

MATERIALS CHEMISTRY

FRONTIERS



CHINESE
CHEMICAL
SOCIETY



ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY | Celebrating
IYPT 2019

rsc.li/frontiers-materials

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Mater. Chem. Front.*,
2019, 3, 32

Recyclable mechanoluminescent luminogen: different polymorphs, different self-assembly effects of the thiophene moiety and recovered molecular packing *via* simple thermal-treatment†

Can Wang,^a Yun Yu,^a Zhaofei Chai,^a Fangdi He,^a Chaozheng Wu,^a Yanbin Gong,^a Mengmeng Han,^a Qianqian Li^a and Zhen Li^{id} *^{ab}

We report a purely organic recyclable mechanoluminescent luminogen (**tPE-5-MeTh**) with the combination of an AIEgen and a thiophene group as the self-assembly unit. As a result of the different self-assembly effects, two crystalline polymorphs were cultured from different solvents, which possess totally different packing modes and various inter/intramolecular interactions with opposite ML properties. Excitingly, the molecular packing status of **tPE-5-MeTh** could be adjusted through simple thermal-treatment, offering recoverability and controllability of its ML activity. These results provide a new avenue for rational molecular design, convenience of potential applications and deep understanding of the inherent mechanism.

Received 18th August 2018,
Accepted 7th September 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8qm00411k

rsc.li/frontiers-materials

1 Introduction

Mechanoluminescence (ML), also named as triboluminescence, is a special light-emission derived from mechanical stimuli in the solid state, frequently in the crystalline state, with huge potential in displays, lighting, bioimaging and stress-sensing applications.¹ Although ML was observed from sugar by Francis Bacon earlier in 1605, further development of pure organic ML luminogens remained silent for a very long time, mainly as a result of weak ML signals, intangible inherent mechanisms, and lack of rational molecular design.² In 2015, the marriage of the ML process and the well-known aggregation induce emission (AIE) offered a new feeling for the molecular design of bright ML luminogens, providing opportunities to explore the mysterious ML excitation process.^{3a} We reported the first example of an AIEgen with fluorescence–phosphorescence dual mechanoluminescence and purely organic ML luminogens with room temperature phosphorescence in 2016 and 2017, respectively, to understand the inherent mechanisms deeply.³ Coupled with excellent studies of other scientists, the ML process is considered to be highly related to the molecular packing in the solid state, and generally occurs accompanying

the fracture of crystals upon mechanical stimuli. Accordingly, some more bright ML luminogens have been developed recently.⁴ However, under continuous stimuli, ML signals become weak and even disappear, in response to the change of luminogens from the crystalline state to an amorphous one, meaning that they can be utilized only once before possible recovery using a tedious dissolution-evaporation process (Chart 1).⁵ To facilitate convenient practical applications and explore the possible adjustments of the molecular packing of ML luminogens through simple approaches, some new design ideas should be proposed and straightforward methods should be found.

Supramolecular self-assembly is the formation of multi-molecular groups held together spontaneously by weak interactions of non-covalent bonds, and has attracted much attention as a hot research topic, in which the key point is that molecules could be rearranged when breaking the thermodynamic equilibrium.⁶ Thus, under some special stimuli, the molecular status could change from one state to another reversibly. Inspired by this fact, we wondered if the ML property mentioned above could be achieved by utilizing the supramolecular self-assembling effect. Accordingly, the thiophene moiety, frequently used as the building block in semiconductors, organogels and polymer solar cells due to its assembling ability with other groups, was chosen for construction of our dream ML luminogens with recyclable mechanoluminescence (Chart S1, ESI†).⁷ Thus, also considering the assurance of the AIE characteristic⁸ and the possible formation of intermolecular/intramolecular hydrogen bonds, **tPE-5-MeTh**, with the structure shown in Chart 1, was rationally designed, to explore the ML reversibility and possibly the deep inherent mechanism. Interestingly, two

^a Department of Chemistry, Hubei Key Lab on Organic and Polymeric Opto-Electronic Materials, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China.

