

Environmental Science Nano

Reversible Fe(II) Uptake/Release by Magnetite Nanoparticles

Journal:	Environmental Science: Nano
Manuscript ID	EN-ART-03-2018-000328.R3
Article Type:	Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Jun-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Peng, Huan; China University of Geosciences Pearce, Carolyn; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Huang, Weifeng; Peking University Zhu, Zhenli; China University of Geosciences N'Diaye, Alpha T. ; Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Rosso, Kevin; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Liu, Juan; Peking University, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

The coexistence of magnetite and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ is common in anoxic subsurface environments and can have a great influence on important biogeochemical redox processes. This study demonstrates that the flow direction of electron equivalents that in the form of Fe(II) across the magnetite-solution interface changes in a predictable fashion by altering solution pH, background $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration, and magnetite loading. The equilibrium distribution of Fe(II) in the magnetite-solution system, across a range of conditions relevant to natural aquatic environments, can significantly change the stoichiometry and reactivity of magnetite nanoparticles, and also may influence redox-cycling, contaminant transformation, and microbial extracellular respiration in surrounding environments.

1	Reversible Fe(II) Uptake/Release by Magnetite Nanoparticles
2	Huan Peng ^{1, 2} , Carolyn I. Pearce ³ , Weifeng Huang ⁴ , Zhenli Zhu ^{2, *} , Alpha T. N'Diaye ⁵ ,
3	Kevin M. Rosso ³ , Juan Liu ^{1, 6, *}
4	¹ The Key Laboratory of Water and Sediment Sciences, Ministry of Education, College of
5	Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
6	² State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, School of Earth
7	Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China
8	³ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA
9	⁴ College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
10	⁵ Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
11	⁶ Beijing Key Laboratory of Mineral Environmental Function, Peking University,
12	Beijing 100871, China
13	To be submitted to Environmental Science: Nano
14	* Corresponding authors:
15	J. L.
16	Address: College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing
17	100871, China
18	Email: juan.liu@pku.edu.cn
19	Z. Z.
20	Address: State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, School of Earth
21	Sciences, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China
22	Email: <u>zlzhu@cug.edu.cn</u>

23	ABSTRACT:	

Magnetite commonly coexists with aqueous Fe^{2+} ($Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$) in anoxic subsurface environments. Complex interactions between magnetite and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ profoundly impact redox potential fluctuations in surrounding environment and biogeochemical cycles of important elements and contaminants. However, the ability of magnetite to act as a source/sink of electron equivalents through fluctuations in solution pH or the activity of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ remains poorly quantified. We systematically studied the interrelationships between equilibrium Fe²⁺_(aq) concentrations and structural versus surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios in magnetite using micro X-ray diffraction and synchrotron-based X-ray magnetic circular dichroism, respectively, under different controlled experimental conditions. Relative to pH 7, at pH 6 proton-promoted dissolution yields Fe²⁺_(aq) release from magnetite nanoparticles, coupled to a decrease in the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio by electron hopping along the octahedral sublattice from the particle interior to the surface. At pH 8, magnetite sorbs $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, increasing both the structural and surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio. Amendments of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ inhibit acidic $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release and promote $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake at more basic conditions, whereas increasing magnetite loading facilitates $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ -magnetite interaction at the same respective pH extremes. The reversible flow of Fe(II) across the magnetite-solution interface under different conditions implies that the redox reactivity of magnetite nanoparticles is quickly responsive to changes in environmental conditions, such as an increase in pH due to groundwater passing through carbonate-rich rocks, via a dynamic redistribution of electron equivalents between particle interiors and the solid/water interface.

44	In anoxic aquifers, magnetite is one of the most common Fe(II)-containing minerals, playing an
45	important role in a variety of biogeochemical processes, including immobilization of heavy metals
46	and radioactive elements, ^{1, 2} degradation or sorption of organic/inorganic contaminants, ³ and
47	supplying electrons for microbial respiration. ⁴ Naturally occurring magnetite nanoparticles (NPs)
48	can be generated via various biotic or abiotic processes. ⁵⁻⁷ In addition, increasing interests in the
49	use of magnetite NPs for environmental treatments,8 biomedical applications,9 and many other
50	industrial implications, may lead to the unintentional release of engineered magnetite NPs into the
51	environment. Therefore, it is important to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the
52	transformation and reactivity of magnetite NPs in complex environmental systems.
53	The coexistence of magnetite and aqueous Fe^{2+} ($Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$) is quite common in anoxic aquifers, ³ ,
54	¹⁰ as a result of various biogeochemical processes, such as the weathering of Fe(II)-bearing
55	minerals, abiotic reduction of Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides, and dissimilatory iron reduction. ¹¹⁻¹³

Previous studies have suggested that the presence of Fe²⁺_(aq) may significantly influence the stoichiometry, reactivity, and recrystallization of magnetite NPs in aqueous environment.^{3, 14-16} The reaction of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ with magnetite NPs is of especially great interest in the field of environmental remediation, because the presence of magnetite NPs can distinctly enhance the reactivity of Fe²⁺_(aq) toward contaminant reduction.^{3, 14, 17, 18} Also, Fe²⁺_(aq) may react with magnetite surface and change mobility or redox state of heavy metals.^{19, 20} Despite its importance, molecular mechanisms of the interaction between $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ and magnetite NPs have not been developed, in part due to the complexity of the magnetite/solution interface.¹⁹

To date, previous studies of the heterogeneous reactions between $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ and iron oxides

Environmental Science: Nano

65	mainly focused on Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides, such as goethite and hematite. ²¹⁻²⁵ However,
66	magnetite, as a mixed-valent iron oxide, can be expected to react with $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ differently from
67	Fe(III)-(oxyhydr)oxides, given its high electron mobility and facile topotactic interconversion with
68	oxidized end-member maghemite. ^{1, 2, 26} Previous work focused on sorption isotherms and surface
69	complexation models of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ on magnetite, as well as the reduction of contaminants by ferrous
70	ions "sorbed on the magnetite surface". ²⁷ However, recent experimental findings revealed that, in
71	low temperature (<100 $^{\circ}$ C) aqueous systems, interfacial electron transfer occurs between sorbed
72	Fe(II) and lattice Fe(III) in the underlying magnetite, followed by bulk electron conduction and the
73	release of ferrous ions from other surface sites, i.e., atom exchange. ²⁷ In addition, Gorski et al.
74	(2009) reported that the uptake of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ by partially oxidized magnetite at pH 7.2 increased the
75	Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in the bulk magnetite structure and the corresponding reactivity of magnetite
76	NPs.3 However, the previous studies in this regard only examined a very limited range of
77	conditions, such as a fixed magnetite loading at pH 7.2. ^{3, 14} The extent of $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ -magnetite
78	interaction and magnetite reactivity can be expected to vary substantially in response to fluctuating
79	environmental conditions. For example, we have demonstrated in our previous work that the
80	extent of Fe(II) release from (titano)magnetite decreases with increasing pH (pH = $6 - 8$) but
81	increases with the content of Ti substitution at a fixed pH. ^{1, 4, 26} Thus, to completely understand the
82	role of magnetite NPs in natural environment, further studies are needed to systematically examine
83	the correlation between the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite structure and environmental variables.
84	Here we investigate the effects of solution pH, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ amendment, and magnetite loading on
85	the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, which together control the redox reactivity of magnetite NPs. In particular,
86	we take advantage of sophisticated methods that we established in prior work to quantify the

