

## PAPER

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *RSC Sustainability*, 2025, 3, 5556Unveiling the synergistic potential of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites in supercapacitor applicationsAlisha Dhakal, <sup>\*,a</sup> Felio Perez<sup>b</sup> and Sanjay R. Mishra<sup>\*,a</sup>

This study investigates the synthesis of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites with varying CeO<sub>2</sub> contents ((100 – *x*)% LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–*x*% CeO<sub>2</sub>; *x* = 0, 10, 30, 50, 100 wt%) via an autocombustion method to elucidate their synergistic electrochemical properties. X-ray diffraction (XRD) confirmed the presence of both LaMnO<sub>3</sub> (LMO) and CeO<sub>2</sub> phases in the anticipated stoichiometric ratios. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms revealed a mesoporous structure, with the LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (70 : 30) composite exhibiting the highest specific surface area of 14.32 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>–1</sup>, as determined by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) provided insights into the ion valences and chemical composition of the composites. Electrochemical performance was evaluated in a 1 M KOH aqueous electrolyte using a three-electrode configuration. The LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (70 : 30) composite demonstrated superior performance, achieving a specific capacitance of 830.3 F g<sup>–1</sup> at a scan rate of 1 mV s<sup>–1</sup> and 637.6 F g<sup>–1</sup> at a current density of 1 A g<sup>–1</sup>, corresponding to an energy density of 31.9 Wh kg<sup>–1</sup> at a power density of 357.5 W kg<sup>–1</sup>. These results underscore the synergistic enhancement of electrochemical properties through the integration of LaMnO<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>, offering significant potential for the development of high-performance materials for energy storage applications.

Received 4th September 2025

Accepted 14th October 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5su00726g

[rsc.li/rscsus](https://rsc.li/rscsus)

## Sustainability spotlight

The growing need for sustainable energy storage requires materials that are efficient, affordable, and environmentally friendly. In this work, we introduce LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites as electrode materials for supercapacitors, synthesized by a simple and scalable autocombustion process. These oxides are composed of earth-abundant elements, avoiding the use of costly or scarce metals. By tuning the LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> ratio, the composites show enhanced charge storage and long-term stability, offering a pathway to durable devices with reduced material waste. This research supports global efforts toward clean, sustainable energy storage solutions.

## Introduction


The demand for power and energy resources is increasing due to the rapid population growth and industrialization's fast-paced development.<sup>1</sup> Supercapacitors offer several benefits, including high power density, remarkable cycling stability, and quick charging and discharging rates, in contrast to conventional batteries, which store energy through chemical reactions.<sup>2–6</sup> ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskite materials have recently garnered significant attention as potential replacements for traditional metal oxides in electrochemical applications. The key feature of ABO<sub>3</sub>-type perovskites, such as LaMnO<sub>3</sub>, is their ability to undergo redox reactions, which lead to pseudocapacitance. The Mn<sup>3+</sup>/Mn<sup>4+</sup> redox couple governs the redox behavior in LaMnO<sub>3</sub>. During charge/discharge cycles, Mn can switch between these oxidation states, contributing to the material's overall capacitance. This faradaic charge storage mechanism

(pseudocapacitance) significantly enhances the energy density of the supercapacitor, which is one of the primary performance metrics of the capacitor. However, for redox reactions to occur efficiently, electrons must move between the Mn ions and the external circuit. Low conductivity hinders the movement of these electrons, which limits the efficiency of the pseudocapacitive charge storage and reduces the overall capacitance. Additionally, at higher current densities (faster charge/discharge), the high conductivity of a material is desirable to effectively engage redox couples during the cycle. This enhances charge transfer kinetics, minimizes internal resistance, and reduces voltage losses. One approach to improving the supercapacitor performance of LaMnO<sub>3</sub> is to combine it with conductive materials such as graphene,<sup>7</sup> carbon nanotubes,<sup>8</sup> or conducting polymers,<sup>9</sup> thereby forming LaMnO<sub>3</sub> composites. In addition to conductivity mismatch, the structural mismatch between LaMnO<sub>3</sub> and graphene, for example, could cause the loss of contact between the two components, leading to poor charge/discharge performance.

The above issue is addressed by combining LaMnO<sub>3</sub> with similar oxides, forming a compatible interface with LaMnO<sub>3</sub>.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics and Materials Science, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA. E-mail: [srmishra@memphis.edu](mailto:srmishra@memphis.edu); [adhakal@memphis.edu](mailto:adhakal@memphis.edu)

<sup>b</sup>Integrated Microscopy Center, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA



Along with reducing strain and facilitating better electron transport between the oxides, the oxide's pseudocapacitive behavior can lead to a synergistic effect, improving the total capacitance of the supercapacitor. For example,  $\text{LaMnO}_3/\text{NiO}$  composites were synthesized by A. Arya *et al.* via a hydrothermal method assisted by sol-gel processing. They claimed the  $C_{\text{SP}}$  of  $170 \text{ F g}^{-1}$  when measured at  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .<sup>10</sup> P. M. Shafi *et al.* synthesized  $\text{LaMnO}_3/\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$  composites using a one-pot synthesis method. The  $C_{\text{SP}}$  of  $135 \text{ F g}^{-1}$  was achieved at  $1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  in  $1 \text{ M Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution, corresponding to an energy density of  $75 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$  and a power density of  $1000 \text{ W kg}^{-1}$ .<sup>11</sup>  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-MnO}$  nanoarrays supported by carbon cloth were synthesized through one-step electrodeposition by P. Ma *et al.* These nanoarrays demonstrated a  $C_{\text{SP}}$  of  $260 \text{ F g}^{-1}$  in a  $0.5 \text{ M Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  at  $0.5 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ .<sup>12</sup>  $\text{LaMnO}_3/\text{NiCo}_2\text{O}_4$  nanoarchitecture in Ni foam was synthesized by H. Tian *et al.* using the hydrothermal route, which exhibited a  $C_{\text{SP}}$  of  $811 \text{ F g}^{-1}$  in  $6 \text{ M KOH}$  and at  $1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ .<sup>13</sup>

Considering its structural compatibility,  $\text{CeO}_2$  is a promising choice for forming a composite structure with  $\text{LaMnO}_3$ . While  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  has a perovskite structure,  $\text{CeO}_2$  adopts a fluorite structure; however, both exhibit cubic symmetry, making them structurally compatible at the interface. While  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  provides efficient electron transport via hopping through Mn–O–Mn bonds,  $\text{CeO}_2$  provides oxygen vacancies for ionic transport. These two conductivity mechanisms combine at the interface, creating a simultaneous pathway for both electronic and ionic charge transport. Furthermore,  $\text{Mn}^{3+}/\text{Mn}^{4+}$  redox transitions in  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  could be coupled with  $\text{Ce}^{4+}/\text{Ce}^{3+}$  redox transitions in  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,<sup>14</sup> promote electron exchange with multiple redox processes and thus enhance pseudocapacitance. Meanwhile, oxygen ions from  $\text{LaMnO}_3$ 's lattice can migrate into vacancies in  $\text{CeO}_2$  and *vice versa*, and this movement could stabilize oxygen vacancies at the interface, increasing active sites for redox reactions. In fact,  $\text{CeO}_2$  is known for its electrochemical stability in a wide range of electrolytes (both aqueous and organic), and it can withstand multiple charge/discharge cycles without significant degradation. This property enhances the cycling life of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites in supercapacitors.

This study presents a detailed electrochemical analysis of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites prepared via autocombustion. The process involves igniting a precursor mixture containing metal salts and fuels (such as urea or citric acid). When ignited, the heat generated by the combustion reaction leads to the rapid formation of highly porous and high surface area nanocrystalline particles.<sup>15</sup> As discussed above, the choice of  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  as a composite component is due to its excellent redox activity, structural stability, and high theoretical  $C_{\text{SP}}$ . At the same time,  $\text{CeO}_2$  has a highly reversible  $\text{Ce}^{3+}/\text{Ce}^{4+}$  redox transition, which is essential for its pseudocapacitive behavior.  $\text{CeO}_2$  can easily switch between the +3 and +4 oxidation states in redox, allowing it to store and release charge through fast and reversible electron transfers. The choice further extends to  $\text{CeO}_2$  as other rare-earth oxides, such as  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Pr}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Nd}_2\text{O}_3$ , typically have more stable oxidation states (*e.g.*,  $\text{La}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Pr}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$ ) and do not exhibit the same level of redox versatility as  $\text{CeO}_2$ . Thus, enhanced electrochemical performance in  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$

composite is anticipated from the synergistic interactions across their interfaces, enabling simultaneous ionic and electronic transport. The nanoscale interface is expected to maximize the active area, shorten diffusion paths, and enhance charge separation, ensuring efficient charge storage with enhanced electrochemical performance.


