



CrossMark  
click for updates

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 6760

# Tantalum nitride films integrated with transparent conductive oxide substrates *via* atomic layer deposition for photoelectrochemical water splitting†

Hamed Hajibabaei, Omid Zandi and Thomas W. Hamann\*

Tantalum nitride, Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>, is one of the most promising materials for solar energy driven water oxidation. One significant challenge of this material is the high temperature and long duration of ammonolysis previously required to synthesize it, which has so far prevented the use of transparent conductive oxide (TCO) substrates to be used which would allow sub-bandgap light to be transmitted to a photocathode. Here, we overcome this challenge by utilizing atomic layer deposition (ALD) to directly deposit tantalum oxynitride thin films, which can be fully converted to Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> *via* ammonolysis at 750 °C for 30 minutes. This synthesis employs far more moderate conditions than previous reports of efficient Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> photoanodes. Further, we report the first ALD of Ta-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> which we show is a viable TCO material that is stable under the relatively mild ammonolysis conditions employed. As a result, we report the first example of a Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> electrode deposited on a TCO substrate, and the photoelectrochemical behavior. These results open the door to achieve efficient overall water splitting using a Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> photoanode.

Received 13th May 2016  
Accepted 1st July 2016


DOI: 10.1039/c6sc02116f

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

## Introduction

Solar driven photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting is a promising route to directly store solar energy in the chemical bonds of hydrogen. Due to the limitation of available materials capable of overall PEC water splitting, a tandem cell is likely required to efficiently convert solar energy into hydrogen.<sup>1,2</sup> One promising tandem cell configuration is comprised of an n-type semiconductor as a photoanode to drive the oxygen evolution reaction that is electrically connected to a p-type photocathode to drive the hydrogen evolution reaction (Scheme 1). This type of PEC cell is advantageous as it allows researchers to independently investigate and optimize each half-cell. Although many semiconductor metal oxides have been proposed as a photoanode for solar water oxidation, the majority of their band gaps lie in the UV region which covers a negligible portion of solar spectrum.<sup>3–6</sup> Metal oxide materials with narrower optical band gap and absorption edges that extend to the visible region, *e.g.* Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>,<sup>7,8</sup> WO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>9,10</sup> and BiVO<sub>4</sub>,<sup>11,12</sup> have therefore attracted a lot of attention. The state-of-the-art electrodes using these materials have produced promising water oxidation photocurrent densities, with the best examples producing approximately 5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.23 V *vs.* RHE. For example, Wang

and co-workers recently employed a solution processed hematite photoanode in combination with an amorphous Si electrode to achieve overall water splitting at an efficiency of 0.91%. No metal oxide photoanode, however, has produced a photocurrent density that would enable achieving ~10% water splitting efficiency.



Scheme 1 Depiction of a tandem cell configuration for overall water splitting composed of n-type, and p-type semiconductor connected in series with a transparent and conductive layer.

Michigan State University, Department of Chemistry, 578 S Shaw Lane, East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1322, USA. E-mail: hamann@chemistry.msu.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c6sc02116f



Domen *et al.* have recently introduced a new class of nitride semiconductors, specifically tantalum nitride ( $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$ ), as promising alternative candidates to oxides for PEC water oxidation.<sup>13–19</sup> In one impressive example, they demonstrated 1.5% efficient solar water splitting with Ba-doped  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  nanorods nitridized at 1000 °C for 2 h.<sup>20</sup> Tantalum nitride is intrinsically an n-type semiconductor with an optical band gap of 2.1 eV that theoretically corresponds to a maximum photocurrent density of 12.5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.<sup>21,22</sup> If it is coupled with an appropriate photocathode in a PEC tandem cell, it could perform unassisted water splitting at a solar-to-hydrogen efficiency of ~15%.<sup>23</sup> Strikingly, Li and coworkers recently reported a  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  photoanode on Ta foil prepared by ammonolysis at 950 °C for 6 h that produced a photocurrent density of ~12.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.23 V vs. RHE with a photocurrent onset potential of ~0.7 V vs. RHE.<sup>24</sup>