87	Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in particle interiors versus that in the outermost few Ångstroms of particle
88	surfaces. ^{1, 26} Micro X-ray Diffraction (μ XRD) was performed on anoxic NP aqueous suspensions
89	before and after reactions with $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ to infer the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio on the basis of the
90	measured cell parameter. Synchrotron-based Fe L-edge X-ray absorption (XA) and magnetic
91	circular dichroism (XMCD) spectroscopies were used to probe the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio at the
92	surface and to distinguish tetrahedral and octahedral Fe(II) and Fe(III). ²⁸ Corresponding
93	equilibrium concentrations of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ in NP suspensions under various experimental conditions
94	were measured using wet chemical analysis. Through systematic study of the relationship between
95	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration in solution and structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) in the bulk and at surfaces, reversible
96	uptake and release of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ to and from sites in the magnetite structure was assessed as a
97	function of solution pH, $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ amendment, and magnetite loading. The findings provided
98	insights into the equilibrium distribution of electron equivalents in the form of Fe(II) in the
99	magnetite-solution system, across a range of conditions relevant to natural aquatic environments.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Magnetite Synthesis and Characterization.

Details about the chemical reagents and the anoxic glovebox used in this study are described in the Supporting Information (Section S1). Magnetite NPs were synthesized by a method modified from Pearce et al 2012,²⁶ via co-precipitating a stoichiometric mixture of 0.1 M FeCl₂·4H₂O and 0.2 M FeCl₃·6H₂O (Fe²⁺:Fe³⁺ mole ratio was 1:2) in the presence of ammonium (NH₄OH) solution in the glovebox. With continuous stirring, a N₂-sparged ammonium solution (28% w/v) was added dropwise to the chloride solution at ~ 60 °C, until precipitation was

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50 51	
51 52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

109	complete (pH = 9-11). After that, the suspension was continuously stirred for another 30 min.
110	Finally, the NPs were magnetically separated from the suspension and then washed three times
111	with degassed and deionized water (DDW) to remove excess iron salts. After the washing process,
112	the NPs were re-suspended in DDW and stored inside the glovebox in dark. More details about the
113	characterization of magnetite stoichiometry, NP concentration in the stock suspension, crystalline
114	phase, particle size and morphology, as well as specific surface area (SSA) are described in
115	Section S1.
116	

117 **2.2.** Heterogeneous Reactions between Fe²⁺_(aq) and Magnetite NPs.

Concentration changes of dissolved ferrous ions ($[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$) in the suspensions of 69 - 695 mg 118 119 L^{-1} magnetite NPs (the equivalent Fe(II) concentration of 300 - 3000 μ M) buffered at pH 6 - 8 with 0 - 1000 μ M added Fe²⁺_(aq) over time were measured. The buffer solution at pH 6 was 30 mM 120 121 MES solution, and that at pH 7 and 8 was 30 mM HEPES solution. Speciation distribution of 250 - 1000 μM $Fe^{^{2+}}{}_{(aq)}$ in the buffer solution at pH 6 - 8 was calculated by Visual MINTEQ (Version 122 123 3.1, Jon Petter Gustafsson, KHT, Div. of Land and Water Resources Engineering, Stockholm, 124 Sweden). The results indicate that there is no significant difference in the speciation distribution of $Fe^{2+}_{\ (aq)}$ over the concentration range (0 - 1000 $\mu M)$ studied (Table S1). The reaction between 125 Fe²⁺_(aq) and magnetite NPs was initiated by spiking a given volume of magnetite stock suspension 126 127 to a buffer solution at the required pH and in presence of FeSO₄ with a desired concentration in 10 128 mL sealed bottles. The reactors were continuously shaken using a rotating overhead shaker at a 129 speed of 10 rpm during reactions. Sample aliquots were taken over time and filtered using 0.22 130 μ m syringe filters. [Fe²⁺_(aq)] in the filtrates were determined by adding 0.2 mL of the filtrate to 1.8

mL ferrozine reagent (1 g L⁻¹ ferrozine in 30 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.0) and then measuring the absorbance at 562 nm by using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2501PC). All experiments were carried out at least in triplicates. The activity coefficient of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ in the pH 7.0 buffer solution for thermodynamic calculation was 0.6, which was calculated using Extended Debye-Huckel model (Visual Minteg software, v3.0).

2.3. Micro X-ray Diffraction (µXRD).

Crystalline phase and cell parameters of synthetic NPs before and after reaction with added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ were determined by μ XRD using a Rigaku D/Max Rapid II instrument with a MicroMax 007HF generator fitted with a rotating Cr anode ($\lambda = 2.2897$ Å) and a 2D image plate detector. The XRD patterns were analyzed using JADE 9.0 from Materials Data Inc., and the PDF4+ database from ICSD. Samples for μ XRD measurements were prepared by loading the concentrated NP suspensions into boron-rich 0.5 mm O.D. capillary tubes (Charles Supper Company) in the glovebox, and then sealing the capillaries with capillary wax (Charles Supper Company) to keep samples under anoxic conditions and in aqueous suspensions during measurements. The cell parameter of magnetite was obtained by fitting all strong diffraction peaks in XRD patterns using the pseudo-Voigt profile shape function. Based on the linear relationship between cell parameter and structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite,²⁶ the change of structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite NPs after reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ was determined from the unit cell parameters measured using µXRD. The details of data processing and determination of cell parameters and structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios were described previously.²⁶

2.4. X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism (XMCD).

To compare oxidation state and local structure of magnetically ordered iron cations at surface of magnetite NPs before and after the reactions with Fe²⁺_(aq), synchrotron XMCD spectra of magnetite NPs were collected at room temperature on beamline 6.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Berkeley, CA. Samples were prepared by drying aliquots of the NP suspensions onto carbon tape attached to the sample manipulator in an anoxic cabinet, which were maintained under anoxic conditions until immediately prior to XMCD measurements. X-ray absorption (XA) spectra at the Fe L_{2,3} edges was collected in total-electron yield (TEY) mode with an effective probing depth of ~ 4.5 nm.²⁹ At each energy point, XA spectra were measured for two opposite magnetization directions by reversing the applied field of 0.4 T. The XMCD spectrum was obtained as the difference between these two XA spectra after normalization to the incident beam intensity.³⁰ The ratio of Fe(II) in octahedral coordination to Fe(III) in both tetrahedral and octahedral coordination was further calculated from XMCD spectra by means of a nonlinear least-squares analysis as described previously.^{1, 4, 26}

3. Results and Discussion

The XRD pattern (Fig. S1) showed that the synthetic NPs were pure magnetite, and the representative TEM images (Fig. S2) illustrated that they were ~ 10 nm in size and nearly spherical in shape. The BET result indicated that the specific surface area of the synthetic NPs was 55.7 m^2/g . These characteristics are in good agreement with the properties of synthetic magnetite NPs reported in our previous studies.^{1, 2, 4}

3.1. pH Effects on Fe²⁺(aq)-Magnetite Interaction.

