

Cite this: *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2026, **7**, 286

Differential regulation of SIRT5 activity by reduced nicotinic acid riboside (NARH)

Abu Hamza,^a Dickson Donu,^a Emily Boyle,^a Rasajna Madhusudhana,^a Alyson Curry^a and Yana Cen^{id}*^{ab}

SIRT5, one of the human sirtuins, catalyzes the removal of acyl substitutions from lysine residues in a NAD⁺-dependent manner. In addition to the deacetylase activity, SIRT5 also demonstrates strong desuccinylase, demalonylase, and deglutarylase activity. Through deacylating a broad spectrum of cellular proteins and enzymes, SIRT5 is heavily involved in the regulation of energy metabolism, reactive oxygen species (ROS) reduction, and ammonia detoxification. Accumulating evidence also suggests SIRT5 as a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, metabolic disorders, and cancer. In the current study, we report the identification and characterization of a SIRT5 modulator, reduced nicotinic acid riboside (NARH). It shows differential regulation of the distinct activities of SIRT5: it activates desuccinylation, but mildly suppresses deacetylation. NARH binds to SIRT5 in the absence of NAD⁺ and demonstrates cellular target engagement and activity. The potential NARH binding site is further investigated using a suite of biochemical and computational approaches. The current study provides greatly needed mechanistic understanding of SIRT5 regulation, as well as a novel chemical scaffold for further activator development.

Received 22nd July 2025,
Accepted 30th November 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cb00191a

rsc.li/rsc-chembio

Introduction

Mammalian sirtuins are a group of “eraser” enzymes that remove acyl modifications from lysine residues in a NAD⁺-dependent fashion. The seven human sirtuins (SIRT1–SIRT7), with distinct subcellular localizations and biological functions, are the key players in various cellular events ranging from transcription regulation to energy homeostasis.¹ The link between sirtuin activity and metabolism, first established by the discovery that these enzymes utilize NAD⁺,^{2,3} has turned out to be more profound than originally envisioned. The ability of sirtuins to control metabolism by either modulating the transcription of or directly deacylating metabolic enzymes places sirtuins at the nexus of metabolic regulation.⁴

SIRT5, one of the mitochondrial sirtuins, harbors unique enzymatic activities compared with other members of the human sirtuin family. Although initially characterized as a deacetylase, SIRT5 possesses very weak or undetectable deacetylase activity *in vitro*.^{5,6} Recent studies suggest that SIRT5 is a lysine desuccinylase, demalonylase and deglutarylase.^{5,7,8} It prefers to remove negatively charged acyl groups from lysine residues owing to the unique active site amino acid (AA)

residues, Ala86, Tyr102 and Arg105.^{5,7} Aided by viable SIRT5-deficient mouse models and mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomic surveys, hundreds of SIRT5 cellular targets with succinylated, malonylated and/or glutarylated lysines have been identified.^{8–11} Despite these studies, the biological significance and regulation of the diverse SIRT5 activities remain largely elusive. For example, it has been proposed that acylation of many SIRT5 substrates occurs in a non-enzymatic fashion under the chemical conditions in the mitochondrial matrix, as in the case of lysine succinylation.¹² Thus, the widespread protein lysine acylation in mitochondria may simply be the result of the high pH and acyl-CoA concentrations in this organelle.¹² SIRT5 serves as the “quality control” enzyme to maintain mitochondrial health through removal of these acylation marks. Furthermore, although the breadth of SIRT5 targets is impressive, tissue-specific or conditional knockout of SIRT5 had marginal functional phenotypes except for the significant increase of lysine acylation which may have very little biological relevance.^{13,14} Innovative chemical tools are needed to further deconvolute the biological functions of SIRT5.

There are only a handful of small-molecule SIRT5 activators discovered so far. Increased levels of NAD⁺, the co-substrate of sirtuin-catalyzed reactions, have been shown to upregulate SIRT5 activity.^{15,16} Mammalian sirtuins including SIRT5 have relatively low binding affinity for NAD⁺ to ensure the transient elevation in the NAD⁺ content produced by cellular events that can be readily sensed. However, boosting the NAD⁺ level is

^a Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23219, USA. E-mail: ceny2@vcu.edu; Tel: +1 804-828-7405

^b Center for Drug Discovery, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23219, USA



synthetic sequence only required one column chromatography (the deprotection of intermediate B). It provided unprecedented easiness and high yield in generating NARH esters.

NARH activates SIRT5 desuccinylation

Numerous proteomic studies have elucidated a landscape of hundreds of SIRT5 substrates. However, these proteomic analyses also revealed that many of the succinylation sites were acetylated at the same position.^{9,27} With desuccinylation and deacetylation leading to the same unmodified lysine product, an intriguing question that deserves further investigation is: is there any intrinsic regulatory mechanism allowing SIRT5 to switch from one activity to another under different physiological conditions? Our first hint came from a study showing that SIRT5 activities demonstrated differential sensitivities to nicotinamide (NAM), a byproduct of sirtuin-catalyzed reactions.²⁸ Physiological NAM concentrations potentially inhibit the desuccinylase activity, but cause negligible changes in the deacetylase activity. In the same vein, we recently discovered that NR serves as a SIRT5 activator.²² It stimulates SIRT5-mediated deacetylation of synthetic peptides or endogenous substrates, but fails to activate the desuccinylation to any appreciable levels. Encouraged by these prior findings, we further explored NARH as a potential SIRT5 regulator.

Our initial effort focused on the assessment of SIRT5 activity toward a synthetic peptide substrate derived from histone H3 (H3K9Suc) using an HPLC-based assay as described in “Methods and Materials”. Recombinant human SIRT5 demonstrated robust desuccinylase activity with a K_m value of $75.7 \pm 3.8 \mu\text{M}$, and a k_{cat} value of $0.029 \pm 0.0014 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 1), consistent with previous reports.^{5,22} NARH was able to increase the desuccinylation by almost 2.8-fold at $800 \mu\text{M}$. Titration of NARH resulted in a concentration-dependent SIRT5 activation with an EC_{50} of $88 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. S3).

