ChemComm

COMMUNICATION



View Article Online

Check for updates

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5cc03340c

Stefan Thum. D Marcel A. Schmidt. D Jens Langer D and Sioerd Harder ***

complexes[†]

Received 12th June 2025, Accepted 1st July 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cc03340c

rsc.li/chemcomm

A Ca¹ synthon with superbulky β -diketiminate ligands (BDI*) and a N₂²⁻ dianion, [(BDI*)Ca]₂(N₂), is key to the synthesis of binuclear (BDI*)Ca(μ_2 -O₂)Ca(BDI*) and (BDI*)Ca(μ_2 -O)Ca(BDI*) complexes. The Ca oxide complex is particularly unstable in solution and was only accessible by a solvent-free reaction between solid [(BDI*)-Ca]₂(N₂) and N₂O gas.

From a historical perspective, the alkaline earth (Ae) metal oxides beryllia, magnesia, lime, strontia and baria are among the oldest known group 2 metal compounds. In fact, most of the alkaline earth metal's names originate from these rock salt minerals. Solid MgO or CaO are often used as supports for catalysts¹ but also alone can be catalytically active.^{2,3} Their ionic nature combines a Lewis acidic Ae²⁺ cation with a Brønsted basic O^{2-} anion, which is a perfect combination for small molecule activation by an FLP-type mechanism.⁴ As the reactive cationic and anionic centres should be accessible for substrates, low-coordination numbers are a requirement. This was most recently elegantly demonstrated by Stasch and coworkers who showed that a hydrocarbon-soluble Mg oxide complex is able to split the strong H-H bond (Scheme 1a).⁵ A THF-solvate of this Mg oxide complex, for which a first example was reported earlier by Jones,⁶ only reacted with H₂ after prior elimination of the THF ligands.⁵ In fact, addition of THF to the mixed Mg hydride/ hydroxide complex, the product of H₂ activation, reversed the reaction and led to Mg oxide formation under H22 release (Scheme 1a). The reaction of the Mg oxide complex with H_2 can also be seen as a deprotonation of H₂ which is known to have a very high pK_a value of *circa* 49.⁷ This underscores the extreme basicity of low-coordinate Mg oxides. In contrast, the highcoordinate μ_4 -O or μ_3 -O arrangements in early main group metal

complexes $^{8\text{--}26}$ are much less reactive than rare low-coordinate $\mu_2\text{-}O$ compounds. 5,6,27

Low-coordinate calcium peroxide and oxide

Herein, we report our investigations towards low-coordinate Ca oxide and peroxide complexes. Considering that the reactivity of Ae metal complexes rapidly increases with metal size,²⁸ we anticipate that the isolation of low-coordinate Ca oxide or peroxide complexes will be challenging. Lewinsky and coworkers recently isolated a first example of a heterobimetallic



Scheme 1 (a) Low-coordinate Mg oxide complex reacting with H_2 and H_2 release by addition of THF.⁵ (b) Heterobimetallic Ca/K peroxide complex.²⁹ (c) Mn₄CaO₄X cluster in photosystem II.

Inorganic and Organometallic Chemistry, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Egerlandstraße 1, 91058 Erlangen, Germany.

E-mail: sjoerd.harder@fau.de

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2427514 and 2427515. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cc03340c

Ca/K peroxide complex²⁹ (Scheme 1b) which, although not intended, incorporated K^+ originating from KCl salt eliminated in an previous salt-metathesis step. This demonstrates the difficulty in making low-coordinate (per)oxide complexes.

Our interest in Ca oxide and peroxide chemistry is also motivated by the prominent role of the Ca²⁺ cation in the O₂ evolving Photosystem II which is used by nature for water splitting: $2H_2O \rightarrow 4H^+ + 4e^- + O_2$. The Lewis-acidic Ca²⁺ cation is essential for catalytic activity of the central Mn₄CaO₅ cluster by stabilizing the oxide anion and transient peroxide species³⁰ (Scheme 1c) and also serves as a "taxi-stand" for incoming H₂O before moving into the active site.³¹

The key to well-defined Ca (per)oxide complexes is a recently isolated Ca dinitrogen complex, [(BDI*)Ca]2(N2) (I, Scheme 2, $BDI^* = HC[C(Me)N-(DIPeP)]_2$, $DIPeP = 2,6-(CHEt_2)-phenyl$.³² This complex can be obtained in good yield, either ether-free or as THF or THP (tetrahydropyran) adduct, and was shown to react as a Ca^I synthon by release of N₂ and 2e^{-.32-35} Reaction of dry air with a suspension of ether-free I in hexanes at -85 °C led to a rapid color change from red-brown to yellow but all attempts to crystallize the product failed. However, after the addition of a few drops of THP the Ca peroxide complex 1 started to crystallize rapidly (yield: 53%). Alternatively, the complex can also be prepared by oxidation of I-THP with dry air. The raw product of this latter reaction is nearly pure (>95%, Fig. S9, ESI[†]). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1 (Fig. S1-S3, ESI†) show one benzylic quintet and one Me-backbone signal, indicative of high average symmetry. ¹H NMR monitoring shows that a benzene- d_6 solution of **1** decomposes at 65 $^{\circ}$ C (Fig. S10, ESI[†]). We were not able to crystallize the decomposition product but, as observed by Lewinsky and coworkers,²⁹ we propose formation of a HOO⁻ complex. This is in agreement with appearance of signals around -0.5 ppm indicating deprotonation of alkyl arms.

