

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 2413

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

# Efficient hydrogen bonding recognition in water using aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole receptors†

G. Peñuelas-Haro <sup>ab</sup> and P. Ballester <sup>\*ac</sup>

We describe the synthesis of four water-soluble aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole receptors and report their binding properties with multiple neutral polar guests in water. The prepared receptors present different functionalization at their upper rims and have in common the placement of water solubilizing pyridinium groups at their lower rims. We investigate the interaction of the receptors with a guest series in water solution using <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations and ITC experiments. Despite the known competitive nature of water for hydrogen-bonding interactions, we demonstrate the formation of thermodynamically highly stable 1 : 1 inclusion complexes stabilized by hydrogen-bonding interactions. We show that increasing the hydrogen-bond acceptor character of the guest has a positive impact on binding affinity. This result suggests that the receptor's cavity is indeed a better hydrogen-bond donor to interact with the guests than water molecules. We also assess the important contribution of the hydrophobic effect to binding by comparing the binding affinities of analogous inclusion complexes in water and chloroform solutions. The more polar guests are bound with similar affinities in the two solvents. We compare the binding properties of the different complexes in order to derive general trends.

Received 12th November 2018  
Accepted 19th December 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc05034a

rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

Molecular recognition of polar neutral molecules in water using synthetic receptors lacks simplicity.<sup>1–4</sup> When the polar functional groups present in both binding partners (host and guest) are exposed to a bulk solvent, they become efficiently solvated by water molecules. Typically, the energetic cost required for their desolvation is not compensated by the polar interactions established upon complex formation. Thus, the free energy of binding becomes positive, leading to complex formation to a reduced extent. In contrast, biological receptors, like enzymes, bind efficiently polar neutral molecules containing a wide variety of functional groups that are susceptible to strong hydration. In this vein, it is worth mentioning that the avidin–biotin complex features the largest binding constant reported for a biological host–neutral guest complex in water (10<sup>15</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>).<sup>5</sup> The high binding constants and the selectivity exhibited by enzymes in the binding of polar neutral substrates in water is usually the result of a synergistic combination of polar

interactions and the hydrophobic effect. To achieve high affinity and selectivity, enzyme binding takes place in hydrophobic cavities (low dielectric constant) featuring converging polar functional groups that are complementary to those of the substrate. In doing so, the polar groups of the enzyme's binding site and of the resulting enzyme–substrate complex are not easily accessible to bulk water molecules. Moreover, the water molecules that solvate the buried polar groups in the active site make energetically less favourable hydrogen bonding interactions than those in the bulk solution.

Aiming at mimicking the binding behavior of enzymes, several aqueous and water soluble synthetic receptors possessing more or less open aromatic cavities with converging polar groups have been reported by the groups of Davis,<sup>6–8</sup> Jiang,<sup>9–11</sup> and Jabin,<sup>12,13</sup> among others. The obtained binding results demonstrated that the combination of a functionalized aromatic cavity (binding site with a low dielectric constant) displaying multiple open portals (cages or tubes) with converging polar groups is a successful strategy for achieving effective binding of neutral polar molecules in aqueous media and water with synthetic receptors (Fig. 1). Most likely, the hydrophobic effect is key in enhancing affinity in aqueous media while the converging polar groups are responsible for endowing the receptors with binding selectivity beyond size and shape complementarity.

In 1999, Sessler<sup>14</sup> and Floriani<sup>15</sup> described almost simultaneously the synthesis of aryl extended calix[4]pyrroles bearing hydroxyl groups at the upper rim.

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Av. Paisos Catalans, 16, 43007-Tarragona, Spain. E-mail: pballester@iciq.es

<sup>b</sup>Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Departament de Química Analítica i Química Orgànica, c/ Marcel·lí Domingo, 1, 43007-Tarragona, Spain

<sup>c</sup>ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys, 23, 08018-Barcelona, Spain

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, characterisation data, and binding studies by NMR and ITC. CCDC 1877620 and 1878126. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8sc05034a






Fig. 1 Schematic representations of the water-soluble receptors mentioned in the text: (a) Davis' "temple" architecture; (b) Jiang's "tubular" architecture; (c) Jabin's "cryptophane" architecture. Their aromatic cavities and polar converging groups are indicated by thin-arrows. Wide arrows highlight open portals suitable for guest inclusion and/or access of solvating water molecules of the polar groups.

The compounds were obtained by the acid-catalysed cyclocondensation reaction of a hydroxyl-aryl-methyl ketone with pyrrole. The reaction produced the calix[4]pyrroles as a mixture of four configurational isomers, together with larger acyclic and cyclic oligomers. Remarkably, the cone conformation of the tetra- $\alpha$ -isomer of aryl-extended calix[4]pyrroles displays a polar aromatic cavity open only at one end (Fig. 2). This latter characteristic separates aryl extended calix[4]pyrroles from other water-soluble polar receptors described above. The solvation and concomitant dissociation of the deep inclusion complexes of calix[4]pyrroles requires a conformational change of the bound receptor (cone to alternate) owing to the blocking of their single open portal by the bound guest. These features suggested to us the potential application of tetra- $\alpha$  aryl extended calix[4]pyrrole isomers as scaffolds for the construction of effective synthetic receptors functioning in water solution. We produced tetra- $\alpha$  aryl extended calix[4]pyrroles that were water soluble by covalently attaching ionizing groups at either their upper ( $R^1$ )<sup>16</sup> or lower rims ( $R^2$ ).<sup>17</sup> We evidenced that the prepared receptors formed 1 : 1 inclusion complexes in water with pyridine-*N*-oxide and its derivatives, which were highly stable kinetically and thermodynamically ( $K_a > 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ). The incorporation of the water solubilizing groups at the lower rim of the calix[4]pyrrole receptor offered the advantage of further elaboration of the




Fig. 2 Molecular structure of a tetra- $\alpha$  aryl extended calix[4]pyrrole in cone conformation and its schematic representation highlighting the single open portal displayed by its cone conformation.

aromatic cavity through its upper rim aiming at further modulating its binding properties.