E-mail: lizhen@whu.edu.cn, lichemlab@163.com; Fax: +86-27-68755767

^b Institute of Molecular Aggregation Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1846929 and 1846930. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8qm00411k




Chart 1 The new design of the mechanoluminescence material and chemical structure of **tPE-5-MeTh** with a diagram of its recyclable utilization.

crystalline polymorphs of **tPE-5-MeTh** were cultured from different mixture solvents, which possessed totally different molecular packing partially due to the different assembling behavior of the thiophene moieties. Correspondingly, **Crystal-P1** with the *P1* space group (CCDC 1846930[†]) having an extremely ordered spatial packing mode, demonstrates an efficient ML property, while **Crystal-P2** with the space group of *P2₁2₁2₁* (CCDC 1846929[†]) is ML inactive because of the antiparallel packing mode. Excitingly, the crystalline state of **tPE-5-MeTh** could be recovered selectively from the amorphous state reversibly by simple thermal-treatment at relatively low temperature. To the best our knowledge, this is the first example of purely organic recyclable mechanoluminescence without melting the solid, which will provide a new avenue for rational molecular design and a deep understanding of the inherent mechanism.

2 Experimental

2.1 Synthesis

The synthetic route to **tPE-5-MeTh** is illustrated in Scheme S1 (ESI[†]). **tPE-5-MeTh** was conveniently synthesized by a typical Wittig and Suzuki reaction, and purified by a silica gel column followed by recrystallization. They were all characterized by mass spectrometry, elemental analysis and ¹H/¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

Synthesis of compound 1. 4-Bromobenzyl bromide (5.00 g, 20 mmol) and a solution of triethyl phosphite (10.34 mL, 60 mmol) were added into a 150 mL flask. The mixture was heated at 150 °C for 24 hours under an atmosphere of nitrogen, and then cooled to room temperature. After removing excess triethyl phosphite by vacuum distillation, the product was obtained in 100% yield and used directly in the next step.

Synthesis of compound tPE-Br. To a two-necked flask was added the mixture of compound **1** (3.07 g, 10 mmol) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (1.12 g, 10 mmol) with freshly distilled THF (100 mL). After the addition of the benzophenone (2.00 g, 11 mmol) solution in THF (50 mL), the reaction was stirred for 2 hours at 0 °C under an atmosphere of nitrogen. After warming to room temperature, the reaction was stirred for another 2 hours. After removing the solvent with a rotary evaporator, the reaction mixture was purified by a silica gel column using petroleum ether as an eluent, to give a white solid (3.08 g, 91.8%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 7.35–7.28 (m, 8H), 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.16 (m, 2H), 6.88 (m, 2H), 6.86 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 143.40, 143.03, 139.90, 136.29, 131.07, 131.04, 130.24, 128.75, 128.24, 127.73, 127.63, 127.57, 126.77, 120.53. MS (EI), *m/z*: 334.62 ([M⁺], calcd for C₂₀H₁₅Br, 334.04).

Synthesis of compound tPE-5-MeTh. Into the mixture of **tPE-Br** (1.01 g, 3 mmol), 5-methylthiophene-2-boronic acid pinacol ester (0.67 g, 3.3 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.21 g, 0.12 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.49 g, 18 mmol), water (9 mL) and THF (27 mL) were added under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 24 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant reaction mixture was washed with brine and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ three times. The organic layer was collected and combined. After removing the solvent with a rotary evaporator, the solid was purified by a silica gel column using petroleum ether/CH₂Cl₂ (v/v = 19/1) as an eluent, to give a pale yellow solid (0.79 g, 74.6%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 7.38–7.27 (m, 10H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.05 (m, 1H), 6.99 (m, 2H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.68 (m, 1H), 2.48 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ): 143.35, 142.40, 141.68, 140.39, 139.49, 136.06, 132.93, 130.34, 129.95, 128.74, 128.20, 127.65, 127.55, 127.50, 127.48, 126.22, 124.83, 122.76, 15.50. MS (EI), *m/z*: 352.00 ([M⁺], calcd for C₂₅H₂₀S, 352.13). Anal. calcd for C₂₅H₂₀S: C, 85.19; H, 5.72; S, 9.10 found: C, 85.09; H, 5.76; S, 9.04.

