Chemical Science



EDGE ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5sc07490h

dll publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 26th September 2025 Accepted 22nd October 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc07490h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Molecular-level insight into the multiple mechanistic pathways in iron-catalysed alkene dimerisation

Joseph H. P. Cockcroft, Annabel Flook, ¹ Patrick J. Boaler, Gary S. Nichol, ¹ Jarle Holt, Joost Smit, ¹ Jennifer A. Garden ¹ * and Stephen P. Thomas ¹ *

As the least expensive, least toxic and most abundant of the first-row transition metals, iron catalysis underpins the future of sustainable synthesis. Yet the mechanistic understanding remains limited, particularly for pathways involving low oxidation-state intermediates. The reductive dimerisation of alkenes is a prime example, with very few iron-catalysed examples reported and with no in-depth mechanistic analyses. As simple, 1,2-disubstituted alkenes, methyl crotonates can be selectively dimerised to 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioates with two stereogenic units. This rare non-arene example of a C(sp²)-H functionalisation offers a platform for molecular-level understanding of broad scope iron-catalysed C-H functionalisation. In-depth mechanistic studies of this dimerisation, including the speciation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] through a combination of X-ray diffraction, kinetic analysis and *in situ* NMR monitoring, has uncovered hidden pathways that show this "simple" dimerisation is in fact a mechanistically complex system.

Introduction

Alkenes are pivotal building blocks across small molecules and macromolecules, with key applications in commodity chemicals alongside polyolefin and polyacrylate materials. Hit increasing limitations on the use and supply of precious metals, it is vital that the chemical transformation of alkenes transitions to Earth-abundant metal catalysts. While the Earth-abundant metal-catalysed polymerisations of alkenes have been well-established, the linear and cyclic dimerisation of alkenes remains underexplored. Hechanistic understanding of Earth-abundant metal-catalysed functionalisation reactions is key to designing more efficient catalysts yet has been limited so far by difficulties in observing and isolating low oxidation-state intermediates. This in turn limits the industrial scalability of Earth-abundant metal-catalysed processes, presenting a key scientific challenge.


Methyl crotonate **1** is an alkene monomer that, unlike its methyl methacrylate isomer, does not readily polymerise under radical or anionic conditions. ^{13,14} Unlike the dimerisation of similar alkenes, ^{9,15} the iron-catalysed dimerisation of methyl crotonate **1** to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate **2** is limited to a single report from Komiya and co-workers which

used [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] (dmpe = 1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino) ethane) 3. [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, which has been shown to exist in the *cis*-configuration in solution by ¹H NMR spectroscopy¹⁶ (see also SI S2.2 for further details) presumably following *cis-trans* isomerisation which may or may not be light mediated, has exhibited high reactivity for the metalation and onward reaction of C(sp)-H,¹⁷ C(sp²)-H¹⁸ and even C(sp³)-H¹⁹ bonds.²⁰⁻²² Although some mechanistic studies have been reported for iron-catalysed C-H functionalisation reactions using [(dmpe)₂-FeH₂] 3,^{21,23,24} the proposed short-lived iron(0) intermediates are notoriously difficult to identify, characterise and isolate, and this is further complicated when simultaneous irradiation and analysis is required.

Komiya and co-workers proposed that the first step of the mechanism for iron-catalysed crotonate dimerisation was the photoirradiation of $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 to trigger reductive elimination of dihydrogen to form $[(dmpe)_2Fe^0]$ 4 (Scheme 1a, left).²⁶ Subsequent oxidative addition of the alkenyl α -C(sp²)–H bond to the ester formed an iron monohydrido–monoalkenyl species $[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3CH=CCO_2Me)]$ 5, in analogy to tetrakis(phosphine) ruthenium complexes undergoing $C(sp^2)$ –H alkenyl insertion of methacrylates as reported by Yamamoto, Ibers and co-workers.²⁵ Insertion of a second methyl crotonate 1 into $[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3CH=CCO_2Me)]$ 5 generated the hydrometallation product, iron monoalkyl–monoalkenyl species $[(dmpe)_2Fe(CH_3CH=CCO_2Me)(CH_3CH-CH_2CO_2Me)]$ 6. Reductive elimination reformed $[(dmpe)_2Fe^0]$ 4 and a >99:1 (E):(Z) mixture of 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2.²⁶

[&]quot;EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, The University of Edinburgh, David Brewster Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FJ, UK. E-mail: J.Garden@ed.ac.uk; stephen. thomas@ed.ac.uk

^bJohnson Matthey, Technology Centre, Princeton Drive, Stockton-on-Tees, TS17 6PY, UK



Scheme 1 (a) Crotonate dimerisation by iron-catalysed (Komiya and co-workers) and base-catalysed (Waymouth and co-workers) methods. (b) This work: mechanistic investigation of methyl crotonate dimerisation using $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 as pre-catalyst under light and dark conditions.

Waymouth and co-workers reported the base-catalysed reductive dimerisation of alkyl crotonates without any photo-irradiation using potassium *tert*-butoxide to achieve high yields and 80:20 (E): (Z) stereoselectivity.²⁷ Using methyl crotonate as an example, Waymouth proposed δ -C-H deprotonation (C(sp³)-

H bond) gave the (extended) enolate I (Scheme 1a, right). 1,4-Addition of I to another molecule of methyl crotonate 1 gave an anionic dimer II, which underwent proton transfer to give the conjugated enolate III, which deprotonated a third methyl crotonate 1 to regenerate enolate I as well as forming dimethyl

