RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Investigation the interaction between protamine sulfate and CdTe quantum dots with spectroscopic techniques

Fangfang Xue,[‡] Lingzhi Liu,[‡] Yueyao Mi, Heyou Han and Jiangong Liang^{*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The interaction between protamine sulfate and CdTe quantum dots (QDs) was investigated in detail by spectroscopic techniques. It was found that the fluorescence of QDs was quenched intensely by protamine sulfate. According to the results of lifetime measurements, temperature dependence, UV-Vis absorption spectra, calorimetric titration and zeta potential measurements, a combined dynamic and static quenching

¹⁰ model was proposed. The binding force was also discussed and the process was found to be associated with electrostatic interaction. The results of this work indicated that the charge of protein has great effect on the emission of QDs. Moreover, it should contribute to the studies of interactions of QDs with proteins and promotes its application in biological systems.

1. Introduction

¹⁵ Since Bawendi and coworkers synthesized highly luminescent quantum dots (QDs) in 1993,¹ QDs have attracted great attentions due to their enormous advantages over conventional organic dyes. As a novel fluorescent probe, QDs have been widely used in cellular imaging,² single molecule detection,³ *in vivo* animal

²⁰ targeting⁴ and photodynamic therapy.⁵ A major problem of QDs as tracking molecules in bioimaging is their strong nonspecific adsorption of various cellular proteins, which may prevent QDs-ligands conjugates from interacting with their cellular receptors, even losing specificity.⁶ Therefore, understanding the interaction ²⁵ of QDs with proteins and its mechanism could provide new insights into QDs based biomedical applications.

Up to now, many analytical techniques, including capillary electrophoresis (CE),⁷ resonance Rayleigh scattering (RRS),⁸ fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS),⁹ dynamic light ³⁰ scattering (DLS),¹⁰ surface plasmon resonance (SPR)^{11,12} and atomic force microscopy (AFM)¹³ have been applied to study the interactions of QDs with proteins. Owing to the extreme sensitivity and versatility, spectroscopic techniques have been one of the most extensive methods. With this method, the ³⁵ interactions of QDs with human serum albumin (HSA),^{8,11,14}

³⁵ Interactions of QDS with human setum abumin (HSA), bovine serum albumin (BSA),^{9,13,15-19} hemoglobin (Hb),¹² glucose oxidase (GOD),¹² cytochrome c (Cyt C) ¹² etc., have been reported, and the interaction mechanisms have been investigated. However, these studies mainly focused on proteins with negative ⁴⁰ charge. Detailed investigations on interactions of QDs with

positively charged proteins are rare.

Protamine sulfate isolated from salmon is a highly cationic polypeptide with molecular weight of approximately 5 kDa and is used commonly in clinical medicine. It has antibacterial ⁴⁵ properties²⁰ and can neutralize the anticoagulant activity of heparin.²¹ Meanwhile, it can be used to bind DNA.²² The high

arginine content (approximately 66%) of protamine sulfate forms a high isoelectric point of 12-13 and results in a very high positive charge under physiological buffers at pH 7.4.²³ Although ⁵⁰ several methods for protamine detections based on nanomaterials aggregations have been reported recently,²⁴⁻²⁶ the detailed interaction mechanism of QDs with protamine sulfate is still of great interest and helps promote a better understanding of the interactions of QDs with positively charged proteins.

Herein in the present work, we used spectroscopic techniques, including fluorescence, UV-Vis and fluorescence lifetime to investigate the interaction of protamine sulfate with CdTe QDs. It was found that the fluorescence of CdTe QDs was strongly quenched by protamine sulfate. A combined dynamic and static quenching mechanism was proposed to explain the interaction. The binding force was also discussed on the basis of calorimetric titration, zeta potential measurements and size distribution analysis.

2. Experimental section

65 2.1 Reagents

Thioglycolic acid (TGA), CdCl₂·2.5H₂O, sodium tellurite (Na₂TeO₃), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) and trisodium citrate dihydrate were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). Protamine sulfate, purchased from Sigma (St. ⁷⁰ Louis, MO), was dissolved in ultrapure water at a concentration of 1.0×10^{-5} M and was kept at 4 °C for further use. All other chemicals were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. All the solutions were prepared in ultrapure water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ·cm.

