

Dalton Transactions

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

rsc.li/dalton

Volume 53
Number 44
28 November 2024
Pages 17731-18056



ISSN 1477-9226

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, **53**, 17756

Molecular Fe(II)–Ln(III) dyads for luminescence reading of spin-state equilibria at the molecular level

Timothée Lathion,^{a,b} Neel Deorukhkar,^a Charlotte Egger,^a Homayoun Nozary^a and Claude Piguet^{*a}

Due to the primogenic effect, the valence shells of divalent iron Fe(II) ([Ar]3d⁶) and trivalent lanthanides Ln(III) ([Xe]4f^{*n*}) are compact enough to induce spin-state equilibrium for the 3d-block metal and atom-like luminescence for the 4f-block partner in Fe(II)–Ln(III) dyads. In the specific case of homoleptic pseudo-octahedral [Fe(II)N₆] units, programming spin crossover (SCO) around room temperature at normal pressure requires the design of unsymmetrical didentate five-membered ring chelating N^{II}N' ligands, in which a five-membered (benz)imidazole heterocycle (N) is connected to a six-membered pyrimidine heterocycle (N'). Benefiting from the *trans* influence, the facial isomer *fac*-[Fe(II)(N^{II}N')₃]²⁺ is suitable for inducing SCO properties at room temperature in solution. Its connection to luminescent [LnN₆O₃] chromophores working as non-covalent podates in the triple-stranded [Fe(II)Ln(L10)₃]⁵⁺ helicates (Ln = Nd, Eu) controls the facial arrangement around Fe(II). The iron-based SCO behaviour of the 3d–4f complex mirrors that programmed in the mononuclear scaffold. Because of the different electronic structures of high-spin and low-spin [Fe(II)N₆] units, their associated absorption spectra are different and modulate the luminescence of the appended lanthanide luminophore *via* intramolecular intermetallic energy transfers. It thus becomes possible to detect the spin state of the Fe(II) center, encoded by an external perturbation (*i.e.* writing), by lanthanide light emission (*i.e.* reading) in a single molecule and without disturbance. Shifting from visible emission (Ln = Eu) to the near-infrared domain (Ln = Nd) further transforms a wavy emitted signal intensity into a linear one, a protocol highly desirable for future applications in data storage and thermometry.

Received 28th June 2024,
Accepted 13th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4dt01868k

rsc.li/dalton

Introduction

Due to the lack of radial nodes for distance $r \neq 0$ in the wave functions of orbitals characterized by $n - l = 1$, where n and l are the principal and azimuthal quantum numbers, respectively, a property referred to as the primogenic effect,^{1–3} the electronic distributions remain compact in 2p, 3d and 4f valence shells,^{4–7} which limits overlap, covalency and perturbation by peripheral atoms (Fig. 1).

In the case of the complexation of N-donor ligands (nitrogen atoms have compact 2p valence orbitals) to [Ar]3d^{*n*} transition metal ions in coordination chemistry, the primogenic effect limits ligand-field splitting to such an extent that it becomes competitive with spin pairing energies produced by interelectronic repulsions (Fig. 1a). In contrast, the larger

expansion characterizing 4d-block systems produces large orbital overlap and ligand fields much larger than spin pairing interactions (Fig. 1b). Consequently, spin-state equilibria become accessible at moderate temperature and/or pressure ranges only for coordination complexes with 3d-block metallic centers.⁸ This phenomenon was recognized by Pauling in the late twenties, but in 1931 he erroneously resorted to hybridization and valence bond theory for tentatively explaining the existence of four unpaired electrons in paramagnetic [Fe(OH₂)₆]²⁺ (assigned to electrostatic Fe–O bonds) and no unpaired electron in diamagnetic [Fe(CN)₆]^{4–} (assigned to covalent Fe–C bonds).^{9,10} He however fully recognized that two molecular systems with different spin states could co-exist at a given temperature T , provided that the energy difference between them is comparable with thermal energy (mRT with $1 \leq m \leq 8$).^{11,12} The concomitant isolation by Cambi *et al.* of Fe(III) complexes with dithiocarbamate ligands displaying thermal spin-state equilibria is thus considered as the first experimental demonstration of what is known as the spin crossover (SCO) phenomenon.¹³ Its rationalization had to wait

^aDepartment of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, University of Geneva, 30 quai E. Ansermet, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland.
E-mail: Claude.Piguet@unige.ch

^bCNRS – CBM Rue Charles Sadron CS 80054, 45071 Orleans, Cedex 2, France






Fig. 1 The primogenic effect (lack of a radial node for $r \neq 0$ for the orbital with $n - l = 1$) illustrated by the radial densities $D(r) = r^2 R(r)^2$ for (a) the $n = 3$ shell of Fe(II) ,³ (b) the $n = 4$ shell of Ru(II) ³ and (c) the $n = 4$, 5 shells of Sm(III) .⁷ These figures have been adapted from ref. 3 (a and b), with permission from Science & AAAS, copyright 2019, and ref. 7 (c), with permission of American Chemical Society, copyright 2019.

