

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5058

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

# Highly efficient non-doped blue fluorescent OLEDs with low efficiency roll-off based on hybridized local and charge transfer excited state emitters†

Xianhao Lv,<sup>‡a</sup> Mizhen Sun,<sup>‡a</sup> Lei Xu,<sup>a</sup> Runzhe Wang,<sup>a</sup> Huayi Zhou,<sup>a</sup> Yuyu Pan,<sup>id b</sup> Shitong Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Qikun Sun,<sup>a</sup> Shanfeng Xue<sup>id \*a</sup> and Wenjun Yang<sup>id a</sup>

Designing a donor–acceptor (D–A) molecule with a hybridized local and charge transfer (HLCT) excited state is a very effective strategy for producing an organic light-emitting diode (OLED) with a high exciton utilization efficiency and external quantum efficiency. Herein, a novel twisting D– $\pi$ –A fluorescent molecule (triphenylamine–anthracene–phenanthroimidazole; TPAAnPI) is designed and synthesized. The excited state properties of the TPAAnPI investigated through photophysical experiments and density functional theory (DFT) analysis reveal that its fluorescence is due to the HLCT excited state. The optimized non-doped blue OLED using TPAAnPI as a light-emitting layer exhibits a novel blue emission with an electroluminescence (EL) peak at 470 nm, corresponding to the Commission International de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of (0.15, 0.22). A fabricated device termed Device II exhibits a maximum current efficiency of 18.09 cd A<sup>–1</sup>, power efficiency of 12.35 lm W<sup>–1</sup>, luminescence of  $\approx$ 29 900 cd cm<sup>–2</sup>, and external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 11.47%, corresponding to a high exciton utilization efficiency of 91%. Its EQE remains as high as 9.70% at a luminescence of 1000 cd m<sup>–2</sup> with a low efficiency roll-off of 15%. These results are among the best for HLCT blue-emitting materials involved in non-doped blue fluorescent OLEDs. The performance of Device II highlights a great industrial application potential for the TPAAnPI molecule.

Received 5th March 2020

Accepted 26th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01341b

rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) exhibit impressive advantages in full colour flat-panel displays and solid-state luminescence due to their high luminous efficiency and brightness, wide field-of-view, flexibility, and low cost.<sup>1–4</sup> Although red and green emitting materials exhibit satisfactory performances, the acquisition of high-performance blue-emitting materials involving high efficiency and novel colour purity remains a major challenge. This is due to the intrinsic wide band gaps of blue emitters, which generate a high charge-injection barrier and unbalanced charge-injection and

transportation.<sup>5</sup> Internal quantum efficiency ( $\eta_{\text{int}}$ ) up to 100% can be obtained for some blue phosphorescent OLEDs (phOLEDs) based on Ir, Pt, and Os complexes. However, some distinct disadvantages such as introducing valuable metals, low photoluminescence quantum efficiency, and deficiency of excellent blue phosphorescent materials still exist. Also, phosphorescent materials necessitate dispersion into proper host materials with large band gaps to reduce the quenching effect of long-lived triplet excitons.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, novel metal-free blue fluorescent materials suitable for producing low cost non-doped OLEDs with high efficiency are needed. Thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF)<sup>7</sup> and hybridized local and charge transfer (HLCT)<sup>8</sup> are two recently reported mechanisms that can yield 100% exciton utilization efficiency (EUE) in blue fluorescent OLEDs. In TADF emitters, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) separation is demonstrated by proper selection and linkage of donor (D) and acceptor (A) moieties to form a D–A molecular structure, with blue-emissive OLEDEQE capable of attaining 30%.<sup>9</sup> However, like phosphorescent OLEDs, their EQEs are commonly affected by severe roll-off at a high current density due to cumulated triplet excited states. Therefore, TADF materials require doping into host materials with high triplet energy, which increases their fabrication cost.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Rubber-Plastics of the Ministry of Education/Shandong Province (QUST), School of Polymer Science & Engineering, Qingdao University of Science & Technology, 53-Zhengzhou Road, Qingdao 266042, P. R. China. E-mail: sfxue@qust.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>School of Petrochemical Engineering, Shenyang University of Technology, 30 Guanghua Street, Liaoyang, 111003, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Details of synthesis and characterization, general methods, detailed PL and EL performance and detailed TDDFT calculations, DSC curves, TGA curves, CV curves and the transient EL decay curves of the device. See DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01341b

‡ Xianhao Lv and Mizhen Sun contributed equally to this work.



Similar to the TADF mechanism, the CT excited state component in the HLCT excited state facilitates the efficient reverse intersystem crossing (RISC) process by the small  $\Delta E_{ST}$ , accounting for the high EUE. However, unlike TADF emitters, the HLCT-type emitters display short excited lifetimes, which are favourable for fabricating cheap high-efficiency non-doped OLEDs. Especially, in some blue-emissive weakly coupled HLCT materials, the final emissive excited state is a nearly pure locally excited (LE) state rather than a CT excited state. This is beneficial for the blue-shift emission wavelength due to a shortened lifetime and satisfactory efficiency.<sup>11</sup>

In this study, we designed and synthesized a novel blue HLCT molecule, the TPAAnPI. In this molecule, triphenylamine (TPA) is a moderate electron-donating moiety with a propeller conformation, while phenanthroimidazole (PI) represents a highly efficient violet-blue chromophore with moderate electron-accepting ability. Anthracene acts as a conjugated core linking TPA and PI. Hence, the twisting D- $\pi$ -A molecular conformation and the moderate electron donating and accepting ability can facilitate the partial separation of HOMO and LUMO, thereby improving the CT component in the molecule. The presence of the anthracene moiety increases the conjugation length and improves the LE component. Concurrently, the 9,10-substituted anthracene enhances twisting, which efficiently prevents the aggregation-caused quenching effect.

