



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2021, 23, 16795

Charge-transfer dynamics in van der Waals heterojunctions formed by thiophene-based semiconductor polymers and exfoliated franckeite investigated from resonantly core-excited electrons†

Yunier Garcia-Basabe,^a David Steinberg,^b Lara M. Daminelli,^a
Cesar D. Mendoza,^c E. A. Thoroh de Souza,^b Flavio C. Vicentin^d and
Dunieskys G. Larrudé^b

Organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions formed by a combination of 2D materials with semiconductor polymer films enable the fabrication of new device architectures that are interesting for electronic and optoelectronic applications. Here, we investigated the charge-transfer dynamics at the interface between 2D layered franckeite (Fr) and two thiophene-based conjugated polymers (PFO-DBT and P3HT) from the resonantly core-excited electron. The unoccupied electronic states of PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions were studied using near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (NEXAFS) and resonant Auger (RAS) synchrotron-based spectroscopies. We found evidence of ultrafast (subfemtosecond charge-transfer times) interfacial electron delocalization pathways from specific electronic states. For the interface between the PFO-DBT polymer and exfoliated franckeite, the most efficient interfacial electron delocalization pathways were found through $\pi^*(S-N)$ and $\pi^*(S-C)$ electronic states corresponding to the benzothiadiazole and thiophene units. On the other hand, for the P3HT polymer, we found that electrons excited to $\pi-\pi^*$ and $S1s-\pi^*(C-C)$ electronic states of the P3HT polymer are the most affected by the presence of exfoliated franckeite and consequently are the main interfacial electron-transfer pathways in this heterojunction. Our results have important implications in understanding how ultrafast electron delocalization is taking place in organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions, which is relevant information in designing new devices involving these systems.

Received 20th April 2021,
Accepted 1st July 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cp01694f

rsc.li/pccp

1 Introduction

Recently, hybrid organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions composed of organic semiconductor polymers and layered two-dimensional (2D) inorganic materials have become attractive options for designing and manufacturing the next generation of novel devices.^{1–4} Organic semiconductor polymers are an important component for fabricating organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions due to their chemical versatility,

mechanical flexibility, low-cost processing, and simple manufacturing process.^{5–8} Specifically, thiophene-based conjugated polymers have been extensively investigated due to their potential applications such as in organic photovoltaics (OPVs), organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) and organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs).^{9–13}

On the other hand, layered two-dimensional (2D) inorganic materials, such as graphene, hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), have been the most studied materials owing to their novel and unique physical properties.^{14–16} Recently, a naturally occurring sulfosalt franckeite has emerged as an attractive 2D layered material. Structurally, the franckeite mineral is formed by the alternated stacking of tin disulfide-based (SnS_2) and lead sulfide-based (PbS) layers.¹⁷ Franckeite is an air-stable p-type doping material and has a narrow band gap of below 0.7 eV.^{18,19} Although there have been few studies on franckeite, it is considered to have great potential in optoelectronic devices.^{20–22}

^a Universidade Federal da Integração Latino-Americana, UNILA, 85867-970, Foz do Iguaçu, Brazil

^b MackGráphe-Graphene and Nanomaterial Research Center, Mackenzie Presbyterian University, 01302-907, São Paulo, Brazil

^c Departamento de Física, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, 22451-900, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

^d Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS), Brazilian Center for Research in Energy and Materials (CNPEM), Campinas, 13083-970, São Paulo, Brazil

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1cp01694f

Here, we investigated the van der Waals heterojunctions formed by thiophene-based conjugated polymers and exfoliated layered francite. The main advantage of using layered francite in organic–inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions is for its p-type doping characteristics. By contrast, 2D layered materials like MoS₂ are generally n-type semiconductors.²³ Therefore, van der Waals heterojunctions using thiophene-based conjugated polymers and exfoliated layered francite can be an alternative in building a hybrid p–n heterojunction, the essential component in modern electronic devices.^{24–28} For this study, we selected two thiophene-based conjugated polymers to construct the organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions with exfoliated layered francite: (1) donor–acceptor copolymer organic films of PFO-DBT (poly[2,7-(9,9-dioctylfluorene)-*alt*-4,7-bis(thiophen-2-yl)benzo-2,1,3-thiadiazole]) composed of electron-rich thiophene and electron-deficient benzothiadiazole units, and (2) regioregular poly[3-hexylthiophene-2,5-diyl] (P3HT). These two polymers are electron-donor materials extensively studied for organic optoelectronic devices such as OPVs and OLEDs.^{29–34} Hence, they could be considered as a promising n-type part of the hybrid p–n heterojunction. The chemical structures of PFO-DBT and P3HT polymers and francite are displayed in Scheme 1. Two heterojunctions were achieved by stacking PFO-DBT and P3HT on top of exfoliated layered francite and were labeled as PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr, respectively.