2.2 Materials and apparatus

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker Advance III spectrometer, using CDCl₃ as a solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard (δ = 0.00 ppm). Mass spectra were measured on a ZAB 3F-HF mass spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses of carbon, hydrogen and sulfur were performed on a Perkin-Elmer microanalyzer. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Hitachi F-4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer. The UV-vis spectrum was measured on a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer. Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) and fluorescence decay were recorded on an Edinburgh FLS980 fluorescence spectrophotometer. The ML spectrum was measured on an Acton SP2750 spectrometer with CCD (SPEC-10, Princeton) as a power detector. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were recorded on a Bruker Smart Apex II CCD diffractometer. The powder X-ray diffraction patterns were measured on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer. The Gaussian 09 program was utilized to perform the TD-DFT calculations. Differential scanning calorimetry was performed on a NETZSCH DSC 200 PC instrument. Thermal-treatment was performed using the model of IKA, C-MAG HP 4.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Optical properties

The UV-Visible absorption spectrum was measured in a dilute tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution (10^{-5} M) with the maximum absorption peak at 346 nm, which should be ascribed to the π - π^* electron orbital transition of the conjugated system (Fig. S1a, ESI[†]). tPE-MeTh exhibited nearly no emission in the THF solution with a PLQY of only 0.38%. However, with an increase in the water fraction (f_w) from 0 to 98%, the emission was enhanced 16 times due to gradual molecular aggregation (Fig. 1a). And its PLQY could be as high as 61.46% in the solid state, laying a foundation for its efficient ML emission.⁹

Two crystalline polymorphs of tPE-5-MeTh were cultured by the evaporation of the mixed organic solvents. There were several different conditions chosen to discuss the more effective methods to prepare the polymorphs. As shown in Table S1 (ESI[†]), **Crystal-P2** was always present during the recrystallization in *n*-hexane, acetonitrile or dimethylsulfoxide and so on. Using the same conditions of temperature or solvent as contrast, several groups with different evaporation rates have been selected to prove that the packing mode of **Crystal-P1** needs more time/energy to be cultivated. As shown in Fig. 2, **Crystal-P2** has a




Fig. 2 The molecular geometries of a single molecule in different crystal structures and their morphology images taken using a Leica M123 optical microscope.

Table 1 Optical properties of tPE-5-MeTh

	$\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{abs}}$ (nm)	$\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{em}}$ (nm)	τ (ns)	k_r (10^8 s^{-1})	k_{nr} (10^8 s^{-1})	Φ_F^a (%)
tPE-5-MeTh	346 ^b /376 ^c	452 ^b /468 ^c	1.906	3.22	2.02	0.38 ^b /61.46 ^c
Crystal-P1	—	440	3.055	1.09	2.18	33.30
Crystal-P2	—	473	1.700	1.50	4.38	25.50

^a Φ_F = photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY). ^b Measured in a dilute THF solution, 10^{-5} M. ^c Measured in the aggregation state.




Fig. 1 (a) PL spectra and relative PL intensities ($I/I_0 - 1$) of tPE-5-MeTh in THF/water mixtures with different water fractions (f_w). (b) Normalized PL spectra of **Crystal-P1** (line) and **Crystal-P2** (dash) and Normalized ML spectrum of **Crystal-P1** (red). (c) Emission decay of tPE-5-MeTh in the solid state and different crystals. (I_0 : the max. emission intensity at 0% water fraction. Excitation wavelength: 343 nm (solution) and 376 nm (aggregation). Concentration: 10^{-5} M).

bigger dimension ratio than **Crystal-P1**, and could be easily differentiated with the naked eye due to the distinguishable fluorescence under UV illumination. The maximum emission wavelength of **Crystal-P2** is centered at 472 nm ($\Phi_F = 25.50\%$, $\tau = 1.700$ ns), close to the wavelength of the as-prepared powder, while **Crystal-P1** exhibits a blue-shift to 440 nm ($\Phi_F = 33.30\%$, $\tau = 3.055$ ns) (Table 1). Similar to those reported in the literature,^{9c} the Φ_F of the amorphous powder is much higher than those of **Crystal-P1** and **Crystal-P2** (61.46%), disclosing the much different molecular packing status in different solid states. Excitingly, the ML spectrum of tPE-5-MeTh is peaked at 453 nm with a strong signal due to the combination of the AIEgen and crucial self-assembly unit.