## Experimental section

### Materials

The composites of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  were prepared using lanthanum(III) nitrate hexahydrate (La-nitrate,  $\text{La}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce-nitrate,  $\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), manganese(II) nitrate tetrahydrate (Mn-nitrate,  $\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), and citric acid monohydrate ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA, and used without purification.

### Preparation of $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$ composites

Composites of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  were synthesized via the auto-combustion process.<sup>16</sup> The weight% of  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  and  $\text{CeO}_2$  in the composite was adjusted according to the expression  $(100 - x)\% \text{ LaMnO}_3\text{-}x\% \text{ CeO}_2$  ( $x \text{ wt}\% = 0, 10, 30, 50$ , and  $100$ ), and the resulting samples were designated as LMO, LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%), LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%), and  $\text{CeO}_2$ , respectively. Initially, the hydrous powders of La-nitrate, Mn-nitrate, and citric acid monohydrate were mixed in  $20 \text{ mL}$  of deionized (DI) water and stirred magnetically (solution-1). In a separate beaker, Ce-nitrate and citric acid monohydrate were dissolved in  $20 \text{ mL}$  of DI water and stirred for  $20$  minutes to ensure uniformity (solution-2). Subsequently, solution 2 was added to solution 1, and the mixture was heated at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  with continued magnetic stirring until autoignition occurred. The resulting black powder was transferred to a crucible and calcined at  $900^\circ\text{C}$  overnight in the furnace to yield  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites. The chemical reaction in forming  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites is presented as eqn (1), while Fig. 1 illustrates a schematic diagram of the synthesis process.



### Electrode fabrication

Electrode fabrication was carried out according to previous work.<sup>17</sup> A  $2 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$  nickel foam (Ni-foam) was used for electrode preparation. It was first ultrasonicated for  $10$  minutes in  $25 \text{ mL}$  of  $37\%$  HCl solution and then rinsed with deionized (DI) water. Subsequently, it underwent another  $10$  minutes of ultrasonication in DI water and ethanol. Finally, after an additional  $10$  minutes of ultrasonication in ethanol, the samples were dried at  $110^\circ\text{C}$  for  $2$  hours under vacuum conditions. To prepare the homogeneous electrode solution,  $6 \text{ mg}$  of the synthesized  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composite was stirred for  $6$  hours (magnetically) with  $1 \text{ mg}$  of polyvinylidene fluoride in  $0.001 \text{ L}$  of






Fig. 1 A schematic of the autocombustion synthesis process of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites. Created with <https://BioRender.com>.

*N*-methylpyrrolidone to ensure uniform dispersion. After that, 0.6 mL of the resulting suspension was then deposited onto a  $1\text{ cm} \times 1\text{ cm}$  section of pre-cleaned Ni-foam. The coated substrate was heated at  $110\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  in a vacuum furnace for 20 hours. The loading of the active material was calculated by measuring the mass difference between the coated and uncoated Ni-foam.

### Material characterization

The structural characteristics, including crystallographic phase and lattice parameters of the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, were analyzed by using X-ray diffraction (XRD) using  $\text{Cu K}\alpha 1$  radiation of wavelength  $\lambda \sim 1.5406\text{ \AA}$  on a D8 Advance diffractometer

(Bruker, Germany). Data were collected using a Vantec solid-state detector (Bruker) with a  $2\theta$  range of  $20^\circ\text{--}70^\circ$ , a step interval of  $0.0484^\circ$ , and a collection time of 0.2 s per step. Morphological analysis was performed using a Hitachi S-470 field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) operated at  $10\text{ }\mu\text{A}$  emission current and 20 kV as an acceleration voltage. With the help of energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) using the Bruker Esprit spectrum 2.3, the elemental composition was determined. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha system at a 0.1 eV step size to determine the binding energies, elemental compositions, chemical states, and electron configuration of the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composite. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area and pore size distribution were determined using a NOVAtouch surface area analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments, USA) at 77 K, employing nitrogen adsorption. A Gamry instrument (Interface 1000, USA) with a three-electrode setup was used to perform the electrochemical measurements in 1 M KOH electrolyte. A stoichiometric quantity of KOH flakes was dissolved in 25 mL of deionized water to make a 1 M KOH. A platinum plate and Ag/AgCl were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. A working electrode was fabricated by coating the sample onto a pure nickel foam substrate (area:  $1\text{ cm} \times 1\text{ cm}$ ). The electrochemical performance was evaluated at room temperature using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and charge-discharge measurements.

## Results and discussion

### Structure and morphology study

The XRD spectra along with the corresponding Rietveld refinement profiles of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites are shown in Fig. 2. The diffraction peaks observed at  $2\theta = 28.7, 33.2, 47.7, 56.6, 59.3,$  and  $69.7^\circ$  match the PDF number 01-073-6318, confirming the presence of  $\text{CeO}_2$  with a face-centered cubic structure (space group  $Fm\bar{3}m$ ). Additionally, the primary peaks at  $2\theta = 22.9, 32.5, 32.8, 40.2, 46.9, 52.6, 58.2,$  and  $68.1^\circ$




Fig. 2 (a) X-ray diffraction profiles and (b) corresponding Rietveld refinement results for the synthesized  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites.



Table 1 Estimated composition of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites, lattice parameters, crystallite size, and microstrain derived from XRD data

| Composites                       | Lattice parameters    |              |                  |                            |                            | Crystallite size (nm) |              | Microstrain (10 <sup>-3</sup> ) |              |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
|                                  | LMO                   |              | CeO <sub>2</sub> |                            | <i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> ) | <i>a</i> (Å)          | <i>c</i> (Å) | <i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> )      | <i>a</i> (Å) |
|                                  | Estimated composition | <i>a</i> (Å) | <i>c</i> (Å)     | <i>V</i> (Å <sup>3</sup> ) |                            |                       |              |                                 |              |
| LMO                              | LMO                   | 5.5027 (4)   | 13.3134 (6)      | 349.123 (23)               | —                          | —                     | —            | —                               | —            |
| CeO <sub>2</sub>                 | CeO <sub>2</sub>      | —            | —                | —                          | 158.314 (7)                | 5.40970 (8)           | —            | 86.65 ± 0.03                    | 1.6          |
| LMO-CeO <sub>2</sub> (90% : 10%) | 91.0% : 9.0%          | 5.5078 (8)   | 13.3371 (12)     | 350.39 (5)                 | 159.72 (11)                | 5.4256 (13)           | —            | 81.56 ± 0.05                    | 1.3          |
| LMO-CeO <sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) | 68.0% : 32.0%         | 5.5054 (11)  | 13.3528 (24)     | 350.49 (8)                 | 159.49 (4)                 | 5.4231 (5)            | —            | 29.50 ± 0.48                    | 1.8          |
| LMO-CeO <sub>2</sub> (50% : 50%) | 50.5% : 49.5%         | 5.5063 (26)  | 13.367 (7)       | 350.98 (18)                | 159.22 (4)                 | 5.4200 (4)            | —            | 20.09 ± 1.38                    | 1.2          |
|                                  |                       |              |                  |                            |                            |                       |              | 33.013 ± 0.10                   | 1.0          |
|                                  |                       |              |                  |                            |                            |                       |              |                                 | 1.2          |


correspond to the PDF number 01-075-7697, indicating the presence of rhombohedral (space group  $R\bar{3}c$ ) for LaMnO<sub>3</sub>. Sharp and intense diffraction peaks suggest that the LaMnO<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> phases exhibit good crystallinity (Fig. 2(a)). Rietveld refinement was performed (Fig. 2(b)) to determine the composition percentages and lattice parameters, which are summarized in Table 1. Overall, the  $R_w\%$  for all refinements was less than 2%. The calculated composition ratio matches our initial stoichiometry of the composite.