The isoform-selectivity of NARH was further evaluated. It was tested against recombinants SIRT1, SIRT2, SIRT3, and SIRT6 using the same HPLC assay and synthetic peptides as the substrates. No appreciable activity increase was detected for the other human sirtuin isoforms at up to $800 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. S4). The effect of NARH on physiological SIRT5 substrates was also evaluated. HeLa cell lysate was treated with recombinant SIRT5 and NAD^+ in the presence or absence of NARH. The succinylation levels of carbamoyl phosphate synthase 1 (CPS1), the rate-limiting enzyme in the urea cycle and a known endogenous

SIRT5 substrate,^{5,15} were probed by western blot. As shown in Fig. 3A, NARH treatment at $800 \mu\text{M}$ led to a reduction of the succinylation level of CPS1, presumably due to SIRT5 activation. Furthermore, the mitochondrial lysate from HEK293 cells was incubated with SIRT5 with or without NARH. In the presence of NARH, the succinylation level of multiple protein bands showed a significant decrease as compared to the no NARH controls (Fig. 3B and C), suggesting the activation of SIRT5 desuccinylase activity by NARH on physiological substrates. It is important to note that not all succinylated proteins in the lysate are SIRT5 substrates, and most succinylation sites occur at a low stoichiometry ($<1\%$), making changes difficult to detect by western blot. Moreover, the *in vitro* lysate assay may lack cellular cofactors or partners required for efficient substrate recognition, contributing to the modest (yet statistically significant) changes observed. To address these limitations, we also performed cellular studies to assess NARH activity, which are described in a later section.

NARH binds to SIRT5 directly

NARH binding to SIRT5 in the absence of any substrate was analyzed by microscale thermophoresis (MST) experiments to reveal a K_d value of $6.1 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 4A). To better understand the direct binding of NARH to SIRT5, the dissociation constant was also measured by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). NARH bound to SIRT5 with a K_d of $8.3 \pm 2.8 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 4B), in good agreement with the MST results. Interestingly, NARH bound with a negative $-T\Delta S$, suggesting that there is more disorder once NARH binds. Consistent with a previous report, SIRT5 did not bind to NAD^+ in the absence of the peptide substrate (Fig. S5A).²⁹ Furthermore, in the presence of NAD^+ , NARH bound to SIRT5 with a K_d of $6.6 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. S5B), similar to that in the absence of NAD^+ . All these results suggested that NARH may bind to a distinct allosteric site to stimulate desuccinylation *via* either a conformational change or an influence of the enzyme dynamics.

Regulation of SIRT5 desuccinylase/demalonylase activity by NARH in cells

Small molecule modulators can serve both as useful tools for interrogating protein biological functions and as therapeutic agents. However, maintaining the desirable on-target effect of a small molecule in a cellular context can be challenging. The target engagement of NARH was evaluated by cellular thermal shift assay (CETSA). CETSA conditions were first optimized using recombinant SIRT5. As shown in Fig. 5A, the abundance of soluble SIRT5 decreased with increasing temperature, showing that the thermostability of SIRT5 can be monitored by this method. Importantly, upon incubation with NARH, the stability of SIRT5 increased with a ΔT_m of $3.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (Fig. 5B), indicating the physical interaction of NARH with SIRT5.

Subsequently, the lysate of HEK293 cells overexpressing Flag-SIRT5 was incubated in the presence or absence of NARH, and heated as described in “Methods and Materials”. After centrifugation, the soluble fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblot. The thermal stability of SIRT5

Table 1 Steady state parameters of recombinant SIRT5^a

SIRT5	Substrate	K_m (μM)	k_{cat} (10^{-3} s^{-1})	M.A. (NARH) ^{bc}
WT	H3K9Suc	75.7 ± 3.8	29.0 ± 1.4	2.8
	p53K382Ac	1058 ± 109	18.7 ± 2.8	0.73
Y102A	H3K9Suc	1230 ± 170	37.1 ± 5.6	1.1
R105A	H3K9Suc	616 ± 100	7.4 ± 0.4	0.95
W222A	H3K9Suc	193 ± 41	25.0 ± 1.5	1.5
A86S	H3K9Suc	139 ± 26	67.3 ± 7.4	0.41

^a At saturating NAD^+ concentration. ^b $[\text{NARH}] = 800 \mu\text{M}$. ^c Maximum activation = $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{act.}) \times K_m(\text{unact.}) / (k_{\text{cat}}(\text{unact.}) \times K_m(\text{act.}))$






Fig. 3 Activation of SIRT5 by NARH on physiological substrates. (A) Western blot showing a decreased succinylation level of CPS1 in the HeLa cell lysate treated with NARH; (B) representative western blot (top) showing the desuccinylase activity of SIRT5 on the HEK293 cell mitochondrial lysate in the presence or absence of NARH. Coomassie staining (bottom) serves as the loading control; (C) quantification of the western blot results in (B). The relative lysine succinylation level was calculated by setting the level of no NARH control zero time to 100%. The quantification data represent the average of three independent experiments \pm SD. Statistical significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test: **p* < 0.05 vs. no NARH control.

was enhanced upon NARH treatment with a ΔT_m of 7.2 °C (Fig. 5C and D). To assess target engagement at physiological expression levels, CETSA was also performed in intact HEK293 cells expressing endogenous levels of SIRT5. Cells were cultured with or without NARH, harvested, and subjected to the same heating protocol described above, followed by lysis. The resulting soluble fractions were then analyzed by western blotting. Consistent with the lysate-based assay, NARH treatment increased the thermostability of endogenous SIRT5 (Fig. 5E). Together, these results confirmed that SIRT5 is a direct cellular binding target of NARH. It is important to note that the apparent T_m values of SIRT5 in cell lysates were higher than those of purified recombinant SIRT5. This difference likely reflects the stabilizing influence of the cellular environment, including protein–protein interactions, macromolecular crowding, and cofactors that can enhance protein thermostability in complex biological systems.

