# **RSC Advances**





Cite this: RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 10154

Received 16th December 2016 Accepted 20th January 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra28273c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Introduction

Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs)1-4 undoubtedly surpass graphene<sup>5</sup> in the application of channel and diode materials because they not only possess proper bandgaps transiting from indirect to direct as the monolayer is reached, but also are stacked by the interlayer van der Waals forces ideally being without dangling bonds. Initially, the single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors were fabricated successfully by Radisavljevic et al.<sup>6</sup> and the back-gated MoSe<sub>2</sub> field-effect transistors (FETs) were demonstrated by Larentis et al.7 Afterwards, Georgiou et al.8 announced a WS2-based FET on a SiO2/Si substrate and Baugher et al.9 accomplished the in-plane p-n diode of ambipolar WSe<sub>2</sub> by electrostatic gating. However, all of the studies mentioned above utilized mechanically exfoliated TMDs for device fabrication, implying that the thickness of TMDs cannot be controlled precisely and the area is limited. The chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method<sup>10-12</sup> was also developed for the growth of TMDs on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates. Unfortunately, optical microscopy (OM) and/or atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of TMD triangular flakes obtained by CVD growth indicate that the TMD films are non-continuous, even though the dimensions are larger than 100 µm. The non-continuous film completely limits real applications in the semiconductor industry. On the other hand, S or Se corrosion would cause the  $SiO_2$  to lose the insulating capability; hence the transfer step

# Vertical Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>/MoSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction on sapphire synthesized using ion beam<sup>+</sup>

Hsu-Sheng Tsai,<sup>\*a</sup> Jhe-Wei Liou,<sup>b</sup> Yi-Chung Wang,<sup>c</sup> Chia-Wei Chen,<sup>c</sup> Yu-Lun Chueh,<sup>c</sup> Ching-Hung Hsiao,<sup>c</sup> Hao Ouyang,<sup>c</sup> Wei-Yen Woon<sup>\*b</sup> and Jenq-Horng Liang<sup>\*ad</sup>

The vertical  $Al_2Se_3/MoSe_2$  heterojunction on sapphire was first fabricated *via* an ion beam-assisted process. The MoSe<sub>2</sub> was formed *via* Mo selenization, while  $Al_2Se_3$  was formed *via* Se substitution for O in sapphire. The applications of this heterojunction will be developed in the future.

> seriously deteriorating the quality of TMD films is still inevitable. Another synthesis method13 based on the sulfurization or selenization of transition metal or transition metal oxide films can achieve wafer-scale continuous TMD films. Essentially, the quality of TMD films synthesized by this method is controversial because the crystallinity of e-beam evaporated metal or metal oxide thin films may not be good enough and they could not be uniformly sulfurized or selenized owing to the diffusionlimited reaction. As a result, these might be the main causes leading to the TMD FETs with a carrier mobility as low as 10 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>13</sup> Zhang et al.<sup>14</sup> grew MoSe<sub>2</sub> epitaxially on graphene/SiC template to observe the bandgap transition. In fact, the mass production of graphene/SiC template fabricated by the process15 is restricted because it employs an Omicron ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) scanning tunneling microscope (STM) combined with molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system.

> For device applications, heterojunctions are the basic units in the electronic device structure; hence the doping method becomes a crucial topic. In addition to electrical control of ambipolar WSe2,9 chemical adsorption<sup>16,17</sup> or substitution<sup>18,19</sup> doping is the most common technique. Although the cost of chemical doping is relatively low, the adsorbed dopants are much easier to desorb due to the poor stability. The substitution doping is proceeded during the chemical vapor transport (CVT) synthesis of TMDs, requiring high temperature (~1000 °C) and quite a long period (48-500 h).18,19 Moreover, the large scale TMD films cannot be achieved via the CVT synthesis because the source materials should be sealed in a quartz tube under a vacuum state. Recently, the epitaxial growth of monolayer TMD heterojunctions was reported by several groups.<sup>20-23</sup> They claimed that the so-called van der Waals epitaxy could overcome the issue of lattice mismatch, resulting in heteroepitaxial films with a limited area because the interaction between the epitaxial film and substrate is the van der Waals force rather than chemical bonding. Regrettably, the lateral scale of TMD films in these reports still cannot be enlarged, implying that the quality and scale of heteroepitaxial materials depend on several



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Institute of Nuclear Engineering and Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan, Republic of China. E-mail: b91520016@yahoo.com.tw; jhliang@ess. nthu.edu.tw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, National Central University, Jungli 32054, Taiwan, Republic of China. E-mail: wywoon@phy.ncu.edu.tw

Department of Material Science and Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan, Republic of China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Engineering and System Science, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan, Republic of China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c6ra28273c

#### Paper

factors and not just the lattice mismatch. Herein, we developed an innovative process using a Se ion beam, as shown in Fig. 1 to directly fabricate a uniformly large scale vertical  $Al_2Se_3/MoSe_2$ junction on sapphire for future applications. The  $MoSe_2$  is a semiconductor with a ~1.55 eV bandgap, while the  $Al_2Se_3$  is a semiconductor with a ~3.1 eV bandgap.<sup>24,25</sup> The materials were explicitly identified and the formation mechanism could be exhaustively interpreted.