Implementing a  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  photoanode in a tandem configuration to achieve efficient overall water splitting is hindered by the lack of a synthetic procedure to prepare  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  electrodes under conditions compatible with a transparent conductive oxide (TCO) substrate. Most of the studies on tantalum nitride ( $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$ ) share a similar synthetic route, beginning with the oxidation of Ta(0) to Ta(v), followed by ammonolysis at elevated temperatures (>800 °C) for long periods of time (>6 h), as noted in the best literature examples provided above. Despite the simplicity and great performance that comes with this method, there are multiple negative consequences. The initial oxidation of tantalum metal is very energy intensive. Importantly, the subsequent ammonolysis prevents the use of a TCO substrate as noted by others.<sup>18</sup> In our lab, we found that when conventional TCOs such as FTO (F-doped  $\text{SnO}_2$ ), ITO (Sn-doped  $\text{In}_2\text{O}_3$ ), and AZO (Al-doped ZnO) are exposed to ammonia at 600 °C or higher, they are reduced to metallic phases and become flaky with weak adhesion to the substrate. Consequently, their important properties of conductivity and transparency are lost. Therefore,  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  is commonly prepared on Ta foil which excludes the applicability of the  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  as a photoanode in a tandem configuration (Scheme 1), since the substrate is not transparent to subbandgap light. Furthermore, high temperature ammonolysis makes it difficult to control the morphology, interfaces and the inherent properties of this semiconductor.

In order to overcome these issues, we synthesized Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  (TTO) films *via* atomic layer deposition (ALD) which we found to be a stable TCO in reducing atmospheres. In addition, to circumvent the high temperature ammonolysis, ALD was also used to directly deposit thin films of  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  on the TTO substrates. While initial as-deposited films are primarily amorphous  $\text{TaO}_x\text{N}_y$ , these films can be nitridized to  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  at far more moderate nitridation conditions, *i.e.* 750 °C for 30 minutes, compared to previous reports where hours (>6 h) of nitridation at temperatures higher than 800 °C were necessary. The photoelectrochemical properties of the  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$  films deposited on TTO were investigated and the PEC water oxidation performance was analyzed. The excellent material control reported here allowed for a detailed material structure–function relationship to be determined and a path to improved performance elucidated.

## Experimental

### Film preparation

Thin films of Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  TCO films were prepared on quartz substrates (Advalue Technology) by alternating the deposition of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{TaO}_x$  with four different ratios of  $\text{TaO}_x$  :  $\text{TiO}_x$  ALD cycles, 1 : 200, 1 : 150, 1 : 100, and 1 : 50, to modify the dopant concentration.  $\text{TiO}_2$  was deposited using a modified literature procedure;<sup>25</sup> briefly, titanium isopropoxide (99.9%, Aldrich) was heated to 80 °C and pulsed for 2 s. After purging for 10 s, water was pulsed for 15 ms followed by purging for another 10 s. The growth rate of  $\text{TiO}_2$  at 250 °C was found to be 0.2 Å per cycle. The deposition of the  $\text{TaO}_x$  sub-cycles is described below. The as-deposited TTO films were subsequently annealed under an ammonia atmosphere at 750 °C for 30 minutes with a heating rate of 35 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and cooled down to the room temperature by opening up the top cover of the tube furnace.