3.2 Crystallography

Analyzing the crystal data for a single molecule, there are 4 types of C-H... π intramolecular interactions, derived from the introduction of rotatable phenyl rings and an isolated hydrogen atom. For **Crystal-P2**, the distances of the C-H... π intramolecular interactions range from 3.069 to 3.639 Å and the dihedral angle of each phenyl ring is $73.021(198)^\circ$ (ring a-b), $52.820(183)^\circ$ (ring a-c) and $63.908(184)^\circ$ (ring b-c), respectively. However, **Crystal-P1** has more compact packing with distances of the C-H... π intramolecular interactions ranging from 2.886 to 3.549 Å, and the dihedral angle of each phenyl ring is $89.481(318)^\circ$ (ring a-b), $26.357(304)^\circ$ (ring a-c) and $84.305(262)^\circ$

(ring b-c), respectively. The twisted conformation not only establishes blue emission with a high PLQY in the solid state, but also contributes to an efficient ML property as well. Since the rotation of the thiophene ring is restricted by C-H $\cdots\pi$ interactions from the circumambient tPE unit, some static disorders are observed. The main occupancy ratio of **Crystal-P1/P2** is 76.79% and 58.35% in each crystalline state, respectively, which has been selected for subsequent analysis. Further exploring the packing mode of these two polymorphs with opposite ML properties, the space groups of single crystals were triclinic *P1* and orthorhombic *P2₁2₁2₁*. As shown in Fig. 3, there is no existence of any type of H/J-aggregation and π - π interaction in either crystal. The molecules in a pair are perpendicular to each other and the whole crystal is tightly packed in the same direction layer by layer in the non-centrosymmetric **Crystal-P1**, exhibiting an excellent self-assembly property of the thiophene ring. However, the molecules cross each other in pairs and the whole crystal has antiparallel packing in the centrosymmetric **Crystal-P2**.

In order to investigate the relationship between mechanoluminescence and packing mode more clearly, the non-repeating unit (the area in red dash in Fig. 3) consisting of eight molecules was chosen to compare the difference between the intermolecular interactions in these polymorphs. As shown in Fig. S3 and Tables S3 and S4 (ESI[†]), there are 16 + 5 types (19 + 9 numbers) of intermolecular C-H $\cdots\pi$ (C-H \cdots Ph + C-H \cdots Th) interactions with distances ranging from 3.097 Å to 3.541 Å and 6 types (10 numbers) of intermolecular C-H \cdots S interactions with distances ranging from 3.173 Å to 3.590 Å in the ML-active **Crystal-P1**. In comparison to **Crystal-P1**, **Crystal-P2** has less intermolecular interactions related to the thiophene ring. According to Fig. S4 and Tables S5 and S6 (ESI[†]), there are 7 + 2 types (10 + 6 numbers) of intermolecular C-H $\cdots\pi$ (C-H \cdots Ph + C-H \cdots Th) interactions with distances ranging from 2.911 Å to 3.487 Å and 3 types (10 numbers) of intermolecular

C-H \cdots S interactions with distances ranging from 3.089 Å to 3.531 Å in the ML-inactive **Crystal-P2**. It is implied that **Crystal-P1** has more intense intermolecular/intramolecular interactions than **Crystal-P2** between adjacent molecules, and the thiophene ring plays an extremely important role as the self-assembly unit (Table 2). In addition, each molecule interacts to form the closely linked network in **Crystal-P1**, but each four molecules as a part do not have any interactions between contiguous parts in **Crystal-P2**. The packing mode with a long-range ordered structure due to the self-assembly effect, has contributed to forming effective meshed connections by intense intermolecular/intramolecular interaction, which is critically important for the ML property.