The Williamson-Hall (W-H) equation  $\beta \cos \theta = k\lambda/D + 4\epsilon \sin \theta$ ,<sup>18,19</sup> is used to determine the crystallite size ( $D$ ) from the XRD data. Here,  $\beta$  is the full width at half maximum (in radians) of the peaks,  $\theta$  is the peak position,  $k$  is the Scherrer constant (0.9),  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the X-ray used, and  $\epsilon$  is the lattice strain. The crystallite size is obtained from the  $Y$ -intercept of the linear plot of  $\beta \cos \theta$  ( $y$ -axis) vs.  $4 \sin \theta$  ( $x$ -axis). The W-H equation was plotted using the diffraction peaks at  $2\theta = 28.7$ ,  $47.7$ , and  $56.6^\circ$  for CeO<sub>2</sub> and  $2\theta = 22.9$ ,  $32.5$ ,  $32.8$ ,  $40.2$ ,  $46.9$ ,  $52.6$ ,  $58.2$ , and  $68.1^\circ$  for LaMnO<sub>3</sub>. The crystallite size and lattice parameters of LMO and CeO<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 1. The crystallite size of LMO decreased while CeO<sub>2</sub> increased with increasing CeO<sub>2</sub> content in the composite. The difference in grain growth between LMO and CeO<sub>2</sub> can be attributed to grain boundary migration, which typically occurs through long-range diffusion in a two-phase system with mutually limited solubility.<sup>20</sup> The impediment to grain growth of LMO is evident with the increase in CeO<sub>2</sub> content in the composite.<sup>21</sup> The lattice parameters obtained from the Rietveld analysis of the respective components of the composite are listed in Table 1. The lattice parameters of LMO and CeO<sub>2</sub> remain unaltered across the compositions of the composites. Furthermore, CeO<sub>2</sub>, with a cubic structure, and LaMnO<sub>3</sub>, with a rhombohedral structure, display similar lattice parameters of approximately 5.503 Å. This similarity in lattice parameters reduces the lattice strain at the interface between the two components. With the close lattice matching, the energy cost associated with forming the grain boundary is minimized, thus making it easier for the grains to grow uniformly.

Fig. 3 displays the LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composite morphology, elemental map, and spectrum. Fig. 3(a and a' to e and e') shows 20 and 5 μm scale FESEM images of LMO, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and composite particles. Fig. 3(b and b') shows a compact and dense morphology of CeO<sub>2</sub> with flake-like or layered structure and granular clusters. The composite morphology becomes more granular with increasing CeO<sub>2</sub> content. The EDX mapping of La (green), Ce (cyan), Mn (blue), and O (red) of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites is shown in Fig. 3, and the EDX spectrum of synthesized composites is shown in Fig. 3(f-j). The EDX elemental mapping shows a homogeneous coverage of LMO particles with the CeO<sub>2</sub> phase. CeO<sub>2</sub> and LaMnO<sub>3</sub>, having close lattice “ $a$ ” parameter (Table 1), could facilitate the growth of CeO<sub>2</sub> over LaMnO<sub>3</sub> particles. The lattice match makes the growth of CeO<sub>2</sub> energetically favorable, aligning with the crystal structure of LMO. Consequently, this reduces the strain energy that would otherwise cause CeO<sub>2</sub> to agglomerate as separate particles.

The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites (Fig. 4) were measured at






**Table 2** Surface area and pore size derived from N<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption measurement of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites at 77 K

| Composite (LMO–CeO <sub>2</sub> ) | BET surface area (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>−1</sup> ) | Average pore size (radius, nm) | Total pore volume (cc g <sup>−1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| LMO                               | 7.70                                               | 3.91                           | 0.02                                    |
| CeO <sub>2</sub>                  | 8.86                                               | 4.22                           | 0.02                                    |
| LMO–CeO <sub>2</sub> (90% : 10%)  | 10.35                                              | 5.00                           | 0.03                                    |
| LMO–CeO <sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%)  | 14.32                                              | 11.91                          | 0.09                                    |
| LMO–CeO <sub>2</sub> (50% : 50%)  | 13.54                                              | 10.60                          | 0.07                                    |

a temperature of 77 K using N<sub>2</sub> gas. The isotherm profile of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites corresponds to a type IV isotherm with mesoporous characteristics.<sup>22</sup> The pore size distribution and specific surface area were calculated from the desorption data by using the BJH and BET models, respectively, and are listed in Table 2. The LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) composite has the highest specific surface area of 14.32 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>, along with a total pore volume of 0.09 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>−1</sup> and a pore radius of 11.91 nm. Fig. 4 inset shows the BJH pore size distribution. The differential pore volume (dV/dD) at each pore size indicates the volume available in pores of a given size range. The increase in the dV/dD value below 10 nm in all samples shows the presence of mesopores (2–50 nm) and micropores (<2 nm) in the material.

The porous structure, larger surface area, and pore volume of the composite are beneficial to improving the electrochemical performance of the composite.<sup>23</sup>

The composition and the oxidation states of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites were analyzed using XPS. The high-resolution spectra of La (Fig. 5(a)) exhibit binding energies at 834.4 and 838 eV for La 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and 850.8 and 855.4 eV for La 3d<sub>3/2</sub>, respectively, corresponding to the +3-oxidation state. This implies that La ions are in the trivalent state (La<sup>3+</sup>), consistent with previously reported values.<sup>24</sup> Fig. 5(b) presents the high-resolution spectra for Mn, displaying two peaks at 641.8 and 653.4 eV for Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Mn 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, respectively. The deconvoluted Mn 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peaks reveal three oxidation states at 640.7,



**Fig. 5** (a) High-resolution spectrum of La 3d<sub>5/2</sub>, (b) high-resolution spectrum of Mn 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, (c) high-resolution spectrum of Ce 3d<sub>5/2</sub>, and (d) high-resolution spectrum of O 1s.



641.6, and 642.9 eV, which correspond to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ , and  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$ . Similarly, the deconvoluted Mn  $2p_{3/2}$  peaks at 652.4, 653.2, and 654.4 eV corresponds to  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ , and  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$ , respectively.<sup>25</sup> The 882.8, 888.8, 898.2, and 901.2 eV peaks correspond to the  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  oxidation state.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, the peaks at 881.1, 885.5, 899.0, and 903.9 eV binding energy confirm the  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  oxidation state (Fig. 5(c)).<sup>27</sup> The localization of the electrons left behind in the Ce 4f states due to oxygen defects could lead to the formation of  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  ions.<sup>14,28</sup> The coexistence of  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  oxidation states verified the incorporation of  $\text{CeO}_2$  in the composite. The high-resolution O 1s spectrum of the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, illustrated in Fig. 5(d), was deconvoluted into three primary peaks. The first peak ( $\text{O}_1$ ) at 529.4 eV corresponds to lattice oxygen ( $\text{O}_{\text{latt}}$ ) present on the surface. The second peak ( $\text{O}_2$ ), appearing at 531.1 eV, is linked to oxygen vacancies or under-coordinated sites ( $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$ ).<sup>13</sup> The third component ( $\text{O}_3$ ), located at 532.8 eV, is attributed to hydroxyl groups arising from adsorbed moisture or surface-bound water molecules.<sup>13,29</sup> The ratios of  $\text{Mn}^{2+}/\text{Mn}^{3+}$  are 0.93, 0.81, 0.70, and 0.69, and  $\text{Mn}^{4+}/\text{Mn}^{3+}$  are 0.98, 1.04, 1.12, and 0.71 for LMO, LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%), LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%), respectively. For Ce ions, the ratio of  $\text{Ce}^{3+}/\text{Ce}^{4+}$  is 0.79, 0.98, 1.03, and 0.57 for  $\text{CeO}_2$ , LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%), LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%), respectively. The presence of  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$ , and  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  ions indicates the presence of oxygen deficiency in the corresponding compounds in the composite. A large number of defects are observed in LMO and  $\text{CeO}_2$  for the 70% : 30% composite. The presence of oxygen defects enhances the electrochemical properties of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites.<sup>30</sup> Oxygen vacancies act

as active sites for faradaic charge storage in both  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  and  $\text{CeO}_2$ , improving the  $C_{\text{SP}}$  by enhancing the ability of these materials to undergo redox reactions during supercapacitor operation.<sup>23,31</sup>