75 2.2 Instruments

The UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured using a UV2450 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Inc.)

equipped with 1 cm quartz cell. The fluorescence spectra and fluorescence lifetime were recorded on a FLS 920 steady state and lifetime spectrofluorimeter (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd.) with the excitation of 390 nm. The zeta potential measurements

- ⁵ and particle size distribution measurements were carried out on a Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments Ltd.). The calorimetric titration of QDs by protamine sulfate was performed on a NANO ITC LV isothermal titration calorimeter (TA Instruments) at 298 K. High resolution transmission electron
- ¹⁰ microscopy (HRTEM) image was acquired by a Tecnai G2 F30 transmission electron microscope (FEI Company) operating at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded from 250 nm to 190 nm on a J-1500 CD spectrometer (JASCO Corporation). All the pH measurements
- 15 were made with a Model pHS-3C meter (Shanghai REX Instrument Factory).

2.3 Preparation and purification of TGA-capped CdTe QDs

Two different sized TGA-capped CdTe QDs were synthesized following the method reported previously with some

- $_{20}$ modifications. 27 Briefly, 0.0457 g (0.200 mmol) CdCl₂·2.5H₂O was dissolved in 100 mL of ultrapure water bubbled with N₂ for 30 min. Subsequently, 20 μ L of TGA (0.288 mmol) was added and the pH of the mixture was adjusted to 11.0 by dropwise addition of 1.0 M NaOH solution under N₂ atmosphere. Then
- ²⁵ trisodium citrate dihydrate (0.1076 g, 0.366 mmol), Na₂TeO₃ (0.0088 g, 0.0397 mmol), and NaBH₄ (0.0048 g, 0.127 mmol) were added into the above mixture, followed by refluxing at 100 °C for different times to control the particle sizes. The crude products were precipitated by acetone with centrifugation at 8000
- ³⁰ rpm for 5 min and the resultant precipitate was redispersed in ultrapure water, then kept at 4 °C in dark for further use.

The particles sizes of CdTe QDs were determined from the first absorption maximum of the UV-Vis absorption spectra according to the following equation.²⁸

³⁵ $D=(9.8127 \times 10^{-7})\lambda^3 - (1.7147 \times 10^{-3})\lambda^2 + (1.0064)\lambda - (194.84)$ Eq.1 where *D* denotes the particle size of CdTe QDs sample, and λ represents the wavelength of the first excitonic absorption peak of the corresponding sample.

2.4 Investigation of the interaction between CdTe QDs and $_{\rm 40}$ protamine sulfate

- In order to investigate the effect of protamine sulfate on the fluorescence of CdTe QDs, varying volumes of 1.0×10^{-5} M protamine sulfate were allowed to interact with 4.0×10^{-7} M 545 nm QDs. After incubating for 1 h at room temperature with gentle
- ⁴⁵ shaking, the fluorescence emission of 545 nm QDs was measured under the excitation of 390 nm. The experiments were also conducted with 620 nm QDs for comparison.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Photophysical properties of QDs with different sizes

⁵⁰ The absorption and fluorescence properties of the two different sized TGA-CdTe QDs were characterized first. As shown in Fig. 1, the maximum absorption of 545 nm and 620 nm CdTe QDs locate at 495 nm and 570 nm, respectively, indicating the effect of quantum confinement.¹ The fluorescence emission spectra of ⁵⁵ the two CdTe QDs are both narrow and symmetric, indicating

their good size distribution. With rhodamine 6G as the reference,²⁹ the fluorescence quantum yields of 545 nm and 620 nm CdTe QDs were determined to be $24.10\% \pm 0.87\%$ and $6.79\% \pm 0.41\%$, respectively.



Fig. 1 UV-Vis absorption spectra and fluorescence spectra of 545 nm and 620 nm CdTe QDs. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured in water at room temperature and fluorescence spectra were measured in phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.4) at 298K.