Edge Article Chemical Science

2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7. The terminal alkene of dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 then underwent isomerisation to the conjugated alkene, to give $(E)_{1}(Z)$ -2ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2. Importantly, the mechanisms proposed by Komiya²⁶ and Waymouth²⁷ involve different intermediate species, yet the p K_{aH} of $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 $(15.9)^{28,29}$ and pK_{aH} of potassium tert-butoxide (17)30 are similar. This raises an important question: does [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 act solely as a precursor that requires photoirradiation to form the active catalyst [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] 4, or is [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 itself catalytically active through a base-mediated pathway? Herein, we report molecular-level investigation of methyl crotonate dimerisation with [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 as the catalyst. A combination of kinetic studies and in-depth NMR reaction monitoring under light and dark conditions, with a focus on tracking the different iron species formed in situ, have revealed the hidden complexity of this seemingly simple crotyl dimerisation.31,32

Results and discussion

Investigations of the dimerisation of 98% *trans*-diastereomer methyl crotonate 1 began by testing different wavelengths of photoirradiation and catalytic activity (Table 1). Use of wavelengths between 254 and 1014 nm (5 mol% [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, neat conditions, 4 hours) showed no significant difference in either yield (75–89%) or stereoselectivity (\geq 85:15 (E):(Z)) of 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 across all wavelengths (Table 1, entries 1–4). The lower selectivity obtained compared to Komiya's conditions (Scheme 1a, left) could be attributed to a base-mediated pathway (Scheme 1a, right). Dark conditions (entry 5) gave a very similar yield and selectivity, generating 75% yield of (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 with 87: 13 (E):(Z) stereoselectivity under otherwise identical conditions. This indicated that in the absence of photoirradiation [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 would act as a base, initiating the base-

Table 1 Initial trials to investigate the wavelength range under which [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 catalyses methyl crotonate dimerisation

Entry	Light source	Wavelength range (nm)	Yield of 2^{a} (%)	(E):(Z)
1	Hg light	254-1014	87	88:12
2	UV-B	280-315	85	86:14
3	UV-A	315-400	78	85:15
4	Blue light	400-500	89	86:14
5	No light	n/a	75	87:13

^a All conversions were measured by ¹H NMR spectroscopy *versus* a 1 M trimethoxybenzene standard solution in diethyl ether. Conversion refers to the amount of 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 formed from methyl crotonate 1. Reactions were left for 4 hours directly in front of a light source; for testing dark conditions, a box was placed over the sample.

mediated pathway. However, the reaction was significantly slower than with Waymouth's KO^tBu system (4 h vs. 15 seconds), hinting that the reaction may not solely follow a basemediated pathway under dark conditions. Subsequent variable time normalised analysis (VTNA) studies showed that the reaction was half-order in [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 under light and dark conditions,³² indicative of potentially a dimeric iron species. Similar first-row transition metal-catalysed functionalisation reactions have noted that non-integer catalyst reaction orders indicate an off-cycle dimeric species which dissociates to two on-cycle (monomeric) species, or that the active catalyst is dimeric.33-35 The potential for both light-mediated and basemediated pathways, and the associated multitude of equilibria and reaction pathways, would account for the observation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 being half-order in the reaction.³³ As the light-mediated and base-mediated pathways involve the reaction of different C-H bonds of methyl crotonate (Scheme 1a), two deuterated analogues of methyl crotonate 1 were synthesised to probe the rate-limiting step via analysis of kinetic isotope effects (KIEs). For the light-mediated cycle, alkene C-H deuterium isotopologue d_1 -1 featured an alkenyl (CO₂Me) $C(sp^2)$ -D bond, to investigate whether the oxidative addition of crotonate 1 to form [(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CCO₂Me)] 5 was rate-limiting. The second deuterated substrate, tailored for the base-mediated pathway, featured an alkenyl CD₃ unit d_3 -1 to probe the formation of the crotyl-enolate I and [(dmpe)₂Fe(H) H_2 ⁺ 8 (see SI S8.1 for further details). In both instances, KIEs of 1 were observed, indicating that light-mediated oxidative addition and base-mediated CH3 deprotonation of 1 were not the rate-limiting steps in either reaction.

Given the prominence of the base-mediated pathway, the dimerisation of other crotyl substrates was investigated under dark conditions (Fig. 1). To investigate the influence of changing the ester substituent from a methyl to an ethyl group, the dimerisation of ethyl crotonate 9 was investigated under dark conditions for 16 hours at 309 K (see SI S9 SI Fig. 42-44 for more details). Under the same reaction conditions used for methyl crotonate 1, ethyl crotonate 9 gave a lower conversion of 66% to diethyl 2-ethylidene-3-methylglutarate 10 with 95:5 (E): (Z) selectivity in 16 hours (vs. 75% conversion of methyl crotonate 1 to 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 with 87:13 (E):(Z) stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 5)). As the pK_a of the methyl C-H bond of 1 and 9 are near-identical,36 this indicates that the larger ester group may destabilise or inhibit the formation of an intermediate, leading to a slower rate of dimer formation.

Two chain-extended analogues of methyl crotonate were also investigated (see SI S9 SI Fig. 45–49 for more details); methyl (E)-2-pentenoate **11** and methyl cinnamate **12** (Fig. 1a). These substrates were selected because the CH₃ site deprotonated in the base-mediated pathway to form crotyl enolate **I** (Scheme 1a, right) was replaced with a CH₂Me group (i.e. a more sterically encumbered C(sp²)-H bond with fewer available protons), and a phenyl group (no available protons). The dimerisation of methyl (E)-2-pentenoate **11** showed a similar rate to that of its structural isomer, ethyl crotonate **9**, however the major dimer species observed were double bond isomers of (E)- and (Z)-2-(1-