Figure 1A shows $Fe^{2+}_{(ac)}$ release from magnetite as a function of time in suspensions of 695 mg L⁻¹ magnetite NPs ([Fe(II)] equivalent = 3 mM) at pH 6 - 8 without amended $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$. The measured [Fe²⁺_(aq)] was nearly unchanged at pH 8 during the 24-hr experiment, but at pH 6 - 7 $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ gradually increased with time and reached a plateau (~550 μ M at pH 6 and ~250 μ M at pH 7) after about 120 minutes. The results indicate that more $Fe_{(aq)}^{2+}$ ions were released from magnetite at a lower pH, which is consistent with the results of our previous study.¹ The stock suspension of synthetic magnetite NPs naturally equilibrated to pH ~8.5 after washing processes.²⁶ When an aliquot of the stock suspension was spiked into the buffer solution at pH 6 or 7, magnetite NPs were transferred from the stock suspension at pH \sim 8.5 to a buffer solution at a lower pH. The sudden pH decrease resulted in the release of $Fe^{2+}_{(ad)}$ from magnetite NPs into the aqueous phase due to the proton-promoted dissolution, as described by the equation:^{1,31}

187
$$\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}\operatorname{Fe}^{2+}\operatorname{O}_{4}^{2-} + 2\operatorname{H}^{+} \to \frac{3}{4}\operatorname{Fe}^{3+}\operatorname{Fe}_{5/_{3}}^{3+} \Box_{1/_{3}}\operatorname{O}_{4}^{2-} + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O} + \operatorname{Fe}^{2+}$$
(1)

The symbol \Box represents a cationic vacancy due to diffusive migration of iron cations out of the octahedral sublattice. When the NP stock suspension was added to the buffer solution at pH 8, the pH change was insignificant, and accordingly a negligible amount of Fe²⁺_(aq) was released from magnetite.

When the 695 mg L⁻¹ magnetite suspension was added to the pH 6 - 8 buffer solutions amended with 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq), the time-dependent concentrations of Fe²⁺_(aq) (Fig. 1B) were obviously different from those without Fe²⁺_(aq) amendment (Fig. 1A). In the pH 6 buffer solution amended with 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq), the values of [Fe²⁺_(aq)] increased with time and reached a stable

Environmental Science: Nano

196	value at ~1430 μM after ~ 60 min. Considering 1000 μM $Fe^{2+}_{\ (aq)}$ was initially added, 430 μM
197	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ were released from 695 mg L ⁻¹ magnetite NPs under this condition, which was noticeably
198	less than the value (550 μ M) from magnetite without added Fe ²⁺ _(aq) . As indicated by Equation (1)
199	and Le Chatelier's principle, increasing the initial concentration/activity of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ can inhibit the
200	extent of magnetite dissolution and thus decrease the amount of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ released from magnetite.
201	In the pH 7 buffer solution amended with 1000 μ M Fe ²⁺ _(aq) , [Fe ²⁺ _(aq)] slightly decreased with
202	time and reached a stable value at ~900 μ M after about 10 min (Fig. 1B), indicating the uptake of
203	~100 μ M Fe ²⁺ _(aq) by 695 mg L ⁻¹ magnetite NPs. Thus, the addition of 1000 μ M Fe ²⁺ _(aq) to the 695
204	mg L ⁻¹ magnetite suspension at pH 7 changed the dominant reaction from $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release to $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$
205	uptake. At pH = 8, [Fe ²⁺ _(aq)] fluctuated around 400 μ M during the 24-hr experiment, suggesting an
206	instant uptake of ~600 μM $Fe^{2+}_{\ (aq)}$ by 695 mg $L^{\text{-1}}$ magnetite NPs. The results indicate that the
207	presence of 1000 μ M Fe ²⁺ _(aq) inhibited Fe(II) release from magnetite at pH 6 and also promoted
208	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake at pH 7-8. The addition of 1000 $\mu M \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7 not only changed the dominant
209	interfacial reaction from $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release to $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake, but also shortened the time needed to
210	reach equilibrium from ~120 min to ~60 min (Fig. 1).

Figure 1 also shows that, under the experimental conditions in this study, the concentrations of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ reached a plateau in less than 24 hours. Previous studies also reported that the suspensions of iron oxide, such as magnetite, hematite, and goethite, reached equilibrium after 24-hour reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$.^{3, 32} Thus, equilibrium concentrations of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ in magnetite suspensions under different experimental conditions (pH = 6 - 8; initial $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentrations = 0 - 1000 μ M; NP loadings = 300 - 3000 μ M [Fe(II)] equivalent) were measured after 24-hour reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ (Fig. S3). To compare reaction extents in different experiments, the

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
23 24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
43 44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
55 54
55
56
57
58
59
60

218	difference between equilibrium concentration ($[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{equilibrium}$) and initial concentration
219	$([Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{initial})$ of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, named as $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ $(\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}] = [Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{equilibrium} - [Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{initial})$, was
220	plotted as a function of NP loadings in Figure 2. Without added $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$, the values of $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ in
221	the systems with a fixed magnetite loading showed the order: pH 6 > pH 7 > pH 8 \approx 0 (Fig. 2A).
222	As mentioned above, the lower pH facilitated proton-promoted dissolution of magnetite, resulting
223	in more $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ released. Moreover, no matter how much $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ was initially added or what
224	magnetite loading was used, $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ was always positive at pH 6 (Fig. 2B), confirming that
225	proton-promoted dissolution was the dominant interfacial reaction at pH 6. On the contrary, at pH
226	8 and in the presence of added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ values were all negative, indicating that uptake
227	of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ by magnetite was the dominant interfacial reaction in the pH 8 buffer solution amended
228	with $\operatorname{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ (Fig. 2D).

The tipping point in $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ in our system was found to coincide at pH 7, where $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ 229 could be positive or negative depending on magnetite loading and initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ (Fig. 2C). The 230 results suggest that the dominant reaction between magnetite and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7 could be 231 switched between $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake simply by changing the ratio of initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ 232 (C₀) to magnetite loading (C_{Mt}). When the C₀/C_{Mt} ratio was less than ~0.5, Fe²⁺_(aq) release from 233 magnetite NPs was the dominant reaction (Fig. 3). Otherwise, $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake was more favorable. 234 The potential explanation for the reversible direction of electron equivalents (Fe $^{2+}_{(aq)}$) across the 235 236 magnetite-solution interface at pH 7 will be discussed in section 3.4. The change of interfacial 237 reactions in the range of pH 6 - 8 indicates that solution pH can significantly impact the 238 distribution of electron equivalents between solution and magnetite, influencing the reductive 239 reactivity of magnetite NPs.

