

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2024,
5, 7097Received 16th May 2024,
Accepted 18th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ma00505h

rsc.li/materials-advances

Machine learning for carbon dot synthesis and applications

Ali Nabi Duman *^a and Almaz S. Jalilov *^b

One of the hottest topics in nanoparticles research right now is carbon dots (CDs). In order to be used in applications like medical imaging and diagnostics, pharmaceuticals, optoelectronics, and photocatalysis, CDs must be synthesized with carefully controlled properties. This is often a tedious task due to the fact that nanoparticle syntheses frequently involve multiple chemicals and are carried out under complex experimental conditions. The emerging data-driven methods from artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) provide promising tools to go beyond the time-consuming and laborious trial-and-error approach. In this review, we focus on the recent uses of ML accelerating exploration of the CD chemical space. Future applications of these methods address the current limitations in CD synthesis expanding the potential uses of these intriguing nanoparticles.

1 Introduction

Due to their distinctive advantages, such as simple synthesis, long-term photo- and colloidal stability, biocompatibility, biodegradability, non-/low toxicity, low cost, tunable photoluminescence, and good dispersibility, carbon-based nanomaterials, particularly carbon dots (CDs), have been one of the most studied materials in recent years.^{1–8} These favorable characteristics make CDs useful for applications in biosensing and bioimaging,^{9–11} cancer research,¹² drug delivery,¹³ visible light communication,^{14,15} and optoelectronic devices.^{16–19} A base carbon core with chemical functional groups attached or modified on the surface makes up the core–shell-like structure of CDs. The surface generally consists of some common functional groups, such as amino, epoxy, carbonyl, aldehyde, hydroxyl, and carboxylic acid, while the carbon core structure

consists of sp² and sp³ carbon atoms.^{20–22} The additional molecular structures which are essential to their features make CDs extremely complex.

CDs can be synthesized by utilizing bottom-up or top-down methods.^{16,23} In the top-down methods, large carbon materials are cut into small carbon structures smaller than 10 nm. The demanding physical procedures to break down the carbon materials (e.g., graphite, graphene oxide, carbon nanotubes, activated carbon, soot) involve laser ablation, arc discharge and nanolithography under unfavorable conditions such as strong oxidants, concentrated acids, and high temperatures.^{24–33} The more adaptable and accessible bottom-up methods usually include ultrasound synthesis, chemical oxidation, room temperature method, and hydrothermal and solvothermal processing of relatively small molecular precursors.³⁴ Although these methods may include high temperatures/pressures, long reaction times, or toxic solvents, the use of microwaves in solvothermal synthesis partially solves these issues by reducing the reaction time and the amount of solvents.^{35–40} The room temperature method is another advantageous technique because it does not require complicated machinery or harsh synthesis conditions, making it environmentally friendly and

^a Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Houston-Downtown, Houston, USA. E-mail: dumana@uhd.edu

^b Department of Chemistry and Interdisciplinary Research Center for Advanced Materials, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: jalilov@kfupm.edu.sa

Almaz Jalilov obtained his PhD in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin. After postdoctoral positions in Northwestern University and Rice University, he joined King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, where he is now an Associate Professor of Chemistry, and his research interest includes physical organic chemistry and materials chemistry with an emphasis on the mechanism-based design of carbon nanoparticles for sustainable energy and catalysis.

Ali Nabi Duman received a PhD from the University of British Columbia in 2010. He has been an Assistant Professor of Mathematics at King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals from 2015 to 2023. In 2023, he joined the University of Houston-Downtown, where he is now an Assistant Professor of Data Science. His research focuses on topological data analysis with applications in neuroimaging, microscopy and genomics.



sustainable.^{41–43} Hence, the simple setup, low cost and accessibility to a wide variety of precursors make bottom-up methods more favorable over top-down methods.

CDs can be divided into four main classes according to their carbon core structure, surface functionalities, and performance features: (i) graphene quantum dots (GQDs), (ii) carbon nanodots (CNDs), (iii) carbon quantum dots (CQDs), and (iv) carbonized polymer dots (CPDs).^{44,45} GQDs are usually synthesized by using a top-down approach, while preparation of CNDs and CQDs is mainly done by using bottom-up methods.^{46–48} A variety of models (e.g. polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, molecular fluorophores, or sp^2/sp^3 hybrid spherical structures) are used to explain the different structures of CDs.^{49–52}

Due to the necessary high temperatures during bottom-up synthesis of CDs, multiple reaction pathways occur while forming a considerable amount of by-products. Along with the irregular mass transfer, low reproducibility is also common as reported in earlier studies.^{35,53} One solution to optimize the target properties is to scan large experimental synthesis conditions including the reaction temperature, the mass of precursor, ramp rate, and reaction time. However, the high complexity of the extracted data, repetitive experimental procedures, and the lack of predictability make this scan very time-consuming to achieve ideal results. For example, it is still unclear how CQDs emit their fluorescence because it is a very complicated process. It is customary to analyze the pH-dependent photoluminescence (PL) spectra of CQDs at a fixed excitation and ignore all other potential excitations; however, this method only allows for the extraction of a portion of the available data.^{39,54–60} On the other hand, the complexity of data analysis methods can rise along with the number of PL measurements. Similarly, current CDs reported in the literature were frequently prepared optimally by controlling one reaction parameter and fixing the other reaction factors, while not considering the complex relationship between reaction parameters during CD synthesis. Therefore, there is a need to employ methods that accelerate the screening of the necessary parameters in order to create CDs with enhanced features and applications.

Quantum mechanics methods such as density functional theory (DFT) provide a reliable computational solution to search a reasonably designed parameter space.^{61,62} These semi-empirical approaches can be used to explore the electronic structure and chemical reactivity of CDs.^{63–67} Density-functional-based tight binding (DFTB) is another semi-parametric method which approximates DFT in a tight binding framework.^{68–71} DFTB requires fewer empirical parameters and is computationally more efficient than DFT. The mechanism of graphene formation and single-walled carbon nanotube nucleation are examples studied using DFTB.^{72,73} However, these semi-empirical methods are computationally too costly for a large search space. The alternative approaches to reduce the entire search space include optimization and gradient based algorithms. The accuracy and computational performance of these methods depend on the initially determined parameters; hence, they might return different results from the different initial values and potentially end up in local minima.

Data-driven approaches based on machine learning (ML) algorithms provide an alternative to the abovementioned computational methods for the description of the structure and properties of CDs. As a branch of artificial intelligence, ML employs statistical and probabilistic methods to learn from a given dataset by optimizing performance measures for particular tasks.^{74,75} Certain methods have the ability to detect the relationship (correlations/inference) between input variables and the target variable. Instead of screening the entire parameter space, ML methods learn the hidden patterns using a limited amount of data. These trained algorithms are later generalized to predict the target variables from previously unseen input variables. As a result of increasing amount of experimental data and accessible computational power, ML has successful applications in a variety of fields including image/speech recognition, cancer research, chemical synthesis, and protein structure prediction.^{76–84}

In materials science, ML has attracted a lot of interest in applications such as materials discovery, materials structure/property prediction, performance optimization, and acceleration of the protocols for nanoparticle synthesis.^{85–95} Using ML, the reaction parameters and their effects on the nanoparticle synthesis can be revealed objectively,^{96,97} and the synthesis process can be made more efficient by choosing appropriate evaluation criteria including shape, size, polydispersity, and surface chemistry.⁹⁸ ML accelerates not only the experimental protocols but also the search of new semiconductor, metal, carbon-based, and polymeric nanoparticles with superior features requiring low computational cost.⁸ The large amount of data needed for ML algorithms can be obtained using computational or experimental methods. Numerous databases such as the Materials Project, Automatic Flow for Materials Discovery, Open Quantum Materials Database, Novel Materials Discovery make it possible to access data of a lot of materials in addition to using computer simulations to generate it.

In particular, the use of ML in the field of CD has generated a lot of interest in research in recent years. The proper adjustment of a variety of variables, including precursors, temperature, and reaction time, is necessary for the successful preparation of CDs. It is simple to use these elements as input parameters in ML, which is trained with the available experimental data and generates accurate new predictions. Therefore, the addition of ML can aid in the relationship between precursors and desired properties, which may result in the formation of a design principle for further study and significantly shorten the synthesis cycle and lower the cost of CDs.