Fig. 1 (a) in situ Monitoring of crotyl substrates 1, 9, 11 and 12 under dark conditions with [(dmpe) $_2$ FeH $_2$] 3 (5 mol%) in THF- d_8 at 309 K were converted to dimers 2, 10, 13 and 14 respectively. The substrates selected either had a different alkyl ester (R = Me, Et) or different substituents at the vinylogous position (R' = Me, Et, Ph). Product 13 was formed along with double bond isomers as the major products (see SI S9 SI Fig. 45–47 for additional details). (b) The dimer conversion (%) over time (s) across 16 hours under dark conditions. Dimer conversion (%) was calculated by integrating 1 H resonances corresponding to starting material and dimer products, then computing (dimers/(dimers + starting material)) × 100%. (c) ORTEP plot of [Fe(PhCH=CHCO $_2$ Me)(dmpe) $_2$] 15, hydrogens have been omitted for clarity and X-ray ellipsoids have been set at 50% probability.

propenyl)-3-ethylglutaric acid dimethyl ester **13** (see SI S9, SI Fig. 46 for further details) similar to findings reported by Waymouth.²⁷ As all products formed from methyl (*E*)-2-pentenoate **11** dimerisation contain an internal alkene, there is no thermodynamic favourability to isomerise to the expected product (*E*),(*Z*)-2-(1-propenyl)-3-ethylglutaric acid dimethyl ester **13**. This contrasts from the isomerisation pathway observed with methyl crotonate **1** which isomerises to the more thermodynamically stable internal conjugated alkene (Scheme 1a, right). Methyl cinnamate **12**, which has no allylic C–H bonds, showed no observable dimerisation after 16 hours in the absence of light irradiation, providing further support for the base-mediated pathway where suitably acidic C–H bonds are present.

Although no dimerisation of methyl cinnamate **12** was recorded under dark conditions, exposure of the same sample to UV irradiation (365 nm) gave rapid conversion to the dimer (E),(Z)-2-benzylidene-3-phenyl-glutaric acid dimethyl ester **14**. This indicated that only the redox Fe^0/Fe^{II} reductive dimerisation pathway was operating for methyl cinnamate **12** (Scheme **1a**, left). Accordingly, $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectroscopy showed that the metallacyclopropane iron species

[(dmpe)₂Fe(PhCH=CHCO₂Me)] **15** formed steadily to become the major species after 2 hours. Red crystals deposited from pentane at -35 °C were analysed by single crystal X-ray crystallography and identified as complex **15** (Fig. 1c, see SI S7.1 for more details). [(dmpe)₂Fe(PhCH=CHCO₂Me)] **15** was confirmed to be a catalytically active intermediate when used as a catalyst (5 mol%) with methyl crotonate **1** and exposed to UV (365 nm) irradiation for 1 hour, giving the continued formation of (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate **2**, in addition to small amounts of other dimers (see SI S9, SI Fig. 77).

Using methyl crotonate 1 as a benchmark to gain insight into the iron species formed in the light and dark pathways, dimerisation by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was monitored using *in situ* LED ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy³⁷ over a 2 hours period (Fig. 2a) with post-acquisition FID processing.³⁸ A light-dark experiment (Fig. 2a) showed that upon irradiation a large increase in the rate of product formation occurred, whilst stopping irradiation (dark) showed a slower rate of conversion. This suggests that the two mechanisms operate in tandem in the presence of light, with the base-mediated pathway as the major mechanism in the absence of light. Even after complete consumption of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 in the light-dark reaction,




Fig. 2 (a) Methyl crotonate 1 dimerisation under light (lilac diamond), light-dark (purple triangle) and dark (blue square) conditions. Boxes in light grey are for the light-dark experiment only, representing time points taken where the light source was turned off. Absolute integrals acquired from ¹H NMR spectroscopy with post-acquisition signal-averaging.³⁸ (b) Light-mediated methyl crotonate 1 (pink) dimerisation to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 (blue) by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 pre-catalyst (light blue) showing the formation of intermediate dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 (purple) is iron-catalysed. Absolute integrals acquired from ¹H NMR spectroscopy with post-acquisition signal-averaging.³⁸ (c) Methyl crotonate 1 (pink) dimerisation to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 (blue) under dark conditions which shows that the intermediate dimethyl 2ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 (purple) is not light-dependent. Absolute integrals acquired from ¹H NMR spectroscopy. (d) Decomposition of $\label{eq:continuous} $$ [(dmpe)_2FeH_2] $ to $ trans-[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3CH=CH-COO^-)] $ 16, $ trans-[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 17 $ and $ [((dmpe)_2FeH)_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+} $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 17 $ and $ ((dmpe)_2FeH)_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+} $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 17 $ and $ ((dmpe)_2FeH)_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+} $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 17 $ and $ ((dmpe)_2FeH)_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+} $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 17 $ and $ ((dmpe)_2FeH)_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ 18. $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)] $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_1OCO^-)] $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_1OCO^-)] $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_2CC_6H_1OCO^-)] $ (dmpe)_2FeH_2(H_3O_$

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 29 2025. Downloaded on 04/11/25 20:30:39.

Chemical Science

Edge Article

a rapid increase in the absolute integrals of product $(E)_1(Z)$ -2ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 was observed upon reexposure to UV (365 nm) irradiation. This suggested that light was not only required for pre-catalyst activation (via reductive elimination of dihydrogen from [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 to form [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] 4), but also a step on the catalytic cycle: hydrometallation of a second equivalent of methyl crotonate 1 and/or elimination (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3of methylpentanedioate 2. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic analysis revealed an intermediate that increased in absolute concentration under light conditions but remained constant under dark conditions. Four distinct ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonances suggested that this cis-configured intermediate was either the oxidative addition product [(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CCO₂Me)] 5 (Scheme 1a) or a metallacyclopropane intermediate similar to [(dmpe)₂-Fe(PhCH=CHCO₂Me)] 15 (Fig. 1c).