## Experimental

#### Synthesis

A 2-inch sapphire  $(Al_2O_3)$  (0001) wafer was used as the template, in which the Al element was the source of the formation of  $Al_2Se_3$ . A ~20 nm-Mo thin film was first deposited on the sapphire wafer by customized direct current (DC) sputtering at 25 mTorr. During deposition, the Ar gas with a flow rate of 10 sccm was infused to produce plasma for sputtering. The working voltage and current of the DC power were 277 V and 0.357 A, respectively. The deposition time was 40 s and the substrate was kept at room temperature. The Se ions (60 keV, 10<sup>16</sup> ions per cm<sup>2</sup>) formed from the Se pellets were introduced into the Mo/sapphire wafer by the medium current ion implanter (ULVAC, IMX3500). Finally, the cut samples were annealed at 800 °C with a temperature increasing rate of 20 °C  $min^{-1}$  in the N<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> (6/1, v/v) ambiance for 30 minutes. After furnace cooling, the samples are ready for characterization. The dose and energy of implantation were estimated by a SRIM (the stopping and range of ions in matter) simulation in advance.

#### Characterizations

Raman spectroscopy was implemented using a confocal micro-Raman spectrometer (HORIBA, LabRAM, HR800 UV) to be certain of the formation of MoSe<sub>2</sub> layers. The light source of the Raman spectrometer was a He–Ne laser with a 632.8 nm wavelength and a power of 35 mW. The surface composition was analyzed using XPS (Ulvac-PHI, Versaprobe II) to confirm the formation of Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> and MoSe<sub>2</sub>. The spherical-aberration corrected TEM (JEOL, JEM-ARM200FTH) with a 0.1 nm resolution of the lattice image and 200 kV accelerated voltage was employed to observe the nanoscale layer structure. The



Fig. 1 lon beam-assisted process.

distribution of depth concentration was monitored by EDS attached with TEM.

# **Results and discussion**

After the conditions of ion implantation were well tuned, the thermal treatment was initially optimized by checking the Raman spectra. The Raman spectrum of the sample obtained by the ion beam-assisted process after optimization was compared with that of the as-implanted sample without annealing. Apparently, there were no Raman peaks that could be observed in the spectrum of the as-implanted sample (Fig. 2a). After the thermal treatment, three Raman peaks located at  $\sim 205$ ,  $\sim 228$ , and  $\sim$ 242 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively emerged in the spectrum. The first two peaks were attributed to molybdenum oxide at the surface, resulting from inevitable oxidation during the thermal treatment. The last one was the A1g mode of MoSe2 caused by the out-of-plane vibration.26 The ion implantation technique was chosen for the synthesis of MoSe<sub>2</sub> in this study because of its accurate dose and accelerated energy, which could achieve high uniformity and continuity for large-area surface modification. As shown in Fig. 2b, the Raman mapping of the  $A_{1g}$  peak of MoSe<sub>2</sub> revealed that the MoSe<sub>2</sub> film synthesized in this study was uniform and continuous. Thus far, we can confirm that the uniformly continuous MoSe<sub>2</sub> film with a large area could be synthesized stably by an ion beam-assisted process.

During the experimental design, we considered that the thickness of sputtered Mo film should not be too thin to be uniform. In addition, if the Se ions are implanted into a depth that is not deep enough, they may easily escape from the surface during the thermal treatment because the annealing temperature may be higher than the boiling point of Se. Therefore, we fixed the thickness of the sputtered Mo film to  ${\sim}20$  nm and set the acceleration energy of implantation at 60 keV, predicting that the maximum concentration of Se would be located at the depth around the Mo/sapphire interface. After Ar<sup>+</sup> sputtering through the upper part of Mo film, the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was implemented to realize the chemical configuration of the interfacial region between the Mo and sapphire. In the Mo 3d spectrum of Fig. 3a, the Mo-Se peak splits into  $3d_{5/2}$  and  $3d_{3/2}$  bands, located at  $\sim$ 229 and  $\sim$ 232 eV, respectively. This indicates that the Mo near the Mo/sapphire interface has reacted with the Se ions introduced by the implanter. The Mo-O signal (~234.5 eV) caused by the



Fig. 2 (a) Raman spectra of the as-implanted and as-annealed samples. (b) Raman mapping of the  $A_{\rm 1g}$  peak of MoSe\_2.



