PAPER

Check for updates

Pd-catalyzed synthesis of 1-(hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanols using chloral hydrate and (hetero)arylboroxines†

Minori Shimizu,[a] Yuta Okuda,[b] Koki Toyoda,[c] Ryo Akiyama,[b] Hiraku Shinozaki[c] and Tetsuya Yamamoto ![ORCID] *[abc]

1-(Hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanols are useful key intermediates for the synthesis of various bioactive compounds. Herein, we describe N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)-coordinated cyclometallated palladium complex (CYP)-catalyzed (hetero)aryl addition of chloral hydrate using (hetero)arylboroxines, providing a new approach to 1-(hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanols. Notably, PhS-IPent-CYP which coordinated the bulky yet flexible 2,6-di(pentan-3-yl)aniline (IPent)-based NHC showed good catalytic activities and promoted the transformation in 24–97% yields.

Introduction

1-(Hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanols are one of the most useful building blocks for the synthesis of bioactive compounds,[1] because the carbinol moiety is easily transformed to various α-substituted carboxylic acid derivatives.[2–6] So far, 1-(hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanols have two kinds of possible synthetic routes as depicted in Scheme 1. One is an addition of the trichloromethyl anion to carbonyl compounds such as aldehydes or ketones (i).[7] This way has generally needed the use of toxic trichloromethyl anion sources such as chloroform and trichloroacetic acid. The other is an addition of moisture-sensitive organometallic reagents such as organomagnesium compounds to dehydrated chloral (ii).[8]

The transition metal-catalyzed 1,2-addition of organoboronic acids and their derivatives to carbonyl compounds is a convenient method compared to the Grignard reaction, because this could be conducted in the presence of water.[9] Although several research groups have reported the Rh-catalyzed 1,2-addition of arylboronic acids to trifluoromethyl ketones,[10] the transition metal-catalyzed addition of arylboron compounds to trichloromethyl carbonyl compounds such as chloral have not been examined yet. It is well known that N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC) coordinated palladium complexes are useful for various applications such as

anticancer drugs, OLEDs and catalysts.[11] Recently, we have developed the NHC coordinated cyclometallated palladium complexes (CYPs) that catalyzed the 1,2-addition of organoboron compounds to a wide range of carbonyl compounds including hemiacetals such as aqueous formaldehyde and glyoxylate hemiacetals (Scheme 2).[12] Therefore, we envisaged that the NHC-CYPs exhibit a good catalytic activity of the addition of arylboron compounds to chloral hydrate without a dehydration process. Here, we report the direct aryl addition to chloral hydrate with triarylboroxines using NHC-CYPs as a catalyst.

Results and discussion

At first, we examined CYPs-catalyzed 1,2-addition of chloral hydrate 1 and 2-naphthylboron compounds (Table 1). PhS-IPr-CYP have catalyzed the addition of arylboronic acids to an excess amount of aqueous formaldehyde to provide the corresponding benzylic alcohols in satisfactory yields,[12a,d] although PhS-IPr-CYP catalyzed reaction of 2-

[a]Department of Materials and Life Sciences, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Senju-Asahicho, Adachi Tokyo 120-8551, Japan. E-mail: t-yamamoto@mail.dendai.ac.jp

[b]Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Senju-Asahicho, Adachi-ku, Tokyo 120-8551, Japan

[c]Department of Applied Chemistry, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Senju-Asahicho, Adachi-ku, Tokyo 120-8551, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra02403e

Scheme 1 Previous synthesis of trichloromethylcarbinols.

