

Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2021, 13, 388

## Self-assembled chromogen-loaded polymeric cocoon for respiratory virus detection†

 Indra Memdi Khoris,<sup>‡,†</sup> Akhilesh Babu Ganganboina,<sup>†</sup> Tetsuro Suzuki<sup>c</sup> and Enoch Y. Park<sup>†,\*,a,b</sup>

Inspired by the self-assembly approach, in this work, the chromogen, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), was successfully co-precipitated in aqueous solution to form collective nanoparticles (NPs) of signal molecules (TMB-NPs). Utilizing poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) in the molecular delivery approach, the formed emulsion nanovesicle (TMB-NPs@PLGA) exhibits an enrichment of the collective signal molecules in a single antibody-antigen conjugation. A specific antibody-conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA forms an immunocomplex sandwich structure upon the addition of influenza virus (IV)/A. The addition of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) dissolves the PLGA nanovesicles, releasing the encapsulated TMB-NPs. Sequentially, the TMB-NPs release TMB molecules upon the addition of DMSO. The released TMB is catalytically oxidized by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with self-assembled protein-inorganic nanoflowers, where copper nanoflowers (CuNFs) acted as the nanozyme. The developed immunoassay demonstrates high sensitivity for IV/A with a limit of detection (LOD) as low as 32.37 fg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 54.97 fg mL<sup>-1</sup> in buffer and serum, respectively. For practical needs, a clinically isolated IV/A/H3N2 and spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 were detected with the LODs of 17 pfu mL<sup>-1</sup> and 143 fg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These results show the applicability of the advanced TMB-NPs@PLGA-based colorimetric sensor for the highly sensitive detection of airborne respiratory viruses.

Received 26th September 2020,  
Accepted 30th November 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0nr06893d

rsc.li/nanoscale

## Introduction

In the last decade, immunoassay development has been progressing majorly centering on signal amplification to boost sensitivity and highly selective signals to the target bio-analytes.<sup>1–3</sup> The signal measurement used in biosensors is majorly based on colorimetry, fluorescence, and chemiluminescence. The primary drawback of the fluorescence and chemiluminescence principle is physical quenching due to fluorescent dye interaction with the polymeric component and the requirement of instrument measurement. In terms of simplicity, colorimetric detection is favorable for analysis by the naked eye. However, it is difficult to precisely identify small changes occurred by low concentration of analyte owing to the low sensitivity,<sup>2,4,5</sup> due to the intrinsic limitation of the

enzymes.<sup>6,7</sup> To overcome these issues while retaining the original advantages, an improved ELISA utilizing an enzyme-loaded nanomaterial,<sup>8,9</sup> or a nanomaterial with peroxidase-like activity with high sensitivity, is of significant interest.<sup>10–13</sup>

Recently, nanozymes are being pursued intensively and have experienced rapid expansion in various fields and mechanism studies. However, the nanozymes' activity and specificity to the substrate are not up to the enzyme's sophistication level.<sup>14</sup> To improve the immunoassay for the detection of low concentration analytes, the limitation of the conjugated nanozyme on individually conjugated antibodies lowered the usability to achieve highly sensitive detection and practical requirement. The approach in constructing signal amplification in an immunoassay is being shifted toward the strategy to increase the availability of the nanozyme in single antibody-antigen binding. Several studies have been done to extravagate the signal by facilitating an immunosorbent vesicle-containing nanozyme<sup>15,16</sup> or *in situ* seed growth of the nanozyme.<sup>11,17,18</sup> This showed escalating detection signal up to a certain degree of amplification, but the drawback of simplicity needs to be reconsidered.

Recently, the research in immunoassays is being shifted from enzyme-dependent to signal-dependent, pivoting on the signal molecules' amount corresponding to the antibody-antigen binding. Miao *et al.*<sup>19</sup> and Ren *et al.*<sup>20</sup> reported the

<sup>a</sup>Department of Bioscience, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan.  
E-mail: park.enoch@shizuoka.ac.jp; Fax: +81-54-238-4887; Tel: +81-54-238-4887

<sup>b</sup>Research Institute of Green Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan

<sup>c</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Hamamatsu University School of Medicine, 1-20-1 Higashi-ku, Handa-yama, Hamamatsu 431-3192, Japan

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0nr06893d

‡Equal contribution.

hydrophobic interaction of curcumin on MoS<sub>2</sub> and  $\pi$ -stacking interaction of thymolphthalein and metal-organic framework (MOF)-polydopamine, respectively, both as signal molecule nanocarriers. These interactions are possibly loosened, leading to leakage of free signal molecules from the nanomaterials. In the signal amplification platforms of biosensors, liposomes are being used as the nano-encapsulation due to their intrinsic hydrophilic and hydrophobic head-and-tail monomer leading to self-assembly encapsulation.<sup>16,21</sup> However, the ratio-dependence and their instability limited their practical use in storage and their integrity against physical and chemical conditions.<sup>20,22</sup> In our previous work, liposome encapsulation using water-soluble nanozyme hindered the detection of low virus concentration because of the low species incorporation in the liposomal encapsulation in both organic and aqueous phases.<sup>16</sup> Polymeric encapsulation or nanovesicles in the nanostructure fabrication comprises proteins or drugs as the core enveloped by a typical polymeric membrane.<sup>23</sup> Among the polymeric biomaterials, poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) has successfully been implemented in numerous drug delivery formulations due to its synthetic malleability and biocompatibility. Benefited by a rich-carboxylic structure, PLGA can be easily conjugated to biomolecules.<sup>24,25</sup> The coupled ligands, such as antibodies conjugated to the surface, could selectively recognize specific antigens that enable the nanovesicle's utilization for biosensor application.