for the development of the ligand field theory during the fifties (Fig. 2a, where Δ_{oct} stands for the ligand-field splitting of the d orbitals and B is the Racah parameter measuring interelectronic interactions),^{14–16} and its illustration by the Tanabe–Sugano diagrams (Fig. 2b).¹⁷ Searching for ligands compatible with spin-state equilibria in $3d^6\text{-Fe(II)}$ according to the criteria $\Delta_{\text{oct}}/B = 19 \pm 1$ (Fig. 2) proved difficult, if not impossible, for more than three decades. In 1953, Orgel¹⁸ first pointed out that the approach leading to Fig. 2b is misleading since the ligand-field splitting is different in the high-spin (HS) and low-spin (LS) electronic configurations due to the presence of electrons in the antibonding e_g^* orbitals for the HS configuration, which extends the $\text{Fe(II)}\text{-X}$ bonds by *circa* 10% (Fig. 3a).¹⁹ A corrected model (Fig. 3a) then established that ligands compatible with the induction of $\text{Fe(II)}\text{-SCO}$ indeed required $\Delta_{\text{oct}}^{\text{HS}}/B = 10 \pm 0.5$ or $\Delta_{\text{oct}}^{\text{LS}}/B = 17.5 \pm 1$ (green bands in Fig. 3b), whereas the $10.5 \leq \Delta_{\text{oct}}/B \leq 16.5$ domain is not accessible to any complex, since it is energetically more




Fig. 2 (a) Crystal field/ligand field approach to the spin crossover phenomenon for a d^6 electronic configuration in an octahedral complex (P is the electron spin pairing energy) and (b) Tanabe–Sugano diagram for a d^6 metal ion.

favourable for the complex to either contract and form a low-spin complex or to expand and form a high-spin complex (red band in Fig. 3b).^{20,21}

With this in mind, it is not so surprising that the first example of an iron(II) SCO system was reported by Madeja and König only in 1963 for heteroleptic $[\text{Fe}(\text{phen})_2\text{X}_2]$ complexes ($\text{X} = \text{halides or pseudohalides}$).²² Since then, hundreds (and probably thousands) of homo- and heteroleptic iron(II) complexes matching the green bands illustrated in Fig. 3b have been synthesized, published and regularly reviewed.^{8,19,20,23–31}

Although SCO processes can be induced by pressure, magnetic or electric field, light irradiation and the presence/absence of guest molecules,^{32–35} the most common perturbation is a change in temperature due to its facile application and measurement.⁸ Moreover, it is worth stressing here that the large majority of studies are conducted in the solid state for being able to induce SCO at any accessible temperature and for benefiting from long-range interactions that may result in abrupt spin transitions, cooperativity and hysteresis,^{36–38} properties that are required for the application of SCO materials in information storage.^{39–43} Studies in solution limit SCO processes to the single molecule level and remove coop-



Fig. 3 (a) Ligand field approach to the spin crossover phenomenon for a d^6 electronic configuration in an octahedral complex with specific ligand field strengths and (b) modified Tanabe–Sugano diagram for a d^6 metal ion.^{18–21}

erative effects, but weak intermolecular interactions with solvent molecules or counterions, or intramolecular communication in multinuclear systems may be exploited for some (ultra) fine tuning of spin-state equilibria.^{44–47} If we now turn our attention toward the trivalent lanthanides and their $[\text{Xe}] 4f^n$ electronic configurations, the primogenic effect is more pronounced than with the 3d-block systems, and the maximum of the radial distribution density of the $4f^n$ valence shell coincides with that of the filled $4d^{10}$ orbitals, while the significantly more expanded and filled $5s^2$ and $5p^6$ orbitals protect the $4f$ electrons from external perturbations (Fig. 1c).⁷ The resulting negligible ligand fields prevent the detection of spin-state equilibria at accessible temperatures and pressures, and additionally, the trivalent $4f$ -block centers of $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ retain their atomic electronic properties in their coordination complexes.^{48–51} Combining 3d-block $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ -SCO units with $4f$ -block atom-like luminophores, thanks to nitrogen-based segmental ligands, therefore provides switchable $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ – $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ dyads, in which the thermal writing of the magnetic infor-


mation on the iron center can be detected independently through the modulation of the lanthanide luminescence. This strategy seems particularly promising for the design of quantum switches and thermal sensors at the level of a single molecule, where both room temperature SCO and adjustable Ln -based luminescence can be simultaneously programmed.^{41,52–54} The specific $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ – $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ communication occurring at the single molecular level considered in this Frontier article is reminiscent of the recent interest in designing solid-state multifunctional magnetic/optical lanthanide-containing materials, where the different outputs can be combined for deciphering the electronic structures and for extending applications in molecular Q-bit design and thermometry. These aspects are regularly reviewed,^{55–58} sometimes with specific focus on d–f interactions,^{59–61} but are not considered further in this contribution which is focused on the specific lanthanide-based luminescence reading of SCO spin-state equilibria occurring in isolated molecules in solution. Similarly, the optical consequences of SCO processes in solid-state magnetic materials are a topic of modern interest particularly in relation to modulating the absorption/emission spectra of neighbouring emissive probes (often polyaromatic ligands).^{25,39–43,52,54,62} Beyond the rare instance of enhanced luminescence reported for a Tb -spin crossover nanocomposite that allows spin state monitoring,⁶³ we highlight below what we believe to be the only cases of SCO-modulated $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ – $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ communications operating in single molecules in solution.⁶²

Tuning molecular pseudo-octahedral $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{N}_6]$ building blocks for inducing SCO around room temperature