To investigate the cellular activity of NARH, a metabolic labeling strategy using a malonate derivative, MalAM-yne³⁰

(Fig. 6A), was employed. Previous studies have shown that this compound can be incorporated into cellular proteins, primarily through lysine malonylation, and that MalAM-yne-modified proteins serve as substrates for SIRT5.³⁰ HeLa cells were pre-treated with either vehicle or 1 mM NARH, followed by incubation with 200 μ M MalAM-yne (Fig. 6B). The labeled proteins can be visualized by Cu(I)-mediated “click” conjugation with TAMRA-azide. As shown in Fig. 6C, NARH treatment significantly enhances the removal of MalAM-yne from labeled proteins, supporting the notion that NARH functions as a SIRT5 activator. It is important to point out that the stronger effect observed in Fig. 6C compared to Fig. 3B likely reflects differences in assay sensitivity. Western blotting detects changes at low stoichiometry endogenous sites, many of which are not SIRT5 substrates, making it inherently less sensitive. In contrast, MalAM-yne labeling creates a uniform pool of SIRT5-sensitive modifications, providing a more robust readout of NARH activity.






Fig. 4 NARH binds to SIRT5 in the absence of NAD^+ . (A) Determination of binding affinity of NARH to SIRT5 using MST. Left: raw MST traces; right: binding isotherms for MST signals vs. NARH concentration; (B) representative ITC trace of NARH titrated into SIRT5. K_d was determined to be $8.3 \pm 2.8 \mu\text{M}$.

To further confirm that the cellular effects of NARH are SIRT5-dependent, we performed MalAM-yne labeling experiments in SIRT5 knockdown (SIRT5KD) cells (Fig. 6D). In contrast to control cells, NARH treatment caused negligible changes in the MalAM-yne signal in SIRT5KD cells, indicating that NARH does not interfere with MalAM-yne uptake and that the observed decrease in control cell labeling is mediated specifically through SIRT5 activation (Fig. 6E).

NARH was initially synthesized as a potential NAD^+ boosting agent. Elevated cellular NAD^+ concentration has been shown to stimulate SIRT5 activity.¹⁵ It thus became critical to clarify whether the observed SIRT5 activity upregulation was due to direct activation by NARH or indirect activation *via* a NAD^+ increase. Incubation of HEK293 cells with 1 mM nicotinamide riboside (NR), a known NAD^+ precursor, led to a nearly two-fold increase of intracellular NAD^+ content (Fig. 6F), consistent with previous reports.^{16,26} Interestingly, culturing the cells with up to 1 mM NARH caused negligible changes to the intracellular NAD^+ levels (Fig. 6F). Similar results were obtained in Neuro2a and HeLa cells (Fig. S6). A recent study demonstrated that NARH treatment alone resulted in insignificant changes to NAD^+ contents in INS1E and MEF cells as well as kidney and muscle,³¹ in good agreement with our data. Overall, unlike its structural analog NR, NARH does not serve as a NAD^+ precursor under the conditions we tested, confirming the direct activation of SIRT5 by NARH in the cellular setting.

SIRT5 deacetylation is insensitive to NARH

SIRT5 harbors unique NAD^+ -dependent deacetylase and deacylase activities.³² It has been implicated in the regulation of

various metabolic pathways by removing acyl modifications from a broad array of endogenous targets.^{8–11} The promiscuity of SIRT5 has led to the hypothesis that the distinct activities of this enzyme can be differentially regulated by small molecules. The k_{cat} and K_m values of SIRT5-catalyzed deacetylation were determined with a synthetic peptide (Table 1), p53K382Ac. Indeed, the enzyme exhibited a rather weak catalytic efficiency with a k_{cat}/K_m of $17.7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Interestingly, the addition of $800 \mu\text{M}$ NARH only caused mild inhibition of the deacetylase activity with a k_{cat}/K_m of $12.9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 1).

Differential regulation of SIRT5 activities has been previously reported. The desuccinylase activity is highly sensitive to NAM inhibition, whereas the deacetylase activity is NAM resistant.²⁸ Our recent study indicated that NR preferentially activates the deacetylase activity, but not the desuccinylase activity.²² The current study adds a new dimension by revealing a distinct sensitivity pattern to NARH. These studies suggest that SIRT5 “senses” the availability of small molecules and selectively engages its enzymatic activities in response to these molecular cues.

Characterization of NARH and SIRT5 interactions

In order to better understand this unique regulatory mechanism, the interactions between NARH and SIRT5 were further characterized. In our previous NR report, a putative NR binding site involving Y102, R105 and W222 has been proposed based on molecular docking analysis and mutagenesis studies.²² Due to the structural similarity between NR and NARH, the same binding site was hypothesized as the potential NARH binding site. The steady-state kinetic parameters of Y102A, R105A and W222A mutants are shown in Table 1. Both Y102A and R105A






Fig. 5 Target engagement of NARH assessed by CETSA. (A) Representative western blots of thermal shift assay using recombinant SIRT5; (B) melt curves for control and NARH-treated recombinant SIRT5; (C) representative western blots of thermal shift assay using the SIRT5-overexpressing cell lysate; (D) CETSA curves for control and NARH-treated cell lysates; and (E) representative western blots of thermal shift assay in HEK293 cells expressing the endogenous level of SIRT5.

exhibited significantly reduced desuccinylase activity as compared to the wtSIRT5, stemming primarily from increased K_m values. The R105A mutant also suffered from markedly decreased k_{cat} , only one-fourth of the wildtype value. The results were consistent with the critical role these two AAs play in the preferred desuccinylation activity.⁵ The W222A mutant showed a nearly 3-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency in comparison with wtSIRT5, owing mainly to a 2.5-fold increase of K_m ($193 \pm 41 \mu\text{M}$).