In this work, the chromogen substrate, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), is introduced to the biosensor by being carried within a PLGA encapsulation (TMB-NPs@PLGA). The signal amplification strategy centralized in the enrichment of signal molecules in a single nanovesicle with preceding co-precipitation. TMB-NPs@PLGA was conjugated to antibodies and bound to the captured virus in the microtiter wells. Upon the addition of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), the encapsulated TMB-NPs are released, dissolving the PLGA shell. Simultaneously, the TMB-NPs are also dissolved in the DMSO solution resulting in TMB molecules. The TMB is then catalytically oxidized by self-assembled copper nanoflowers (CuNFs) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, developing intense blue color as the indicator for a virus's presence. The released TMB-NPs from the captured TMB-NPs@PLGA nanovesicles, even at low virus concentrations, significantly amplify the colorimetric signal according to the quantity of the oxidized TMB. The developed ultrasensitive colorimetric biosensor illustrates its ability to detect respiratory viruses, such as influenza virus (IV) and spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 in a broad range with a low detection limit at the femtogram level due to its profound architecture, easy manipulation, and facile storing of TMB-NPs.

## Experimental section

### Materials and chemicals

CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, ethyl acetate, DMSO, polyvinyl alcohol *n* = 1500–1800, and TMB were purchased from Dojindo (Osaka, Japan). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were

purchased from Wako Pure Chem Inc. (Osaka, Japan). *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). IV/A/Hongkong/H1N1 was obtained from ProSpec-Tany Technogene, Ltd (East Brunswick, NJ, USA). Recombinant 2019-nCov Spike protein (RBD) (ABL-1-113) and anti-2019-nCov S Protein (RBD) monoclonal antibody (ABN9306) were purchased from Abvigen Inc. (Newark, NJ, USA). Biological agents are described in the ESI.†

### Preparation of TMB-NPs and TMB-NP-encapsulated PLGA

To increase the water dispersity of small hydrophobic chromogens, such as TMB, the molecules were assembled into nanoparticles (NPs) because of the sudden change in the solvent environment. TMB solution in DMSO (10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added into aqueous solution to obtain precipitation with BSA (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, w/v) in 10 mM PBS (pH 6.5). The mixture was continuously stirred for 12 h at 4 °C, stabilizing the formation of dispersed TMB-NPs. The turbid solution was then centrifuged and washed three times with PBS, followed by freeze-drying for further use.

TMB-NPs re-dispersed in DI water were encapsulated in a double emulsion system of PLGA nanovesicles using a previously reported preparation with minor modifications.<sup>25</sup> Four hundred microliters of the aqueous solution of TMB-NPs were added to 2 mL of ethyl acetate, and 100 mg of PLGA and the mixture was gently stirred with alternating sonication. After two minutes of emulsification, the PLGA/TMB-NPs and 8 mL of polyvinyl alcohol (5%, w/w, aqueous) were added to the w/o emulsion to form a w/o/w double emulsion and stirred further for 5 min. To solidify the nanoparticles, the organic solvent was extracted by stirring the double emulsion with 200 mL of an aqueous solution of polyvinyl alcohol 0.1% (w/w) for 5 min. The resulting dispersion of nanoparticles was centrifuged at 15 000g for 15 min and freeze-dried for further use.

### Characterization studies

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-6510LV, JEOL, Japan). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL, Ltd, Japan), powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, RINT ULTIMA XRD, Rigaku Co., Japan), and UV-Vis absorption spectra measurements (UV-1800, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) were performed as described in our previous work.<sup>2,16</sup>

### Detection of IV/A/H1N1 and clinically isolated IV/A/H3N2

Briefly, different concentrations of the target virus, IV/H1N1, were added into the wells containing capturing anti-hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (anti-HA Ab) under the optimized amount at 100 ng (Fig. S1a†) and blocking agent, 5% bovine serum albumin. The incubation of antibody-virus binding is optimized up to 1 h (Fig. S1b†). After washing with PBS-0.1% Tween-20, 100  $\mu$ L of anti-HA Ab-TMB-NPs@PLGA complex was added into the wells and incubated for an additional 1 h. The


captured IV/A/H1N1 was bound to the anti-HA Ab-TMB-NPs@PLGA, and the immunocomplex sandwich was formed.