A special approach to the SCO domain from the low-spin side (right part of the green bands, $\Delta_{\text{oct}}^{\text{LS}}/B = 17.5 \pm 1$ in Fig. 3b) is well-established for distorted pseudo-octahedral $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{N}_6]$ units, where N stands for heterocyclic nitrogen donors.^{19,24,26–29} For stability reasons, chelate didentate $\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}$ or tridentate $\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}$ ligands are preferred over monodentate analogues. Additionally, Shatruk and coworkers recommended the relevant use of unsymmetrical didentate $\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}'$ ligands with five-membered chelating α, α' -diimine donor groups obtained by the connection of a five-membered aromatic heterocycle (imidazole, pyrazole, benzimidazole, *etc.*) with a six-membered heterocycle (pyridine, pyrazine, pyrimidine, *etc.*) in order to achieve suitable ligand-field strengths for SCO behaviour in $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}')_3]^{2+}$ complexes.⁶⁴ With this in mind, a series of these complexes has been prepared in which a common alkylated benzimidazole group (5-membered heterocycle) is connected to a 6-membered heterocyclic pyridine (**L1**–**L3**), pyrazine (**L4**–**L5**) or pyrimidine ring (**L6**–**L7**) possessing tunable electronic properties (σ -donor/ π -acceptor) relevant to SCO⁶⁵ and specific steric constraints (methyl groups, Fig. 4).^{66–68} The pseudo-octahedral $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}')_3]^{2+}$ complexes with $\text{N}^{\text{II}}\text{N}' = \text{L1}$, **L4**, **L6** and **L7** undergo thermally-induced spin crossover (SCO)



a)

Complex	ΔH_{SCO} / kJ·mol ⁻¹	ΔS_{SCO} / J·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹	$T_{1/2}$ /K	Ligand
[Fe(L1) ₃] ²⁺	28.3(4)	91(1)	309(6)	
[Fe(L2) ₃] ²⁺	HS	HS	HS	
[Fe(L3) ₃] ²⁺	HS	HS	HS	
[Fe(L4) ₃] ²⁺	35.0(3)	100(1)	349(5)	
[Fe(L5) ₃] ²⁺	HS	HS	HS	
[Fe(L6) ₃] ²⁺	19.5(2)	71.3(6)	273(3)	
[Fe(L7) ₃] ²⁺	23.0(2)	82.5(8)	279(1)	




Enthalpy/entropy compensation: $\Delta H_{\text{SCO}} \text{ (J·mol}^{-1}\text{)} = 601(103)\Delta S_{\text{SCO}} - 24936(9020)$

Transition temperature: $T_{1/2} \text{ (K)} = \frac{\Delta H_{\text{SCO}}}{\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}} = 601(103) - \frac{24936(9020)}{\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}}$

Fig. 4 (a) Enthalpies (ΔH_{SCO}), entropies (ΔS_{SCO}) and critical transition temperatures $T_{1/2} = \Delta H_{\text{SCO}}/\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}$ and (b) linear enthalpy/entropy compensation for spin crossover processes operating for [Fe(II)(Lk)₃]²⁺ in acetonitrile.^{66–68}

processes in acetonitrile during which the compact and enthalpically-favored low-spin (LS) diamagnetic ground state (¹A₁ in O_h symmetry) can be switched toward its expanded and entropically favored high-spin (HS) paramagnetic form (⁵T₂ in O_h symmetry) according to eqn (1) with $\Delta H_{\text{SCO}} > 0$ and $\Delta S_{\text{SCO}} > 0$ (Fig. 4).



In contrast, [Fe(II)(Lk)₃]²⁺ (Lk = L2, L3 and L5) remains purely high-spin at all temperatures because steric constraints due to peripheral methyl groups prevent the contraction of the Fe(II)–N bonds required for adopting the low-spin configuration. As expected for the extension of the Fe(II)–N bond length

accompanying the LS → HS spin transition, the minimum contact distance pertinent to the binding potential is not affected along the ligand series and linear enthalpy/entropy compensation occurs (Fig. 4b, yellow disks).^{69,70} The strong coupling regime, characterized by a negative free energy of compensation of $-25(9) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, is responsible for an hyperbolic dependency of the critical transition temperature $T_{1/2} = \Delta H_{\text{SCO}}/\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}$, i.e. the temperature at which the LS and HS configurations exist as a 1 : 1 mixture, as a function of the entropy (Fig. 4b, red disks). Consequently, $T_{1/2}$ is minimum for the smallest SCO entropy changes and the 2-benzimidazole-pyridimidine ligand L6 seems to be the best candidate to induce SCO behaviour around room temperature ($T_{1/2} = 279(1) \text{ K}$).⁶⁸



However, one should not underestimate the importance of meridional/facial isomerism for $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{N}^{\text{N}})_3]^{2+}$ complexes exhibiting the SCO processes,²⁹ particularly for data recorded in solution where no long-range intermolecular constraints are present and both isomers co-exist in variable and non-negligible amounts (Fig. 5a).

In this context, the variable-temperature ^1H -NMR speciation of the diamagnetic $[\text{Zn}(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ model complex in acetonitrile (Fig. 5b) gave linear van't Hoff plots from which the thermodynamic characteristics of the isomerization equilibrium became accessible.⁶⁸ The slightly negative enthalpy $\Delta H_{\text{mer} \rightarrow \text{fac}}^{\text{Zn,L6}} = -2.8(1) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ observed for the *mer* $\rightarrow$ *fac* isomerization points to a stabilization of the latter isomer due to the thermodynamic *trans* influence.⁷¹ The opposite positive entropic contribution $-T\Delta S_{\text{mer} \rightarrow \text{fac}}^{\text{Zn,L6}} = 4.8(1) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at room temperature, which combines the statistical gain in degrees of freedom for the meridional isomer with some unfavorable organization of the second sphere solvent molecules around the facial isomer,⁷¹ stabilizes the alternative meridional isomer. Reasonably assuming that the *mer/fac* speciation measured for $[\text{Zn}(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ also holds for $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ under the same conditions, the analysis of the magnetic susceptibility curves as a function of temperature provides the mole fraction of $\text{HS-}[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ (black trace in Fig. 6), which can be split into two contributions specifically assigned to *mer*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ (red trace in Fig. 6) and *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ (blue trace in