An intermediate was visible by ¹H NMR spectroscopy under both light and dark conditions, which had diagnostic geminal alkene signals consistent with a diastereomeric mixture of dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 (Scheme 1a, right and Fig. 2a). As dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 is not on the oxidative pathway, its presence under light conditions confirms that the base-mediated pathway operates under both light and dark conditions. This also aligns with the lower diastereoselectivity observed here compared to that of Komiya and co-workers; the base-mediated pathway lowering the (E):(Z) ratio. Under both light and dark conditions, no induction period for the formation of dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3methylpentanedioate 7 was observed (see SI S4.5 SI Fig. 4 and further details). Dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 peaked in absolute concentration at roughly 1000 and 10000 seconds under light and dark conditions respectively. Rapid conversion of dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-(E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 7 to methylpentanedioate 2 was observed throughout the experiment until dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 was a minor species by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 2b and c).

It is highly likely that the isomerisation of dimethyl 2ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 into (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2 was iron-catalysed: no isomerisation occurred when [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was consumed and only products trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CHdecomposition COO^-] 16, trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃O₂CC₆H₁₀COO $^-$)] 17 and $[{(dmpe)_2FeH}_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+}$ **18** were identified by ${}^1H-{}^{31}P$ HMBC spectroscopy (Fig. 2d, see SI S5.2-5.4 and S7.2-7.3 for further details). $[\{(dmpe)_2FeH\}_2(\mu\text{-}dmpe)]^{2+}$ 18 has previously been observed as a decomposition product of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 upon irradiation. 21,39 trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃O₂CC₆H₁₀COO⁻)] 17 was not catalytically active in the dimerisation of methyl crotonate, presumably as this species is unable to re-enter the catalytic cycle (see SI S9 SI Fig. 85 for more details).40 Under dark conditions a small amount of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was present at the end of the reaction which correlates with the gradual decrease in the concentration of intermediate dimethyl 2ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 observed.

To further probe whether the isomerisation of dimethyl 2ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-


methylpentanedioate 2 was catalysed by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, the terminal alkene isomer of 1, methyl 3-butenoate 19, was investigated. Under light-mediated conditions, 19 was completely isomerised to methyl crotonate 1 by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 (5 mol% loading), with 50% conversion of methyl crotonate 1 to 2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 (90:10 (E):(Z)) after 2 hours (Scheme 2, see SI S9 SI Fig. 67 for further details). Ironalkenyl complexes identified by ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy (see SI S9 SI Fig. 69 for further details) showed conversion of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 to the demethylation complex trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] **16** in addition to the proposed complex trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH=CHCH₂CO₂Me)] 20 which showed a pentet hydride resonance and a single 31P NMR resonance similar to previously reported iron monohydrido monoalkyl complexes.21

Under dark conditions, reacting methyl 3-butenoate 19 with [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 (5 mol% catalyst loading) gave complete isomerisation of methyl 3-butenoate 19 to methyl crotonate 1 after 4 hours (Scheme 2 and see SI S9 SI Fig. 70-72), whilst control reactions without [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 showed trace isomerisation (<2%) of methyl 3-butenoate 19 after 16 hours (see SI S9 SI Fig. 73). Dimerisation of methyl crotonate 1 to (E),(Z)-2ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 under dark conditions by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was also observed (61% conversion, 90:10 (E):(Z), 16 hours). Baseline peaks congruent with intermediate dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, suggesting that [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 facilitated isomerisation of 7 to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2. These observations show that [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 can promote the isomerisation of a terminal alkene to an internal alkene; both methyl 3-butenoate 19 to methyl crotonate 1 and dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3methylpentanedioate (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3to methylpentanedioate 2.

³¹P NMR spectroscopic analysis of methyl 3-butenoate **19** with [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 under dark conditions showed speciation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 into one major species after 16 hours, identified as trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] 21 (see SI S9 SI Fig. 87–89 for further details). ¹H–³¹P HMBC spectroscopy showed that the Fe-H resonance (δ –25.9 ppm) correlated with a singlet ³¹P NMR resonance, indicative of a trans-geometry. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed diagnostic resonances at δ 0.5 ppm and δ 7.0 ppm, which coupled to each other with a relative ratio of 2:1. Based on these distinctive chemical shifts, the relative integrals and the coupling patterns, these resonances were assigned to the Fe-CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me unit of trans-[(dmpe)₂-FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] 21. Data congruent with the proposed base-mediated intermediate, trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(H₂)] 8, was not observed at any point nor was hydrogen gas observed, which is attributed to the low concentration of catalyst.

When $trans-[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_2CH=CHCO_2Me)]$ 21 (see SI S5.5 for more details) was used as a catalyst for methyl crotonate dimerisation, approximately 21% conversion to (E),(Z)-2ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 was observed under dark conditions after 16 hours (see SI S9 SI Fig. 90 for more details). ³¹P{¹H} NMR data across the same time period showed that trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] 21 was gradually

Edge Article Chemical Science



Scheme 2 Isomerisation of methyl 3-butenoate 19 to methyl crotonate 1 by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3. Methyl crotonate 1 can then be dimerised by the base-mediated pathway to give the dimer intermediate dimethyl 2-ethenyl-3-methylpentanedioate 7 which itself can be isomerised by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 to give (*E*),(*Z*)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2. ³¹P NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction shows that the major iron species is trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] 21, with minor amounts of [(dmpe)₂FeHY] 23. Minor amounts of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 were also observed.

converted to trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] **16.** Subsequent exposure of the same sample to irradiation (365 nm) for 20 minutes led to rapid conversion of methyl crotonate **1** to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate **2**, suggesting that trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] **21** can undergo light-mediated reductive elimination of methyl crotonate to give [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] **4**.