The wells were washed, and after the separation, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of the DMSO solution was added to disrupt the PLGA nanovesicles and release the encapsulated TMB-NPs. One hundred microliters of the prepared substrate solution ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and CuNFs) were added to the wells, and blue color developed rapidly. After 5 min, 10%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was added to stop the reaction, resulting in a change of the blue color to yellow, followed by the absorbance measurement. Clinically isolated IV/A/Yokohama/110/2009/H3N2 and the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 were applied following the same protocol using virus-specific antibody-conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA for confirming the versatility of the assay system. For the specificity of the developed immunoassay, six control experiments were performed: 100  $\text{pg m}^{-1}$  of hepatitis E virus-like particles (HEV-LPs) and norovirus-like particles (NoV-LPs),  $10^4$  copies per mL white spot syndrome virus (WSSV),  $10^3$  pfu  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  Zika virus, 20  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  norovirus (NoV) and mixture all the viruses.

## Results and discussion

### Working principle of the encapsulation of TMB-NPs for virus detection

The working principle of the developed TMB-NPs@PLGA-based colorimetric sensing method for the ultrasensitive detection of IV/A/H1N1 is shown in Scheme 1. It comprises TMB-NP-encapsulated PLGA nanovesicles (TMB-NPs@PLGA), which are collectively self-assembled TMB molecules and BSA



**Scheme 1** The schematic illustration of the TMB-NPs@PLGA-based signal amplification platform. (a) The preparation of TMB-NPs@PLGA; (b) viruses are captured by the capture probe; (c) immunosandwich structured nanoconjugate with TMB-NPs@PLGA in the presence of virus; (d) addition of DMSO and the dissolution of the PLGA nanovesicle; (e) the release of TMB-NPs due to the dissolution of TMB-NPs by DMSO, and (f) the catalytic oxidation of TMB by CuNFs/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  for colorimetric detection.

inside PLGA nanovesicles (Scheme 1a). Initially, the anti-HA Ab is immobilized in the microtiter plate wells *via* hydrophobic interaction to the polystyrene of the microplate. Different concentrations of the target IV/A are added to the anti-HA Ab immobilized wells (Scheme 1b). Furthermore, anti-HA Ab-conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA are added to the wells allowing the formation of an immunocomplex sandwich structure. Anti-HA Ab-conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA and anti-HA Ab formed a sandwich-like structure with IV/A as depicted in Scheme 1c. After incubation, the wells are washed with PBS to remove the excess reagents and unbound anti-HA Ab-conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA. After that, the successfully separated TMB-NPs@PLGA sandwich nanoconjugates in the wells were treated with DMSO to dissolve the PLGA membrane and release the encapsulated TMB-NPs (Scheme 1d). The dissolving of the TMB-NPs@PLGA represents the first step of releasing the signal molecules in the system.

Additionally, the released TMB-NPs are also dissolved by the DMSO solution, acquiring highly concentrated TMB in the solution (Scheme 1e), marking the second step of presenting the signal molecules in the system. The mixture of self-assembled CuNFs as peroxidase-mimicking nanomaterials and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  solution are added to the wells for facilitating the catalytic oxidation of released TMB (Scheme 1f), exhibiting the color signals as proportional to quantification of the captured virus. In the absence of the target virus, the immunocomplex sandwich structure between TMB-NPs@PLGA and the bounded virus will not form. Without the TMB-NPs@PLGA in the system, there is an absence of TMB to be oxidized by the CuNFs and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  mixture for generating a colorimetric signal.

### Characterization of the TMB-NPs, TMB-NPs@PLGA, and self-assembled CuNFs

The molecular self-assembly technique for nanoparticle preparation has evolved as a promising strategy to integrate different components for synthesizing desired hybrid nanomaterials spontaneously. The synthesis of TMB-NPs encapsulated within TMB-NPs@PLGA involves two steps, as illustrated in Fig. 1a and b: (a) synthesis of TMB-NPs and (b) encapsulation of the synthesized TMB-NPs inside PLGA nanovesicles. The preparation commences with the self-assembly of TMB molecules using BSA as a template<sup>26</sup> with excellent dispersibility. The TEM image of the synthesized TMB-NPs is shown in Fig. 1c. The synthesized TMB-NPs are roughly circular with an average diameter of about 50 nm (Fig. 1d), showing electron density of TMB within the BSA aggregation. The TMB-NP encapsulated PLGA nanovesicles (TMB-NPs@PLGA) are synthesized using a modified double emulsion solvent diffusion method. The formation of TMB-NPs@PLGA is verified using TEM (Fig. 1e and f). TMB-NPs@PLGA is present in the form of collective TMB-NPs clustering together with a diameter of around 200 nm. The TEM image confirms the encapsulation of TMB-NPs in the PLGA nanovesicle (Fig. 1f), which clearly shows TMB-NPs agglomerate as the TMB-NPs are incorporated inside the PLGA nanovesicles with no sign of aggregation.



**Fig. 1** The morphology characterization of TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA. (a) and (b) show the schematic illustration of TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA formation. (c), (d) and (e), (f) show TEM images of TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA respectively; (g) shows the solution visualization of TMB solution, BSA solution, TMB-NPs, and TMB-NPs@PLGA; (h) and (i) show the SEM and TEM images of CuNFs.