Fig. 6 Mole fractions of $\text{HS-}[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ for meridional and facial isomers in acetonitrile as a function of temperature during the spin transition.⁶⁸

Fig. 6), respectively.⁶⁸ As expected from the stabilizing *trans* influence that strengthens the $\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{-N}$ bonds in *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$, $\Delta H_{\text{SCO}}^{\text{fac}} > \Delta H_{\text{SCO}}^{\text{mer}}$ and the critical transition temperatures $T_{1/2} = \Delta H_{\text{SCO}}/\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}$ of the two isomers diverge, a trend further boosted by $\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}^{\text{fac}} > \Delta S_{\text{SCO}}^{\text{mer}}$ (Fig. 6). Altogether, $T_{1/2}^{\text{mer}} = 258(21) \text{ K}$ and $T_{1/2}^{\text{fac}} = 309(12) \text{ K}$ make *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ the best candidate for inducing SCO around room temperature.

Lanthanide-based luminescence detection of $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ SCO in single (supra) molecules

Helicate self-assembly^{72,73} appears to be well-suited for connecting *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{Lk})_3]^{2+}$ ($\text{Lk} = \text{L1}, \text{L4}, \text{L6}$) building blocks to luminescent nine-coordinated emissive trivalent lanthanide cations working as a non-covalent tripod in $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Ln}(\text{Lk})_3]^{5+}$ ($\text{Lk} = \text{L8-L10}$, $\text{Ln} = \text{Nd}, \text{Eu}$; Fig. 7a).^{66,74-76} As expected from the data collected for mononuclear models, the associated $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Eu}(\text{Lk})_3]^{5+}$ triple-stranded helicates exhibit SCO in solution with critical transition temperatures stepwise decreasing in the order $T_{1/2}([\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Eu}(\text{L9})_3]^{5+}) = 412(8) \text{ K}$, pyrazine-benzimidazole) $> T_{1/2}([\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Eu}(\text{L8})_3]^{5+}) = 344(3) \text{ K}$, pyridine-benzimidazole) $> T_{1/2}([\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Eu}(\text{L10})_3]^{5+}) = 317(1) \text{ K}$, pyrimidine-benzimidazole), the latter heterometallic complex being unique for approaching room temperature SCO (Fig. 7b). Moreover, the spin transition in $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Eu}(\text{L10})_3]^{5+}$ almost exactly fits that found in the mononuclear *fac*- $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ model (compare green and orange traces in Fig. 7b).

The choice of $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ along the 4f block series has only minor influence on the SCO properties of the appended $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{N}_6]$ unit, but the resulting luminescence drastically depends on the selected lanthanide. The $\text{Ln}(\text{III})$ -based light emission process can be highlighted by a simple kinetic model, which considers simultaneously the $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ -based SCO process and its influence on the photophysical properties of the lanthanide emitter in $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})\text{Ln}(\text{L10})_3]^{5+}$ (Fig. 8). Beyond the well-known radiative ($k_{\text{rad}}^{\text{Ln}}$), responsible for luminescence with a maximum



Fig. 5 (a) Meridional to facial isomerization of $[\text{Fe}(\text{II})(\text{L6})_3]^{2+}$ occurring in acetonitrile and (b) associated speciation as a function of temperature (the circles correspond to the experimental data estimated by variable-temperature ^1H -NMR).⁶⁸





Fig. 7 (a) Self-assembly of triple-stranded $[\text{Fe(II)Eu(Lk)}_3]^{5+}$ helicates in acetonitrile and (b) associated SCO properties showing the mole fractions of HS- $[\text{Fe(II)Eu(Lk)}_3]^{5+}$ as a function of temperature.^{66,75,76} The SCO curve for *fac*- $[\text{Fe(II)(L6)}_3]^{2+}$ (dashed orange trace) has been added for comparison purposes.



Fig. 8 (a) Fe(II)-modulated light downshifting operating in $[\text{Fe(II)Ln(L10)}_3]^{5+}$ helicates (ET = energy transfer) and (b) associated four-states kinetic model.

at 612 nm for Ln = Eu and at 1064 nm for Ln = Nd, and non-radiative (mainly of vibrational origin: $k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{non-rad}}$) contributions to the global relaxation process ($k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{relax}} = k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{rad}} + k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{non-rad}}$), the presence of the appended SCO Fe(II) center provides two additional quenching pathways *via* Ln $\rightarrow$ Fe(II) energy transfers toward the low-spin (k_{LS}^q) or high-spin (k_{HS}^q) states, respectively (Fig. 8).^{75–77}

In the absence of intermetallic energy transfers ($k_{\text{HS}}^q = 0$ and $k_{\text{LS}}^q = 0$), for instance, when Fe(II) is replaced with closed-shell Zn(II) in $[\text{ZnLn(L10)}_3]^{5+}$, the Ln-based luminescence is strictly controlled by $k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{relax}} = k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{rad}} + k_{\text{Ln}}^{\text{non-rad}}$, and one can roughly predict (and observe for Ln = Eu)⁷⁶ a simple decrease of the steady-state luminescence intensity with increasing temperature. In $[\text{Fe(II)Ln(L10)}_3]^{5+}$, the relaxation of the excited Ln* state is further affected by the two specific Ln $\rightarrow$ Fe(II) energy transfers ($k_{\text{LS}}^q \neq k_{\text{HS}}^q \neq 0$), given that the distribution of each spin state is temperature-dependent *via* $x_{\text{HS}}/x_{\text{LS}} = k_{\text{LH}}/k_{\text{HL}} = K_{\text{SCO}} = \exp(\Delta S_{\text{SCO}}/R - \Delta H_{\text{SCO}}/RT)$. Focusing on the energy transfer theory, the intermetallic communication obeys the Fermi golden rule (eqn (2)), where $W_{\text{DA}}^{\text{intra}}$ is the rate constant for the resonant energy transfer from the donor (Ln(III)) toward the acceptor (LS-Fe(II) or HS-Fe(II)), $\langle \psi_{\text{DA}} | H | \psi_{\text{D}^*} \rangle$ is the coupling between the two transition multipoles, expressed for a molecular D–A pair (H is the interaction Hamiltonian that mediates energy transfer from the excited donor D* to the ground-state acceptor A) and $\Omega_{\text{D,A}} = \int g_{\text{A}}(E) \cdot g_{\text{D}}(E) dE$ is the spectral overlap integral ensuring energy conservation, with $g_{\text{D}}(E)$ and $g_{\text{A}}(E)$ being the normalized line shape functions for the homo-