The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) spectra of these two crystalline polymorphs distinctly demonstrate totally different characteristic peaks (Fig. S7, ESI[†]), with the main characteristic peak of **Crystal-P1** and **Crystal-P2** at 20° and 25°, respectively. After grinding lightly, the crystals broke into micro-crystals, which still exhibited high and sharp diffraction peaks. Further grinding **Crystal-P2** heavily, there was almost no signal in its PXRD spectrum, implying that its crystalline phase was totally destroyed to the amorphous one.¹⁰ However, on the contrary, there was still one sharp diffraction peak at 20° in the PXRD spectrum of **Crystal-P1** after grinding heavily, showing that its micro-crystals were hard to destroy, which should be ascribed to the strong interactions derived from self-assembly. Based on the crystal structures of these crystalline polymorphs, theoretical calculations were conducted by classical B3LYP density functional theory at the 6-31G level. As shown in Fig. 4, no clear orbital delocalization is marked, mainly due to the nonpolar structure of tPE-5-MeTh. **Crystal-P1** has a smaller ΔE_g value (3.73 eV) than **Crystal-P2** (3.81 eV), indicating that the molecular electrons of **Crystal-P1** might be much easily excited. The dipole moments of these two crystals are 10.09 D and 9.10 D, respectively. Generally, a larger dipole moment is beneficial to




Fig. 3 Packing mode of the ML-active **Crystal-P1** (a and c) and ML-inactive **Crystal-P2** (b and d) in different viewing directions.

Table 2 Single crystal information of **Crystal-P1** and **Crystal-P2**

	Intramolecular C-H... π		Intermolecular C-H... π		C-H...S	Space group	Symmetry	Crystal system
Crystal-P1	4 ^a	4 ^b	16 + 5 ^a	19 + 9 ^a	6 ^a 10 ^b	P1	Noncentrosymmetric	Triclinic
	2.886–3.549 Å		3.097–3.541 Å		3.173–3.590 Å			
Crystal-P2	4 ^a	4 ^b	7 + 2 ^a	10 + 6 ^b	3 ^a 10 ^b	P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁	Centrosymmetric	Orthorhombic
	3.069–3.639 Å		2.911–3.487 Å		3.089–3.531 Å			

^a The quantity of kinds of hydrogen bonds. ^b The quantity of hydrogen bonds.




Fig. 4 The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) density maps of **tPE-5-MeTh** and their dipole moment in different polymorphs. (Calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G level).

the piezoelectric effect. Thus, the larger dipole moment of the noncentrosymmetric **Crystal-P1** favors its ML effect upon the recombination of the cation and the anion once being stimulated.

In spite of the same molecular structure, these crystalline polymorphs (**Crystal-P1** and **Crystal-P2**) show the opposite phenomenon, implying that the packing mode still acts as the highlight point for the ML property. On the basis of detailed analysis of these two crystals, the introduction of a thiophene ring as the self-assembly unit directly leads to the formation of diverse packing modes, by forming intense intra/intermolecular interactions more handily and efficiently. However, similar to the previous organic ML-active luminogens, the ML signals became weak and then disappeared under continuous stimuli. According to our original design idea, the self-assembled molecules have the inherent ability to rearrange under the influence of the surrounding environment. Combined with the effect of temperature for preparing the crystalline state of **tPE-5-MeTh**, it enlightens us that there might be an opportunity to explore whether the exciting ML property could be recoverable through thermal-treatment.

3.3 Thermal properties

As shown in Fig. S8 (ESI[†]), the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curve of **Crystal-P2** presented its melting point at 112° and no obvious glass-transition temperature. However, two pairs of characteristic peaks were shown in the DSC curve of **Crystal-P1**. After careful analysis and comparison, it could be that **Crystal-P1** transformed during the first phase transition (87°) and melted during the first molten state (104°). Inspiringly, the temperature difference of the phase transition between **Crystal-P1** and **Crystal-P2** is big enough to be regulated through simple thermal treatment.¹¹

3.4 Recoverable ML property

Accordingly, an experimental setup (Fig. S9, ESI[†]) has been built to realize the transformation between the different solid states of **tPE-5-MeTh** with opposite ML properties. Firstly, the powder of **tPE-5-MeTh** with greenish-blue emission (470 nm) was placed in the center of a petri dish, which was protected by slow-flowing nitrogen. After heating to 90 °C for dozens of seconds, the solid changed during the first phase transition. The emission turned off because the high-temperature increased the motion of the molecules and more energy was expended in non-radiative transitions. Then, the temperature was gradually reduced to 30 °C and was kept stable until the molecules recrystallized. The emission wavelength of the reborn crystals was 442 nm, similar to that of **Crystal-P1**. Excitingly, there was intense light emission while grinding the crystals in the dish, implying that the reborn crystals could be destroyed to the amorphous form again by mechanical stimuli (Fig. 5). This cycle of phase transitions could be repeated many times, and the ML luminogens could be reused through simple thermal-treatment, thanks to the contribution of self-assembly.