Paper

Scheme 2 NHC-CYPs-catalyzed 1,2-addition of arylboron compounds and carbonyl compounds.

naphthaleneboronic acid **2a** and 4 equivalent of chloral hydrate afforded the desired product **5a** in 39% yield (entry 1). In this case, the yield of **5a** was improved by the use of an excess of **2a** relative to chloral hydrate (entry 2). Then, using 2-naphthaleneboronate **3a** instead of **2a** increased slightly the yield of **3a** to 70% (entry 3). We have confirmed the efficacy of arylboroxines in the arylation of trifluoroacetaldehyde hemiacetal from a preliminary investigation.[12c] When this reaction was performed using tri(naphthalene-2-yl)boroxine **4a**, the yield of **5a** was improved considerably to 82% (entry 4). Dehydrated chloral was usable as well as chloral hydrate for this addition reaction (entry 5). H-IPr-CYP has shown more catalytic activity than PhS-IPr-CYP in the CYPs-catalyzed arylation of glyoxylate hemiacetals,[12b] but it was not suitable for this reaction (entry 6). PhS-IPent-CYP having sterically bulky alkyl group had more active towards the addition than PhS-IPr-CYP (entry 7).

Under the optimized conditions, we synthesized various functionalized trichloromethyl carbinols using PhS-IPent-CYP catalyzed reaction (Table 2). Substrates bearing sterically hindered 1-naphthyl group was also converted to the

corresponding alcohol **5b** in moderate yield of 65%. Arylboroxines bearing electron-donating groups like *tert*-butyl, phenyl, methoxy and methylthio groups furnished the corresponding products **5c–5h** in satisfactory yields of 66–97%. Interestingly, sterically bulky 2-methoxyphenylboroxine reacted more smoothly than 3-methoxyphenyl and 4-methoxyphenylboroxines. 4-Fluorophenyl and 4-bromophenylboroxines provided the corresponding products **5i** and **5j** in excellent yields, but the reaction using arylboroxines having strong electron-withdrawing groups such as nitrile, nitro or methoxycarbonyl group have not afforded the products **5k–5m**. Remarkably, the bromo group on the aromatic ring remained intact, and the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling product did not observe under this reaction condition. This catalytic reaction was also applicable to heteroarylboroxines containing oxygen or sulfur atom and provided the products **5n–5r** in low to moderate yields, but was not applicable to an aliphatic boroxine such as 2-phenylethylboroxine **4s**.

Since arylboroxines are more suitable for this reaction than arylboronic acids, we examined an experiment under the reaction conditions with H_2O (Scheme 3). Because arylboroxines is known to rapidly absorb H_2O and transform to boronic acids, and adding water is expected to reduce the dehydration performance of boroxine. Practically, the yield declined as the amount of H_2O added increased, indicating that arylboroxines may be involved in the dehydration step of chloral hydrate. So, we proposed a plausible catalytic cycle which is described in Scheme 4. Initially, dehydrated chloral and arylboronic acids are generated from the hydrolysis of arylboroxines by chloral hydrate. Then arylpalladium intermediate **6** is formed from a base-promoted transmetallation between an arylboronic acid and PhS-IPent-CYP, alkoxypalladium **7** is generated from an insertion of the aryl group on **6** to chloral. Finally, a transmetallation of complex **7** between an arylboronic acid

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions of CYPs-catalyzed 1,2-addition of chloral hydrate **1** and 2-naphtalenelboron compounds


| Entry	1 (mmol)	B (mmol)	K_2CO_3 (mmol)	CYPs	Yield[a] (%)
1	2.0	0.5	0.5	PhS-IPr-CYP	39
2	0.5	1.5	1.5	PhS-IPr-CYP	66
3[b]	0.5	1.5	1.5	PhS-IPr-CYP	70
4[c]	0.5	0.5	1.5	PhS-IPr-CYP	82
5[c,d]	0.5	0.5	1.5	PhS-IPr-CYP	81
6[c]	0.5	0.5	1.5	H-IPr-CYP	73
7[c]	0.5	0.5	1.5	PhS-IPent-CYP	95 (95)[e]

[a] Yields were determined by ^{1}H-NMR using triphenylmethane as an internal standard. [b] **3a** was used instead of **2a**. [c] **4a** was used instead of **2a**. [d] Dehydrated chloral was used instead of chloral hydrate. [e] Isolated yield.

Table 2 PhS-IPent-CYP-catalyzed 1,2-addition of arylboroxines **4** to chloral hydrate **1**[a]


[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (1 equiv., 0.5 mmol), **4** (1.0 equiv., 0.5 mmol), K_2CO_3 (3.0 equiv., 1.5 mmol), PhS-Ipent-CYP (0.005 mmol, 1 mol%) and toluene (1 mL) at 100 °C for 2 h in a sealed tube. Isolated yield.