geneous lines of the donor (Ln(III)-based emission spectrum) and acceptor (Fe(II)-absorption spectrum), respectively.⁷⁸

$$W_{D,A}^{\text{intra}} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \langle \psi_{DA} | H | \psi_{D'A} \rangle^2 \Omega_{D,A} \quad (2)$$

Having the absorption spectra of LS-[Fe(II)N₆] (purple trace in Fig. 9a) and HS-[Fe(II)N₆] (orange trace in Fig. 9a) at hand, it is easy to program $\Omega_{D,A} \neq 0$ when one considers the emission spectrum of Eu(III) (red trace in Fig. 9a). Moreover, it is anticipated that the energy matching conditions, as estimated by the spectral overlap integral $\Omega_{D,A}$, will contribute to set $k_{LS}^q > k_{HS}^q$ in [Fe(II)Eu(L10)₃]⁵⁺ and to induce some complicated variations in the luminescence with increasing temperature since

strongly quenching LS-[Fe(II)N₆] is stepwise transformed into weakly quenching HS-[Fe(II)N₆] (Fig. 9b). The kinetic model shown in Fig. 8b provides the steady-state emission intensities from the excited level of the lanthanide (Ln*) as summarized in eqn (3), from which various rate constants can be estimated by non-linear least-squares fits of the experimental normalized emissions recorded as a function of temperature (Fig. 9c; $k_A = k_{LH} + k_{Ln}^{\text{relax}} + k_{LS}^q$ and $k_B = k_{HL} + k_{Ln}^{\text{relax}} + k_{HS}^q$).⁷⁷

$$I_{S-S}^{\text{Ln}^*} = k_{Ln}^{\text{rad}} \left(N_{S-S}^{(2)} + N_{S-S}^{(3)} \right) \\ = N_0 k_{Ln}^{\text{exc}} k_{Ln}^{\text{rad}} \left(\frac{k_{LH}(k_A + k_{HL}) + k_{HL}(k_B + k_{LH})}{(k_{HL} + k_{LH})(k_A k_B - k_{HL} k_{LH})} \right) \quad (3)$$

The recalculated Eu-based intensities (dashed black trace in Fig. 9b) fairly reproduce the experimental data (red disks in Fig. 9b). As expected, $k_{LS}^q \gg k_{HS}^q$ at all temperatures (Fig. 9c), and the wavy shape of the emission curve in solution (230–330 K, Fig. 9b) can be easily explained by the opposite contributions of (i) the vibrational relaxation pathway (k_{Eu}^{relax}), which increases with increasing temperature and becomes dominant in the 230–270 K range, and (ii) the total Fe(II)-based quenching *via* energy transfer, which decreases when LS-[Fe(II)Eu(L10)₃]⁵⁺ is converted into HS-[Fe(II)Eu(L10)₃]⁵⁺ and becomes dominant in the 270–320 K range (Fig. 9b). One concludes that, for [Fe(II)Eu(L10)₃]⁵⁺, the wavy modulation of the emission intensity provides the required information for reading the appended Fe(II) spin state, but only in an indirect way since the concomitant vibrational relaxation processes must also be calibrated.

The ultimate Holy Grail in this writing/reading process should be a linear dependence between the amount of HS-[Fe(II)N₆] and the Ln(III)-based emission. The key to this problem involves constant vibrational relaxation within the 230–330 K range, along with sufficiently differentiated intermetallic Ln → HS-Fe(II) and Ln → LS-Fe(II) energy transfers modulating the lanthanide-based luminescence. The first condition can be easily met by replacing the visible Eu(III) emitter with Nd(III), which is known to emit in the near-infrared domain (maximum at 1064 nm) with a constant vibrational relaxation in the 230–330 K domain due the small energy gap with respect to the ground spectroscopic level in [Fe(II)Nd(L10)₃]⁵⁺.^{77,79} Focusing on the intramolecular intermetallic energy transfers in [Fe(II)Ln(L10)₃]⁵⁺, one can reasonably consider the operation of only through-space electric dipole/dipole interactions^{80,81} according to eqn (4),^{52,82} where κ^2 is an orientation factor, N_A is the Avogadro constant in mmol^{−1}, η is the refractive index of the medium, k_D^{rad} is the radiative rate constant of the donor and J_F is the normalized Förster spectral overlap integral in the wavenumber scale.⁸³

$$W_{D,A} = \frac{9 \ln(10) \kappa^2 k_D^{\text{rad}}}{128 \pi^5 N_A n^4 d_{DA}^6} \cdot J_F \quad \text{with} \quad J_F = \frac{\int_0^\infty I_D^{\text{v}} \varepsilon(\tilde{\nu}) (d\tilde{\nu}/\tilde{\nu}^4)}{\int_0^\infty I_D^{\text{v}} d\tilde{\nu}} \quad (4)$$