PXRD spectra were measured to further confirm the molecular packing status in each step (Fig. 5). The characteristic peaks of the powder (blue line) were at 12°, 20° and 25°, respectively, the same as the PXRD pattern of ML-inactive **Crystal-P2**. After simple thermal-treatment, the peak at 20° obviously became stronger and sharper (red line), while the peaks at 12° and 25° nearly did not have any signals, the same




Fig. 5 Diagram of the cyclic utilization of the ML material (**tPE-5-MeTh**) and PXRD patterns of **tPE-5-MeTh** in different states in the circulation.




Fig. 6 The strategy to construct recyclable and controllable ML molecules due to self-assembly.

as the PXRD pattern of ML-active **Crystal-P1**. After grinding the reborn crystals heavily, the peak at 20° decreased immediately (black line). This cycle could be repeated endlessly without any sample loss through simple thermal-treatment and the phase transitions from amorphous state to **Crystal-P1** could be adjusted conveniently to make the ML property recur, due to the self-assembly ability of **Crystal-P1**. More interestingly, when the solid underwent the second phase transition (heating temperature higher than 95 °C), the emission of the reborn crystalline state did not change after cooling to recrystallization, but there was no ML emission upon grinding. According to the PXRD patterns in Fig. S10 (ESI[†]), the opposite result could be obtained. It is implied that the structural rearrangement caused by the self-assembly is sensitive to the environment (*e.g.* temperature) (Fig. 6). The transition temperatures of the crystalline polymorphs (87 and 92 °C) are important for these very different results. Thus, 90 °C and 95 °C, as crucial temperatures, have been demonstrated to distinguish these two kinds of crystals. For **tPE-5-MeTh**, the ML property could not only be recovered but also change to the opposite phenomenon through the simple control of the temperature. It is rare that different packing modes can be easily transformed in the solid state at relatively low temperature, emphasizing the key role of the introduced self-assembly effect, which could effectively reduce the energy consumption during the conversion process. Thus, this molecular design could provide valuable information and favorable conditions for related functional materials containing self-assembly units for potential applications.

Conclusions

In summary, a new ML luminogen of **tPE-5-MeTh** has been rationally designed and easily synthesized with a thiophene group as the self-assembly unit. Regardless of the same molecular structure, two crystalline polymorphs were obtained by

elaborately preparing different mixture solvents, and their totally different ML properties confirmed the key role of the molecular packing mode once more. Accordingly, the introduction of self-assembly is beneficial to forming intense intra/intermolecular interactions more handily and efficiently, which could induce a tighter packing mode. Excitingly, simple thermal treatment could break the thermodynamic equilibrium of the static system to rearrange the molecules and achieve the recoverability and controllability of ML materials, thanks to the supramolecular self-assembly. Thus, the introduction of self-assembly units opens up a new avenue for further facilitating convenient practical applications and exploring the possible adjustment of the molecular packing of ML materials through simple treatments. Also, the successful case could shed some light on the handling of molecular arrangement of other opto-electronic materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (no. 51573140, 21734007), Hubei Province (2017CFA002), and Special funds for basic scientific research services in central colleges and Universities (2042017kf0247).