3.2. Effects of NP Loading and Initial [Fe²⁺_(aq)] on Fe²⁺_(aq)-Magnetite Interaction.

When pH and initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ were same, the absolute values of $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ increased linearly with the increase of magnetite loading from 300 to 3000 µM at pH 6 and pH 8 (Figure 2). As discussed above, the dominant reaction at pH 6 was proton-promoted dissolution of magnetite, which involves the initial binding of protons to surface oxygen atoms, weakening bridging Fe-O bonds, and the detachment of Fe(II) from the magnetite surface into solution.³³ Increasing magnetite loading can effectively elevate the concentration of Fe(II) introduced into the system in the form of NPs, which on the basis of Equation 1 shifts the equilibrium to the right, resulting in more $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ released and the greater values of $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ (Fig. 2B). As pH increased from 6 to 8, conversely the decreased acidity causes an equilibrium shift in the opposite direction whereupon the dominant reaction becomes $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake, which begins with the adsorption of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ onto magnetite surface, followed by interfacial electron transfer between sorbed Fe(II) and structural Fe(III).³⁴ Under this condition, the higher magnetite loading provided more active surface sites for $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ adsorption and accordingly promoted $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake. As a result, the absolute values of $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ were higher, as more magnetite NPs were added to the solution at pH 8 (Fig. 2D). Although increasing NP concentrations could change aggregation state of NPs, the continuous shaking in all experiments promoted the diffusion of Fe²⁺(ag) onto magnetite surface and minimized the impact of NP aggregation on $Fe^{2+}_{(aa)}$ -magnetite interaction. In all experiments, $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ was linearly proportional to magnetite loading (Fig. 2). Table

S2 shows the functions and R-squared values of all fitted regression lines in Figure 2. The slopes of the fitted lines at pH 6 varied between 0.1455 and 0.1639 were independent of the concentration of added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$. Compared to the slopes at pH 6, the absolute values of the

2
3
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
∠∪ ว1
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

262	corresponding slopes at pH 8 were obviously smaller (Table S2). The difference might imply that
263	the influence of NP loading was more significant on magnetite dissolution than on $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake
264	by magnetite. The high R-squared values in all experiments confirmed the linear relationship
265	between $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ and magnetite loading, indicating that $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ -magnetite interaction under all
266	of these conditions was a surface-mediated process, presumably limited by available specific
267	surface area. Aggregation state of magnetite was unlikely to significantly change as pH increased
268	from 6 to 8, because extensive aggregation of magnetite NPs occurred at all these pH values due
269	to a combination of Lifschitz-van der Waals and magnetic forces, ³⁵ as well as the weak
270	electrostatic repulsion between magnetite NPs at pH 6-8.36 Thus, the observed pH effects on
271	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ -magnetite interaction could not be attributed to pH-dependent aggregation behavior of
272	NPs.
272	To illustrate the effect of initial $[Ee^{2+}]$ on magnetite Ee^{2+} interaction $A[Ee^{2+}]$ was

To illustrate the effect of initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ on magnetite- $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ interaction, $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ was 273 replotted versus the initial concentration of added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ ([Fe²⁺_(aq)]_{add}) (Fig. S4). When NP 274 loading and pH were fixed, there is a linear relationship between $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ and $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{add}$ under 275 all experimental conditions in this study. At pH 6, the decrease in $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ with increasing 276 $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{add}$ suggests the inhibition of magnetite dissolution by the additional $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, as discussed 277 above. In contrast, at pH 8, the higher initial [Fe²⁺_(aq)] led to the greater absolute values of 278 Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)], indicating that the more added Fe²⁺_(aq) promoted Fe²⁺_(aq) uptake by magnetite NPs. As 279 mentioned above, the adsorption of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ onto magnetite surface is a key step to initiate $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ 280 uptake by magnetite. Increasing the concentration of added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ could facilitate the adsorption 281 of Fe²⁺_(aq) on magnetite surface. Moreover, in thermodynamic terms, increasing concentration of 282 283 $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ resulted in the lower reduction potential of aqueous solution. The difference in redox

Environmental Science: Nano

J ∧
4
2
6 7
/
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
20
21
22
$5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 9 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 13 \\ 23 \\ 34 \\ 35 \\ 37 \\ 38 \\ 39 \\ 40 $
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
20
3/
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52 53
53 54
54 55
56
57
58
59

60

potentials between magnetite and solution tends to drive $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake by magnetite, in order to establish a new equilibrium at the solid/solution interface. Thus, the higher $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]_{add}$ promoted $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake by magnetite NPs.

287

288 **3.3. Structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) Response.**

The extent to which this $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake and release by magnetite involves corresponding 289 290 changes in structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) in the bulk was evaluated by performing μ XRD measurements. 291 As shown in Figure S5, the only crystalline phase observed in all μ XRD patterns of post-reaction 292 NPs was magnetite. Based on the known smooth relationship between cubic unit-cell length and 293 magnetite stoichiometry, the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite NPs was estimated directly from the measured cell parameter according to the method reported in previous studies.^{26, 37} The 294 structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios calculated from the µXRD patterns of 695 mg L⁻¹ magnetite NPs 295 ([Fe(II)] equivalent = 3000 μ M) before and after reactions with 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(a0) at pH 6 - 8 were 296 297 shown in Figure 4 and Table 1. The results indicate that, irrespective of whether $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ was 298 initially added, the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in the magnetite suspensions with the same initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ and magnetite loading increased from pH 6 to pH 8. For example, at pH 8 without added 299 $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite NPs was 0.526 and obviously larger than the ratio 300 301 (0.478) at pH 6 and that (0.498) at pH 7. This is consistent with the results, shown in Figure 2, that 302 low pH facilitates magnetite dissolution and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release from magnetite, whereas no detectable $Fe_{(ac)}^{2+}$ was released from magnetite at pH 8. Moreover, the addition of 1000 μ M $Fe_{(ac)}^{2+}$ at pH 6 -303 304 8 resulted in the higher structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio, compared to the ratio of samples without added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$. The trend agrees well with the results that $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ amendment inhibited $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release from magnetite at low pH and promoted $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake by magnetite at high pH (Fig. 2). The Fe^{2+} content in the solid is thus highly mobile and responsive to changes in chemical potential at the magnetite-solution interface.

It is worth mentioning that under some conditions a hyperstoichiometric "cation-excess" magnetite was formed. For example, at pH 8, the addition of 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq) increased the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio well beyond 0.5 (Table 1). The exact physical nature of this condition remains unclear. In previous studies, uptake of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ by magnetite NPs at elevated pH has been simply attributed to the increasing adsorption capacity for $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$. The point of zero charge of magnetite is 6.4 - 6.85,^{14, 36, 38} so increasing pH from 6 to 8 can lead to more negative surface that might adsorb more $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ non-specifically via electrostatic attraction.¹⁴ However, Gorski et al. (2009) reported that no stable sorbed Fe(II) species was observed on magnetite NPs after exposure to $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7.2. They further showed that $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ can incorporate into the structure of partially oxidized or nonstoichiometric magnetite, and the extent of Fe²⁺_(aq) uptake is limited by the formation of stoichiometric magnetite (Fe(II)/Fe(III) = 0.5). This conclusion was based on the experiments with a constant magnetite loading (1 g/L) at pH 7.2. The results of the present study indicate that increasing pH or initial $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ can promote $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake and result in a Fe(II)/Fe(III) beyond 0.5. This hyperstoichiometry may possibly indicate incorporation of ferrous ions into minor cation vacancies in the octahedral sublattice, or the reduction of octahedral Fe(III) by injected electrons from sorbed Fe(II).^{27, 39}

In addition to the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in the bulk as shown by μXRD, the
Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in the near-surface region was independently probed using Fe L-edge XAS and

327	XMCD (Fig. 5 and Table 1). In contrast to $\mu XRD,$ the information depth of XAS/XMCD is no
328	more than 4.5 nm, with sensitivity that exponentially increases to the outermost surface atoms.
329	Furthermore, whereas XAS detects all iron within this near-surface region, XMCD is sensitive
330	only to the magnetically ordered Fe(II) and Fe(III), thus selecting primarily for iron sites in lattice
331	positions at the surface. ²⁸ When 695 mg L^{-1} magnetite NPs were exposed to the pH 7 buffer
332	solution without $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ amendment, the surface Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased from 0.538 to 0.604,
333	while the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio decreased from 0.544 to 0.498 (Table 1). The opposite
334	trends likely indicate solid-state migration of electrons from the interior to the near-surface region,
335	as has been previously observed during the acidic dissolution of magnetite NPs and
336	microparticles. ^{4, 26, 28}