Scheme 2 Synthesis of low-coordinate Ca peroxide (1) and Ca oxide (2) complexes.

Calcium peroxide 1 crystallized as a centrosymmetric, dinuclear complex in which the peroxide dianion O_2^{2-} bridges the pentacoordinated Ca²⁺ centres in a μ_2 - η^2 : η^2 -fashion (Fig. 1a). The Ca-O distances (2.1911(8)-2.2132(9) Å) are shorter than those in the only other known Ca peroxide complex (Scheme 1b: 2.315(2)-2.328(2) Å)²⁹ which shows a higher coordination number at the Ca nuclei and additional $O_2^{2-} \cdots K^+$ interactions. The Ca–O distances in 1 are comparable to those reported for [(DIPPBDI)Ca(TH-F)]₂(OH)₂ (2.224(2) Å and 2.218(2) Å).³⁶ The peroxide anion in 1 shows an O–O bond distance of 1.593(2) Å which is exceptionally long when compared to the only other reported Ca peroxide complex (Scheme 1b, O-O: 1.550(3) Å)²⁹ or to a Ca/V peroxide complex (O–O: 1.466(1)–1.482(1) Å).³⁷ Hill and coworkers reported pyridine and DMAP stabilized Mg peroxide complexes with longer O-O distances than that in 1 (1.625(1)-1.638(5) Å).³⁸ Samarium peroxide complexes show shorter O-O distances ranging from 1.509(4)-1.538 Å.^{39,40}

The remarkable reactivity of a low-coordinate Mg oxide complex⁵ prompted us to isolate a similar but even more reactive Ca oxide complex. Reactions of I or I-THP in alkanes with N₂O are fast but, independent of the temperature $(-70 \ ^{\circ}C/$ +20 °C), highly unselective. This was concluded from appearance of several ¹H NMR signals for the CH backbone in the BDI* ligand (Fig. S11, ESI[†]). Cooling the concentrated reaction mixture led to crystallization of a decomposition product in which the backbone Me group has been deprotonated (II, Scheme 2). The same complex was formed upon decomposition of I and has been fully characterized.³² A solid-state reaction turned out to be more successful. Crystalline I-THP was cooled to -70 °C and the protective N₂ atmosphere was replaced with N_2O . Slowly warming the solid to 0 °C led to a colour change from red-brown to off-white. Rapid recrystallization from pentane at -25 °C allowed for isolation of [(BDI*)Ca(THP)]₂(μ_2 -O) (2) in 27% yield. Its high reactivity and very high solubility both contribute to the moderate yield.

Dissolving crystalline **2** in benzene- d_6 or methylcyclohexane- d_{14} led to immediate decomposition in several species. A solution of **2** in methylcyclohexane- d_{14} decomposed even at -80 °C. The low temperature ¹H NMR spectrum showed several CH-backbone signals and signals at negative ppm values (Fig. S14, ESI†) which indicate the typical deprotonation of a -CHEt₂ arm. Similar alkyl deprotonations occurred upon attempted isolation of a (BDI)MgO⁻ anion.¹⁸ This illustrates the highly basic character of the oxide anion in **2**.

The crystal structure of 2 (Fig. 1b) shows a centrosymmetric dinuclear complex with perfectly linear Ca–(μ_2 -O)–Ca bridging. Coordination of THP results in 4-coordinate Ca centres. Attempts to synthesize and crystallize ether-free Ca oxide complexes with 3-coordinate Ca centres failed, possibly due to extreme reactivity. The low coordination number for the bridging μ_2 -O results in very short Ca–O distances of 2.0478(3) Å. Calcium complexes with μ_3 -O or μ_4 -O oxide ligands show much longer Ca–O distances: 2.223(9)–2.292(8) Å,¹⁴ 2.187(2)–2.265(8) Å,¹¹ or 2.128(2)–2.138(2) Å.¹²

Alkane solutions of the Ca oxide complex 2 react even at -80 °C instantaneous with H₂ but due to its instability in





Fig. 1 Crystal structures of 1 (a) and 2 (b); H atoms and CHEt₂ groups omitted for clarity. (c) The HOMO-3 and HOMO-4 for Ca oxide complex 2.

solution such reactivity studies gave only complicated mixtures of products. A similar observation was made for reaction with CO₂. Although **2** is reasonably stable in the solid crystalline state, even solid-state reactions of crystalline **2** with H₂ or CO₂ led to unselective product formation. Note that the similar Mg oxide complex only reacts with H₂ when ether-free (Scheme 1a) whereas the Ca oxide complex also reacts in presence of THP. This underscores the extreme reactivity of calcium complexes with μ_2 -bridging oxide ligands.