The binding affinity of the mutants with NARH was then evaluated by MST. Y102A demonstrated a complete loss of NARH binding (Fig. S7A), while R105A had a relatively weak binding affinity ($K_d = 130.2 \pm 14 \mu\text{M}$, Fig. S7B) compared to the wildtype ($K_d = 6.1 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{M}$). Furthermore, at $800 \mu\text{M}$, NARH

failed to activate the desuccinylase activity of the Y102A and R105A mutants to any appreciable levels (Table 1), thus confirming that Y102 and R105 are essential for the recognition and binding of NARH. The W222A mutant, on the other hand, bound to NARH with a higher affinity ($K_d = 1.2 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}$, Fig. S7C). The desuccinylation of W222A was rather inert to NARH, showing merely 1.5-fold activation at $800 \mu\text{M}$ concentration (Table 1). We reasoned that the Trp to Ala mutation reduced steric hindrance for an improved NARH binding. However, the same mutation may also cause loss of important hydrophobic interactions, leading to the insensitivity to NARH.

Another distinct active site AA for SIRT5 is A86. It is crucial for substrate interaction and is unique for SIRT5 because it is replaced by a Phe in other human sirtuin isoforms.^{33,34} The presence of the sterically less hindered A86 allows SIRT5 to better accommodate large acyl modifications such as succinyl or malonyl groups. The A86S mutant still exhibited a strong desuccinylase activity with a K_m of $139 \pm 26 \mu\text{M}$ and a k_{cat} of $0.067 \pm 0.0074 \text{ s}^{-1}$, comparable to those of the wtSIRT5. Strikingly, NARH acted as an inhibitor for A86S, causing a 2.5-fold inhibition at $800 \mu\text{M}$ (Table 1). The type of inhibition was further characterized. The Lineweaver–Burk plot showed that NARH was noncompetitive with the succinylated peptide substrate as evidenced by a series of lines that intersect at the x-axis (Fig. 7A). Moreover, NARH demonstrated direct binding to A86S with a K_d value of $21.3 \pm 3.5 \mu\text{M}$ as determined by MST (Fig. 7B).

Molecular docking analysis was also performed to further characterize the interactions of NARH with SIRT5 using the crystal structure of SIRT5 in complex with NAD^+ and H3K9Suc peptides (PDB: 3RIY). NARH was docked into the binding site with GOLD³⁵ and scored with the built-in ChemPLP scoring function. The highest scored pose was chosen as the optimal docking pose for further analysis. As shown in Fig. 8A, NARH occupies a site in the cleft between the small zinc-binding domain and the large Rossmann fold domain, exposing the ethyl ester moiety to solvent. The molecule is sandwiched between one of the helices of the zinc-binding domain and the flexible loop³⁶ connecting the two domains. The dihydropyridine ring of NARH forms hydrophobic interactions with the aromatic side chains of F101 and Y104 (Fig. 8B). In addition, R105 makes H-bond interactions with the 3'- and 5'-OH groups. Y104 makes H-bond interaction with the ester carbonyl oxygen. Also notable is that the side chain of P190 forms hydrophobic interaction with the ethyl group of NARH.

The docked pose suggested that NARH occupies an allosteric site that is distal from the H3K9Suc binding site (Fig. 8C). It is reasonable to speculate that the binding of NARH at this site induces a conformational change in the substrate binding pocket for improved enzymatic turnovers. In the case of the A86S mutant, upon binding to NARH, the structural rearrangement may cause the sterically bulkier serine to clash with the succinyl group, consistent with the noncompetitive inhibition observed in the kinetic study.

MC3138 is a recently discovered SIRT5 activator.²⁰ MC3138 treatment in cells mimic the effect of SIRT5 overexpression.






Fig. 6 Activation of SIRT5 by NARH in cells. (A) Chemical structure of MalAM-yne; (B) schematic representation of the metabolic labeling; and (C) analysis of malonylation using MalAM-yne. HeLa cells were cultured with or without 1 mM NARH, followed by incubation in the presence or absence of 200 μ M MalAM-yne. The labeled proteins were “click” conjugated to TAMRA-azide. Pre-incubation with NARH led to significantly reduced labeling compared to no NARH control; (D) Western blot showing a significant reduction in SIRT5 protein levels following siRNA treatment; (E) NARH effect on MalAM-yne labeling is SIRT5-dependent. Control and SIRT5KD HeLa cells were cultured with or without 1 mM NARH, followed by incubation in the presence of 200 μ M MalAM-yne. NARH reduced labeling in control but not SIRT5KD cells, indicating a SIRT5-specific effect; and (F) intracellular NAD⁺ levels in control, NR (1 mM), or NARH (1 mM)-treated HEK293 cells. The quantification data represent the average of three independent experiments \pm SD. Statistical significance was determined by a Student's *t*-test: **p* < 0.05 vs. control.