$\text{TaO}_x\text{N}_y$  and  $\text{TaO}_x$  films were deposited on quartz, silicon (University Wafer, with ~16 Å native  $\text{SiO}_2$ ) or the TTO coated quartz substrates described above using ALD (Savannah 200, Cambridge Nanotech Inc). All substrates were sequentially sonicated for 15 minutes in soap, DI water and isopropyl alcohol, then blown dry under a nitrogen flow and loaded into the ALD chamber. High purity nitrogen was used as a carrier gas, which was further dried and deoxygenated by in-line molecular sieves 3 Å (Sigma Aldrich) and an  $\text{O}_2$  scrubber (Restek), respectively. Throughout the deposition, the  $\text{N}_2$  flow rate was adjusted at 5 SCCM, providing a constant pressure of ~350 mTorr. Pentakis(dimethylamine)tantalum(v),  $\text{Ta}(\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2)_5$  (PDMAT), (99.9%, Aldrich) was used as the tantalum precursor. Monomethyl hydrazine,  $\text{CH}_3\text{NHNH}_2$  (MMH), (99.9%, Aldrich) or DI water (Millipore, 18 MΩ m) were used as the co-reactants. The tantalum precursor, PDMAT, was kept at 90 °C and consecutively pulsed 5 times for 2 s duration with 10 s purging in between pulses. The MMH and DI water co-reactants were kept at ambient temperature. Nitridation or oxidation was performed by a 15 ms pulse of MMH or water followed by purging for 15 s to complete one ALD cycle. Films were annealed in an ammonia atmosphere at 750 °C for 30 min to complete the nitridation and crystallize the films.

### Film characterization

Film thicknesses were determined *via* spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) using a Horiba Jobin Yvon, Smart-SE instrument. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed with a Perkin Elmer Phi 5600 ESCA system using a monochromatic Mg K $\alpha$  source to illuminate the sample at a takeoff angle of 45°. Survey scans of 0–1100 eV binding energy and detailed scans for C 1s, O 1s, N 1s and Ta 4f, Ti 2p regions were measured for all samples. The binding energies were corrected in reference to C 1s peak (284.8 eV) and Shirley background subtraction was performed for fitting for each sample. Absorbance spectra were collected on a Perkin Elmer Lambda35 UV-vis spectrometer equipped with a Labsphere integrating sphere. Raman spectra were recorded using a LabRam Armis, Horiba Jobin Yvon instrument equipped with a 532 nm laser and a  $\times 50$  microscope to focus



the laser on the film surface. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained on a Bruker D8 Advanced diffractometer using Cu radiation with a  $K\alpha_1$  wavelength of 1.5418 Å. 4-Probe electrical measurements were performed using a computer controlled Pro4-440N system equipped with Keithley 2400, and Pro4 software. The film thickness was also measured by cross-section SEM (Carl Zeiss Auriga, Dual Column FIBSEM) and was taken at a tilt angle of 90°.

All electrodes were coated with the Co-Pi co-catalyst *via* photoelectrodeposition prior to carrying out further PEC measurements. The Co-Pi co-catalyst was deposited in a solution with 0.5 mM  $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  in a 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7 at a constant potential of 1.06 *vs.* RHE for 180 s under AM 1.5 G simulated sunlight. A Ag/AgCl and high surface area platinum mesh were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively.

Photoelectrochemical measurements were made with an Eco Chemie Autolab potentiostat coupled with Nova electrochemical software. The light source was a 450 W Xe arc lamp (Horiba Jobin Yvon). An AM 1.5 solar filter was used to simulate sun light at 100  $\text{mW cm}^{-2}$  (1 sun). All the photoelectrochemical measurements were performed by shining light on the electrodes through electrolyte. Current-voltage curves were measured using a scan rate of 10  $\text{mV s}^{-1}$ . The incident light was chopped using a computer controlled Thor Labs solenoid shutter. Electrodes were masked with a 60  $\mu\text{m}$  Surlyn film (solaronix) with a 0.28  $\text{cm}^2$  hole which was adhered to the electrode by heating to 120 °C. The protected electrodes were clamped to a custom made glass electrochemical cell with a quartz window. A homemade saturated Ag/AgCl electrode was used as the reference electrode and was frequently calibrated to a commercial saturated calomel electrode (Koslow Scientific). Potentials *vs.* Ag/AgCl were converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by the equation  $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.197 \text{ V} + (0.059 \text{ V})\text{pH}$ . An aqueous solution of 0.5 M  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  was used as the electrolyte. The pH of the electrolyte was adjusted to 13 by adding KOH. A high surface area platinum mesh was used as the counter electrode.