In this regard, understanding how the charge-transfer process occurs at the interface between the organic polymer and the exfoliated layered francite in the p–n heterojunction is essential information for the design of more efficient optoelectronic devices. For this purpose, we used the core-hole clock (CHC) approach, using the core-hole lifetime as an internal reference clock for

dynamic processes.^{35,36} The CHC approach is an elementally sensitive and orbital-specific synchrotron-based spectroscopy method that is capable of reaching the charge-transfer dynamics on the attosecond (10^{-18} s) time scale.^{37,38}

In this paper, the charge-transfer time (τ_{CT}), calculated from the branching of competing core-hole decay channels, was used as a quantitative parameter to evaluate the electron-delocalization dynamics of excited electrons over the unoccupied electronic states of the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions. We found evidence of ultrafast interfacial electron-delocalization pathways from specific electronic states. For the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction, delocalization on a sub-femtosecond time scale was found for electrons excited in the $\pi^*(S-N)$ and $\pi^*(S-C)$ electronic states, corresponding to the benzothiadiazole and thiophene units, respectively. This result is strong evidence that both electronic states are the most efficient interfacial electron-delocalization pathways in the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction. On the other hand, for the P3HT/Fr heterojunction, we found electrons excited to the $\pi-\pi^*$ and $S1s-\pi^*(C-C)$ electronic states of the P3HT polymer as the main interfacial electron-transfer pathways in this heterojunction. These findings provide valuable insight in understanding how ultrafast electron delocalization is taking place in organic/inorganic van der Waals heterojunctions, which can motivate the design of new devices involving these systems.

2 Results and discussion

The elemental chemical compositions of the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions were estimated from the survey XPS



Scheme 1 Representation of the chemical structures of (a) PFO-DBT, (b) P3HT, and (c) francite.




Fig. 1 (a) XPS survey spectra of the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions. (b) and (c) Pb4f and Sn3d high-resolution XPS spectra of exfoliated franckeite and the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions, respectively. (d) S1s high-resolution XPS spectra of exfoliated franckeite and the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ and PFO-DBT/Fr thin films.

spectra, as shown in Fig. 1a. The core-level Pb4f, Sn3d, Sb4s, C1s, N1s (for PFO-DBT), and S2s peaks found in the spectra for PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr confirm the presence of the constituent elements in these heterojunctions. Additionally, Si2p and O1s core-level peaks are observed due to the SiO₂/Si substrate.

Fig. 1b and c shows the Pb4f and Sn3d high-resolution XPS spectra of both heterojunctions and pristine exfoliated franckeite. Two spin-orbit doublets are observed in the Pb4f and Sn3d XPS spectra of both heterojunctions, which are associated with the Pb²⁺, Pb⁴⁺, Sn²⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ oxidation states.¹⁹ Fig. 1d shows the S1s XPS spectra of exfoliated franckeite, PFO-DBT/SiO₂ and PFO-DBT/Fr. The S1s XPS spectrum of PFO-DBT/Fr is composed of three features. The feature at the binding energy of 2469.5 eV (green curve) is attributed to sulfur species in franckeite. The other two features at binding energies of 2471.5 eV and 2473.0 eV are associated with S–C (red curve) and S–N (blue curve) bonding in the benzothiadiazole and thiophene units, respectively. S1s XPS spectra corresponding to P3HT/SiO₂ and P3HT/Fr thin films are shown in Fig. S2 in the ESI.† More representative differences between the electronic structures of exfoliated franckeite and the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions are observed in the high-resolution Pb4f and Sn3d XPS spectra. From a comparison of the Pb4f XPS spectra, we notice an increase of the Pb⁴⁺ oxidation species for the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions. These results show that there is an electronic coupling between the polymer and franckeite.

On the other hand, from analysis of the Sn3d XPS spectra, it is possible to observe a blue shift (an increase in binding energy) in

the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions compared with the exfoliated franckeite thin film. Such a blue shift can be considered as evidence that confirms the electron transfer from the P3HT and PFO-DBT polymers to franckeite.³⁹

The unoccupied electronic structures of exfoliated franckeite/SiO₂, the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ copolymer thin film and the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction were investigated using sulfur K-edge NEXAFS spectra as displayed in Fig. 2. The franckeite structure is formed by alternating phase segregation of pseudo-hexagonal Sn-rich (SnS₂) and pseudo-tetragonal Pb-rich (PbS) layers, separated by a van der Waals gap. The S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum of exfoliated franckeite is described as a combination of the SnS₂ and PbS phases. The S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum of the PbS layer is characterized by transitions from S1s to mixed S3p and Pb6p unoccupied electronic states in the conduction band.^{40,41} On the other hand, the S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum of the SnS₂ layer is formed by transitions from core-level S1s to antibonding states made up of S3p states mixed with Sn5s and Sn5p states.^{42,43}

Four features characterized the sulfur K-edge NEXAFS spectrum of the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ donor–acceptor copolymer (see the inset of Fig. 2b): the B1 (2471.8 eV) and B2 (2473.5 eV) signals are attributed to the S 1s–π*(S–N) and σ*(S–N) transitions, respectively, of the benzothiadiazole unit,^{44,45} while the T1 (2472.5 eV) and T2 (2474.5 eV) signals correspond to the S 1s–π* and σ* transitions, respectively, of the thiophene unit.^{44,45} For the PFO-DBT/Fr sample, the sulfur K-edge NEXAFS spectrum is formed by a convolution of the PFO-DBT copolymer and franckeite unoccupied electronic states.