There is certain structural similarity between MC3138 and NARH: both feature an ethyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate moiety (Fig. 8D). Moreover, a docking study has placed MC3138 in a site near Y104 and A189.²⁰ In our docked pose, NARH is situated at a similar site (Fig. 8E), further suggesting that both compounds may share the same mechanism of action. To explore potential functional similarity, we examined the effect of NARH on cell viability in two representative pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell lines. NARH exhibited IC₅₀ values of 46.7 \pm 3.5 μ M in Capan-1 cells and 120.7 \pm 7.4 μ M in PANC-1 cells (Fig. S8), indicating moderate cytotoxicity in PDAC cells, consistent with MC3138 results. While our mutagenesis and biochemical analyses point to this putative allosteric site, these data do not definitely exclude the possibility that NARH binds to a cryptic site. Currently, we are applying structural biology approaches to reveal the NARH binding site, and to provide a basis for future activator development.

Conclusions and perspectives

SIRT5, one of the human sirtuins, has attracted significant attention during the last few years, primarily due to its unique enzymatic functions. Initially classified as a protein lysine

deacetylase, SIRT5 demonstrates poor catalytic efficiency against acetylated peptide substrates, several orders of magnitude lower than those of SIRT1, SIRT2, and SIRT3.⁵ This has led to the hypothesis that maybe SIRT5 has evolved away from being a deacetylase, maybe it has other activities. Indeed, later studies suggested that SIRT5 is a lysine desuccinylase, demalonylase, and deglutarylase.^{5,7,8} It prefers to remove acyl groups with negative charges. The discovery of these novel activities has greatly expanded the substrate repertoire of SIRT5, and deepened our understanding of the biological functions of this enzyme. The plethora of activities give SIRT5 its far-reaching role in maintaining metabolism and nutrient homeostasis.³² Additionally, SIRT5 has been implicated in the regulation of various pathologies such as cancer^{20,37} and neurodegeneration.³⁸ There is a clear need for small molecule SIRT5 regulators for both the functional annotation and pharmacological perturbation.

In the current study, we identified a SIRT5-selective activator, NARH. It is a structural analog of NRH, a known NAD⁺ precursor.²³ However, unlike NRH, NARH treatment does not increase cellular NAD⁺ contents to any appreciable levels. Rather, it stimulates the desuccinylase activity of SIRT5 with both synthetic peptide and endogenous substrates. The






Fig. 7 NARH is an inhibitor of the desuccinylase activity of A86S mutant. (A) Double-reciprocal plot showing NARH is a noncompetitive inhibitor of A86S; (B) determination of binding affinity of NARH to A86S using MST.

deacetylase activity of SIRT5, in contrast, is insensitive to NARH. This activation seems to be very specific for SIRT5 as NARH fails to activate several other human sirtuins. Biophysical analysis indicates that NARH binds to SIRT5 directly with a K_d value in the low micromolar range. Furthermore, NARH demonstrates cellular activity to decrease the succinylation levels of CPS1 in HeLa cells, and exhibits target engagement as shown by CETSA. The potential NARH binding site has been explored using a combination of site-directed mutagenesis, enzyme kinetics analysis, and docking studies. It has been proposed to locate in the cleft between the zinc-binding domain and Rossmann fold domain, near the NAD^+ binding loop.^{33,36} This putative allosteric site can be further exploited for the development of more potent and selective SIRT5 activators. Although NARH demonstrates significant activation only at relatively high concentrations, such modest activation may still be sufficient to elicit biologically meaningful phenotypic responses, as partial activation of an enzyme pool can be amplified through downstream signaling. Moreover, NARH serves as a valuable chemical starting point for future optimization to enhance potency and efficacy.

Overall, NARH is an isoform-selective SIRT5 activator with good druglike properties as predicted by SwissADME (Fig. S9).³⁹ The current study not only provides a lead compound for development of activators with improved potency and efficacy,

but also generates a novel mechanistic understanding of how allosteric sites of SIRT5 can be targeted for activity regulation.

Methods and materials

Reagents and instruments

All reagents were purchased from Aldrich or Fisher Scientific and were of the highest purity commercially available. HPLC was performed on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC system equipped with a diode array detector using a Macherey-Nagel C18 reverse-phase column. NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz high-field NMR spectrometer and the data were processed using Topspin software. HRMS spectra were acquired with either a Waters Micromass Q-tof Ultima or a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive hybrid Quadrupole Orbitrap.

Synthetic peptides

Synthetic peptides H3K9Ac: ARTKQTAR(K-Ac)STGGKAPRKQLAS, p53K382Ac: KKGQSTSRHK(K-Ac)LMFKTEG, and H3K9Suc: ARTKQTAR(K-Suc)STGGKAPRKQLAS were synthesized and purified by Genscript. The peptides were purified by HPLC to a purity >95%.

Protein expression and purification

Plasmids of SIRT1 (full length), SIRT2 (38–356), SIRT3 (102–399), SIRT5 (34–302), and SIRT6 (1–314) were generous gift from Dr Hening Lin (Cornell University). The proteins were expressed and purified according to previously published protocols.⁴⁰ The identity of the protein was confirmed by tryptic digestion followed by LC-MS/MS analysis performed at the Vermont Biomedical Research Network (VBRN) Proteomics Facility. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay.

Deacetylation/desuccinylation assay

The K_m and k_{cat} values of SIRT5 were measured for synthetic peptide substrates. A typical reaction was performed in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 in a total volume of 50 μ L. The reactions contained various concentrations of the peptide substrate and 800 μ M NAD^+ . Reactions were initiated by the addition of 10 μ M of SIRT5 and were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min (deacetylation) or 5 min (desuccinylation) before being quenched by 8 μ L of 10% TFA. The samples were then injected on an HPLC fitted to a Macherey-Nagel Nucleosil C18 column. Acylated and deacylated peptides were resolved using a gradient of 10–40% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA. Chromatograms were analyzed at 215 nm. Reactions were quantified by integrating the area of peaks corresponding to acylated and deacylated peptides. Rates were plotted as a function of substrate concentration, and best fits of points to the Michaelis–Menten equation were obtained by Kaleidagraph[®].

