



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Adv.*, 2024, **3**, 383

## What can Blyholder teach us about PFAS degradation on metal surfaces?†

Glen R. Jenness \* and Manoj K. Shukla\*

Per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) molecules have long been used in a variety of applications as they are chemically robust and resistant to chemical transformations. However, it has recently come to light that these compounds are toxic, and remediation efforts are required to remove them from our society. In a recent study (Jenness *et al.*, *Env. Sci. Proc. Impacts*, 2022, **24**, 2085) we explored the use of silylum-carborane for the degradation of perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) and three derivatives. In the course of our study, we found the degradation of the C–F bond was facilitated by a low-lying unoccupied anti-bonding orbital. Based on this finding, we propose the usage of metal catalysts for the degradation of the C–F bond as metals have been shown to take advantage of such low-lying anti-bonding orbitals. Utilizing density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we explored how the C–F bond in PFBA can be split by the entirety of the d-block metals. Deriving a series of linear scaling relationships, we demonstrate that metals conforming to the bcc point-group perform the best for this chemistry. In particular, iron (Fe) has a good balance of fluorine and PFBA binding and reaction energies and would be a worthy candidate for further studies.

Received 14th September 2023  
Accepted 3rd January 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3va00281k  
[rsc.li/esadvances](http://rsc.li/esadvances)

### Environmental significance

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are fluorochemicals that are extremely persistent and widely distributed, and capable of bioaccumulation. Found in a variety of locations these compounds cause a variety of health issues in humans and our feedstocks. PFAS feature a robust C–F bond that is extremely difficult to cleave; however, for the remediation of contaminated sites and the destruction of our existing stocks as a preventative measure it is necessary to develop methods to do preferentially cleave the C–F bond. In the current study, we have investigated the degradation of PFAS on a theoretical level using density functional theory. Examination of how a representative PFAS molecule interacts with 27 metal surfaces allows us to determine the electronic factors responsible for the degradation of PFAS molecules and assess how various metals perform for the destruction of these forever compounds.

## 1 Introduction

In recent years per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have emerged as an environmental health threat.<sup>1–3</sup> The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has recommended a life-time advisory level for several PFAS molecules, including perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS), with levels being 0.004 parts-per-trillion (ppt) and 0.02 ppt, respectively.<sup>1</sup> These compounds feature numerous C–F bonds, which is one of the strongest single carbon bonds with a bond dissociation energy of  $\sim$ 115–127 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>.<sup>4–8</sup> In comparison, the carbon–hydrogen bond is 90–105 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>,<sup>5,7</sup> the carbon–carbon bond is 85–104 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>,<sup>5,9</sup> and the carbon–oxygen bond is 80–

110 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>.<sup>5</sup> As a consequence, care needs to be taken with degradation as it is easy to favor bonds other than the C–F bond. The moniker of “forever chemicals” for these fluorocarbons is well deserved.

However it is not just their chemical robustness that makes them a public health threat; that is due to their ubiquitous nature as they are used at all levels of our society<sup>2,10–12</sup> and are found in cosmetics, firefighting foam, protective coatings, and consumer packaging<sup>2,3,13,14</sup> (for a comprehensive listing of PFAS uses in our society see the reviews by Glüge *et al.*<sup>2</sup> and Panieri *et al.*<sup>3</sup>). PFAS molecules have been linked to low mammalian birth weights, compromised immune systems, and cancer.<sup>11,12,15</sup> In addition to consumer products PFAS contamination can occur through soil and groundwater sources,<sup>10,16–24</sup> which leads to accumulation in our local food chains,<sup>25–27</sup> oceans,<sup>28</sup> and prenatally in humans.<sup>29</sup> These effects are compounded in areas rich in salt and organic matter as they lead to an increase in the environmental transport and retention.<sup>30,31</sup>

This has led to a large research effort in finding new chemistries and techniques for the chemical degradation of PFAS molecules. These studies have included conventional

*Environmental Laboratory, US Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180, USA. E-mail: [Glen.R.Jenness@usace.army.mil](mailto:Glen.R.Jenness@usace.army.mil)*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Numerical data for all figures in the current study, figures showing initial and final states, figures showing charge density differences. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3va00281k>



techniques such as using solvated electrons,<sup>6,32–36</sup> electrochemical methods,<sup>37–40</sup> photochemical reduction,<sup>41,42</sup> thermal degradation,<sup>43</sup> oxidation *via* activated persulfate,<sup>44,45</sup> microbial degradation,<sup>46</sup> chemically assisted degradations,<sup>47–51</sup> microbial treatment,<sup>52,53</sup> and low-temperature hydroxide-mediated decarboxylation and defluorination.<sup>54</sup> More exotic methods such as plasma treatment<sup>55–57</sup> and degradation through the application of soundwaves<sup>58</sup> have also been used. However, care needs to be taken with chemical degradation techniques in the environment as they can result in a variety of chemical byproducts that are hazardous.<sup>59,60</sup>

In a recent study<sup>51</sup> we investigated the usage of halogenated carborane anions ( $[\text{HCB}_{11}\text{H}_5\text{Y}_6]^-$ , Y = {F, Cl, Br, I}) interacting with silylum catalysts ( $\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{Et}_3\text{Si}^+$ ) for the chemical degradation of perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) and its reduced analogues through the use of density functional theory (DFT). We found that the silylum acts in tandem with its cationic counterpart to remove a -F from PFBA whilst a hydride is inserted from the other side of the carbocation in a concerted mechanism. Adding a carborane anion into the complex resulted in some of the barriers becoming nearly zero; however there was a slight decrease in the strength of the reaction energies. Examination of the electronic structure of the components of the catalytic system reveals that PFBA has an anti-bonding  $\sigma^*$  orbital for its lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), which becomes partially occupied as it interacts with the silylum. The addition of carborane results in a “charge lensing” type effect where-in the electrostatic repulsion between the donated electrons and the negative charge on the carborane results in this anti-bonding orbital having a higher occupation, which weakens the C-F bond further. Finally, we noted that changing the halogenation of the carborane can mediate this effect, with iodine having the strongest effect.

The notion of PFAS molecules having a low-lying anti-bonding orbital is not a new one, and has been mentioned in prior literature. For example, Hughes *et al.* mentions that the low-lying  $\sigma^*$  anti-bonding orbital is an “Achilles’ heel” for fluorocarbons.<sup>61</sup> Additionally, in the review by Kuehnel *et al.* it is further noted that C-F bonds have a low-lying  $\sigma^*$  anti-bonding orbital which can be exploited by activation of the C-F bond, leading to cross-linking reactions.<sup>62</sup> Moreover, in a recent study by Michalczyk *et al.* they note that increased charge transfer to the C-F bond results in an elongation of the bond length, which in turns facilitates C-F cleavage.<sup>63</sup>

The nature of this anti-bonding LUMO for PFBA was rather intriguing to us, and naturally calls to mind the Blyholder back-bonding mechanism. In the first half of the 20th century, there were a set of experiments measuring the infrared (IR) C-O stretch frequency ( $\nu_{\text{C}\equiv\text{O}}$ ) of carbon monoxide (CO) adsorbed on various metal surfaces. It was observed that instead of the gas-phase value of  $\sim 2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the  $\nu_{\text{C}\equiv\text{O}}$  would go as low as  $\sim 1800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .<sup>64</sup> Several hypothesis had been offered, including the possibility of CO binding to bridge sites instead of a more linear M-CO configuration. In 1964 it was Blyholder, with the use of a Hückel Hamiltonian, who presented the first molecular orbital description that qualitatively described these trends.<sup>65</sup>

CO features a set of lone-pair electrons on both ends of the molecule that are available to bind with the d-electrons of a metal surface; the most favorable bonding configuration features a  $\sigma$ -bond between the metal center and the carbon atom. Blyholder found that the formation of the  $\sigma$ -bond puts a large negative charge on the carbon atom, which migrates into the anti-bonding  $2\pi^*$  orbitals of the CO. This in turns destabilizes the CO triple bond, resulting in the observed experimental IR frequency shifts.<sup>64–70</sup> This phenomena has been termed back-bonding and has been found to be a robust conceptual picture of CO bonding on metal surfaces from both a theoretical<sup>66,70–80</sup> and experimental perspective.<sup>66,76,79,81–87</sup> The back-bonding phenomena has been leveraged in the catalysis field for a variety of different molecules with low-lying  $\sigma^*$  and  $\pi^*$  anti-bonding orbitals,<sup>88–94</sup> and has even been found to explain how micro-organisms utilize CO naturally.<sup>95</sup>

Our question is now can we leverage prior work on metal catalysts and our understanding of back-bonding to choose catalysts for PFAS degradation? While prior work has been done on the degradation of fluorocarbons using metals (both heterogeneous<sup>4,96–99</sup> and homogeneous<sup>62,100–103</sup>), to the best of our knowledge a systematic study of transition metal catalysts for PFAS degradation has not been performed. Therefore, we hypothesize that metal surfaces are capable of cleaving C-F bonds in an energetically favorable fashion, and do so *via* a back-bonding type mechanism. To demonstrate our hypothesis, we utilized density functional theory to study the adsorption of a model PFAS molecule (perfluorobutanoic acid or PFBA) on 27 single crystal surfaces that span the entirety of the d-block (with the addition of lead). We find that PFAS molecules follow the same linear scaling relationship behavior that has been noted in  $\text{AH}_x$  (where A = {N, C, O}) chemistry on these surfaces. Moreover, we also find that certain metals are capable of transforming the LUMO of PFAS into an orbital that has more of a singularly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) nature. This indicates that metal catalysts can, in fact, not only degrade PFAS molecules but does so through a back-bonding mechanism.

## 2 Results and discussion

### 2.1 Electronic structure of PFAS

Recently, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) had its lifetime exposure limits changed by several orders of magnitude as it has been determined to be more toxic than originally predicted.<sup>1</sup> However the size of PFOA makes it impractical for atomistic surface simulations as grid-based periodic DFT codes scale as  $\mathcal{O}(N_g N_b^2)$  (where  $N_g$  is number of grid points and  $N_b$  is number of bands/orbitals).<sup>104,105</sup> In our previous study<sup>51</sup> we utilized perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA) as our representative PFAS as its short chain allows for more rigorous computational methods to be used and as several studies have demonstrated that at least 4 carbons are required to reproduce one of the key structural properties of PFAS: the helicity;<sup>106–113</sup> however since publishing that work one question we routinely receive is how well would our results transfer to longer chain PFAS molecules. Therefore in this section we examine several key electronic and molecular features of PFBA and compare them to PFOA and the simplest



fluorinated carboxylic acid (fluoroformic acid or FFA). While FFA was chosen on pedagogical grounds, it should be noted that it has been detected and characterized in the gas-phase.<sup>11,14</sup> These molecules are shown in Fig. 1. For purposes of this study, we focus on the  $C_\alpha$ -F bond as it has been shown that  $-C_\beta F_2^-$  and  $-C_\omega F_3$  groups are harder to defluorinate.<sup>4,6</sup>

**2.1.1 Molecular orbitals and potential reactivity.** The first question we wish to address is if the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) that was noted in our earlier study retain their bonding/anti-bonding status, and if the HOMO–LUMO energy difference changes upon the addition of  $-C_\beta F_2^-$  groups. In order to analyze the bonding/anti-bonding nature of these orbitals, we performed a crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) analysis; details on this method are given in Section 4.1. From Fig. 2 we can see that the HOMO and LUMO orbitals for FFA and PFBA are bonding and anti-bonding, respectively. However, as we move from a simple carboxylic acid (FFA) to a more complex PFAS molecule we find that the HOMO–LUMO gap decreases by  $\sim 1.6$  eV. Interestingly, as we move from PFBA to PFOA we see a very minor shift in HOMO–LUMO gap of  $\sim 0.2$  eV. Moreover, we see that the HOMO of PFOA becomes more non-bonding in nature when compared to PFBA or FFA. Additionally, we also note changes in the HOMO – 1 and LUMO + 1 orbitals. In particular, the energy difference between the HOMO – 1 and HOMO increases as we move along the sequence FFA  $\rightarrow$  PFBA  $\rightarrow$  PFOA. We also note that as we move from PFBA to PFOA the HOMO – 1 takes on a more anti-bonding nature. These energy differences would clearly have implications for the study of electronic excitations and their detection for these molecules.

In order to further probe these trends, we examine the COOP curves on a bond basis; these results are shown in Fig. S1–S3.<sup>†</sup> For FFA (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>), we can see that the HOMO and LUMO carry the same overall trend for all the bonds, *i.e.*, they are all either bonding (HOMO) or anti-bonding (LUMO). We will note that




Fig. 1 PFAS molecules and their labeled carbon positions used in the current study.