When 500 μ M Fe²⁺_(au) were initially added at pH 7, Δ [Fe²⁺_(au)] was 150 μ M, indicating that magnetite dissolution was the dominant reaction. However, the surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio became 0.754 that was evidently higher than the ratios of magnetite in the stock suspension (0.538) or in the pH 7 buffer solution without added $Fe^{2+}_{(ad)}$ (0.604) (Table 1). The presence of μ M Fe²⁺_(aq) worked against proton-promoted dissolution of magnetite, and the Fe²⁺_(aq) amendment induces further enrichment of Fe(II) in the near-surface region. When the concentration of Fe²⁺_(aq) was increased to 1000 μ M, Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)] decreased from 150 to 19 μ M, indicating a stronger inhibition of dissolution. However, the surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio was no longer observed to increase by XMCD, suggesting that the limit for Fe(II) enrichment into surface structure had been reached. Once saturated, the relatively high surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio might also suggest that further participation of Fe(II) from particle interiors in interfacial electron transfer may also saturate.

349	At pH 8, the surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite NPs was also in the order:
350	stock suspension < buffer solution without added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ < buffer solution with 1000 $\mu M Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$.
351	When NPs were exposed to the pH 8 buffer solution without additional $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, no measurable
352	$Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ was released from NPs, but the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio decreased from 0.544 to 0.526
353	as shown in Table 1. Simultaneously, the surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite NPs
354	increased from 0.538 to 0.593. Although no change in the concentration of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ was observed
355	under this condition, Fe(II) enriched at the near-surface region of magnetite NPs as a result of
356	transferring NPs from the stock suspension to the pH 8 buffer solution. When 1000 μM $Fe^{2+}{}_{(aq)}$
357	was added at pH 8, the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased from 0.526 to 0.538, and the
358	surface-localized Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio increased from 0.593 to 0.610 (Table 1). The results might
359	suggest that $\mathrm{Fe}^{2_{+}}_{(aq)}$ incorporation or electron injection occurred both at the near-surface region
360	and in particle interiors under this condition, resulting in the distribution of excess Fe(II)
361	throughout the hyperstoichiometric magnetite NPs. In the XAS in general, the $L_{2,3}$ -edge for Fe
362	exhibits a shift to higher energy with an increase in oxidation state. Figure 6 shows that the lower
363	energy Fe $L_{2,3}$ -edge peak intensity at 707.8 eV increased as Fe ²⁺ _(aq) was added, corresponding to
364	an increase the total ferrous Fe concentration at the surface of the NPs. The increase in intensity of
365	the first peak (~707.8 eV) in XMCD spectrum in Figure 5 corresponds to Fe(II) in octahedral
366	coordination and demonstrates that the high Fe(II)/Fe(III) is truly a gradient within the magnetite
367	structure. If the Fe(II) was surface sorbed in a non-specific or poorly ordered manner, it would be
368	detectable by an increase in the low energy peak intensity in the XA without a corresponding
369	increase in the first peak in the XMCD. Moreover, no secondary crystalline phases were observed
370	by μ XRD in all samples after reaction with Fe ²⁺ _(aq) . Thus, the higher Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio shown in

371 XMCD spectra can be attributed to changes in the composition of the structural iron at the372 near-surface after reaction, and not to secondary phases or adsorbed iron complexes.

3.4. Redistribution of Fe(II) as a Result of Fe²⁺_(aq)-Magnetite Interaction.

Attempts were made to quantify the mass and electron balanced redistribution of Fe(II) between the aqueous phase, particle interiors, and particle surfaces, as a function of system variables, based on the results of this study. For example, μXRD results showed that the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite in the stock suspension of synthetic NPs was 0.544 (Table 1). When the magnetite loading was 695 mg L^{-1} ([Fe(II)] equivalent = 3 mM), the concentrations of structural Fe(II) and Fe(III) in as-synthesized magnetite NPs were 3000 μ M and 5516 μ M, respectively (Table 2). After exposed to the pH 7 buffer solution without added $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$, the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite decreased to 0.498, and Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)] was 250 μ M. As mentioned above, no stable sorbed Fe(II) species were observed on NP surfaces after reaction with $Fe_{(aq)}^{2+}$ at pH 7,³ and no secondary crystalline phase was observed in the μXRD patterns of post-reaction samples. Thus, $\Delta[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ in solution presumably equaled the decrease of total Fe concentration ([Fe_{tot}]) in NPs at equilibrium. As 250 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq) (Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)] = 250 μ M) was released from 695 mg L⁻¹ magnetite NPs after the NPs were transferred from the stock suspension to the pH 7 buffer solution, the total Fe ([Fetot]) in the magnetite NPs accordingly decreased from 8516 to 8266 µM (Table 2). The µXRD results show that the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of magnetite NPs was 0.498 in the pH 7 buffer solution without amended $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ (Table 1), so the concentration of Fe(II) in magnetite structure was 2748 μ M that was 252 μ M less than the structural Fe(II) concentration

392 (3000 μ M) in 695 mg L⁻¹ as-synthesized magnetite NPs (Table 2). The results indicate that the 393 increase of Fe²⁺_(aq) concentration (Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)]) from chemical analysis was very close to the 394 decrease of structural Fe(II) in magnetite NPs (Δ [Fe(II)_{str}]) measured by μ XRD. The good 395 consistency confirmed that, at pH 7 and without added Fe²⁺_(aq), the increase of [Fe²⁺_(aq)] in solution 396 was mainly attributed to Fe(II) release from magnetite structure. Moreover, the concentration of 397 structural Fe(III) in magnetite was nearly unchanged after reaction under this condition.

However, when 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq) was initially added in pH 7 buffer solution, Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)] was only 19 μ M, indicating the corresponding total Fe in NPs was 8497 μ M. The μ XRD results showed the Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio of NPs in this case was 0.511, so the concentrations of structural Fe(II) and Fe(III) were 2874 μ M and 5623 μ M, respectively. Compared to as-synthesized NPs, the equivalent concentration of structural Fe(II) decreased 126 μ M, but that of structural Fe(III) increased 107 μ M (Table 2). This suggests that charge redistribution between Fe(II) and Fe(III) in the magnetite structure might happen concurrently with proton-promoted dissolution in the pH 7 buffer solution amended with 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq). The calculation above was based on the assumption that there were negligible amounts of amorphous iron-containing solid phase formed in the system at equilibrium. Although the µXRD and XMCD results in this study could not rule out the formation of iron-containing amorphous phases, the representative TEM image of magnetite NPs after reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7 (Figure S6) did not show the presence of amorphous phases. Moreover, previous experimental studies have suggested that no stable, adsorbed iron complexes or phases on iron oxide surfaces after reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ under anaerobic conditions at pH 7.3, 21, 22, 25, 33, 39-41 Nevertheless, more detailed studies on iron speciation as a result of magnetite- $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ interaction under different experimental conditions are

Environmental Science: Nano

414 needed, in order to precisely describe the distribution of Fe(II) and electrons at the interface 415 between magnetite and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$.