Fig. 2 Sulfur K-edge NEXAFS thin-film spectra of (a) exfoliated francite/SiO₂, (b) PFO-DBT/SiO₂, and (c) PFO-DBT/Fr.

The angular dependence of S K-edge NEXAFS spectra for PFO-DBT/Fr is shown in Fig. 3. This figure shows the spectra collected at normal (90°) and grazing (15°) incidence angles. According to this analysis, we can see that the intensities corresponding to S 1s- $\pi^*(\text{S-N})$ of the benzothiadiazole unit (B1) and S 1s- $\pi^*(\text{S-C})$ of the thiophene unit (T1) electronic

transitions increase in the spectrum collected at a normal incident angle and decrease when compared with the spectrum collected at a grazing angle. An opposite tendency is observed for the S 1s- $\sigma^*(\text{S-C}, \text{T2})$ and S 1s- $\sigma^*(\text{S-N}, \text{B2})$ transitions. This tendency suggests that the thiophene and benzothiadiazole units in the PFO-DBT copolymer are almost coplanar, presenting a nearly





Fig. 3 Polarized S K-edge NEXAFS dependence for the PFO-DBT/Fr thin film. The incident angle with respect to the film surface is also displayed.

edge-on average molecular orientation in the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction.^{46,47}

The electron delocalization and interfacial charge-transfer (CT) dynamics processes in the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction were investigated using the core-hole clock approach from the S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ resonant Auger decay spectra. A detailed description of the core-hole clock approach and its application to thiophene-based polymers can be found elsewhere.^{39,44,48–50} Typically, the decay of a core excitation yields a spectral signature that is characteristic for a given system. The different decay Auger processes due to a core-level excitation are summarized in Scheme 2. They can be divided in two categories: resonant and non-resonant decay processes. Inside the resonant category, we can find two different decay processes: participator and spectator. In the participator decay channel, the excited electron is involved in the core-hole decay process, leaving the system with one hole (1h) in the valence band, while in the spectator process (Scheme 2B), the excited electron stays in the unoccupied valence states and does not participate in the decay process, with two-hole and one-electron final states (2h1e). The focus in this analysis is the spectator (SP) Auger decay process (Scheme 2B), which is the main resonant decay channel due to S1s core-level excitation.^{39,44,46,48–51} The other possible Auger decay channel is when the electron is transferred out of the atom during the core-hole lifetime (Scheme 2C). This case is considered to be a non-resonant decay process, where two holes (2h) in the valence band final state are reached by the system. It is energetically equivalent to normal Auger (NA) decay

owing to a direct core-level photoionization process. The spectator and normal Auger decay channels are independent and competitive processes, and the branching among them is used to estimate the charge-transfer time (*via* the equation $\tau_{CT} = (I_{\text{spectator}}/I_{\text{normal}}) \times \tau_{CH}$) using the core-hole lifetime (τ_{CH}) as the internal reference clock. In this approach depending on the data quality, the accessible τ_{CT} range is $0.1 \times \tau_{CH} \leq \tau_{CT} \leq 10 \times \tau_{CH}$. Assignment of the spectator and normal Auger decay channels is based on their overall dependence when the incident photon energy is tuned across the S1s excitation resonance. For the spectator decay channel, the Auger electron's kinetic energy is proportional to the incident photon energy. By contrast, for the normal Auger decay channel, the electron's kinetic energy is independent of the incident photon energy.

Fig. 4 shows the deconvolution procedures of the S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ RAS spectra of PFO-DBT, exfoliated frannckite and PFO-DBT/Fr thin films collected at excitation energies of 2471.8 eV, 2472.5 eV, 2473.5 eV, and 2474.5 eV, corresponding to the B1 (S 1s- $\pi^*(S-N)$), T1 (S 1s- π^* S-C), B2 (S 1s- $\sigma^*(S-N)$) and T2 (S 1s- σ^* (S-C)) transitions in the PFO-DBT copolymer. Some physical restrictions were imposed in the deconvolution procedures: the kinetic energy of the NA contribution is constant, and the line width of the spectator signal is smaller than that of the normal Auger contribution. The PFO-DBT and exfoliated frannckite S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ Auger decay spectra consist of 1S and 1D Auger multiplets of the S3p states. The non-resonant Auger, or normal Auger, spectrum of the PFO-DBT copolymer, measured at an



Scheme 2 Schematic representation of the core-hole clock method. (A) Core-level resonant excitation into the unoccupied electronic state. (B) The spectator decay process with the 2h1e final state. (C) The electron is transferred to the substrate (or molecular environment) and core-hole decay proceeds *via* normal Auger decay with the 2h final state.




Fig. 4 S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ RAS spectra of PFO-DBT, exfoliated francite and PFO-DBT/Fr thin films collected at the photon energies of (a) 2471.8 eV (B1), (b) 2472.5 eV (T1), (c) 2473.5 eV (B2), and (d) 2474.5 eV (T2).