Fig. 2 Total density of states (DOS) and crystal orbital overlap population (COOP) curves for (a) FFA, (b) PFBA, and (c) PFOA. The energies are shifted by  $\epsilon_{\text{HOMO}}$ . Red dashed lines denote the location of the HOMO and LUMO energies. For the bond-specific COOP, see Fig. S1–S3.<sup>†</sup>

the majority of the bonding nature of the HOMO arises from the  $C_{\text{acid}}=\text{O}$  and  $C_{\text{acid}}-\text{OH}$  bonds. In Fig. S1,<sup>†</sup> we see that the majority of the bonding nature of the HOMO arises from the carbon backbone as the bonding peak from the  $-\text{C}=\text{O}$  moiety is nearly canceled by the anti-bonding nature of the  $-\text{OH}$  and  $-\text{C}-\text{O}$  bonds of the alcohol group. For the C–F bonds, we find that the  $-C_\omega$ - and  $-C_\beta$ -F bonds are very weakly bonding (almost non-bonding) and that the  $C_\alpha$ -F bonds are largely bonding in nature. With the exception of the  $-\text{O}-\text{H}$  bond, all the LUMO peaks are anti-bonding. In Fig. S3<sup>†</sup> we see that the non-bonding nature of



the HOMO for PFOA is not due to a “cancellation” of bonding/anti-bonding terms, but rather we find that this orbital is nearly non-bonding across all the bonds. For the LUMO, the anti-bonding nature of this orbital arises from the various  $-\text{C}-\text{F}$  and  $-\text{C}-\text{C}-$  bonds found in PFOA. The biggest contributors to the anti-bonding nature of the LUMO are found for the carboxylic acid group and the  $-\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}_2$  group.

These observations can be verified by visual inspection of the HOMO and LUMO of FFA, PFBA, and PFOA as shown in Fig. S4–S6.† For all three molecules we observe an accumulation of the wavefunction on the carboxylic acid groups, with the  $-\text{C}_{\text{acid}}=\text{O}$  bond carrying the majority of the molecular orbital. As we move along the sequence FFA  $\rightarrow$  PFBA  $\rightarrow$  PFOA we find that the LUMO begins to accumulate on the  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}$  bonds. Visual inspection of the LUMO for PFBA and PFOA (as well as the quantitative analysis from COOP) demonstrates that the nature of the HOMO and LUMO are relatively unchanged with the addition of more  $-\text{CF}_2-$  groups. Utilizing the frontier electron theory of Fukui,<sup>115,116</sup> we can hypothesize that the positive parts of the LUMO wavefunction (denoted by yellow regions Fig. S4–S6†) are available for donation of electrons from a catalyst. In particular, if we examine the molecular geometry we find a plane that encompasses the  $-\text{C}_\alpha-\text{COOH}$  end of the PFAS; such a plane could conceivably bind parallel to a flat metal surface, and thus expose the positive regions of the LUMO to the (nearly) free electrons of the surface.

To further quantify these results, we calculated atomic Fukui functions for FFA, PFBA, and PFOA according to Section 4.2. We present our results using Hirshfeld charges in Tables S1–S3† and for Bader charges in Tables S4–S6.† For FFA, we find that  $f^+$  is highest for the acidic hydrogen and the carbonyl oxygen has the highest  $f^-$ ; these results are in line with the HOMO/LUMO orbital pictures shown in Fig. S4† and discussed above (recall from Parr and Wang<sup>117</sup> that  $f^- \approx \rho_{\text{HOMO}}$  and  $f^+ \approx \rho_{\text{LUMO}}$ ). Comparison of Tables S1 and S4† reveals that these trends hold regardless of choice of charge distribution scheme. In regards to PFBA, we find  $f^-$  is highest for the carbonyl oxygen using Hirshfeld charges, and both the carbonyl and  $\text{C}_\beta$  carbons when employing Bader charges. While both schemes are in agreement with respect to the carbonyl, they differ in regards to the  $\text{C}_\beta$  with Bader charges indicating it would behave more as an electrophile. The  $f^+$  results show a shift from the acidic hydrogen atom to a  $\text{F}_\alpha$  atom using Hirshfeld and  $\text{C}_\alpha$  using Bader. Taken together, these results indicate that the  $-\text{C}_\alpha\text{F}_2-$  group would act as a nucleophile. The numerical values of the Fukui functions line up with what is expected from the molecular orbitals shown in Fig. S5;† the HOMO is distributed along the  $\text{C}_{\text{acid}}=\text{O}$  bond and the  $-\text{C}_\alpha\text{F}_2-$  group (in accordance with the  $f^-$  values), and the LUMO is distributed amongst the  $-\text{COOH}$  group and the  $\text{C}_\beta$  atom. Finally we consider the Fukui functions of PFOA (Tables S3 and S6†). We find that using a Hirshfeld charge distribution the  $f^+$  is highest for the  $\text{C}_{\text{acid}}$  and carbonyl oxygen atoms, and  $f^-$  is highest for the carbonyl oxygen. For the Bader charge distribution it is  $\text{C}_{\text{acid}}$  and  $\text{C}_\alpha$  for  $f^+$ , and for  $f^-$  it is the carbonyl oxygen. The Hirshfeld charges are rather puzzling in that it predicts a carbonyl oxygen (which carries an excess negative charge) should act more as a nucleophile; however the

Fukui functions predict that it acts as both a nucleophile and an electrophile. The HOMO and LUMO shown in Fig. S6† are in agreement with the numerical Fukui functions. Thus while the molecular orbitals in Fig. S5 and S6† are visually similar, the Fukui functions indicate that for PFBA the  $-\text{C}_\alpha\text{F}_2-$  group would be more chemically active whilst for PFOA it would be the  $-\text{COOH}$  group.

Before turning towards the examination of the molecular vibrations, we wish to touch upon the changes in the  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}$  bond with respect to the removal or addition of an electron. These bond lengths can be found in Table 1. In the neutral form, the C–F bond changes on the  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$  Å as we move from FFA  $\rightarrow$  PFBA  $\rightarrow$  PFOA sequence; however, addition of an electron results in this distance increasing for PFBA and PFOA, with FFA having only a minor change in length. We found that the addition of an electron ( $N + 1$  column in Table 1) results in a partial dissociation of the  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}$  bond for PFBA with a  $\sim 41\%$  increase in bond distance. The change for PFOA is more modest, being  $\sim 3\%$ . With the removal of an electron (the  $N - 1$  column in Table 1), we find that FFA contracts its C–F bond by  $\sim 6.5\%$ , and PFBA/PFOA contracting their  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}$  bond by  $\sim 4\%$ . Taking the above discussions and combining it with the observed topological changes in our PFAS molecules indicates that back-bonding mechanism wherein a catalyst gives up electron charge density to the PFAS would result in a weaker C–F bond by virtue of filling the unoccupied  $\sigma^*$  LUMO. In contrast, our results also indicate that removal of an electron from the PFAS will result in a contraction and a subsequent strengthening of the C–F bond owing to the anti-bonding nature of the HOMO around this bond (as shown in Fig. 2 and S1–S3†).

### 2.1.2 Molecular vibrations and predicted infrared spectra.

As a final point of comparison, we investigated the infrared spectrum of FFA, PFBA, and PFOA in order to understand how the addition of  $-\text{CF}_2-$  groups influences the molecular vibrations. These vibrations are not only useful for detection, but also for the calculation of thermodynamic properties<sup>118,119</sup> and as such can provide a check on using PFBA as a model for PFOA. The resulting spectra are shown in Fig. 3, with individual frequencies and mode assignments given in Tables S8–S10.† Mode assignments were made *via* the visualization of atom position by the eigenmodes using a scaling temperature of 900 K. We report and discuss the major vibrational modes here.

For FFA, we found that the C–F stretching mode is located at  $950\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . As we add  $-\text{CF}_2-$  groups to form PFBA, this frequency shifts to  $\sim 1062\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for a  $\text{C}_\beta-\text{F}$  stretch, and  $\sim 1062\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1091\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for a  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{I}$  stretch. The  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{F}_3$  vibrations can be

Table 1 Changes in  $\text{C}_\alpha-\text{F}$  bond length as a function of electron addition/removal (units in Å)

PFAS	Neutral	$N + 1$	$N - 1$
FFA	1.382	1.386	1.291
PFBA	1.384	1.955 <sup>a</sup>	1.335
PFOA	1.383	1.428	1.355

<sup>a</sup> The C–F bond is essentially dissociated at this point.






Fig. 3 Calculated infrared vibrational spectra for FFA, PFBA, and PFOA in the gas-phase. The red dashed vertical lines denote locations of the C-F stretch (FFA) and the location of the  $-\text{C}_2\text{F}_2-$  stretches (PFBA and PFOA). Numerical data and mode assignments are in Tables S8–S10.†

found at  $\sim 726 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 808 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $\sim 1158 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Moving to PFOA, we found that the major  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  stretches were located at  $\sim 1062 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and the  $\text{C}_\beta\text{-F}$  stretches at  $\sim 1075 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1090 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1114 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1127 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1135 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1141 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Finally, for  $\text{C}_\omega\text{F}_3$  the major stretches are at  $\sim 1119 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\sim 1135 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $\sim 1157 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Our results indicate that while FFA is too small of a molecule to accurately represent a PFAS molecule, PFBA is capturing the salient vibrational features of PFOA (albeit with some minor shifts in the frequencies).

Visually these differences can be seen in Fig. 3 where we plot the predicted infrared spectra of FFA, PFBA, and PFOA; here, we highlight the vibrational frequencies of the  $-\text{C}_2\text{F}_2-$  moiety as that is the major group we are looking to catalyze. As one would expect, the predicted spectra gets more dense as the molecular complexity increases. However, examination of Fig. 3 using the mode assignments in Tables S9 and S10† highlights a problem with using infrared spectra as an means for identification; namely, the C-F frequencies are located in the regions typically assigned to C-C backbone vibrational modes with the predicted intensities for the C-F modes being much less than the carbon backbone intensities. While we can conclude that the addition of  $-\text{CF}_2-$  groups does not affect the fundamental C-F vibrations, adding onto the carbon backbone would result in the C-F modes being overtaken by the backbone vibrational modes.

Our conclusions can be backed up by prior experiments. For example, in studying PFAS complexing with an iron-based organometallic Fulong *et al.*<sup>120</sup> noted that the C-F modes are buried within the regular bands. This is supported by the FT-IR experiments by Yu *et al.*<sup>121</sup> who noted that while they studied PFAS complexes, they could not locate the C-F bands. Tatsuno and Ando,<sup>122</sup> in contrast, found for linear  $\text{C}_n\text{F}_{2n+2}$  molecules a  $-\text{CF}_2-$  and  $\text{F}_3\text{C}$  mode at  $\sim 1153 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 1240 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , in good agreement with our results (taking into consideration limitations of DFT). Recently, there have been attempts to establish databases of infrared spectra for PFAS molecules, and while

currently limited to a few PFAS, they have shown that as one increases the size of the PFAS molecule, the salient features of the spectra remain largely unchanged.<sup>123–125</sup> However, these databases do not report mode assignments, so a comparison of our vibrational modes to these studies is not possible. Conversely, there has been a historical interest in fluorinated amorphous carbon films, and while these materials are more heterogeneous than PFAS the modes reported in these studies line up with what we and others have reported.<sup>126–133</sup>

In this section, we have compared several techniques to evaluate the electronic structure of FFA, PFBA, and PFOA. Examination of the bonding/anti-bonding nature of the molecular orbitals, the location of the HOMO and LUMO, calculation of Fukui functions, and by scrutinizing the molecular vibrations we conclude that PFBA is indeed a good computational model for PFOA and predictions made for PFBA can be also be broadly applied to PFOA.

## 2.2 PFAS on metal surfaces

Having discussed the electronic structure of FFA, PFBA, and PFOA, we turn our attention to how PFBA interacts with transition metal surfaces. We explore several descriptors for characterizing this interaction as outlined in Section 4.3. In the following discussion, we only consider the protonated form of PFBA. This is due to two key reasons; for the first we are interested in PFAS degradation in the limit of bulk PFAS and as such the carboxylic acid groups would remain protonated. This also means that we do not consider any solvent, either explicitly or implicitly, as PFAS molecules typically have a low dielectric constant,<sup>2</sup> which is unlikely to impact reaction energies and trends.<sup>134</sup> Secondly as the electrostatic potential with a charged two-dimensional surface diverges,<sup>135</sup> it would be necessary to include a surface bound hydrogen atom to counter the charge; however this would introduce an additional perturbation in our study that potentially could obfuscate our results *via* a three-body effect. Thus, PFBA remains in its neutral molecular state in the following discussion. Finally, we wish to note on the choice of metal surfaces. Currently there exists no consensus on which catalytic material is appropriate for C-F bond breaking in PFAS degradation. Thus, one of the goals of this study is to fill this gap and through the application of DFT identify possible candidates and provide a rationale based on quantum chemical principals. As such, we have chosen to study the entirety of the d-block transition metals in order to achieve this goal.

**2.2.1 Linear scaling relationships.** We found two principal binding modes for PFBA on the metal surfaces: a “flat” mode wherein the plane of the carbon backbone is parallel to the surface and the plane of the  $-\text{COOH}$  group is nearly perpendicular to the surface plane, and a “carbo” mode wherein the plane of the  $-\text{COOH}$  is parallel to surface, and the carbon backbone is tilted away from the normal of the surface. These modes are shown in Fig. S34–S60† and numerical values given in Table S11.† We plot the binding energy of a fluorine atom ( $E_{\text{F BE}}$ ) *versus* the PFBA binding energies ( $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$ ) in Fig. 4 as the binding energy for a reactive species can be correlated with the binding energy of their atomic constituents.<sup>136,137</sup>






Fig. 4 PFBA binding vs. fluorine binding on transition metal surfaces. The black dashed line represents a linear fit to the data for the carbo binding mode ( $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}} = 0.84E_{\text{BE}}^{-\text{F}} + 2.04$ ,  $R^2 = 0.91$ ). The red dashed line represents a linear fit to the flat binding mode ( $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}} = -0.32E_{\text{BE}}^{-\text{F}} + 3.12$ ,  $R^2 = 0.27$ ).