The findings in this study showed that Fe²⁺_(aq) uptake or release can occur in the $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ -magnetite system depending on pH, initial $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration, and NP loading. The flow direction of electron equivalents in the form of Fe(II) across the Fe²⁺_(aq)-magnetite interface can be determined by the difference in redox potentials between magnetite and solution. For example, when 800 µM magnetite NPs equilibrated with the pH 7 buffer solution amended with μ M Fe²⁺_(aq), Δ [Fe²⁺_(aq)] was 3.1 μ M that was close to zero (Fig. 2C). The change of structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) in magnetite under this condition was negligible. Because the redox potentials of magnetite and solution must equal to each other at equilibrium, the redox potential of 800 μ M magnetite at pH 7 can be estimated from the equilibrium concentration of $Fe_{(aq)}^{2+32}$. The half-reaction between $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ and magnetite can be written as:⁴²

 $0.5Fe_{3}O_{4} + 4H^{+} + e^{-} \rightarrow 1.5Fe^{2+} + 2H_{2}O$ (2)

427 By assuming that the activities of water and magnetite are 1, at room temperature (298 K), the
428 corresponding Nernst equation can be written as follows:

 $E_{\rm H} = E_{\rm H}^0 - 88.5 \log\{Fe_{(aq)}^{2+}\} - 236 \, \rm pH$ (3)

430 where E_H^0 equals 1090 mV vs SHE;⁴² {Fe²⁺_(aq)} is the activity of Fe²⁺_(aq) at equilibrium; E_H is 431 the reduction potential of magnetite suspension with Fe²⁺_(aq) at a given pH. Thus, the redox 432 potential of 800 µM magnetite NPs at pH 7 was close to -266 mV. When the initial [Fe²⁺_(aq)] 433 increased from 750 to 1000 µM, the calculated E_H value of solution according to equation (3) was 434 lower than -266 mV. To reach equilibrium under this condition, Fe²⁺_(aq) uptake happened, in order

2	435	to decrease $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration and correspondingly increase E_{H} of solution. Because redox
2	436	potential of magnetite is inversely proportional to structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite,
2	437	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake by magnetite could increase structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio and also decrease redox
2	438	potential of magnetite NPs. Therefore, the flow of electron equivalents from solution to magnetite
2	439	could effectively reduce the difference in the redox potentials between solution and magnetite,
2	440	until a new equilibrium was reached. On the other hand, increasing magnetite loading can lead to a
2	441	lower reduction potential of magnetite suspension. ¹⁵ When magnetite loading was higher than 800
2	442	μM at pH 7 and with 750 μM added $Fe^{2+}{}_{(aq)}$, the redox potential of magnetite was lower than -266
4	443	mV. In this case, $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release from magnetite to solution could minimize the difference in redox
4	444	potentials between magnetite NPs and aqueous solution. The findings in this study suggest that the
4	445	subtle alteration of solution conditions or magnetite loading may disrupt the equilibrium at the
2	446	magnetite-solution interface and drive the redistribution of Fe(II), in the form of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ release or
2	447	uptake, until a new equilibrium is reached. The dynamic redistribution of electron equivalents also
2	448	produces a challenge to experimentally quantify the reduction potential of magnetite NPs, which
2	449	can be quite distinct under different solution conditions or particle loadings.

451 4. Conclusions

452 Magnetite, as a common iron oxide in the environment with high reactivity and good 453 biocompatibility, has been widely studied in a variety of environmental contexts and applications. 454 The coexistence of magnetite and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ is pervasive in anoxic aquifers, resulting from various 455 biogeochemical processes. Moreover, recharging magnetite with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ has been considered as an

Environmental Science: Nano

efficient way to trigger or enhance its reactivity in environmental remediation. This study presents the first systematic work examining the effects of pH, initial $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration, and magnetite loading on the distribution of electron equivalents in terms of Fe(II) between $Fe_{(aq)}^{2+}$ and magnetite NPs at circumneutral pH. Increasing pH from 6 to 8 changed the primary reaction between magnetite NPs and $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ from proton-promoted dissolution to $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ uptake, which is accompanied by an increase of the bulk structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in magnetite NPs. Fe²⁺_(aq) amendments inhibit magnetite dissolution at pH 6 and promote Fe²⁺_(aq) uptake at elevated pH, whereas the addition of more magnetite NPs can lead to $Fe^{2+}_{(au)}$ release and uptake through shifts in the balance between two effects - increasing the available Fe^{2+} in the system while also increasing the solid specific surface area.

The findings in this study show that the reversible $Fe^{2+}_{(ac)}$ uptake/release by magnetite NPs can be controlled by altering environmental variables, such as solution pH, Fe²⁺_(aq) concentration, or magnetite loading. This study underscores the ability of magnetite to act as a rechargeable "battery" that couples to the redox-cycling of elements and transformation of contaminants in redox-oscillating environments. This role for magnetite appears to promote microbial respiration⁴³ and stimulate direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET) in syntrophic microbial communities.³⁶ Thus, the changes of surface-localized and structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratios as a result of Fe²⁺_(aq)-magnetite interaction under varied environmental conditions may also impact the related microbial metabolisms.

The stoichiometry and reduction reactivity of magnetite NPs can be substantially altered due to slight changes of environmental conditions. The results of this study also provide insights into the variable reactivity of magnetite NPs in natural environments and provide a foundation for

478	tailoring magnetite reactivity in NPs or as coatings on zero-valent iron (ZVI) for environmental
479	remediation.
480	
481	Conflicts of interest
482	There are no conflicts of interest to declare.
483	
484	Acknowledgements
485	This work was financially supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program,
486	2014CB846001) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (41472306, 41230103, and
487	21375120). KMR acknowledges support from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of
488	Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, from the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and
489	Biosciences Division through its Geosciences program at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
490	(PNNL). A portion of this research was performed using EMSL, a national scientific user facility
491	sponsored by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research and located at PNNL.
492	The work performed at the ALS is supported by the Director, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of
493	the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. We thank Dr. Xin Zhang at PNNL for
494	taking TEM images of magnetite NPs.

495	Table 1 The change of $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration ($\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$), the structural Fe(II)/Fe(III) ratio in
496	magnetite NPs calculated from μ XRD results, and the surface-localized XMCD Fe(II)/Fe(III)
497	ratio in magnetite NPs before and after reaction with $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 6 - 8. The magnetite loading
497	ratio in magnetite NPs before and after reaction with $Fe^{-}_{(aq)}$ at pH 6 - 8. The magnetite loa

pН	$[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(\mathrm{aq})}]_{\mathrm{add}}$	Ferrozine lattice parameter		Micro-XRD	XMCD
	(µM)	$\Delta [Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]^*(\mu M)$	Å	Fe(II)/Fe(III)	Fe(II)/Fe(III)
original	0	-	8.4050	0.544	0.538
6	0	575	8.3981	0.478	-
	1000	414	8.3984	0.481	-
7	0	250	8.4003	0.498	0.604
	500	150	-	-	0.754
	1000	19	8.4015	0.511	0.714
8	0	0	8.4031	0.526	0.593
	500	-425	-	-	0.634
	1000	-555	8.4043	0.538	0.610

498 in all experiments was 695 mg L^{-1} .