X-ray energy of $h\nu = 2500$ eV (above the ionization potential), is shown in Fig. S3 (ESI[†]); it is principally characterized by the two-hole (2h) final state appearing at the kinetic energy of 2112.0 eV. The overall evolution of intensity and peak width of the decay channels when the incident photon energy is tuned across the S 1s excitation resonance for the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ thin film is shown in Fig. 5. Spectator decay features reach an intensity maximum and line sharpening for specific photon energies.^{44,51,52} The SP1, SP2, SP3 and SP4 decay channels achieved a maximum

intensity and a minimum peak width for photon energies corresponding to B1, T1, B2 and T2 excitations. This behavior is associated with electron localization in these states.⁵¹ Then, we confirmed that the SP1, SP2, SP3 and SP4 decay channels in the RAS spectra are attributed to spectator decay contributions (the 2h1e final state) with the electron localized in the electronic state of $\pi^*(S-N)$, $\pi^*(S-C)$, $s-\sigma^*(S-N)$ and $\sigma^*(S-C)$, respectively. The NA (2h) Auger decay contribution appears at a constant kinetic energy of 2112.0 eV and with an almost constant peak




Fig. 5 Area % (a) and full width at half maximum (FWHM) (b) plotted against the incident photon energy of the decay channels (SP1, SP2, SP3, SP4 and NA) in the S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ RAS spectra of the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ thin film. The error bar of 3% is also represented.

width that is independent of the excitation energy. The NA decay channel in the RAS spectra is associated with the charge-transfer process during the core-hole lifetime. A new decay contribution was found in the RAS spectrum collected at an excitation energy of 2474.5 eV, associated with Rydberg states appearing close to the sulfur 1s ionization potential.^{44,51}

The S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ RAS spectrum of exfoliated franckeite is composed of two decay contributions appearing at constant kinetic energies of ~ 2115 eV and ~ 2116 eV, independent of the incoming photon energy. These two contributions at the same kinetic energies (~ 2115 eV and ~ 2116 eV) also appear in the non-resonant Auger spectrum of franckeite (measured at $h\nu = 2500$ eV) presented in Fig. S3 (ESI†). Therefore, these RAS spectra contributions are attributed to the normal Auger decay channels NA2 and NA3 due to the charge-transfer process in the core-hole lifetime. On the other hand, the S K- $L_{2,3}L_{2,3}$ RAS spectra of the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction are a convolution of the PFO-DBT and franckeite decay channels.

The electron-delocalization dynamics at the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction interface were analyzed quantitatively through the charge-transfer time (τ_{CT}) values, determined from the ratio between spectators and normal Auger signals using the equation $\tau_{CT} = (I_{\text{spectator}}/I_{\text{NA}})\tau_{CH}$ and the S1s core-hole lifetime τ_{CH} of 1.27 fs as the internal reference clock.⁵³

Table 1 Charge-transfer times (τ_{CT}) in femtoseconds (fs) for PFO-DBT/SiO₂ and PFO-DBT/Fr/SiO₂

Electronic transition	τ_{CT} (fs)	
	PFO-DBT/SiO ₂	PFO-DBT/Fr
B1 $\pi^*(S-N)$	> 12.7	> 0.127
T1 $\pi^*(S-C)$	8.33 (3)	0.158 (4)
B2 $\sigma^*(S-N)$	2.69 (5)	2.96 (4)
T2 $\sigma^*(S-C)$	1.04 (4)	1.81 (6)

The τ_{CT} standard deviation values are shown in parentheses.

We concentrated this analysis investigating the effect of franckeite in the electron delocalization degree of the unoccupied states of the PFO-DBT copolymer. The τ_{CT} of the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ thin film was calculated using the integral intensities of their corresponding spectators (SP1, SP2, SP3 and SP4) and the normal Auger (NA1) contributions identified in each spectrum. In the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction case, the normal Auger (NA2 and NA3) contributions corresponding to the franckeite species were not considered for calculating the τ_{CT} values. The values of τ_{CT} obtained for the electrons excited to the B1 and B2 states of the benzothiadiazole unit and for the electrons excited to the T1 and T2 electronic states of the thiophene unit are summarized in Table 1.

According to the results presented in Table 1, we can see that the electron-delocalization process for the electrons excited to $\pi^*(S-N)$ and $\pi^*(S-C)$ in the benzothiadiazole and thiophene units is faster than those in the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction compared with the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ copolymer. The opposite behavior is observed for electrons excited to the $s-\sigma^*(S-N)$ and T2 $\sigma^*(S-C)$ unoccupied electronic states. Therefore, we can conclude that PFO-DBT interacts with franckeite through the benzothiadiazole and thiophene π^* electronic states. This result could be associated with the almost planar configuration of the PFO-DBT copolymer observed from analysis of the angular dependence of the S K-edge NEXAFS spectra. According to previous reports, a more planar structure in the copolymer improves the π -electron delocalization.