A DFT study sheds light on bonding and electron distribution in **1** and **2**. The optimized structures (B3PW91-GD3BJ/ def2tzvp//B3PW91-GD3BJ/def2svp) fit reasonably well with the experimentally determined molecular structures (Fig. S17 and S18, ESI†), indicating a sufficient level of theory.

The formation of the Ca oxide or peroxide complexes from the ether-free Ca^I synthon I is thermodynamically highly favoured. Oxidation of $[(BDI^*)Ca]_2(N_2)$ (I) with N₂O to form $[(BDI^*)Ca](\mu_2$ -O) was calculated to be exergonic by $\Delta G_{298K} =$ -109.8 kcal mol⁻¹ (Fig. S25, ESI[†]). Reaction of I with O₂ to form a Ca peroxide complex is even more exergonic ($\Delta G_{298K} =$ -150.0 kcal mol⁻¹). This underscores the highly reducing nature of Ca^I synthon I caused by the facile 2e-oxidation of the bridging dianion: N₂²⁻ \rightarrow N₂ + 2e⁻.

Natural Population Analysis (NPA) confirms essentially ionic Ca–(O₂) or Ca–O bonds with highly positive charges on the Ca centres (1: +1.79, 2: +1.79) and negative charges on the bridging (per)oxide dianions (O₂^{2–}: -1.78, O^{2–}: -1.74) (Fig. S19 and S20, ESI†). Ionic bonding is in agreement with Atoms-In-Molecules (AIM) analysis which shows low electron densities $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and positive Laplacian $\nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r})$ in the Ca–O bond-critical-points (bcp's); Fig. S21 and S22 (ESI†). The Wiberg Bond Index (WBI) of 0.99 for the O–O bond in the O₂^{2–} anion confirms single bond character for the peroxide anion in **1**. AIM analysis also shows that bridged O₂^{2–} in **1** and O^{2–} in **2** are involved in weak O···H–C bonding with organic fragments of the BDI* ligand (Fig. S21 and S22, ESI†). These non-classical hydrogen bonds are typical for low-coordinate ligands.⁴¹

An interesting aspect of bonding in the central Ca– $(\mu_2$ -O)–Ca of 2 is Ca d-orbital participation which is currently

controversially discussed. While the HOMO, HOMO–1 and HOMO–2 are mainly centred on the O^{2-} and BDI* ligands (Fig. S24, ESI†), the HOMO–3 combines 84.8% $O(2p_z)$ with 7.9% Ca(3d_{xz}) character (Fig. 1c). For HOMO–4 following contributions are calculated: 77.9% $O(2p_z)$ with 11.2% Ca(3d_{xz}).

In summary, first Ca (per)oxide complexes with lowcoordinate μ_2 -O₂ or μ_2 -O dianions are accessible from the Ca^I synthon [(BDI*)Ca(THP)]₂(N₂) and O₂ or N₂O, respectively. The very high reactivity and instability of the Ca oxide complex in solution required a solid-state synthesis. The low-coordination number of the peroxide and oxide dianions result in numerous non-classical C-H···O hydrogen bonds but also cause instability. Facile decomposition likely proceeds through deprotonation of the ligand's alkyl groups, impeding selective reactivity. However, the reactivity of the low-coordinate Ca peroxide complex 1 as an oxidizing reagent is currently under investigation.

We acknowledge Dr C. Färber and J. Schmidt for assistance with NMR analyses and A. Roth for CHN analyses. Dr J. Mai, L. Klerner and T. Vilpas are acknowledged for assistance with DFT calculations. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for funding (HA 3218/11-1).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

X-ray crystallographic data have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with reference numbers: CCDC 2427514–2427515. Complex syntheses and analyses, NMR spectra, crystallographic details including ORTEP representations, details for the DFT calculations including XYZ-files have been included as part of the ESI.[†]

Notes and references

- 1 N. M. Julkapli and S. Bagheri, Rev. Inorg. Chem., 2016, 36, 1-41.
- 2 V. R. Chintareddy and M. Lakshmi Kantam, *Catal. Surv. Asia*, 2011, **15**, 89–110.