Under dark conditions, two additional minor iron species were also identified by ¹H-³¹P HMBC and ¹H-¹³C HMBC spectroscopy as $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 (see SI S5.6 for further details) and [(dmpe)₂FeHY] 23 (see SI S5.7 for further details). $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 was present in minor amounts, presumably formed by thermal activation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3.41 Four chemically inequivalent 31P NMR resonances were observed which did not correspond to any hydride resonance, and were tentatively assigned as the metallacyclopropane complex $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22. trans- $[(dmpe)_2FeHY]$ 23 was present as a minor iron species which increased in absolute intensity across 16 hours. Full assignment of trans-[(dmpe)₂FeHY] 23 was not possible: it was initially assumed that Y was enolate I, however no matching peaks in the ¹H NMR spectra were found to support this.42-44 On the basis of the kinetic data, it was proposed that trans-[(dmpe)₂FeHY] 23 is either a short-lived intermediate or a coordination complex which does not play a major role in methyl crotonate dimerisation.

³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra obtained during *in situ* monitoring of methyl crotonate **1** under light and dark dimerisation

conditions both showed several iron species (Fig. 3). Under irradiation, a majority of the pre-catalyst [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was rapidly consumed presumably to give [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] 4, which was not observed in accordance with previous reports.45 Under irradiation, a majority of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was converted to [(dmpe)₂Fe(η^2 -1)] 22 after 20 minutes by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. Extensive isolation studies of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 gave single yellow crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction obtained from a saturated solution of pentane at −35 °C (see SI S7.4 for further details). Analysis of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 confirmed an η²-alkene coordination and a C3-C5 bond length of 1.448(2) Å (Fig. 4a); this is significantly longer than similar uncoordinated activated alkenes with bond lengths of 1.32-1.37 Å.46,47 The Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson model suggests that the bond elongation is more typical of C(sp3) character, however the bond angles varied between 110 and 120° suggesting significant disruption of the double bond and that both alkenyl carbons lie between $C(sp^2)$ and $C(sp^3)$ hybridisation. Comparing the metallocyclopropane Fe-C or C-C bonds in $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 and [(dmpe)₂Fe(PhCH=CHCO₂Me)] 15 shows no significant difference in bond lengths or bond angles, indicating the metallocyclopropane carbons are between $C(sp^2)$ and $C(sp^3)$ hybridisation in both cases. This suggests that the same type of metallacyclopropane intermediate forms from [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 and methyl crotonate 1 or methyl cinnamate 12. $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-$ 1)] 22 was assumed to be the major metallacyclopropane product as no [(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CCO₂Me)] 5 or




Fig. 3 (a) In situ monitoring by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy with post-acquisition FID processing showing different iron species formed under light conditions of methyl crotonate **1** dimerisation.³⁸ (b) In situ monitoring by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy showing different iron species formed under dark conditions.

hydrometallation product [(dmpe)₂Fe(CH₃CH=CCO₂Me)(CH₃-CH₂-CHCO₂Me)] 6 (Scheme 1) was observed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR. Under dark conditions, minor peaks of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 were observed to increase throughout monitoring, suggesting thermal activation of methyl crotonate 1 by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3.⁴¹ It is possible that a catalytically active species was formed by deprotonation of the allylic methyl group of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 by [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, as iron coordination can dramatically reduce the p K_a of the C(sp³)-H bond. However, the higher rate of reaction under light conditions suggests that [(dmpe)₂- $Fe(\eta^2-1)$ 22 is predominantly consumed in the light-dependent pathway (Scheme 1a). Under dark conditions, the absolute concentration of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 is highest at the end of the reaction which suggests that it is not a catalytically active species in this case. Further ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic analysis showed rapid conversion from [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 to [(dmpe)₂- $Fe(\eta^2-1)$ 22 upon irradiation in the presence of methyl crotonate 1, with $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 being the major catalytically active species present after 1000 seconds, before gradually being consumed. ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis showed the gradual formation of (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2 across the same period (see SI S9 SI

Fig. 92 for further details), providing further support for the catalytic activity of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 under light-mediated conditions.

Decomposition products were also identified: [{(dmpe)₂-FeH $_{2}(\mu$ -dmpe)]²⁺ **18** was characterised using a diagnostic resonance at δ 14.4 ppm in the $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum, which matched reports from Field and co-workers.39 Absolute integrals assigned to [{(dmpe)₂FeH}₂(μ-dmpe)]²⁺ 18 rose rapidly until approximately 2000 seconds under both light and dark conditions, and increased more gradually thereafter (Fig. 3). The second decomposition product, which was the dominant iron species observed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR at the end of the irradiated reaction, was found to be trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] **16**, which was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 4b). This indicated that ester demethylation of methyl crotonate 1 by [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] 4 was a significant decomposition pathway of catalytic species.24,50 While the demethylation mechanism remains unclear, trans-[(dmpe)₂FeCl₂] activated by sodium 2-ethylhexanoate has been reported to demethylate methoxy-substituted arenes,24 and iron-containing enzymes such as cytochrome P450 are known to demethylate other substrates, including lignin.51,52 Here, the significant





Fig. 4 ORTEP plots of (a) $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22. (b) $Trans-[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3CH=CH-COO^-)]$ 16. (c) $Trans-[(dmpe)_2FeH(CH_3O_2CC_6H_{10}COO^-)]$ 17. (d) [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26. Iron, carbon, phosphorus, oxygen and hydride atoms on the molecular structures are coloured orange, grey, yellow, red and white respectively. Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids have been set at 50% probability.

of trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] **16** showed no major hindrance on the rate of reaction, suggesting that at 5 mol% catalyst loading there was sufficient [(dmpe)₂-FeH₂] 3 present for the reaction to proceed. Crotonic acid 24 was observed in trace amounts suggesting that some trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] decomposed 16 elemental iron. Separate investigations reacting [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 with crotonic acid 24 yielded a red solution containing a complex mixture of iron complexes. Red crystals characterised by ¹H-³¹P HMBC and ¹H-¹³C HMBC were identified as trans-[(dmpe)₂Fe(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)₂] 25 (see SI S6.5 and S9 SI Fig. 78-80). Complex 25 was catalytically inactive in the presence of methyl crotonate 1, in the presence and absence of irradiation (for further details see SI S9, SI Fig. 81).53 During in situ monitoring of methyl crotonate 1 dimerisation, no spectroscopic resonances corresponding to trans-[(dmpe)₂Fe(CH₃-CH=CH-COO⁻)₂] 25 were observed, suggesting that catalyst decomposition to trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃CH=CH-COO⁻)] **16**, trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃O₂CC₆H₁₀COO⁻)] 17 and [{(dmpe)₂-FeH $_{2}(\mu$ -dmpe)]²⁺ **18** was more likely.