The particles sizes of CdTe QDs could be controlled simply by varying the reaction time and were determined by Eq.1. The results showed that the particle sizes of the as-prepared CdTe QDs were around 2.2 nm and 3.4 nm, corresponding with the first absorption maximum of 495 nm and 570 nm. And their 70 concentrations were calculated from its absorption using Lambert-Beer's law:

 $A = \varepsilon c l$

Eq.2

where *A* is the absorbance of CdTe QDs at first excitonic absorption peak, *c* is the concentration of CdTe QDs. *l* means the 75 path length of the radiation beam and ε represents the molar extinction coefficients of QDs, which could be obtained from the formula $\varepsilon = 10043(D)^{2.12}$.²⁸

The morphology and size of the as-prepared 545 nm CdTe QDs were also characterized in detail by high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and dynamic light scattering analysis (DLS). HRTEM image (Supporting Information Fig. S1a) showed that the synthesized QDs are well dispersed and uniform in shape. The existence of well-resolved lattice planes indicated the excellent crystalline structures of the so QDs. The mean particle size of the QDs was determined to be 3.0 + 0.7 nm. The DLS measurement (Supporting Information Fig.

 \pm 0.7 nm. The DLS measurement (Supporting Information Fig. S1b) revealed that the particles have good size distribution with an average size of 9 nm, larger than the sizes measured by HRTEM and UV-Vis absorption spectrum.

90 3.2 The effect of protamine sulfate on the fluorescence of CdTe QDs

In order to study the interaction between protamine sulfate and CdTe QDs, the effect of protamine sulfate on the fluorescence of 545 nm CdTe QDs was investigated. Typically, different ⁹⁵ amounts of protamine sulfate were added to a fixed concentration of QDs solutions (4.0×10^{-7} M in 10 mM phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.4). The incubation time was explored and was selected as 1 h according to the results of Supporting Information Fig. S2. With increasing amounts of protamine sulfate, the ¹⁰⁰ fluorescence intensity of QDs decreased gradually, indicating an

interaction between protamine sulfate and QDs (Fig. 2). Moreover, the maximum emission of QDs exhibits a remarkable red shift from 545 nm to 552 nm with the addition of protamine sulfate, suggesting that the surface state of QDs may be changed 5 under the interaction of protamine sulfate.



Fig. 2 Effect of protamine sulfate concentrations on fluorescence spectra of 545 nm QDs at 298K, pH 7.4. The concentration of QDs was fixed at 4.0×10^{-7} M and the concentrations of ¹⁰ protamine sulfate were 0 to 2.0×10^{-7} M, respectively.

Generally, the quenching mechanism can be divided into either static quenching or dynamic quenching or a mixture of the two. No matter which quenching mechanism, the fluorescence quenching data can be analyzed by the Stern-Volmer equation,³⁰

15
$$F_0/F = 1 + K_{\rm SV}[Q]$$
 Eq.3

where F_0 represents the fluorescence intensity in the absence of protamine sulfate and F represents the fluorescence intensity under different concentrations of protamine sulfate. K_{SV} is the Stern–Volmer quenching constant and [O] is the concentration of

²⁰ quencher protamine sulfate. The results showed that the F_0/F of 545 nm QDs was dependent on protamine sulfate concentration and was linear to the concentration. From the calibration curve, the $K_{\rm SV}$ of 545 nm QDs was calculated as 4.50×10^6 M⁻¹.

3.3 Investigation of quenching mechanism and binding forces

- 25 Fluorescence quenching can result from a variety of molecular interactions, including excited-state reactions, molecular rearrangements, energy transfer, ground-state complex formation, and collisional quenching.³⁰ To understand the fluorescence quenching mechanism of QDs by protamine sulfate, UV-Vis 30 absorption measurements, dependence on temperature and
- fluorescence lifetime experiments were conducted.

UV-Vis absorption measurements

UV-Vis absorption measurement is a very simple and applicable method to explore the structural changes and complex 35 formations.¹⁵ Herein, the absorption spectra of protamine sulfate, 545 nm QDs and protamine sulfate-545 nm QDs mixture were examined to distinguish static and dynamic quenching. Proteins acids, containing aromatic amino such as tyrosine, phenylalanine and tryptophan residues, often show a 40 strong absorption peak at 280 nm. However, the amino acid sequence analysis of protamine sulfate revealed that it is made up

of only aliphatic amino acid, and 66% of which are arginine residues. Therefore, no obvious absorption at 280 nm was

observed at the absorption spectrum of protamine sulfate (Fig. 3). 45 When protamine sulfate was added, the absorption spectrum of protamine sulfate-QDs mixture did not differ much from that of QDs and the sum of QDs with protamine sulfate (Fig. 3). The results indicated that the quenching of QDs is mainly induced by a dynamic process.