A similar analysis was performed with a van der Waals heterojunction constructed from the poly-3-hexylthiophene (P3HT) semiconductor polymer and exfoliated franckeite. Fig. 6a and b shows the S K-edge NEXAFS spectra for P3HT deposited on the SiO₂ substrate (P3HT/SiO₂) and the P3HT/Fr heterojunction thin film, respectively. According to previous reports, the S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum for the P3HT polymer is characterized by two features inside the resonance.^{39,52,54} These features were assigned to the




Fig. 6 Sulfur K-edge NEXAFS spectra of (a) P3HT/SiO₂ and (b) P3HT/Fr, and the angular dependence of the S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum for the P3HT/Fr thin film (c).




Fig. 7 S K-L_{2,3}L_{2,3} RAS spectra of the P3HT/SiO₂ and P3HT/Fr thin films collected at photon energies of (a) 2471.8 eV, (b) 2472.6 eV, and (c) 2474.5 eV.

overlapping of the S 1s → $\pi^*(\text{C}-\text{C})$ and S 1s → $\sigma^*(\text{S}-\text{C})$ transitions, which will be labeled here as T1 and T2, respectively. In addition, a small shoulder was identified at 2471.8 eV at the low photon energy side, corresponding to the π^* symmetry state due to a strong π - π interchain interaction in the P3HT polymer.⁵² The angular dependence of the P3HT/Fr S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum is presented in Fig. 6c. At the normal (90°) incident angle, T1 transitions increase and T2 transitions decrease, while at the grazing (15°) incident angle, the opposite behavior is observed. This latter observation suggests that the P3HT polymer is edge-on oriented with respect to the franckeite/SiO₂ substrate.³⁹ Similar to the case of PFO-DBT/Fr, the S K-edge NEXAFS spectrum of the P3HT/Fr heterojunction is formed by the convolution of P3HT and franckeite unoccupied electronic states.

Fig. 7 shows the results of the deconvolution process for the S K-L_{2,3}L_{2,3} RAS decay spectra collected at three excitation energies corresponding to the π - π interchain (a) and T1 (b) and T2 (c) electronic transitions. According to previous reports,^{39,52} the P3HT RAS spectra are composed of two spectator decay channels S1s- $\pi^*(\text{C}-\text{C})$ and S1s- $\sigma^*(\text{S}-\text{C})$, labeled here as SP1 and SP2, and the normal Auger (NA) contribution appearing at a constant kinetic energy of ~2112.0 eV. A new decay contribution associated with Rydberg states was found in the RAS spectrum collected at the excitation energy of the T2 transition. The S K-L_{2,3}L_{2,3} RAS spectrum of P3HT/Fr is a convolution of P3HT and the franckeite Auger decay channel.

Table 2 summarizes the values of τ_{CT} for P3HT/SiO₂, and P3HT/Fr obtained for electrons excited to the π - π^* , S1s- $\pi^*(\text{C}-\text{C})$ and S1s- $\sigma^*(\text{S}-\text{C})$ states. The τ_{CT} values for P3HT/Fr were

Table 2 Charge-transfer times (τ_{CT}) in femtoseconds (fs) for P3HT/SiO₂ and P3HT/Fr/SiO₂

Electronic transition	τ_{CT} (fs)	
	P3HT/SiO ₂	P3HT/Fr
S1s- π - π	> 12.7	0.27 (4)
T1 S1s- $\pi^*(\text{C}-\text{C})$	7.30 (7)	1.26 (5)
T2 S1s $\sigma^*(\text{S}-\text{C})$	1.02 (4)	0.24 (2)

The τ_{CT} standard deviation values are shown in parentheses.

calculated using only the spectator (SP1 and/or SP2) and the normal Auger (NA1) decay channels of the P3HT polymer.

Comparing the results presented in Table 2 for P3HT/SiO₂ and P3HT/Fr/SiO₂, we can observe that the presence of franckeite decreases the τ_{CT} values in the P3HT species. This can be interpreted as a strong electronic coupling between P3HT and franckeite unoccupied electronic states. However, the τ_{CT} values for electrons excited to π - π and S1s- $\pi^*(\text{C}-\text{C})$ are the most affected by the presence of franckeite. Then, we can conclude that these two states are the main interfacial electron-transfer pathways in the P3HT/Fr heterojunction. Similar results were reported by Garcia-Basabe *et al.*, investigating the electronic coupling at the interface between the P3HT polymer and 2D layered materials (exfoliated black phosphorus⁵⁴ and MoS₂³⁹). Furthermore, a previous study on the P3HT-MoS₂ heterojunction,³⁹ using time-resolved fluorescence lifetime measurements, showed similar results for the CT investigated using the CHC technique, from a qualitative point of view (*i.e.*, a reduction in CT in the heterojunction compared with the isolated film), which reinforces the potential of the CHC method for investigating the interfacial charge transfer in organic-inorganic heterojunctions.

3 Experimental

3.1 Sample preparation

PFO-DBT/SiO₂ and P3HT/SiO₂ polymer thin films were prepared following the procedure described by Hao *et al.*⁵⁵ The films were deposited on a silicon dioxide wafer by a spin-coating method at 1200 rpm for 60 s and using a solution of PFO-DBT and P3HT polymers dissolved in chloroform (0.5 mg mL⁻¹). Later, the films were placed in a UHV chamber and annealed at 457 K for 2 h to remove the residual solvent and improve the crystallinity of the polymer.