## Results

### ALD of TTO

ALD was used to deposit Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  (TTO) on quartz substrates. Different Ta concentrations were introduced by varying the relative number of ALD cycles of  $\text{TiO}_2$  and  $\text{TaO}_x$ . Samples with  $\text{TaO}_x : \text{TiO}_2$  ALD sub-cycle ratios of 1 : 50, 1 : 100, 1 : 150 and 1 : 200 were prepared to produce a series of decreasing Ta dopant concentrations in  $\text{TiO}_2$ . In addition, pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  films were prepared as control substrates. The total number of cycles were controlled to keep the final film thickness constant at 100 nm. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to determine the resultant concentration of Ta in  $\text{TiO}_2$ . Since the Si ( $K\alpha$ : 1.739 eV) signal from the quartz coincides with Ta (M: 1.809 keV), TTO films were also deposited on Al ( $K\alpha$ : 1.486 eV) substrates which have a well-separated EDS peak ( $K\alpha(\text{Al})$ : 1.486 eV).<sup>26</sup> The EDS spectra of these films with different concentration of Ta are shown in Fig. S1.† The Ta

concentration in the 1 : 200 film was below the detection limit of the instrument, so it was not included in this plot, however, all the observed signals for the other three films are readily assigned to Ta, Ti, O, and Al (substrate). As shown in Fig. S1,† the atomic percentage of Ta was found to increase linearly with the ALD sub-cycle ratio of  $\text{TaO}_x : \text{TiO}_2$ . The atomic percentages of Ta in the films, then were calculated from a linear fit of these data and used to assign the following percentages of Ta contained in the films: 5.0 ( $\pm 0.32$ ), 2.5 ( $\pm 0.16$ ), 1.67 ( $\pm 0.11$ ) and 1.25 ( $\pm 0.08$ ). We note that the actual concentration of Ta contained in the TTO films does not correspond simply to the pulse ratios of Ta and Ti precursors. The difference can largely be accounted for by the different growth rates:  $\sim 0.25$  Å per cycle for  $\text{TiO}_2$  compared to  $\sim 0.79$  Å per cycles for  $\text{TaO}_x$ , *vide infra*.

The resistivity of the as-deposited Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  films on quartz were on the order of  $\text{M}\Omega \text{ cm}$ . In addition, consistent with a previous study, we observed that when the Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  films were annealed in air or oxygen, they became more insulating.<sup>27</sup> Prior examples of Ta-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  were prepared at low oxygen pressure, *e.g.*  $10^{-5}$  Torr, or the films were annealed in vacuum.<sup>27–29</sup> Since our ultimate goal is to realize TCO films coated with  $\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$ , which may have to be annealed under ammonia, *vide infra*, all TCO films were annealed under a reducing ammonia atmosphere at 750 °C for 30 minutes.

XPS measurements were performed on samples deposited on quartz both before and after annealing in ammonia. The surface concentration of Ta for the as-deposited films is higher compared to the results from EDS measurements (see Fig. S2†). Since XPS is a surface sensitive technique, this higher apparent concentration of Ta may be attributed to the fact that the deposition of  $\text{TaO}_x$  was the last ALD cycle of these films. After annealing in ammonia, however, the atomic ratio of Ta/Ti determined by XPS was within error of the ratio determined by EDS on the as-deposited samples. Thus, annealing allows Ta to diffuse and be homogeneously distributed throughout the film. We therefore take the surface compositional analysis done by XPS after annealing as a good approximation of bulk composition. Details of the XPS analysis of as-deposited and annealed TTO films with different concentrations of Ta are discussed following Fig. S3 in the ESI.† The atomic percentages of oxygen and nitrogen as a function of Ta concentration after annealing in ammonia are shown in Fig. 1a. After annealing in ammonia the atomic percentage of O decreased and a new N signal emerged which indicates oxygen is substituted by nitrogen in the films. Thus, the annealing step results in  $\text{TiO}_2$  co-doped with Ta and N. Interestingly, at high concentration of Ta, *i.e.*  $\sim 5\%$ , another N signal is detectable which can be assigned to a Ta–N bond. Further, the Ta signal from the same film shows two types of Ta present in the films. Therefore, we attribute this to the formation of  $\text{TaN}_x$  as a separate phase at high Ta concentrations. This observation is supported by the XRD results of the films and the resistivity of the films discussed below.