The photophysical experiments and density functional theory (DFT) calculations manifest that the emissive state of TPAAnPI is a HLCT state. The TPAAnPI exhibits a novel blue emission with a PL peak at 470 nm both in THF and solid film state, with a photo-luminescent quantum yield (PLQY) of TPAAnPI of 0.71 and 0.42, respectively. We fabricated the TPAAnPI non-doped Device I, which shows a novel blue emission with an electroluminescence (EL) emission peak at 470 nm, corresponding to the Commission International de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of (0.15, 0.22). The maximum external quantum efficiency (EQE) is 8.00% at a luminance of 705 cd m<sup>-2</sup>. Although the efficiency roll-off is only of 0.75% at the luminance of 1000 cd m<sup>-2</sup>, the EQE remains unsatisfactory for industrial application. Further, the optimized non-doped Device II exhibited a maximum CE of 18.09 cd A<sup>-1</sup>, PE of 12.35 lm W<sup>-1</sup>, luminescence of  $\approx 29\,900$  cd cm<sup>-2</sup>, and EQE of 11.47%, corresponding to a high EUE of 91%. More importantly, the EQE remains as high as 9.70% at a luminescence of 1000 cd m<sup>-2</sup>. The efficiency roll-off is only 15% and the EL peak is still at 470 nm with CIE coordinates of (0.15, 0.22). We attribute the high performances of the non-doped device to the hybridized local and charge transfer (HLCT) excited state. These results are among the best involving HLCT blue-emissive materials for blue fluorescent OLEDs.

## Results and discussion

### Synthesis and characterization

The TPAAnPI synthesis route is illustrated in Scheme 1. PPI-Br was synthesized through a one-pot reaction of 9,10-phenanthraquinone, 4-aminobenzonitrile, ammonium acetate, and 4-bromobenzaldehyde. The corresponding boron ester, PPIB, was


then immediately produced using the PPI-Br crude product. Subsequently, PIAN-Br was formed using a Suzuki coupling reaction between PPIB and 9,10-dibromoanthracene. Finally, the target product, TPAAnPI, was synthesized through a Suzuki coupling reaction between PIAN-Br and TPAB. Details of the synthesis are provided in the ESI,<sup>†</sup> with the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the intermediate products displayed in Fig. S1–S3.<sup>†</sup> The purity and chemical structure of TPAAnPI are well characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Fig. S5<sup>†</sup>) and mass spectroscopy (Fig. S6<sup>†</sup>) and elemental analysis.

### Thermal properties

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) were performed in a nitrogen atmosphere to evaluate the thermal properties of TPAAnPI. As depicted in Fig. S7,<sup>†</sup> the decomposition temperature ( $T_d$ , corresponding to 5% weight loss) of TPAAnPI is 492 °C and the melting point ( $T_m$ ) is at 366 °C, highlighting excellent thermal stability. Simultaneously, a glass-transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) of 213 °C occurs on the DSC curve, indicating excellent morphological stability during heating in industrial production.

### Excitation-state properties

**Photophysical properties.** The ultraviolet (UV-vis) absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of TPAAnPI in THF solution (10<sup>-5</sup> M) and the evaporated film state are shown in Fig. 1a. The TPAAnPI absorption peaks at around 363, 376 and 398 nm are ascribed to the  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  vibronic fine structure of the anthracene moiety,<sup>12</sup> while absorptions in the 300–350 nm range are attributed to the  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition of PI and TPA moieties.<sup>13,14</sup> Notably, the UV absorption spectra hardly changed in terms of both their shape and position as the solvent polarity increased, implying a rather small dipole moment change at the ground state in different solvents, as shown in Fig. S8.<sup>†</sup> However, an obvious solvatochromic effect of the TPAAnPI is observed in the PL spectra (Fig. 1b). The PL spectra from higher polarity solvents are remarkably broad with red-shifting from 445 nm in *n*-hexane to 523 nm in acetonitrile as the polarity of the solvents increased. This phenomenon is identical to the high twisting caused by the 9,10-substitution of anthracene and the separated S<sub>3</sub> natural transitional orbital (NTO, Fig. 2b). To better understand the solvatochromic effect and further analyse the excited state properties of the TPAAnPI, a Lippert–Mataga solvatochromic model is constructed (Fig. 1c, Table S1 and Formula S1<sup>†</sup>). A typical two-section linear relation is observed in



**Scheme 1** (a) CH<sub>3</sub>COONH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, 120 °C, N<sub>2</sub> protection, 2 h. (b) Pd(dppf)Cl<sub>2</sub>, KOAc, 1,4-dioxane, 90 °C, N<sub>2</sub> protection, overnight. (c) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, THF & H<sub>2</sub>O, 70 °C, 24 h under N<sub>2</sub> protection.





