



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2021, 7, 10

Received 5th September 2020,
Accepted 31st October 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ew00819b

rsc.li/es-water

Growth of *Legionella* during COVID-19 lockdown stagnation

William J. Rhoads  and Frederik Hammes

While “avoiding stagnation” has been the mantra of many building water quality experts, the foundational support for how this terminology is used in peer-reviewed publications and building water management guidelines is not overly convincing. With COVID-19 lockdowns and subsequent reopening, the concern that extended stagnation will trigger increased incidence (or even an epidemic) of legionellosis has been widely raised in the news and social media. Here, we provide a perspective on four simple questions to a complex topic, with hope that it encourages a broader dialogue and more critical assessment of assumptions and communication strategies surrounding stagnation and *Legionella* growth.

Water impact

The decades-old dogma that “stagnation causes *Legionella* growth” has been widely disseminated after COVID-19 lockdowns became prevalent. We argue this “fact” has been over-simplified to a singular concept and is not unilaterally supported in peer-reviewed literature. This review highlights nuances surrounding stagnation in buildings and suggests criteria to carefully consider how results are communicated to practitioners for forthcoming post-COVID-19 building water quality studies being conducted worldwide.

Introduction

It is a widely accepted “fact” that water stagnation in buildings leads to *Legionella* growth.^{1–7} This has been amplified considerably following the COVID-19 building shutdowns worldwide, with calls for some intensive actions to be undertaken by building managers (flushing protocols^{3,8,9}) being translated to warnings of potentially severe health risks.^{10–13} Optimizing hydraulic design and thus maintaining target controls within building networks is clearly beneficial to controlling microbial water quality.^{14,15} However, the available scientific evidence regarding the impact of stagnation on *Legionella* growth is more complicated and less convincing than what is frequently conveyed in peer-reviewed literature, *Legionella* control guidelines, news media, and social media. Here, we provide opinion on four fundamental questions related to the impact of stagnation during the COVID-19 lockdown through an assessment of some of the influential literature in the field and identify criteria to be addressed when conducting and reporting on post-COVID-19 *Legionella* studies. A more careful approach in documenting the impacts of stagnation on legionellosis (Legionnaires’ disease and the more understudied and under-reported Pontiac fever) is needed to put

health risks of *Legionella* occurrence into context with other problems that can develop during stagnation, or unintended consequences (e.g., improper flushing causing release of contaminants).

(1) What is stagnation?

Stagnation of water in building plumbing systems coincides with physiochemical water quality changes that alter the trajectory of microbial dynamics in water systems. These changes include water temperatures trending towards and remaining at ambient temperature, decay of disinfection residual (where applicable), destabilization of corrosion scales¹⁶ and biofilms,¹⁷ release of metals such as copper and lead,¹⁸ and changes in the composition and concentration of bio-available nutrients.^{19,20} However, part of the confusion and potential for miscommunication regarding the effects of stagnation on water quality is how stagnation is defined. In the context of controlling *Legionella* growth, the term stagnation has been used to describe complete stagnation (no water exchange or flow) in unused water storage tanks¹ or outlets,²¹ intermittent demand at individual outlets,¹ existence of dead-end pipes,²² generally elevated water age due to low demand and/or over-sized components,²³ and no water exchange in the presence of continuous water movement due to water recirculation.²¹ The duration of stagnation studied also changes considerably in context of the system, encompassing periods of one night,¹⁷ days,²⁴

Department of Environmental Microbiology, Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland.
E-mail: william.rhoads@eawag.ch, frederik.hammes@eawag.ch



weeks,²¹ months,²⁵ more than a year,¹ and undefined durations.² These differences in system components (tanks vs. primary branches vs. outlets), type of stagnation (complete vs. intermittent), and duration (days vs. months), undoubtedly alter the microbiological response. Unfortunately, “stagnation” is frequently used as an all-encompassing term to describe different aspects of water use in building plumbing systems, which has led to propagation of information that lacks critical nuance. We assert that “stagnation” as currently referred to in literature cannot be viewed a singular concept. Rather, defining the frequency and duration of stagnation that raise concerns should be system specific. Such a definition requires an engineering system assessment on a site-by-site basis that considers that location's prior experiences with stagnation, water quality, and incidence of disease. Moreover, stagnation should be defined and communicated for each building water system (and relevant sub-systems) individually, and novel findings of future studies should be framed in the context of carefully evaluated supporting literature that reports the impact of similar facets of stagnation (components, types, and durations) as the location being studied, where applicable.

(2) Does stagnation cause *Legionella* growth?