One immediately notices that $W_{D,A}$ is maximum, and thus pertinent to the efficient and versatile tuning of the residual emission of a Ln(III) sensitizer acting as an energy donor in [Fe(II)Ln(L10)₃]⁵⁺, when the latter complex possesses a large lanthanide radiative rate constant ($W_{D,A} \propto k_D^{\text{rad}}$) and a considerable spectral overlap integral ($W_{D,A} \propto J_F$) at low energy




Fig. 9 (a) Room temperature electronic absorption spectra recorded for [Fe(II)N₆] chromophores in [Fe(II)Ln(L10)₃]⁵⁺ (low spin: purple trace, high-spin: orange trace) and visible emission spectrum recorded for Eu(III) in [ZnEu(L10)₃]⁵⁺ (red trace) in acetonitrile.⁷⁶ (b) Experimental (red disks) and fitted (black dashed traces) normalized total integrated intensity ($I/I_{\text{max}} = I/I_{233 \text{ K}}$) for the emission of Eu(III) (red disks) in [Fe(II)Eu(L10)₃]⁵⁺ ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 333 \text{ nm}$)⁷⁶ and (c) associated rate constants obtained by fitting the experimental data with the kinetic model shown in Fig. 8b for luminescence monitoring of the Fe(II) spin-state using Ln(III) = Eu(III) ($k_{\text{Eu}}^{\text{relax}} = k_{\text{Eu}}^{\text{rad}} + k_{\text{Eu}}^{\text{non-rad}}$) in acetonitrile. This figure has been adapted from ref. 76 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2024.






Fig. 10 (a) Steady-state Nd($^4F_{3/2}$) NIR luminescence monitoring of the Fe(II) spin-state in the dinuclear $[\text{Fe(II)Nd(L10)}_3]^{5+}$ helicate in acetonitrile ($x_{\text{LS}} = 1 - x_{\text{HS}}$ is the mole fraction of low-spin Fe(II)) and (b) associated kinetic rate constants (see Fig. 8 for the kinetic scheme). This figure has been adapted from ref. 77 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2024.

($J_F \propto \bar{\nu}^{-4}$). In this context, replacing Eu(III) with Nd(III) to give $[\text{Fe(II)Nd(L10)}_3]^{5+}$ seems attractive because (i) the visible $\text{Eu}(^5D_0 \rightarrow ^7F_j)$ multiple emission is replaced by the lower energy near-infrared (NIR) $\text{Nd}(^4F_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_j)$ emission, (ii) the radiative constant of the donor $k_{\text{Nd}}^{\text{rad}}$ is increased by one order of magnitude because the involved intrashell $\text{Nd}(^4F_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_j)$ emission transitions are spin-allowed and (iii) the low-energy spectral overlap between the Nd-based emission spectrum and the $\text{Fe(II)}(^5E \leftarrow ^5T_2)$ absorption of HS-Fe(II)N_6 is largely improved.⁷⁷ The detection of the temperature-dependent NIR Nd(III)-based emission (800–1400 nm) in $[\text{Fe(II)Nd(L10)}_3]^{5+}$ indeed showed the long-awaited linear correlation with the mole fraction of HS-Fe(II)N_6 unit (Fig. 10a), which could be rationalized by the kinetic model shown in Fig. 8 and the set of rate constants presented in Fig. 10b.

Conclusions

Thanks to the pertinent analysis of the Tanabe–Sugano diagram established for $[\text{Ar}]3d^6$ (Fig. 3), efficient tuning of the

ligand field strength (Δ_{oct}) and nephelauxetic effect (B) allows a stepwise approach to the SCO domain in pseudo-octahedral $[\text{Fe(II)N}_6]^{2+}$ units. Stable-room-temperature Fe(II) spin-state equilibrium in solution requires the design of unsymmetrical didentate five-membered ring chelating ligands to give $[\text{Fe(II)}(\text{N}^{\text{N}}\text{N}')_3]^{2+}$, where N and N' are the nitrogen atoms belonging to a five-membered heterocycle (N) connected to a six-membered heterocycle (N'). Focusing on the most promising candidate 1-methyl-2-(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (**L6**) further requires a rational control of the isomerization processes since only $\text{fac-}[\text{Fe(II)(L6)}_3]^{2+}$ is adapted for acting as a building block exhibiting room temperature SCO processes in isolated molecular complexes in solution. With this in mind, one finally takes advantage of thermodynamic self-assembly processes for the quantitative connection of optimized $\text{fac-}[\text{Fe(II)(N}^{\text{N}}\text{N}')_3]^{2+}$, the organization of which is ensured by an appended luminescent non-covalent $[\text{LnN}_6\text{O}_3]$ tripod in the target $[\text{Fe(II)Nd(L10)}_3]^{5+}$ triple-stranded helicate. The intermetallic distance of circa 1 nm being compatible with intramolecular multipolar through-space energy transfers, the Ln(III)-based luminescence intensity can be modulated by the appended Fe(II) spin state since the spectral overlap integral is larger with LS-Fe(II) acceptors. Although not recognized at first sight, the authors finally realized that near-infrared Nd(III)-based emission provides the most attractive way to optically detect molecular spin-state equilibria, since a linear correlation exists between the spin state and luminescence, which paves the way to the unambiguous luminescence detection of the SCO process. If molecular switches have to be developed, the requirement of SCO-cooperativity associated with multinuclearity at the (supra)molecular level has to be considered seriously. Until now, polymetallic Fe(II)-SCO architectures (helicates,²⁸ grids⁴¹ and cages^{29,84–86}) had shown only limited deviations from the regular solution model, and substantial efforts are needed along these lines. Moreover, the possibility of inducing double luminescence detection with two different lanthanide ions in the same entity with specific responses remains a crucial challenge if ratiometric reading is to be implemented at the molecular level.⁸⁷

Data availability

Being a Frontier article, there is neither ESI nor new data.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged (grant 200020_207313).