Fig. 1g shows the digital photographs of TMB derived biomaterial dispersibility. TMB molecules were dissolved in an aqueous solution resulting in a light yellowish-white precipitate.

In contrast, TMB and BSA can be completely dissolved in DMSO and PBS, respectively, forming a clear solution. Accordingly, the self-assembled TMB-NPs are uniformly dispersed in PBS, attributing to the better aqueous dispersibility obtained from BSA's intrinsic hydrophilic property. TMB-NPs@PLGA are also found to maintain their uniform dispersibility in PBS similar to TMB-NPs due to free carboxyl groups from the lactic acid of PLGA on the surface of TMB-NPs@PLGA.

Inspired by the unique features of protein-inorganic hybrid nanoflowers through self-assembly, BSA-Cu<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O hybrid nanoflowers (CuNFs) were prepared. The SEM image in Fig. 1h shows the flower-like structures of CuNFs with an average size of 400–500 nm, having hierarchical structures with high surface-to-volume ratios. The TEM image of CuNFs (Fig. 1i) clearly shows the uniformly dispersed CuNFs attributed to the loaded hydrophilic BSA molecules. To confirm the structural properties of the CuNFs, XRD analysis was further performed. The XRD patterns of CuNFs (Fig. S2 of ESI†) are in good agreement with the JCPDS card (00-022-0548)<sup>27,28</sup> indicating that CuNF crystals are mainly composed of Cu<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O crystals.

### Catalytic activity of self-assembled CuNFs

TMB-NPs were successfully encapsulated inside the PLGA nanovesicles. With regard to utilization in immunoassay sensing, these encapsulated TMB-NPs need to be released to exhibit the detection signal required for virus detection. Fig. 2a shows the schematic illustration of the release of TMB-NPs in the presence of water or DMSO. These released TMB-NPs are incubated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and CuNFs. As shown in Fig. 2b and c, there is no occurrence of the developed color in the absence of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as the co-substrate, CuNFs as the nanozyme, or DMSO as the releasing agent. The blue color changes to yellow after adding the acid solution to stop the TMB oxidation reaction (Fig. 2c). The absorbance of the developed color is shown in Fig. 2d, indicating a single peak in the presence of all components of the assay and the stability of TMB as signal molecules in the encapsulation and dissolving-and-releasing process. Also, the TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA are assayed before and after the addition of DMSO. The digital photograph illustrates the difference of TMB-NPs with and without nanoencapsulation of PLGA. It shows a well-dispersed partially transparent solution to TMB-NPs@PLGA in the absence of DMSO (Fig. 2e). Opposite to its counterpart, TMB-NPs without the PLGA nanovesicle develop a slightly yellowish color with a partially white cloudy solution in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuNFs under acidic con-



**Fig. 2** (a) The schematic illustration of DMSO as the releasing agent for the dissolution of TMB-NPs@PLGA; (b) and (c) demonstrate the color change of TMB-NPs@PLGA in the presence of DMSO, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and CuNFs before and after acid addition, respectively. (1) TMB-NPs@PLGA, (2) TMB-NPs@PLGA + CuNFs, (3) TMB-NPs@PLGA + CuNFs + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (4) TMB-NPs@PLGA + CuNFs + DMSO, and (5) TMB-NPs@PLGA + DMSO + CuNFs + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. (d) The corresponding absorbance spectrum shown in illustration (c) of the catalytic oxidation of TMB-NPs@PLGA; (e) shows the color change of TMB-NPs (i, iii) and TMB-NPs@PLGA (ii, iv) in H<sub>2</sub>O (i, ii) and DMSO (iii, iv); and (f) and (g) show the Michaelis-Menten plot of steady-state kinetics of CuNFs toward H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and TMB, respectively (The inset shows the linearity of the LB plot).

ditions. These show desirable spatial protection on the TMB-NPs by the PLGA nanovesicle, isolating the TMB-NPs from external stimuli. In DMSO's presence, the higher catalytic oxidation of TMB is observed on both TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA, pointing out the dissolving-and-release mechanism of TMB-NPs@PLGA. DMSO dissolves the nanovesicles and follows up with the dissolution of TMB-NPs, releasing the TMB molecules to the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/CuNF solution.

The apparent steady-state kinetic parameters are examined to determine the catalytic activity of self-assembled CuNFs. By keeping the concentration of the respective co-substrate constant, the experiments were performed with various concentrations of the substrate and a fixed concentration of the co-substrate. Referring to the typical Michaelis-Menten kinetics, the Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot is generated. The reaction velocity as the function of the respective concentration of the substrate is plotted. It shows excellent linearity indicating the intrinsic properties of CuNFs as a nanozyme (inset of Fig. 2f and g). As listed in Table S1,† the  $K_m$  value (mM) and maximal velocity ( $v_{max}$ ) of CuNFs for both substrates were determined based on the Michaelis-Menten graph. The  $K_m$  values of CuNFs and HRP to TMB are 0.07 mM and 0.43 mM, respectively. This shows that CuNFs exhibit a lower  $K_m$  value than horseradish peroxidase (HRP), indicating a higher affinity of the nanozyme to the TMB substrate. Besides, the  $v_{max}$  of CuNFs is 0.12 μM s<sup>-1</sup> for TMB and 0.02 μM s<sup>-1</sup> for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The

CuNFs possess a high surface area and essential electrostatic interaction to the favorable TMB substrate and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which allow a considerably sufficient peroxidase-like activity fulfilling the prerequisite to develop sensitive nanozyme-based colorimetric immunoassay.