The XRD diffraction patterns of all annealed samples were unambiguously assigned to anatase  $\text{TiO}_2$ . A detailed analysis of the XRD patterns of the N- and Ta- co-doped  $\text{TiO}_2$  films with different Ta concentrations is discussed in the ESI following





Fig. 1 (a) Resistivity (brown) and atomic% of O (blue) and N (red) of Ta-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> as a function of % Ta. (b) Optical transmittance of Ta-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> films, un-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> (orange), 5.0% Ta (black), 2.5% Ta (red), 1.67% Ta (cyan) and 1.25% Ta (pink). (c) Photographs of the TTO films after annealing in ammonia at 750 °C for 30 min.

Fig. S4.† Depending on the dopant concentration, however, the peak positions of anatase are shifted to the lower angles which indicates an increase in cell volume as expected from doping Ta into TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>30</sup>

The resistivity of the TTO films as a function of the concentration of Ta is shown in Fig. 1a. The resistivity decreases sharply with introduction of Ta, reaching a minimum for the film with 1.6% Ta. This is ~3 times smaller than the optimum Ta concentration reported in the literature.<sup>28,31</sup> The main difference between the Ta-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> synthesized in this study to those reported in literature is the annealing atmosphere. As noted above, the use of ammonia as the reducing atmosphere results in TiO<sub>2</sub> films co-doped with Ta and N. As depicted in Fig. 1a, the resistivity of the films has a strong correlation to the atomic concentration of oxygen and nitrogen. The film without Ta exhibits a surprisingly low resistivity which results from the formation of nitrogen-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> or segregation of metallic TiN phases. The lowest resistivity occurs for the film with 1.6% Ta, which has the highest concentration of nitrogen and the lowest concentration of oxygen, *i.e.* the highest concentration of oxygen vacancies. Based on the formal charge of oxygen and nitrogen, it can be inferred that substitution of oxygen with nitrogen induces an increase in the concentration of oxygen vacancies. On the other hand, substitution of Ti<sup>4+</sup> with Ta<sup>5+</sup> may reduce the number of oxygen vacancies. Therefore, co-doping of N and Ta into TiO<sub>2</sub> may have an opposing influence on carrier concentration and conductivity, which explains the difference between the optimal doping concentration found here compared to prior reports.<sup>27</sup>

The optical transmittance of un-doped and Ta-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> thin films after annealing in ammonia is shown in Fig. 1b. Note that these transmittance values were not corrected for reflectance, which accounts for ~25% loss of incident photons (Fig. S5†). The transmittance of the TiO<sub>2</sub> without Ta was below 50% in the visible region, which is in line with numerous reports of N-doped TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>32,33</sup> The substitution of oxygen with nitrogen introduces new states in the band gap which results in absorption edge tailing to the visible region. Upon Ta-doping, however, the average transmittance values in the visible region

are increased with a maximum transmittance value of ~70% for 1.6% Ta doped in TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### ALD of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>

TaO<sub>x</sub> films were deposited from 50–500 ALD cycles at 250 °C. The resultant film thickness increases linearly with the number of ALD cycles (Fig. S6†). The growth rate was found to be 0.79 Å per cycle, which is in good agreement with the previous report of the ALD deposition of TaO<sub>x</sub> (0.85 Å per cycle).<sup>34</sup> The ALD of tantalum nitride using PDMAT and MMH has previously been studied, where an ALD deposition temperature window between 200 and 300 °C was found with a growth rate of ~0.3 Å per cycle.<sup>35</sup> It has been previously reported that PDMAT suffers from thermal decomposition at temperatures above 300 °C, therefore, to avoid the decomposition of the precursor and ensure an ALD process, 280 °C was used as the maximum deposition temperature.<sup>34,35</sup> Interestingly, while we confirmed an ALD temperature window over 175 to 280 °C, we found a growth rate approximately three times larger with a small temperature dependence. Plots of thickness *vs.* number of ALD cycles are provided in Fig. S7.† From the slope of these plots, the growth rates were found to reproducibly vary from 0.86 Å per cycle at 175 °C to 1.04 Å per cycle at 280 °C, as shown in Fig. 2.<sup>35,36</sup> These growth rates were confirmed by cross section SEM measurements of the films grown with 1000 ALD cycles at 175 and 280 °C, which are shown in Fig. S8.† The images indicate a ~28 nm difference in film thicknesses which is consistent with the different growth rates displayed in Fig. 2.