The resulting plot in Fig. 4 is a volcano plot that is commonly encountered in heterogeneous catalysis.<sup>138–142</sup> The individual arms of the volcano plot in Fig. 4 are related to the underlying crystal structure of the metal surface. The right side of the plot is the fcc metals where the flat binding mode is preferred, and the left side of the volcano plot is bcc metals where the carbo binding mode is preferred. Curiously we find that the hcp metals are split, with hcp metals to the left of Re preferring the carbo mode and metals to the right of Re preferring the flat mode; Re has a near identical  $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$  for both the flat and carbo modes. For purposes of Fig. 4 the carbo mode of Re is plotted with the caveat that neither binding mode for this metal will affect our results and conclusions.

In fitting both sides of the volcano plot, we find for the right side a poor linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.27$ ); however, for the left side we find an excellent linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.91$ ) with a slope of 0.84 and a y-intercept of 2.04 eV. From the work of Nørskov and coworkers<sup>136,137</sup> we know that the slope of such a linear scaling relationship is related to the valency of the adatom used to generate it. Halogens have five p-electrons and given that p-states can hold six electrons, this results in the fluorine p-states having a filling of  $\left(\frac{5}{6}\right) \equiv 0.8\bar{3}$  which is in remarkable agreement with our slope of 0.84.

Another common descriptor of reactivity is the binding energy of the reactant, and has been shown to be an effective descriptor for ethanol dehydration on  $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,<sup>143</sup> conversion of furan on metal catalysts,<sup>144</sup> the hydrogen oxidation reaction,<sup>145</sup> and for electrocatalysts.<sup>146</sup> In Fig. 5 we plot the binding energy of PFBA on transition metal surfaces *versus* the reaction energy to remove a fluorine from the  $\text{C}_\alpha$  position. Before discussing the data, we wish to point out that while the strength of our reaction energies may seem large, they are not unprecedentedly so; moreover, prior studies report enthalpies, which include zero-point energy (ZPE) corrections which will lower the overall magnitude of the reaction energies<sup>147–155</sup> while in the current paper we report the raw DFT values.




Fig. 5 PFBA binding vs.  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  for the removal of a  $-\text{F}$  from a  $\text{C}_\alpha$  carbon on transition metal surfaces. The black dashed line represents a linear fit to the data for the carbo binding mode ( $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}} = 0.57E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}} + 1.06$ ,  $R^2 = 0.90$ ). No stable solution exists for the linear regression of the flat binding mode.

While we do not observe the same volcano plot behavior that we saw in Fig. 4 (although one could make the argument that it is a volcano plot, just rotated), we do see a good linear correlation for the metals that have a preference to bind PFBA in the carbo mode (all the bcc metals and hcp metals to the left of Re, plus Co). After a linear regression we arrive at a slope of 0.54, a y-intercept of 1.06 eV with a  $R^2$  of 0.90. The metals that prefer to bind PFBA in the flat configuration (all fcc metals and hcp metals to the right of Re, minus Co), on the other hand, do not show a linear scaling relationship. If we examine Table S11,† we can see that all the metals that prefer the flat configuration have a PFBA binding energy that is grouped strongly around  $\sim -1.8$  eV. This type of behavior is fairly typical of van der Waals complexes on metal surfaces.

A natural question at this point is if  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  is related linearly to  $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$ , which in turn is related to  $E_{\text{BE}}^{-\text{F}}$ , can we relate  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  to  $E_{\text{BE}}^{-\text{F}}$ ? In Fig. 6 we plot  $E_{\text{BE}}^{-\text{F}}$  *versus*  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  and find two linear regimes: one corresponding to the carbo mode of PFBA being preferred ( $R^2 = 0.92$ ) and one for the flat mode being preferred ( $R^2 = 0.67$ ). Therefore, we can conclude this section by stating the evidence allows us to demonstrate that descriptor based approaches can be applied to the problem of PFAS degradation in a similar fashion to the prior studies on heterogeneous catalysts discussed in Section 4.3. In particular, the fluorophilicity of a particular metal surface can be utilized to provide an estimate of how favorable it would be to remove a fluorine from PFBA; however, caution needs to be emphasized here as it has been shown that choosing catalysts with too strong of a binding towards a particular chemical species can lead to poisoning of the catalyst.<sup>139</sup> Taking this effect into consideration with the results of Fig. 4–6, we suggest that iron might be a worthwhile catalyst for PFAS degradation. This is in agreement with recent research that utilizes iron complexes as a catalyst.<sup>40,156–162</sup>






Fig. 6  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  vs. fluorine binding for the removal of a  $\text{-F}$  from a  $\text{C}_\alpha$  carbon on transition metal surfaces. The black dashed line represents a linear fit to the data for the carbo binding mode ( $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}} = 0.95E_{\text{BE}} - 3.09$ ,  $R^2 = 0.92$ ). The red dashed line represents a linear fit to the flat binding mode ( $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}} = 1.59E_{\text{BE}} + 6.98$ ,  $R^2 = 0.67$ ).

**2.2.2 Back donation to PFAS.** In our introduction, we presented a high-level overview of the Blyholder back-bonding model and its application to problems in surface science, and presented the hypothesis that transition metal catalysts can be utilized for the degradation of PFAS through such a mechanism. Here, we turn to this hypothesis and present evidence that it is true. As previously discussed, one of the key pieces of evidence for the back-bonding phenomenon is shifts in the infrared frequencies.<sup>64-70</sup> We first examine the shifts in the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  vibrational frequency as defined in eqn (7) and plot these values versus the  $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$  in Fig. 7a and  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  in Fig. 7b.

From Fig. 7a we can see that as we increase the PFBA binding strength (*i.e.* the more negative  $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$  is) we see a greater increase in the red shift of the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  stretching frequency (*i.e.*, a more negative  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$ ). This indicates that as we adsorb PFBA

onto our metal surfaces, the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  bond increases in length and therefore becomes weaker. In a similar fashion, we can see a similar trend when we consider  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  in Fig. 7b; as our reaction becomes more exothermic we observe an increasing red shift in the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  stretch frequency. The link between the observed red-shifts and the bond lengths are demonstrated by comparing Tables S11 and S13;<sup>†</sup> from here we can see that a more negative  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$  does in fact relate to an increase in the bond length. This lends further support that we are weakening the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  bond.

Further support of our hypothesis can be achieved by examination of the density-of-states of PFBA and the PFBA/metal complex. In addition to the standard partial density-of-states (where we localize the wavefunction onto atom centers and weight the total density-of-states by the resulting atomistic wavefunction coefficients), we also consider a method whereby we use the projectors available to us from the PAW method<sup>163</sup> to project molecular orbitals of PFBA onto the total density-of-states.<sup>164</sup> This allows us to determine exactly how the surface band structure influences the bonding environment of the PFBA. We present the results of such a projection in Fig. S7-S33<sup>†</sup> alongside the sp- and d-PDOS of the metal surface. A final note before discussing our results, while this method allows for all the molecular orbitals of an adsorbate to be available to do the projection, we only consider the two orbitals that comprise the HOMO and LUMO of the gas-phase PFBA.

The first thing of note is the change in the PFBA HOMO-LUMO gap for the various metals. For example, for the fcc metals we find that the average HOMO-LUMO gap is 4.73 eV, with a standard deviation of 0.42 eV. For gas-phase PFBA the HOMO-LUMO gap is 4.88 eV, which lines up with the values reported for the fcc metals and is in good agreement with the weak binding energies these metals display. For the bcc metals, we find an average HOMO-LUMO gap of 1.53 eV with a standard deviation of 0.27 eV. Finally for hcp metals, we again see a bifurcation of the results; for those metals to the left of Re we get an average gap of 1.34 with a standard deviation of 0.21 eV




Fig. 7  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  vibrational shifts ( $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$ ) vs. (a) PFBA binding ( $E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}}$ ) and (b)  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}}$  on transition metal surfaces. The black dashed line represents a linear fit to the data for the carbo binding mode: (a)  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}} = 28.86E_{\text{BE}}^{\text{PFBA}} + 58.64$ ,  $R^2 = 0.73$  and (b)  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}} = 55.21\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}^{\text{PFBA-F}} - 2.53$ ,  $R^2 = 0.82$ . No stable solution exists for the linear regression of the flat binding mode.



and for the metals to the right of Re the gap is 5.15 eV with a standard deviation of 0.25 eV. Re itself has a gap of 1.49 eV.

If we take PFBA and add an electron, then we get a singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) with two energies for the SOMO-1 (*i.e.*, our old HOMO) leading to two gap energies of 2.44 eV for the  $\alpha$ -spin and 2.24 eV for the  $\beta$ -spin. These gaps are in line with the HOMO-LUMO gaps given by the molecular orbital PDOS method for the bcc and half of the hcp metals, indicating that PFBA's LUMO is transformed into a SOMO. Further evidence for this can be given by examination of the charge density differences shown in Fig. S88-S114.<sup>†</sup> It is clear from these figures that for metals that lower the energy of the PFBA LUMO there is a large concentration of negative charge on the  $C_\alpha$ -F bond, which lends further credence to the notion that the PFBA LUMO is transformed into a SOMO. We believe that this is evidence that the C-F bonds in PFAS molecules can be degraded *via* a back-donation mechanism.

### 3 Conclusions

In the current study, we built upon our earlier work on PFAS degradation that revealed a low-lying  $\sigma^*$  anti-bonding orbital associated with PFAS molecules. Our work utilizes a four-carbon chain PFAS (perfluorobutanoic acid, PFBA) as a representative PFAS molecule; in order to ensure this is an appropriate model, a comparison between fluoroformic acid (FFA) and perfluoroctanoic acid (PFOA) was carried out to examine how the electronic structure of perfluoro-carbons is affected by the inclusion of additional  $-CF_2-$  and  $-CH_3$  groups. Examination of the molecular orbitals, Fukui functions, and vibrational spectra reveal that while there are differences between the three molecules PFBA is indeed a good model for longer chain perfluorocarbons, such as PFOA.

Having established this, we next examined the binding and reaction energies for PFBA interacting on a set of transition metal catalysts. The primary goal was to see if metal catalysts can partake in the Blyholder back-bonding mechanism, wherein electrons from the metal back-fill an anti-bonding orbital of an adsorbate. We first established that linear scaling relationships, a key tool in understanding catalytic behavior and the prediction of its behavior, exist for PFBA on metal surfaces. Using the binding energy, reaction energy, and vibration shifts as descriptors we are able to demonstrate that there is a clear correlation between these three indicating that PFBA follows a similar chemistry that has been previously established for hydrocarbons.

As for evidence of the Blyholder back-bonding mechanism, we employed a molecular orbital PDOS technique that allows us to see how the molecular orbitals of an adsorbate behave upon adsorption. We find that for metals that feature a strong binding energy (and consequently a more exothermic reaction energy and large red-shifts in the vibrational modes) the PFBA LUMO takes on a character that is similar to a SOMO. Moreover, the HOMO-LUMO gaps for PFBA on the metal surfaces are in-line with a negatively charged gas-phase PFBA. Finally, charge density differences show for these metals a large accumulation

of negative charge around the  $C_\alpha$ -F bond which lends further credence to our back-bonding hypothesis.

Thus, we conclude that PFBA does participate in a back-bonding mechanism which can be leveraged to design better PFAS degradation catalysts from a more rational, *ab initio* standpoint. As a final note, comparison of our linear scaling relationships indicates that iron would be an ideal candidate for the further study of PFAS degradation.