## Electrochemical performance

**Cyclic voltammetry (CV).** The CV curves of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites at  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  are shown in Fig. 6(a). The area enclosed by the LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composites is higher than that of others, indicating that the  $C_{\text{SP}}$  is expected to be higher for these composites. Fig. 6(b-f) shows the CV curves of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites at different scan rates of 1 to  $300 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . The redox peak can still be observed at  $300 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ , indicating that the charge storage mechanism of the electrode is due to the reversible conversions of redox reactions between  $\text{Ce}^{4+}$  and  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  in  $\text{CeO}_2$  and  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$  for  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  electrodes. Moreover, the oxidation peaks shifted to higher potentials, and the reduction peaks shifted to lower potentials as the scan rates increased. The redox reaction is more kinetically controlled at higher scan rates than purely diffusion-controlled. At higher scan rates, the redox reaction does not occur instantly upon reaching its standard potential because the electrode and electrolyte need time for charge transfer and mass transport to catch up. The reaction becomes kinetically hindered, meaning that additional energy (a more negative reduction potential) is necessary to drive the process at the required rate. The reduction peaks further shifted to lower potentials in LMO- $\text{CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites, which could be attributed to decreased reversibility in the redox processes. This behavior likely arises due to excess  $\text{CeO}_2$  disrupting the conductive network within









Fig. 6 Cyclic voltammetry measurements of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites: (a) at a scan rate of  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  and (b-f) at different scan rates of 1 to  $300 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .



the composites, blocking an active redox site of LMO, obstructing ion transport pathways, and decreasing the intrinsic reversibility of the  $\text{Ce}^{3+} \leftrightarrow \text{Ce}^{4+}$  redox transition.<sup>32</sup> Concomitantly, a voltage corresponding to the reduction drifts to a lower potential with an increase in  $\text{CeO}_2$  content, primarily due to an increase in the overpotential required for reduction. The corresponding adsorption/desorption of  $\text{OH}^-$  ions from the  $\text{CeO}_2$  and  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  surface can be expressed as eqn (2)–(5).<sup>33,34</sup>



Pseudocapacitive materials such as  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites are capable of storing charge in two different mechanisms: (1) through the faradaic electron transfer, which involves the reversible redox reactions of metal ions with their corresponding oxides (*e.g.*  $\text{Mn(II)}$ ,  $\text{Mn(III)}$ , and  $\text{Mn(IV)}$ , and  $\text{Ce(II)}$ , and  $\text{Ce(III)}$ ), and (2) *via* non-faradaic charge storage in the electrical double layer on the electrode's surface. Accordingly, the charge–discharge behavior was qualitatively assessed using eqn (6) or (7).<sup>35</sup>

$$i = a\nu^b \quad (6)$$

or

$$\log(i) = \log(a) + b \log(\nu) \quad (7)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are the constants, while  $i$  denotes the current density and  $\nu$  represents the scan rate of the CV measurement. In general, two limiting values exist for  $b$ :  $b = 0.5$ , where the electrochemical behavior is dominated by diffusion-controlled or battery-type processes, slowing the reaction kinetics. On the other hand,  $b = 1$  is applied to surface-controlled or electric

double-layer capacitance, and the reaction kinetics are fast. When the  $b$  values fall between 0.5 and 1, the energy storage kinetics are governed by a combination of both diffusion and surface-controlled reactions. In our work, as shown in Fig. 7(a), the calculated values of  $b$  range from 0.53 to 0.65 for the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites. The  $b$ -value of LMO,  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%),  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites are calculated to be 0.54, 0.65, 0.62, 0.53, and 0.54, respectively. Furthermore, to evaluate the quantitative contributions to the overall energy storage of the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, Dunn's method was applied to distinguish between surface-controlled capacitive effects and diffusion-limited processes, as described by eqn (8) or (9).<sup>36–38</sup>

$$i(V) = k_1\nu + k_2\nu^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

or

$$\frac{i(V)}{\nu^{1/2}} = k_1\nu^{1/2} + k_2 \quad (9)$$

In these equations,  $i(V)$  denotes the peak current as a function of scan rate ( $\nu$ ), with  $k_1$  (slope) and  $k_2$  (Y-intercept) are both constant terms.<sup>38</sup> Here,  $k_1\nu$  and  $k_2\nu^{1/2}$  correspond to current contributions from surface-controlled capacitive processes and diffusion-limited mechanisms, respectively.<sup>36</sup> As illustrated in Fig. 7(b), the diffusion-controlled capacitance contributions at  $300 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  are approximately 71, 53, 62, 85, and 81% for LMO,  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%),  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites, respectively. However, it is noticed that the diffusion-controlled process persists even at  $300 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ , indicating a Faraday-controlled type of redox reaction.

The Randles–Sevcik equation describes the effect of scan rate ( $\nu$ ,  $\text{mV s}^{-1}$ ) on peak current ( $i_p$ ) for a reversible cyclic voltammogram, representing a diffusion-controlled process (eqn (10)).<sup>39</sup>

$$i_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 AC_0(n^3 D\nu)^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

Here,  $i_p$  is directly influenced by several parameters, including the electrode area ( $A$ ,  $\text{cm}^2$ ), the electroactive material's concentration ( $C_0$ ,  $\text{mol cm}^{-3}$ ), the number of electrons




Fig. 7 (a) Relationship between the logarithm of cathodic peak current and the logarithm of scan rates, (b) contribution of capacitive/diffusion-controlled processes at different scan rates, and (c) correlation between peak current from CV curves and the square root of the scan rate of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites.




Fig. 8  $C_{SP}$  of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites at different scan rates.

transferred per molecule ( $n$ ), the diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ,  $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ ), and the scan rate ( $\nu$ ,  $\text{mV s}^{-1}$ ). Fig. 7(c) shows the plot of peak current obtained from the CV curves *versus* the square root of the scan rate, which exhibits a linear trend. This linear dependence indicates that a diffusion-controlled mechanism dominates the charge storage behavior.

Fig. 8 presents the  $C_{SP}$  values of the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, calculated from the integrated area under the CV curves using eqn (11):<sup>40</sup>

$$C_{SP} = \frac{1}{m\nu\Delta V} \int_{V_a}^{V_c} I(V) dV \quad (11)$$

where  $m$  denotes the mass of the active material in the electrode,  $I(V)$  is the response current, and  $\Delta V$  is the potential window.<sup>36</sup> Here, the integrated part of eqn (11) gives the area enclosed by the current-potential curve or CV curve, which is directly proportional to the  $C_{SP}$  of the material. The  $C_{SP}$  values of LMO,  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%),  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) are 304, 289, 453, 830, and 670  $\text{F g}^{-1}$  at 1  $\text{mV s}^{-1}$ , respectively, and are shown in Table 3.

It has been observed that an increase in scan rate leads to a decrease in the  $C_{SP}$  of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites. At low scan rates, the  $C_{SP}$  is high because ions with low kinetic energy and resistance have sufficient time to diffuse into the electrode surface. Conversely, at high scan rates, the increased kinetic energy of ions increases their resistance, reducing the time

available for them to interact with the electrode surface and resulting in lower  $C_{SP}$  values. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the  $C_{SP}$  values increase with the increase in the  $\text{CeO}_2$  ratio in LMO. This may be due to the presence of oxygen vacancies, defects, and enhanced interfacial and synergistic effects between the LMO and  $\text{CeO}_2$  electrodes. Furthermore, the  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composites have a higher  $C_{SP}$  value than those of other composites, indicating this is the optimal composition of this LMO and  $\text{CeO}_2$ . However, with a higher ratio of  $\text{CeO}_2$  in LMO, the  $C_{SP}$  of  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites decrease. This may be due to particle agglomeration, which implies that the  $\text{CeO}_2$  particles may block the ion flow path, thereby limiting ion diffusion and the availability of electroactive sites.