In addition to the growth rate, the temperature affected the composition of the deposited films. The bulk composition of the as-deposited films was analyzed by EDS (Fig. S9†). Silicon with ~16 Å SiO<sub>2</sub> was used as the substrate. The Ta and Si signals overlap which prevents accurate determinations of these individual elements. The atomic percentages of nitrogen and oxygen were calculated based on the signal of these two elements and are shown in Fig. 2. Oxygen was detected in all films. We note that only a minimal amount of O can be attributed to the ~16 Å SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate since the film thicknesses are








Fig. 3 (a) XPS signals of O 1s, N 1s, and Ta 4f, (b) calculated atomic percentages of Ta–N (red) and Ta–O (blue) as a function of the deposition temperature.



Fig. 4 Plots of the absorbance of the thin films of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> on quartz with different thicknesses: 50 nm (blue), 70 nm (orange), 99 nm (red), and 122 nm (green). The inset is the absorbance at 350 nm vs. the thickness of the films.

concentration is shown in Fig. S21.† Remarkably, the observed photocurrents are in total agreement with the conductivity of TTO shown in Fig. 1a. This performance falls short of the recent report by Li and coworkers,<sup>24</sup> who reported a photocurrent density of  $\sim 12.1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 1.23 V vs. RHE with a photocurrent onset potential of  $\sim 0.7 \text{ V vs. RHE}$  for the electrode prepared on a Ta foil which was nitridized under ammonia at 950 °C for 6 h. Van de Krol *et al.* also recently studied the formation of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> as a function of ammonolysis conditions on Pt foil.<sup>14</sup> The maximum photocurrent density of  $\sim 1.1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 1.23 V vs. RHE with an onset photocurrent potential of  $\sim 0.9 \text{ V vs. RHE}$  was found for the Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> film prepared at 800 °C for 10 h with the

addition of IrO<sub>2</sub> cocatalysts. To the best of our knowledge, however, this is the first report of PEC water oxidation of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> on any TCO (Fig. 5).

Finally, since we have not yet eliminated the ammonolysis step in the synthesis, we compared the behavior of the TaO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub> deposited films to TaO<sub>x</sub>. 40 nm of TaO<sub>x</sub> was deposited on the best TTO (1.6% Ta) followed by ammonolysis at 750 °C for 30 minutes. The transmittance/reflectance spectra of the corresponding films are compared to that of the TaO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub>-derived film in Fig. S22.† The TaO<sub>x</sub>-derived film is colorless with a take-off transmittance at  $\sim 450 \text{ nm}$ . On the other hand, the TaO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub>-derived film is orange with a take-off transmittance at  $\sim 590 \text{ nm}$  which corresponds to the known band gap of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>, *i.e.* 2.1 eV,




Fig. 5 PEC performance of CoPi modified Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> ( $\sim 75 \text{ nm}$ ) on TTO with 1.6% Ta concentration under 1 sun illumination. The inset is the photograph of the working electrode.



discussed above. The PEC performance of these electrodes are compared in Fig. S23.† The TaO<sub>x</sub>-derived film shows negligible photocurrent superimposed on a large dark current. Therefore, it can be concluded that the TaO<sub>x</sub>-derived films require harsher nitridization conditions (higher temperature and longer durations) where the TCO is not chemically stable.