Many outstanding reviews on the applications of computational and ML methods to the nanoparticle synthesis have been published.^{98–106} Although some of them focus on CD synthesis, they partially cover the development of ML methods along with the experimental techniques.⁹⁹ The more general reviews include CDs as a subcategory of nanoparticles,^{98,100} quantum dots,^{103,104} and graphene-based^{101,105} or polymer-based¹⁰⁶ materials. Theoretical methods such as quantum mechanics and/or molecular mechanics approaches applied to CDs are also available in the literature.¹⁰² To the best of our knowledge,



Table 1 Recent studies on applying ML algorithms to carbon dot research

ML models	Input	Output	Samples	Ref.
MLR, poly. reg.	Microstructural features	Thermoelectric performance	322	107
Lin. reg., poly. reg.	Synthesis process parameters	UV-visible and PL spectra	44	108
MLR, KNN	Synthesis process parameters	PLQY, PL peak position	227	109
MLR	PL characteristics	Temperature sensing accuracy	121	110
Random forest	Reaction parameters	Emission wavelength, Stokes shift, PLQY	480	111
Log. reg., KNN, SVM	CD fluorescence sensor array	Protein classification	48	112
CNN	Synthesis process parameters	Spectral properties and FL colors	170	113
ANN	Synthesis process parameters	Color classification, emission wavelength	407	114
ANN	CDs fluorescence variation maps	Amino acid classification	90	115
Multilayer perceptron	Synthesis process parameters	PLQY	30	116
CNN	Emission/PL decay data of CDs	Ethanol content prediction	597	117
XGBoost	Synthesis process parameters	PLQY	391	82
XGBoost	Synthesis process parameters	PLQY	467	118
PCA, XGBoost	Synthesis process parameters	FL intensity, emission centers	400	119
XGBoost	Reaction parameters of CD catalysts	Failure/success of oxidation of C-H bonds	652	120
GBDT	Biochar preparation parameters	Fluorescence quantum yield	480	121
Random forest	Precursor combinations	PL wavelength intensity	202	122
Random forest, GA	Synthesis process parameters	Corrosion inhibition efficiency	102	123
PCA, MCR, NMF	Wavelengths, pH	Unsupervised clustering	401	124
LDA, SVM	CD fluorescence sensor array	Tetracycline classification	92	125

a thorough review of ML applications specifically for CD synthesis is lacking. In this review, we outline the primary ML algorithms in the context of CD research, discuss recent studies on ML applications for CD synthesis, and enumerate potential future directions for this rapidly expanding field of study (Table 1).

2 Linear regression

Numerous machine learning algorithms have been devised for diverse learning scenarios, encompassing unsupervised, semi-supervised, and supervised learning. In scientific and engineering contexts, supervised learning, also known as predictive modeling, is widely favored. Of all the supervised learning methods, linear regression is the most fundamental, having been extensively studied and applied due to its simplicity and high interpretability. Given input variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, the output variable \mathbf{y} is predicted by

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

The most common objective function to determine the coefficients $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the residual sum of squares:

$$\text{RSS}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

where N is the number of data points. Basic expansions of input variables, such as $x_2 = x_1^2$, $x_3 = x_1^3$, lead to polynomial regression. One can also further modify the linear regression models by shrinking the estimated coefficients to zero. Using methods like ridge regression and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), shrinkage of the coefficients reduces the weight of irrelevant input variables resulting in more interpretable and accurate models.

Applying multiple linear regression (MLR), Armida *et al.* explored the relationship between the size, dimensionality,

concentration, doping and other microstructural features of carbon dots and their thermoelectric performance.¹⁰⁷ The conversion efficiency of a thermoelectric material is quantified by the thermoelectric figure of merit, $ZT = S^2 \sigma T / \kappa$, where S is the Seebeck coefficient, σ is the electrical conductivity, κ is the thermal conductivity, and T is the absolute temperature. MLR is performed for each ZT , σ , T and κ using 10 input variables characterizing size, dimensionality, concentration, doping and other features. The results revealed a strong negative relationship between functionalization and S , as well as a strong positive relationship between the type of carbon nanostructures and σ . Polynomial regression highlighted significant impacts of six input parameters on the Seebeck coefficient, electric conductivity σ and thermal conductivity κ , while no combination of parameters significantly affected thermoelectricity ZT .

Zhang *et al.* utilized linear and polynomial regression models to investigate the core synthesis process parameters of B,N-GQDs (synthesis temperature, H_2O_2 additional volume, and synthesis time).¹⁰⁸ The models are trained using the optical properties of B,N-GQDs derived from UV-visible and PL spectra (*i.e.* 675/500 peak intensity ratio and PLQY). While the authors employed other complex models such as bagging regression, random forest regression, LASSO regression, and ridge regression, the highest R^2 -score is obtained using the polynomial model of degree 7 ($R^2 = 0.9860$). Polynomial and linear regression models pointed out that high H_2O_2 additional volume, low synthesis temperature, and appropriate synthesis time in the selected process conditions contribute to achieving a high 675/500 peak intensity ratio (see Fig. 1).

Tuchin *et al.* analysed a dataset on the synthesis parameters and optical characteristics of carbon dots focusing on their optical behavior within the red and near-infrared wavelengths.¹⁰⁹ A predictive model using multiple linear regression has been developed to forecast the spectral attributes of these carbon dots. The validity of this model was confirmed by comparing its






Fig. 1 Machine learning-assisted evaluation of B,N-GQDs. (A) Schematic of machine learning-assisted evaluation of the optical properties of B,N-GQDs. Optical properties of B,N-GQDs in varied synthesis conditions and the corresponding predicted value sets with (B) linear regression, (C) polynomials 1–30, (D) polynomial regression 7, (E) bagging regression, and (F) random forest regression. The R^2 scores of linear regression, polynomial regression 7, bagging regression, and random forest regression models are 0.6751, 0.9860, 0.9473, and 0.9469, respectively. Reprinted with permission from ref. 108. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.

predictions with the actual optical properties observed in carbon dots synthesized in three distinct laboratories.

Doring *et al.* applied a multiple linear regression model that combines steady-state and time-resolved luminescence data from carbon dots to enhance temperature sensing accuracy to 0.54 K.¹¹⁰ This research illustrates the significant advancements in temperature sensing using optical probes through multidimensional machine learning techniques.

Several machine learning algorithms are dedicated to classification tasks. Logistic regression considered under the umbrella of a generalized linear model is specifically designed for predicting probabilities associated with discrete (categorical) variables. The probability $p(x)$ of a sample belonging to a particular category is expressed as

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{x^T \beta}}{1 + e^{x^T \beta}}$$

Here, x represents the input variables and β denotes the coefficient vector, which is determined through the optimization of

an objective function. The training process for logistic regression involves minimizing objective functions such as LBFGS (limited-memory Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno), Newton, and stochastic gradient descent. Pandit *et al.* presented a bio-molecular sensor utilizing a CD array for the detection of proteins in both buffer and human serum.¹¹² They anticipated that introducing analytes to CDs featuring diverse surface functionalities would induce a distinctive fluorescence change pattern. This pattern could subsequently be examined using machine learning techniques including logistic regression. They trained their models with the response of CD arrays of 48 examples from 8 classes of proteins. Logistic regression, in conjunction with three distinct machine learning algorithms (namely KNN, gradient-boosted trees, and support vector machine), attained a perfect accuracy of 100% on the test set comprising 24 unidentified samples. Hence, the effectiveness of employing machine learning algorithms for the pattern recognition of fluorescence signals from the array has been successfully demonstrated.



3 Artificial neural networks

Linear models excel when a linear connection exists between input and output variables; however, their accuracy diminishes in the presence of nonlinear interactions between variables. Artificial neural networks (ANNs) are employed to surmount these constraints. ANNs are characterized by multiple hidden layers, excluding input and output layers. Each hidden layer consists of numerous neurons, employing linear regression with a nonlinear activation function. Notably, the hidden layers feature complete connectivity between the neurons of adjacent layers. It is proven that any continuous function can be approximated by ANNs with one hidden layer. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) stand as a prevalent architecture within artificial neural networks (ANNs), finding particular application in the analysis of images and videos. At the heart of the CNN lies the convolution layer, featuring multiple convolution filters. Each filter undergoes convolution with the input from the preceding layer, producing feature maps subsequently utilized as the input for the following layer. The streamlined interconnection between layers contributes to the computational efficiency of CNNs, enabling them to outperform basic ANN models, particularly in tasks such as image classification.

ANNs have found applications in various carbon dot studies. Wang *et al.* have used a convolutional neural network (CNN) model tailored for predicting the optical characteristics of carbon dots such as spectral properties and fluorescence (FL) colors under ultraviolet (UV) irradiation.¹¹³ The model is trained with CD synthesis features (precursor, mass, temperature, solvent, and reaction time) from 170 prototypical studies. The output layer is a feature vector that indicates spectral properties and FL color under UV irradiation. Subsequently, CDs with distinct emission properties were synthesized, and their experimental data were compared with the predicted outcomes from the trained model. These synthesized CDs were employed in cell imaging, demonstrating good performance. These findings suggest that the implementation of CNNs can assist researchers in achieving effective CD design without the need for extensive manual processes. Within the same study, alternative classification models, such as support vector machines, *K*-nearest neighbors, random forests, decision trees, and extreme gradient boosting, demonstrated inferior performance compared to CNNs. These outcomes underscore the significant potential of CNNs in guiding the synthesis of CDs.