This strategy was successfully implemented in calix[4]pyrrole receptors soluble in organic solvents.<sup>18</sup> We reported aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole cavitands soluble in organic solvents and bearing two bridging phosphonate groups at their upper rim.<sup>19,20</sup>

In this manuscript, we describe the synthesis of two unprecedented water-soluble calix[4]pyrrole cavitands also bearing two bridging phosphonate groups at their upper rims.<sup>21</sup> The prepared receptors are diastereomeric displaying in-out (**1io**) and out-out (**1oo**) relative configurations of the two P=O groups (Fig. 3). We also report the synthesis of water soluble bis-methylene bridged cavitand **2** and the conformationally more




Fig. 3 Molecular structures of the four water-soluble aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole derivatives, **1oo**, **1io**, **2** and **3**, and of the guests, **4**–**12**, used in this study.



Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 2413–2423 | 2415

of each stereoisomer show conformations in which the ethyl substituents of the phosphorous atom are always equatorially oriented.

These conformations are analogous to the ones observed in previous studies for closely related tetramethyl bis-phenyl-phosphonate calix[4]pyrrole cavitands.<sup>27</sup>

Bis-methylene bridged cavitand **18** was synthesized by reacting calix[4]pyrrole **16a** with bromochloro methane using potassium carbonate as a base in anhydrous DMSO (Scheme 2). Finally, we introduced the water solubilizing pyridinium groups in the terminal position of the *meso* aliphatic chain of the chloride derivatives **16b**, **17io**, **17oo** and **18** by simply heating them overnight as separate solutions in dry pyridine. The reactions yielded the chloride salts of the tetrapyrrolium receptors **3**, **1io**, **1oo** and **2**, as yellow solids, in good yields and high purities. Because only traces of the **17ii** isomer were isolated, we did not attempt its conversion in the water-soluble version. The tetrapyrrolium salts of all receptors were highly soluble in D<sub>2</sub>O at mM concentration, allowing us to investigate their binding properties using <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations. All receptors were characterized by a full set of high-resolution spectra (NMR and MS).

## Binding studies

**NMR experiments.** We probed the interaction of selected guests with receptors **1io**, **1oo**, **2**, and **3** using <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR titration experiments in non-buffered D<sub>2</sub>O solutions at pH ~ 7. Below, we describe in detail only the observations made in the titrations of receptor **1oo** with  $\delta$ -valerolactam **6** and 1-aza-2-cyclooctaone **7**. The two discussed examples represent the extremes, in terms of equilibrium kinetics and thermodynamics, which we encountered in the binding studies. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all receptors at 1 mM concentration in D<sub>2</sub>O solution showed sharp and well resolved proton signals that are in agreement with their respective symmetries, C<sub>2v</sub> for **1oo** and **2**, C<sub>4v</sub> for **3** and C<sub>v</sub> for **1io**, in the cone conformation. It is worth noting that the observation of a number of proton signals in agreement with the symmetry expected for the cone conformer does not rule out the possibility of the existence of a fast chemical exchange equilibrium between alternate and cone conformers in solution.

**Titration of 1oo with 6.** The addition of 0.5 equiv. of  $\delta$ -lactam **6** to a 1 mM D<sub>2</sub>O solution of receptor **1oo** produced broadening in some of the proton signals of the bis-phosphonate receptor, as well as the appearance of a new set of signals in the aromatic region (Fig. 5b). The new set of signals was assigned to protons of bound **1oo**. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of the mixture revealed the presence of two singlets. The more downfield shifted signal corresponds to the phosphorus atoms in free **1oo**. The other signal was assigned to the bound receptor. When 1.0 equiv. of **6** was added, only the signals assigned to protons of bound **1oo** were observed (Fig. 5c). We also observed, in the upfield region of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, an increase in the intensity of a set of broad signals, which were assigned to the protons of lactam **6** included in the **1oo** receptor. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of the equimolar mixture showed exclusively an upfield shifted signal for the phosphorus atom ( $\Delta\delta = 0.27$  ppm).




Fig. 5 Selected regions of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra registered during the titration of a 1 mM solution of **1oo** with incremental amounts of **6** in D<sub>2</sub>O solution. (a) Free **1oo**; (b) 0.5 equiv. of **6** added; (c) 1.0 equiv. of **6** added; (d) 1.9 equiv. of **6** added; and (e) free **6**. Primed labels correspond to the proton signals of **6** and **1oo** in the **6**⊂**1oo** inclusion complex. Proton assignment is shown in the molecular structures of the compounds.