### 4 Computational methodology

Periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out with GPAW<sup>165</sup> and the Atomic Simulation Environment (ASE).<sup>166</sup> The optPBE-vdW exchange-correlation functional was used for the valence electrons<sup>167,168</sup> with the core electrons being treated with the projector augmented wavefunction (PAW) method.<sup>163,169,170</sup> The optPBE-vdW functional is a generalized gradient approximation (GGA)-based functional, with the electron correlation being represented *via* a nonlocal kernal that accounts for interactions between two electron density points. In treating the electron correlation in such a fashion, weak interactions are picked up and thus optPBE-vdW is desireable for studying surface interactions.<sup>167,168</sup> Fermi level discontinuities were with the Fermi-Dirac smearing method with a smearing parameter of 0.15 eV. Total energies were self-consistently minimized to  $10^{-6}$  eV with the Davidson method.<sup>171</sup>

Surface calculations employed a three-step optimization process in order to best utilize our computational resources. In the first step, the initial degrees of freedom were optimized with a linear-combination of atomic orbital (LCAO) double- $\zeta$  basis set.<sup>172</sup> Once the forces were converged to 0.05 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ , we did two optimization calculations utilizing a finite-difference basis set<sup>169,173</sup> with a grid spacing of 0.2  $\text{\AA}$  (which corresponds to a kinetic energy cutoff of  $\sim$ 900 eV (ref. 173)). In the first finite-difference optimization, we only considered the  $\Gamma$ -point; the subsequent optimization used a  $(3 \times 3 \times 1)$  Monkhorst-Pack  $k$ -point mesh.<sup>174</sup> As both basis set types allow for the arbitrary setting of periodic boundary conditions, we only applied the periodic boundary in the  $x$ - and  $y$ -directions (which allows us to utilize only a single  $k$ -point in the  $z$ -direction). Both finite-difference optimizations were done to a force-threshold of 0.05 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ . The majority of the optimizations were done with the ASE implementation of the limited memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (LBFGS) method<sup>175</sup> (a comparison between BFGS and LBFGS reveals no difference in geometry and energy for PFBA/V(110), and therefore we determine LBFGS to be sufficient). However, a few calculations revealed instabilities with the LBFGS optimizer; for these cases the fast inertial relaxation engine (FIRE)<sup>176</sup> was used. The reason for utilizing such a successive optimization scheme is thus: the LCAO method, while capable of producing accurate geometries, suffers from basis set superposition errors (BSSE). While correction schemes exist for overcoming BSSE,<sup>177</sup> the finite-difference method does not suffer from that limitation and is ideal for the calculation of final energies. However, it should be noted that the LCAO method is, in our experience,  $\sim$ 6 $\times$  faster than finite-difference, which reduces computational overhead.



Similarly, finite-difference at the  $\Gamma$ -point is roughly  $5\times$  faster than performing it with the  $3\times3\times1$   $k$ -point grid (once one accounts for the symmetry of the irreducible Brillouin zone). Thus the successive optimization method outlined above allows us to conserve computational resources and enables the performance of the high-throughput calculations required by the current study efficiently.

We considered the full d-block of metals (with the exception of mercury as it does not exist as a solid at room temperature), and took the lowest energy surfaces for each crystal structure. For the face-centered cubic (FCC) metals this is the (111) surface, for the body-centered cubic (BCC) metals this is the (110), and for the hexagonal-closed pack (HCP) metals it is the (0001) surface. Bulk unit cell parameters were generated using the above procedure with the exception of the  $k$ -point mesh and choice of basis set. Unit cells were optimized using a plane-wave basis set of 600 eV and a  $k$ -point mesh of  $(9\times9\times9)$ . Surfaces were constructed using 4 layers and a p( $5\times5$ ) repetition.

Vibrations were calculated *via* the construction of a numerical Hessian as implemented in ASE. As the finite-difference calculations with a  $(3\times3\times1)$  Monkhorst–Pack  $k$ -point mesh are quite demanding, we opted to calculate the vibrations at the  $\Gamma$ -point only using the geometry at the  $(3\times3\times1)$   $k$ -point mesh. In order to ascertain the errors associated with this approximation, we calculated the C–F stretch frequency of FFA adsorbed onto the V(111) surface for a variety of methods and geometries in Table S7.† Overall, we found that our method works quite well with an error of  $0.01\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the stretching frequency. Infrared intensities were calculated using the method of Porezag and Pederson.<sup>178</sup>

#### 4.1 Molecular orbitals

For the analysis of our molecular orbitals we utilized the crystal orbital overlap population (COOP)<sup>179</sup> method as it has proven invaluable in our previous research.<sup>51,180–182</sup> Here, we take the density of states (DOS) and weigh it with a Mullikan factor,

$$\text{Total COOP}(\varepsilon) = 2 \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_n \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_i^*(n, k) c_j(n, k) S_{ij} \delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n(\mathbf{k})), \quad (1)$$

where  $c_{ij}(n, k)$  are the coefficients of atom  $i/j$  for band/orbital  $n$  and  $k$ -point  $k$ ,  $S_{ij}$  is the overlap matrix between atoms  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $\delta(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n(\mathbf{k}))$  is the density of states in the delta function formalism. For a molecular system, the DOS is simply the orbital energies from our DFT with a peak height corresponding to the occupancy of that orbital. Moreover, by definition, there would only be a singular  $k$ -point. When comparing the COOP peaks to the DOS, the sign of the COOP peaks are interpreted as follows: negative peak is anti-bonding, positive is bonding, and a zero peak is non-bonding.<sup>179</sup> For ease of visualization the plots in Fig. 2 and S1–S3† were normalized such the highest peak in the interval  $-5\text{ eV} \leq E - \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}} \leq 0\text{ eV}$  is equal to 1, and for the unoccupied orbitals if the COOP is below  $-1$ , we set those values equal to  $-1$ . The wave-function coefficients and overlaps in eqn (1) were taken from a GPAW calculation using the optPBE-vdW exchange-correlation functional and a numerical

double- $\zeta$  plus polarization (dzp) basis set. Core regions were represented with the PAW formulation. Due to the use of a LCAO basis set the unoccupied orbitals are more weighted than the occupied; however as we are comparing locations of peaks and not their magnitude, this issue is trivial for the current study.

#### 4.2 Fukui functions

Frontier molecular orbital theory<sup>115,116</sup> was additionally utilized using Parr and Wang's DFT formulation,<sup>117</sup>

$$f^+(r) = \left( \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial N} \right)_{v(r)}^+ \approx \rho_{N+1} - \rho_N, \quad (2a)$$

$$f^-(r) = \left( \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial N} \right)_{v(r)}^- \approx \rho_N - \rho_{N-1}, \quad (2b)$$

$$f^0(r) = \frac{1}{2} [f^+(r) + f^-(r)] \approx \frac{1}{2} [\rho_{N+1} - \rho_{N-1}] \quad (2c)$$

where  $N$  is the number of electrons,  $\rho$  is the electronic density from DFT, and  $v(r)$  is an external potential (if applicable). As  $f^+(r)$  increases, so does the molecules susceptibility to nucleophilic attack. Similarly, as  $f^-(r)$  increases so does the molecules susceptibility for electrophilic attack. The average of the two denotes the susceptibility to radical attack.<sup>117</sup> If we partition the electronic density, we can assign atomic charges to each atom center; application of eqn (2) to these atomistic charges gives us atomic Fukui functions.<sup>183–187</sup> Thus for atom A, we can define  $f_A^{+/0}$  as,

$$f_A^+(r) = n_A(N+1) - n_A(N), \quad (3a)$$

$$f_A^-(r) = n_A(N) - n_A(N-1), \quad (3b)$$

$$f_A^0(r) = \frac{1}{2} [n_A(N+1) - n_A(N-1)], \quad (3c)$$

where  $n_A$  is the “number of electrons” for atom A. Following Ayers *et al.*,<sup>186</sup> we can utilize *condensed* atomic charges, wherein  $q_A = Z_A - n_A$  and as a consequence eqn (3a) and (3b) becomes,

$$f_A^+(r) = q_A(N) - q_A(N+1), \quad (4a)$$

$$f_A^-(r) = q_A(N-1) - q_A(N). \quad (4b)$$

As  $f_A^0$  is simply the mean between  $f_A^+$  and  $f_A^-$  it is unchanged with the adoption of condensed atomic charges. While a variety of charge partitioning schemes can be used for the calculation of eqn (2) and (3), we chose to utilize the Hirshfeld partitioning scheme<sup>188</sup> as implemented in GPAW and the Bader atoms-in-molecules approach<sup>189,190</sup> as implemented by Henkelman and coworkers.<sup>191–193</sup> The required electronic densities were calculated using the optPBE-vdW functional and a grid-based basis set with a grid spacing of  $0.2\text{ \AA}$ . However, we found that the default initial guess used in GPAW resulted in non-converging self-consistent fields (SCF); to circumvent this we generated a triple- $\zeta$  plus polarization (tzp) basis set using GPAW's basis set generation tools. Initial orbitals and virtual space were then

generated from this tzp basis set. The molecular geometries of the charged FFA, PFBA, and PFOA were then fully relaxed, Hirshfeld/Bader charges calculated, and numerical derivatives for the atomic Fukui functions were then taken.

#### 4.3 Reactivity descriptors

Researchers in heterogeneous catalysis use a variety of descriptors to describe reactions at surfaces. These studies have been successful in relating reaction energies to activation and reaction energies,<sup>139,141,146,148,154,194-204</sup> utilization of density-of-states properties,<sup>137,143,194,205-216</sup> coordination number between the catalyst and reactants,<sup>145,214,217-219</sup> the binding energy of the adsorbates with the catalyst surface,<sup>24,136-138,140,142-145,182,220-225</sup> and molecular vibrations.<sup>223,226-230</sup> Intrinsic to these descriptors is the chemical phenomena of charge transfer<sup>230-236</sup>—either from the catalyst (in the case of metals) or from the adsorbate (in the case of oxides). For example, Dunnington and Schmidt have shown using a periodic formulation of the natural bond orbital analysis that contact with a metal surface results in the partial occupancy of adsorbate anti-bonding orbitals.<sup>231</sup> A recent study by Jia *et al.*<sup>230</sup> have demonstrated a correlation between charge transfer, binding energies, and activation energies on metal surfaces. These descriptor based techniques has even been applied to machine learning approaches for the prediction of catalytic activity.<sup>237-240</sup>

In the current study we consider three descriptors: the binding energy of PFBA to a metal surface ( $E_{\text{BE}}$ ), the reaction energy ( $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}$ ) of removing a -F from the  $\text{C}_\alpha$  of PFBA, and the vibrational shift of the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  bond as compared to the gas-phase ( $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$ ). We define  $E_{\text{BE}}$  as,

$$E_{\text{BE}} = E_{\text{PFBA+M}}^{\text{DFT}} - E_{\text{M}}^{\text{DFT}} - E_{\text{PFBA}}^{\text{DFT}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $E^{\text{DFT}}$  is the electronic DFT energy from GPAW, and M denotes the metal surface. With our sign convention, a negative binding energy denotes a favorable interaction between an adsorbate and the metal surface. For  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}$  we use

$$\Delta E_{\text{rxn}} = E_{\text{final state}}^{\text{DFT}} - E_{\text{initial state}}^{\text{DFT}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $E^{\text{DFT}}$  denotes the electronic energy from our DFT calculations. With our sign convention an exothermic reaction is one with a negative  $\Delta E_{\text{rxn}}$ . Finally,  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$  is defined as,

$$\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}} = \nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}^{\text{Bound}} - \nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}^{\text{Gas-phase}}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$  denotes the  $\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}$  vibrational frequency as calculated using a numerical Hessian as implemented in ASE. Bound refers to the state of PFBA adsorbed onto the metal surface. The sign convention in eqn (7) denotes that a negative  $\Delta\nu_{\text{C}_\alpha\text{-F}}$  corresponds to a redshift, or a decrease, in the infrared spectra. This redshift corresponds to a longer bond length, and thus a weaker bond.

#### Author contributions

Dr Glen R. Jenness: conceptualization, methodology, software, investigation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, writing-

review & editing; Dr Manoj K. Shukla: conceptualization, methodology, writing-review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

G. R. J. would like to acknowledge Drs Ashlyn M. Koval, Timothy C. Schutt, William A. Pisani, Timothy C. Ricard, and Robert W. Lamb for their input on the manuscript and useful discussions. The use of trade, product, or firm names in this report is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The tests described and the resulting data presented herein, unless otherwise noted, were obtained from research conducted under the Installation and Operational Environments (IOE) Program of the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the Environmental Security Technology Certification Program of the Department of Defense by the USAERDC. Permission was granted by the Chief of Engineers to publish this information. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents. This work was supported by a grant of computer time from the DOD High Performance Computing Modernization Program at ERDC, Vicksburg. This document has been approved for public release (Distribution Statement A).

#### References

- 1 C. Hogue, EPA Sets Health Advisory Levels for 6 PFAS, *Chem. Eng. News*, 2022, **100**, 13.
- 2 J. Glüge, M. Scheringer, I. T. Cousins, J. C. Dewitt, G. Goldenman, D. Herzke, R. Lohmann, C. A. Ng, X. Trier and Z. Wang, An Overview of the Uses of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts*, 2020, **22**, 2345–2373.
- 3 E. Panieri, K. Baralic, D. Djukic-Cosic, A. B. Djordjevic and L. Saso, PFAS Molecules: A Major Concern for the Human Health and the Environment, *Toxics*, 2022, **10**, 44.
- 4 F. J. Urbano and J. M. Marinas, Hydrogenolysis of Organohalogen Compounds over Palladium Supported Catalysts, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2001, **173**, 329–345.
- 5 S. J. Blanksby and G. B. Ellison, Bond Dissociation Energies of Organic Molecules, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2003, **36**, 255–263.
- 6 M. J. Bentel, Y. Yu, L. Xu, Z. Li, B. M. Wong, Y. Men and J. Liu, Defluorination of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) with Hydrated Electrons: Structural Dependence and Implications to PFAS Remediation and Management, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **53**, 3718–3728.
- 7 O. Eisenstein, J. Milani and R. N. Perutz, Selectivity of C–H Activation and Competition between C–H and C–F Bond



Activation at Fluorocarbons, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 8710–8753.