Further investigations were conducted by irradiating $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 in the presence of product $(E)_2(Z)$ -2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 to test if competitive insertion to [(dmpe)₂Fe⁰] 4 was inhibiting the reaction. Single crystals of trans-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₃O₂CC₆H₁₀COO⁻)] 17 (Fig. 4c) deposited from a saturated pentane solution at -35 °C confirmed that



Scheme 3 Using [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 as catalyst for methyl crotonate 1 dimerisation under UV (365 nm) irradiation for 16 hours yielded no (E), (Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2. Crystals suitable for Xray crystallography were grown from the reaction mixture at -35 °C and identified as $[(dppe)FeH(Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh(C_6H_4))]$ **30**. Iron, carbon and phosphorus atoms on the molecular structures are coloured orange, grey and yellow respectively. Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids have been set at 50% probability.


Chemical Science Edge Article

demethylation of the conjugated ester in (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 was preferred over either $C(sp^2)$ -H oxidative addition or metallacyclopropane formation. This is attributed to the increased steric bulk of trisubstituted alkene (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2 disfavouring the formation of an Fe(II) metallocycle compared to disubstituted alkenes methyl crotonate 1 and methyl cinnamate 12 to form $([(dmpe)_2Fe(PhCH=CHCO_2Me)]$ 15 and $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22, Fig. 1c and 4a respectively).

Under both light and dark conditions, *trans*-[(dmpe)₂-FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] **21** and *trans*-[(dmpe)₂FeHY] **23** were observed by ¹H-³¹P HMBC spectroscopy (Fig. 3a and b). Under light conditions *trans*-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] **21** was at its highest concentration (0.01 M) at the start of the reaction before being rapidly consumed, whilst under dark conditions *trans*-[(dmpe)₂FeH(CH₂CH=CHCO₂Me)] **21** grew in absolute concentration to 0.02 M at approximately 5000 seconds

before gradually being consumed. *trans*-[(dmpe)₂FeHY] **23** was present as a minor iron species in both light and dark conditions, with the highest absolute intensity observed at the start of the experiment and steadily decreasing to trace amounts after approximately 3000 seconds under light conditions. This suggests that *trans*-[(dmpe)₂FeHY] **23** was either a short-lived intermediate or a coordination complex which does not play a significant role in methyl crotonate dimerisation.

Irradiation of a solution of $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 in THF- d_8 without methyl crotonate **1** at 365 nm for 11 days gave a mixture of iron(0) complexes similar to those reported by Tolman and co-workers.⁵⁴ Specifically, $[(dmpe)_5Fe_2]$ **26** and $[(dmpe)_3Fe]$ **27** (Scheme 4) were the major species present; the structure of the former was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 4d and see SI S7.5, for more details).⁵⁵ Addition of methyl crotonate **1** at 5 mol% catalyst loading in the absence of light saw immediate and complete conversion to $(E)_1(Z)$ -2-ethylidene-3-



Scheme 4 Speciation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 into multiple structures dependent on the reaction conditions, with potential thermal activation in addition to the light-dependent and light-independent pathways.

Edge Article Chemical Science

methylpentanedioate 2 with 88:12(E):(Z) selectivity (see SI S9 SI Fig. 65 for more details). ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopic analysis showed that after methyl crotonate 1 addition, [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26 and [(dmpe)₃Fe] 27 were completely consumed and only known decomposition products $[\{(dmpe)_2FeH\}_2(\mu-dmpe)]^{2+}$ 18 and [(dmpe)₃FeH]⁺ 28 remained, making it highly likely that [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26 and [(dmpe)₃Fe] 27 dimerise methyl crotonate 1 through a base-mediated pathway. 21,39 It is important to note that neither [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26 and/or [(dmpe)₃Fe] 27 were observed in the experiments containing [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, methyl crotonate 1 and THF- d_8 , suggesting that these species are immediately consumed or do not form at all under reaction conditions where substrate and catalyst are added together.

In addition to investigating the substrate pK_a , the catalyst pK_{aH} was varied using the bis(diphenylphosphinoethane) analogue $[(dppe)_2FeH_2]$ 29, which has a lower pK_{aH} than [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 (12.4 versus 15.9, respectively)²⁸ (Scheme 3). [(dppe)₂FeH₂] **29** (5 mol%) did not catalyse the dimerisation of methyl crotonate 1 under photoirradiation for 1 hour, as there was no observable formation of (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2 by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra and X-ray diffraction studies confirmed a novel crystal morphology of [(dppe)FeH(Ph2PCH2CH2PPh(C6H4))] 30 (Scheme 3), where a phenyl group has undergone C(sp²)-H insertion into Fe⁰ (see SI S7.6 for more details).⁵⁶ Further irradiation overnight caused no change beyond some decomposition of [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 (5 mol%) to free dppe and an unidentified decomposition species. These observations give a clear indication that photoirradiation of [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 reductively eliminates dihydrogen, and that the resultant Fe⁰ species can undergo subsequent reaction with the dppe ligand. It is worth noting that a conformer of [(dppe)FeH(Ph2PCH2-CH₂PPh(C₆H₄))] 30 has been studied by Ackermann and coworkers in the context of C-H alkenylation.56,57 No reactivity was observed when methyl crotonate 1 and [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 were reacted under dark conditions, with only starting materials observed by NMR spectroscopy. The discovery of [(dppe) FeH(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh(C₆H₄))] 30 and the lack of reaction with methyl crotonate 1 give a valuable mechanistic insight that steric and ligand interactions play a significant role, rather than solely the pKa determining the rate of reaction.⁵⁶ This insight correlates with the studies probing the effect of steric bulk on the crotonate substrate (Fig. 1), and is reinforced by the fact that the phenyl C(sp²)-H bond of [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 was more labile than an alkenyl C(sp²)-H of 1, differing to when [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 was used as the pre-catalyst.