^a Negative values in $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ represent Fe^{2+} uptake to magnetite, and positive values

500 represent Fe^{2+} release from magnetite

503 Table 2. Changes of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ concentration based on chemical analysis and structurally ordered

504 Fe(II) in NPs measured by μ XRD.

pH	$[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}_{(\mathrm{aq})}]_{\mathrm{added}}^{a}$	$\Delta [Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]^{b}$	[Fe(II) _{str}] ^c	[Fe(III) _{str}] ^d	$[Fe_{tot}]^e$	$\Delta [Fe(II)_{str}]^{f}$
	(µM)	(µM)	(µM)	(µM)	(µM)	(µM)
original	0	0	3000	5516	8516	
7	0	250	2748	5518	8266	-252
7	1000	19	2874	5623	8497	-126

505 ^a The concentration of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ that was initially added.

506 ^b The difference between equilibrium and initial concentrations of $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$

^c The concentration of structural Fe(II) equivalent in magnetite NPs equilibrated with the pH 7

508 buffer solution, which was calculated from the μ XRD results in Table 1.

^d The concentration of structural Fe(III) equivalent in magnetite NPs equilibrated with the pH 7

510 buffer solution.

511 ^e $[Fe_{tot}] = [Fe(II)_{str}] + [Fe(III)_{str}]$

^f the change of structural Fe(II) concentration after equilibrated at pH 7 with or without added

513 Fe(II)_(aq)



Figure 1. Change of $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ as a function of time in the suspensions of magnetite NPs (3000 μ M Fe(II) equivalent) at pH 6 (black), 7 (red), and 8 (blue), respectively, without amended $Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}$ (A) or in the presence of 1000 μ M Fe²⁺_(aq) (B). The dashed line in (B) corresponds to $[Fe^{2+}_{(aq)}] = 1000$ 518 μ M.



Figure 2. The change of $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ as a function of magnetite NPs loading without added $\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}$ (A), and in the presence of 0 μ M (black), 250 μ M (green), 750 μ M (orange), or 1000 μ M (magenta) Fe²⁺_(aq) at pH 6 (B), pH 7 (C), and pH 8 (D), respectively. The equation and R² of the fitted lines are shown in Table S2.





Figure 3. $\Delta[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ versus the ratio of initial $[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{(aq)}]$ (C₀) to magnetite loading (C_{Mt}) at pH 7.



529 represent Fe^{2+} uptake by magnetite NPs.





Figure 5. Comparison of XMCD spectra of magnetite NPs in stock suspension (magenta), in the buffer solution (black), and in the buffer solution with 500 μ M (red) or 1000 μ M (blue) added

540 Fe $^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7 (A) and 8 (B).



543 Figure 6. Comparison of XAS spectra of magnetite NPs in stock suspension (magenta), in the

544 buffer solution (black), and in the buffer solution with 500 μ M (red) or 1000 μ M (blue) added

545 Fe $^{2+}_{(aq)}$ at pH 7 (A) and 8 (B).

Notes and references

Acta, 2012, 92, 67-81.

128, 114-127.

135, 8896-8907.

6069-6076.

538-548.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

1 2

J. Liu, C. I. Pearce, O. Qafoku, E. Arenholz, S. M. Heald and K. M. Rosso, Tc(VII)

reduction kinetics by titanomagnetite (Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄) nanoparticles, *Geochim. Cosmochim.*

C. I. Pearce, J. Liu, D. R. Baer, O. Qafoku, S. M. Heald, E. Arenholz, A. E. Grosz, J. P.

McKinley, C. T. Resch, M. E. Bowden, M. H. Engelhard and K. M. Rosso,

Characterization of natural titanomagnetites ($Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO_4$) for studying heterogeneous

electron transfer to Tc(VII) in the Hanford subsurface, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2014,

C. A. Gorski and M. M. Scherer, Influence of magnetite stoichiometry on Fe^{II} uptake and

J. Liu, C. I. Pearce, C. Liu, Z. Wang, L. Shi, E. Arenholz and K. M. Rosso, Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO₄

nanoparticles as tunable probes of microbial metal oxidation, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013,

R. M. Cornell and U. Schwertmann, The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions,

D. C. Smith and B. McEnaney, The influence of dissolved oxygen concentration on the

T. Ahn, J. H. Kim, H.-M. Yang, J. W. Lee and J.-D. Kim, Formation Pathways of

Magnetite Nanoparticles by Coprecipitation Method, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116,

P. Xu, G. M. Zeng, D. L. Huang, C. L. Feng, S. Hu, M. H. Zhao, C. Lai, Z. Wei, C.

Huang, G. X. Xie and Z. F. Liu, Use of iron oxide nanomaterials in wastewater treatment:

Q. A. Pankhurst, J. Connolly, S. K. Jones and J. Dobson, Applications of magnetic

V. Tishchenko, C. Meile, M. M. Scherer, T. S. Pasakarnis and A. Thompson, Fe²⁺

catalyzed iron atom exchange and re-crystallization in a tropical soil, Geochim.

B. Zinder, G. Furrer and W. Stumm, The coordination chemistry of weathering: II.

C. Colombo, G. Palumbo, J.-Z. He, R. Pinton and S. Cesco, Review on iron availability in

soil: interaction of Fe minerals, plants, and microbes, J. Soils Sediments, 2014, 14,

J. K. Fredrickson, J. M. Zachara, D. W. Kennedy, R. K. Kukkadapu, J. P. McKinley, S. M.

Heald, C. Liu and A. E. Plymale, Reduction of TcO_4^- by sediment-associated biogenic

J. Klausen, S. P. Troeber, S. B. Haderlein and R. P. Schwarzenbach, Reduction of

substituted nitrobenzenes by Fe(II) in aqueous mineral suspensions, Environ. Sci.

C. A. Gorski and M. S. Fantle, Stable mineral recrystallization in low temperature

aqueous systems: A critical review, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2017, 198, 439-465.

corrosion of grey cast iron in water at 50°C, Corros. Sci., 1979, 19, 379-394.

nanoparticles in biomedicine, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2003, 36, R167-R181.

Dissolution of Fe(III) oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 1986, 50, 1861-1869.

nitrobenzene reduction, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43, 3675-3680.

Occurrences and Uses, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.

A review, Sci. Total Environ., 2012, 424, 1-10.

Fe(II), Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2004, 68, 3171-3187.

Cosmochim. Acta, 2015, 148, 191-202.

Technol., 1995, 29, 2396-2404.

3	547
4 5	548
6	540
7	549
8 9	550
j 10	551
11	552
12	553
13	554
14 15	555
16	556
17	557
18	558
19 20	559
20 21	560
22	561
23	562
24	563
25 26	564
26 27	565
28	566
29	567
30	568
31	569
32 33	570
34	571
35	572
36	573
37	574
38 39	575
40	576
41	577
42	578
43 44	579
44 45	580
46	581
47	582
48	583
49 50	584
51	585
52	586
53	580
54	588
55 56	589
56 57	509
58	
59	
60	