8 Z. Liu, M. J. Bentel, Y. Yu, C. Ren, J. Gao, V. F. Pulikkal, M. Sun, Y. Men and J. Liu, Near-Quantitative Defluorination of Perfluorinated and Fluorotelomer Carboxylates and Sulfonates with Integrated Oxidation and Reduction, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 7052–7062.

9 A. A. Zavitsas, The Relation between Bond Lengths and Dissociation Energies of Carbon-Carbon Bonds, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2003, **107**, 897–898.

10 K. Prevedouros, I. T. Cousins, R. C. Buck and S. H. Korzeniowski, Sources, Fate and Transport of Perfluorocarboxylates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, **40**, 32–44.

11 EPA, *Basic Information on PFAS*, 2021, <https://www.epa.gov/pfas/basic-information-pfas>.

12 T. Pancras, G. Schrauwen, T. Held, K. Baker, I. Ross and H. Slenders, *Environmental Fate and Effects of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)*, 2016.

13 X. C. Hu, D. Q. Andrews, A. B. Lindstrom, T. A. Bruton, L. A. Schaider, P. Grandjean, R. Lohmann, C. C. Carignan, A. Blum, S. A. Balan, C. P. Higgins and E. M. Sunderland, Detection of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in U.S. Drinking Water Linked to Industrial Sites, Military Fire Training Areas, and Wastewater Treatment Plants, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2016, **3**, 344–350.

14 Z. Wang, J. C. Dewitt, C. P. Higgins and I. T. Cousins, A Never-Ending Story of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs)?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 2508–2518.

15 M.-A. Verner, A. E. Loccisano, N.-H. Morken, M. Yoon, H. Wu, R. McDougall, M. Maisonet, M. Marcus, R. Kishi, C. Miyashita, M.-H. Chen, W.-S. Hsieh, M. E. Andersen, H. J. Clewell and M. P. Longnecker, Associations of Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) with Lower Birth Weight: An Evaluation of Potential Confounding by Glomerular Filtration Rate Using a Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model (PBPK), *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2015, **123**, 1317–1324.

16 M. L. Brusseau, Assessing the Potential Contributions of Additional Retention Processes to PFAS Retardation in the Subsurface, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2018, **613–614**, 176–185.

17 R. Darlington, E. Barth and J. McKernan, The Challenges of PFAS Remediation, *Mil. Eng.*, 2018, **110**, 58–60.

18 B. M. Sharma, G. K. Bharat, S. Tayal, T. Larssen, J. Bečanová, P. Karásková, P. G. Whitehead, M. N. Futter, D. Butterfield and L. Nizzetto, Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in River and Ground/Drinking Water of the Ganges River Basin: Emissions and Implications for Human Exposure, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2016, **208**, 704–713.

19 S. Bergström, PhD thesis, Sveriges Lantbruksuniversitet (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences), Uppsala, Sweden, 2014.

20 A. Möller, L. Ahrens, R. Surm, J. Westerveld, F. V. D. Wielen, R. Ebinghaus and P. D. Voogt, Distribution and Sources of Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in the River Rhine Watershed, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2010, **158**, 3243–3250.

21 F. Li, C. Zhang, Y. Qu, J. Chen, L. Chen, Y. Liu and Q. Zhou, Quantitative Characterization of Short- and Long-Chain Perfluorinated Acids in Solid Matrices in Shanghai, China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2010, **408**, 617–623.

22 K. Y. Kwok, E. Yamazaki, N. Yamashita, S. Taniyasu, M. B. Murphy, Y. Horii, G. Petrick, R. Kallerborn, K. Kannan, K. Murano and P. K. S. Lam, Transport of Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) from an Arctic Glacier to Downstream Locations: Implications for Sources, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2013, **447**, 46–55.

23 H. N. P. Vo, H. H. Ngo, W. Guo, T. M. H. Nguyen, J. Li, H. Liang, L. Deng, Z. Chen and T. A. H. Nguyen, Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Water and Wastewater: A Comprehensive Review from Sources to Remediation, *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 2020, **36**, 101393.

24 B. Xu, S. Liu, J. L. Zhou, C. Zheng, J. Weifeng, B. Chen, T. Zhang and W. Qiu, PFAS and Their Substitutes in Groundwater: Occurrence, Transformation and Remediation, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2021, **412**, 125159.

25 M. Haukås, U. Berger, H. Hop, B. Gulliksen and G. W. Gabrielsen, Bioaccumulation of Per- and Polyfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) in Selected Species from the Barents Sea Food Web, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2007, **148**, 360–371.

26 B. C. Kelly, M. G. Ikonomou, J. D. Blair, D. Hoover, R. Grace, F. a P. C. Gobas and B. Surridge, Perfluoroalkyl Contaminants in an Arctic Marine Food Web: Trophic Magnification and Wildlife Exposure, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, 4037–4043.

27 S. Dasgupta, A. Reddam, Z. Liu, J. Liu and D. C. Volz, High-Content Screening in Zebrafish Identifies Perfluoroctanesulfonamide as a Potent Developmental Toxicant, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2020, **256**, 113550.

28 B. González-Gaya, J. Dachs, J. L. Roscales, G. Caballero and B. Jiménez, Perfluoroalkylated Substances in the Global Tropical and Subtropical Surface Oceans, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **48**, 13076–13084.

29 S. K. Sagiv, S. L. Rifas-Shiman, T. F. Webster, A. M. Mora, M. H. Harris, A. M. Calafat, X. Ye, M. W. Gillman and E. Oken, Sociodemographic and Perinatal Predictors of Early Pregnancy Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substance (PFAS) Concentrations, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 11849–11858.

30 E. R. McKenzie, R. L. Siegrist, J. E. McCray and C. P. Higgins, Effects of Chemical Oxidants on Perfluoroalkyl Acid Transport in One-Dimensional Porous Media Columns, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **49**, 1681–1689.

31 J. Jeon, K. Kannan, B. J. Lim, K. G. An and S. D. Kim, Effects of Salinity and Organic Matter on the Partitioning of Perfluoroalkyl Acid (PFAS) to Clay Particles, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 2011, **13**, 1803–1810.

32 D. J. V. Hoomissen and S. Vyas, Early Events in the Reductive Dehalogenation of Linear Perfluoroalkyl Substances, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2019, **6**, 365–371.

33 J. Gao, Z. Liu, M. J. Bentel, Y. Yu, Y. Men and J. Liu, Defluorination of Omega-Hydroperfluorocarboxylates (omega-HPFCAs): Distinct Reactivities from Perfluoro and





Fluorotelomeric Carboxylates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **55**, 14146–14155.

34 Z. Liu, Z. Chen, J. Gao, Y. Yu, Y. Men, C. Gu and J. Liu, Accelerated Degradation of Perfluorosulfonates and Perfluorocarboxylates by UV/Sulfite + Iodide: Reaction Mechanisms and System Efficiencies, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **56**, 3699–3709.

35 A. M. Michalak, J. Xia, D. Brdjanovic, A.-N. Mbiyozo, D. Sedlak, T. Pradeep, U. Lall, N. Rao and J. Gupta, The Frontiers of Water and Sanitation, *Nature Water*, 2023, **1**, 10–18.

36 S. Yang, S. Fernando, T. M. Holsen and Y. Yang, Inhibition of Perchlorate Formation during the Electrochemical Oxidation of Perfluoroalkyl Acid in Groundwater, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2019, **6**, 775–780.

37 C. E. Schaefer, C. Andaya, A. Urtiaga, E. R. McKenzie and C. P. Higgins, Electrochemical Treatment of Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonic Acid (PFOS) in Groundwater Impacted by Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFFs), *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2015, **295**, 170–175.

38 U. Rao, Y. Su, C. M. Khor, B. Jung, S. Ma, D. M. Cwiertny, B. M. Wong and D. Jassby, Structural Dependence of Reductive Defluorination of Linear PFAS Compounds in a UV/Electrochemical System, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **54**, 10668–10677.

39 J. Stonebridge, R. Baldwin, N. R. Thomson and C. Ptacek, Fluoride-Selective Electrode as a Tool to Evaluate the Degradation of PFAS in Groundwater: A Bench-Scale Investigation, *Groundwater Monit. Rem.*, 2020, **40**, 73–80.

40 P. B. Medina, V. A. Contreras, F. Hartmann, D. Schmitt, A. Klimek, J. Elbert, M. Gallei and X. Su, Investigating the Electrochemically Driven Capture and Release of Long-Chain PFAS by Redox Metallocopolymer Sorbents, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **15**, 22112–22122.

41 L. Duan, B. Wang, K. Heck, S. Guo, C. A. Clark, J. Arredondo, M. Wang, T. P. Sengl, P. Westerhoff, X. Wen, Y. Song and M. S. Wong, Efficient Photocatalytic PFOA Degradation over Boron Nitride, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2020, **7**, 613–619.

42 F. Li, Z. Wei, K. He, L. Blaney, X. Cheng, T. Xu, W. Liu and D. Zhao, A Concentrate-and-Destroy Technique for Degradation of Perfluoroctanoic Acid in Water Using a New Adsorptive Photocatalyst, *Water Res.*, 2020, **185**, 1–14.

43 W. Zhang, H. Cao and Y. Liang, Degradation by Hydrothermal Liquefaction of Fluoroalkylether Compounds Accumulated in Cattails (*Typha latifolia*), *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 105363.

44 Y.-J. Lei, Y. Tian, Z. Sobhani, R. Naidu and C. Fang, Synergistic Degradation of PFAS in Water and Soil by Dual-Frequency Ultrasonic Activated Persulfate, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **388**, 124215.

45 A. H. da S Filho and G. L. C. de Souza, Examining the Degradation of Environmentally-Daunting Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances from a Fundamental Chemical Perspective, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 17659–17667.

46 B. Liu, H. M. Chen, C. Liu, S. C. Andrews, C. Hahn and P. Yang, Large-Scale Synthesis of Transition-Metal-Doped TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanowires with Controllable Overpotential, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9995–9998.

47 V. J. Scott, R. Çelenligil Çetin and O. V. Ozerov, Room-Temperature Catalytic Hydrodefluorination of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-F Bonds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 2852–2853.

48 C. Douvris and O. V. Ozerov, Hydrodefluorination of Perfluoroalkyl Groups Using Silylum-Carborane Catalysts, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1188–1190.

49 C. Douvris, C. M. Nagaraja, C. H. Chen, B. M. Foxman and O. V. Ozerov, Hydrodefluorination and Other Hydrodehalogenation of Aliphatic Carbon-Halogen Bonds Using Silylum Catalysis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 4946–4953.

50 J. Liu, D. J. V. Hoomissen, T. Liu, A. Maizel, X. Huo, S. R. Ferna, C. Ren, X. Xiao, Y. Fang and C. E. Schaefer, Reductive Defluorination of Branched Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances with Cobalt Complex Catalysts, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2018, **5**, 289–294.

51 G. R. Jenness, A. M. Koval, B. D. Etz and M. K. Shukla, Atomistic Insights Into the Hydrodefluorination of PFAS Using Silylum Catalysts, *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts*, 2022, **24**, 2085–2099.

52 S. Che, B. Jin, Z. Liu, Y. Yu, J. Liu and Y. Men, Structure-Specific Aerobic Defluorination of Short-Chain Fluorinated Carboxylic Acids by Activated Sludge Communities, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2021, **8**, 668–674.

53 B. Jin, Y. Zhu, W. Zhao, Z. Liu, S. Che, K. Chen, Y. H. Lin, J. Liu and Y. Men, Aerobic Biotransformation and Defluorination of Fluoroalkylether Substances (Ether PFAS): Substrate Specificity, Pathways, and Applications, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2023, **10**, 755–761.

54 B. Trang, Y. Li, X.-S. Xue, M. Ateia, K. N. Houk and W. R. Dichtel, Low-Temperature Mineralization of Perfluorocarboxylic Acids, *Science*, 2022, **377**, 839.

55 R. K. Singh, S. Fernando, S. F. Baygi, N. Multari, S. M. Thagard and T. M. Holsen, Breakdown Products from Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) Degradation in a Plasma-Based Water Treatment Process, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **53**, 2731–2738.

56 A. J. Lewis, T. Joyce, M. Hadaya, F. Ebrahimi, I. Dragiev, N. Giardetti, J. Yang, G. Fridman, A. Rabinovich, A. A. Fridman, E. R. McKenzie and C. M. Sales, Rapid Degradation of PFAS in Aqueous Solutions by Reverse Vortex Flow Gliding Arc Plasma, *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2020, **6**, 1044–1057.

57 D. Palma, D. Papagiannaki, M. Lai, R. Binetti, M. Sleiman, M. Minella and C. Richard, PFAS Degradation in Ultrapure and Groundwater Using Non-Thermal Plasma, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**, 1–13.

58 H. Cao, W. Zhang, C. Wang and Y. Liang, Sonochemical Degradation of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances—A Review, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2020, **69**, 105245.

59 M. E. McGuire, C. Schaefer, T. Richards, W. J. Backe, J. A. Field, E. Houtz, D. L. Sedlak, J. L. Guelfo, A. Wunsch and C. P. Higgins, Evidence of Remediation-Induced

Alteration of Subsurface Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substance Distribution at a Former Firefighter Training Area, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **48**, 6644–6652.