All evidence pointed to a more complex mechanism than Komiya and co-workers' original proposal of the photoactivation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 followed by C-H oxidative addition (Scheme 1).26 [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 can speciate into multiple complexes under either light-mediated or base-mediated conditions (Scheme 4). In addition, [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 could be thermally activated, giving small quantities of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22. Beyond the thermal pathway, both the base-mediated and the light-mediated pathways can dimerise methyl crotonate 1 to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3-methylpentanedioate 2. It is worth noting that a possible explanation for the lower stereoselectivity

observed here (cf. that reported by Komiya) supports the simultaneous occurrence of both light- and base-mediated pathways. Furthermore, irradiation of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 in the absence of methyl crotonate 1 gave [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26 and [(dmpe)₃Fe] 27. This contrasts from the formation of $[(dmpe)_2Fe(\eta^2-1)]$ 22 generated when $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 and methyl crotonate 1 are irradiated together and shows that different iron species are formed depending on the order of addition of reagents.

Conclusions

Mechanistic investigations have shown that methyl crotonate 1 be selectively dimerised to (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2 with [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3, incorporating both light-mediated and base-mediated mechanisms. Monitoring by NMR spectroscopy showed that other crotyl derivatives, including those with vinylogous C-H bonds of different pK_as , could also be dimerised by $[(dmpe)_2FeH_2]$ 3 under dark conditions with the exception of methyl cinnamate, which highlights the role of the base-mediated pathway. Isolation of several key iron intermediates, in addition to observation of other iron species in situ, has delivered valuable insight into the behaviour of [(dmpe)₂FeH₂] 3 under thermal and photoirradiation reaction conditions and in the presence of an alkene. Varying the catalyst to [(dppe)₂FeH₂] 29 demonstrated how both the steric bulk and the basicity of iron hydride complexes influences the balance between light-mediated and base-mediated pathways. Gaining mechanistic insight into both light- and base-mediated pathways can be used to enhance catalyst performance, for example by revealing the high catalytic activity of Fe⁰ complexes such as [(dmpe)₅Fe₂] 26 in converting methyl crotonate into (E),(Z)-2-ethylidene-3methylpentanedioate 2. Overall, these studies highlight how iron-phosphorous complexes offer multiple possible pathways for C-H functionalisations and open the door to using non-light activated methods for this transformation, which remain extremely rare.

Author contributions

J. A. Garden and S. P. Thomas: conceptualisation, supervision, writing and editing. J. H. P. Cockcroft: synthesis, characterisation, and writing. A. Flook and P. J. Boaler: in situ monitoring by NMR spectroscopy. G. S. Nichol: single crystal X-ray analyses and the refinement of the structures. J. Holt and J. Smit for supervision (JHPC) and productive conversations. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Data availability

CCDC 2453128-2453133 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. 58a-f Supplementary information: synthesis details, characterisation data for compounds including NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and single crystal X-ray diffraction data. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc07490h.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor Guy Lloyd-Jones FRS and Dr Luke Britton for insightful contributions. We would also like to thank the EPSRC, the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (MR\T042710\1), Johnson Matthey, the Dr Brian R. Phillips Scholarship for Chemical Research, the EPSRC Programme Grant "Boron: Beyond the Reagent" (EP/W007517) and the School of Chemistry for funding this research.

References

- 1 E. Fazekas, P. A. Lowy, M. Abdul Rahman, A. Lykkeberg, Y. Zhou, R. Chambenahalli and J. A. Garden, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 8793–8814.
- 2 L. Mendez Llatas, E. Sanz Gil, J. Sancho Royo, M. A. Esteruelas Rodrigo, A. M. Lopez de Lama, M. Olivan Esco and E. Onate Rodriguez, Espacenet, EP1325924A1, 2003.
- 3 D. McGuinness, Dalton Trans., 2009, 6915-6923.
- 4 P. Cossee, J. Catal., 1964, 3, 80-88.
- 5 D. I. Groves, D. Müller, M. Santosh and C.-X. Yang, *GeoGeo*, 2024, 100288.
- 6 J. Ma, C. Feng, S. Wang, K.-Q. Zhao, W.-H. Sun, C. Redshaw and G. A. Solan, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 14–34.
- 7 Y. Huang, R. Zhang, T. Liang, X. Hu, G. A. Solan and W.-H. Sun, *Organometallics*, 2019, **38**, 1143–1150.
- 8 J. H. P. Cockcroft, G. S. Nichol, J. Holt, J. Smit, J. A. Garden and S. P. Thomas, *ChemCatChem*, 2025, 17, e00788.
- 9 H. tom Dieck and J. Dietrich, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1985, **24**, 781–783.
- 10 Y. Gao, T. J. Emge, K. Krogh-Jespersen and A. S. Goldman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 2260–2264.
- 11 J. Li, Q. Zhang, X. Hu, Y. Ma, G. A. Solan, Y. Sun and W.-H. Sun, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2020, 34, e5254.
- 12 M. A. Cairns and J. F. Nixon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1974, **64**, C19–C21.
- 13 M. McGraw and E. Y. X. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 9877–9887.
- 14 H. Fouilloux and C. M. Thomas, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2021, 42, 2000530.
- C. R. Kennedy, M. V. Joannou, J. E. Steves, J. M. Hoyt,
 C. B. Kovel and P. J. Chirik, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 1368–1379.
- 16 P. Meakin, E. Muetterties and J. Jesson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 75–88.
- L. D. Field, A. M. Magill, S. R. Pike, A. J. Turnbull,
 S. J. Dalgarno, P. Turner and A. C. Willis, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 2010, 2406–2414.
- 18 M. V. Baker and L. D. Field, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 7433–7434.
- 19 M. V. Baker and L. D. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 2825–2826.