**Chronopotentiometry studies.** Fig. 9(a) shows the chronopotentiometry charge/discharge curves of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composite electrodes at a current density of 1  $\text{A g}^{-1}$ . In all cases, the nonlinear discharge curves are asymmetric to the corresponding charge curves, indicating supercapacitive behavior. Charge/discharge measurements are also carried out at different current densities, ranging from 1 to 15  $\text{A g}^{-1}$ , for  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites (Fig. 9(b–f)). At low current densities, the discharge time is observed to be longer for all composites with a small plateau, indicating the extrinsic pseudocapacitive behavior. Dunn *et al.* introduced the concept of the “extrinsic pseudocapacitor” for materials such as  $\text{LiCoO}_2$ . These materials behave as a battery in the bulk phase, but after size reduction, pseudocapacitive behavior emerges.<sup>41,42</sup>

Eqn (12) can be used to calculate the  $C_{SP}$  of working electrodes from the charge–discharge curves.<sup>43</sup>

$$C_{SP} = \frac{I_m \times \Delta t}{\Delta V} \quad (12)$$

where  $I_m$  represents the current density,  $m$  denotes the mass of the active material,  $\Delta t$  refers to the discharge time, and  $\Delta V$  indicates the potential window. The calculated  $C_{SP}$  is 291, 234, 430, 638, and 514  $\text{F g}^{-1}$  at a scan rate of 1  $\text{A g}^{-1}$  for LMO,  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%),  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites, respectively. The  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70 : 30%) composites have a higher  $C_{SP}$ , which is 2.19 times higher than that of LMO and 2.7 times higher than that of  $\text{CeO}_2$ . This result emphasizes the synergistic effects between the LMO and  $\text{CeO}_2$  compounds. Fig. 10(a) shows the relationship between the  $C_{SP}$  and current densities from 1–15  $\text{A g}^{-1}$ .

Fig. 10(b) represents the Ragone plot for the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, demonstrating the correlation between the

Table 3  $C_{SP}$ , energy density, and power density of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites

| Composites                     | $C_{SP}$ ( $\text{F g}^{-1}$ ) |                     | Energy density ( $\text{Wh kg}^{-1}$ ) | Power density ( $\text{W kg}^{-1}$ ) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                | 1 $\text{mV s}^{-1}$           | 1 $\text{A g}^{-1}$ |                                        |                                      |
| LMO                            | 303.8                          | 290.7               | 14.5                                   | 354.0                                |
| $\text{CeO}_2$                 | 289.2                          | 234.2               | 11.7                                   | 351.4                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (90% : 10%) | 452.8                          | 430.2               | 21.5                                   | 351.9                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (70% : 30%) | 830.3                          | 637.6               | 31.9                                   | 357.5                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (50% : 50%) | 670.0                          | 513.6               | 25.7                                   | 350.5                                |






Fig. 9 Charge/discharge curves (a) at a scan rate of  $1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  and (b–f) at different current densities from 1 to  $15 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  for  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{--CeO}_2$  composites.




Fig. 10 (a)  $C_{\text{SP}}$  and (b) Ragone plot of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{--CeO}_2$  composites at different current densities.

logarithmic values of energy density and power density. The energy density ( $E$ ;  $\text{Wh kg}^{-1}$ ) and power density ( $P$ ;  $\text{W kg}^{-1}$ ) were determined using eqn (13) and (14):<sup>44,45</sup>

$$E = \frac{0.5 \times C_{\text{SP}} \times \Delta V^2}{3.6} \quad (13)$$

$$P = \frac{3600 \times E}{\Delta t} \quad (14)$$

where  $C_{\text{SP}}$  represents specific capacitance ( $\text{F g}^{-1}$ ), and  $\Delta V$  is the voltage window (V), and  $\Delta t$  is the discharge time (s). The  $\text{LMO--CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composites have the highest energy density of

$31.9 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$  with a power density of  $357.5 \text{ W kg}^{-1}$  (Table 3). Even at the high-power density of  $5390.8 \text{ W kg}^{-1}$ , the energy density is  $20.0 \text{ Wh kg}^{-1}$ , which is higher than the reported values of  $\text{CeO}_2$  compounds.

The ability to maintain capacity over multiple cycles at higher current rates is a crucial requirement for a charge storage device, making it an essential feature of supercapacitors for real-world applications. The cyclic performance for the  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{--CeO}_2$  composites electrode is depicted in Fig. 11. This was carried out at a constant current density of  $10 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  using chronopotentiometry charge–discharge cyclic measurement for




Fig. 11 Cyclic performance and coulombic efficiency (inset) of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composite electrode during 5000 cycles at a current density of 10 A g<sup>-1</sup>.

5000 continuous cycles. No perceptible degradation was observed in the LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) composite. The capacity retention of LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (50% : 50%) composite increases after 2000 cycles, and no degradation is noticed until 4500 cycles. The retention percentages of electrodes prepared using LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites were obtained to be 85.8, 90.7, 80.2, 98.3, and 93.1%, corresponding to a decrease in  $C_{SP}$  from 121.0, 122.8, 231.6, 394.2, and 182.3 F g<sup>-1</sup> to 103.8, 111.4, 185.7, 387.6, and 169.7 F g<sup>-1</sup> for LMO, CeO<sub>2</sub>, LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (90% : 10%), LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%), and LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (50% : 50%) composites, respectively. The higher stability of electrodes of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites can be attributed to several factors: (i) the structurally integrated composites provide interconnected porous channels that facilitate efficient diffusion of electrolyte ions toward the interior regions, (ii) the close interfacial contact between the LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> mesoporous core and highly conductive intermediate shell can increase the electrical

conductivity of the electrode material, resulting in faster electron transport in the electrode.<sup>46</sup> Additionally, it can effectively adapt to volume variations during faradaic redox reactions across the LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> core surface, preventing the active electrode material from degradation, thereby ensuring high cycling stability.

The coulombic efficiency as a function of cycle number is shown in the inset of Fig. 11. It specifies the efficacy of converting electrical charge into a useful output during charging and discharging cycles. The coulombic efficiency of electrodes was evaluated using the formula  $\eta = (t_D/t_C) \times 100\%$ .<sup>47</sup> Where  $t_D$  and  $t_C$  represent discharging time and charging time, respectively. The result provides the coulombic efficiency of LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) and LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (50% : 50%), which exhibit 100% efficiency throughout 5000 cycles at a current density of 10 A g<sup>-1</sup>. According to these results, the optimal proportion of LMO and CeO<sub>2</sub> composites enhances the electrochemical stability and increases the viability of the redox process.

Table 4 compares the  $C_{SP}$ , energy density, and power density of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites to those of the published articles. It demonstrates that LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) outperforms other composites in terms of  $C_{SP}$ , energy, and power density.

**Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS).** The impedance spectrum of a supercapacitor is another crucial component to understanding its performance. The primary goal of electrochemical impedance measurements is to analyze the electrode's capacitive and resistive characteristics. The impedance spectra of the LaMnO<sub>3</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> composites are presented in Fig. 12. The Bode diagrams present the relationship between the impedance magnitude ( $|z|$ ) (Fig. 12(a)) and the phase angle between the applied voltage signal and the resulting current ( $\Phi$ , degrees) (Fig. 12(b)) as a function of AC frequency, while the corresponding Nyquist plots are shown in Fig. 12(c). As depicted in Fig. 12(a), the LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub> (70% : 30%) composite exhibits the lowest impedance values across the high-frequency region, indicating a reduced resistance to ion transport and enhanced electrochemical performance. In the low-frequency region, the phase angle of this composite approaches  $-80^\circ$  (Fig. 12(b)),