Senanayake *et al.* conducted a parallel study, employing ANNs, to characterize the influence of synthesis parameters on and make predictions for the emission color and wavelength of CDs.¹¹⁴ The machine analysis indicated that the selection of the reaction method, purification method, and solvent is more closely correlated with CD emission characteristics compared to factors like reaction temperature or time, which are often adjusted in experimental settings. A total of 407 data examples were gathered from the literature, with 379 of them constituting the training database. The remaining 28 data examples were reserved as an external test set to validate the model.

The color prediction from the classification model, which does not include reaction temperature and time as features, attained a training accuracy value of 0.94. The accuracy of emission prediction is enhanced from MAE = 38.4 to 25.8 when a combination of both classification and regression methods is employed. To overcome the limitations associated with a small dataset in an ANN model, the authors used an ANN *k*-ensemble model which outperformed XGBoost, *K*-nearest neighbor (KNN), and support vector machine (SVM). The hybrid models employed a two-step approach: initially, a classification model was utilized to predict the color, and subsequently this predicted color (combined with the actual color during training) served as an input to predict the emission wavelength using a regression machine learning model. The tools developed in this study, particularly the hybrid models, are expected to be valuable in predicting the emission of novel carbon dots (CDs). This approach allows for the selection of promising reaction examples from the model, streamlining the synthesis of CDs with specific colors and significantly reducing the effort required in the optimization process.

In another classification problem, Tuccito *et al.* employed CD fluorescence as a nanochemosensor to detect different amino acids.¹¹⁵ The modification of CD surfaces can alter fluorescence properties, including emission intensity and excitation and emission wavelengths. In this study, carboxyl groups on nanoparticle surfaces were activated and subsequently reacted with various amino acids. The nanochemosensors demonstrated the ability to distinguish between amino acids within a mixture, showcasing their potential in complex amino acid analyses. ANNs were trained with fluorescence variation maps of activated CDs to predict if the amino acid is alanine (ALA) or not alanine. The resulting model had 0.8 sensitivity and 0.91 specificity. These discoveries will contribute to the advancement of cost-effective nanochemosensors for investigating specific diseases that are presently diagnosed through basic amino acid detection methods.

In a regression task, Pudza *et al.* applied multilayer perceptron (MLP) to predict the photoluminescent quantum yield (PLQY) of fluorescent carbon dots synthesized from tapioca powder.¹¹⁶ The training data ($n = 30$) were collected from the experiments. MLP trained with temperature, time, dosage and the solvent ratio predicted the PLQY with high accuracy. The optimization and prediction processes have yielded sustainable, efficient, and reliable fluorescent carbon dots. This approach not only saves energy within a manageable timeframe but also reduces the required dosage while maintaining an optimal quality output.

Doring *et al.* applied CNNs and deep neural networks on the emission/PL decay data of CDs to improve ethanol content determination in ethanol/water mixtures ($n = 578$) as well as in alcohol-containing beverages ($n = 19$).¹¹⁷ The models are trained by PL excitation/emission maps, PL decay spectra, and extracted features (*i.e.* PL intensities, PL peak positions, and PL lifetimes) to predict the ethanol content. The utilization of time-resolved spectral information (PL decays and lifetimes) as the input for CNNs enables more accurate prediction of






Fig. 2 Multi-channel deep learning model: (a) structure of the PL decay channel. The input layer takes 1024 intensity integers as the input. After normalization, data are passed through a dense layer (64 neurons), a dropout layer (dropout = 0.01), and a second dense layer (16 neurons). (b) Structure of the PL map channel. The input layer takes a $16 \times 217 \times 1$ matrix as the input. It is passed through a series of convolution, maximum pooling, and dropout layers before it is flattened and fed through another dropout layer and dense layer (32 neurons). (c) Example of a multi-channel model with 9 inputs. The respective input data are passed through either a PL decay channel or a PL map channel. These channels are concatenated before being passed through a dense layer (32 neurons) and a dropout layer (dropout = 0.3) to predict the ethanol concentration as the target variable. Reprinted with permission from ref. 117. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.

ethanol content compared to steady-state emission data. Using entire optical spectra, namely PL decays and PL excitation/emission maps, advanced deep learning models demonstrated their applicability in the analysis of beverages. In contrast to CNN models with only a few predictor variables, which struggled due to autofluorescence of the beverages, advanced deep learning models enabled better predictions of ethanol content. Although CDs serve as excellent candidates for showcasing deep learning in optical sensing, the methods outlined in this study hold promise for enhancing chemical sensing across a range of luminescent materials (see Fig. 2).

4 Gradient boosting

Traditional gradient boosting techniques have long served as stalwarts in the realm of machine learning, providing a robust framework for constructing powerful predictive models. The foundational concept behind gradient boosting involves the sequential training of weak learners, such as decision trees,

with each subsequent learner aiming to correct errors made by the ensemble of preceding ones. This iterative process enables the algorithm to progressively refine its predictive accuracy, making gradient boosting a popular choice for regression and classification tasks. Despite its success, traditional gradient boosting is not without its limitations. The absence of explicit regularization mechanisms can lead to overfitting, especially in the presence of noisy or high-dimensional datasets. Recognizing these challenges, the advent of extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) marked a significant evolution in the field, addressing these limitations and introducing innovations that have propelled it to the forefront of machine learning algorithms.¹²⁶

Extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost), a powerful ensemble learning algorithm, has emerged as a dominant force in the realm of machine learning, demonstrating remarkable success across various domains. Developed as an extension of traditional gradient boosting techniques, XGBoost has garnered widespread popularity due to its efficiency, scalability, and superior predictive performance. At its core, XGBoost operates by sequentially training a series of weak learners, typically



decision trees, and iteratively refining their predictive capabilities. Unlike traditional gradient boosting, XGBoost incorporates a regularization term and employs a second-order Taylor expansion to optimize the objective function, enhancing its ability to capture complex patterns within the data.

One of the defining features of XGBoost is its versatility, making it applicable to both regression and classification tasks. The algorithm excels in handling large datasets and high-dimensional feature spaces, showcasing robustness in the face of noisy or missing data. Moreover, XGBoost provides a comprehensive set of hyperparameters that can be fine-tuned to accommodate diverse modeling scenarios, fostering adaptability to different applications. The success of the algorithm is further underscored by its ability to balance bias and variance, mitigating overfitting and ensuring generalizability across unseen data. As a result, XGBoost has become a method of choice in various fields, ranging from finance and healthcare to image processing and natural language processing, showcasing its broad utility and effectiveness in extracting meaningful patterns from complex datasets.

XGBoost has demonstrated considerable efficacy in numerous CD studies. Han *et al.* reported a machine learning-assisted approach for synthesizing highly fluorescent CDs using a hydrothermal route.⁸² XGBoost outperformed multilayer perceptron,

support vector machine, and Gaussian process regressor in predicting the QY using five input variables: the volume of ethylenediamine, the mass of precursor, reaction temperature, ramp rate and reaction time. The data were collected from 391 experiments with different combinations of growth parameters, and respective QYs ranged from 0 to 1. XGBoost unveiled a noteworthy correlation between outstanding optical properties and the mass of the precursor and the volume of the alkaline catalyst. This observation aligns well with experimental findings. The methodology introduced in this study serves as a foundational step toward the advancement of artificial intelligence techniques for the analysis and optimization of material preparation methods (see Fig. 3).

Tang *et al.* developed a regression model to improve the PLQY of carbon quantum dots (CQDs) grown through hydrothermal methods.¹¹⁸ Six hydrothermal parameters were identified as input features: the pH value (pH), reaction temperature (T), reaction time (t), the mass of precursor A (M), ramp rate (Rr), and solution volume (V). A total of 467 experimental records were used with different growth parameters and respective PLQYs ranged from 0 to 1. In order to best infer the PLQY from the features, several regression algorithms are evaluated with nested cross validation, including XGBoost regressor, support vector machine regressor, and Gaussian process regressor.




Fig. 3 Application of ML for guided synthesis of CDs. (a) Design framework for the guided synthesis of CDs with a large QY based on ML and hydrothermal experiments. (b) The heat map of the Pearson correlation coefficient matrix among the selected features of hydrothermally grown CDs. (c) Feature importance retrieved from XGBoost-R that learns from the full data set. The most important features are EDA and M. (d) Predictions from the trained model, which is represented by the matrix formed by the two most important features. Reprinted with permission from ref. 82. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society.