Notes and references

- (a) C. N. Xu, T. Watanabe, M. Akiyama and X. Zheng, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, **74**, 1236–1238; (b) N. C. Eddingsaas and K. S. Suslick, *Nature*, 2006, **444**, 163; (c) I. Sage, R. Badcock, L. Humberstone, N. Geddes, M. Kemp and G. Bourhill, *Smart Mater. Struct.*, 1999, **8**, 504–510; (d) D. Tu, C. Xu, A. Yoshida, M. Fujihala, J. Hirotsu and X. Zheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606914; (e) N. C. Eddingsaas and K. S. Suslick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 6718–6719; (f) X. Zhang, J. Shi, G. Shen, F. Gou, J. Cheng, X. Zhou and H. Xiang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1041–1050.
- (a) P. Jha and B. P. Chandra, *Luminescence*, 2014, **29**, 977–993; (b) J. I. Zink, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1978, **11**, 289–295; (c) A. J. Walton, *Adv. Phys.*, 1977, **26**, 887–948; (d) R. S. Fontenot, K. N. Bhat, W. A. Hollerman and M. D. Aggarwal, *Cryst. Res. Technol.*, 2012, **47**, 573–578; (e) D. Tu, C. N. Xu, A. Yoshida, M. Fujihala, J. Hirotsu and X. G. Zheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606914.
- (a) S. Xu, T. Liu, Y. Mu, Y. Wang, Z. Chi, C. Lo, S. Liu, Y. Zhang, A. Lien and J. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 874–878; (b) J. Yang, Z. Ren, Z. Xie, Y. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Xie, Q. Peng, B. Xu, W. Tian, F. Zhang, Z. Chi, Q. Li and Z. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 880–884; (c) J. Yang, X. Gao, Z. Xie, Y. Gong, M. Fang, Q. Peng, Z. Chi and Z. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 15299–15303; (d) Y. Xie and Z. Li, *Chem*, 2018, **4**, 943–971; (e) T. Xie, B. Zhang, X. Zhang and