The addition of 1.9 equiv. of **6** did not produce noticeable changes in the signals assigned to protons of bound **1oo** and included **6**. However, new signals corresponding to the protons of free **6** emerged (Fig. 5d). Taken together, these results indicate that **1oo** and **6** form a 1 : 1 complex, which experiences a slow chemical exchange on the chemical shift scale with the free counterparts and the binding constant value for the **6**⊂**1oo** complex can be estimated to be larger than 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>.

We performed a ROESY experiment using a solution containing an excess of guest (1.9 equiv., Fig. S51†). We observed the presence of cross peaks due to chemical exchange between the proton signals of free and bound **6**. The presence of the cross peaks allowed the unequivocal assignment of the proton signals of bound **6** and the calculation of their corresponding complexation induced shifts (CIS) ( $\Delta\delta_{H_2} = -2.75$  ppm;  $\Delta\delta_{H_1} = -0.9$  ppm;  $\Delta\delta_{H_3} = -0.9$ ;  $\Delta\delta_{H_4} = -0.6$  ppm). The fact that all proton signals of bound **6** are significantly upfield shifted supports its inclusion in the aromatic cavity of the host where it experiences the shielding effect produced by the *meso*-aromatic substituents. Specifically, the proton alpha to the carbonyl






**Titration of **100** with **7**.** The kinetic (slow chemical exchange) and thermodynamic ( $K_a > 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ) features observed in the binding process of receptor **100** with  $\delta$ -valerolactam **6** are in striking contrast with the ones encountered in the complexation experiments of the same receptor with 1-aza-2-cyclooctanone **7**, a two methylene homologated cyclic lactam counterpart. Thus, the incremental addition of lactam **7** to a 1 mM solution of **100** in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  solution did not lead to the observation of separate proton signals neither for the bound host nor for the bound guest (Fig. 6).


Instead, some of the proton signals of the **100** receptor experienced noticeable chemical shift changes, which required the addition of more than 11 equivalents of **7** to reach saturation. In particular, one of the signals of the  $\beta$ -pyrrole protons ( $H_c$ ) moved downfield (CIS = 0.3 ppm) and sharpened

In summary, the increase of two methylene units in the lactam ring provoked a significant reduction in the binding affinity and kinetic stability of the corresponding **7C100** complex.

**Inclusion complexes.** In order to gain some insight into the binding geometry of the **6C100** and **7C100** complexes, we performed simple molecular modelling studies. Fig. 7 shows side and top views of the energy-minimized structures for the putative inclusion complexes at the BP86 (ref. 28 and 29)-D3 (ref. 30)/def2-SVP level of theory using an implicit water



**Fig. 6** Selected regions of the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra registered during the titration of a 1 mM solution of **100** with incremental amounts of **7** in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  solution. (a) Free **100**; (b) 0.5 equiv. of **7** added; (c) 2.1 equiv. of **7** added; (d) 11.3 equiv. of **7** added; and (e) free **7**. Primed labels correspond to the proton signals of **7** and **100** in the **7**⊂**100** inclusion complex. Proton assignment is indicated in the molecular structures of the compounds.



**Fig. 7** Top views and schematic representation of the inclusion complexes **6**⊂**100** and **7**⊂**100** (a and c panels). The corresponding side views are shown in the (b and d) panels. The structures are energy minima at the PB86-D<sub>3</sub>-def2-SVP level of theory. The host is shown in stick representation and the included guests as a CPK model. The *meso*-alkyl chains of **100** were pruned to methyl groups for clarity.

solvation model (COSMO)<sup>31</sup> as implemented in TURBOMOLE version 7.<sup>32</sup>

In the energy-minimized complexes, the calix[4]pyrrole unit adopts the cone conformation and forms four hydrogen bonds with the bound lactam. The establishment of multiple CH and NH- $\pi$ -interactions can be inferred from the excellent complementarity in functionality, shape and size featured by the complex components (Fig. 7). According to the modelling, bound **100** adopts a geometry resembling a hexagonal prismatic box, closed at one base by the calix[4]pyrrole core and open at the opposite one. The hexagon is defined by the four bridged aromatic walls, the bridging phosphonate groups, that reside on the vertex of the long diagonal, and two additional parallel faces of the hexagon that remain partially open. The oxygen atoms of the bridging phosphonate groups are not symmetric with respect to the orientation of their electron lone pairs (green arrows in Fig. 7). The conformation adopted by the outwardly directed phosphonate groups in **100** coincides with the one observed in the X-ray of related calix[4]pyrrole bis-phenyl-phosphonate cavitands soluble in organic solvents, as well as their complexes with alkylammonium chloride salts.<sup>27</sup> However, it is slightly different to the one observed for the acetonitrile solvate in the X-ray structure of **1700** (Fig. 4). In turn, the bound lactams seem to prefer an orientation that maximizes edge-to-face, CH- $\pi$  and NH- $\pi$  interactions, between perpendicular rings of the binding partners and CH- $\pi$  interactions between parallel ring surfaces.

The observation of a single set of proton signals in the NMR spectra of all inclusion complexes reflects the existence of a time averaged binding geometry, which results from a fast chemical exchange between different binding geometries.

In short, at room temperature, the bound guests spin rapidly around the axial axis of the inclusion complexes. In the specific case of the **6**⊂**100** complex, we computed an energy difference of *ca.* 4.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in favour of the geometry in which the guest bisects the large diagonal of the hexagonal-like host rather than being oriented with it (see schematic representation in Fig. 7). The computed value provides an estimate for the energy barrier of the spinning process of the bound guest.