60 J. Horst, J. McDonough, I. Ross and E. Houtz, Understanding and Managing the Potential By-Products of PFAS Destruction, *Groundwater Monit. Rem.*, 2020, **40**, 17–27.

61 R. P. Hughes, R. B. Laritchev, L. N. Zakharov and A. L. Rheingold, Reductive Activation of Carbon-Fluorine Bonds in Perfluoroalkyl Ligands: An Unexpected Route to the Only Known Tetrafluorobutatriene Transition Metal Complex:  $\text{Ir}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{PMe}_3)(2,3\text{-}\eta^2\text{-CF}_2=\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{CF}_2)$ , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 2308–2309.

62 M. F. Kuehnel, D. Lenz and T. Braun, Synthesis of Fluorinated Building Blocks by Transition-Metal-Mediated Hydrodefluorination Reactions, *Angew. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 3328–3348.

63 M. Michalczyk, B. Kizior, W. Zierkiewicz and S. Scheiner, Factors Contributing to Halogen Bond Strength and Stretch or Contraction of Internal Covalent Bond, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2023, 2907.

64 S. Royer and D. Duprez, Catalytic Oxidation of Carbon Monoxide over Transition Metal Oxides, *ChemCatChem*, 2011, **3**, 24–65.

65 G. Blyholder, Molecular Orbital View of Chemisorbed Carbon Monoxide, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1964, **68**, 2772–2777.

66 G. Blyholder and M. C. Allen, Infrared Spectra and Molecular Orbital Model for Carbon Monoxide Adsorbed on Metals, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 3158.

67 G. Pacchioni, G. Cogliandro and P. S. Bagus, Characterization of Oxide Surfaces by Infrared Spectroscopy of Adsorbed Carbon Monoxide: A Theoretical Investigation of the Frequency Shift of CO on  $\text{MgO}$  and  $\text{NiO}$ , *Surf. Sci.*, 1991, **255**, 344354.

68 F. Aubke and C. Wang, *Carbon Monoxide as a A-Donor Ligand in Coordination Chemistry*, 1994.

69 K. W. Kolasinski, *Surface Science*, John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

70 C. D. Zeinalipour-Yazdi, A. L. Cooksy and A. M. Efstathiou, CO Adsorption on Transition Metal Clusters: Trends from Density Functional Theory, *Surf. Sci.*, 2008, **602**, 1858–1862.

71 G. Blyholder, CNDO Model of Carbon Monoxide Chemisorbed on Nickel, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1975, **79**, 756.

72 F. Illas, S. Zurita, J. Rubio and A. M. Marquez, Origin of the Vibrational Shift of CO Chemisorbed on Pt(111), *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1995, **52**, 12372.

73 H. Aizawa and S. Tsuneyuki, First-Principles Study of CO Bonding to Pt(111): Validity of the Blyholder Model, *Surf. Sci.*, 1998, **399**, L364–L370.

74 M. T. M. Koper and R. A. V. Santen, Electric Field Effects on CO and NO Adsorption at the Pt(111) Surface, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1999, **476**, 64–70.

75 W. V. Glassey, G. A. Papoian and R. Hoffmann, Total Energy Partitioning within a One-Electron Formalism: A Hamilton Population Study of Surface-Co Interaction in the  $\text{C}(2\times 2)\text{-Co/Ni}(100)$  Chemisorption System, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **111**, 893–910.

76 P. J. Feibelman, B. Hammer, J. K. Nørskov, F. Wagner, M. Scheffler, R. Stumpf, R. Watwe and J. Dumesic, The Co/Pt(111) Puzzle, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 4018–4025.

77 Y. D. Kim, A. P. Seitsonen and H. Over, Adsorption Characteristics of CO and  $\text{N}_2$  on  $\text{RuO}_2(110)$ , *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2001, **63**, 115419.

78 E. J. Walter, S. P. Lewis and A. M. Rappe, First Principles Study of Carbon Monoxide Adsorption on Zirconia-Supported Copper, *Surf. Sci.*, 2001, **495**, 44–50.

79 A. Nilsson and L. G. M. Pettersson, Chemical Bonding on Surfaces Probed by X-Ray Emission Spectroscopy and Density Functional Theory, *Surf. Sci. Rep.*, 2004, **55**, 49–167.

80 L. G. M. Pettersson and A. Nilsson, A Molecular Perspective on the  $d$ -Band Model: Synergy between Experiment and Theory, *Top. Catal.*, 2014, **57**, 2–13.

81 R. J. Smith, J. Anderson and G. J. Lapeyre, Further Studies of Ni (001)c(2×2)CO: Evidence for Back Donation in the Chemisorption Bond, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1980, **22**, 632.

82 Y.-T. Wong and R. Hoffmann, Chemisorption of Carbon Monoxide on Three Metal Surfaces: Ni(111), Pd(111), and Pt(111): A Comparative Study, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1991, **95**, 859–867.

83 M. J. Kappers and J. H. V. D. Maas, Correlation between CO Frequency and Pt Coordination Number. A DRIFT Study on Supported Pt Catalysts, *Catal. Lett.*, 1991, **10**, 365–374.

84 G. S. Icking-Konert, H. Handschuh, G. Ganterfö and W. Eberhardt, Bonding of CO to Metal Particles: Photoelectron Spectra of  $\text{Ni}_n(\text{CO})_m^-$  and  $\text{Pt}_n(\text{CO})_m^-$  Clusters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **76**, 1047–1050.

85 G. Ganterfö, G. S. Icking-Konert, H. Handschuh and W. Eberhardt, CO Chemisorption on  $\text{Ni}_n$ ,  $\text{Pd}_n$ , and  $\text{Pt}_n$  Clusters, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 1996, **159**, 81–109.

86 A. Nilsson, N. Wassdahl, M. Weinelt, O. Karis, T. Wiell, P. Bennich, J. Hasselström, A. Föhlisch, J. Stöhr and M. Samant, Local Probing of the Surface Chemical Bond Using X-Ray Emission Spectroscopy, *Appl. Phys. A*, 1997, **65**, 147–154.

87 A. Föhlisch, M. Nyberg, J. Hasselström, O. Karis, L. G. M. Pettersson and A. Nilsson, How Carbon Monoxide Adsorbs in Different Sites, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2000, **85**, 3309.

88 D. M. Khramov, V. M. Lynch and C. W. Bielawski, N-Heterocyclic Carbene - Transition Metal Complexes: Spectroscopic and Crystallographic Analyses of  $\pi$ -Back-bonding Interactions, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 6042–6049.

89 R. Bonetto, F. Crisanti and A. Sartorel, Carbon Dioxide Reduction Mediated by Iron Catalysts: Mechanism and Intermediates That Guide Selectivity, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 21309–21319.

90 A. Tortajada, F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Carboxylation Reactions with Carbon Dioxide, *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, **130**, 16178–16214.



91 Z. D. Brown and P. P. Power, Mechanisms of Reactions of Open-Shell, Heavier Group 14 Derivatives with Small Molecules:  $n-\pi^*$  Back-Bonding in Isocyanide Complexes, C–H Activation Under Ambient Conditions, CO Coupling, and Ancillary Molecular Interactions, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 6248–6259.

92 A. Mansikkamäki, P. P. Power and H. M. Tuononen, Computational Analysis of  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  Back-Bonding in Metallylene-Isocyanide Complexes  $R_2MCNR'$  ( $M = Si, Ge, Sn$ ;  $R = tBu, Ph$ ;  $R' = Me, tBu, Ph$ ), *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6690–6700.

93 H. Li, H. Feng, W. Sun, R. B. King and H. F. Schaefer, Extreme Metal Carbonyl Back Bonding in Cyclopentadienylthorium Carbonyls Generates Bridging  $C_2O_2$  Ligands by Carbonyl Coupling, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 6893–6904.

94 L. Foppa, C. Copéret and A. Comas-Vives, Increased Back-Bonding Explains Step-Edge Reactivity and Particle Size Effect for CO Activation on Ru Nanoparticles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16655–16668.

95 S. W. Ragsdale, Life with Carbon Monoxide, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2004, **39**, 165–195.

96 J. D. Citron, J. E. Lyons and L. H. Sommer, Palladium-Catalyzed Reactions of Triorganosilicon Hydrides with Halocarbons, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1968, **34**, 638.

97 M. Hudlicky, Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Carbon-Fluorine Bonds:  $\pi$  Bond Participation Mechanism, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1989, **44**, 345–359.

98 A. S. Nair and T. Pradeep, Halocarbon Mineralization and Catalytic Destruction by Metal Nanoparticles, *Curr. Sci.*, 2003, **84**, 1560.

99 K. J. Stanger and R. J. Angelici, Hydrodefluorination of Fluorobenzene Catalyzed by Rhodium Metal Prepared from  $[Rh(COD)_2]^+BF_4^-$  and Supported on  $SiO_2$  and  $Pd-SiO_2$ , *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2004, **207**, 59–68.

100 H. R. Clark and M. M. Jones, Metal Ion Promoted Hydrolysis of Fluorocarbons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 4302.

101 E. Adisson, A. Deffieux, M. Fontanille and K. Bujadoux, Polymerization of Ethylene at High Temperature by Vanadium-Based Heterogeneous Ziegler–Natta Catalysts. II. Study of the Activation by Halocarbons, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1994, **32**, 1033–1041.

102 J. L. Kiplinger and T. G. Richmond, Group IV Metallocene-Mediated Synthesis of Fluoroaromatics via Selective Defluorination of Saturated Perfluorocarbons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 1805.

103 R. Gupta and R. D. Young, A Review on the Halodefluorination of Aliphatic Fluorides, *Synthesis*, 2022, **54**, 1671–1683.

104 J. Lehtomäki, I. Makkonen, M. A. Caro, A. Harju and O. Lopez-Acevedo, Orbital-Free Density Functional Theory Implementation with the Projector Augmented-Wave Method, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **141**, 234102.

105 N. Romero, C. Glinsvad, A. Larsen, J. Enkovaara, S. Shende, V. Morozov and J. Mortensen, Design and Performance Characterization of Electronic Structure Calculations on Massively Parallel Supercomputers: A Case Study of GPAW on the Blue Gene/P Architecture, *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 2015, **27**, 69–93.

106 C. W. Bunn and E. R. Howells, Structures of Molecules and Crystals of Fluoro-Carbons, *Nature*, 1954, 549–551.

107 D. A. Dixon, Torsional Potential About the Central C–C Bond in Perfluoro-*n*-butane, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 3698–3701.

108 G. D. Smith, R. L. Jaffe and D. Y. Yoon, Conformational Characteristics of Poly(Oxymethylene) Based Upon *Ab Initio* Electronic Structure Calculations on Model Molecules, *Macromolecules*, 1994, **27**, 3166–3173.

109 B. Albinsson and J. Michl, The Gauche, Ortho, and Anti Conformers of Perfluoro-*N*-Butane: Matrix-Isolation IR Spectra, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6378–6379.

110 U. Röthlisberger, K. Laasonen, M. L. Klein and M. Sprik, The Torsional Potential of Perfluoro *n*-Alkanes: A Density Functional Study, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, **104**, 3692–3700.

111 S. S. Jang, M. Blanco, W. A. G. Goddard III, G. Caldwell, R. B. Ross and F. Field, The Source of Helicity in Perfluorinated *N*-Alkanes, *Macromolecules*, 2003, **36**, 5331–5341.

112 R. A. Cormanich, D. O'Hagan and M. Bühl, Hyperconjugation Is the Source of Helicity in Perfluorinated *N*-Alkanes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 7867–7870.

113 N. Díaz, F. Jiménez-Grávalos, D. Suárez, E. Francisco and Á. Martín-Pendás, Fluorine Conformational Effects Characterized by Energy Decomposition Analysis, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 25258–25275.

114 F. A. Wiedmann and C. Wesdemiotis, Experimental Evidence for the Existence of Fluoroformic Acid and Its Ionized and Protonated Forms in the Gas Phase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 2481–2485.

115 K. Fukui, T. Yonezawa and H. Shingu, A Molecular Orbital Theory of Reactivity in Aromatic Hydrocarbons, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1952, **20**, 722–725.

116 K. Fukui, Role of Frontier Orbitals in Chemical Reactions, *Science*, 1982, **218**, 747.

117 R. G. Parr and W. Yang, Density Functional Approach to the Frontier-Electron Theory of Chemical Reactivity, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 4049–4050.

118 D. A. McQuarrie, *Statistical Mechanics*, Harper & Row, 1975.

119 D. A. McQuarrie and J. D. Simon, *Physical Chemistry: A Molecular Approach*, 1997.

120 C. R. P. Fulong, M. G. E. Guardian, D. S. Aga and T. R. Cook, A Self-Assembled Iron(II) Metallacage as a Trap for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Water, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 6697–6708.

121 Q. Yu and J. Xu, Structure and Surface Properties of Fluorinated Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Films, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **61**, 243–248.

122 H. Tatsuno and S. Ando, Structure and Dynamics of Perfluoroalkane/β-cyclodextrin Inclusion Compounds as Studied by Solid-State  $^{19}F$  MAS and  $^1H \rightarrow ^{19}F$  CP/MAS NMR Spectroscopy, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 25751–25760.