Exfoliated franckeite films were prepared using micro-mechanical exfoliation *via* a scotch tape method⁵⁶ and transferred directly onto a silicon dioxide wafer. The PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions on top of exfoliated franckeite were prepared using the spin-coating method under the same conditions used for preparing the PFO-DBT/SiO₂ and P3HT/SiO₂ polymer thin films.

3.2 Characterization

Raman spectra were collected using a WITec Alpha 300R confocal Raman imaging microscope. The spectra were measured using an excitation line of 488 nm and a 100 $\times$ objective lens at room temperature under a nitrogen flow and an average laser power of 0.5 mW. All the measurements were made using a high-resolution 2400 g mm⁻¹ Blaze 500 nm grating. These analysis results are presented in Fig. S1A and B in the ESI†. The morphology and thickness of exfoliated franckeite were studied by AFM microscopy (Bruker-Dimension Icon) operated in tapping mode. The results of this analysis are also presented in Fig. S1C and D in the ESI†.

The S K-edge X-ray near-edge absorption (NEXAFS) and S K-L_{2,3}L_{2,3} resonant Auger spectroscopy (RAS) measurements were carried out in the (SXS) beamline at the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Source (LNLS).⁵⁷ A Si(111) double-crystal monochromator with an energy bandwidth of 0.48 eV was used to cover the sulfur K-edge. Experiments were performed under the so-called Auger resonant-Raman conditions, where the total experimental resolution is better than the natural-lifetime broadening of the core-excited states. It is a necessary condition to measure the dispersion of the spectral features related to the final states reached after the decay process. Near-edge X-ray absorption fine structure spectra were recorded in the total electron yield (TEY) mode normalized by the spectrum simultaneously obtained with a photon flux monitor (Au grid) to correct the fluctuation intensity of beam. Spectra are averages from at least three scans, and the background is corrected by linear pre-edge subtraction and linear regression beyond the edge.

S K-L_{2,3}L_{2,3} RAS and X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were collected inside an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) chamber with a base pressure of 10⁻⁸ mbar using a hemispherical electron energy analyzer (Specs model PHOIBOS 150) with a 45° take-off direction of Auger electrons and 25 eV of pass energy. The photon energy was calibrated using the Au 4f_{7/2} core level at 84.0 eV of a gold foil. The total energy resolution was 0.76 eV. The fitting analysis of the RAS spectra was performed using the CASA XPS software package (version 2.3.2) using two Pseudo-Voigt profile functions: (1) the Sum Form (SGL), which is a linear combination of Gaussian (G) and Lorentzian (L) functions, where the mixing is determined by $m = p/100$, GL(100) is a pure Lorentzian and GL(0) is pure Gaussian; and (2) the product form, which is the product of Gaussian (G) and Lorentzian (L) functions where the mixing is determined by $m = p/100$, GL(100) is a pure Lorentzian and GL(0) is pure Gaussian. For both cases, the background was corrected using a Shirley function. The result of this analysis is shown in Tables S1 and S2 in the ESI† showing that the different peak-fitting methods will not greatly affect the CT values. The possible surface charge effect (shift in electron energy) was monitored using the C (1s) (C-C) photoemission line localized at a binding energy of 285 eV. The sample degradation was monitored by measuring the NEXAFS spectra after each RAS experiment.

Conclusions

In summary, the electron-delocalization dynamics at the interface between 2D layered franckeite and two thiophene-based

conjugated polymers (PFO-DBT and P3HT) from the resonantly core-excited electron have been investigated. We showed the ultrafast interfacial electron-delocalization pathways from specific electronic states for the PFO-DBT/Fr and P3HT/Fr heterojunctions. For the PFO-DBT/Fr heterojunction, the most efficient interfacial electron-delocalization pathways were in the $\pi^*(\text{S-N})$ and $\pi^*(\text{S-C})$ electronic states and through its benzothiadiazole and thiophene units. On the other hand, for the P3HT/Fr heterojunction, we found that electrons excited to the $\pi-\pi^*$ and $\text{S}1\text{s}-\pi^*(\text{C-C})$ electronic states of the P3HT polymer are the most affected by the presence of franckeite and, consequently, are the main interfacial electron-transfer pathways in this heterojunction.

Author contributions

Yunier Garcia-Basabe and Dunieskys G. Larrudé: conceptualization, methodology, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing, supervision, visualization, validation, project administration and funding acquisition. David Steinberg, Lara M. Daminelli and Cesar D. Mendoza: investigation and writing – review and editing. E. A. Thoroh de Souza and Flavio C. Vicentin: methodology, validation, writing – review and editing, writing – original draft, supervision and funding acquisition.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support from the CNPq (grant numbers 408265/2016-7 and 314406/2018-2) and the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), grant 18/08988-9, CAPES and MackPesquisa. The research was partially supported by the LNLS – National Synchrotron Light Laboratory (SXS- 20180128) and CNPEM-LNNano (XPS-21999), Brazil. We are also grateful to the Araucaria Foundation for the productivity scholarship grant 068/2019 (Garcia-Basabe, Y.). We would also like to thank FAPERJ for a PDR10 scholarship (Cesar D. Mendoza, Processo E-26/202.357/2019).