Fig. 1 (a) Normalized UV-vis and PL spectra of TPAAnPI in the THF solution ( $10^{-5}$  M) and neat film. (b) Normalized PL spectra of TPAAnPI in nine different solvents with increasing polarity. (c) Linear fitting of the Lippert–Mataga model (the solid squares represent the Stocks shifts in different solvents, and the lines are fitted for the solvatochromic model), and the ground-state dipole moment ( $\mu_g$ ) was estimated to be 4.0332 D using DFT calculations at the level of M062X/6-31+G(d,p). (d) The transient PL decay spectra of TPAAnPI in different solvents and evaporated films.

Fig. 1c, where the line with the lesser slope represents the LE-like excited state component with a lower excited state dipole moment ( $\mu_e$ ), while that with the higher slope is for the CT-like excited state component with greater  $\mu_e$ . This highlights the co-existence and hybridization of LE and CT excited state components.<sup>8b</sup> For the TPAAnPI, the calculated lower and larger  $\mu_e$  is 9.9 D and 25.3 D, respectively. In contrast, the higher  $\mu_e$  corresponding to the CT-region is greater than that of the typical CT molecule 4-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)benzonitrile (DMABN, 23 D), whereas the  $\mu_e$  of 9.9 D in the LE-region is a little higher than those for conventional LE molecules such as anthracene and PI, indicating that TPAAnPI is a HLCT material. In low-polarity solvents, the PLQYs remain unchanged (0.54 in *n*-hexane, 0.54 in isopropyl ether, and 0.58 in diethyl ether), manifesting the LE-dominated emission from the low-lying  $S_1$  state. In a medium-high solvent such as THF, the PLQY reached maximum (0.71), which is attributable to the excellent hybridization of LE and CT excited states. Although in high-polarity solvents like acetonitrile the PLQY exhibits a sharp decline, that of the TPAAnPI film still remains 0.42, which is similar to values in the low- and medium-polarity solvents. This provides a satisfactory internal quantum efficiency for the non-doped OLED. As a result, the PLQYs in solvents and film are satisfactory for OLED fabrication. The HLCT characteristics can also be

proved according to the single-component, nanosecond scale lifetime of the TPAAnPI solutions (Fig. 1d). Additionally, the varied nanosecond fluorescence lifetimes of solutions (1.26 ns in *n*-hexane, 2.16 ns in isopropyl ether, 1.19 ns in diethyl ether, 2.27 ns in THF and 3.76 ns in acetonitrile) illustrate that a high radiative transition rate and a large dipole moment can also exist in the PL process of the TPAAnPI (Table S2 and Formula S2†).

### Theoretical calculations

Regarding the HLCT properties of TPAAnPI, we investigated its excited state using theoretical methods. First, the molecular configuration and frontier molecular orbital (FMO) of the TPAAnPI were optimized and calculated using the Gaussian 09 B.01 package at the level of M062X/6-31+G(d,p), as shown in Fig. 2a. As expected, in the 9,10-substituted anthracene derivate, the optimized structure of the TPAAnPI involves a twisted D- $\pi$ -A molecular configuration with torsional angles of 52.5, 53.7, and 69.0°, respectively. Therefore, the calculated FMO exhibits partial separated characteristics. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the TPAAnPI is mainly localized on the TPA moiety but extends to the adjacent anthracene moiety. In contrast, the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is





Fig. 2 (a) The frontier molecular orbitals (highest occupied molecular orbital, HOMO and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, LUMO) of TPAAnPI and its optimized molecular geometry of ground state ( $S_0$ ) at the M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level, (b) the NTOs of TPAAnPI for  $S_0/S_1$ ,  $S_0/S_2$ ,  $S_0/S_3$  and  $S_0/T_{12}$ .  $S_0$ : singlet ground state;  $S_1$ : the lowest singlet excited state;  $S_2$ : the second singlet excited state;  $S_3$ : the third singlet excited state;  $T_{12}$ : the twelfth triplet excited state. The percentage indicates the possibility of the transition.

fully distributed on the anthracene moiety, suggesting that the HOMO  $\rightarrow$  LUMO transition involves an intercrossed CT and  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition character, reflecting a typical HLCT character. Furthermore, NTO analysis was performed for the first 10 singlet and 15 triplet excited states based on the  $S_0$  state geometry using the time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) method at

the same level as  $S_0$  (Fig. 2b and S9<sup>†</sup>). The  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ , and  $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$  transitions of the TPAAnPI are the radiative  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  and non-radiative  $n$ - $\pi^*$  transitions of anthracene, respectively, which are typical LE transitions. The oscillator strength of the  $S_1$  excited state is 0.5449 which is consistent with the PLQY in low-polarity solutions such as hexane. We also calculated the excited state dipole moment of the singlet excited state (Table S3<sup>†</sup>), obtaining values of 4.16 D and 27.39 D for the  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  states, respectively, which are also in accordance with the experimental results. Therefore, the emissive state of the TPAAnPI belongs to the  $S_1$  excited state, whereas the  $S_3$  excited state serves as the exciton utilization channel. Actually, the  $S_3$  excited state exhibits obvious CT character according to the NTO analysis. The NTO for  $S_0 \rightarrow S_3$  transition displays a total CT transition character, with a “hole” distributed on the TPA moiety and a “particle” distributed on the anthracene with a minor overlap on the adjacent phenyl moiety. The corresponding triplet CT excited state is the  $T_{12}$  excited state, and the  $S_3$ - $T_{12}$  energy gap is only 0.0013 eV. Therefore, this could afford efficiently increasing the RISC between  $S_3$  and  $T_{12}$  excited states, and eventually improving the EUE.