## Conclusions

The realization of photoactive Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> films on a TCO electrode was demonstrated for the first time. This required two breakthroughs. First, we established the ALD of TTO and found that it is structurally and chemically stable (unlike conventional TCO materials including FTO, ITO and AZO) under the reducing atmosphere employed (ammonolysis at 750 °C for 30 min), and can therefore be used as a conductive transparent layer for tantalum nitride electrodes. The TTO films are not able to withstand harsher nitridation conditions required for the conversion of TaO<sub>x</sub> to Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub>, however, which is the synthetic route of all prior examples of Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> photoelectrodes. Therefore, the second necessary breakthrough consisted of the direct deposition of TaO<sub>x</sub>N<sub>y</sub> films *via* ALD which can be crystallized and completely converted to Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> under sufficiently milder ammonolysis conditions to maintain the TCO properties. The resultant Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> films on TTO showed promising solar water oxidation performance, especially considering that the films are quite thin and not yet optimized. We found that the performance of the photoelectrodes correlated to the conductivity of the TCO. Thus, it would be beneficial to utilize state-of-the-art TCOs such as FTO. Since these are not stable under even the mildest ammonolysis procedures utilized here, it would clearly be advantageous to directly deposit crystalline Ta<sub>3</sub>N<sub>5</sub> films under sufficiently mild conditions on a TCO, which do not require a subsequent annealing (thus ammonolysis) step. While we are actively working on such an ideal synthetic method, the results reported herein represent a significant step towards realizing a high-efficiency solar water oxidation electrode which can be employed in a tandem configuration.

## Author contributions

The experiments were designed by H. H., O. Z. and T. W. H. The experiments were carried out by H. H. and O. Z. The manuscript was prepared by H. H. and T. W. H. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

T. W. H. thanks the National Science Foundation (CHE-1150378) for support of this research.

## References

1 J. Brillet, M. Cornuz, F. Le Formal, J.-H. Yum, M. Grätzel and K. Sivula, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2010, **25**(01), 17–24.

- 2 M. G. Walter, E. L. Warren, J. R. McKone, S. W. Boettcher, Q. Mi, E. a. Santori and N. S. Lewis, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**(11), 6446–6473.
- 3 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, **238**(5358), 37–38.
- 4 M. S. Wrighton, D. S. Ginley, P. T. Wolczanski, A. B. Ellis, D. L. Morse and A. Linz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1975, **72**(4), 1518–1522.
- 5 J. M. Bolts and M. S. Wrighton, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1976, **80**(24), 2641–2645.
- 6 J. R. McKone, N. S. Lewis and H. B. Gray, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**(1), 407–414.
- 7 J.-W. Jang, C. Du, Y. Ye, Y. Lin, X. Yao, J. Thorne, E. Liu, G. McMahon, J. Zhu, A. Javey, J. Guo and D. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7447.
- 8 O. Zandi, A. R. Schon, H. Hajibabaei and T. W. Hamann, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**(3), 765–771.
- 9 X. Liu, F. Wang and Q. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**(22), 7894–7911.
- 10 J. A. Seabold and K.-S. Choi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**(5), 1105–1112.
- 11 Y. Park, K. J. McDonald and K.-S. Choi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**(6), 2321–2337.
- 12 J. A. Seabold and K.-S. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**(4), 2186–2192.
- 13 G. Liu, J. Shi, F. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Han, C. Ding, S. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Han and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**(28), 7295–7299.
- 14 A. Dabirian and R. van de Krol, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**(3), 708–715.
- 15 P. Zhang, J. Zhang and J. Gong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**(13), 4395–4422.
- 16 C. Zhen, L. Wang, G. Liu, G. Q. M. Lu and H.-M. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**(29), 3019–3021.
- 17 M. Li, W. Luo, D. Cao, X. Zhao, Z. Li, T. Yu and Z. Zou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**(42), 11016–11020.
- 18 M. Higashi, K. Domen and R. Abe, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**(10), 4138–4147.
- 19 M. Kitano and M. Hara, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**(4), 627–641.
- 20 Y. Li, L. Zhang, A. Torres-Pardo, J. M. González-Calbet, Y. Ma, P. Oleynikov, O. Terasaki, S. Asahina, M. Shima, D. Cha, L. Zhao, K. Takanabe, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2566.
- 21 C.-T. Ho, K.-B. Low, R. F. Klie, K. Maeda, K. Domen, R. J. Meyer and P. T. Snee, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**(3), 647–652.
- 22 Z. Chen, T. F. Jaramillo, T. G. Deutsch, A. Kleiman-Shwarsstein, A. J. Forman, N. Gaillard, R. Garland, K. Takanabe, C. Heske, M. Sunkara, E. W. McFarland, K. Domen, E. L. Miller, J. A. Turner and H. N. Dinh, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2010, **25**(01), 3–16.
- 23 S. Hu, C. Xiang, S. Haussener, A. D. Berger and N. S. Lewis, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**(10), 2984–2993.
- 24 G. Liu, S. Ye, P. Yan, F.-Q. Xiong, P. Fu, Z. Wang, Z. Chen, J. Shi and C. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**(4), 1327–1334.
- 25 T. W. Hamann, A. B. F. Martinson, J. W. Elam, M. J. Pellin and J. T. Hupp, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**(27), 10303–10307.