Fig. 3 The UV-Vis absorption spectra of 545 nm QDs, protamine sulfate, protamine sulfate-545 nm QDs mixture, and the sum of the absorbance of 545 nm QDs with protamine sulfate at 298K, pH 7.4. The concentrations of QDs and protamine sulfate were $_{55}$ 1.3 × 10⁻⁷ M and 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M, respectively.

Fluorescence lifetime measurement

Fluorescence lifetime measurement provides much useful information for understanding the type of molecular interactions, and it is the most definitive method to distinguish static and ⁶⁰ dynamic quenching.³⁰ In the present study, fluorescence lifetime measurement was adopted to study the interaction of 545 nm CdTe QDs with protamine sulfate. As shown in Fig. 4, the decay curves of 545 nm QDs in the absence and presence of protamine sulfate were well fitted with a biexponential function.³¹⁻³⁴ And the 65 average lifetime τ can be calculated by the equation: ³²

 $\tau = (B_1 \tau_1^2 + B_2 \tau_2^2) / (B_1 \tau_1 + B_2 \tau_2)$

where τ_1 and τ_2 represent the shorter lifetime and the longer lifetime, B_1 and B_2 denote the amplitudes of fast and slow decay components.

The fitting parameters of τ_1 , τ_2 , B_1 , B_2 , and τ (Fig. 4) are 70 summarized in Table 1. From the data, it can be seen that there are two different lifetimes, which can be attributed to the intrinsic recombination of populated core states and the involvement of surface states respectively.^{33,34} With the addition of protamine 75 sulfate, the average lifetime of CdTe QDs decreased from 24.96 ns to 19.70 ns as the longer lifetime decreased from 29.04 ns to 21.19 ns, implying the decrease of surface-related emission. The results indicated that the surface state of QDs has been changed as protamine sulfate was added. The decrease in fluorescence

⁸⁰ lifetime proved that the observed quenching is due to a dynamic quenching.

Ea.4



Fig. 4 Fluorescence decay curves of 545 nm QDs in the absence and presence of protamine sulfate at 298K, pH 7.4. The $_{5}$ concentrations of QDs and protamine sulfate were fixed at 1.3 \times 10⁻⁶ M and 1.0 \times 10⁻⁶ M, respectively.

Table 1 The fitting parameters of 545 nm QDs in the absence and presence of protamine sulfate.

Sample	$B_1(\%)$	$\tau_1(ns)$	$B_2(\%)$	$\tau_2(ns)$	τ(ns)
CdTe QDs	45.93	8.38	54.07	29.04	24.96
	±2.43	± 0.40	±2.43	±1.11	±0.62
CdTe QDs with	35.57	3.53	64.43	21.19	19.70
protamine sulfate	±2.12	±0.37	±2.12	±1.23	±1.02

10 The effect of temperature

Investigation the dependence on temperature is a good tool to study the quenching mode.³⁰ Thus, three temperatures, *i.e.*, 291 K, 298 K, and 305 K were selected to investigate the effect of temperature on the interaction between 545 nm QDs and

- ¹⁵ protamine sulfate. As shown in Fig. 5, the slope of the Stern-Volmer plots increased with the raise of temperature, indicating the enhancement of quenching constants. From the slope, the quenching constants for 291 K, 298 K and 305 K were determined to be $(2.70 \pm 0.15) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, $(4.16 \pm 0.62) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$
- $_{20}$ and (16.50 ± 1.57) × 10⁶ M⁻¹, respectively. It can be seen clearly that the calculated quenching constant for 305 K is several times higher than that of 291 K and 298 K with the quenching constant of 298K is 1.5 times higher than that of 291 K. The dependence of quenching constant on temperature implied that the quenching
- ²⁵ of QDs by protamine sulfate is primarily resulted from a dynamic process since higher temperature will result in a larger diffusion coefficient.