### Electrochemical properties

To understand the electrochemical properties of the TPAAnPI, the HOMO and LUMO energy levels were obtained from the electrochemical cyclic voltammetry measurements (CV) depicted in Fig. S10.<sup>†</sup> The onset oxidation and reduction potentials of the TPAAnPI are 0.67 and  $-2.14$  V, with a corresponding HOMO and LUMO of  $-5.24$  eV and  $-2.59$  eV, respectively, according to Formula S3<sup>†</sup> (ferrocene was used as the internal standard,  $E^+/1/2$  vs.  $\text{Ag}/\text{Ag}^+$  was measured as 0.23 V, and  $E^-/1/2$  vs.  $\text{Ag}/\text{Ag}^+$  was 0.07 V). The calculated electrical band gap of 2.65 eV is blue-emissive (Table 1).

### Electroluminescence properties

To explore the potential application of the TPAAnPI as an electro-fluorescent material, a non-doped blue fluorescent OLED was fabricated. The optimized structures of the devices referred to as Device I and Device II contain the following: Device I: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TAPC (5 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm) and Device II: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). The conducting polymer polyethylene dioxythiophene/polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) served as the hole injecting layer, while di-(4-(N,N-

Table 1 Photophysical, electronic energy and thermal properties of TPAAnPI

Compound	$\lambda_{\text{abs}}(\text{s/f})^a$ [nm]	$\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{s/f})^b$ [nm]	$T_g/T_m^c$ [°C]	$T_d^d$ [°C]	HOMO <sup>e</sup> [eV]	LUMO <sup>e</sup> [eV]	$E_g^e$ [eV]	$\eta_{\text{PL}}(\text{s/f})^f$ [%]
TPAAnPI	395/404	470/470	213/366	492	$-5.24$	$-2.59$	2.65	71/42

<sup>a</sup>  $\lambda_{\text{abs}}(\text{s})$ : maximum absorption wavelength in dilute THF ( $10^{-5}$  M);  $\lambda_{\text{abs}}(\text{f})$ : maximum absorption wavelength in the film. <sup>b</sup>  $\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{s})$ : emission peak in dilute THF;  $\lambda_{\text{PL}}(\text{f})$ : emission peak in film. <sup>c</sup>  $T_g$ : glass transition temperature;  $T_m$ : melting temperature. <sup>d</sup>  $T_d$ : decomposition temperature. <sup>e</sup> Measured by cyclic voltammetry measurements. <sup>f</sup>  $\eta_{\text{PL}}(\text{s})$ : the fluorescence quantum yield in dilute THF ( $10^{-5}$  M);  $\eta_{\text{PL}}(\text{f})$ : the fluorescence quantum yield in evaporated film.





Table 2 Electroluminescent properties of device I and II based on TPAAnPI

Device	$V_{\text{turn-on}}^a$ [V]	$CE_{\text{max}}^b$ [cd A $^{-1}$ ]	$PE_{\text{max}}^c$ [lm W $^{-1}$ ]	$EQE_{\text{max}/1000/10\,000}^d$ [%]	$L_{\text{max}}^e$ [cd m $^{-2}$ ]	$\lambda_{\text{EL}}^f$ [nm]	$V_{\text{end}}^g$ [V]	$CIE^h$ [X, Y]	$\eta_{\text{roll-off}}^i$ [%]
I	3.20	12.51	11.47	8.00/7.94/6.41	22 489	470	7.80	0.15, 0.22	0.75
II	3.40	18.09	12.35	11.47/9.70/6.80	29 900	470	7.00	0.15, 0.22	15

<sup>a</sup> Turn on voltage at the luminescence of 1 cd m $^{-2}$ . <sup>b</sup> Maximal current efficiency. <sup>c</sup> Maximal power efficiency. <sup>d</sup>  $EQE_{\text{max}}$ : maximal external quantum efficiency;  $EQE_{1000}$ : external quantum efficiency at the luminescence of 1000 cd m $^{-2}$ ;  $EQE_{10\,000}$ : external quantum efficiency at the luminescence of 10 000 cd m $^{-2}$ . <sup>e</sup> Maximum luminescence. <sup>f</sup> Maximal EL peak value. <sup>g</sup> End voltage at the luminescence of 1 cd m $^{-2}$ . <sup>h</sup> Commission International de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates were obtained from EL spectra at the voltage of 6 V. <sup>i</sup> Efficiency roll-off. Device I structure of: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TAPC (5 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm); Device II structure: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm).

ditolylamino)phenyl) cyclohexane (TAPC) acted as the hole transporting layer. 4,4',4''-tri(*N*-carbazolyl)triphenylamine (TCTA) was used as the hole transporting and electron blocking layer, whereas 1,3,5-tri(phenyl-2-benzimidazolyl)benzene (TPBi) represented an electron transporting and hole blocking layer and LiF the electron injecting layer. The summary properties of Device I and II are presented in Table 2.