XGBoost demonstrates superior performance, surpassing the other algorithms by a significant margin, as indicated by its R^2 value of 0.8402. The most critical factor influencing the PLQY is shown to be the pH value, with reaction temperature and reaction time following closely in significance. The trained XGBoost model is then employed to predict the PLQY for a vast array of 1 555 840 potential synthesis conditions generated from various combinations. Eleven synthesis conditions are recommended by the model attributed to their highest predicted PLQY. Subsequent experiments conducted in the laboratory yielded a remarkably high photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) of 55.5%. This achievement is particularly noteworthy given the ultra-low heteroatom doping precursor ratio employed, making it one of the highest reported PLQY values under such conditions. The findings support the promising potential of ML in optimizing and expediting the material synthesis process. This endorsement suggests that ML has the capability to facilitate the development of advanced inorganic materials, contributing to practical applications through reduced processing time and enhanced material properties.

Hong *et al.* utilized the XGBoost model for predicting the maximum fluorescence (FL) intensity and emission centers of CDs synthesized under room temperature conditions using *p*-benzoquinone (PBQ) and ethylenediamine (EDA) as starting materials.¹¹⁹ They successfully synthesized a variety of CDs with tailored optical properties. These CDs were effectively employed for applications such as detecting Fe^{3+} , facilitating sustained drug release, enabling whole-cell imaging, and contributing to the preparation of poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) films.

The input dataset comprises four hundred types of CDs prepared under different reaction conditions, encompassing the mass of *p*-benzoquinone (V_{EDA}), volume of ethylenediamine (V_{EDA}), reaction duration, and solvent types. For output, the predicted target variables are the FL intensity and the location of emission centers. Principal component analysis (PCA) was employed to create new variables characterized by relative independence. Subsequently, PC1 and PC2 were utilized as novel input features for the training of the model. XGBoost showed superior performance compared to *K*-nearest neighbor, decision trees, random forest, support vector machine and convolutional neural networks. Leveraging the significant features and parameters (*i.e.* V_{EDA} and M_{PBQ}) extracted from the XGBoost model, the authors successfully fabricated a series of novel carbon dots (CDs) with customizable fluorescence (FL) intensity and emission center properties. This study demonstrates that the XGBoost algorithm, as a machine learning approach, is effective in identifying crucial factors in CD synthesis. It provides chemists with a rapid and reliable means to access optimal reaction parameters for synthesizing desired CDs (see Fig. 4).

Using ML, Wang *et al.* successfully predicted and synthesized metal-free CD homogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of C–H bonds.¹²⁰ The dataset for cyclohexane oxidation was compiled from literature sources and laboratory notebooks, comprising a total of 652 entries. This dataset consists of 113 positive samples (17.3%) and 539 negative samples (82.7%). The boundary between success and failure in this context is characterized by achieving a 10% conversion of cyclohexane




Fig. 4 Schematic illustration of machine learning guiding the synthesis of CDs. (a) Synthetic process of CDs. (b) Prediction of CD optical properties using machine learning models. Reprinted with permission from ref. 119. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.



and a 70% selectivity towards the production of adipic acid (AA). The input features are selected as O (content of oxygen), M_w (weight-average molecular weight of the nonmetal catalyst), G (O_2 or not), p (homogeneous catalysis or heterogeneous catalysis), T (catalytic temperature), P (pressure), and t (reaction time). Out of the four classical models considered (multilayer perceptron, naive Bayes, SVM, and XGBoost), the XGBoost model was chosen due to its high performance. The analysis of feature importance derived from the XGBoost model indicates that the molecular weight (M_w) takes precedence over other features. The order of importance follows M_w , followed by O , T , P , and t . Subsequently, the established XGBoost model is employed to apply the unexplored conditions, predicting the probability of success or failure. All predictions align with the actual outcomes of “success” in the conducted true experiments, affirming the accuracy of the model. This study distinctly illustrates a novel approach to C–H bond activation, employing metal-free CDs as quasi-homogeneous catalysts.

Chen *et al.* explored the relationship between biochar preparation parameters and the fluorescence quantum yield of CDs in biochar, employing six machine learning models including decision trees (DT), random-forest (RF), gradient-boosting decision-trees (GBDT), extra-trees (ET), K -nearest-neighbor (KNN) regression, and XGBoost, where the dataset consisted of 480 samples.¹²¹ The input parameters for the biochar production experiment were determined, encompassing the type of farm waste, as well as characteristics such as cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, ash, moisture, nitrogen (N), carbon (C), and carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (C/N) contents of the samples. Additionally, parameters related to the pyrolysis process, including pyrolysis temperature (T) and residence time (t), were considered. The GBDT model had the best performance among the other models, as GBDT exhibit resilience to missing values and outliers, are less susceptible to the impact of extreme values, and demonstrate effectiveness in handling high-dimensional sparse data. It was identified that four features, namely, pyrolysis temperature, residence time, nitrogen (N) content, and carbon-to-nitrogen (C/N) ratio, had the most significant impact on enhancing the accuracy of QY predictions. The methodology introduced in this study can serve as a foundation for the advancement of new techniques leveraging artificial intelligence for the analysis and prediction of CDs generated in the process of biochar production.

5 Random forest

Random forest (RF), a versatile and robust machine learning algorithm, has emerged as a cornerstone in data-driven decision-making across diverse scientific and industrial domains. Born out of the ensemble learning paradigm, this algorithm is particularly well-suited for applications where the accuracy and reliability of predictions are paramount. The metaphorical “forest” comprises a multitude of decision trees, each contributing its unique insights to the collective wisdom of the algorithm. As a result, random forest is known for its resilience against overfitting and

its ability to produce accurate and stable predictions. The integration of random forest algorithms in the study of carbon dots opens up new avenues for predicting and understanding their behavior.

Chen *et al.* explored the relationship between reaction parameters and the photoluminescence characteristics of CDs, achieving controllable synthesis of multi-color CDs with the aid of ML.¹¹¹ Five input parameters are used, including varied precursor types and quantities such as p -phenylenediamine with urea, p -phenylenediamine with citric acid, and diverse solvent types (anhydrous ethanol, water, and N,N -dimethylformamide), along with reaction time and temperature. 270 experiments with different parameter combinations are conducted to feed the ML algorithms. The 3D fluorescence spectra (maximum emission wavelength, Stokes shift) and fluorescence quantum yield were used as the output variables. The RF model demonstrated superior predictive performance compared to other models, including extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost), light gradient boosting machine (LGBM), ridge regression (ridge), least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO), and support vector regression (SVR), specifically in predicting the maximum emission wavelength, the fluorescence quantum yield and the Stokes shift of multicolor CDs. The authors also implemented a computer algorithm for ranking importance, utilizing a method to calculate the significance of features. The outcomes revealed that the solvent was the primary factor influencing the maximum emission wavelength of multicolor CDs. The key determinant influencing the fluorescence quantum yield was identified to be the precursor ratio and the precursor type was the main influencing factor of the Stokes shift.

Xing *et al.* employed RF to facilitate the synthesis of CDs with predictable photoluminescence (PL).¹²² In contrast to treating the precursors as constants, the variables in this context involve randomly chosen 202 combinations of precursors, specifically three-precursor combinations of 24 precursors. The wavelengths of the peaks with the strongest intensity and the longest wavelength under excitation wavelengths of 365 and 532 nm were used as output parameters. The other reaction parameters were fixed to 200 °C and 10 h. The RF model demonstrated the highest performance among the six models including KNN, AdaBoost, bagging, DT, RF and SVM. It is shown that, utilizing prediction data that encompass the entire precursor combination space, the screening of CDs with specific PL wavelength features can be conducted much more effectively than through random trials.

He *et al.* established an RF regression model for corrosion inhibitors based on hydrothermally synthesized CDs to predict the inhibition efficiency.¹²³ This model unveils the relationship between different synthesis parameters and the inhibition efficiency of the CDs. The dataset was created by combining 102 data points on CD synthesis and inhibition efficiency, drawing from reported studies and the authors' own experimental findings. Typical input parameters such as CD concentration in HCl, precursor type and quantity, solvent type and volume, and reaction time and temperature were selected.






Fig. 5 Application of ML for controlled synthesis of CD-based corrosion inhibitors: (a) establishment of the dataset; (b) modelling for inhibition efficiency prediction; and (c) synthetic optimization of CDs. Reprinted with permission from ref. 123. Copyright 2023 Elsevier.

The inhibition efficiencies of CDs, calculated through potentiodynamic polarization (PDP), served as the output variable in the analysis. Utilizing the feature importance derived from the RF model, critical factors in the synthesis of CD-based corrosion inhibitors were identified. The concentration of CDs in HCl emerges as the most influential factor affecting the inhibitory behaviors of the synthesized CDs, followed by N atomic content and reaction time. Additionally, the synthesis route is intelligently optimized using the genetic algorithm (GA), which is an optimization technique inspired by natural selection and genetics, utilizing a population-based approach with genetic operators to iteratively evolve solutions for a given problem. Successful controlled preparation of CD-based corrosion inhibitors was achieved. By identifying and filtering out unsatisfactory synthesis conditions, this approach significantly enhances the synthetic efficiency of CD-based corrosion inhibitors (see Fig. 5).

