000, **30**, 475–521.
- 32 C. B. Murray, D. J. Norris and M. G. Bawendi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 8706–8715.
- 33 Y. Yin and A. P. Alivisatos, *Nature*, 2005, **437**, 664–670.
- 34 M. Liu, G. Tang, Y. Liu and F. L. Jiang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2024, **15**, 1975–1984.
- 35 M. Green, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 5797–5809.
- 36 D. V. Talapin, A. L. Rogach, A. Kornowski, M. Haase and H. Weller, *Nano Lett.*, 2001, **1**, 207–211.
- 37 K. J. Schnitzenbaumer and G. Dukovic, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 28170–28178.
- 38 K. J. Schnitzenbaumer, T. Labrador and G. Dukovic, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 13314–13324.
- 39 A. Nag, M. V. Kovalenko, J. S. Lee, W. Y. Liu, B. Spokoiny and D. V. Talapin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10612–10620.
- 40 A. Nag, D. S. Chung, D. S. Dolzhenkov, N. M. Dimitrijevic, S. Chattopadhyay, T. Shibata and D. V. Talapin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13604–13615.
- 41 A. Pandey and P. Guyot-Sionnest, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **127**, 111104.
- 42 C. Melnychuk and P. Guyot-Sionnest, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 2208–2211.
- 43 I. Robel, R. Gresback, U. Kortshagen, R. D. Schaller and V. I. Klimov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **102**, 177404.
- 44 Y. L. Li, X. Luo, T. Ding, X. Lu and K. F. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 14292–14295.
- 45 J. A. Castañeda, G. Nagamine, E. Yassitepe, L. G. Bonato, O. Voznyy, S. Hoogland, A. F. Nogueira, E. H. Sargent, C. H. B. Cruz and L. A. Padilha, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 8603–8609.
- 46 M. Califano, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 2098–2104.
- 47 C. Yan, D. Weinberg, D. Jasrasaria, M. A. Kolaczowski, Z. J. Liu, J. P. Philbin, A. D. Balan, Y. Liu, A. M. Schwartzberg, E. Rabani and A. P. Alivisatos, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 2281–2291.
- 48 V. I. Klimov, D. W. McBranch, C. A. Leatherdale and M. G. Bawendi, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **60**, 13740–13749.
- 49 M. Achermann, J. A. Hollingsworth and V. I. Klimov, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2003, **68**, 245302.
- 50 H. Utzat, K. E. Shulenberger, O. B. Achorn, M. Nasilowski, T. S. Sinclair and M. G. Bawendi, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 6838–6846.
- 51 K. E. Shulenberger, T. S. Bischof, J. R. Caram, H. Utzat, I. Coropceanu, L. Nienhaus and M. G. Bawendi, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5153–5158.
- 52 V. Klimov, S. Hunsche and H. Kurz, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **50**, 8110–8113.
- 53 V. Klimov, S. Hunsche and H. Kurz, *Phys. Status Solidi*, 1995, **188**, 259–267.
- 54 R. D. Schaller and V. I. Klimov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **96**, 097402.
- 55 P. Tyagi and P. Kambhampati, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 094706.
- 56 E. Hendry, M. Koeberg, F. Wang, H. Zhang, C. D. Donegá, D. Vanmaekelbergh and M. Bonn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **96**, 057408.
- 57 M. N. Ashner, S. W. Winslow, J. W. Swan and W. A. Tisdale, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2019, **123**, 3893–3902.



- 58 K. Kumar and M. Wächtler, *Nanoscale*, 2025, **17**, 7141–7152.
- 59 L. Carbone, C. Nobile, M. De Giorgi, F. D. Sala, G. Morello, P. Pompa, M. Hytch, E. Snoeck, A. Fiore, I. R. Franchini, M. Nadasan, A. F. Silvestre, L. Chiodo, S. Kudera, R. Cingolani, R. Krahne and L. Manna, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 2942–2950.
- 60 C. Müller, T. Pascher, A. Eriksson, P. Chabera and J. Uhlig, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2022, **126**, 4087–4099.
- 61 L. Q. W. William Yu, W. Guo and X. Peng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2854–2860.
- 62 A. I. Ekimov, A. L. Efros and A. A. Onushchenko, *Solid State Commun.*, 1985, **56**, 921–924.
- 63 D. P. Morgan and D. F. Kelley, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 8448–8455.
- 64 S. L. Sewall, R. R. Cooney, K. E. H. Anderson, E. A. Dias, D. M. Sagar and P. Kambhampati, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 084701.
- 65 A. J. Nozik, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2001, **52**, 193–231.
- 66 P. Kambhampati, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 22089–22109.
- 67 K. E. Knowles, E. A. McArthur and E. A. Weiss, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 2026–2035.
- 68 E. A. McArthur, A. J. Morris-Cohen, K. E. Knowles and E. A. Weiss, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 14514–14520.
- 69 M. N. Ashner, S. W. Winslow, J. W. Swan and W. A. Tisdale, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2019, **123**, 3893–3902.
- 70 S. L. Sewall, R. R. Cooney, E. A. Dias, P. Tyagi and P. Kambhampati, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **84**, 235304.
- 71 W. X. Yang, Y. W. Yang, A. L. Kaledin, S. He, T. Jin, J. R. McBride and T. Q. Lian, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5779–5789.
- 72 C. Zhang, T. N. Do, X. W. Ong, Y. T. Chan and H. S. Tan, *Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **481**, 157–164.
- 73 S. Palato, H. Seiler, H. Baker, C. Sonnichsen, P. Brosseau and P. Kambhampati, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **152**, 104710.
- 74 Y. Kobayashi and N. Tamai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 17550–17556.
- 75 M. E. Reimer, D. Dalacu, P. J. Poole and R. L. Williams, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2010, **210**, 012019.