Aiming at experimentally evidencing the implication of the pyrrole NHs of receptor **100** in hydrogen-bonding interactions with the included lactam, we acquired the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the free receptor and the **6**⊂**100** complex in a 9 : 1 H<sub>2</sub>O : D<sub>2</sub>O solution mixture employing the W5 pulse sequence to suppress the large water signal (Fig. S100†).<sup>33</sup> Unfortunately, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the free receptor did not show any signal that could be assigned to the free pyrrole NHs. Most likely, the NH protons are involved in a fast/intermediate chemical exchange with the hydrogen atoms of the water molecules. In contrast, the water suppressed <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a 1 mM equimolar mixture of **100** and **6** showed two broad singlets resonating at  $\delta$  = 9.37 and 9.11 ppm, respectively. We assigned these signals to the pyrrole NHs of the **6**⊂**100** complex, which is formed quantitatively under the abovementioned conditions. The measured chemical shift values are in complete agreement with those observed for the NHs in related hydrogen-bonded complexes of water-soluble four-wall aryl-extended calix[4]










pyrroles with pyridyl-*N*-oxides.<sup>16</sup> The obtained result supports the involvement of the pyrrole NHs in hydrogen-bonding interactions in the formation of the **6**⊂**100** complex. A 2D-ROESY spectrum of the **6**⊂**100** complex (Fig. S101†) showed multiple cross peaks due to intramolecular close contacts, which are in complete agreement with the proposed structure (Fig. 7a and b). For instance, the singlet of the *ortho* aromatic proton (H<sub>f</sub>) in the *meso*-aryl substituents showed a selective cross peak with the  $\beta$ -pyrrole protons of the unit involved in the 14-membered ring of the receptor (H<sub>d</sub>). On the other hand, the doublet *ortho* aromatic proton (H<sub>g</sub>) of the *meso*-aryl substituent showed close-contact cross peaks exclusively with the  $\beta$ -pyrrole protons of the other pyrrole unit (H<sub>e</sub>). All these observations indicate that bound **100** adopts the cone conformation. Moreover, cross peaks due to intermolecular close-contacts between the methyl and the *meso*-aryl protons of the receptor with the methylene protons of the included  $\delta$ -lactam **6** were also detected. (See the ESI† for theoretical studies on the geometry of free **100** in water solution).

### Dissecting hydrogen-bonding interactions and the hydrophobic effect in the binding of **100**

For the aliphatic cyclic lactams **4–6** (C<sub>2</sub>–C<sub>4</sub>), the determined  $\Delta G$  values for binding to **100** assign a gain of 0.8–0.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> to each additional hydrophobic methylene group (Table 1). The magnitude of this estimate is smaller than the 1.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> value derived from their encapsulation in the cavity of an octahedral coordination cage.<sup>34,35</sup> Most likely, this difference is due to the partial desolvation of the guest required for inclusion in **100** (Fig. 7) compared to the full hydrophobic desolvation compulsory for encapsulation in the coordination cage. Remarkably, the binding affinity of **100** for the larger and more hydrophobic 1-aza-2-cyclooctanone **7** is reduced by 2.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> compared to **6**. The large lactam **7** is no longer size and shape complementary to the polar cavity of **100**. Likewise, the *N*-methyl- $\gamma$ -lactam **8**, although being more hydrophobic than the parent compound **5**, experiences a drop of two orders of magnitude in affinity for the cavity **100** owing to size mismatch. Clearly, the 6-membered ring lactam **6** provides the best fit for the cavity of **100**. Consequently, we undertook the evaluation of the hydrophobic and hydrogen-bonding contributions to the binding of **100** using the thermodynamic data of the binding processes of five 6-membered cyclic guests, **6**, **9–12**. The members of this series have in common a hydrogen-bonding accepting oxygen atom and differ in their hydrophobicity and hydrogen-bond acceptor abilities of their polar groups: ketone **9**, lactone **10**, lactam **6**, urea **11** and *N*-oxide **12** (see Table 1). Several parameters have been used to quantify the hydrophobic (lipophilic) nature of organic molecules. We opted for the use of the log *P* value, partition coefficient between octanol/water, calculated using ChemDraw 16. The software assign the following log *P* values to the selected guests for this study: 1.43 (ketone **9**), 0.52 (lactone **10**), -0.16 (lactam **6**), -0.95 (urea **11**), and -1.11 (*N*-oxide **12**). The more positive the log *P* value the more lipophilic is the compound. It is accepted that in water solution and using synthetic H-bonding receptors an increase



**Table 1** Thermodynamic constants at 298 K for the 1 : 1 complexes of the **100** receptor in H<sub>2</sub>O or D<sub>2</sub>O and **1700** in CHCl<sub>3</sub> or CDCl<sub>3</sub> [association constant  $K_a$  (M<sup>-1</sup>), free Gibbs energy  $\Delta G$  (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $\Delta H$  enthalpy (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and entropy  $T\Delta S$  (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)]