Fig. 3 (a) Mo 3d XPS spectrum of the sample obtained by the ion beam-assisted process. (b) Al 2p XPS spectrum of the sample obtained by the ion beam-assisted process.

inevitable oxidation during the thermal treatment was also detected in the Mo 3d spectrum. The signal for Al–O bonding ( $\sim$ 74.4 eV) originates from the sapphire substrate, as shown in Fig. 3b. The Al–Se peak at  $\sim$ 75.2 eV infers the formation of an Al–Se compound, which might be Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>. XPS indicates that the Se ions near the Mo/sapphire interface might react with the Mo film and Al in the substrate to form MoSe<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, respectively, during the thermal treatment.

Transmission electron spectroscopy (TEM) was used to monitor the cross-sectional layer structure at nanoscale after the ion beam-assisted process. In the low magnification image of Fig. 4a, the top SiO<sub>2</sub> layer deposited by an electron beam evaporator was capped on the surface to protect the thin film from damage during sample preparation using focus ion beam (FIB). In addition, there are two layers with similar thickness  $(\sim 20 \text{ nm})$  covering the sapphire substrate. The upper layer should be the Mo film containing MoSe<sub>2</sub> layers, while the lower one should be the Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>. Only the lower part of the Mo film could react with the sufficient Se ions to form multilayer MoSe<sub>2</sub> with a layer spacing of  $\sim$ 0.66 nm, which is almost consistent with the theoretical value ( $\sim 0.615$  nm), as shown in Fig. 4b. In addition, the O atoms in the surface region of sapphire might be substituted with the sufficient Se ions to form an Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> layer because the maximum concentration of Se is located at the depth around the Mo/sapphire interface. The concentration depth profile was characterized by the energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). The locations of multilayer MoSe<sub>2</sub> and the Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> layer could be clearly defined, as shown in Fig. S1.<sup>†</sup> The diffraction patterns of Mo, MoSe<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, and sapphire, shown in the insets in Fig. 4a, were processed by fast Fourier transform



Fig. 4 (a) TEM image of the  $MoSe_2/Al_2Se_3/sapphire$  layer structure. Insets: diffraction patterns of Mo,  $MoSe_2$ ,  $Al_2Se_3$ , and sapphire. (b) Atomic models of  $MoSe_2$  and  $Al_2Se_3$ .

(FFT). In addition to  $MoSe_2$  with the recognizable layer spacing, Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> can be identified using its diffraction pattern. The particular interplanar distances of plane groups were computed from two groups of reciprocal lattice points. These two calculated interplanar distances, which are equal to  $\sim 0.4478$  and  $\sim$ 0.241 nm, correspond to the (011) and (400) interplanar distances (Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>) of Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Furthermore, the angle ( $\sim 66^{\circ}$ ) between the two lines representing the (011) and (400) plane groups in the diffraction pattern is nearly equal to that between the (011) and (400) plane groups in the real lattice (Fig. 4b). Therefore, the layer below MoSe<sub>2</sub> could be identified as Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, as shown in the high magnification image of Fig. 4a. The TEM results, which are in agreement with the EDS line scan results, indicate that the Mo selenization and Se substitution for O in sapphire occur simultaneously during the thermal treatment.

# Conclusion

All the analytical results verify that the lower part of Mo film is selenized and the O atoms of sapphire near the surface are substituted by Se ions introduced by the implanter during the thermal treatment to form a vertical Al<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>/MoSe<sub>2</sub> heterojunction on a sapphire substrate. This is the first study utilizing an ion implantation technique to directly synthesize the vertical heterojunction of TMD/III–VI semiconductor on a sapphire substrate. This simple ion beam-assisted process has great potential for fabricating other types of heterogeneous junctions. In future, we will attempt to develop devices based on this diode for suitable applications.

# Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the Ministry of Science and Technology through Grant No. 104-2221-E-007-104-MY3 and 103-2112-M-008-019-MY3.