123 S. Wallace, S. Lambrakos, A. Shabaev and L. Massa, Calculated IR Absorption Spectra for Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl (PFAS) Molecules, *Struct. Chem.*, 2021, **32**, 899.

124 S. Wallace, S. G. Lambrakos, A. Shabaev and L. Massa, On Using DFT to Construct an IR Spectrum Database for PFAS Molecules, *Struct. Chem.*, 2022, **33**, 247–256.

125 T. J. Baker, R. G. Tonkyn, C. J. Thompson, M. K. Dunlap, P. G. K. van Groos, N. A. Thakur, M. J. Wilhelm, T. L. Myers and T. J. Johnson, An Infrared Spectral Database for Gas-Phase Quantitation of Volatile Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS), *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, 2023, **295**, 108420.

126 E. C. Tuazon, W. G. Fateley and F. F. Bentley, Carbon-Fluorine Bond Stretchings in Some Acyclic Organic Molecules, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 1968, **42**, 319.

127 H. Giegengack and D. Hinze, Investigations of the Structure of Thin Fluorocarbon Films by X-Ray Diffraction and Infrared Spectroscopy, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 1971, **8**, 513.

128 S. Liu, S. Gangopadhyay, G. Sreenivas, S. S. Ang and H. A. Naseem, Infrared Studies of Hydrogenated Amorphous Carbon ( $\alpha$ -C:H) and Its Alloys ( $\alpha$ -C:H,N,F), *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1997, **55**, 13020.

129 H. Yokomichi and A. Masuda, Effect of Sputtering with Hydrogen Dilution on Fluorine Concentration of Low Hydrogen Content Fluorinated Amorphous Carbon Thin Films with Low Dielectric Constant, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1999, **86**, 2468.

130 X. Wang, H. R. Harris, K. Bouldin, H. Temkin, S. Gangopadhyay, M. D. Strathman and M. West, Structural Properties of Fluorinated Amorphous Carbon Films, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2000, **87**, 621–623.

131 I. T. Suydam and S. G. Boxer, Vibrational Stark Effects Calibrate the Sensitivity of Vibrational Probes for Electric Fields in Proteins, *Biochemistry*, 2003, **42**, 12050–12055.

132 W. Zhang, M. Dubois, K. Guérin, P. Bonnet, H. Kharbache, F. Masin, A. P. Kharitonov and A. Hamwi, Effect of Curvature on C–F Bonding in Fluorinated Carbons: From Fullerene and Derivatives to Graphite, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 1388–1398.

133 V. Y. Osipov, N. M. Romanov, K. Kogane, H. Touhara, Y. Hattori and K. Takai, Intrinsic Infrared Absorption for Carbon–Fluorine Bonding in Fluorinated Nanodiamond, *Mendeleev Commun.*, 2020, **30**, 84–87.

134 G. A. Picayo, B. D. Etz, S. Vyas and M. P. Jensen, Characterization of the ALSEP Process at Equilibrium: Speciation and Stoichiometry of the Extracted Complex, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 8076–8089.

135 H.-P. Komsa and A. Pasquarello, Finite-Size Supercell Correction for Charged Defects at Surfaces and Interfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **110**, 095505.

136 F. Abild-Pedersen, J. Greeley, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl, T. Munter, P. Moses, E. Skúlason, T. Bligaard and J. Nørskov, Scaling Properties of Adsorption Energies for Hydrogen-Containing Molecules on Transition-Metal Surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**, 16105.

137 J. K. Nørskov, F. Abild-Pedersen, F. Studt and T. Bligaard, Density Functional Theory in Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 937–943.

138 J. K. Nørskov, J. Rossmeisl, A. Logadottir, L. Lindqvist, J. R. Kitchin, T. Bligaard and H. Jónsson, Origin of the Overpotential for Oxygen Reduction at a Fuel-Cell Cathode, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 17886–17892.

139 T. Bligaard, J. Nørskov, S. Dahl, J. Matthiesen, C. Christensen and J. Sehested, The Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi Relation and the Volcano Curve in Heterogeneous Catalysis, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **224**, 206–217.

140 J. Cheng and P. Hu, Utilization of the Three-Dimensional Volcano Surface to Understand the Chemistry of Multiphase Systems in Heterogeneous Catalysis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10868–10869.

141 J. K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, J. Rossmeisl and C. H. Christensen, Towards the Computational Design of Solid Catalysts, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 37–46.

142 A. B. Laursen, A. S. Varela, F. Dionigi, H. Fanchiu, C. Miller, O. L. Trinhammer, J. Rossmeisl and S. Dahl, Electrochemical Hydrogen Evolution: Sabatiers Principle and the Volcano Plot, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2012, **89**, 1595–1599.

143 G. R. Jenness, M. A. Christiansen, S. Caratzoulas, D. G. Vlachos and R. J. Gorte, Site-Dependent Lewis Acidity of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Its Impact on Ethanol Dehydration and Etherification, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 12899–12907.

144 S. Wang, V. Vorotnikov and D. G. Vlachos, A DFT Study of Furan Hydrogenation and Ring Opening on Pd(111), *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 736–747.

145 Z. Zhuang, S. A. Giles, J. Zheng, G. R. Jenness, S. Caratzoulas, D. G. Vlachos and Y. Yan, Nickel Supported on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes as Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction Catalyst in Alkaline Electrolyte, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 10141.

146 L. Rebollar, S. Intikhab, N. J. Oliveira, Y. Yan, B. Xu, I. T. McCrum, J. D. Snyder and M. H. Tang, “Beyond Adsorption” Descriptors in Hydrogen Electrocatalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 14747–14762.

147 J. E. Sutton and D. G. Vlachos, Error Estimates in Semi-Empirical Estimation Methods of Surface Reactions, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **297**, 202–216.

148 J. E. Sutton and D. G. Vlachos, A Theoretical and Computational Analysis of Linear Free Energy Relations for the Estimation of Activation Energies, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 1624–1634.

149 C.-H. Lin, C.-L. Chen and J. Wang, Mechanistic Studies of Water–Gas-Shift Reaction on Transition Metals, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 18582–18588.

150 N. R. Peela, J. E. Sutton, I. C. Lee and D. G. Vlachos, Microkinetic Modeling of Ethane Total Oxidation on Pt, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 10051–10058.

151 N. Kapur, J. Hyun, B. Shan, J. B. Nicholas and K. Cho, Ab Initio Study of CO Hydrogenation to Oxygenates on Reduced Rh Terraces and Stepped Surfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 10171–10182.



152 H. A. Dabbagh, M. Zamani and B. H. Davis, Nanoscale Surface Study and Reactions Mechanism of 2-Butanol over the  $\gamma$ -Alumina (100) Surface and Nanochannel: A DFT Study, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2010, **333**, 54–68.

153 S. V. Churakov, M. Iannuzzi and M. Parrinello, Ab Initio Study of Dehydroxylation–Carbonation Reaction on Brucite Surface, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 11567–11574.

154 K. A. Goulas, A. V. Mironenko, G. R. Jenness, T. Mazal and D. G. Vlachos, Fundamentals of C–O Bond Activation on Metal Oxide Catalysts, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 269–276.

155 S. Li, X. Lu, W. Guo, H. Zhu, M. Li, L. Zhao, Y. Li and H. Shan, Formaldehyde Oxidation on the Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>(101) Surface: A DFT Investigation, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2012, **704**, 38–48.

156 S. M. Mitchell, M. Ahmad, A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, Degradation of Perfluorooctanoic Acid by Reactive Species Generated Through Catalyzed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Propagation Reactions, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2013, **1**, 117–121.

157 K. H. Kucharzyk, R. Darlington, M. Benotti, R. Deeb and E. Hawley, Novel Treatment Technologies for PFAS Compounds: A Critical Review, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2017, **204**, 757–764.

158 A. C. Parenky, N. G. de Souza, P. Asgari, J. Jeon, M. N. Nadagouda and H. Choi, Removal of Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid in Water by Combining Zerovalent Iron Particles with Common Oxidants, *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 2020, **37**, 472–481.

159 J. Zhang, H. Pang, S. Gray, S. Ma, Z. Xie and L. Gao, PFAS Removal from Wastewater by In-Situ Formed Ferric Nanoparticles: Solid Phase Loading and Removal Efficiency, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 105452.

160 Y. Qi, H. Cao, W. Pan, C. Wang and Y. Liang, The Role of Dissolved Organic Matter during Per- and Polyfluorinated Substance (PFAS) Adsorption, Degradation, and Plant Uptake: A Review, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2022, **436**, 129139.

161 M. Hvizdak, S. E. Kandel, H. M. Work, E. G. Gracey, R. L. McCullough and J. N. Lampe, Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Inhibit Cytochrome P450 CYP3A7 Through Direct Coordination to the Heme Iron and Water Displacement, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2023, **240**, 112120.

162 Y. Kang, Z. Guo, H. Ma, H. Wu and J. Zhang, Enhanced Removal of Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) in Constructed Wetlands: Iron Cycling and Microbial Mechanisms, *ACS ES&T Water*, 2023, **3**, 287–297.

163 P. E. Blöchl, Projector Augmented-Wave Method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **50**, 17953–17979.

164 GPAW PDOS Projection, <https://wiki.fysik.dtu.dk/gpaw/tutorials/exercises/electronic/pdos/pdos.html>.

165 J. Enkovaara, C. Rostgaard, J. J. Mortensen, J. Chen, M. Dułak, L. Ferrighi, J. Gavnholt, C. Glinsvad, V. Haikola, H. a. Hansen, H. H. Kristoffersen, M. Kuisma, a. H. Larsen, L. Lehtovaara, M. Ljungberg, O. Lopez-Acevedo, P. G. Moses, J. Ojanen, T. Olsen, V. Petzold, N. a. Romero, J. Stausholm-Møller, M. Strange, G. a. Tritsaris, M. Vanin, M. Walter, B. Hammer, H. Häkkinen, G. K. H. Madsen, R. M. Nieminen, J. K. Nørskov, M. Puska, T. T. Rantala, J. Schiøtz, K. S. Thygesen and K. W. Jacobsen, Electronic Structure Calculations with GPAW: A Real-Space Implementation of the Projector Augmented-Wave Method, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2010, **22**, 253202–253226.

166 A. H. Larsen, J. J. Mortensen, J. Blomqvist, I. E. Castelli, R. Christensen, M. Dułak, J. Friis, M. N. Groves, B. Hammer, C. Hargus, E. D. Hermes, P. C. Jennings, P. B. Jensen, J. Kermode, J. R. Kitchin, E. L. Kolsbørg, J. Kubal, K. Kaasbørg, S. Lysgaard, J. B. Maronsson, T. Maxson, T. Olsen, L. Pastewka, A. Peterson, C. Rostgaard, J. Schiøtz, O. Schütt, M. Strange, K. S. Thygesen, T. Vegge, L. Vilhelmsen, M. Walter, Z. Zeng and K. W. Jacobsen, The Atomic Simulation Environment—A Python Library for Working with Atoms, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2017, **29**, 273002.

167 J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler and A. Michaelides, Chemical Accuracy for the Van Der Waals Density Functional, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2010, **22**, 022201.

168 J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler and A. Michaelides, Van Der Waals Density Functionals Applied to Solids, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **83**, 1–13.

169 J. Mortensen, L. Hansen and K. Jacobsen, Real-Space Grid Implementation of the Projector Augmented Wave Method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **71**, 035109.

170 G. Kresse, From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.

171 E. R. Davidson, in *Matrix Eigenvector Methods*, ed. G. H. F. Diercksen and S. Wilson, Springer, Netherlands, 1983, vol. 113, pp. 95–113.

172 A. H. Larsen, M. Vanin, J. J. Mortensen, K. S. Thygesen and K. W. Jacobsen, Localized Atomic Basis Set in the Projector Augmented Wave Method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2009, **80**, 195112.

173 E. Briggs, D. Sullivan and J. Bernholc, Real-Space Multigrid-Based Approach to Large-Scale Electronic Structure Calculations, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 14362–14375.

174 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.

175 D. C. Liu and J. Nocedal, On the Limited Memory BFGS Method for Large Scale Optimization, *Mathematical Programming*, 1989, **45**, 503–528.

176 E. Bitzek, P. Koskinen, F. Gähler, M. Moseler and P. Gumbsch, Structural Relaxation Made Simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2006, **97**, 1–4.

177 S. F. Boys and F. Bernardi, The Calculation of Small Molecular Interactions by the Differences of Separate Total Energies. Some Procedures with Reduced Errors, *Mol. Phys.*, 1970, **19**, 553–566.

178 D. Porezag and M. R. Pederson, Infrared Intensities and Raman-Scattering Activities within Density-Functional



Theory, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 7830–7836.

179 T. Hughbanks and R. Hoffmann, Chains of Trans-Edge-Sharing Molybdenum Octahedra: Metal–Metal Bonding in Extended Systems, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 3528–3537.

180 G. R. Jenness and J. R. Schmidt, Unraveling the Role of Metal–Support Interactions in Heterogeneous Catalysis: Oxygenate Selectivity in Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 2881–2890.