Furthermore, the catalytic activity of CuNFs with the optimum concentration at 50 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S1c†) is comparable to copper (Cu) ions for the beneficial utilization in virus detection in the presence of 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S1d†). Based on the initial concentration of the CuNFs precursor, 10 mM Cu ions are assayed against the catalytic properties of the CuNFs in the influenza virus detection. Using Cu ions only, the signal is compared to catalytic CuNFs (Fig. 3a) at a low concentration of the influenza virus. At the nanogram level of the influenza virus, the signal by Cu ions is generated down to 50% compared to the CuNFs. The advantage of utilizing the nanozyme implicates the crystalline structure of the copper-phosphate and the catalytic properties of the nanoflower for a better signal generation with a lower baseline signal.

#### Optimization of TMB-NPs encapsulation in TMB-NPs@PLGA

In this work, the significant points for the signal amplification strategy for immunoassay purposes are the maximal encapsulation of TMB-NPs inside the PLGA nanovesicles and the reaction time required to develop the color change. Firstly, the loading amount of TMB-NPs is optimized to achieve maximal



**Fig. 3** (a) Comparison of the catalytic activity of CuNFs and Cu ions in the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/TMB system for various concentrations of IV; the optimization of the TMB-NPs@PLGA corresponding to the encapsulation amounts of TMB-NPs in PLGA nanovesicles (b) and the incubation time for the change in absorbance intensity of the TMB-NP, CuNF, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> mixture (c); (d) comparison of IV/A detection using TMB-NPs@PLGA and TMB-NPs. A<sub>0</sub> and A indicate the absorbance before and after the addition of DMSO, respectively. The error bars indicate the SD of the triple measurement.

encapsulation inside the PLGA nanovesicles. The as-prepared TMB-NP solutions of 25, 50, 75, and 100 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> are used for the PLGA encapsulation with 0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> representing empty PLGA nanovesicles. As shown in Fig. 3b, it is evident that the absorbance signal continuously increased along with the increasing concentrations of TMB-NPs, attributing to the increase of the TMB-NP concentration in the presence of CuNFs and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. To optimize the encapsulated number of TMB-NPs in the PLGA nanovesicles, the assay is performed using various concentrations of TMB-NPs encapsulated in PLGA nanovesicles with and without DMSO. It can be seen that there is no signal amplification with and without the addition of DMSO in empty PLGA nanovesicles, showing the TMB signal solely in the form of TMB-NPs. Comparing the signal generated before and after the DMSO addition, 75 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> is determined as the optimal loading concentration in the PLGA nanovesicles.

Furthermore, based on the absorbance value, the corresponding TMB molecules' concentration is calculated corresponding to its molar absorption coefficient. The catalytic oxidized TMB molecules' concentration is estimated at 43.1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, which indicates that the loading capacity of the PLGA nanovesicle is up to 53.4%. The change of absorbance upon the release of TMB-NPs from PLGA nanovesicles synthesized using 100 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> is relatively similar to 75 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The difference in absorbance intensity before and after the release of TMB-NPs upon the addition of DMSO expressed in the change of absorbance is highly desirable for obtaining sensitive and reliable detection. Therefore, TMB-NPs@PLGA nanovesicles, which are synthesized using 75 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of TMB-NPs

solution, were used to prepare TMB-NPs@PLGA in further experiments.

Fig. 3c shows the incubation time as the function of the absorbance change in the mixture of TMB-NPs@PLGA and CuNFs/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with and without DMSO. Before the optimization, the TMB-NPs@PLGA was reacted with only H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Low absorbance was shown due to no involvement of the catalytic reaction by CuNFs and grounding the need for CuNFs as a nanozyme. Although there is an increase in the absorbance response compared to the only H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, a slight change of absorbance is observed in TMB-NPs@PLGA incubated with CuNFs/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

In contrast, the absorbance noticeably and gradually increases after DMSO addition and incubation of TMB-NPs@PLGA with CuNF/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The absorbance increases rapidly from 0 to 100 s of the incubation time, and the stationary absorbance intensity is observed after 120 s. There is a provision period to maximize the signal, mainly based on the equilibrium of the redox reaction between the TMB/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system and CuNFs. After the equilibrium, the absorbance is constant until 200 s. Therefore, the reaction time for developing the color is optimized to be 120 s in the developed colorimetric immunoassay for virus detection.