Lineweaver–Burk double-reciprocal plot analysis

Peptide titration reactions containing either 0, 50 μ M, or 800 μ M NARH were incubated with 800 μ M NAD^+ , varying






Fig. 8 Docking analysis of NARH-SIRT5 interactions. (A) Docked pose of NARH in the allosteric binding site. NAD⁺: green; NARH: cyan; H3K9Suc: purple; and zinc: magenta; (B) interactions of NARH with amino acids in the binding site. H-bond: blue line; hydrophobic interaction: grey dashed line; (C) overview of NARH, NAD⁺, and H3K9Suc in the docked pose. AAs: light grey; (D) chemical structures of MC3138 and NARH. The common structural motif is highlighted in the pink dotted circles; and (E) relative position of NARH, Y104, and A189 in the docked pose.

concentrations of H3K9Suc in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 10 μ M of SIRT5 and were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min before being quenched by 8 μ L of 10% TFA. The samples were then injected on an HPLC fitted to a Macherey-Nagel Nucleosil C18 column. Acylated and deacylated peptides were resolved using a gradient of 10–40% acetonitrile in 0.1% TFA. Chromatograms were analyzed at 215 nm. Reactions were quantified by integrating the area of peaks corresponding to acylated and deacylated peptides. Double reciprocal plots were generated using Kaleidagraph[®] and fit to a linear curve representative of the Lineweaver–Burk relationship.

Microscale thermophoresis (MST) binding assay

The MST experiments were performed using a Monolith NT.115 instrument (NanoTemper Technologies). The purified recombinant His-tagged SIRT5 was labeled by the RED-tris-NTA 2nd generation dye (NanoTemper Technologies). The protein

concentration was adjusted to 200 nM in PBS-T buffer, while the dye concentration was set to 100 nM. Equal volumes (100 μ L) of protein and dye solutions were mixed and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Sixteen solutions of NARH with decreasing concentrations were prepared in the MST buffer by serial half-log dilution. Each solution was mixed with an equal volume of the labeled SIRT5. The binding affinity analysis was performed using standard MST capillaries, 40% excitation power (Nano-RED) and medium MST power at room temperature. The K_d value was determined with the MO.Affinity Analysis software (NanoTemper Technologies), using three independent MST measurements.

Isothermal titration calorimetry

Experiments were performed at 25 $^{\circ}$ C using a MicroCal iTC200 titration calorimeter (Malvern Panalytical). The wtSIRT5 and mutant proteins were dialyzed into ITC buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 100 μ M TCEP, and



5% glycerol for 48 h before experiments were conducted. The titration experiments were performed as follows: 50 μM SIRT5 was injected into the sample cell using a loading syringe, with a solution of 500 μM NARH loaded into the injection syringe. The sample cell received one preliminary injection of 0.5 μL of the ligand followed by 18 injections of 2 μL ligand. Binding data for all experiments were analyzed using the ORIGIN 7.0 software (OriginLab) using a single set of binding sites to calculate the binding affinity, stoichiometry (N), and the thermodynamic values.

Cell culture

HEK293 and HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin and 100 mg mL^{-1} streptomycin. Cells were maintained in a humidified 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ incubator with 5% CO_2 .

Cell lysate preparation

Cells were harvested and lysed with RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay.

Cellular thermal shift assay (CETSA)

The cell lysate was incubated with buffer or NARH for 30 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the samples were heated for 3 min at ten different temperatures with endpoints spanning 45 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ –72 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. Immediately after heating, the samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 min and then centrifuged to pellet the precipitated and aggregated proteins. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube. The soluble fraction was further analyzed by western blot. For CETSA in intact cells, cells were cultured in the presence or absence of NARH for 6 h at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The cells were harvested and washed with PBS. Cells were counted and pelleted. The pellets were heated as described above and lysed by 2 freeze–thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen. After centrifugation, soluble fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot.

Immunoprecipitation

The cell lysate was incubated with the anti-CPS1 antibody (Abcam) overnight at 4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. Then, protein A sepharose beads were added and incubated for 1 h. The beads were rinsed, and boiled with sample buffer for the subsequent western blotting.

Western blot

The cell lysate was resolved on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to the Immobilon PVDF transfer membrane (Biorad). The blot was blocked with 5% nonfat milk, probed with a primary antibody, and washed with TBST, followed by incubation with the anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. The signal was then detected by the ClarityTM western ECL substrate (Biorad).

Metabolic labeling and “click” chemistry

Cells were treated with 200 μM MalAM-yne or DMSO (vehicle control) for 1h. Cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and lysed. The lysate was incubated with the “click” reaction mixture (2 mM CuSO_4 , 3 mM THPTA, 40 mM ascorbate, and 2 mM TAMRA-azide) for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with the addition of 4 volumes of ice-cold acetone. The reaction was kept at -20 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ overnight, and centrifuged at 6000 $\times g$ for 5 min at 4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The pellet was washed with ice-cold methanol twice, and air-dried for 10 min. The pellet was re-suspended in Laemmli loading buffer. The samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE. To reduce the signal to noise ratio, the gel was destained in a mixture of methanol/distilled water/acetic acid (v/v/v = 4/5/1) to eliminate non-specific binding of free dyes. The destained gel and analyzed with in-gel fluorescence scanning using a Biorad ChemiDoc MP imager (excitation 532 nm, 580 nm cut-off filter and 30 nm band-pass). Commassie blue staining was applied to provide loading control.

siRNA transfection

siRNAs targeting SIRT5 have been described previously.⁴¹ SIRT5 knockdown was achieved using two siRNA sequences: siRNA-1 (5'-GCUGGAGGUUAUUGGAGAATT-3') and siRNA-2 (5'-GUGGCUGAGAAUACAAGATT-3'). Subconfluent cells were transfected with these siRNAs using the Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol. The SIRT5 level was determined by western blotting.