References

- 1 P. Pykkö, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 563–594.
- 2 M. Kaupp, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2007, **28**, 320–325.
- 3 J. K. McCusker, *Science*, 2019, **363**, 484–488.
- 4 J. Joy and E. D. Jemmis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 1132–1143.
- 5 J. B. Mann, T. L. Meek, E. T. Knight, J. F. Capitani and L. C. Allen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 5132–5137.
- 6 H. G. Friedman Jr., G. R. Choppin and D. G. Feuerbacher, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1964, **41**, 354–358.
- 7 J. B. Lu, D. C. Cantu, M. T. Nguyen, J. Li, V. A. Glezakou and R. Rousseau, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2019, **15**, 5987–5997.
- 8 P. Gütllich and H. A. Goodwin, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2004, **233**, 1–47.
- 9 L. Pauling, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1931, **37**, 1185–1186.
- 10 L. Pauling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1931, **53**, 1367–1400.
- 11 L. Pauling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1932, **54**, 988–1003.
- 12 C. D. Coryell, F. Stitt and L. Pauling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1937, **59**, 633–642.
- 13 L. Cambi and L. Szego, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1931, **64**, 2591–2598.
- 14 C. K. Jorgensen, *Modern Aspects of Ligand Field Theory*, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-London, 1971.
- 15 B. N. Figgis and M. A. Hitchman, *Ligand Field Theory and Its Applications*, Wiley-VCH, New York, Chichester, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto, 2000.
- 16 D. M. P. Mingos, *Struct. Bonding*, 2016, **172**, 1–18.
- 17 Y. Tanabe and S. Sugano, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 1954, **9**, 766–779.
- 18 L. E. Orgel, Institut international de chimie Solvay (1956). Quelques problèmes de chimie minérale: rapports et discussions dixième Conseil de la chimie tenu à l'Université de Bruxelles du 22 au 26 mai 1956. Bruxelles: R. Stoops, 289–338, 1956. Available at permalink: https://dipot.ulb.ac.be/dspace/bitstream/2013/234836/3/DL2380881_000_f.pdf.
- 19 H. Toftlund, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **94**, 67–108.
- 20 P. Gütllich, A. Hauser and H. Spiering, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 2024–2054.
- 21 P. Gütllich and H. A. Goodwin, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2004, **233**, 49–58.
- 22 K. Madeja and E. König, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1963, **25**, 377–385.
- 23 P. Gütllich, Y. Garcia and H. A. Goodwin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2000, **29**, 419–427.
- 24 M. A. Halcrow, *Polyhedron*, 2007, **26**, 3523–3576.
- 25 A. Bousseksou, G. Molnar, L. Salmon and W. Nicolazzi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3313–3335.
- 26 M. A. Halcrow, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4119–4142.
- 27 H. L. C. Feltham, A. S. Barltrop and S. Brooker, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **344**, 26–53.
- 28 R. W. Hogue, S. Singh and S. Brooker, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7303–7338.
- 29 H. S. Scott, R. W. Staniland and P. E. Kruger, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **362**, 24–43.
- 30 K. S. Kumar, Y. Bayeh, T. Gebretsadik, F. Elemo, M. Gebrezgiabher, M. Thomas and M. Ruben, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 15321–15337.
- 31 B. Dey and V. Chandrasekhar, *Dalton Trans.*, 2022, **51**, 13995–14021.
- 32 C. Bartual-Murgui, A. Akou, C. Thibault, G. Molnár, C. Vieu, L. Salmon and A. Bousseksou, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 1277–1285.
- 33 A. Holovchenko, J. Dugay, M. Giménez-Marqués, R. Torres-Cavanillas, E. Coronado and H. S. J. van der Zant, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 7228–7233.
- 34 M. Mikolasek, M. D. Manrique-Juarez, H. J. Shepherd, K. Ridier, S. Rat, V. Shalabaeva, A. C. Bas, I. E. Collings, F. Mathieu, J. Cacheux, T. Leichle, L. Nicu, W. Nicolazzi, L. Salmon, G. Molnár and A. Bousseksou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8970–8979.
- 35 S. Chikara, J. Gu, X. G. Zhang, H. P. Cheng, N. Smythe, J. Singleton, B. Scott, E. Krenkel, J. Eckert and V. S. Zapf, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4043.
- 36 N. Sasaki and T. Kambara, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1989, **40**, 2442–2449.
- 37 O. Kahn, *Molecular Magnetism*, VCH Publishers, New York-Weinheim-Cambridge, 1993, pp. 53–86.
- 38 S. Brooker, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 2880–2892.
- 39 B. Weber, W. Bauer and J. Obel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 10098–10101.
- 40 B. Weber, E. S. Kaps, J. Obel, K. Achterhold and F. G. Parak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 10779–10787.
- 41 K. S. Kumar and M. Ruben, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **346**, 176–205.
- 42 Y. S. Meng and T. Liu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 1369–1379.
- 43 K. S. Kumar and M. Ruben, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 7502–7521.
- 44 S. G. Telfer, B. Bocquet and A. F. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 4818–4820.
- 45 H. Toftlund, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2001, **132**, 1269–1277.
- 46 B. Weber and F. A. Walker, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 6794–6803.
- 47 M. P. Shores, C. M. Klug and S. R. Fiedler, Spin-State Switching in Solution, in *Spin-Crossover Materials: properties and Applications*, ed. M. A. Halcrow, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, 2013, ch. 10, pp. 281–302.
- 48 V. S. Sastri, J.-C. Bünzli, V. R. Rao, G. V. S. Rayudu and J. R. Perumareddi, *Modern Aspects of Rare Earths and their Complexes*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003.
- 49 J.-C. G. Bünzli, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, ed. J.-C. G. Bünzli and V. K. Pecharsky, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2016, vol. 50, pp. 141–176.
- 50 D. Parker, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, ed. J.-C. G. Bünzli and V. K. Pecharsky, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2016, vol. 50, pp. 269–299.
- 51 *The Lanthanides and Actinides: Synthesis, Reactivity, Properties and Applications*, ed. S. T. Liddle, D. P. Mills and L. S. Natrajan, World Scientific, London, 2022.
- 52 H. J. Sheperd, C. M. Quintero, G. Molnar, L. Salmon and A. Bousseksou, Luminescent Spin-Crossover Materials, in