Fig. 5 Stern-Volmer plots for the quenching of 545 nm QDs by ³⁰ protamine sulfate at different temperatures under pH 7.4.

In general, four binding forces, *i.e.*, hydrogen bonding, Van der Waals interaction, hydrophobic force and electrostatic attraction are usually used to explain the interactions between QDs and macromolecules.¹⁴ The type of binding forces can be ³⁵ distinguished by their differing thermodynamic parameters. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is a good technique to measure the binding affinity constant, free energy changes (ΔG), enthalpy changes (ΔH), entropy changes (ΔS) and binding stoichiometry of the interaction between nanoparticles and ⁴⁰ proteins.³⁵ Herein, the thermodynamic parameters of the interaction were obtained by ITC. On the basis of the results (Supporting Information Fig. S3), ΔG , ΔH and ΔS were observed to be -34.28 kJ·mol⁻¹, -20.01 kJ·mol⁻¹ and 47.89 J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹, respectively.

⁴⁵ The negative value of ΔG and negative value of ΔH revealed that the interaction process is spontaneous and exothermic. The positive entropy change ΔS showed that the surrounding water molecules acquired a more random configuration. Considering the negative enthalpy change and positive entropy change, the ⁵⁰ process was associated with electrostatic interaction.³⁶

The results of lifetime measurements, temperature dependence and absorption spectra showed that the quenching of 545 nm QDs by protamine sulfate is mainly initiated by a dynamic process. However, the quenching rate constant K_q , ⁵⁵ calculated from the equation $K_q = K_{SV}/\tau_0$, was found to be $1.67 \times 10^{14} \,\mathrm{M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}}$ for 545 nm QDs, several orders of magnitude greater than the maximum value for diffusion-controlled quenching ($10^{10} \,\mathrm{M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}}$). ³⁷ Considering the reported effect of protamine on nanomaterial aggregation, ^{24-26,38} a possible quenching mechanism ⁶⁰ was proposed as depicted in Scheme 1. The high arginine content makes protamine sulfate a high isoelectric point and results in a high positive charge at pH 7.4. The capping reagent TGA on the surface of CdTe QDs makes it negatively charged at pH 7.4. Upon mixing, the electrostatic interaction between positive ⁶⁵ charge and negative charge would bring protamine sulfate and

QDs in proximity and form complex. Meanwhile, protamine sulfate will collide with the excited QDs. Thus, a combined dynamic and static quenching was happened.



Scheme 1 Schematic model of the interaction between protamine sulfate and TGA modified CdTe QDs.

- To further support this hypothesis, zeta potential ⁵ measurement and size distribution analysis were carried out. The zeta potential of the as-prepared TGA modified 545 nm QDs was detected to be -19.3 mV, confirming that QDs was negatively charged at pH 7.4. And, it was changed to 19.8 mV after interact with protamine sulfate, further confirming the interaction of QDs
- ¹⁰ with protein. Lu et al.¹² have studied the interaction of negatively charged QDs with proteins possessing different isoelectric points. The results indicated that the surface charge distribution play a significant role in the protein interaction with QDs, since the interaction capability of positively charged proteins is higher than
- ¹⁵ that of the negatively charged ones. The results of zeta potential was in accordance with the literature and suggested that the charge of protein plays a significant role in the interactions of QDs with proteins. In addition, the average hydrodynamic diameter of 545 nm QDs in aqueous solution is 9 nm. With the
- ²⁰ presence of protamine sulfate, it increased to 21 nm, indicating that static quenching also plays an important role. Lai et al.³⁹ have found that the electrostatic interaction between positively coated QDs and negatively charged serum albumin could results in the aggregation of QDs. Therefore, the larger hydrodynamic
- ²⁵ diameter of QDs in the presence of protamine sulfate revealed that the electrostatic interaction between QDs and protamine induces the formation of small aggregates.