- 20 M. V. Baker, L. D. Field and D. J. Young, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1990, 4, 551–556.
- 21 L. Britton, J. H. Docherty, J. Sklyaruk, J. Cooney, G. S. Nichol, A. P. Dominey and S. P. Thomas, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 10291– 10298.
- 22 D. Gerlach, W. Peet and E. Muetterties, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 4545–4549.
- 23 T. Dombray, C. G. Werncke, S. Jiang, M. Grellier, L. Vendier, S. Bontemps, J.-B. Sortais, S. Sabo-Etienne and C. Darcel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 4062–4065.
- 24 L. Britton, J. H. Docherty, G. S. Nichol, A. P. Dominey and S. P. Thomas, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2022, 40, 2875–2881.
- 25 S. Komiya, T. Ito, M. Cowie, A. Yamamoto and J. A. Ibers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 3874–3884.
- 26 S. H. Komiya, N. H. Oyasato and T. Y. Furukawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1989, **62**, 4078–4079.
- 27 J. C. A. Flanagan, E. J. Kang, N. I. Strong and R. M. Waymouth, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, 5, 5328–5332.
- 28 R. H. Morris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1948-1959.
- 29 K. Abdur-Rashid, T. P. Fong, B. Greaves, D. G. Gusev, J. G. Hinman, S. E. Landau, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, 122, 9155–9171.
- 30 J. Madasu, S. Shinde, R. Das, S. Patel and A. Shard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 8346–8365.
- 31 C. D. T. Nielsen and J. Burés, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 348-353.
- 32 J. Burés, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 16084-16087.
- 33 L. A. Hudson, W. Stroek and M. Albrecht, *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, 53, 14795–14800.
- 34 T. P. Pabst, J. V. Obligacion, É. Rochette, I. Pappas and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 15378–15389.
- 35 L. R. Mills, D. Gygi, E. M. Simmons, S. R. Wisniewski, J. Kim and P. J. Chirik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 17029–17041.
- 36 L. Wojnárovits, E. Takács, K. Dajka and S. S. Emmi, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2001, 27, 847–854.
- 37 Y. Ben-Tal and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 15372–15382.
- 38 A. Flook and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **89**, 16586–16593.
- 39 M. V. Baker, L. D. Field and D. J. Young, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 546–548, DOI: 10.1039/C39880000546.
- 40 P. Jurd, PhD doctorate, UNSW, 2018.
- 41 L. D. Field, E. T. Lawrenz, W. J. Shaw and P. Turner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 5632–5638.
- 42 P. V. Ramachandran, D. Nicponski and B. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1398–1401.
- 43 C. Camiletti, D. D. Dhavale, F. Donati and C. Trombini, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 7293–7296.
- 44 X. Chen, Q. Wang and B. Yu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, 52, 12183–12186.
- 45 M. K. Whittlesey, R. J. Mawby, R. Osman, R. N. Perutz, L. D. Field, M. P. Wilkinson and M. W. George, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, 115, 8627–8637.
- 46 H. Kooijman, J. W. Sprengers, M. J. Agerbeek, C. J. Elsevier and A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2004, **60**, o917–o918.
- 47 X. Wang and L.-Z. Zhang, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online, 2012, 68, 01930.

48 Y. Wang, J. Zhu, A. C. Durham, H. Lindberg and Y.-M. Wang, I. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 19594–19599.

Edge Article

- 49 A. Cutler, D. Ehntholt, W. Giering, P. Lennon, S. Raghu, A. Rosan, M. Rosenblum, J. Tancrede and D. Wells, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, 98, 3495–3507.
- 50 L. D. Field, A. V. George and B. A. Messerle, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 1339–1341, DOI: 10.1039/ C39910001339.
- 51 E. S. Ellis, D. J. Hinchen, A. Bleem, L. Bu, S. J. B. Mallinson, M. D. Allen, B. R. Streit, M. M. Machovina, Q. V. Doolin, W. E. Michener, C. W. Johnson, B. C. Knott, G. T. Beckham, J. E. McGeehan and J. L. DuBois, *JACS Au*, 2021, 1, 252–261.
- 52 M. E. Wolf, D. J. Hinchen, J. E. McGeehan and L. D. Eltis, J. Biol. Chem., 2024, 300, 107809.
- 53 H. Azizian and R. H. Morris, Inorg. Chem., 1983, 22, 6-9.
- 54 C. Tolman, S. Ittel, A. English and J. Jesson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 4080–4089.

- 55 P. M. Jurd, H. L. Li, M. Bhadbhade, J. D. Watson and L. D. Field, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2022, 961, 122252.
- 56 A. M. Messinis, T. von Münchow, M. Surke and L. Ackermann, *Nat. Catal.*, 2024, 7, 273–284.
- 57 G. Hata, H. Kondo and A. Miyake, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 2278–2281.
- 2453128: Experimental Crystal Structure 58 (a) CCDC Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbp5w; (b) **CCDC** 2453129: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbp6x; (c) **CCDC** 2453130: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbp7y; (d) CCDC 2453131: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbp8z; (e) **CCDC** 2453132: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbp90; (f) **CCDC** 2453133: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: 10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nbpb1.