Communication

- 3 W. Widayat, T. Darmawan, H. Hadiyanto and R. A. Rosyid, *J. Phys.:* Conf. Ser., 2017, **877**, 012018.
- 4 D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 6400-6441.
- 5 S. Thompson, S. Burnett, R. Ferns, T. van Mourik, A. P. McKay, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. B. Cordes and A. Stasch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2025, 147, 5247–5257.
- 6 R. Lalrempuia, A. Stasch, A. Stasch and C. Jones, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 4383–4388.
- 7 K. Abdur-Rashid, T. P. Fong, B. Greaves, D. G. Gusev, J. G. Hinman, S. E. Landau, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, 122, 9155–9171.
- 8 G. Stucky and R. E. Rundle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 4821-4825.
- 9 A. R. Kennedy, R. E. Mulvey and R. B. Rowlings, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 3180–3183.
- 10 H. Liu, S. E. Neale, M. S. Hill, M. F. Mahon, C. L. McMullin and E. Richards, *Organometallics*, 2024, **43**, 879–888.
- 11 R. Fischer, H. Görls and M. Westerhausen, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 8, 1159–1161.
- 12 C. Ruspic and S. Harder, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 5506-5508.
- 13 M. Westerhausen, M. Gärtner, R. Fischer, J. Langer, L. Yu and M. Reiher, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 6292–6306.
- 14 S. Krieck, H. Görls and M. Westerhausen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, 694, 2204–2209.
- 15 S. Yadav, V. S. V. S. N. Swamy, R. Gonnade and S. S. Sen, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, 1, 1066–1071.
- 16 B. Wei, L. Liu, W. Zhang and Z. Xi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 9188–9192.
- 17 R. Mondal, M. J. Evans, D. T. Nguyen, T. Rajeshkumar, L. Maron and C. Jones, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **60**, 1016–1019.
- 18 J. Maurer, L. Klerner, J. Mai, H. Stecher, S. Thum, M. Morasch, J. Langer and S. Harder, *Nat. Chem.*, 2025, 17, 703–709.
- 19 N. C. Mösch-Zanetti, M. Ferbinteanu and J. Magull, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2002, 950–956.
- 20 A. M. Drummond, L. T. Gibson, A. R. Kennedy, R. E. Mulvey, C. T. O'Hara, R. B. Rowlings and T. Weightman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2382–2384.

- 21 A. R. Kennedy, J. G. MacLellan and R. E. Mulvey, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2003, 59, m302–m303.
- 22 R. Fischer, H. Görls and M. Westerhausen, *Organometallics*, 2007, 26, 3269–3271.
- 23 H. Vitze, H.-W. Lerner and M. Bolte, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online*, 2011, **67**, m1614.
- 24 A. D. Obi, N. C. Frey, D. A. Dickie, C. E. Webster and R. J. Gilliard, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202211496.
- 25 J. Mai, J. Maurer, J. Langer and S. Harder, Nat. Synth., 2023, 3, 368-377.
- 26 C. Ritschel, C. Donsbach and C. Feldmann, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2024, **30**, e202400418.
- 27 S. Schnitzler, T. P. Spaniol and J. Okuda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 12997–13006.
- 28 S. Harder and J. Langer, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2023, 7, 843-853.
- A. Kornowicz, T. Pietrzak, K. Korona, M. Terlecki, I. Justyniak, A. Kubas and J. Lewiński, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 18938–18947.
 D. Lionatti and T. Agania, Nature 2014, 512, 405.
- 30 D. Lionetti and T. Agapie, *Nature*, 2014, **513**, 495–496.
- 31 B. Zhang and L. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47, 14381–14387.
 32 B. Rösch, T. X. Gentner, J. Langer, C. Färber, J. Eyselein, L. Zhao,
- C. Ding, G. Frenking and S. Harder, *Science*, 2021, **371**, 1125–1125.
- 33 S. Thum, O. P. E. Townrow, J. Langer and S. Harder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, **16**, 4528–4536.
- 34 S. Thum, J. Mai, N. Patel, J. Langer and S. Harder, *ChemistryEurope*, 2025, e202500080.
- 35 S. Thum, J. Mai, M. A. Schmidt, J. Langer and S. Harder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 12058–12067.
- 36 C. Ruspic, S. Nembenna, A. Hofmeister, J. Magull, S. Harder and H. W. Roesky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15000–15004.
- 37 T. Higuchi, A. Uchida and M. Hashimoto, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 2013, 69, 1494–1497.
- 38 M. S. Hill, G. Kociok-Köhn, D. J. MacDougall, M. F. Mahon and C. Weetman, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 12500–12509.
- 39 B. Neumüller, F. Weller, T. Gröb and K. Dehnicke, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2002, 628, 2365–2371.
- 40 N. J. C. Van Velzen and S. Harder, Organometallics, 2018, 37, 2263–2271.
- 41 S. Harder, Chem. Eur. J., 1999, 1852-1861.