As shown in Fig. 3, Device I exhibited a novel blue emission, with the electroluminescence (EL) emission peak at 470 nm corresponding to the Commission International de L'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of (0.15, 0.22) at 6 V (Fig. 3d). Its maximum current efficiency (CE) was 12.51 cd A $^{-1}$  and the maximum power efficiency (PE) was 11.47 lm W $^{-1}$ . It also displayed

a maximum luminescence of  $\approx 22\,489$  cd cm $^{-2}$  and maximum external quantum efficiency (EQE) of only 8.00% at a luminescence of 705 cd m $^{-2}$ . Although the efficiency roll-off ( $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$ ) is only 0.75% (according to Formula S4†) at the luminance of 1000 cd m $^{-2}$ , the EQE remains unsatisfactory in industrial applications. Therefore, the non-doped Device II was fabricated to improve the performances. The optimized non-doped Device II exhibited a maximum CE of 18.09 cd A $^{-1}$  and a maximum PE of 12.35 lm W $^{-1}$ . Its maximum luminescence is  $\approx 29\,900$  cd cm $^{-2}$  and its maximum EQE is 11.47%, corresponding to the high EUE of 91%. More importantly, its EQE remains as high as 9.70% at the luminescence of 1000 cd m $^{-2}$ . Also the  $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$  is only 15% and the EL peak is still at 470 nm with CIE coordinates



Fig. 3 (a) The current density–voltage–luminance curve of Device I & II. (b) The current efficiency–luminance–power efficiency curves of Device I & II. (c) The external efficiency–luminance curves of Device I & II, the inset shows the device structure diagram. (d) Normalized EL spectra of Device I & II at 6 V.






Fig. 4 Model for exciton relaxation in the EL process. RISC: reverse intersystem crossing; IC(T): internal conversion between the triplet states; IC(S): internal conversion between the singlet states; fl: fluorescence; ph: phosphorescence.

of (0.15, 0.22) (Fig. 3d). Further the EL spectra are stable, with little vibronic features over the entire drive voltage range of 4 V to 8 V (Fig. S11†). The high EQE and the low  $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$  of Device I and II further highlight a great potential of the TPAAnPI molecule for industrial applications.

Then, the radiative exciton utilization efficiency of Device II was estimated according to the following equation:  $\eta_{\text{EL}} = \eta_{\text{rec}} \eta_{\text{s}} \eta_{\text{PL}} \eta_{\text{out}}$ , where  $\eta_{\text{EL}}$  is the EQE of the device,  $\eta_{\text{rec}}$  denotes the recombination efficiency of the injected holes and electrons,  $\eta_{\text{PL}}$  is the PLQY of the emitter,  $\eta_{\text{s}}$  represents the EUE of device, and  $\eta_{\text{out}}$  is the light out-coupling efficiency. Assuming an  $\eta_{\text{out}} \approx 0.3$  for a glass substrate with a refraction index  $n$  of 1.5,  $\eta_{\text{rec}}$  is 100%, and  $\eta_{\text{PL}}$  of the TPAAnPI in solid film state is 42%. Therefore, the calculated  $\eta_{\text{s}}$  is 91%, breaking the limit of 25% singlet excitons of spin statistics rule (singlet/triplet:  $\sim 1/3$ ) in conventional fluorescent OLEDs,<sup>15</sup> implying that almost all triplet excitons are converted to the singlet in the EL process. The recent non-doped blue OLEDs with  $\text{CIE}_y \approx 0.2$  are listed in Table S4.†

The high  $\eta_{\text{s}}$  is attributed to the novel HLCT mechanism in the EL process. The small energy splitting between  $S_3$  and  $T_{12}$  ( $\Delta E_{\text{ST}} \approx 0$ ) shown in Fig. 4 can create a potential RISC process from  $T_{12}$  to  $S_3$ . In this way, the triplet excitons are converted to the singlet state in the EL process. According to the energy-gap law,<sup>16</sup> the large energy gap between  $T_2$  and  $T_1$  ( $\Delta E_{\text{T}1\text{T}2} = 1.07$  eV) inhibits the internal conversion (IC) process, and the more competitive RISC process between  $T_{12}$  and  $S_3$  is prioritized because of the narrower energy gap (Fig. S12†). Therefore, a large fraction of the electro-generated triplet excitons can turn into singlet excitons through the  $T_{12} \rightarrow S_3$  channel. Moreover, the large energy gap ( $\Delta E_{\text{ST}} = 1.35$  eV) between  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  prevents the ISC process from the low-lying  $S_1$  to  $T_1$  and excludes the existence of the TADF mechanism. More importantly, we measured the transient EL decay curves of Device II at different voltages (Fig. S13(a)†). The curves consisted of two components: a rapid EL decay originating from the promoted fluorescence of  $S_1$  and a delayed one. The delayed one is due to the collision

recombination of previously injected holes and electrons which stayed in the emitter layer after the voltage was turned off.