Guest	Receptor							
	<b>100</b>				<b>1700</b>			
	$K_a \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta G$	$\Delta H$	$T\Delta S$	$K_a \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta G$	$\Delta H$	$T\Delta S$
 <b>4</b>	$2.1 \pm 0.1^a$	-5.9	-6.1	0.2				
 <b>5</b>	$10.8 \pm 0.2^a$	-6.8	-6.8	0.0				
 <b>6</b>	$22.6 \pm 1.0^a$	-7.3	-6.8	0.5	$6.7 \pm 0.1^a$	-6.6	-9.9	-3.3
 <b>7</b>	$0.08 \pm 0.01^b$	-3.9	nd	nd				
 <b>8</b>	$0.04 \pm 0.01^b$	-3.6	nd	nd				
 <b>9</b>	$0.14 \pm 0.02^b$	-4.3	nd	nd	$0.0018 \pm 0.0001^b$	-1.7	nd	nd
 <b>10</b>	$0.95 \pm 0.04^a$	-5.4	-6.2	-0.8	$0.0060 \pm 0.0002^b$	-2.4	nd	nd
 <b>11</b>	$68.5 \pm 1.0^a$	-8.0	-8.4	-0.4	$100.0 \pm 30.0^a$	-8.2	-12.2	-4.0
 <b>12</b>	$685.0 \pm 20.0^a$	-9.1	-11.4	-2.2	$120.0 \pm 10.0^a$	-8.3	-14.3	-6.0

<sup>a</sup> ITC titration experiments were repeated at least twice, and the reported  $K_a$  value is the average of the values obtained from the fit of the integrated heat data to a 1 : 1 binding model. <sup>b</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments were also repeated at least twice, and the reported  $K_a$  is the average value returned from the fit of the chemical shift changes observed for the diagnostic proton signals to a 1 : 1 binding isotherm. Error values for  $K_a$  are reported as standard deviations. Errors values for  $\Delta G$ ,  $\Delta H$  and  $T\Delta S$  are determined to be less than 10%. nd not determined. See the ESI for experimental details of the titrations.


in binding should be directly related to a decrease in guest polarity.<sup>35–37</sup>

The idea behind this relies on the common believe that in water more polar guests are more difficult to desolvate and therefore will bind weakly through hydrogen-bonding interactions.

In striking contrast to the idea above, the absolute  $\Delta G$  values determined for the binding of the five 6-membered cyclic guests with **100** in water increased as the guest hydrophilicity increased (Table 1). This finding suggested that the binding of the guest series by **100** in water was not dominated by their hydrophobicity.

Moreover, the plot of the  $\Delta G$  values *versus* the H-bonding accepting capabilities of the guest's oxygen atom, as condensed in its  $\beta$ -value,<sup>23</sup> produced a linear relationship with a negative slope (dashed line in Fig. 8). This result reflects that the H-bonding contribution to guest binding seems to get stronger as the H-bonding accepting value ( $\beta$ ) of the guest's polar group increases. This is the expected result in binding experiments performed in relatively non-competitive hydrogen bonding solvents (*vide infra*) but, to the best of our knowledge, it is

unprecedented in water, the most competitive hydrogen-bonding solvent. In short, we discovered that for the employed guest series the polar cavity of **100** seems to provide a better



**Fig. 8** Plot of  $\Delta G$  vs. H-bonding acceptor capabilities ( $\beta$ ) for the inclusion complexes of the five 6-membered cyclic guest with **100** (squares, H<sub>2</sub>O dashed-line) and **1700** (circles, CHCl<sub>3</sub> solid-line) receptors. This graphical analysis serves to illustrate the hydrophobic effect contribution to binding.



environment as a hydrogen-bond donor than the water molecules.

We became interested in determining the  $\Delta G$  values of the analogous inclusion complexes formed in a non-competitive hydrogen-bonding solvent. For this reason, we used the isostructural bis-phosphonate tetrachloride derivative **1700** as a host to perform binding experiments with the guest series in chloroform solution (Table 1). In this solvent, the binding event should be mainly driven by polar interactions (hydrogen-bonding, CH- $\pi$ ,  $\pi$ - $\pi$ , NH- $\pi$ ) and van der Waals forces. As expected, the slope of the line defined by the  $\Delta G$  values in chloroform is also negative reflecting the substantial contribution of hydrogen-bonding to guest binding in the non-polar and non-protic solvent. The two lines have a marked separation in the region defined by the guests with poor hydrogen-bonding accepting abilities.

The separation between them could be used to quantify the contribution of the hydrophobic effect to binding as  $\sim 2.5$ – $3.0$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for guests with  $\beta < 7$ . In contrast, the hydrophobic effect seems to have a reduced contribution to the binding for guests with  $\beta \sim 9$  (0.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and the two lines tend to intersect. However, a detailed analysis of the ITC results for guests with  $\beta \sim 9$  paints a different picture (Table 1). Significant negative entropy values are measured in chloroform solution, where the hydrophobic driving force is not in play ( $-3.3$  to  $-6.0$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K). In contrast, in water, where the hydrophobic driving force is turned on the entropy values become close to zero or slightly negative. Overall, an average of 3.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is added to the entropy values in water compared to those in chloroform, which is an important factor to understand why the free energy values are almost identical in both solvents. An enthalpy-entropy compensation effect hides the beneficial impetus of the hydrophobic effect (2.5–3.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) to the binding of the more polar guests if we only consider the free energy values. Unfortunately, the lack of entropy values for the binding of the less polar guests, **9** and **10**, does not allow us to provide the corresponding quantification of the hydrophobic effect. Taken together, the obtained results indicate that water and the hydrophobic effect are very important in enhancing the binding affinity of the polar six-membered cyclic guests for **100** in comparison to **1700** in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution. Jiang *et al.* proposed the release, upon complex formation, of weakly hydrogen-bonded water molecules present in the cavity of the receptor as an important driving force for the efficient binding of polar guests in water using synthetic receptors.<sup>10,11</sup> We surmise that this process is also operative in the complexation of **100** with the polar guest series.