- 26 J. J. Friel, X-ray and Image Analysis, in *Electron Microscopy*, Princeton Gamma-Tech, Princeton, 2nd edn, 2003.
- 27 P. Mazzolini, P. Gondoni, V. Russo, D. Chrastina, C. S. Casari and A. L. Bassi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**(13), 6988–6997.
- 28 T. Hitosugi, Y. Furubayashi, A. Ueda, K. Itabashi, K. Inaba, Y. Hirose, G. Kinoda, Y. Yamamoto, T. Shimada and T. Hasegawa, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2005, **44**(34), L1063–L1065.
- 29 J. Osorio-Guillén, S. Lany and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**(3), 036601.
- 30 J. Grins, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, 1997, **17**(15–16), 1819–1824.
- 31 A. R. Barman, M. Motapothula, A. Annadi, K. Gopinadhan, Y. L. Zhao, Z. Yong, I. Santoso, Ariando, M. Breese, A. Rusydi, S. Dhar and T. Venkatesan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **98**(7), 072111.
- 32 S. Hoang, S. Guo and C. B. Mullins, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**(44), 23283–23290.
- 33 S. Hoang, S. Guo, N. T. Hahn, A. J. Bard and C. B. Mullins, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**(1), 26–32.
- 34 W. J. Maeng, S.-J. Park and H. Kim, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B: Microelectron. Nanometer Struct.–Process., Meas., Phenom.*, 2006, **24**(5), 2276.
- 35 Z. Fang, H. C. Aspinall, R. Odedra and R. J. Potter, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 2011, **331**(1), 33–39.
- 36 E. Langereis, H. C. M. Knoop, a. J. M. Mackus, F. Roozeboom, M. C. M. van de Sanden and W. M. M. Kessels, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2007, **102**(8), 083517.
- 37 M. Hara, E. Chiba, A. Ishikawa, T. Takata, J. N. Kondo and K. Domen, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**(48), 13441–13445.
- 38 M. Ritala, P. Kalsi, D. Riihela, K. Kukli, M. Leskela and J. Jokinen, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, **11**, 1712–1718.
- 39 J. Hou, Z. Wang, C. Yang, H. Cheng, S. Jiao and H. Zhu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**(11), 3322–3330.
- 40 Y. Li, T. Takata, D. Cha, K. Takanabe, T. Minegishi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**(1), 125–131.
- 41 A. Rügge, J.-S. Park, R. G. Gordon and S. H. Tolbert, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**(9), 3764–3771.
- 42 J. D. Leslie and K. Knorr, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1974, **121**(2), 263–267.
- 43 B. M. Klahr, A. B. F. Martinson and T. W. Hamann, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**(1), 461–468.
- 44 J. M. Morbec, I. Narkeviciute, T. F. Jaramillo and G. Galli, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, **90**(15), 155204.