The amplified delayed component was obtained by taking the logarithm of EL intensity as  $Y$  and the logarithm of time as  $X$ , and the slope of the obtained curve is  $-0.28$  in the initial time and  $-1.29$  at the long lived EL transient (in Fig. S13(b)†). According to Monkman *et al.*,<sup>17</sup> if the TTA mechanism is predominant in Device II, the delayed EL intensity should be proportional to  $t^{-2}$  at the long lived EL transient and the obtained slope should be  $-2$  instead of  $-1.29$ . We further compared the transient EL decay curves at different driving voltages of Device II with a typical TTA device based on MADN (Fig. S13(c)†). The EL intensity of Device II decays much faster than that of the MADN-based device. As the driving voltage increased from 6 V to 8 V, the ratio of the delayed component of the MADN-based device decreased due to the enhanced triplet exciton quenching process. However, the delayed component of Device II exhibited a much shorter decay time and showed no obvious dependence on the driving voltage. The above results suggested that the contribution of the TTA is insignificant in the EL process. Therefore, the HLCT mechanism is likely responsible for the radiative exciton ratio above 25% in the non-doped OLED based on the TPAAnPI molecule.

## Conclusions

In summary, a twisting novel blue D- $\pi$ -A molecule, TPAAnPI, involving the HLCT excited state was designed and synthesized. The HLCT characteristic was demonstrated by photo-physical experiments and DFT analysis. A fabricated optimized non-doped Device II based on TPAAnPI exhibited a maximum EQE of 11.47% and showed novel blue emission at 470 nm with CIE coordinates of (0.15, 0.22). More importantly, the EQE remained as high as 9.70% at the luminescence of  $1000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$  with a  $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$  of only 15%. The maximum EQE was only 8.00% for Device I, with an almost negligible  $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$  of 0.75% at a luminance of  $1000 \text{ cd m}^{-2}$ . These results are among the best for non-doped blue fluorescent OLEDs of HLCT blue-emissive materials. Our study therefore, proposed a molecular design method for a blue-emissive HLCT material characterized by a high radiative exciton ratio, high EQE, and low  $\eta_{\text{roll-off}}$ . These results are beneficial for further exploring molecular design and device optimization that can maximize triplet to singlet exciton up-conversion involving the HLCT mechanism. We believe that our results are valuable for improved practical applications in display and lighting.

## Experimental section

### Materials

All raw materials were commercially purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. or Energy Chemical Co., China and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was dried and purified by fractional distillation over sodium/benzophenone before use.



## Measurements

The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC500 spectrometer at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively, using deuterated chloroform ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) or dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) as solvents. The chemical shift for each signal was reported in ppm units with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as a standard internal reference. The MALDI-TOF-MS mass spectra were recorded using an AXIMA-CFRTM instrument. The compounds were characterized by using a Flash EA 1112, CHN elemental analysis instrument.

## Device fabrication and characterization

The two typical device configurations fabricated by vacuum deposition were ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm) and ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/TAPC (5 nm)/TCTA (30 nm)/TPAAnPI (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). ITO-coated glass with a sheet resistance of  $10\ \Omega$  per square was used as the substrate. The pre-treatment of ITO glass included routine chemical cleaning using detergent and alcohol in sequence and drying in an oven at  $120\ ^\circ\text{C}$ . After oxygen plasma cleaning it for 4 min it was finally transferred to a vacuum deposition system with a base pressure greater than  $5 \times 10^{-6}$  mbar for organics and metal deposition. The PEDOT (polyethylene dioxythiophene) and PSS (polystyrene sulfonate) mixture layer was deposited onto the ITO-coated substrate as the hole-injection layer. The cathode LiF was deposited by thermo-evaporation and followed by a thick Al capping layer. The current–voltage–luminance characteristics were measured by using a Keithley source measurement unit (Keithley 2400 and Keithley 2000) with a calibrated silicon photodiode. The electroluminescent (EL) spectra and Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE) coordinates of these devices were measured by using a PR650 spectroscan spectrometer. EQEs were calculated from the luminance, current density, and EL spectrum, assuming a Lambertian distribution (Formula S5†). All the results were measured in the forward-viewing direction without using any light outcoupling technique.

## Synthesis of TPAAnPI

A mixture of PIAN-Br (0.95 g, 1.52 mmol), *N,N*-diphenyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)aniline (0.53 g, 1.83 mmol),  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (0.31 g, 2.24 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (THF, 50 ml) and deionized water ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 10 ml), with  $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$  (0.05 g, 0.043 mmol) acting as a catalyst was refluxed at  $70\ ^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h under nitrogen. After the mixture was cooled down, 50 ml  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was added to the resulting solution and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane several times. The organic phase was dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ . After filtration and solvent evaporation, the given residue was purified through silica gel column chromatography using dichloromethane/petroleum ether (3 : 1; v/v) as an eluent to produce the product in the form of a light yellow solid (0.90 g, 75%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  = 8.97 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.75 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd,  $J$  = 8.3, 5.9 Hz, 4H), 7.79 (t,  $J$  =