In the case at hand, we suggest that the plots of the free energy values vs.  $\beta$  are better evaluated considering that the slopes of the lines represent a composite of the hydrogen-bond donor properties of the host relative to that of the solvent ( $\alpha_{\text{Host}} - \alpha_{\text{solvent}}$ ).<sup>538</sup> The slope is larger for chloroform because water is a better hydrogen-bond donor. Hydrogen bonding interactions are better in chloroform because the solvent is less competitive. Nevertheless, the negative slope of the line defined by the  $\Delta G$  values in water indicates the better hydrogen-bond donor properties of the receptor compared to the solvent.

Having achieved a  $\Delta G$  value of  $-9.2$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the binding of pyridine *N*-oxide **12** by the synthetic receptor **100** in water is highly remarkable owing to the reduced size and surface of the guest. The hydrophobic effect is known to be proportional to the hydrophobic surface contact of the bound guest.<sup>39</sup> Our previous attempts to bind **12** with water soluble calix[4]pyrrole receptors being conformationally more flexible and having a shallower aromatic cavity provided  $\Delta G = -6.2$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>17</sup>

### Comparison of the binding properties of **100** with the reference receptors **110**, **2** and **3**

In order to evaluate which structural features of **100** were responsible for its excellent binding properties, we assessed the thermodynamic constant of the reference calix[4]pyrrole receptors **110**, **2** and **3** with the lactam series (Table 2). Receptor **110** displays a conformational restriction analogous to **100** but features one PO group inwardly directed. Calix[4]pyrrole **2** is also a cavitand featuring the replacement of the PO bridging units of **1** by methylene groups. Finally, receptor **3** is not cavitated and thus, conformationally more flexible. The direct comparison of the thermodynamic binding constants of different receptors is not exempt of shortcomings owing to dissimilar solvation/desolvation processes. Nevertheless, the direct comparison of thermodynamic constants is expected to provide general guidelines explaining the superior binding properties of **100**. These guidelines may be transferred to the design of other effective hydrogen-bonding receptors working in water solution.

Consistently, the **100** isomer delivers a thermodynamic advantage of *ca.* 1.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in the binding of the cyclic lactam series in water with respect to the **110** counterpart (Tables 1 and 2).

We assign this difference to the need for partial desolvation of the inwardly directed PO group upon complex formation in the case of **110**. That is, in the inclusion complexes of the **110** receptor the inwardly directed PO group is partially shielded from solvation owing to its proximity to the hydrophobic section of the included guest (Fig. 9). In contrast, the outwardly directed PO groups of the **100** receptor are not strongly affected by solvation processes upon complex formation. In short, receptor's polar groups experiencing desolvation upon complex formation and not being compensated by establishing polar interactions with the guest are detrimental to binding affinity.






Receptor **100** shows a thermodynamic advantage of *ca.* 0.2–0.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> in the binding of the lactam series compared to **2** and **3**. Most likely, these energetic differences are related to different desolvation/solvation processes experienced by the receptors and their complexes. Unfortunately, these processes are difficult to rationalize and to take into account during receptor's design.

The similar binding constant values measured for the complexes of the lactam series with receptors **2** and **3** demonstrate that the bridging of the *meso*-phenyl rings in order to reduce the conformational flexibility of the receptor has a limited impact on binding affinity. In water, solvation/






**Table 2** Thermodynamic constants at 298 K for the 1 : 1 complexes of the receptors **1io**, **2** and **3** in H<sub>2</sub>O or D<sub>2</sub>O [association constant  $K_a$  (M<sup>-1</sup>), free Gibbs energy  $\Delta G$  (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $\Delta H$  enthalpy (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and entropy  $T\Delta S$  (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>)]

Guest	Receptor											
	<b>1io</b>				<b>2</b>				<b>3</b>			
	$K_a \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta G$	$\Delta H$	$T\Delta S$	$K_a \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta G$	$\Delta H$	$T\Delta S$	$K_a \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta G$	$\Delta H$	$T\Delta S$
 <b>4</b>	$0.35 \pm 0.01^a$	-4.8	-6.5	-1.7	$1.24 \pm 0.04^a$	-5.5	-9.1	-3.6	$0.55 \pm 0.11^a$	-5.1	-7.4	-2.3
 <b>5</b>	$0.94 \pm 0.04^a$	-5.4	-6.7	-1.3	$5.94 \pm 0.13^a$	-6.4	-8.7	-2.3	$4.13 \pm 0.07^a$	-6.2	-7.2	-1.0
 <b>6</b>	$2.82 \pm 0.01^a$	-6.1	-8.0	-1.9	$7.05 \pm 0.22^a$	-6.5	-8.2	-1.7	$7.10 \pm 0.40^a$	-6.5	-5.9	0.6
 <b>7</b>	$0.009 \pm 0.002^b$	-2.7	nd	nd	$0.02 \pm 0.01^b$	-3.1	nd	nd	$0.05 \pm 0.01^b$	-3.7	nd	nd
 <b>8</b>	$0.005 \pm 0.002^b$	-2.3	nd	nd	$0.008 \pm 0.001^b$	-2.6	nd	nd	$0.08 \pm 0.01^b$	-3.9	nd	nd