6 Other ML algorithms

Here we mention other ML algorithms used in CD studies. Dager *et al.* detailed the production of monodisperse carbon quantum dots (C-QDs) through a single-step thermal decomposition procedure employing fennel seeds.¹²⁴ They employed ML techniques such as PCA (see ref. 127 for more details on PCA), multivariate curve resolution (MCR),¹²⁸ and sparse non-negative matrix factorization (NMF)¹²⁹ to assess the PL of synthesized C-QDs with a focus on addressing two key questions: (i) the ability of ML to classify pH-dependent PL measurements, including spectra obtained at different pH levels and excitation wavelengths, and its capacity to suggest optimal excitation wavelengths for a comprehensive pH-dependent study; and (ii) whether ML can aid in identifying the source of the PL mechanism, considering that multiple PL measurements

at varying pH levels and excitation wavelengths may activate different types of surface states. PL data were obtained through excitations at wavelengths of 200, 220, 240, 260, 280, 300, 320, and 340 nm, corresponding to pH values of 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, and 13. A total of forty-eight (48) PL measurements were conducted, each representing a single spectrum for 401 data points acquired in the spectral range of 300–750 nm. PCA, MCR, and NMF were employed to identify the underlying mechanisms contributing to the PL behavior of the synthesized C-QDs.

Xu *et al.* used linear discriminant analysis (LDA)¹³⁰ and support vector machine (SVM)¹³¹ to analyze multidimensional data of a CD-based sensor array fabricated for the detection and differentiation of four tetracyclines (TC), including tetracycline (TC), oxytetracycline (OTC), doxycycline (DOX), and metacycline (MTC).¹²⁵ A training data set comprising a matrix of 2 CDs, 4 TCs, and 5 replicates was created through the utilization of I/I_0 values. The reliability of the established fluorescence sensor array was confirmed by studying 52 unknown samples. At a concentration of 1.0 μM , four different TCs can be effectively clustered by SVM and LDA. Furthermore, the sensor array demonstrates the capability to effectively differentiate between individual TCs as well as binary mixtures of TCs and DOXs. The utilization of SVM presents an innovative option for array sensing systems in handling diverse data sets. The research illustrates the potential of the fluorescence sensor array in environmental monitoring and quantifying antibiotics (see Fig. 6).

7 Summary and future perspectives

This comprehensive review explores the latest advancements in utilizing machine learning for CDs. We provide a concise summary of prevalent ML algorithms and examine recent research employing ML models for the prediction of the






Fig. 6 Two-dimensional LDA score plot of the fluorescence sensor array for the discrimination of the four TCs at different concentrations: (a) 1.0 μM ; (b) 10 μM ; (c) 25 μM ; (d) 50 μM ; (e) 100 μM ; and (f) 150 μM (QR-CDs, 13.3 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$; CPC-CDs, 60 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Reprinted with permission from ref. 125. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.

properties of CDs. ML models were employed to investigate the parameter space of CD experiments and generate optimal input parameters for CDs. By leveraging the optimal parameters derived from ML for various CD challenges, one can explore design strategies aimed at achieving high-performing CDs. While ML models are frequently perceived as “black box” models, the identified strategies can offer novel insights into enhancing the performance of CDs in various applications.

While artificial neural networks and gradient boosting algorithms have shown superior performance in several studies, research indicates that the optimal machine learning model can vary, even under identical input and target feature conditions. Hence, future research is needed to understand the performance, either theoretically or numerically, of various

ML applications for CDs. Although achieving the true optimal experimental parameters remains a challenge in the field, there is optimism that ML will play a promising role in addressing this problem in the future. A promising avenue for enhancement involves establishing a more comprehensive model that incorporates both synthesis process-related and chemistry-related features.

The median of the sample size in the studies covered in this review is 357. For the gradient boosting algorithms, this number is 467. To enhance the accuracy and applicability of the ML approach, future endeavors should focus on collecting high-quality data for refining and updating the currently employed models. This continual improvement is crucial for advancing the development of more efficient CD synthesis strategies.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

ASJ thanks the Deanship of Research Oversight and Coordination, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals (KFUPM) for funding this work through project INAM2206.

References

- 1 K. Ghosal and A. Ghosh, Carbon dots: The next generation platform for biomedical applications, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl.*, 2019, **96**, 887–903.
- 2 A. Sharma and J. Das, Small molecules derived carbon dots: synthesis and applications in sensing, catalysis, imaging, and biomedicine, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2019, **17**, 92.
- 3 L. Xiao and H. Sun, Novel properties and applications of carbon nanodots, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2018, **3**, 565–597.
- 4 G. Ragazzon, A. Cadranet, E. V. Ushakova, Y. Wang, D. M. Guldi, A. L. Rogach, N. A. Kotov and M. Prato, Optical processes in carbon nanocolloids, *Chem*, 2021, **7**, 606–628.
- 5 L. Pan, S. Sun, A. Zhang, K. Jiang, L. Zhang, C. Dong, Q. Huang, A. Wu and H. Lin, Truly Fluorescent Excitation-Dependent Carbon Dots and Their Applications in Multicolor Cellular Imaging and Multidimensional Sensing, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7782–7787.
- 6 D. Benetti, E. Jokar, C.-H. Yu, A. Fathi, H. Zhao, A. Vomiero, E. WeiGuang Diao and F. Rosei, Hole-extraction and photostability enhancement in highly efficient inverted perovskite solar cells through carbon dot-based hybrid material, *Nano Energy*, 2019, **62**, 781–790.
- 7 B. Wang, G. I. N. Waterhouse and S. Lu, Carbon dots: mysterious past, vibrant present, and expansive future, *Trends Chem.*, 2023, **5**, 76–87.
- 8 J. Peng, *et al.*, Graphene Quantum Dots Derived from Carbon Fibers, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 844–849.
- 9 C. Rizzo, F. Arcudi, L. Orevic, N. T. Dintcheva, R. Noto, F. D'Anna and M. Prato, Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanodots-Ionogels: Preparation, Characterization, and Radical Scavenging Activity, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 1296–1305.
- 10 S. K. Misra, I. Srivastava, I. Tripathi, E. Daza, F. Ostadhossein and D. Pan, Macromolecularly “Caged” Carbon Nanoparticles for Intracellular Trafficking via Switchable Photoluminescence, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1746–1749.
- 11 X. Xu, K. Zhang, L. Zhao, C. Li, W. Bu, Y. Shen, Z. Gu, B. Chang, C. Zheng, C. Lin, H. Sun and B. Yang, Aspirin-Based Carbon Dots, a Good Biocompatibility of Material Applied for Bioimaging and Anti-Inflammation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 32706–32716.
- 12 T. H. Kim, J. P. Sirdarta, Q. Zhang, E. Eftekhari, J. John, D. Kennedy, I. E. Cock and Q. Li, Selective toxicity of hydroxyl-rich carbon nanodots for cancer research, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 2204–2216.
- 13 S. Li, *et al.*, Targeted tumour theranostics in mice via carbon quantum dots structurally mimicking large amino acids, *Nat. Biomed. Eng.*, 2020, **4**, 704–716.
- 14 S. Mei, X. Liu, W. Zhang, R. Liu, L. Zheng, R. Guo and P. Tian, High-Bandwidth White-Light System Combining a Micro-LED with Perovskite Quantum Dots for Visible Light Communication, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 5641–5648.
- 15 Z. Zhou, P. Tian, X. Liu, S. Mei, D. Zhou, D. Li, P. Jing, W. Zhang, R. Guo, S. Qu and A. L. Rogach, Hydrogen Peroxide-Treated Carbon Dot Phosphor with a Bathochromic-Shifted, Aggregation-Enhanced Emission for Light-Emitting Devices and Visible Light Communication, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800369.
- 16 M. Semeniuk, Z. Yi, V. Poursorkhabi, J. Tjong, S. Jaffer, Z.-H. Lu and M. Sain, Future Perspectives and Review on Organic Carbon Dots in Electronic Applications, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 6224–6255.
- 17 H. Tetsuka, A. Nagoya, T. Fukusumi and T. Matsui, Molecularly Designed, Nitrogen-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots for Optoelectronic Devices, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 4632–4638.
- 18 F. Arcudi, V. Strauss, L. Orevic, A. Cadranet, D. M. Guldi and M. Prato, Porphyrin Antennas on Carbon Nanodots: Excited State Energy and Electron Transduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12097–12101.
- 19 T. Yuan, T. Meng, P. He, Y. Shi, Y. Li, X. Li, L. Fan and S. Yang, Carbon quantum dots: an emerging material for optoelectronic applications, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 6820–6835.
- 20 Y. Li, H. Shu, X. Niu and J. Wang, Electronic and Optical Properties of Edge-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots and the Underlying Mechanism, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 24950–24957.
- 21 H. Abdelsalam, H. Elhaes and M. A. Ibrahim, Tuning electronic properties in graphene quantum dots by chemical functionalization: Density functional theory calculations, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2018, **695**, 138–148.
- 22 B. Wang, J. Yu, L. Sui, S. Zhu, Z. Tang, B. Yang and S. Lu, Rational Design of MultiColor-Emissive Carbon Dots in a Single Reaction System by Hydrothermal, *Adv. Sci.*, 2021, **8**, 2001453.
- 23 Y. Choi, Y. Choi, O.-H. Kwon and B.-S. Kim, Carbon Dots: Bottom-Up Syntheses, Properties, and Light-Harvesting Applications, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 586–598.
- 24 Y. Dong, C. Chen, X. Zheng, L. Gao, Z. Cui, H. Yang, C. Guo, Y. Chi and C. M. Li, One-step and high yield simultaneous preparation of single- and multi-layer graphene quantum dots from CX-72 carbon black, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 8764–8766.
- 25 Y. Li, Y. Hu, Y. Zhao, G. Shi, L. Deng, Y. Hou and L. Qu, An Electrochemical Avenue to Green-Luminescent Graphene Quantum Dots as Potential Electron-Acceptors for Photovoltaics, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 776–780.
- 26 Y.-P. Sun, *et al.*, Quantum-Sized Carbon Dots for Bright and Colorful Photoluminescence, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 7756–7757.