References

- 1 J. Azadmanjiri, J. Wang, C. C. Berndt and A. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 3824–3849.
- 2 M. Liras, M. Barawi and V. A. De La Peña O'Shea, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 5454–5487.
- 3 M. Gobbi, E. Orgiu and P. Samorì, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706103.
- 4 Y. Wang, L. Wang, F. Liu, Z. Peng, Y. Zhang and C. Jiang, *Org. Electron.*, 2020, **83**, 105778.
- 5 M. Xu, M. Nakamura, M. Sakai and K. Kudo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 371–375.
- 6 A. J. Heeger, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 8475–8491.
- 7 C. Wang, H. Dong, L. Jiang and W. Hu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 422–500.

- 8 X. Zhang, H. Dong and W. Hu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1801048.
- 9 X. Guo, M. Baumgarten and K. Müllen, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 1832–1908.
- 10 T. Lei, Y. Cao, Y. Fan, C. J. Liu, S. C. Yuan and J. Pei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 6099–6101.
- 11 F. Zhang, D. Wu, Y. Xu and X. Feng, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 17590–17600.
- 12 F. A. Larik, M. Faisal, A. Saeed, Q. Abbas, M. A. Kazi, N. Abbas, A. A. Thebo, D. M. Khan and P. A. Channar, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2018, **29**, 17975–18010.
- 13 G. Turkoglu, M. E. Cinar and T. Ozturk, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2017, **375**, 84.
- 14 K. Zhang, Y. Feng, F. Wang, Z. Yang and J. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 11992–12022.
- 15 P. Avouris, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 4285–4294.
- 16 Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J. N. Coleman and M. S. Strano, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **7**, 699–712.
- 17 E. Makovicky, V. Petricek, M. Dušek and D. Topa, *Am. Mineral.*, 2011, **96**, 1686–1702.
- 18 A. J. Molina-Mendoza, E. Giovanelli, W. S. Paz, M. A. Ninõ, J. O. Island, C. Evangeli, L. Aballe, M. Foerster, H. S. J. Van Der Zant, G. Rubio-Bollinger, N. Agraït, J. J. Palacios, E. M. Pérez and A. Castellanos-Gomez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–9.
- 19 M. Velický, P. S. Toth, A. M. Rakowski, A. P. Rooney, A. Kozikov, C. R. Woods, A. Mishchenko, L. Fumagalli, J. Yin, V. Zolyomi, T. Georgiou, S. J. Haigh, K. S. Novoselov and R. A. W. Dryfe, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–11.
- 20 S. Gan, Y. Zhao, X. Dai and Y. Xiang, *Results Phys.*, 2019, **13**, 102320.
- 21 J. Li, L. Du, J. Huang, Y. He, J. Yi, L. Miao, C. Zhao and S. Wen, *Nanophotonics*, 2020, **10**, 927–935.
- 22 K. Ray, A. E. Yore, T. Mou, S. Jha, K. K. H. Smithe, B. Wang, E. Pop and A. K. M. Newaz, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 6024–6030.
- 23 B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti and A. Kis, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 147–150.
- 24 A. S. Sarkar and S. K. Pal, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 21945–21954.
- 25 J. Dong, F. Liu, F. Wang, J. Wang, M. Li, Y. Wen, L. Wang, G. Wang, J. He and C. Jiang, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 7519–7525.
- 26 D. He, Y. Pan, H. Nan, S. Gu, Z. Yang, B. Wu, X. Luo, B. Xu, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, Z. Ni, B. Wang, J. Zhu, Y. Chai, Y. Shi and X. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **107**, 183103.
- 27 X. Yu, J. Zhang, Z. Zhao, W. Guo, J. Qiu, X. Mou, A. Li, J. P. Claverie and H. Liu, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **16**, 207–217.
- 28 R. Cheng, D. Li, H. Zhou, C. Wang, A. Yin, S. Jiang, Y. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 5590–5597.
- 29 E. Wang, L. Wang, L. Lan, C. Luo, W. Zhuang, E. Wang, L. Wang, L. Lan, C. Luo and W. Zhuang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **92**, 23.
- 30 P. Vanlaeke, A. Swinnen, I. Haeldermans, G. Vanhoyland, T. Aernouts, D. Cheyns, C. Deibel, J. D'Haen, P. Heremans, J. Poortmans and J. V. Manca, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2006, **90**, 2150–2158.
- 31 S. Holliday, R. S. Ashraf, A. Wadsworth, D. Baran, S. A. Yousaf, C. B. Nielsen, C. H. Tan, S. D. Dimitrov, Z. Shang, N. Gasparini, M. Alamoudi, F. Laquai, C. J. Brabec, A. Salleo, J. R. Durrant and I. McCulloch, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 1–11.
- 32 Q. Zhou, Q. Hou, L. Zheng, X. Deng, G. Yu and Y. Cao, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **84**, 1653–1655.
- 33 J. Luo, X. Li, Q. Hou, J. Peng, W. Yang and Y. Cao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1113–1117.
- 34 D. C. Watters, H. Yi, A. J. Pearson, J. Kingsley, A. Iraqi and D. Lidzey, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, **34**, 1157–1162.
- 35 A. Föhlisch, S. Vijayalakshmi, F. Hennies, W. Wurth, V. R. R. Medicherla and W. Drube, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **434**, 214–217.
- 36 D. Menzel, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 2212–2223.
- 37 A. Föhlisch, P. Feulner, F. Hennies, A. Fink, D. Menzel, D. Sanchez-Portal, P. M. Echenique and W. Wurth, *Nature*, 2005, **436**, 373–376.
- 38 C. N. Eads, D. Bandak, M. R. Neupane, D. Nordlund and O. L. A. Monti, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–7.
- 39 Y. Garcia-Basabe, G. G. Parra, M. B. Barioni, C. D. Mendoza, F. C. Vicentin and D. Larrudé, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 23521–23532.
- 40 I. N. Demchenko, M. Chernyshova, X. He, R. Minikayev, Y. Syryanny, A. Derkachova, G. Derkachov, W. C. Stolte, E. Piskorska-Hommel, A. Reszka and H. Liang, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2013, **430**, 012030.
- 41 O. Trejo, K. E. Roelofs, S. Xu, M. Logar, R. Sarangi, D. Nordlund, A. L. Dadlani, R. Kravec, N. P. Dasgupta, S. F. Bent and F. B. Prinz, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 7829–7836.
- 42 I. Lefebvre-Devos, J. Olivier-Fourcade, J. C. Jumas and P. Lavela, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2000, **61**, 3110.
- 43 L. Meng, X. Zhou, S. Wang, Y. Zhou, W. Tian, P. Kidkhunthod, S. Tunmee, Y. Tang, R. Long, Y. Xin and L. Li, *Angew. Chem.*, 2019, **131**, 16821–16828.
- 44 Y. Garcia-Basabe, C. F. N. Marchiori, C. E. V. De Moura, A. B. Rocha, L. S. Roman and M. L. M. Rocco, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 23863–23873.
- 45 A. P. Hitchcock, R. S. DeWitte, J. M. Van Esbroeck, P. Aebi, C. L. Frenc, R. T. Oakley and N. P. C. Westwood, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 1991, **57**, 165–187.
- 46 Y. Garcia-Basabe, C. F. N. Marchiori, B. G. A. L. Borges, N. A. D. Yamamoto, A. G. Macedo, M. Koehler, L. S. Roman and M. L. M. Rocco, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2014, **115**, 134901.
- 47 A. S. Anselmo, A. Dzwilewski, K. Svensson and E. Moons, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.*, 2013, **51**, 176–182.
- 48 C. Arantes, B. G. A. L. Borges, B. Beck, G. Araújo, L. S. Roman and M. L. M. Rocco, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 8208–8213.
- 49 Y. Garcia-Basabe, B. G. A. L. Borges, D. C. Silva, A. G. Macedo, L. Micaroni, L. S. Roman and M. L. M. Rocco, *Org. Electron.*, 2013, **14**, 2980–2986.
- 50 F. O. L. Johansson, M. Ivanović, S. Svanström, U. B. Cappel, H. Peisert, T. Chassé and A. Lindblad, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 12605–12614.
- 51 K. Yoshii, Y. Baba and T. A. Sasaki, *Phys. Status Solidi*, 1998, **206**, 811–822.