<sup>a</sup> ITC titration experiments were repeated at least twice, and the reported  $K_a$  value is the average of the values obtained from the fit of the integrated heat data to a 1 : 1 binding model. <sup>b</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration experiments were also repeated at least twice, and the reported  $K_a$  is the average value returned from the fit of the chemical shift changes observed for the diagnostic proton signals to a 1 : 1 binding isotherm. Error values are reported as standard deviations. Error values for  $K_a$  are reported as standard deviations. Errors values for  $\Delta G$ ,  $\Delta H$  and  $T\Delta S$  are determined to be less than 10%. nd not determined. See the ESI for experimental details of the titrations.



**Fig. 9** Top view of the energy minimized **6@1io** complex depicted as a CPK model. The  $\gamma$ -butyrolactam **6** is shown in yellow. The van der Waals contact between the methylene of the bound guest and the oxygen atom of the inwardly directed PO group of the receptor demands its partial desolvation.

desolvation processes seem to be more important to define the entropy term of binding than the receptor's preorganization.

### General considerations of this study

In water, the formation of the studied 1 : 1 inclusion complexes is highly enthalpy driven. The entropy term represents a small positive contribution to binding for the complexes of the **100** receptor. On the other hand, it opposes binding, although to a reduced extent, in most of the complexes of receptors **1io**, **2** and **3**. The significant enthalpic contribution to binding results from a combination of host-guest interactions, including hydrogen bonding, CH- $\pi$ , and/or NH- $\pi$  interactions. In water, the hydrogen bonding interactions of the 1 : 1 inclusion complexes of four-wall aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole receptors are very efficient because they are shielded from bulk water molecules. This shielding is provided by the well-defined

aromatic cavity of the bound receptors that is closed at one end. The only open end of the cavity is blocked to the passage of water molecules due to the bound guest. The dissociation of the complex by water solvation requires a conformational change of the receptor.

The hydrophobic effect plays an important enthalpic and entropic role in promoting the formation of the 1 : 1 inclusion complexes of aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole receptors. Enthalpically, through the release of weakly hydrogen-bonded solvating water molecules that reorganize in the bulk forming stronger hydrogen-bonding interactions and also by increasing dispersion interactions in the complex in comparison to the solvated solutes. Entropically, because the solvating water molecules are highly organized and their release to the bulk solution increases disorder. The 1 : 1 inclusion complexes are structurally very well-defined and their formation requires extensive desolvation of large hydrophobic surfaces and polar groups of both the host and guest.<sup>40</sup>

It is worth noting that some of the polar compounds used in this study were investigated previously as guests with other synthetic receptors working in water and aqueous solutions.<sup>9,11,12,38</sup> Without exception the affinity values displayed by **100** for these guests are several orders of magnitude larger than the ones reported in the literature.

## Conclusions

We report the synthesis of unprecedented water-soluble aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole derivatives. The synthetic strategy allowed the functionalization of both upper and lower rims of the receptors. In particular, the upper rim functionalization leading



to the bis-phosphonate cavitand with two outwardly directed PO groups, **100**, has a positive impact on its binding properties compared to the in-out stereoisomer, **110**, the methylene bridged analogue, **2**, and the calix[4]pyrrole counterpart **3**. The results of the binding studies of the receptors with a library of neutral polar guests performed in water, using  $^1\text{H}$  NMR titrations and ITC experiments, demonstrated the formation of thermodynamically and kinetically stable 1 : 1 inclusion complexes. Despite the well-known competitive nature of water for hydrogen bonding, some guests were bound with affinity constants larger than  $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ . We assessed the hydrogen-bonding and hydrophobic contributions to binding by comparing the thermodynamic signatures of the processes in water and chloroform solutions. Both contributions turned out to be highly relevant. Remarkably, the more hydrophilic guests were bound more strongly in both water and chloroform solutions. Surprisingly, the polar aromatic cavity of the calix[4]pyrrole **100** receptor exhibited better hydrogen bond donor properties than bulk water. The reason why these receptors work well in water is probably related to the difficult access of the water molecules to the polar groups in the complexes and its reduced energetic cost of solvation compared to the free counterparts.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Gobierno de España MINECO and FEDER (CTQ2017-84319-P), and the CERCA Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya and AGAUR (2017 SGR 1123) for funding. G. P. thanks MINECO for a FPI fellowship. We also thank Dr Eduardo C. Escudero-Adán, X-ray Diffraction Unit of ICIQ, for help with the analysis of the X-ray crystallographic data and Dr Daniel Hernández-Alonso for experimental assistance and helpful discussions in the initial phases of the project.

## Notes and references

‡ TURBOMOLE V7.0 2015, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1989–2007, TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007; available from <http://www.turbomole.com>.