7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.73–7.64 (m, 8H), 7.54 (t,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.30 (m, 15H), 7.27 (d,  $J$  = 9.0 Hz, 6H), and 7.09 (t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  = 150.75, 147.78, 147.17, 139.81, 138.85, 137.56, 137.25, 136.08, 132.48, 132.08, 131.33, 130.23, 130.03, 129.94, 129.77, 129.38, 129.33, 129.22, 128.31, 127.32, 127.26, 127.10, 126.79, 126.31, 125.66, 125.11, 124.97, 124.69, 124.14, 123.08, 122.81, 120.91, 77.26, 77.01, 76.76, and 53.41. MALDI-TOF MS (mass  $m/z$ ): 789.29 [ $\text{M}^+$ ]. Anal. calcd for  $\text{C}_{59}\text{H}_{39}\text{N}_3$ : C, 89.70; H, 4.98; N, 5.32, found: C, 89.43; H, 5.00; N, 5.57.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (No. 51873095, 51673105 and 51803071), the Key Project of Higher Educational Science and Technology Program of Shandong Province of China (No. J18KZ001), and the Natural Science Foundation of Qingdao City of China (No. 16-5-1-89-jch). We thank the Open Project of the State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials of Jilin University (SKLSSM-202032). We appreciate assistance from the State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices of the South China University of Technology for fabricating OLED devices.

## References

- (a) C. W. Tang and S. A. VanSlyke, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1987, **51**, 913–915; (b) M. A. Baldo, D. F. O'Brien, Y. You, A. Shoustikov, S. Sibley, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, 1998, **395**, 151–154; (c) A. C. Grimsdale, K. L. Chan, R. E. Martin, P. G. Jokisz and A. B. Holmes, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 897–1091.
- (a) Y. Cao, I. D. Parker, G. Yu, C. Zhang and A. J. Heeger, *Nature*, 1999, **397**, 414–417; (b) S. Krotkus, D. Kasemann, S. Lenk, K. Leo and S. Reineke, *Light: Sci. Appl.*, 2016, **5**, e16121; (c) J. Lee, M. Slootsky, K. Lee, Y. Zhang and S. R. Forrest, *Light: Sci. Appl.*, 2014, **3**, e181; (d) W. H. Lee, Z. Zhao, Y. J. Cai, Z. Xu, Y. Yu, Y. Xiong, R. T. K. Kwok, Y. Chen, N. L. C. Leung, D. G. Ma, J. W. Y. Lam, A. J. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6118–6125; (e) C. L. Li, J. B. Wei, X. X. Song, K. Q. Ye, H. Y. Zhang, J. Y. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 7013–7019; (f) C. L. Li, S. P. Wang, W. P. Chen, J. B. Wei, G. C. Yang, K. Q. Ye, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10632–10635.
- (a) K. Udagawa, H. Sasabe, C. Cai and J. Kido, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5062–5066; (b) J.-H. Lee, S.-H. Cheng, S.-J. Yoo, H. Shin, J.-H. Chang, C.-I. Wu, K.-T. Wong and J.-J. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 361–366; (c) T. Suzuki, Y. Nonaka, T. Watabe, H. Nakashima, S. Seo, S. Shitagaki and S. Yamazaki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, 2014, **53**, 052102; (d) T. B. Njuyan, H. Nakanotani, T. Hatakeyama and C. Adachi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, 1906614; (e) L. Yu, Z. B. Wu,