- 52 Y. Garcia-Basabe, D. Ceolin, A. J. G. Zarbin, L. S. Roman and M. L. M. Rocco, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 26416–26422.
- 53 J. L. Campbell and T. Papp, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, 2001, **77**, 1–56.
- 54 Y. Garcia-Basabe, V. O. Gordo, L. M. Daminelli, C. D. Mendoza, F. C. Vicentin, F. Matusalem, A. R. Rocha, C. J. S. de Matos and D. G. Larrudé, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **541**, 148455.
- 55 X. T. Hao, T. Hosokai, N. Mitsuo, S. Kera, K. K. Okudaira, K. Mase and N. Ueno, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 10365–10372.
- 56 B. Wang, J. Park, D. R. Dreyer, S. Park, W. Bielawski, R. S. Ruoff, Z. Liu, J. T. Robinson, X. Sun, H. Dai, B. Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J. W. Suk, J. R. Potts, R. S. Ruoff, P. Avouris, C. Dimitrakopoulos, Y. Si, E. T. Samulski, C. Hill, N. Carolina, A. K. Geim, A. H. Macdonald, A. K. Geim, A. H. Macdonald, K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang and P. Article, *Mater. Today*, 2004, **306**, 666–669.
- 57 M. Abbate, F. C. Vicentin, V. Compagnon-Cailhol, M. C. Rocha and H. Tolentino, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 1999, **6**, 964–972.