In the previous research, Wang et al.⁴⁰ have investigated the interactions between BSA and CdTe QDs. The results have

- ³⁰ shown that BSA can enhance the fluorescence intensity of QDs. However, for the interaction of protamine sulfate with CdTe QDs, a fluorescence quench was observed. At pH 7.4, BSA is negatively charged, and protamine sulfate is positively charged. Therefore, it can be concluded that the charge of protein has great
- ³⁵ effect on the interactions of QDs with proteins. What's more, from the results of circular dichroism (CD), it can be seen that the structure of protamine sulfate has been changed after addition of CdTe QDs (Supporting Information Fig. S4).

According to the above results, a combined dynamic and

- ⁴⁰ static quenching mechanism was proposed to explain the fluorescence quenching of 545 nm QDs by protamine sulfate. The interaction between protamine sulfate and 620 nm CdTe QDs was also investigated, and the same phenomenon was observed (Supporting Information Fig. S5 and Fig. S6). The Stern-Volmer
- ⁴⁵ quenching constant K_{SV} was determined to be 8.94×10^6 M⁻¹, higher than that of 545 nm QDs. The larger size of 620 nm QDs may enhance the affinity for protamine sulfate, and thus induce a stronger interaction. The results indicated that particle size plays an important role in the interaction of QDs with protein.

50 4. Conclusions

In this work, the interaction between protamine sulfate and CdTe QDs was investigated in detail with spectroscopic techniques. Upon mixing, the fluorescence of QDs was quenched remarkably by protamine sulfate. Fluorescence lifetime, dependence of ⁵⁵ temperature combined with UV-Vis absorption spectra were conducted to explore the quenching mechanism and the binding force was also discussed. A combined dynamic and static quenching mechanism was proposed. The results of this work suggest that the charge of protein has great effect on the ⁶⁰ interactions of QDs with proteins. Moreover, it provides new insights into the development of interactions between QDs and proteins and promotes its application in biological systems.

Acknowledgment

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation 65 of China (Nos. 21205043 and 31372439) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

College of Science, State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China. Fax: 86 27 70 8728 2133; Tel: 86 27 8728 3712; E-mail: <u>liangj@mail.hzau.edu.cn</u>.

- * Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: the effect of incubation time, the calorimetric titration of 545 nm CdTe QDs by protamine sulfate, the effect of protamine sulfate concentrations on fluorescence spectra of 620 nm QDs, and the fluorescence decay curves
- 75 of 620 nm QDs in the absence and presence of protamine sulfate. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

‡ The first two authors F. F. Xue and L. Z. Liu have equal contributions to this work.

- 1 C. B. Murray, D. J. Norris and M. G. Bawendi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80 1993, **115**, 8706.
- 2 X. H. Gao, L. L. Yang, J. A. Petros, F. F. Marshall, J. W. Simons and S. M. Nie, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2005, 16, 63.
- 3 Q. Ma and X. G. Su, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 4883.
- X. Michalet, F. F. Pinaud, L. A. Bentolila, J. M. Tsay, S. Doose, J. J.
 Li, G. Sundaresan, A. M. Wu, S. S. Gambhir and S. Weiss, *Science*, 2005, **307**, 538.
- 5 A. C. S. Samia, X. B. Chen and C. Burda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, **125**, 15736.
- 6 M. M. Barroso, J. Histochem. Cytochem., 2011, 59, 237.
- 90 7 L. W. Shao, C. Q. Dong, X. Y. Huang and J. C. Ren, *Chinese Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **19**, 707.
- 8 K. J. Huang, C. Y. Wei, Y. M. Shi, W. Z. Xie and W. Wang, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2010, **75**, 1031.
- 9 L. W. Shao, C. Q. Dong, F. M. Sang, H. F. Qian and J. C. Ren, J.
 95 Fluoresc., 2009, 19, 151.
 - 10 B. I. Ipe, A. Shukla, H. C. Lu, B. Zou, H. Rehage and C. M. Niemeyer, *ChemPhysChem*, 2006, 7, 1112.
 - 11 Q. Xiao, B. Zhou, S. Huang, F. F. Tian, H. L. Guan, Y. S. Ge, X. R. Liu, Z. K. He and Y. Liu, *Nanotechnology*, 2009, **20**, 325101.
- 100 12 Z. S. Lu, W. H. Hu, H. F. Bao, Y. Qiao and C. M. Li, Med. Chem. Commun., 2011, 2, 283.
 - 13 V. Poderys, M. Matulionyte, A. Selskis and R. Rotomskis, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2011, 6, 9.
- Q. Xiao, S. Huang, Z. D. Qi, B. Zhou, Z. K. He and Y. Liu, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2008, **1784**, 1020.
 - 15 J. G. Liang, Y. P. Cheng and H. Y. Han, J. Mol. Struct., 2008, 892, 116.
 - 16 M. M. Dzagli, V. Canpean, M. Iosin, M. A. Mohou and S. Astilean, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 2010, 215, 118.
- 110 17 M. A. Jhonsi, A. Kathiravan and R. Renganathan, Colloids Surf. B: Biointerf., 2009, 72, 167.