#### Analytical performance of the sensing system

To achieve a highly sensitive detection limit, the main point of importance is the nanoparticle encapsulation-based signal amplification strategy in this developed colorimetric immunoassay. First, to underline the advantage of the encapsulation of TMB-NPs inside the PLGA nanovesicles in amplifying the signal, the bare TMB-NPs, which are self-assembled with anti-HA Ab and BSA, are used instead of TMB-NPs@PLGA for detecting IV/A. Hypothetically, the TMB-NP can demonstrate a color signal for IV/A detection similar to the TMB-NPs@PLGA. Based on the antigen-antibody interaction, a sole immunocomplex can only be abutted with a single TMB-NP. In contrast, the encapsulation of TMB helps in localization of the signal substrate and enriches the signal generation for individual antibody-antigen binding. The IV detection immunoassay using bare TMB-NPs and TMB-NPs@PLGA is shown in Fig. 3d. Interpreting the difference in the absorbance signal, utilizing the TMB-NPs@PLGA improved 100-fold higher detection sensitivity compared to only TMB-NPs. The encapsulation of the TMB-NPs localizes a higher concentration of TMB molecules even at a low concentration of the virus up to 3.5-fold of localized concentration of the colorimetric signal.

Besides the encapsulation of the signal molecules, in juxtaposition to our previous work<sup>11,29</sup> using electrostatic interaction as the conjugation force, the antibodies' chemical conjugation on the nanovesicles upholds the increase of the antibody-antigen by preventing the random confirmation on the antibodies. Moreover, the PLGA nanovesicles provide the conjugation site; instead of the TMB-NPs, the reactivity and morphology of the NPs are well-preserved within the PLGA nanovesicles. Lastly, TMB molecules' encapsulation instead of the nanozyme like noble metals or metal oxides nanoparticles<sup>15,16</sup> shows more effective signal generation. The catalytic oxidation



**Fig. 4** (a) The calibration line for the detection of IV/A/H1N1 by TMB-NPs@PLGA based colorimetric detection in the PBS system; (b) the selectivity test in the presence of interferences. The calibration curve obtained using the clinically isolated IV/A/H3N2 (c) and recombinant spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 (d).  $A_0$  indicates the absorbance of a blank sample. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the three measurements.

of the TMB molecules as the determining factor is reflected in the low value of the  $K_m$  of the CuNFs. In relation to the low detection limit, the collective TMB molecules' reactivity in the form of NPs within the PLGA nanovesicles is essential for amplifying the signal in the immunoassay format.

Adopting a typical immunosorbent assay, the colorimetric immunoassay is applied to increasing concentrations of IV/A/H1N1. The corresponding result of blue color shows a gradient pattern from low to intense blue color and changed proportionally to yellow color after the reaction is stopped. The measured absorbance at 450 nm (with 650 nm as the reference absorbance) increased as the function of the concentration of IV/A/H1N1 (Fig. 4a). The calibration line with a correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of 0.983 was obtained with satisfactory linearity from 101–106  $\text{fg mL}^{-1}$ . Also, the limit of detection (LOD) is 32.37  $\text{fg mL}^{-1}$ , which is determined based on the equation  $3.3\sigma - c/S$ ,<sup>30</sup> in which  $c$ ,  $S$  and  $\sigma$  represent the  $y$ -intercept and the gradient slope of the calibration line and the standard deviation of the blank signal, respectively. The low LOD can be attributed to two contributing factors: the presence of optimized nanozyme concentration and highly localized TMB molecules in low antibody–antigen conjugation, inclining the increase in the slope of the detection and generating a signal in the low quantity of immuno-conjugation.

To establish a reliable and practical immunoassay, a dilution series of concentrations of IV/A/H1N1 is spiked in the

biological human serum matrix. The developed colorimetric immunoassay shows a linear increase of absorbance to the function of the introduced virus in the medium. Fig. S3 in the ESI† shows the calibration line for IV/A/H1N1 detection using 10% human serum as a detection medium. The gradient of the calibration line is slightly flat shifted than the linear calibration from the IV detection in buffer solution up to 15%. However, the responsive linearity of the IV detection confirmed the applicability of the developed colorimetric immunoassay in a sophisticated serum matrix. Prompt to the matrix effect, the slope of the calibration curve is flattened, resulting in an increase of the LOD value to 54.97  $\text{fg mL}^{-1}$ , which is comparable to previously reported studies for real applications (Table S2†).<sup>31–34</sup>

#### Specificity of the TMB-NPs@PLGA-based immunoassay

The selectivity of the developed TMB-NPs@PLGA based colorimetric immunoassay for IV/A/H1N1 detection is evaluated. The dsDNA virus WSSV, the recombinant expressed NoV-LPs and HEV-LPs, and the RNA virus, Zika virus, and NoV are assayed as interferences (negative analytes) to examine the anti-interference effect and the selectivity of the developed TMB-NPs@PLGA-based immunoassay, which is collated to the response of IV/A/H1N1. Fig. 4b shows the change in absorbance intensity of the developed immunoassay to the mixture of negative analytes and IV/A/H1N1. The intense color devel-

oped in the presence of IV/A/H1N1 in the samples, but not in the negative samples containing interferences only. The interfering viruses are unrecognizable by the capturing antibodies and anti-HA antibodies on the conjugated TMB-NPs@PLGA, which are specific to IV/A. As a result, after washing, there is no TMB-NPs@PLGA in the reaction chamber, resulting in no TMB molecules even after the addition of DMSO, the only small increase in the absorbance intensity in the detection of the negative samples justified the superior specificity of TMB-NPs@PLGA-based colorimetric immunoassay towards IV/A detection.