§ As described by Hunter and Ward,<sup>38</sup> we used the slope of the correlation in water for guests **6**, **9**–**12** to estimate an effective H-bond donor parameter for **100**. The slope value is  $-1.3$ , which corresponds to  $-(\alpha_{100} - \alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}})$ , because  $\alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.8$ , we calculated  $\alpha_{100} = 4.1$ . Thus, the binding pocket of **100** provides an effective H-bond donor interaction that is comparable to a strong H-bond donor *i.e.* phenol. The slope ( $-2.1$ ) of the free-energy correlation for the same guests in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  solution assigned a H-bond donor parameter for **1700** of  $\alpha_{1700} = 4.3$ , as  $\alpha_{\text{CHCl}_3} = 2.2$ . Remarkably, the results of the estimated H-bond donor abilities for an aryl-extended calix[4]pyrrole core are quite consistent for the two solvents.

- 1 G. V. Oshovsky, D. N. Reinhoudt and W. Verboom, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 2366–2393.
- 2 E. A. Kataev and C. Müller, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 137–167.
- 3 Y. Kato, M. M. Conn and J. Rebek, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1995, **92**, 1208–1212.
- 4 F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1988, **27**, 362–386.

- 5 N. M. Green, *Biochem. J.*, 1963, **89**, 599–609.
- 6 A. P. Davis, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 3629–3638.
- 7 B. Sookcharoenpinyo, E. Klein, Y. Ferrand, D. B. Walker, P. R. Brotherhood, C. Ke, M. P. Crump and A. P. Davis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 4586–4590.
- 8 C. Ke, H. Destecroix, M. P. Crump and A. P. Davis, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 718.
- 9 G.-B. Huang, S.-H. Wang, H. Ke, L.-P. Yang and W. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14550–14553.
- 10 L.-L. Wang, Z. Chen, W.-E. Liu, H. Ke, S.-H. Wang and W. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8436–8439.
- 11 H. Yao, H. Ke, X. Zhang, S.-J. Pan, M.-S. Li, L.-P. Yang, G. Schreckenbach and W. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 13466–13477.
- 12 A. Lascaux, G. De Leener, L. Fusaro, F. Topic, K. Rissanen, M. Luhmer and I. Jabin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 738–746.
- 13 A. Lascaux, G. Delahousse, J. Ghostin, J. P. Bouillon and I. Jabin, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, 5272–5278.
- 14 P. Anzenbacher, K. Jursíková, V. M. Lynch, P. A. Gale and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 11020–11021.
- 15 L. Bonomo, E. Solari, G. Toraman, R. Scopelliti, C. Floriani and M. Latronico, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, 2413–2414.
- 16 B. Verdejo, G. Gil-Ramírez and P. Ballester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 3178–3179.
- 17 D. Hernández-Alonso, S. Zankowski, L. Adriaenssens and P. Ballester, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 1022–1029.
- 18 L. Adriaenssens, C. Estarellas, A. Vargas Jentzsch, M. Martínez Belmonte, S. Matile and P. Ballester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8324–8330.
- 19 M. Ciardi, A. Galán and P. Ballester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2047–2055.
- 20 T. Guinovart, D. Hernández-Alonso, L. Adriaenssens, P. Blondeau, M. Martínez-Belmonte, F. X. Rius, F. J. Andrade and P. Ballester, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2435–2440.
- 21 B. Cantadori, P. Betti, F. Boccini, C. Massera and E. Dalcanale, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2008, **20**, 29–34.
- 22 R. Pinalli, G. Brancatelli, A. Pedrini, D. Menozzi, D. Hernández, P. Ballester, S. Geremia and E. Dalcanale, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8569–8580.
- 23 C. A. Hunter, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5310–5324.
- 24 K. Ritter and M. Hanack, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 1285–1288.
- 25 V. Fiandanese, G. Marchese, V. Martina and L. Ronzini, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 4805–4808.
- 26 A. Díaz-Moscoso, D. Hernández-Alonso, L. Escobar, F. A. Arroyave and P. Ballester, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 226–229.
- 27 M. Ciardi, F. Tancini, G. Gil-Ramírez, E. C. Escudero Adán, C. Massera, E. Dalcanale and P. Ballester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13121–13132.
- 28 A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, **38**, 3098–3100.
- 29 J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1986, **33**, 8822–8824.
- 30 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.



- 31 A. Klamt and G. Schuurmann, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1993, 799–805.
- 32 R. Ahlrichs, M. Bär, M. Häser, H. Horn and C. Kölmel, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1989, **162**, 165–169.
- 33 M. Liu, X.-a. Mao, C. Ye, H. Huang, J. K. Nicholson and J. C. Lindon, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1998, **132**, 125–129.
- 34 S. Turega, W. Cullen, M. Whitehead, C. A. Hunter and M. D. Ward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 8475–8483.
- 35 A. J. Methereell, W. Cullen, N. H. Williams and M. D. Ward, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 1554–1560.
- 36 C. Allott, H. Adams, P. L. Bernad, C. A. Hunter, C. Rotger and J. A. Thomas, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2449–2450.
- 37 M. Whitehead, S. Turega, A. Stephenson, C. A. Hunter and M. D. Ward, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2744–2751.
- 38 S. Turega, M. Whitehead, B. R. Hall, A. Meijer, C. A. Hunter and M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 1122–1132.
- 39 N. T. Southall, K. A. Dill and A. D. J. Haymet, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **106**, 521–533.
- 40 F. Biedermann, V. D. Uzunova, O. A. Scherman, W. M. Nau and A. De Simone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15318–15323.