# Notes and references

- 1 I. Song, C. Park and H. C. Choi, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 7495.
- 2 M. Bosi, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 75500.
- 3 P. V. Sarma, P. D. Patil, P. K. Barman, R. N. Kini and M. M. Shaijumon, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 376.
- 4 B. B. Wang, K. Ostrikov, T. van der Laan, K. Zheng, R. Shao,
   M. K. Zhuf and S. S. Zou, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 37236.
- 5 K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva and A. A. Firsov, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 666.
- 6 B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti and A. Kis, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 147.
- 7 S. Larentis, B. Fallahazad and E. Tutuc, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 223104.
- 8 T. Georgiou, R. Jalil, B. D. Belle, L. Britnell, R. V. Gorbachev,
- S. V. Morozov, Y. J. Kim, A. Gholinia, S. J. Haigh, O. Makarovsky, L. Eaves, L. A. Ponomarenko, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov and A. Mishchenko, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 100.

- 9 B. W. H. Baugher, H. O. H. Churchill, Y. Yang and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 262.
- 10 Y. H. Lee, X. Q. Zhang, W. Zhang, M. T. Chang, C. T. Lin, K. D. Chang, Y. C. Yu, J. T. W. Wang, C. S. Chang, L. J. Li and T. W. Lin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 2320.
- 11 X. Wang, Y. Gong, G. Shi, W. L. Chow, K. Keyshar, G. Ye, R. Vajtai, J. Lou, Z. Liu, E. Ringe, B. K. Tay and P. M. Ajayan, ACS Nano, 2012, 8, 5125.
- 12 B. Liu, Y. Ma, A. Zhang, L. Chen, A. N. Abbas, Y. Liu, C. Shen, H. Wan and C. Zhou, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 5153.
- 13 P. M. Campbell, A. Tarasov, C. A. Joiner, M. Y. Tsai, G. Pavlidis, S. Graham, W. J. Ready and E. M. Vogel, *Nanoscale*, 2016, 8, 2268.
- Y. Zhang, T. R. Chang, B. Zhou, Y. T. Cui, H. Yan, Z. Liu, F. Schmitt, J. Lee, R. Moore, Y. Chen, H. Lin, H. T. Jeng, S. K. Mo, Z. Hussain, A. Bansil and Z. X. Shen, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, 9, 111.
- 15 Q. Wang, W. Zhang, L. Wang, K. He, X. Ma and Q. Xue, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2013, 25, 095002.
- 16 H. Fang, M. Tosun, G. Seol, T. C. Chang, K. Takei, J. Guo and A. Javey, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 1991.
- 17 M. S. Choi, D. Qu, D. Lee, X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi and W. J. Yoo, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9332.
- 18 J. Suh, T. E. Park, D. Y. Lin, D. Fu, J. Park, H. J. Jung, Y. Chen, C. Ko, C. Jang, Y. Sun, R. Sinclair, J. Chang, S. Tongay and J. Wu, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 6976.

- 19 Y. Jin, D. H. Keum, S. J. An, J. Kim, H. S. Lee and Y. H. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5534.
- 20 Y. Gong, J. Lin, X. Wang, G. Shi, S. Lei, Z. Lin, X. Zou, G. Ye, R. Vajtai, B. I. Yakobson, H. Terrones, M. Terrones, B. K. Tay, J. Lou, S. T. Pantelides, Z. Liu, W. Zhou and P. M. Ajayan, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **13**, 1135.
- 21 C. Huang, S. Wu, A. M. Sanchez, J. J. P. Peters, R. Beanland, J. S. Ross, P. Rivera, W. Yao, D. H. Cobden and X. Xu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, 13, 1096.
- 22 X. Duan, C. Wang, J. C. Shaw, R. Cheng, Y. Chen, H. Li, X. Wu, Y. Tang, Q. Zhang, A. Pan, J. Jiang, R. Yu, Y. Huang and X. Duan, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, 9, 1024.
- M. Y. Li, Y. Shi, C. C. Cheng, L. S. Lu, Y. C. Lin, H. L. Tang,
  M. L. Tsai, C. W. Chu, K. H. Wei, J. H. He, W. H. Chang,
  K. Suenaga and L. J. Li, *Science*, 2015, 349, 524.
- 24 J. Xia, X. Huang, L. Z. Liu, M. Wang, L. Wang, B. Huang, D. D. Zhu, J. J. Li, C. Z. Gu and X. M. Meng, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 8949.
- 25 J. A. Adams, A. Bostwick, T. Ohta, F. S. Ohuchi and M. A. Olmstead, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, 71, 195308.
- 26 P. Tonndorf, R. Schmidt, P. Böttger, X. Zhang, J. Börner,
  A. Liebig, M. Albrecht, C. Kloc, O. Gordan, D. R. T. Zahn,
  S. M. de Vasconcellos and R. Bratschitsch, *Opt. Express*, 2013, 21, 4908.