- Spin-Crossover Materials: properties and Applications*, ed. M. A. Halcrow, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, 2013, ch. 13, pp. 347–374.
- 53 B. Benaicha, K. Van Do, A. Yangu, N. Pirrala, A. Lussan, M. Sy, G. Bouchez, H. Fourati, C. J. Gomez-Garcia, S. Triki and K. Boukheddaden, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6791–6798.
 - 54 M. K. Javed, A. Sulaiman, M. Yamashita and Z. Y. Li, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **467**, 214625.
 - 55 R. Marin, G. Brunet and M. Murugesu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 1728–1746.
 - 56 K. Bernot, C. Daiguebonne, G. Calvez, Y. Suffren and O. Guillou, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **54**, 427–440.
 - 57 Y. Gil and D. Aravena, *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, **53**, 2207–2217.
 - 58 R. Jankowski, M. Wyczasany and S. Chorazy, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59.
 - 59 Y. C. Chen and M. L. Tong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 8716–8726.
 - 60 T. J. Penfold, J. O. Johansson and J. Eng, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **494**, 215346.
 - 61 M. K. Bera, S. Sarmah, D. C. Santra and M. Higuchi, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **501**, 215573.
 - 62 J. J. Zakrzewski, M. Liberka, J. Wang, S. Chorazy and S. I. Ohkoshi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **124**, 5930–6050.
 - 63 I. Suleimanov, O. Kraieva, G. Molnar, L. Salmon and A. Bousseksou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15098–15101.
 - 64 H. Phan, J. J. Hrudka, D. Igimbayeva, L. M. L. Daku and M. Shatruk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6437–6447.
 - 65 S. Rodríguez-Jiménez, M. Yang, I. Stewart, A. L. Garden and S. Brooker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 18392–18396.
 - 66 T. Lathion, L. Guénée, C. Besnard, A. Bousseksou and C. Piguet, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 16873–16888.
 - 67 N. Deorukhkar, T. Lathion, L. Guénée, C. Besnard and C. Piguet, *Chemistry*, 2020, **2**, 231–252.
 - 68 N. Deorukhkar, C. Besnard, L. Guenée and C. Piguet, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 1206–1223.
 - 69 D. M. Ford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 16167–16170.
 - 70 C. Piguet, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 8059–8071.
 - 71 L. Aboshyan-Sorgho, T. Lathion, L. Guénée, C. Besnard and C. Piguet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 13093–13104.
 - 72 C. Piguet, G. Bernardinelli and G. Hopfgartner, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2005–2062.
 - 73 M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3457–3497.
 - 74 C. Edder, C. Piguet, J.-C. G. Bünzli and G. Hopfgartner, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2001, **7**, 3014–3024.
 - 75 T. Lathion, A. Furstenberg, C. Besnard, A. Hauser, A. Bousseksou and C. Piguet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 1091–1103.
 - 76 N. Deorukhkar, C. Egger, L. Guenée, C. Besnard and C. Piguet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 308–318.
 - 77 N. Deorukhkar, C. Egger, A. Rosspeintner and C. Piguet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 19386–19396.
 - 78 A. Ito and T. J. Meyer, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 13731–13745.
 - 79 S. Comby and J.-C. G. Bünzli, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, ed. K. A. Gschneidner Jr, J.-C. G. Bünzli and V. K. Pecharsky, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2007, vol. 37, pp. 217–470.
 - 80 T. Förster, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.*, 1959, 7–17.
 - 81 P. A. Tanner, L. Zhou, C. Duan and K.-L. Wong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5234–5265.
 - 82 J. S. Lindsey, M. Taniguchi, D. F. Bocian and D. Holten, *Chem. Phys. Rev.*, 2021, **2**, 011302.
 - 83 S. E. Braslavsky, E. Fron, H. B. Rodríguez, E. S. Román, G. D. Scholes, G. Schweitzer, B. Valeur and J. Wirz, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2008, **7**, 1444–1448.
 - 84 S. Singh, R. W. Hogue, H. L. C. Feltham and S. Brooker, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 15435–15444.
 - 85 I. C. Berdiell, T. Hochdorffer, C. Desplanches, R. Kulmaczewski, N. Shahid, J. A. Wolny, S. L. Warriner, O. Cespedes, V. Schunemann, G. Chastanet and M. A. Halcrow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 18759–18770.
 - 86 M. Hardy, J. Tessarolo, J. J. Holstein, N. Struch, N. Wagner, R. Weisbarth, M. Engeser, J. Beck, S. Horiuchi, G. H. Clever and A. Lutzen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 22562–22569.
 - 87 C. Egger, L. Guenée, N. Deorukhkar and C. Piguet, *Dalton Trans.*, 2024, **53**, 6050–6062.