- 18 Q. S. Wang, X. L. Zhang, X. L. Zhou, T. T. Fang, P. F. Liu, P. Liu, X. M. Min and X. Li, *J. Lumin.*, 2012, **132**, 1695.
- 19 D. D. Wu, Z. Chen and X. G. Liu, Spectrochim. Acta A, 2011, 84, 178.
- 5 20 A. Aspedon and E. A. Groisman, *Microbiology*, 1996, 142, 3389.
- 21 L. Falkon, M. Garí, I. Gich and J. Fontcuberta, *Thromb. Res.*, 1998, **89**, 79.
- 22 L. Willmitzer and K. G. Wagner, *Biophys. Struct. Mech.*, 1980, 6, 95.
- 23 D. Awotwe-Otoo, Ph.D. Thesis, Howard University, 2011.
- 10 24 X. Peng, Q. Long, H. T. Li, Y. Y. Zhang and S. Z. Yao, Sens. Actuators B, 2015, 213, 131.
 - 25 A. A. Ensafi, N. Kazemifard and B. Rezaei, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **71**, 243.
- 26 Z. F. Zhang, Y. M. Miao, Q. D. Zhang and G. Q. Yan, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2015, **478**, 90.
- 27 Z. H. Sheng, H. Y. Han and J. G. Liang, *Luminescence*, 2009, 24, 271.
- 28 W. W. Yu, L. H. Qu, W. Z. Guo and X. G. Peng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, 15, 2854.
- 20 29 M. Grabolle, M. Spieles, V. Lesnyak, N. Gaponik, A. Eychmüller and U. Resch-Genger, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 6285.
- 30 J. R. Lakowicz, Quenching of Fluorescence, in: Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Springer Academic, New York, 2006, pp. 277.
- 25 31 Y. Lu, M. Dasog, A. F. G. Leontowich, R. W. J. Scott and M. F. Paige, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 17446.
 - 32 P. Yang, M. Ando, T. Taguchi and N. Murase, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 20962.
- 33 X. Y. Wang, L. H. Qu, J. Y. Zhang, X. G. Peng and M. Xiao, *Nano Lett.*, 2003, 3, 1103.
- 34 K. Zhao, J. Li, H. Z. Wang, J. Q. Zhuang and W. S. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 5618.
- 35 M. Mahmoudi, I. Lynch, M. R. Ejtehadi, M. P. Monopoli, F. B. Bombelli and S. Laurent, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 5610.
- 35 36 P. D. Ross and S. Subramanian, *Biochemistry*, 1981, 20, 3096.
- 37 Z. J. Cheng, R. Liu, X. H. Jiang and Q. Y. Xu, *Luminescence*, 2014, 29, 504.
- 38 S. N. Ding, C. M. Li and N. Bao, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **64**, 333.
- 39 L. Lai, C. Lin, Z. Q. Xu, X. L. Han, F. F. Tian, P. Mei, D. W. Li, Y. S.
 40 Ge, F. L. Jiang, Y. Z. Zhang and Y. Liu, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 2012, 97, 366.
- 40 H. P. Wang, C. Z. Zheng, T. J. Dong, K. L. Liu, H. Y. Han and J. G. Liang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 3011.
- 45

Investigation the interaction between protamine sulfate and CdTe quantum dots with spectroscopic techniques

Fangfang Xue, Lingzhi Liu, Yueyao Mi, Heyou Han and Jiangong Liang*

The fluorescence of QDs was quenched intensely by protamine sulfate with a combined dynamic and static quenching mechanism was proposed.