- 27 R. L. Calabro, D.-S. Yang and D. Y. Kim, Liquid-phase laser ablation synthesis of graphene quantum dots from carbon nano-onions: Comparison with chemical oxidation, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **527**, 132–140.
- 28 J. Xu, S. Sahu, L. Cao, P. Anilkumar, K. N. Tackett II, H. Qian, C. E. Bunker, E. A. Gulians, A. Parenzan and Y.-P. Sun, Carbon Nanoparticles as Chromophores for Photon Harvesting and Photoconversion, *Chem. Phys. Chem.*, 2011, **12**, 3604–3608.
- 29 X. Xu, R. Ray, Y. Gu, H. J. Ploehn, L. Gearheart, K. Raker and W. A. Scrivens, Electrophoretic Analysis and Purification of Fluorescent Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Fragments, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 12736–12737.
- 30 X. Li, H. Wang, Y. Shimizu, A. Pyatenko, K. Kawaguchi and N. Koshizaki, Preparation of carbon quantum dots with tunable photoluminescence by rapid laser passivation in ordinary organic solvents, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 932–934.
- 31 J. Lee, K. Kim, W. I. Park, B.-H. Kim, J. H. Park, T.-H. Kim, S. Bong, C.-H. Kim, G. Chae, M. Jun, Y. Hwang, Y. S. Jung and S. Jeon, Uniform Graphene Quantum Dots Patterned from Self-Assembled Silica Nanodots, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 6078–6083.
- 32 L. A. Ponomarenko, F. Schedin, M. I. Katsnelson, R. Yang, E. W. Hill, K. S. Novoselov and A. K. Geim, Chaotic Dirac Billiard in Graphene Quantum Dots, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 356–358.
- 33 Y. Weng, Z. Li, L. Peng, W. Zhang and G. Chen, Fabrication of carbon quantum dots with nano-defined position and pattern in one step via sugar-electron-beam writing, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 19263–19270.
- 34 S. Y. Lim, W. Shen and Z. Gao, Carbon quantum dots and their applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 362–381.
- 35 T. V. de Medeiros, J. Manioudakis, F. Noun, J.-R. Macairan, F. Victoria and R. Naccache, Microwave-assisted synthesis of carbon dots and their applications, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 7175–7195.
- 36 F. Arcudi, L. Orevic and M. Prato, Design, Synthesis, and Functionalization Strategies of Tailored Carbon Nanodots, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2070–2079.
- 37 K. Suzuki, L. Malfatti, M. Takahashi, D. Carboni, F. Messina, Y. Tokudome, M. Takemoto and P. Innocenzi, Design of Carbon Dots Photoluminescence through Organo-Functional Silane Grafting for Solid-State Emitting Devices, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 5469.
- 38 R. C. So, J. E. Sanggo, L. Jin, J. M. A. Diaz, R. A. Guerrero and J. He, Gram Scale Synthesis and Kinetic Study of Bright Carbon Dots from Citric Acid and Citrus japonica via a Microwave-Assisted Method, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 5196–5208.
- 39 W. U. Khan, D. Wang, W. Zhang, Z. Tang, X. Ma, X. Ding, S. Du and Y. Wang, High Quantum Yield Green-Emitting Carbon Dots for Fe(II) Detection, Biocompatible Fluorescent Ink and Cellular Imaging, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 14866.
- 40 B. B. Chen, Z. X. Liu, W. C. Deng, L. Zhan, M. L. Liu and C. Z. Huang, A large-scale synthesis of photoluminescent carbon quantum dots: a self-exothermic reaction driving the formation of the nanocrystalline core at room temperature, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 5127–5132.
- 41 T. Li, W. Shi, S. E. Q. Mao and X. Chen, Green preparation of carbon dots with different surface states simultaneously at room temperature and their sensing applications, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2021, **591**, 334–342.
- 42 L. Branzi, G. Lucchini, E. Cattaruzza, N. Pinna, A. Benedetti and A. Speghini, The formation mechanism and chirality evolution of chiral carbon dots prepared via radical assisted synthesis at room temperature, *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 10478–10489.
- 43 P. Zhao, X. Li, G. Baryshnikov, B. Wu, H. Agren, J. Zhang and L. Zhu, One-step solvothermal synthesis of high-emissive amphiphilic carbon dots via rigidity derivation, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1323–1329.
- 44 S. Zhu, Y. Song, X. Zhao, J. Shao, J. Zhang and B. Yang, The photoluminescence mechanism in carbon dots (graphene quantum dots, carbon nanodots, and polymer dots): current state and future perspective, *Nano Res.*, 2015, **8**, 355–381.
- 45 C. Xia, S. Zhu, T. Feng, M. Yang and B. Yang, Evolution and Synthesis of Carbon Dots: From Carbon Dots to Carbonized Polymer Dots, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1901316.
- 46 K. Hola, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, E. P. Giannelis, R. Zboril and A. L. Rogach, Carbon dots—Emerging light emitters for bioimaging, cancer therapy and optoelectronics, *Nano Today*, 2014, **9**, 590–603.
- 47 J. Lu, J.-x Yang, J. Wang, A. Lim, S. Wang and K. P. Loh, One-Pot Synthesis of Fluorescent Carbon Nanoribbons, Nanoparticles, and Graphene by the Exfoliation of Graphite in Ionic Liquids, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 2367–2375.
- 48 S. H. Jin, D. H. Kim, G. H. Jun, S. H. Hong and S. Jeon, Tuning the Photoluminescence of Graphene Quantum Dots through the Charge Transfer Effect of Functional Groups, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 1239–1245.
- 49 M. A. Sk, A. Ananthanarayanan, L. Huang, K. H. Lim and P. Chen, Revealing the tunable photoluminescence properties of graphene quantum dots, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 6954–6960.
- 50 B. Zhi, *et al.*, Multicolor polymeric carbon dots: synthesis, separation and polyamidesupported molecular fluorescence, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 2441–2455.
- 51 M. Fu, F. Ehrat, Y. Wang, K. Z. Milowska, C. Reckmeier, A. L. Rogach, J. K. Stolarczyk, A. S. Urban and J. Feldmann, Carbon Dots: A Unique Fluorescent Cocktail of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 6030–6035.
- 52 N. V. Tepliakov, E. V. Kundelev, P. D. Khavlyuk, Y. Xiong, M. Y. Leonov, W. Zhu, A. V. Baranov, A. V. Fedorov, A. L. Rogach and I. D. Rukhlenko, sp²–sp³-Hybridized Atomic Domains Determine Optical Features of Carbon Dots, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 10737–10744.
- 53 T. T. Meiling, R. Schurmann, S. Vogel, K. Ebel, C. Nicolas, A. R. Milosavljević and I. Bald, Photophysics and Chemistry of Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanodots with High Photoluminescence Quantum Yield, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 10217–10230.