Table 4 Electrochemical performance metrics of LaMnO<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> based electrode materials for energy storage devices

| Material                                                                                     | Current density (A g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Electrolyte (KOH)                   | $C_{SP}$ (F g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Energy density, $E$ (Wh kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Power density, $P$ (W kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ref.                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| LaMn <sub>1-x</sub> O <sub>3</sub>                                                           | 1                                    | 1 M                                 | 202.1 mAh g <sup>-1</sup>     | —                                          | —                                        | 25                  |
| LaMnO <sub>3</sub> -SiO <sub>2</sub>                                                         | 5 mV s <sup>-1</sup>                 | 1 M                                 | 200                           | —                                          | —                                        | 48                  |
| LaMnO <sub>3</sub> -Mn <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (70% : 30%)                               | 1                                    | 6 M                                 | 478.8                         | 23.9                                       | 355.7                                    | 38                  |
| CeO <sub>2</sub> -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanospindles                                | 5 mV s <sup>-1</sup>                 | 6 M                                 | 142.6                         | —                                          | —                                        | 49                  |
| Porous NiO-CeO <sub>2</sub>                                                                  | 1                                    | 3 M                                 | 305                           | —                                          | —                                        | 50                  |
| LaMnO <sub>3</sub> -Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (70% : 30%)                               | 0.5                                  | 1 M                                 | 660.0                         | 33.0                                       | 202.7                                    | 45                  |
| LaMnO <sub>3</sub> /rGO/PANI                                                                 | 1                                    | PVA/KOH                             | 802                           | —                                          | —                                        | 51                  |
| CeO <sub>2</sub> /AC                                                                         | 2 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>                | 1 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>  | 162                           | —                                          | —                                        | 52                  |
| Co-CeO <sub>2</sub>                                                                          | 2                                    | 1 M                                 | 573 C g <sup>-1</sup>         | —                                          | —                                        | 53                  |
| Polythiophene                                                                                | 0.5                                  | Polymer gel                         | 129.13                        | 3                                          | 250                                      | 54                  |
| La <sub>0.75</sub> Sr <sub>0.25</sub> MnO <sub>3-<math>\delta</math></sub> /MnO <sub>2</sub> | 2 mV s <sup>-1</sup>                 | 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | 437.2                         | —                                          | —                                        | 55                  |
| CeO <sub>2</sub> /CeS <sub>2</sub>                                                           | 1                                    | 0.1 M                               | 420                           | 21.2                                       | 303.0                                    | 56                  |
| <b>LMO-CeO<sub>2</sub>(70% : 30%)</b>                                                        | <b>1</b>                             | <b>1 M</b>                          | <b>637.6</b>                  | <b>31.9</b>                                | <b>357.5</b>                             | <b>Present work</b> |
| MnO <sub>2</sub> -CeO <sub>2</sub> -1                                                        | 0.5 A g <sup>-1</sup>                | 3 M                                 | 274.3                         | —                                          | —                                        | 33                  |
| LaMnO <sub>3</sub> /CeO <sub>2</sub>                                                         | 1                                    | 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | 262.0                         | —                                          | —                                        | 57                  |

indicating near-ideal capacitive behavior. Although not perfectly capacitive ( $-90^\circ$ ), the high phase angle signifies rapid charge storage kinetics and efficient charge transport at the electrode-electrolyte interface. In the Nyquist plot of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites, where  $Z_{\text{re}}$  (X-axis) and  $Z_{\text{im}}$  (Y-axis) are the real and imaginary parts of the impedance, measured in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz. The insets in Fig. 12(c) are the equivalent circuit, which consists of solution resistance ( $R_1$ ), open Warburg impedance ( $W_o$ ), interfacial resistance ( $R_2$ ), and a constant phase element (CPE). The intersection of the EIS plots and the real axis represent the solution resistance of the electrode ( $R_1$ ). This can be written as the combination of the contributions from uncompensated contact resistance and the interface.<sup>58</sup> The high-frequency loop corresponds to the charge transfer resistance ( $R_2$ ) across the catalyst/electrolyte interface.<sup>59</sup> At low frequencies, the linear portion of the Nyquist plot typically represents Warburg impedance, associated with ion diffusion within the electrode and electrolyte. The  $R_2$  value of the  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composite is the lowest among the composites, indicating the composite's ability for a rapid charge transfer rate, which increases its inherent electronic conductivity and electrochemical activity. The CPE arises from a distribution of time constants, which can be attributed to the variability in  $R_1$  and/or the dispersion of interfacial capacitance.

The impedance of a CPE is expressed by  $Z_{\text{CPE}} = \frac{1}{Q(j\omega)^\alpha}$  where,  $Q$  and  $\alpha$  are the parameters defining the CPE. In the above expression,  $Q$  is expressed in  $\Omega^{-1} \text{ s}^\alpha$ , and  $\alpha$  is the dimensionless parameter that ranges between 0 and 1. When  $\alpha = 1$ , 0, and 0.5, the system behaves as a pure capacitor,

resistance, and Warburg impedance, respectively.<sup>60</sup> The EIS analysis revealed that the  $R_2$  values are 21.21, 28.05, 8.83, 6.83, and 9.25  $\Omega$  from LMO,  $\text{CeO}_2$ ,  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (90% : 10%),  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%), and  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (50% : 50%) composites, respectively, indicating that the composites can boost the conductivity of the individual compounds.  $R_2$  is related to the electrode area where the electrolyte ions can access; that is, the power control factor for the supercapacitor.<sup>61</sup> The lower  $R_2$  values of the material further indicate that it had lower resistance and aided in maintaining stability during the electrochemical measurements. The impedance values of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites were determined by fitting in the "Gamry Echem Analyst" software and are presented in Table 5.

This study observed that increasing the  $\text{CeO}_2$  concentrations on LMO by up to 30% enhances the  $C_{\text{SP}}$ , energy density, and power density. The  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composite exhibits the highest  $C_{\text{SP}}$  due to an optimal balance between structure, conductivity, and ion accessibility achieved at this ratio. This composition creates a highly interconnected conducting network that significantly enhances electron transport while reducing interfacial resistance, a crucial factor for the rapid redox reactions required for efficient charge storage. LMO provides excellent supercapacitive behavior in this setup, contributing to the primary capacitance. At the same time,  $\text{CeO}_2$  introduces structural stability, oxygen vacancies, and a slight boost in conductivity, supporting both longevity and additional charge storage sites. The  $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$  (70% : 30%) composites enable these materials to work synergistically, maximizing their electrochemical strengths. Moreover, the mesoporous structure observed in this composite, with a specific surface area of 14.32  $\text{m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , facilitates easy access to the electrolyte, allowing for the





Fig. 12 EIS Bode plots of (a) impedance magnitude and (b) phase change vs. AC frequency. Nyquist plot (c) of  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites illustrated with the fitted equivalent circuit (inset figure).

Table 5 Nyquist plot fitting values for  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-CeO}_2$  composites

| Composites                     | $R_1$ ( $\Omega$ ) | $R_2$ ( $\Omega$ ) | $W_o$ ( $\Omega, \times 10^{-3}$ ) | $\text{CPE}_1$ ( $\alpha$ ) | $\text{CPE}_1$ ( $Q; \Omega^{-1} \text{ s}^\alpha$ ) | $\text{CPE}_2$ ( $\alpha$ ) | $\text{CPE}_2$ ( $Q; \Omega^{-1} \text{ s}^\alpha$ ) | Goodness of fit ( $\times 10^{-3}$ ) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| LMO                            | 1.619              | 21.21              | 0.142                              | 0.711                       | 0.004                                                | 0.934                       | 0.002                                                | 0.953                                |
| $\text{CeO}_2$                 | 3.721              | 28.05              | 0.195                              | 0.750                       | 0.004                                                | 0.852                       | 0.001                                                | 0.646                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (90% : 10%) | 2.573              | 8.830              | 0.200                              | 0.713                       | 0.008                                                | 0.891                       | 0.002                                                | 0.176                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (70% : 30%) | 1.618              | 6.831              | 0.106                              | 0.689                       | 0.008                                                | 0.944                       | 0.003                                                | 0.703                                |
| $\text{LMO-CeO}_2$ (50% : 50%) | 1.493              | 9.253              | 0.186                              | 0.711                       | 0.006                                                | 0.946                       | 0.002                                                | 1.364                                |



accommodation of more ions during charge–discharge cycles and thereby increasing the overall capacitance. Ratios with higher CeO<sub>2</sub> content, such as the LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (50%:50%) composite, begin to block ion transport pathways and hinder access to active sites, thereby reducing capacitance. In contrast, a lower CeO<sub>2</sub> content, such as the LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (90%:10%) composition, lacks structural enhancement and additional redox sites provided by CeO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in a less efficient charge storage process. Therefore, the LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (70%:30%) composition strikes an ideal balance, offering a high surface area, optimal pore structure, and efficient ion and electron transport, all of which contribute to its superior electrochemical performance.