Many publications that suggest stagnation supports *Legionella* growth can be traced back to Ciesielski and colleagues (1984),¹ which stated “Conditions known to be favorable for the multiplication of *L. pneumophila* include stagnating warm water” without attribution. Their work demonstrated that reducing stagnation in severely contaminated hot water storage tanks quickly decreased *L. pneumophila* levels, but did not strongly support the conclusion that stagnation increased growth. In fact, they observed diverging trends in the two completely stagnant storage tanks monitored. Their conclusion that stagnation supports *Legionella* growth may have alternatively stemmed from the fact that showers and faucets with aerators in their study were heavily colonized despite negative culture results in the water storage tanks serving them.^{26–28} However, differences in biofilm/water sample collection strategies and not treating or replacing the showerheads and faucets aerators prior to the monitoring period severely complicates sound comparisons and conclusions. In addition, the hot water temperature in the online tanks was frequently within *Legionella*'s ideal growth range. This suggests intermittent use and the associated fluctuations in temperature, nutrients, and hydraulic conditions cannot be wholly separated from the effects of stagnation alone.

Hoge and Breiman (1991)⁴ reviewed a number of earlier publications and concluded that “Sites from which *Legionella* has been cultured include water heaters, shower heads, faucets, and blind loops of plumbing where water can stagnate.” Notably, they did not include any direct attribution for this statement, thus suggesting the anecdotal evidence for a link between stagnation and

Legionella occurrence was well established by at least 1991. A survey of nine buildings by Völker and colleagues (2016)² provides somewhat more compelling evidence linking *Legionella* growth with stagnant conditions. In 807 samples analyzed, they observed a correlation between *L. pneumophila* occurrence and temperature, pipe length measures, and stagnation. However, stagnation in this study, as in many studies, was only qualitatively defined and again appeared to be linked to pipes with low/intermittent water use, rather than absolute stagnation. Nonetheless, the seemingly preconceived notion that stagnation always supports *Legionella* growth has been adopted in many control guidelines and standards internationally.²⁹

After observing a case where by eliminating dead-legs (thus eliminating points of stagnation) had no impact on *Legionella* colonization,²² the conventional wisdom that stagnation supported *Legionella* growth was first experimentally challenged by Liu and colleagues (2006).²¹ In this study, completely stagnant conditions had the lowest numbers of biofilm-associated *Legionella* spp. relative to turbulent and laminar flow conditions in a 5 week pilot-scale experiment. However, there was very little water exchange (5%) in the flowing conditions, and the experiments were conducted at ambient temperature with no residual disinfectant. These latter two factors are important for *Legionella* growth and typically vary substantially between systems with flowing and non-flowing stagnant conditions (e.g., recirculating hot water systems vs. cold). The lack of *Legionella* growth during stagnation was further documented by Bédard and colleagues (2015)²⁴ in a well-controlled field study where no increase in *L. pneumophila* gene copy numbers was observed in repeat sampling events at outlets of a contaminated building that were completely stagnated for up to 10 days, suggesting that stagnation was not a driving factor for growth in this building.

Highlighting the complexity and challenges with respect to *Legionella* growth and stagnation, Rhoads and colleagues (2015)³⁰ demonstrated that continuous flow and intermittent stagnation can both support and limit increases in *L. pneumophila* gene copy numbers depending on the water heater setpoint and frequency of exposure. Thus, we assert that there is currently not detailed consensus on the various dimensions of “stagnation” that encompass water quality changes that coincide with stagnation as well as different environmental conditions (e.g., materials used) on the occurrence, and specifically growth, of *Legionella*. We recognize that the literature above is not exhaustive, but we believe that it captures the lack of clarity and contradicting research on the topic. Hence, we identify a clear need for more carefully designed experiments that places novel findings into context with, and which builds upon, relevant prior literature to improve our understanding of the biological processes occurring while water is not being exchange or flowing.



support from the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office (FSVO), the Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH) and the Federal Office of Energy (FOE), through the project LeCo (Legionella Control in Buildings; Aramis nr.: 4.20.01), as well as Eawag discretionary funding.