- G. Zhang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 693–696; (f) J. Yang, X. Zhen, B. Wang, X. Gao, Z. Ren, J. Wang, Y. Xie, J. Li, Q. Peng, K. Pu and Z. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 840; (g) J. Yang, J. Qin, P. Geng, J. Wang, M. Fang and Z. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201809463.
- 4 (a) B. Xu, J. He, Y. Mu, Q. Zhu, S. Wu, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Jin, C. Lo, Z. Chi, A. Lien, S. Liu and J. Xu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3236–3241; (b) B. Xu, W. Li, J. He, S. Wu, Q. Zhu, Z. Yang, Y. Wu, Y. Zhang, C. Jin, P. Lu, Z. Chi, S. Liu, J. Xu and M. R. Bryce, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5307–5312; (c) S. M. Jeong, S. Song, S. K. Lee and N. Y. Ha, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 6194–6200; (d) P. Chen and Z. Li, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **35**, 793–798; (e) C. Zheng, Q. Zang, H. Nie, W. Huang, Z. Zhao, A. Qin, R. Hu and B. Z. Tang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2018, **2**, 180–188; (f) W. Li, Q. Huang, Z. Mao, Q. Li, L. Jiang, Z. Xie, R. Xu, Z. Yang, J. Zhan, T. Yu, Y. Zhang, M. P. Aldred and Z. Chi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 12727–12732.
- 5 (a) F. Liu, J. Tu, X. Wang, J. Wang, Y. Gong, M. Han, X. Dang, Q. Liao, Q. Peng, Q. Li and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 5598–5601; (b) Y. Gong, P. Zhang, Y. Gu, J. Wang, M. Han, C. Chen, X. Zhan, Z. Xie, B. Zou, Q. Peng, Z. Chi and Z. Li, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, 1800198; (c) X. Gao, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 641–642; (d) H. Nie, K. Hu, Y. Cai, Z. Zhao, R. Hu, J. Chen, S. Su, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1125–1129.
- 6 (a) C. Richard, F. Balavoine, P. Schultz, T. W. Ebbesen and C. Mioskowski, *Science*, 2003, **300**, 775–778; (b) M. E. Moussa, S. Evariste, B. Krämer, R. Réau, M. Scheer and C. Lescop, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 795–799; (c) Y. Liu, C. Zhang, D. Hao, Z. Zhang, L. Wu, M. Li, S. Feng, X. Xu, F. Liu, X. Chen and Z. Bo, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 4307–4312; (d) X. Zhan, J. Zhang, Y. Gong, S. Tang, J. Tu, Y. Xie, Q. Peng, G. Yu and Z. Li, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 2341–2348; (e) L. Chen, G. Lin, H. Peng, S. Ding, W. Luo, R. Hu, S. Chen, F. Huang, A. Qin, Z. Zhao and B. Z. Tang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 176–180; (f) J. Chen, J. Zhao, B. Xu, Z. Yang, S. Liu, J. Xu, Y. Zhang, Y. Wu, P. Lv and Z. Chi, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **35**, 282–292.
- 7 (a) J. Han, Y. Chen, W. Chen, C. Yu, X. Song, F. Li and Y. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 32823–32832; (b) X. Cao, N. Zhao, G. Zou, A. Gao, Q. Ding, G. Zeng and Y. Wu, *Soft Matter*, 2017, **13**, 3802–3811; (c) N. Zhou, K. Prabakaran, B. Lee, S. Chang, B. Harutyunyan, P. Guo, M. R. Butler, A. Timalisina, M. J. Bedzyk, M. A. Ratner, S. Vegiraju, S. Yau, C. Wu, R. P. H. Chang, A. Facchetti, M. Chen and T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4414–4423; (d) Y. Wu, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, **35**, 141–154; (e) B. Zhang, R. H. Sánchez, Y. Zhong, M. Ball, M. W. Terban, D. Paley, S. J. L. Billinge, F. Ng, M. L. Steigerwald and C. Nuckolls, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1957; (f) H. Zhao, Y. Luo, L. Liu, Z. Xie and Y. Ma, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1087–1092; (g) W. Zheng, G. Yang, S. Jiang, N. Shao, G. Yin, L. Xu, X. Li, G. Chen and H. Yang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1823–1828; (h) Y. Li, Y. Wang, X. Ren and L. Chen, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 2599–2605; (i) W. Geng, Y. Liu, Z. Zheng, D. Ding and D. Guo, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 2651–2655.
- 8 (a) Y. N. Hong, J. W. Y. Lama and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4332–4353; (b) H. Wang, E. Zhao, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Mater. Today*, 2015, **18**, 365–377; (c) C. Wang, L. Li, X. Zhan, Z. Ruan, Y. Xie, Q. Hu, S. Ye, Q. Li and Z. Li, *Sci. Bull.*, 2016, **22**, 1746–1755; (d) Y. Yu, J. Yang, Z. Ren, G. Xie, Q. Li and Z. Li, *Acta Chim. Sin.*, 2016, **74**, 865–870; (e) J. Yang, Le. Li, Y. Yu, Z. Ren, Q. Peng, S. Ye, Q. Li and Z. Li, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 91–99; (f) Q. Li and Z. Li, *Adv. Sci.*, 2017, **4**, 1600484; (g) Z. Yang, Z. Chi, Z. Mao, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Zhao, M. P. Aldred and Z. Chi, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2018, **2**, 861–890; (h) T. Chen, Z. Chen, W. Gong, C. Li and M. Zhu, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1841–1846.
- 9 (a) Y. Xie, J. Tu, T. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Xie, Z. Chi, Q. Peng and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 11330–11333; (b) M. Fang, J. Yang, Q. Liao, Y. Gong, Z. Xie, Z. Chi, Q. Peng, Q. Li and Z. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 9879–9885; (c) Z. Chi, X. Zhang, B. Xu, X. Zhou, C. Ma, Y. Zhang, S. Liu and J. Xu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3878–3896; (d) J. Huang, P. Chen, X. Yang, R. Tang, L. Wang, J. Qin and Z. Li, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 1213; (e) T. Yu, D. Ou, L. Wang, S. Zheng, Z. Yang, Y. Zhang, Z. Chi, S. Liu, J. Xua and M. P. Aldred, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1900–1904.
- 10 (a) C. Wang and Z. Li, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 2174–2194; (b) W. Yuan, X. Shen, H. Zhao, J. Lam, L. Tang, P. Lu, C. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, Q. Zheng, J. Sun, Y. Ma and B. Z. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 6090–6099.
- 11 (a) K. Neena, P. Sudhakar, K. Dipak and P. Thilagar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 3641–3644; (b) Z. An, C. Zheng, Y. Tao, R. Chen, H. Shi, T. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Li, R. Deng, X. Liu and W. Huang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 685–690; (c) W. Wu, R. Yao, X. Huang, R. Chen, K. Li, S. Gao and R. Zou, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **1**, 1430–1434.