- 54 N. R. Pires, C. M. W. Santos, R. R. Sousa, R. C. M. D. Paula, P. L. R. Cunha and J. P. A. Feitosa, Novel and Fast Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Carbon Quantum Dots from Raw Cashew Gum, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **26**, 1274–1282.
- 55 N. Parvin and T. K. Mandal, Synthesis of a highly fluorescence nitrogen-doped carbon quantum dots bioimaging probe and its in vivo clearance and printing applications, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 18134–18140.
- 56 J. Zhang, X. Chen, Y. Li, S. Han, Y. Du and H. Liu, A nitrogen doped carbon quantum dot-enhanced chemiluminescence method for the determination of Mn^{2+} , *Anal. Methods*, 2018, **10**, 541–547.
- 57 X. Liu, J. Pang, F. Xu and X. Zhang, Simple Approach to Synthesize AminoFunctionalized Carbon Dots by Carbonization of Chitosan, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 31100.
- 58 Y. Dong, L. Wan, J. Cai, Q. Fang, Y. Chi and G. Chen, Natural carbon-based dots from humic substances, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 10037.
- 59 M. Xue, Z. Zhan, M. Zou, L. Zhang and S. Zhao, Green synthesis of stable and biocompatible fluorescent carbon dots from peanut shells for multicolor living cell imaging, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, **40**, 1698–1703.
- 60 X. Guo, H. Zhang, H. Sun, M. O. Tade and S. Wang, Green Synthesis of Carbon Quantum Dots for Sensitized Solar Cells, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2017, **1**, 116–119.
- 61 P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Inhomogeneous Electron Gas, *Phys. Rev.*, 1964, **136**, B864–B871.
- 62 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133–A1138.
- 63 P. O. Dral and T. Clark, Semiempirical UNO–CAS and UNO–CI: Method and Applications in Nanoelectronics, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 11303–11312.
- 64 A. V. Vorontsov and E. V. Tretyakov, Determination of graphene's edge energy using hexagonal graphene quantum dots and PM7 method, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 14740–14752.
- 65 J. J. P. Stewart, Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods VI: more modifications to the NDDO approximations and re-optimization of parameters, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2013, **19**, 1–32.
- 66 M. F. Budyka, Semiempirical study on the absorption spectra of the coronene-like molecular models of graphene quantum dots, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **207**, 1–5.
- 67 J. T. Margraf, V. Strauss, D. M. Guldi and T. Clark, The Electronic Structure of Amorphous Carbon Nanodots. The, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2015, **119**, 7258–7265.
- 68 M. Elstner, D. Porezag, G. Jungnickel, J. Elsner, M. Haugk, T. Frauenheim, S. Suhai and G. Seifert, Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1998, **58**, 7260–7268.
- 69 G. Seifert, Tight-Binding Density Functional Theory: An Approximate KohnSham DFT Scheme, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2007, **111**, 5609–5613.
- 70 G. Seifert, D. Porezag and T. Frauenheim, Calculations of molecules, clusters, and solids with a simplified LCAO-DFT-LDA scheme, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1996, **58**, 185–192.
- 71 A. F. Oliveira, G. Seifert, T. Heine and H. A. Duarte, Density-functional based tightbinding: an approximate DFT method, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **20**, 1193–1205.
- 72 A. J. Page, H. Yamane, Y. Ohta, S. Irle and K. Morokuma, QM/MD Simulation of SWNT Nucleation on Transition-Metal Carbide Nanoparticles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15699–15707.
- 73 T. Lei, W. Guo, Q. Liu, H. Jiao, D.-B. Cao, B. Teng, Y.-W. Li, X. Liu and X.-D. Wen, Mechanism of Graphene Formation via Detonation Synthesis: A DFTB Nanoreactor Approach, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2019, **15**, 3654–3665.
- 74 V. Botu and R. Ramprasad, Adaptive machine learning framework to accelerate ab initio molecular dynamics, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2015, **115**, 1074–1083.
- 75 T. M. Mitchell, *Machine learning*, McGraw-hill New York, 1997; vol. 1.
- 76 D.-M. Koh, N. Papanikolaou, U. Bick, R. Illing, C. E. Kahn, J. Kalpathi-Cramer, C. Matos, L. Marti-Bonmati, A. Miles, S. K. Mun, S. Napel, A. Rockall, E. Sala, N. Strickland and F. Prior, Artificial intelligence and machine learning in cancer imaging, *Commun. Med.*, 2022, **2**, 133.
- 77 E. Cambria, B. White and N. L. P. Jumping, Curves: A Review of Natural Language Processing Research [Review Article]. Computational Intelligence Magazine, *IEEE Comput. Intell. Magaz.*, 2014, **9**, 48–57.
- 78 C. Jin, H. Yu, J. Ke, P. Ding, Y. Yi, X. Jiang, X. Duan, J. Tang, D. T. Chang, X. Wu, F. Gao and R. Li, Predicting treatment response from longitudinal images using multi-task deep learning, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1851.
- 79 S. Kalasin, P. Sangnuang and W. Surareungchai, Lab-on-Eyeglasses to Monitor Kidneys and Strengthen Vulnerable Populations in Pandemics: Machine Learning in Predicting Serum Creatinine Using Tear Creatinine, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 10661–10671.
- 80 D. Kiyasseh, T. Zhu and D. Clifton, A clinical deep learning framework for continually learning from cardiac signals across diseases, time, modalities, and institutions, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4221.
- 81 Y. Xie, C. Zhang, X. Hu, C. Zhang, S. P. Kelley, J. L. Atwood and J. Lin, Machine Learning Assisted Synthesis of Metal–Organic Nanocapsules, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 1475–1481.
- 82 Y. Han, B. Tang, L. Wang, H. Bao, Y. Lu, C. Guan, L. Zhang, M. Le, Z. Liu and M. Wu, Machine-Learning-Driven Synthesis of Carbon Dots with Enhanced Quantum Yields, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 14761–14768.
- 83 X. Wang, B. Wang, H. Wang, T. Zhang, H. Qi, Z. Wu, Y. Ma, H. Huang, M. Shao, Y. Liu, Y. Li and Z. Kang, Carbon-Dot-Based White-Light-Emitting Diodes with Adjustable Correlated Color Temperature Guided by Machine Learning, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 12585–12590.
- 84 A. W. Senior, *et al.*, Improved protein structure prediction using potentials from deep learning, *Nature*, 2020, **577**, 706–710.



