

CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2018, 5, 962

DOI: 10.1039/c8qi90007h
rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic

Correction: Sulfur–hydrazine hydrate-based chemical synthesis of sulfur@graphene composite for lithium–sulfur batteries

Jianmei Han,^{a,b} Baojuan Xi,^{*a} Zhenyu Feng,^a Xiaojian Ma,^a Junhao Zhang,^c Shenglin Xiong^a and Yitai Qian^{*a,d}

Correction for 'Sulfur–hydrazine hydrate-based chemical synthesis of sulfur@graphene composite for lithium–sulfur batteries' by Jianmei Han et al., *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/c7qi00726d.

The authors regret that Fig. 5 was provided incorrectly. The correct version of Fig. 5 and the associated caption are provided below.

^aKey Laboratory of the Colloid and Interface Chemistry, Ministry of Education, and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, P. R. China. E-mail: baojuanxi@sdu.edu.cn, Qianyt@sdu.edu.cn

^bCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Taishan University, Tai'an, 271021, P. R. China

^cSchool of Environmental and Chemical Engineering and Marine Equipment and Technology Institute, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, PR China

^dHefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, PR China






Fig. 5 (A) CV curves of the S@N-rGO electrodes at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} in the potential range of 1.7–2.8 V versus Li^+/Li for the first five cycles; (B) rate capability of S@N-rGO and S/N-rGO tested at different current densities; (C) cycling performance of S@N-rGO and S/N-rGO cathodes for 300 cycles at a current rate of 0.5C; (D) cycling performance of S@N-rGO cathode at a current rate of 1C.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.