181 G. R. Jenness, W. Wan, J. G. Chen and D. G. Vlachos, Reaction Pathways and Intermediates in Selective Ring Opening of Biomass-Derived Heterocyclic Compounds by Iridium, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 7002–7009.

182 G. R. Jenness, J. Seiter and M. K. Shukla, DFT Investigation on the Adsorption of Munition Compounds on  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: Similarity and Differences with  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 18850–18861.

183 J. Korchowiec, H. Gerwens and K. Jug, Relaxed Fukui Function Indices and Their Application to Chemical Reactivity Problems, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1994, **222**, 58–64.

184 R. Balawender and L. Komorowski, Atomic Fukui Function Indices and Local Softness Ab Initio, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 5203–5211.

185 H. Chermette, Chemical Reactivity Indexes in Density Functional Theory, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1999, **20**, 129–154.

186 P. W. Ayers, R. C. Morrison and R. K. Roy, Variational Principles for Describing Chemical Reactions: Condensed Reactivity Indices, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **116**, 8731–8744.

187 G. Fitzgerald, On the Use of Fractional Charges for Computing Fukui Functions, *Mol. Simul.*, 2008, **34**, 931–936.

188 F. L. Hirshfeld, Bonded-Atom Fragments for Describing Molecular Charge Densities, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1977, **44**, 129–138.

189 R. F. W. Bader, A Quantum Theory of Molecular Structure and Its Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 1991, **91**, 893–928.

190 R. Bader, *Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory*, Clarendon, 1994.

191 G. Henkelman, A. Arnaldsson and H. Jónsson, A Fast and Robust Algorithm for Bader Decomposition of Charge Density, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2006, **36**, 354–360.

192 E. Sanville, S. D. Kenny, R. Smith and G. Henkelman, Improved Grid-Based Algorithm for Bader Charge Allocation, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2007, **28**, 899–908.

193 W. Tang, E. Sanville and G. Henkelman, A Grid-Based Bader Analysis Algorithm without Lattice Bias, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2009, **21**, 084204.

194 B. Hammer, Bond Activation at Monatomic Steps: NO Dissociation at Corrugated Ru(0001), *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1999, **83**, 3681–3684.

195 J. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, A. Logadottir, S. R. Bahn, L. B. Hansen, M. Bollinger, H. Bengaard, B. Hammer, Z. Slivivancanin, M. Mavrikakis, Y. Xu, S. Dahl and C. J. H. Jacobsen, Universality in Heterogeneous Catalysis, *J. Catal.*, 2002, **209**, 275–278.

196 J. K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, B. Hvolbaek, F. Abild-Pedersen, I. Chorkendorff and C. H. Christensen, The Nature of the Active Site in Heterogeneous Metal Catalysis, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 2163–2171.

197 M. Salciccioli, Y. Chen and D. G. Vlachos, Density Functional Theory-Derived Group Additivity and Linear Scaling Methods for Prediction of Oxygenate Stability on Metal Catalysts: Adsorption of Open-Ring Alcohol and Polyol Dehydrogenation Intermediates on Pt-Based Metals, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 20155–20166.

198 J. L. C. Fajín, M. N. D. S. Cordeiro, F. Illas and J. R. B. Gomes, Descriptors Controlling the Catalytic Activity of Metallic Surfaces Toward Water Splitting, *J. Catal.*, 2010, **276**, 92–100.

199 A. Vojvodic, F. Calle-Vallejo, W. Guo, S. Wang, A. Toftlund, F. Studt, J. I. Martínez, J. Shen, I. C. Man, J. Rossmeisl, T. Bligaard, J. K. Nørskov, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov and F. Abild-Pedersen, On the Behavior of Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi Relations for Transition Metal Oxides, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 244509.

200 I. C. Man, H.-Y. Su, F. Calle-Vallejo, H. a. Hansen, J. I. Martínez, N. G. Inoglu, J. Kitchin, T. F. Jaramillo, J. K. Nørskov and J. Rossmeisl, Universality in Oxygen Evolution Electrocatalysis on Oxide Surfaces, *ChemCatChem*, 2011, **3**, 1159–1165.

201 S. Wang, V. Vorotnikov, J. E. Sutton and D. G. Vlachos, Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi and Transition State Scaling Relations of Furan Derivatives on Pd (111) and Their Relation to Those of Small Molecules, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 604–612.

202 V. Vorotnikov and D. G. Vlachos, Group Additivity and Modified Linear Scaling Relations for Estimating Surface Thermochemistry on Transition Metal Surfaces: Application to Furanics, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 10417.

203 G. H. Gu and D. G. Vlachos, Group Additivity for Thermochemical Property Estimation of Lignin Monomers on Pt(111), *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 19234–19241.

204 P. Deshlahra and E. Iglesia, Reactivity and Selectivity Descriptors for the Activation of C–H Bonds in Hydrocarbons and Oxygenates on Metal Oxides, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 16744.

205 W. A. Harrison, *Electronic Structure and the Properties of Solids*, Dover Publications Inc., 1989.

206 J. Nørskov, Chemisorption on Metal Surfaces, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 1990, **53**, 1253.

207 B. Hammer and J. K. Nørskov, Electronic Factors Determining the Reactivity of Metal Surfaces, *Surf. Sci.*, 1995, **343**, 211–220.

208 A. Ruban, B. Hammer, P. Stoltze, H. L. Skriver and J. K. Nørskov, Surface Electronic Structure and Reactivity of Transition and Noble, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1997, 421–429.

209 N. Inoglu and J. Kitchin, Simple Model Explaining and Predicting Coverage-Dependent Atomic Adsorption Energies on Transition Metal Surfaces, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **82**, 1–5.

210 D. a Hansgen, L. M. Thomanek, J. G. Chen and D. G. Vlachos, Experimental and Theoretical Studies of



Ammonia Decomposition Activity on Fe-Pt, Co-Pt, and Cu-Pt Bimetallic Surfaces, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 184701.

211 Y.-L. Lee, J. Kleis, J. Rossmeisl, Y. Shao-Horn and D. Morgan, Prediction of Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Cathode Activity with First-Principles Descriptors, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 3966.

212 Z. Xu and J. R. Kitchin, Relating the Electronic Structure and Reactivity of the 3D Transition Metal Monoxide Surfaces, *Catal. Commun.*, 2014, **52**, 60–64.

213 A. M. Deml, V. Stevanovic, C. L. Muhich, C. B. Musgrave and R. O'Hayre, Oxide Enthalpy of Formation and Band Gap Energy as Accurate Descriptors of Oxygen Vacancy Formation Energetics, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 1996.

214 F. Calle-Vallejo, J. I. Martínez, J. M. García-Lastra, P. Sautet and D. Loffreda, Fast Prediction of Adsorption Properties for Platinum Nanocatalysts with Generalized Coordination Numbers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 8316–8319.

215 F. Calle-Vallejo, O. Diaz-Morales, M. Kolb and M. T. Koper, Why Is Bulk Thermochemistry a Good Descriptor for the Electrocatalytic Activity of Transition Metal Oxides?, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **2014**, 869.

216 Z. Xu and J. R. Kitchin, Relationships between the Surface Electronic and Chemical Properties of Doped 4D and 5D Late Transition Metal Dioxides, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **142**, 104703.

217 F. Calle-Vallejo, J. Tymoczko, V. Colic, Q. H. Vu, M. D. Pohl, K. Morgenstern, D. Loffreda, P. Sautet, W. Schuhmann and A. S. Bandarenka, Finding Optimal Surface Sites on Heterogeneous Catalysts by Counting Nearest Neighbors, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 185.

218 S. Dutta, A. Bohre, W. Zheng, G. R. Jenness, M. Núñez, B. Saha and D. G. Vlachos, Solventless C–C Coupling of Low Carbon Furans to High Carbon Fuel Precursors Using an Improved Graphene Oxide Carbocatalyst, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 3905–3915.

219 Z. Zhuang, S. A. Giles, G. R. Jenness, R. Abbasi, X. Chen, B. Wang, D. G. Vlachos and Y. Yan, Oxygen Evolution on Iron Oxide Nanoparticles: The Impact of Crystallinity and Size on the Overpotential, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2021, **168**, 034518.

220 W. P. Krekelberg, J. Greeley and M. Mavrikakis, Atomic and Molecular Adsorption on Ir(111), *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **108**, 987–994.

221 A. a. Gokhale, S. Kandoi, J. P. Greeley, M. Mavrikakis and J. a. Dumesic, Molecular-Level Descriptions of Surface Chemistry in Kinetic Models Using Density Functional Theory, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2004, **59**, 4679–4691.

222 Y. Wang, J. H. Montoya, C. Tsai, M. S. Ahlquist, J. K. Nørskov and F. Studt, Scaling Relationships for Binding Energies of Transition Metal Complexes, *Catal. Lett.*, 2016, **146**, 304–308.

223 P. V. Kumar and D. J. Norris, Tailoring Energy Transfer from Hot Electrons to Adsorbate Vibrations for Plasmon-Enhanced Catalysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 8343–8350.

224 R. Réocreux, E. C. H. Sykes, A. Michaelides and M. Stamatakis, Stick or Spill? Scaling Relationships for the Binding Energies of Adsorbates on Single-Atom Alloy Catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2022, **13**, 7314–7319.

225 X. Liao, R. Lu, L. Xia, Q. Liu, H. Wang, K. Zhao, Z. Wang and Y. Zhao, Density Functional Theory for Electrocatalysis, *Energy Environ. Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 157–185.

226 J. Greeley, A. A. Gokhale, J. Kreuser, J. A. Dumesic, H. Topsøe, N.-Y. Topsøe and M. Mavrikakis, CO Vibrational Frequencies on Methanol Synthesis Catalysts: A DFT Study, *J. Catal.*, 2003, **213**, 63–72.

227 C. Popa, M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano and J. Sauer, Periodic Density Functional Theory Study of  $\text{VO}_n$  Species Supported on the  $\text{CeO}_2(111)$  Surface, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 7399–7410.

228 A. Milo, E. N. Bess and M. S. Sigman, Interrogating Selectivity in Catalysis Using Molecular Vibrations, *Nature*, 2014, **507**, 210–214.

229 C. Qian, Y. Wang and Z. Wang, A DFT Study of Enhancement of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  Activation in Vibrational Excitation, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **514**, 119980.

230 C. Jia, Q. Wang, J. Yang, K. Ye, X. Li, W. Zhong, H. Shen, E. Sharman, Y. Luo and J. Jiang, Toward Rational Design of Dual-Metal-Site Catalysts: Catalytic Descriptor Exploration, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 3420–3429.

231 B. D. Dunnington and J. R. Schmidt, Molecular Bonding-Based Descriptors for Surface Adsorption and Reactivity, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **324**, 50–58.

232 W. T. Hong, K. A. Stoerzinger, Y. L. Lee, L. Giordano, A. Grimaud, A. M. Johnson, J. Hwang, E. J. Crumlin, W. Yang and Y. Shao-Horn, Charge-Transfer-Energy-Dependent Oxygen Evolution Reaction Mechanisms for Perovskite Oxides, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 2190–2200.

233 W. Zhong, Y. Qiu, H. Shen, X. Wang, J. Yuan, C. Jia, S. Bi and J. Jiang, Electronic Spin Moment as a Catalytic Descriptor for Fe Single-Atom Catalysts Supported on  $\text{C}_2\text{N}$ , *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 4405–4413.

234 P. Hutchison, R. E. Warburton, Y. Surendranath and S. Hammes-Schiffer, Correlation between Electronic Descriptor and Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer Thermodynamics in Doped Graphite-Conjugated Catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2022, **13**, 11216–11222.

235 M. Safari, M. Umer, A. S. Nissimagoudar, R. Anand, M. Ha, S. Umer, G. Lee and K. S. Kim, Unveiling the Role of Charge Transfer in Enhanced Electrochemical Nitrogen Fixation at Single-Atom Catalysts on BX Sheets (X = As, P, Sb), *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2022, **13**, 4530–4537.

236 Z. Qu, F. Sun, X. Pi, H. Wang, X. Li, J. Gao and G. Zhao, Revealing the Activity Origin of Oxygen-Doped Amorphous Carbon Material for  $\text{SO}_2$  Catalytic Oxidation: A Descriptor Considering Dynamic Electron Transfer during  $\text{O}_2$  Activation, *Carbon*, 2023, **201**, 37–48.

237 R. Jinnouchi and R. Asahi, Predicting Catalytic Activity of Nanoparticles by a DFT-Aided Machine-Learning Algorithm, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 4279–4283.

238 G. H. Gu, P. Plechac and D. G. Vlachos, Thermochemistry of Gas-Phase and Surface Species via Lasso-Assisted Subgraph Selection, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **3**, 454–466.



239 S. Back, J. Yoon, N. Tian, W. Zhong, K. Tran and Z. W. Ulissi, Convolutional Neural Network of Atomic Surface Structures to Predict Binding Energies for High-Throughput Screening of Catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 4401–4408.

240 S. Pablo-García, R. García-Muelas, A. Sabadell-Rendón and N. López, Dimensionality Reduction of Complex Reaction Networks in Heterogeneous Catalysis: From Linear-Scaling Relationships to Statistical Learning Techniques, *Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, **11**, e1540.