### Detection of clinical-isolated IV/A/H3N2 and S-protein of SARS-CoV-2

To demonstrate the practicability of the TMB-NPs@PLGA-based colorimetric immunoassay, clinically isolated samples containing IV/A/H3N2 are applied to the developed immunoassay (Fig. 4c). The absorbance intensity increased continuously proportionally to the increasing concentration of IV/A/H3N2 from 101–104 pfu mL<sup>-1</sup>, developing the proportional gradient of color (Fig. S4†). The detection of the IV/A/H3N2 shows the  $R^2$  value of 0.985 with the LOD of 17 pfu mL<sup>-1</sup>. The developed immunoassay using the TMB-NPs@PLGA nanovesicles proffers a higher sensitivity than the available commercial IV detection kit (Quicknavi-Flu 2, Denka Seiken Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) and the conventional ELISA using HRP and gold nanoparticles (Table S3†).<sup>31,32</sup> These results strongly demonstrate that our TMB-NPs@PLGA-based immunoassay possesses a strong anti-interference ability and is highly sensitive for practical use.

In response to the recent outbreak of Covid-19, the TMB-NPs@PLGA-based immunoassay was applied to detect the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. The spike protein is used as the antigen to demonstrate direct coronavirus detection targeting the surface protein. As shown in Fig. 4d, the wide linearity from the picogram to nanogram level is achieved with an excellent linear response depending on the concentration of the spike protein. The LOD is calculated down to 143 fg mL<sup>-1</sup> with the  $R^2$  value of 0.992, in which the femtogram level detection of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 agrees to other biosensors with higher complexity fabrication and analysis.<sup>35–37</sup>

## Conclusions

In this study, we have prepared TMB-NP-encapsulated PLGA nanovesicles using self-assembly and the nano-co-precipitation process. The TMB-NPs@PLGA nanovesicles serve as a signal amplification source for the highly selective and sensitive detection of the IV/A. Self-assembly of TMB-NPs@PLGA integrated the dispersity of hydrophobic molecules by BSA and polymeric encapsulation. The bound TMB-NPs@PLGA recognizing the captured virus could be dissolved with DMSO, releasing encapsulated TMB-NPs. Our results further successfully demonstrated the simultaneous dissolving of the PLGA nanovesicles and TMB-NPs, enriching the signal molecules in

low antibody–antigen sandwich structure nanoconjugates. Released TMB-NPs produced an amplified colorimetric signal upon oxidation by self-assembled CuNFs in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The developed immunoassay utilizing the TMB-NPs@PLGA nanovesicles and CuNF nanozyme demonstrates the detection of the IV/A using the colorimetric signal readout in a linear response from the 10 fg level to 10 ng level with the LOD of 32 fg mL<sup>-1</sup> and a linear range of 101 to 104 pfu mL<sup>-1</sup> of clinically isolated IV/A/H3N2 with a LOD of 17 pfu mL<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, concentrated signal molecule nanovesicles in the immunoassay are adopted to target the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, which shows femtogram level detection. The proposed immunoassay provides a reliable and easy-to-be-adapted ultrasensitive diagnostic platform to detect various respiratory-infecting viruses.

## Conflicts of interest

There is no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Dr Tian-Cheng Li of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Dr Jun Satoh of the National Research Institute of Aquaculture of Japan Fisheries Research and Education Agency, Professor K. Morita of the Institute of Tropical Medicine Nagasaki University, and Mr F. Abe of the Department of Microbiology, Shizuoka Institute of Environment and Hygiene for providing HEV-LP, WSSV, Zika virus, and NoV, respectively. A. B. G. and I. M. K. sincerely thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for a postdoctoral fellowship (19F19064) and research fellowship-DC (20J22358), respectively.

## Notes and references

- 1 A. D. Chowdhury, A. B. Ganganboina, Y.-C. Tsai, H.-C. Chiu and R.-A. Doong, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1027**, 109–120.
- 2 A. D. Chowdhury, A. B. Ganganboina, F. Nasrin, K. Takemura, R.-A. Doong, D. I. S. Utomo, J. Lee, I. M. Khoris and E. Y. Park, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 12464–12474.
- 3 A. B. Ganganboina and R.-a. Doong, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, **185**, 526.
- 4 S. Oh, J. Kim, V. T. Tran, D. K. Lee, S. R. Ahmed, J. C. Hong, J. Lee, E. Y. Park and J. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 12534–12543.
- 5 S. R. Ahmed, J. Kim, T. Suzuki, S. Neethirajan, J. Lee and E. Y. Park, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 44495.
- 6 R. C. Murdock, L. Shen, D. K. Griffin, N. Kelley-Loughnane, I. Papautsky and J. A. Hagen, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 11634–11642.
- 7 L. Yu, C. M. Li, Y. Liu, J. Gao, W. Wang and Y. Gan, *Lab Chip*, 2009, **9**, 1243–1247.