## Conclusion

Crystalline LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites, synthesized through an autocombustion method, exhibit significantly enhanced electrochemical properties driven by synergistic interactions between LaMnO<sub>3</sub> (LMO) and CeO<sub>2</sub>. The LMO–CeO<sub>2</sub> (70:30) composite, characterized by a BET specific surface area of 14.32 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>−1</sup>, achieved a superior specific capacitance of 637.6 F g<sup>−1</sup> at a current density of 1 A g<sup>−1</sup>, with an energy density of 31.9 Wh kg<sup>−1</sup> and a power density of 357.5 W kg<sup>−1</sup>. This enhanced performance is attributed to a robust, interconnected conductive network, reduced contact resistance, and improved electron transport at the electrode–electrolyte interface. However, increasing CeO<sub>2</sub> content beyond 30% led to diminished electrochemical performance, likely due to impeded ion diffusion pathways. These findings highlight the potential of optimized LaMnO<sub>3</sub>–CeO<sub>2</sub> composites for advanced supercapacitor applications and provide critical insights into tailoring composite ratios to maximize electrochemical efficiency.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors confirm that they have no known financial or personal conflicts of interest that could have influenced the work presented in this paper.

## Data availability

The data are available in this link: <https://zenodo.org/uploads/17058741>.

## Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the University of Memphis for its support in conducting this research work.

## References

- 1 A. M. Omer, Energy, environment and sustainable development, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2008, **12**(9), 2265–2300.
- 2 M. Winter and R. J. Brodd, What are batteries, fuel cells, and supercapacitors?, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**(10), 4245–4270.
- 3 C. C. Hu and W. C. Chen, Effects of substrates on the capacitive performance of RuO<sub>x</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O and activated carbon–RuO<sub>x</sub> electrodes for supercapacitors, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2004, **49**(21), 3469–3477.
- 4 B. Y. Guan, A. Kushima, L. Yu, S. Li, J. Li and X. W. Lou, Coordination polymers derived general synthesis of multishelled mixed metal-oxide particles for hybrid supercapacitors, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**(17), 1605902.
- 5 C. C. Hu, K. H. Chang, M. C. Lin and Y. T. Wu, Design and tailoring of the nanotubular arrayed architecture of hydrous RuO<sub>2</sub> for next generation supercapacitors, *Nano Lett.*, 2006, **6**(12), 2690–2695.
- 6 L. He, Z. Luo, P. Liu, X. Zhu, W. Fan, Q. Yu, *et al.*, Fast polysulfides conversion and regulated lithium plating enabled by W<sub>2</sub>N quantum dots for high-performance lithium sulfur batteries, *EcoEnergy*, 2025, **3**(1), 192–201.
- 7 Z. A. Elsiddig, D. Wang, H. Xu, W. Zhang, T. Zhang, P. Zhang, *et al.*, Three-dimensional nitrogen-doped graphene wrapped LaMnO<sub>3</sub> nanocomposites as high-performance supercapacitor electrodes, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **740**, 148–155.
- 8 D. U. Lee, M. G. Park, H. W. Park, M. H. Seo, V. Ismayilov, R. Ahmed, *et al.*, Highly active Co-doped LaMnO<sub>3</sub> perovskite oxide and N-doped carbon nanotube hybrid bi-functional catalyst for rechargeable zinc–air batteries, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2015, **60**, 38–41.
- 9 X. Sun, Z. Hao, F. Zeng, J. Xu, H. Nan, Z. Meng, *et al.*, Coaxial cable-like dual conductive channel strategy in polypyrrole coated perovskite lanthanum manganite for high-performance asymmetric supercapacitors, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2022, **610**, 601–609.
- 10 A. Arya, S. Tanwar, M. Iqbal, A. Sharma and A. L. Sharma, Synergetic effect driven LaMnO<sub>3</sub>@NiO composite based high energy semi-solid supercapacitor, *J. Energy Storage*, 2025, **105**, 114778.
- 11 P. M. Shafi, N. Nisar and A. C. Bose, One-Pot synthesis of LaMnO<sub>3</sub>/Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanocomposite: Impact of Calcination Temperature on the Synergetic Effect Towards High Energy Supercapacitor Performance, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**(23), 6459–6467.
- 12 P. Ma, N. Lei, B. Yu, Y. Liu, G. Jiang, J. Dai, *et al.*, Flexible supercapacitor electrodes based on carbon cloth-supported LaMnO<sub>3</sub>/MnO nano-arrays by one-step electrodeposition, *Nanomaterials*, 2019, **9**(12), 1676.
- 13 H. Tian, X. Lang, H. Nan, P. An, W. Zhang, X. Hu, *et al.*, Nanosheet-assembled LaMnO<sub>3</sub>@NiCo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoarchitecture growth on Ni foam for high power density supercapacitors, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **318**, 651–659.
- 14 J. Paier, C. Penschke and J. Sauer, Oxygen defects and surface chemistry of ceria: quantum chemical studies compared to experiment, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**(6), 3949–3985.
- 15 B. Niu, F. Zhang, H. Ping, N. Li, J. Zhou, L. Lei, *et al.*, Sol-gel autocombustion synthesis of nanocrystalline high-entropy alloys, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**(1), 3421.
- 16 D. Bokov, A. Turki Jalil, S. Chupradit, W. Suksatan, M. Javed Ansari, I. H. Shewael, *et al.*, Nanomaterial by sol-gel method:



- synthesis and application, *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, **2021**(1), 5102014.
- 17 A. Dhakal, F. Perez and S. R. Mishra, Urea-driven hydrothermal synthesis of  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$ : electrochemical performance across various electrolytes for supercapacitor applications, *Energy Adv.*, 2025, **4**, 878–895.
  - 18 S. B. Narang and K. Pubby, Nickel spinel ferrites: a review, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2021, **519**, 167163.
  - 19 A. Dhakal, F. A. Perez and S. R. Mishra, Electrochemical assessment of tailored  $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$  cuboidal hierarchical particles prepared using urea and Piperazine, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2024, **507**, 145169.
  - 20 D. Fan and L. Q. Chen, Topological evolution during coupled grain growth and Ostwald ripening in volume-conserved 2-D two-phase polycrystals, *Acta Mater.*, 1997, **45**(10), 4145–4154.
  - 21 D. Das, C. M. Srivastava, D. Bahadur, A. K. Nigam and S. K. Malik, Magnetic and electrical transport properties of  $\text{La}_{0.67}\text{Ca}_{0.33}\text{MnO}_3$  (LCMO): $x\text{ZnO}$  composites, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2004, **16**(23), 4089.
  - 22 R. Bardestani, G. S. Patience and S. Kaliaguine, Experimental methods in chemical engineering: specific surface area and pore size distribution measurements—BET, BJH, and DFT, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **97**(11), 2781–2791.
  - 23 G. R. Li, Z. P. Feng, Y. N. Ou, D. Wu, R. Fu and Y. X. Tong, Mesoporous  $\text{MnO}_2$ /carbon aerogel composites as promising electrode materials for high-performance supercapacitors, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**(4), 2209–2213.
  - 24 M. F. Sunding, K. Hadidi, S. Diplas, O. M. Løvvik, T. E. Norby and A. E. Gunnæs, XPS characterisation of in situ treated lanthanum oxide and hydroxide using tailored charge referencing and peak fitting procedures, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 2011, **184**(7), 399–409.
  - 25 Z. A. Elsiddig, H. Xu, D. Wang, W. Zhang, X. Guo, Y. Zhang, *et al.*, Modulating  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$  ions and oxygen vacancies in nonstoichiometric  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  perovskite by a facile sol-gel method as high-performance supercapacitor electrodes, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **253**, 422–429.
  - 26 E. Bèche, P. Charvin, D. Perarnau, S. Abanades and G. Flamant, Ce 3d XPS investigation of cerium oxides and mixed cerium oxide ( $\text{Ce}_x\text{Ti}_y\text{O}_z$ ), *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2008, **40**, 264–267.
  - 27 S. Nagamuthu, S. Vijayakumar and K. S. Ryu, Cerium oxide mixed  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  nanoparticles as the negative electrode for aqueous asymmetric supercapacitor devices, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **199**, 543–551.
  - 28 H. Y. Li, H. F. Wang, X. Q. Gong, Y. L. Guo, Y. Guo, G. Lu, *et al.*, Multiple configurations of the two excess 4f electrons on defective  $\text{CeO}_2$  (111): Origin and implications, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **79**(19), 193401.
  - 29 C. Zhang, C. Wang, W. Zhan, Y. Guo, Y. Guo, G. Lu, *et al.*, Catalytic oxidation of vinyl chloride emission over  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  and  $\text{LaB}_{0.2}\text{Mn}_{0.8}\text{O}_3$  (B = Co, Ni, Fe) catalysts, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **129**, 509–516.
  - 30 Y. Sun, M. Guo, S. Shu, D. Ding, C. Wang, Y. Zhang, *et al.*, Preparation of  $\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3$  nanowires with structural defects as high rate and high capacity cathodes for lithium-ion batteries, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2022, **585**, 152605.
  - 31 P. M. Shafi, D. Mohapatra, V. P. Reddy, G. Dhakal, D. R. Kumar, D. Tuma, *et al.*, Sr- and Fe-substituted  $\text{LaMnO}_3$  Perovskite: Fundamental insight and possible use in asymmetric hybrid supercapacitor, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2022, **45**, 119–129.
  - 32 H. Angerstein-Kozłowska, B. E. Conway and W. B. A. Sharp, The real condition of electrochemically oxidized platinum surfaces: Part I. Resolution of component processes, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1973, **43**(1), 9–36.
  - 33 H. Zhang, J. Gu, J. Tong, Y. Hu, B. Guan, B. Hu, *et al.*, Hierarchical porous  $\text{MnO}_2/\text{CeO}_2$  with high performance for supercapacitor electrodes, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2016, **286**, 139–149.
  - 34 H.-s. Nan, X.-y. Hu and H.-w. Tian, Recent advances in perovskite oxides for anion-intercalation supercapacitor: a review, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, 2019, **94**, 35–50.
  - 35 J. Liu, J. Wang, C. Xu, H. Jiang, C. Li, L. Zhang, *et al.*, Advanced energy storage devices: basic principles, analytical methods, and rational materials design, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**(1), 1700322.
  - 36 Y. J. Cao, C. Y. Lu, Z. W. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. H. Kang, T. T. Yang, *et al.*, N/O co-doped porous carbons derived from coal tar pitch for ultra-high specific capacitance supercapacitors, *ACS Omega*, 2022, **7**(27), 23342–23352.
  - 37 T. Liu, W. G. Pell, B. E. Conway and S. L. Roberson, Behavior of molybdenum nitrides as materials for electrochemical capacitors: comparison with ruthenium oxide, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1998, **145**(6), 1882.
  - 38 A. Dhakal, F. A. Perez, S. Karna and S. R. Mishra,  $\text{LaMnO}_3$ - $\text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4$  nanocomposite: Synergetic effect towards high electrochemical performance, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2024, **1008**, 176262.
  - 39 R. S. Nicholson, Theory and application of cyclic voltammetry for measurement of electrode reaction kinetics, *Anal. Chem.*, 1965, **37**(11), 1351–1355.
  - 40 B. E. Conway, *Electrochemical Supercapacitors: Scientific Fundamentals and Technological Applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
  - 41 V. Augustyn, P. Simon and B. Dunn, Pseudocapacitive oxide materials for high-rate electrochemical energy storage, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**(5), 1597–1614.
  - 42 Y. Jiang and J. Liu, Definitions of pseudocapacitive materials: a brief review, *Energy Environ. Mater.*, 2019, **2**(1), 30–37.
  - 43 Y. Wang, Y. Song and Y. Xia, Electrochemical capacitors: mechanism, materials, systems, characterization and applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**(21), 5925–5950.
  - 44 A. J. Bard, L. R. Faulkner and H. S. White, *Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2022.
  - 45 A. Dhakal, F. A. Perez and S. R. Mishra, Synergetic effect towards high electrochemical performance in  $\text{LaMnO}_3$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  composites, *Energy Adv.*, 2025, **4**, 162–175.
  - 46 S. Kandula, K. R. Shrestha, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Fabrication of a 3D hierarchical sandwich  $\text{Co}_9\text{S}_8/\alpha\text{-MnS}@N\text{-C}@MoS_2$  nanowire architectures as advanced



- electrode material for high performance hybrid supercapacitors, *Small*, 2018, **14**, 1800291.
- 47 B. D. Adams, J. Zheng, X. Ren, W. Xu and J. Zhang, Accurate determination of coulombic efficiency for lithium metal anodes and lithium metal batteries, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**(7), 1702097.
  - 48 G. W. Piburn, J. T. Mefford, N. Zinni, K. J. Stevenson and S. M. Humphrey, Synthesis and charge storage properties of templated  $\text{LaMnO}_3\text{-SiO}_2$  composite materials, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 977–984.
  - 49 N. S. Arul, D. Mangalaraj, R. Ramachandran, A. N. Grace and J. I. Han, Fabrication of  $\text{CeO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  composite nanospindles for enhanced visible light driven photocatalysts and supercapacitor electrodes, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 15248–15258.
  - 50 N. Padmanathan and S. Selladurai, Electrochemical capacitance of porous  $\text{NiO-CeO}_2$  binary oxide synthesized via sol-gel technique for supercapacitor, *Ionics*, 2014, **20**, 409–420.
  - 51 P. M. Shafi, V. Ganesh and A. C. Bose,  $\text{LaMnO}_3/\text{RGO}/\text{PANI}$  ternary nanocomposites for supercapacitor electrode application and their outstanding performance in all-solid-state asymmetrical device design, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 2802–2812.
  - 52 L. S. Aravinda, K. U. Bhat and B. R. Bhat, Nano  $\text{CeO}_2$ /activated carbon based composite electrodes for high performance supercapacitor, *Mater. Lett.*, 2013, **112**, 158–161.
  - 53 R. Murugan, G. Ravi, R. Yuvakkumar, S. Rajendran, N. Maheswari, G. Muralidharan, *et al.*, Pure and Co doped  $\text{CeO}_2$  nanostructure electrodes with enhanced electrochemical performance for energy storage applications, *Ceram. Int.*, 2017, **43**, 10494–10501.
  - 54 N. Mahato, S. Singh, T. V. M. Sreekanth, K. Yoo and J. Kim, In-situ engineered highly crystalline polythiophene empowered electrochemical capacitor-I: Synthesis, characterization, and electrochemical charge storage, *Mater. Lett.*, 2024, **365**, 136483.
  - 55 J. Lv, Y. Zhang, Z. Lv, X. Huang, Z. Wang, X. Zhu, *et al.*, Strontium doped lanthanum manganite/manganese dioxide composite electrode for supercapacitor with enhanced rate capability, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **222**, 1585–1591.
  - 56 N. Bibi, Y. Xia, S. Ahmed, Y. Zhu, S. Zhang and A. Iqbal, Highly stable mesoporous  $\text{CeO}_2/\text{CeS}_2$  nanocomposite as electrode material with improved supercapacitor electrochemical performance, *Ceram. Int.*, 2018, **44**, 22262–22270.
  - 57 Y. Cao, J. Liang, X. Li, L. Yue, Q. Liu, S. Lu, *et al.*, Recent advances in perovskite oxides as electrode materials for supercapacitors, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 2343–2355.
  - 58 M. Ciureanu and R. Roberge, Electrochemical impedance study of PEM fuel cells. Experimental diagnostics and modeling of air cathodes, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 3531–3539.
  - 59 H. S. Magar, R. Y. Hassan and A. Mulchandani, Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS): Principles, construction, and biosensing applications, *Sensors*, 2021, **21**, 6578.
  - 60 J. B. Jorcin, M. E. Orazem, N. Pébère and B. Tribollet, CPE analysis by local electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2006, **51**, 1473–1479.
  - 61 B. Saravanakumar, K. K. Purushothaman and G. Muralidharan, Interconnected  $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$  nanoporous network for high-performance supercapacitors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 4484–4490.