References

- C. Ciesielski, M. Blaser and W. Wang, Role of stagnation and obstruction of water flow in isolation of Legionella pneumophila from hospital plumbing, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1984, **48**(5), 984–987.
- S. Völker, C. Schreiber and T. Kistemann, Health, E., Modelling characteristics to predict Legionella contamination risk—Surveillance of drinking water plumbing systems and identification of risk areas, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*, 2016, **219**(1), 101–109.
- C. R. Proctor, W. J. Rhoads, T. Keane, M. Salehi, K. Hamilton, K. J. Pieper, D. M. Cwiertny, M. Prévost and A. Whelton, Considerations for large building water quality after extended stagnation, *AWWA Water Sci.*, 2020, **2**(4), e1186.
- C. W. Hoge and R. F. Breiman, Advances in the epidemiology and control of Legionella infections, *Epidemiol. Rev.*, 1991, **13**(1), 329–340.
- H. Y. Buse and N. J. J. A. Ashbolt, Counting Legionella cells within single amoeba host cells, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2012, **78**(6), 2070–2072.
- C. J. Hoebe and J. Kool, Control of legionella in drinking-water systems, *Lancet*, 2000, **355**(9221), 2093–2094.
- National Academy of Sciences, *E., and Medicine Management of Legionella in Water Systems*, The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control Guidance for Reopening Buildings After Prolonged Shutdown or Reduced Operation*, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/php/building-water-system.html> (accessed September 9, 2020).
- European Study Group for Legionella Infections, *ESGLI Guidance for Managing Legionella in Building Water Systems During the COVID-19 Pandemic*, https://www.esamid.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/3Research_Projects/ESGLI/ESGLI_Guidance_for_managing_Legionella_in_building_water_systems_during_the_COVID-19_pandemic_20200603_v_0300.pdf (accessed September 4, 2020).
- G. Viglione, As lockdowns lift, new hazards lurk in the water, *Nature*, 2020, DOI: 10.1038/d41586-020-01286-9, <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-01286-9>.
- J. Shiffman, Buildings Closed by Coronavirus Face Another Risk: Legionnaires' Disease, Reuters [Online], 2020, <https://www.usnews.com/news/us/articles/2020-04-24/buildings-closed-by-coronavirus-face-another-risk-legionnaires-disease> (accessed September 4, 2020).
- M. Horberry, After Coronavirus, Office Workers Might Face Unexpected Health Threats, *New York Times [Online]*, 2020, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/20/health/coronavirus-legionnaires-offices.html> (accessed September 4, 2020).
- A. Clayson, After coronavirus comes another hidden and deadly respiratory disease, experts warn, *The US Sun [Online]*, 2020, <https://www.the-sun.com/news/949994/after-coronavirus-hidden-deadly-respiratory-disease/> (accessed September 4, 2020).
- I. Boppe, E. Bédard, C. Taillandier, D. Lecellier, M.-A. Nantel-Gauvin, M. Villion, C. Laferrière and M. Prévost, Investigative approach to improve hot water system hydraulics through temperature monitoring to reduce building environmental quality hazard associated to Legionella, *Building and Environment*, 2016, **108**, 230–239.
- J. Darelid, S. Löfgren and B. E. Malmvall, Control of nosocomial Legionnaires' disease by keeping the circulating hot water temperature above 55 C: experience from a 10-year surveillance programme in a district general hospital, *J. Hosp. Infect.*, 2002, **50**(3), 213–219.
- T. D. D. Speth, M. Schock and D. Lytle, Potential Corrosion Issues Resulting from Extreme Weather Events, *13th CECIA-IAUPR Biennial Symposium on Potable Water Issues in Puerto Rico, Bayamon, PUERTO RICO, February 14–16, 2019*, 2019.
- E. Bédard, C. Laferrière, E. Déziel and M. Prévost, Impact of stagnation and sampling volume on water microbial quality monitoring in large buildings, *PLoS One*, 2018, **13**(6), e0199429.
- D. Lytle and M. Schock, Impact of stagnation time on metal dissolution from plumbing materials in drinking water, *J. Water Supply: Res. Technol.-AQUA*, 2000, **49**(5), 243–257.
- M. Bucheli-Witschel, S. Kötzsch, S. Darr, R. Widler and T. Egli, A new method to assess the influence of migration from polymeric materials on the biostability of drinking water, *Water Res.*, 2012, **46**(13), 4246–4260.
- Y. Zhang and M. Edwards, Accelerated chloramine decay and microbial growth by nitrification in premise plumbing, *J. - Am. Water Works Assoc.*, 2009, **101**(11), 51–62.
- Z. Liu, Y. Lin, J. Stout, C. Hwang, R. Vidic and V. Yu, Effect of flow regimes on the presence of Legionella within the biofilm of a model plumbing system, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2006, **101**(2), 437–442.
- F. P. Sidari III, J. E. Stout, J. M. Vanbriesen, A. M. Bowman, D. Grubb, A. Neuner, M. M. Wagener and V. L. Yu, Keeping Legionella out of water systems, *J. - Am. Water Works Assoc.*, 2004, **96**(1), 111–119.
- W. J. Rhoads, A. Pruden and M. A. Edwards, Survey of green building water systems reveals elevated water age and water quality concerns, *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2016, **2**(1), 164–173.
- E. Bédard, S. Fey, D. Charron, C. Lalancette, P. Cantin, P. Dolcé, C. Laferrière, E. Déziel and M. Prévost, Temperature diagnostic to identify high risk areas and optimize Legionella pneumophila surveillance in hot water distribution systems, *Water Res.*, 2015, **71**, 244–256.
- V. Mouchtouri, E. Velonakis, A. Tsakalof, C. Kapoula, G. Goutziana, A. Vatopoulos, J. Kremastinou and C. J. A. Hadjichristodoulou, Risk factors for contamination of hotel water distribution systems by Legionella species, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2007, **73**(5), 1489–1492.