- 8 H. Lin, Y. Liu, J. Huo, A. Zhang, Y. Pan, H. Bai, Z. Jiao, T. Fang, X. Wang and Y. Cai, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 6228–6232.
- 9 Y. Zhao, Y. Zheng, R. Kong, L. Xia and F. Qu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **75**, 383–388.
- 10 C. N. Loynachan, M. R. Thomas, E. R. Gray, D. A. Richards, J. Kim, B. S. Miller, J. C. Brookes, S. Agarwal, V. Chudasama and R. A. McKendry, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 279–288.
- 11 I. M. Khoris, A. D. Chowdhury, T.-C. Li, T. Suzuki and E. Y. Park, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2020, **1110**, 64–71.
- 12 N. Cheng, Y. Song, M. M. Zeinhom, Y.-C. Chang, L. Sheng, H. Li, D. Du, L. Li, M.-J. Zhu and Y. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 40671–40680.
- 13 A. B. Ganganboina and R.-a. Doong, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **273**, 1179–1186.
- 14 Y. Zhou, B. Liu, R. Yang and J. Liu, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 2903–2909.
- 15 H. Ye, K. Yang, J. Tao, Y. Liu, Q. Zhang, S. Habibi, Z. Nie and X. Xia, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 2052–2059.
- 16 A. B. Ganganboina, A. D. Chowdhury, I. M. Khoris, F. Nasrin, K. Takemura, T. Hara, F. Abe, T. Suzuki and E. Y. Park, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2020, 112169.
- 17 S. Wang, Z. Chen, J. Choo and L. Chen, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2016, **408**, 1015–1022.
- 18 I. M. Khoris, K. Takemura, J. Lee, T. Hara, F. Abe, T. Suzuki and E. Y. Park, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **126**, 425–432.
- 19 L. Miao, C. Zhu, L. Jiao, H. Li, D. Du, Y. Lin and Q. Wei, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 1976–1982.
- 20 R. Ren, G. Cai, Z. Yu, Y. Zeng and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 11099–11105.
- 21 L. Sercombe, T. Veerati, F. Moheimani, S. Y. Wu, A. K. Sood and S. Hua, *Front. Pharmacol.*, 2015, **6**, 286.
- 22 Y. Lin, Q. Zhou, Y. Zeng and D. Tang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, **185**, 311.
- 23 U. Nagaich, *J. Adv. Pharm. Technol. Res.*, 2018, **9**, 65.
- 24 P. Kocbek, N. Obermajer, M. Cegnar, J. Kos and J. Kristl, *J. Controlled Release*, 2007, **120**, 18–26.
- 25 Y. Zhang, H. Wang, S. Stewart, B. Jiang, W. Ou, G. Zhao and X. He, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 9051–9061.
- 26 L. Jiao, H. Yan, W. Xu, Y. Wu, W. Gu, H. Li, D. Du, Y. Lin and C. Zhu, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 8461–8465.
- 27 J. Ge, J. Lei and R. N. Zare, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **7**, 428–432.
- 28 M. Li, M. Luo, F. Li, W. Wang, K. Liu, Q. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Lu and D. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 17348–17356.
- 29 S. R. Ahmed, J. Kim, T. Suzuki, J. Lee and E. Y. Park, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2016, **113**, 2298–2303.
- 30 A. B. Ganganboina and R.-A. Doong, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 1–11.
- 31 K. Takemura, O. Adegoke, N. Takahashi, T. Kato, T.-C. Li, N. Kitamoto, T. Tanaka, T. Suzuki and E. Y. Park, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 998–1005.
- 32 S. R. Ahmed, M. A. Hossain, J. Y. Park, S.-H. Kim, D. Lee, T. Suzuki, J. Lee and E. Y. Park, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **58**, 33–39.
- 33 Y.-F. Chang, S.-F. Wang, J. C. Huang, L.-C. Su, L. Yao, Y.-C. Li, S.-C. Wu, Y.-M. A. Chen, J.-P. Hsieh and C. Chou, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2010, **26**, 1068–1073.
- 34 U. Jarocka, R. Sawicka, A. Góra-Sochacka, A. Sirko, W. Zagórski-Ostoja, J. Radecki and H. Radecka, *Sensors*, 2014, **14**, 15714–15728.
- 35 G. Seo, G. Lee, M. J. Kim, S.-H. Baek, M. Choi, K. B. Ku, C.-S. Lee, S. Jun, D. Park and H. G. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 5135–5142.
- 36 S. Mavrikou, G. Moschopoulou, V. Tsekouras and S. Kintzios, *Sensors*, 2020, **20**, 3121.
- 37 G. Qiu, Z. Gai, Y. Tao, J. Schmitt, G. A. Kullak-Ublick and J. Wang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 5268–5277.