NR and NARH treatment

Cells were incubated with NR or NARH at various concentrations for 6 h. Cells were harvested, rinsed and re-suspended in 1 mL of fresh medium. The cell number was determined using a hemocytometer. The cell suspension was then re-pelleted for NAD^+ concentration determination.

NAD^+ concentration determination

To the cell pellet was added 30 μL of ice-cold 7% perchloric acid. The sample was then vortexed for 30 s and sonicated on ice for 5 min. The vortex-sonication cycle was repeated three times. The sample was centrifuged at 14 000 $\times g$ for 3 min at room temperature. The clear supernatant was removed and neutralized to pH 7 with 3 M NaOH and 1 M phosphate buffer (pH 9). The cellular NAD^+ level was measured using NAD^+ cycling assay as described previously.^{42–44}

Docking analysis

The crystal structure of SIRT5 complexed with NAD^+ and H3K9Suc (PDB: 3RIY) was obtained from the RCSB Protein Data Bank.⁵ SYBYL-X 2.1 was used to prepare protein and small molecules for docking. The docking studies were performed using the GOLD 5.6.8 program.³⁵ NARH was docked in the binding site, which was defined by the space in a 5 \AA radius around R105. Docking conformations were scored by the



default ChemPLP scoring function. The top solution was selected as the predicted binding pose.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the supplementary information (SI). Supplementary information is available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cb00191a>.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by 1R01GM143176-01A1 from NIH/NIGMS (to Y.C.), VCU CCTR Endowment Fund (sub-award of UL1TR002649 from National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences to VCU) (to Y.C.), and the George and Lavinia Blick Research Fund (to Y.C.). MS analysis reported in this manuscript was performed at the Vermont Biomedical Research Network (VBRN) proteomics facility supported by P20GM103449 (NIH/NIGMS).

References

- M. Watroba, I. Dudek, M. Skoda, A. Stangret, P. Rzdokiewicz and D. Szukiewicz, *Ageing Res. Rev.*, 2017, **40**, 11–19.
- S. Imai, C. M. Armstrong, M. Kaeberlein and L. Guarente, *Nature*, 2000, **403**, 795–800.
- J. Landry, A. Sutton, S. T. Tafrov, R. C. Heller, J. Stebbins, L. Pillus and R. Sternglanz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2000, **97**, 5807–5811.
- R. H. Houtkooper, E. Pirinen and J. Auwerx, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2012, **13**, 225–238.
- J. Du, Y. Zhou, X. Su, J. J. Yu, S. Khan, H. Jiang, J. Kim, J. Woo, J. H. Kim, B. H. Choi, B. He, W. Chen, S. Zhang, R. A. Cerione, J. Auwerx, Q. Hao and H. Lin, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 806–809.
- B. J. North and E. Verdin, *Genome Biol.*, 2004, **5**, 224.
- C. Peng, Z. Lu, Z. Xie, Z. Cheng, Y. Chen, M. Tan, H. Luo, Y. Zhang, W. He, K. Yang, B. M. Zwaans, D. Tishkoff, L. Ho, D. Lombard, T. C. He, J. Dai, E. Verdin, Y. Ye and Y. Zhao, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2011, **10**, M111012658.
- M. Tan, C. Peng, K. A. Anderson, P. Chhoy, Z. Xie, L. Dai, J. Park, Y. Chen, H. Huang, Y. Zhang, J. Ro, G. R. Wagner, M. F. Green, A. S. Madsen, J. Schmiesing, B. S. Peterson, G. Xu, O. R. Ilkayeva, M. J. Muehlbauer, T. Brault, C. Muhlhause, D. S. Backos, C. A. Olsen, P. J. McGuire, S. D. Pletcher, D. B. Lombard, M. D. Hirschey and Y. Zhao, *Cell Metab.*, 2014, **19**, 605–617.
- M. J. Rardin, W. He, Y. Nishida, J. C. Newman, C. Carrico, S. R. Danielson, A. Guo, P. Gut, A. K. Sahu, B. Li, R. Uppala, M. Fitch, T. Riiff, L. Zhu, J. Zhou, D. Mulhern, R. D. Stevens, O. R. Ilkayeva, C. B. Newgard, M. P. Jacobson, M. Hellerstein, E. S. Goetzman, B. W. Gibson and E. Verdin, *Cell Metab.*, 2013, **18**, 920–933.
- G. Colak, O. Pougovkina, L. Dai, M. Tan, H. Te Brinke, H. Huang, Z. Cheng, J. Park, X. Wan, X. Liu, W. W. Yue, R. J. Wanders, J. W. Locasale, D. B. Lombard, V. C. de Boer and Y. Zhao, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2015, **14**, 3056–3071.
- J. Park, Y. Chen, D. X. Tishkoff, C. Peng, M. Tan, L. Dai, Z. Xie, Y. Zhang, B. M. Zwaans, M. E. Skinner, D. B. Lombard and Y. Zhao, *Mol. Cell*, 2013, **50**, 919–930.
- G. R. Wagner and R. M. Payne, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 29036–29045.
- K. A. Hershberger, D. M. Abraham, J. Liu, J. W. Locasale, P. A. Grimsrud and M. D. Hirschey, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2018, **293**, 10630–10645.
- J. Yu, S. Sadhukhan, L. G. Noriega, N. Moullan, B. He, R. S. Weiss, H. Lin, K. Schoonjans and J. Auwerx, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, **3**, 2806.
- T. Nakagawa, D. J. Lomb, M. C. Haigis and L. Guarente, *Cell*, 2009, **137**, 560–570.
- A. M. Curry, E. Barton, W. Kang, D. V. Mongeluzi and Y. Cen, *Molecules*, 2020, **26**, 11.
- K. D. Brown, S. Maqsood, J. Y. Huang, Y. Pan, W. Harkcom, W. Li, A. Sauve, E. Verdin and S. R. Jaffrey, *Cell Metab.*, 2014, **20**, 1059–1068.
- R. Cerutti, E. Pirinen, C. Lamperti, S. Marchet, A. A. Sauve, W. Li, V. Leoni, E. A. Schon, F. Dantzer, J. Auwerx, C. Viscomi and M. Zeviani, *Cell Metab.*, 2014, **19**, 1042–1049.
- M. Gertz, G. T. Nguyen, F. Fischer, B. Suenkel, C. Schlicker, B. Franzel, J. Tomaschewski, F. Aladini, C. Becker, D. Wolters and C. Steegborn, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e49761.
- T. Hu, S. K. Shukla, E. Vernucci, C. He, D. Wang, R. J. King, K. Jha, K. Siddhanta, N. J. Mullen, K. S. Attri, D. Murthy, N. V. Chaika, R. Thakur, S. E. Mulder, C. G. Pacheco, X. Fu, R. R. High, F. Yu, A. Lazenby, C. Steegborn, P. Lan, K. Mehla, D. Rotili, S. Chaudhary, S. Valente, M. Tafani, A. Mai, J. Auwerx, E. Verdin, D. Tuveson and P. K. Singh, *Gastroenterology*, 2021, **161**, 1584–1600.
- B. Suenkel, S. Valente, C. Zwergel, S. Weiss, E. Di Bello, R. Fioravanti, M. Aventaggiato, J. A. Amorim, N. Garg, S. Kumar, D. B. Lombard, T. Hu, P. K. Singh, M. Tafani, C. M. Palmeira, D. Sinclair, A. Mai and C. Steegborn, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **65**, 14015–14031.
- A. M. Curry, S. Rymarchyk, N. B. Herrington, D. Donu, G. E. Kellogg and Y. Cen, *FEBS J.*, 2023, **290**, 4762–4776.
- Y. Yang, F. S. Mohammed, N. Zhang and A. A. Sauve, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**, 9295–9307.
- Y. Yang, N. Zhang, G. Zhang and A. A. Sauve, *Nat. Metab.*, 2020, **2**, 364–379.
- J. Giroud-Gerbetant, M. Joffraud, M. P. Giner, A. Cercillieux, S. Bartova, M. V. Makarov, R. Zapata-Perez, J. L. Sanchez-Garcia, R. H. Houtkooper, M. E. Migaud, S. Moco and C. Canto, *Mol. Metab.*, 2019, **30**, 192–202.
- T. Yang, N. Y. Chan and A. A. Sauve, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **50**, 6458–6461.