590	16.	R. Marsac, M. Pasturel and K. Hanna, Reduction kinetics of nitroaromatic compounds by
591		titanium-substituted magnetite, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 11399-11406.
592	17.	D. E. Latta, C. A. Gorski, M. I. Boyanov, E. J. O'Loughlin, K. M. Kemner and M. M.
593		Scherer, Influence of magnetite stoichiometry on U ^{VI} reduction, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> ,
594		2012, 46 , 778-786.
595	18.	P. Dhakal, C. J. Matocha, F. E. Huggins and M. M. Vandiviere, Nitrite reactivity with
596		magnetite, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 6206-6213.
597	19.	C. A. Gorski, R. M. Handler, B. L. Beard, T. Pasakarnis, C. M. Johnson and M. M.
598		Scherer, Fe atom exchange between aqueous Fe ²⁺ and magnetite, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> ,
599		2012, 46 , 12399-12407.
600	20.	A. J. Frierdich, B. L. Beard, M. M. Scherer and C. M. Johnson, Determination of the
601		Fe(II)aq-magnetite equilibrium iron isotope fractionation factor using the three-isotope
602		method and a multi-direction approach to equilibrium, Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett., 2014, 391,
603		77-86.
604	21.	R. M. Handler, B. L. Beard, C. M. Johnson and M. M. Scherer, Atom exchange between
605		aqueous Fe(II) and goethite: An Fe isotope tracer study, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2009, 43,
606		1102-1107.
607	22.	P. Larese-Casanova and M. M. Scherer, Fe(II) sorption on hematite: New insights based
608		on spectroscopic measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2007, 41, 471-477.
609	23.	A. J. Frierdich, M. Helgeson, C. Liu, C. Wang, K. M. Rosso and M. M. Scherer, Iron
610		Atom Exchange between Hematite and Aqueous Fe(II), Environ. Sci. Technol., 2015, 49,
611		8479-8486.
612	24.	P. Joshi and C. A. Gorski, Anisotropic Morphological Changes in Goethite during
613		Fe ²⁺ -Catalyzed Recrystallization, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2016, 50 , 7315-7324.
614	25.	E. Silvester, L. Charlet, C. Tournassat, A. Géhin, JM. Grenèche and E. Liger, Redox
615		potential measurements and Mössbauer spectrometry of Fe ^{II} adsorbed onto Fe ^{III}
616		(oxyhydr)oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2005, 69, 4801-4815.
617	26.	C. I. Pearce, O. Qafoku, J. Liu, E. Arenholz, S. M. Heald, R. K. Kukkadapu, C. A. Gorski,
618		C. M. B. Henderson and K. M. Rosso, Synthesis and properties of titanomagnetite
619		$(Fe_{3-x}Ti_xO_4)$ nanoparticles: A tunable solid-state Fe(II/III) redox system, J. Colloid
620		Interface Sci., 2012, 387 , 24-38.
621	27.	C. A. Gorski and M. M. Scherer, Fe^{2+} sorption at the Fe oxide-water interface: A revised
622		conceptual framework, Aq. Redox Chem., 2011, 1071, 315-343.
623	28.	C. I. Pearce, C. M. B. Henderson, N. D. Telling, R. A. D. Pattrick, J. M. Charnock, V. S.
624		Coker, E. Arenholz, F. Tuna and G. van der Laan, Fe site occupancy in
625		magnetite-ulvöspinel solid solutions: A new approach using X-ray magnetic circular
626		dichroism, <i>Am. Mineral.</i> , 2010, 95 , 425-439.
627	29.	S. Gota, M. Gautier-Soyer and M. Sacchi, Fe 2p absorption in magnetic oxides:
628		Quantifying angular-dependent saturation effects, <i>Phy. Rev. B</i> , 2000, 62 , 4187-4190.
629	30.	R. A. D. Pattrick, G. Van Der Laan, C. M. B. Henderson, P. Kuiper, E. Dudzik and D. J.
630	- • •	Vaughan, Cation site occupancy in spinel ferrites studied by X-ray magnetic circular
631		dichroism, Eur. J. Mineral., 2002, 14, 1095-1102.
632	31.	A. F. White and M. L. Peterson, Reduction of aqueous transition metal species on the
054	51.	surfaces of Fe(II) -containing oxides, <i>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</i> , 1996, 60 , 3799-3814.

(24	22	C. A. Condi, D. Ed. and M. Gondon, T. D. H. Station and G. M. Station
634	32.	C. A. Gorski, R. Edwards, M. Sander, T. B. Hofstetter and S. M. Stewart, There is a second state of the second state E_{1}^{2+} and E_{2}^{2+} and E_{3}^{2+} and $E_{3}^$
635		Thermodynamic characterization of iron oxide–aqueous Fe^{2+} redox couples, <i>Environ. Sci.</i>
636	22	<i>Technol.</i> , 2016, 50 , 8538-8547.
637	33.	E. Tronc, J. P. Jolivet, P. Belleville and J. Livage, Redox phenomena in spinel iron oxide
638	24	colloids induced by adsorption, <i>Hyperfine Interact.</i> , 1989, 46 , 635-643.
639	34.	B. R. Coughlin and A. T. Stone, Nonreversible adsorption of divalent metal ions (Mn^{II} ,
640		Co^{II} , Ni^{II} , Cu^{II} , and Pb^{II}) onto goethite: Effects of acidification, Fe^{II} addition, and picolinic
641	25	acid addition, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 1995, 29 , 2445-2455.
642	35.	P. J. Vikesland, R. L. Rebodos, J. Y. Bottero, J. Rose and A. Masion, Aggregation and
643		sedimentation of magnetite nanoparticle clusters, <i>Environmental Science-Nano</i> , 2016, 3 ,
644	26	567-577.
645	36.	Y. You, S. Zheng, H. Zang, F. Liu, F. Liu and J. Liu, Stimulatory effect of magnetite on
646		the syntrophic metabolism of Geobacter co-cultures: Influences of surface coating.,
647		<i>Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta</i> , 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.1002.1009.
648	37.	C. A. Gorski and M. M. Scherer, Determination of nanoparticulate magnetite
649		stoichiometry by Mössbauer spectroscopy, acidic dissolution, and powder X-ray
650	• •	diffraction: A critical review, <i>Am. Mineral.</i> , 2010, 95 , 1017-1026.
651	38.	Y. Mamindy-Pajany, C. Hurel, N. Marmier and M. Roméo, Arsenic (V) adsorption from
652		aqueous solution onto goethite, hematite, magnetite and zero-valent iron: Effects of pH,
653	• •	concentration and reversibility, <i>Desalination</i> , 2011, 281 , 93-99.
654	39.	JP. Jolivet and E. Tronc, Interfacial electron transfer in colloidal spinel iron oxide.
655		Conversion of $Fe_3O_4-\gamma Fe_2O_3$ in aqueous medium, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 1988, 125 ,
656		688-701.
657	40.	S. V. Yanina and K. M. Rosso, Linked Reactivity at Mineral-Water Interfaces Through
658		Bulk Crystal Conduction, <i>Science</i> , 2008, 320 , 218-222.
659	41.	J. G. Catalano, P. Fenter, C. Park, Z. Zhang and K. M. Rosso, Structure and oxidation
660		state of hematite surfaces reacted with aqueous Fe(II) at acidic and neutral pH, Geochim.
661		Cosmochim. Acta, 2010, 74, 1498-1512.
662	42.	S. C. Pang, S. F. Chin and M. A. Anderson, Redox equilibria of iron oxides in
663		aqueous-based magnetite dispersions: Effect of pH and redox potential, J. Colloid
664		Interface Sci., 2007, 311 , 94-101.
665	43.	J. M. Byrne, N. Klueglein, C. Pearce, K. M. Rosso, E. Appel and A. Kappler, Redox
666		cycling of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in magnetite by Fe-metabolizing bacteria, <i>Science</i> , 2015, 347 ,
667		1473-1476.
668		



The reversible flow of Fe(II) across the magnetite-solution interface impacts the stoichiometry and reactivity of magnetite nanoparticles.