- G. H. Xie, W. X. Zeng, D. G. Ma and C. L. Yang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1385–1391; (f) Z. Q. Li, C. L. Li, Y. C. Xu, N. Xie, X. C. Jiao and Y. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 842–847.
- 4 (a) Y. L. Zhang, Q. Ran, Q. Wang, Y. Liu, C. Hanisch, S. Reineke, J. Fan and L. S. Liao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1902368; (b) Q. Wang and D. G. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2387–2398; (c) H.-H. Chou, Y.-H. Chen, H.-P. Hsu, W.-H. Chang, Y.-H. Chen and C.-H. Cheng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5867–5871; (d) N. Sharma, E. Spuling, C. M. Mattern, W. B. Li, O. Fuhr, Y. Tsuchiya, C. Adachi, S. Brase, I. D. W. Samuel and E. Z. Colman, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6689–6696; (e) C. L. Li, Z. Q. Li, X. J. Yan, Y. W. Zhang, Z. L. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 1973–1980; (f) C. L. Li, J. B. Wei, J. X. Han, Z. Q. Li, X. X. Song, Z. L. Zhang, J. J. Zhang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 10120–10129.
- 5 (a) H. Sasabe, J. Takamatsu, T. Motoyama, S. Watanabe, G. Wagenblast, N. Lange, O. Molt, E. Fuchs, C. Lennartz and J. Kido, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 5003–5007; (b) T. Fleetham, G. J. Li, L. L. Wen and J. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 7116–7121; (c) C. L. Li, Z. Q. Li, J. X. Liang, H. Luo, Y. Liu, J. B. Wei and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 12888–12895; (d) Z. Q. Li, Z. Cheng, J. Y. Lin, N. Xie, C. L. Li, G. C. Yang and Y. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 13486–13492.
- 6 (a) S. Kappaun, C. Slugovc and J. W. List, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2008, **9**, 1527–1547; (b) G. Cheng, S. C. F. Kui, W.-H. Ang, M.-Y. Ko, P.-K. Chow, C.-L. Kwong, C.-C. Kwok, C. S. Ma, X. G. Guan, K.-H. Low, S.-J. Su and C.-M. Che, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4819–4830; (c) Y. Kawamura, K. Goushi, J. Brooks, J. J. Brown, H. Sasabe and C. Adachi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **86**, 071104; (d) V. Sivasubramaniam, F. Brodkorb, S. Hanning, H. P. Loeb, V. van Elsbergen, H. Boerner, U. Scherf and M. Kreyenschmidt, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2009, **130**, 640–649; (e) K. Klimes, Z. Q. Zhu and J. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1903068.
- 7 (a) Q. S. Zhang, B. Li, S. P. Huang, H. Nomura, H. Tanaka and C. Adachi, *Nat. Photonics*, 2014, **8**, 326–332; (b) H. Uoyama, K. Goushi, K. Shizu, H. Nomura and C. Adachi, *Nature*, 2012, **492**, 234–238; (c) X. K. Liu, Z. Chen, J. Qing, W. J. Zhang, B. Wu, H. L. Tam, F. R. Zhu, X. H. Zhang and C. S. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7079–7085; (d) D. X. Ding, Z. C. Wang, C. Y. Li, J. Zhang, C. B. Duan, Y. Wei and H. Xu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, 1906950; (e) I. S. Park, H. Komiyama and T. Yasuda, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 953–960.
- 8 (a) W. J. Li, D. D. Liu, F. Z. Shen, D. G. Ma, Z. M. Wang, T. Feng, Y. X. Xu, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 2797–2803; (b) S. T. Zhang, W. J. Li, L. Yao, Y. Y. Pan, F. Z. Shen, R. Xiao, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 11302–11304; (c) S. T. Zhang, L. Yao, Q. M. Peng, W. J. Li, Y. Y. Pan, R. Xiao, Y. Gao, C. Gu, Z. M. Wang, P. Lu, F. Li, S. J. Su, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 1755–1762; (d) C. J. Zhou, D. L. Cong, Y. Gao, H. C. Liu, J. Y. Li, S. T. Zhang, Q. Su, Q. L. Wu and B. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 18376–18382; (e) S. F. Xue, X. Qiu, S. A. Ying, Y. S. Lu, Y. Y. Pan, Q. K. Sun, C. Gu and W. J. Yang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2017, **5**, 1700747; (f) J. J. Shi, Q. Ding, L. Xu, X. H. Lv, Z. W. Liu, Q. K. Sun, Y. Y. Pan, S. F. Xue and W. J. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 11063–11070.
- 9 (a) D. R. Lee, M. Kim, S. K. Jeon, S. H. Hwang, C. W. Lee and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5861–5867; (b) M. Kim, S. K. Jeon, S.-H. Hwang, S.-S. Lee, E. Yu and J. Y. Lee, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 2485–2493.
- 10 (a) D. D. Zhang, M. H. Cai, Y. G. Zhang, D. Q. Zhang and L. Duan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 28693–28700; (b) D. D. Zhang, L. Duan, C. Li, Y. L. Li, H. Y. Li, D. Q. Zhang and Y. Qiu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5050–5055; (c) H. Nakanotani, T. Higuchi, T. Furukawa, K. Masui, K. Morimoto, M. Numata, H. Tanaka, Y. Sagara, T. Yasuda and C. Adachi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4016.
- 11 (a) W. J. Li, Y. Y. Pan, R. Xiao, Q. Peng, S. T. Zhang, D. G. Ma, F. Li, F. Z. Shen, Y. Wang, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 1609–1614; (b) H. C. Liu, Q. Bai, W. J. Li, Y. C. Guo, L. Yao, Y. Gao, J. Y. Li, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 70085–70090; (c) X. Y. Tang, Q. Bai, Q. M. Peng, Y. Gao, J. Y. Li, Y. L. Liu, L. Yao, P. Lu, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7050–7057; (d) H. C. Liu, Q. Bai, L. Yao, H. Y. Zhang, W. J. Li, Y. Gao, J. Y. Li, P. Lu, H. Y. Wang, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3797–3804.
- 12 R. N. Jones, *Chem. Rev.*, 1947, **41**, 353–371.
- 13 Z. Gao, Y. L. Liu, Z. M. Wang, F. Z. Shen, H. Liu, G. N. Sun, L. Yao, Y. Lv, P. Lu and Y. G. Ma, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 2602–2605.
- 14 S. Tang, W. J. Li, F. Z. Shen, D. D. Liu, B. Yang and Y. G. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4401–4408.
- 15 S. J. Su, E. Gonmori, H. Sarabe and J. Kido, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4189–4194.
- 16 N. J. Turro, *Modern Molecular Photochemistry*, University Science Books, Sausalito, CA, USA, 1991.
- 17 C. Rothe and A. P. Monkman, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2003, **68**, 075208.