- 27 B. T. Weinert, C. Scholz, S. A. Wagner, V. Iesmantavicius, D. Su, J. A. Daniel and C. Choudhary, *Cell Rep.*, 2013, **4**, 842–851.
- 28 F. Fischer, M. Gertz, B. Suenkel, M. Lakshminarasimhan, M. Schutkowski and C. Steegborn, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e45098.
- 29 P. W. Pan, J. L. Feldman, M. K. Devries, A. Dong, A. M. Edwards and J. M. Denu, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2011, **286**, 14575–14587.
- 30 X. Bao, Q. Zhao, T. Yang, Y. M. Fung and X. D. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4883–4886.
- 31 E. Ciarlo, M. Joffraud, F. Hayat, M. P. Giner, J. Giroud-Gerbetant, J. L. Sanchez-Garcia, M. Rumpler, S. Moco, M. E. Migaud and C. Canto, *Nutrients*, 2022, **14**, 2752.
- 32 S. Kumar and D. B. Lombard, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2018, **53**, 311–334.
- 33 Y. Zhou, H. Zhang, B. He, J. Du, H. Lin, R. A. Cerione and Q. Hao, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, **287**, 28307–28314.
- 34 E. Fabbri, F. Fiorentino, V. Carafa, L. Altucci, A. Mai and D. Rotili, *Cells*, 2023, **12**, 852.
- 35 G. Jones, P. Willett, R. C. Glen, A. R. Leach and R. Taylor, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1997, **267**, 727–748.
- 36 A. Schuetz, J. Min, T. Antoshenko, C. L. Wang, A. Allali-Hassani, A. Dong, P. Loppnau, M. Vedadi, A. Bochkarev, R. Sternglanz and A. N. Plotnikov, *Structure*, 2007, **15**, 377–389.
- 37 F. Li, X. He, D. Ye, Y. Lin, H. Yu, C. Yao, L. Huang, J. Zhang, F. Wang, S. Xu, X. Wu, L. Liu, C. Yang, J. Shi, X. He, J. Liu, Y. Qu, F. Guo, J. Zhao, W. Xu and S. Zhao, *Mol. Cell*, 2015, **60**, 661–675.
- 38 F. Li and L. Liu, *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*, 2016, **10**, 171.
- 39 A. Daina, O. Michielin and V. Zoete, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 42717.
- 40 J. Du, H. Jiang and H. Lin, *Biochemistry*, 2009, **48**, 2878–2890.
- 41 H. L. Wang, Y. Chen, Y. Q. Wang, E. W. Tao, J. Tan, Q. Q. Liu, C. M. Li, X. M. Tong, Q. Y. Gao, J. Hong, Y. X. Chen and J. Y. Fang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 6121.
- 42 E. Graham, S. Rymarchyk, M. Wood and Y. Cen, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2018, **13**, 782–792.
- 43 S. Zheng, J. Wohlfahrt, I. Cohen and Y. Cen, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2020, **633**, 251–269.
- 44 A. Tran, R. Yokose and Y. Cen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, **16**, 3662–3671.