- 85 J. Im, S. Lee, T.-W. Ko, H. W. Kim, Y. Hyon and H. Chang, Identifying Pb-free perovskites for solar cells by machine learning. *npj Computational, Materials*, 2019, **5**, 37.
- 86 H. Jin, H. Zhang, J. Li, T. Wang, L. Wan, H. Guo and Y. Wei, Discovery of Novel TwoDimensional Photovoltaic Materials Accelerated by Machine Learning. *The, J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 3075–3081.
- 87 D. K. Pradhan, S. Kumari, E. Strelcov, D. K. Pradhan, R. S. Katiyar, S. V. Kalinin, N. Laanait and R. K. Vasudevan, Reconstructing phase diagrams from local measurements via Gaussian processes: mapping the temperature-composition space to confidence, *npj Comput. Mater.*, 2018, **4**, 23.
- 88 J. Timoshenko, D. Lu, Y. Lin and A. I. Frenkel, Supervised Machine-Learning-Based Determination of Three-Dimensional Structure of Metallic Nanoparticles, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 5091–5098.
- 89 K. Takahashi and L. Takahashi, Creating Machine Learning-Driven Material Recipes Based on Crystal Structure, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 283–288.
- 90 Q. Zhou, S. Lu, Y. Wu and J. Wang, Property-Oriented Material Design Based on a Data-Driven Machine Learning Technique, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 3920–3927.
- 91 H. Sahu and H. Ma, Unraveling Correlations between Molecular Properties and Device Parameters of Organic Solar Cells Using Machine Learning, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 7277–7284.
- 92 D. Li, X. Li, Y. Zhang, L. Sun and S. Yuan, Four Methods to Estimate Minimum Miscibility Pressure of CO₂-Oil Based on Machine Learning, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2019, **37**, 1271–1278.
- 93 B. Sanchez-Lengeling and A. Aspuru-Guzik, Inverse molecular design using machine learning: Generative models for matter engineering, *Science*, 2018, **361**, 360–365.
- 94 J. Noh, J. Kim, H. S. Stein, B. Sanchez-Lengeling, J. M. Gregoire, A. AspuruGuzik and Y. Jung, Inverse Design of Solid-State Materials via a Continuous Representation, *Matter*, 2019, **1**, 1370–1384.
- 95 Z. Yao, B. Sanchez-Lengeling, N. S. Bobbitt, B. J. Bucior, S. G. H. Kumar, S. P. Collins, T. Burns, T. K. Woo, O. K. Farha, R. Q. Snurr and A. Aspuru-Guzik, Inverse design of nanoporous crystalline reticular materials with deep generative models, *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, 2021, **3**, 76–86.
- 96 Y. Ma, T. Jin, R. Choudhury, Q. Cheng, Y. Miao, C. Zheng, W. Min and Y. Yang, Understanding the Correlation between Lithium Dendrite Growth and Local Material Properties by Machine Learning, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2021, **168**, 090523.
- 97 J. Zhu, Z. Ren and C. Lee, Toward Healthcare Diagnoses by Machine-Learning-Enabled Volatile Organic Compound Identification, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 894–903.
- 98 H. Tao, T. Wu, M. Aldeghi, T. C. Wu, A. Aspuru-Guzik and E. Kumacheva, Nanoparticle synthesis assisted by machine learning, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2021, **6**, 701–716.
- 99 B. Bartolomei, J. Dosso and M. Prato, New trends in nonconventional carbon dot synthesis, *Trends Chem.*, 2021, **3**, 943–953.
- 100 C.-T. Chen and G. X. Gu, *Mach. Learn. Compos. Mater.*, 2019, **9**, 556–566.
- 101 M. Huang, Z. Li and H. Zhu, Recent Advances of Graphene and Related Materials in Artificial Intelligence, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2022, **4**, 2200077.
- 102 M. Langer, M. Paloncýová, M. Medveď, M. Pykal, D. Nachtigallová, B. Shi, A. J. Aquino, H. Lischka and M. Otyepka, Progress and challenges in understanding of photoluminescence properties of carbon dots based on theoretical computations, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2021, **22**, 100924.
- 103 N. Munyebvu, E. Lane, E. Grisan and P. D. Howes, Accelerating colloidal quantum dot innovation with algorithms and automation, *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, **3**, 6950–6967.
- 104 J. Peng, R. Muhammad, S.-L. Wang and H.-Z. Zhong, How Machine Learning Accelerates the Development of Quantum Dots? †, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 181–188.
- 105 M. Tamtaji, A. Tyagi, C. Y. You, P. R. Galligan, H. Liu, Z. Liu, R. Karimi, Y. Cai, A. P. Roxas, H. Wong and Z. Luo, Singlet Oxygen Photosensitization Using Graphene-Based Structures and Immobilized Dyes: A Review, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2021, **4**, 7563–7586.
- 106 M.-X. Zhu, T. Deng, L. Dong, J.-M. Chen and Z.-M. Dang, Review of machine learningdriven design of polymer-based dielectrics, *IET Nanodielectrics*, 2022, **5**, 24–38.
- 107 S. A. Armida, D. Ebrahimibagha, M. Ray and S. Datta, Assessing thermoelectric performance of quasi 0D carbon and polyaniline nanocomposites using machine learning, *Adv. Compos. Mater.*, 2023, 1–23.
- 108 Q. Zhang, Y. Tao, B. Tang, J. Yang, H. Liang, B. Wang, J. Wang, X. Jiang, L. Ji and S. Li, Graphene Quantum Dots with Improved Fluorescence Activity via Machine Learning: Implications for Fluorescence Monitoring, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 2728–2737.
- 109 V. S. Tuchin, E. A. Stepanidenko, A. A. Vedernikova, S. A. Cherevko, D. Li, L. Li, A. Döring, M. Otyepka, E. V. Ushakova and A. L. Rogach, Optical Properties Prediction for Red and Near-Infrared Emitting Carbon Dots Using Machine Learning, *Small*, 2024, 2310402.
- 110 A. Döring, Y. Qiu and A. L. Rogach, Improving the Accuracy of Carbon Dot Temperature Sensing Using Multi-Dimensional Machine Learning, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2024, **7**, 2258–2269.
- 111 J. Chen, J. B. Luo, M. Y. Hu, J. Zhou, C. Z. Huang and H. Liu, Controlled Synthesis of Multicolor Carbon Dots Assisted by Machine Learning, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **33**, 2210095.
- 112 S. Pandit, T. Banerjee, I. Srivastava, S. Nie and D. Pan, Machine Learning-Assisted Array-Based Biomolecular Sensing Using Surface-Functionalized Carbon Dots, *ACS Sens.*, 2019, **4**, 2730–2737.
- 113 X.-Y. Wang, B.-B. Chen, J. Zhang, Z.-R. Zhou, J. Lv, X.-P. Geng and R.-C. Qian, Exploiting deep learning for predictable carbon dot design, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 532–535.
- 114 R. D. Senanayake, X. Yao, C. E. Froehlich, M. S. Cahill, T. R. Sheldon, M. McIntire, C. L. Haynes and R. Hernandez, Machine Learning-Assisted Carbon Dot Synthesis: Prediction



- of Emission Color and Wavelength, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 2022, **62**, 5918–5928.
- 115 N. Tuccitto, L. Fichera, R. Ruffino, V. Cantaro, G. Sfuncia, G. Nicotra, G. T. Sfrassetto, G. Li-Destri, A. Valenti, A. Licciardello and A. Torrisi, Carbon Quantum Dots as Fluorescence Nanochemosensors for Selective Detection of Amino Acids, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2021, **4**, 6250–6256.
- 116 M. Yahaya Pudza, Z. Zainal Abidin, S. Abdul Rashid, F. Md Yasin, A. S. Noor and M. A. Issa, Sustainable Synthesis Processes for Carbon Dots through Response Surface Methodology and Artificial Neural Network, *Processes*, 2019, **7**, 704.
- 117 A. Döring, Y. Qiu and A. L. Rogach, Utilizing Deep Learning to Enhance Optical Sensing of Ethanol Content Based on Luminescent Carbon Dots, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 11208–11218.
- 118 B. Tang, Y. Lu, J. Zhou, T. Chouhan, H. Wang, P. Golani, M. Xu, Q. Xu, C. Guan and Z. Liu, Machine learning-guided synthesis of advanced inorganic materials, *Mater. Today*, 2020, **41**, 72–80.
- 119 Q. Hong, X.-Y. Wang, Y.-T. Gao, J. Lv, B.-B. Chen, D.-W. Li and R.-C. Qian, Customized Carbon Dots with Predictable Optical Properties Synthesized at Room Temperature Guided by Machine Learning, *Chem. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 998–1009.
- 120 X. Wang, S. Chen, Y. Ma, T. Zhang, Y. Zhao, T. He, H. Huang, S. Zhang, J. Rong, C. Shi, K. Tang, Y. Liu and Z. Kang, Continuous Homogeneous Catalytic Oxidation of C–H Bonds by Metal-Free Carbon Dots with a Poly(ascorbic acid) Structure, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 26682–26689.
- 121 J. Chen, M. Zhang, Z. Xu, R. Ma and Q. Shi, Machine-learning analysis to predict the fluorescence quantum yield of carbon quantum dots in biochar, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2023, **896**, 165136.
- 122 C. Xing, G. Chen, X. Zhu, J. An, J. Bao, X. Wang, X. Zhou, X. Du and X. Xu, Synthesis of carbon dots with predictable photoluminescence by the aid of machine learning, *Nano Res.*, 2024, **17**, 1984–1989.
- 123 H. He, S. E. L. Ai, X. Wang, J. Yao, C. He and B. Cheng, Exploiting machine learning for controlled synthesis of carbon dots-based corrosion inhibitors, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2023, **419**, 138210.
- 124 A. Dager, T. Uchida, T. Maekawa and M. Tachibana, Synthesis and characterization of Mono-disperse Carbon Quantum Dots from Fennel Seeds: Photoluminescence analysis using Machine Learning, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 14004.
- 125 Z. Xu, Z. Wang, M. Liu, B. Yan, X. Ren and Z. Gao, Machine learning assisted dual-channel carbon quantum dots-based fluorescence sensor array for detection of tetracyclines, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2020, **232**, 118147.
- 126 T. Chen and C. Guestrin XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. New York, NY, USA, 2016; pp 785–794.
- 127 O. A. Maslova, G. Guimbretière, M. R. Ammar, L. Desgranges, C. Jégou, A. Canizarès and P. Simon, Raman imaging and principal component analysis-based data processing on uranium oxide ceramics, *Mater. Charact.*, 2017, **129**, 260–269.
- 128 J. Felten, H. Hall, J. Jaumot, R. Tauler, A. de Juan and A. Gorzšás, Vibrational spectroscopic image analysis of biological material using multivariate curve resolution-alternating least squares (MCR-ALS), *Nat. Protoc.*, 2015, **10**, 217–240.
- 129 M. Shiga, K. Tatsumi, S. Muto, K. Tsuda, Y. Yamamoto, T. Mori and T. Tanji, Sparse modeling of EELS and EDX spectral imaging data by nonnegative matrix factorization, *Ultramicroscopy*, 2016, **170**, 43–59.
- 130 P. Yan, X. Li, Y. Dong, B. Li and Y. Wu, A pH-based sensor array for the detection and identification of proteins using CdSe/ZnS quantum dots as an indicator, *Analyst*, 2019, **144**, 2891–2897.
- 131 L.-L. Li, X. Zhao, M.-L. Tseng and R. R. Tan, Short-term wind power forecasting based on support vector machine with improved dragonfly algorithm, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2020, **242**, 118447.