Scheme 3 The effect of the used amount of H_2O on the catalytic addition reaction.

results in the formation of 1-(hetero)aryl-2,2,2-trichloroethanol and the regeneration of complex **6**.

Conclusions

We have achieved a nucleophilic arylation to chloral hydrate using PhS-IPent-CYP as a catalyst. The use of arylboroxine is critical for this reaction, and arylboroxines have acted not only

Scheme 4 Proposed reaction mechanism.

as an arylcarbanion source but also as a dehydrating agent for chloral hydrate.

Experimental

General

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere. ^{1}H, ^{13}C, and ^{19}F NMR spectra were recorded on an AVANCE III 400 spectrometer (400.15 MHz) at ambient temperature. Melting points were recorded on Yanako MP-S3. HRMS were recorded on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Exactive (Orbitrap) using ESI or APCI. Commercially available organic and inorganic compounds were used without purification. PhS-IPr-CYP,[12a] H-IPr-CYP,[12a] PhS-IPent-CYP[12d] and arylboroxines **4**[13] were prepared according to the literature procedures.

Preparation and characterizations of compounds

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethan-1-ol[7b] **5a**. Chloral hydrate (83 mg, 0.50 mmol), 2-naphtyl boroxine (231 mg, 0.500 mmol), PhS-IPent-CYP (6.1 mg, 0.0050 mmol) and potassium carbonate (207 mg, 1.50 mmol) were charged in 10 mL test tube sealed with a rubber septum. The test tube was evacuated and backfilled with argon. This sequence was repeated three times. Then dehydrated toluene (1 mL) was added *via* the rubber septum with syringe. In an argon flow, the rubber septum was replaced with a Teflon liner screw cap. The sealed test tube was placed into an oil bath preheated 100 °C. After the reaction was stirred for 2 h and cooled to room temperature, the obtained crude was purified by passing it though a silica gel column with a hexane/ethyl acetate to give 131 mg (0.475 mmol, 95%) of product **5a** as a pale yellow solid, mp 93–94 °C (lit.[7b] 93–94 °C). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 8.09 (s, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.85–7.90 (m, 3H, Ar*H*), 7.88 (dd, J_1 = 7.3 Hz, J_2 = 9.8 Hz, 1H, Ar*H*), 7.52 (t, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H, Ar*H*), 5.40 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, C*H*(OH)CCl₃), 3.39 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, O*H*); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm): δ 133.8 (Ar), 132.5 (Ar), 132.3 (Ar), 129.3 (Ar), 128.4 (Ar), 127.7 (Ar), 127.4 (Ar), 126.9 (Ar), 126.4 (Ar), 126.2 (Ar), 103.3 (*C*Cl₃), 84.7 (*C*H(OH)CCl₃); HRMS (EI) *m/z*: [M + Cl]⁻ calcd for C₁₂H₉OCl₄: 308.9413. Found: 308.9424.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethan-1-ol[3d] 5b. Product **5b** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 1-naphtyl boroxine (231 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (91 mg, 0.33 mmol, 66%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 8.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 8.09 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.91–7.97 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51–7.62 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.23 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.45 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 133.5 (Ar), 132.0 (Ar), 131.4 (Ar), 130.3 (Ar), 129.0 (Ar), 127.2 (Ar), 126.4 (Ar), 125.6 (Ar), 124.9 (Ar), 123.7 (Ar), 103.5 (CCl$_3$), 79.0 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_{12}$H$_9$OCl$_4$: 308.9413. Found: 308.9422.

1-([1,1′-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-ol 5c. Product **5c** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-biphenyl boroxine (270 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow solid (136 mg, 0.451 mmol, 90%), mp 119–120 °C. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.70 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.67 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.59–7.62 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.45 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.36 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.26 (s, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.32 (s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 142.4 (Ar), 140.4 (Ar), 133.8 (Ar), 129.7 (Ar), 128.9 (Ar), 127.7 (Ar), 127.2 (Ar), 126.6 (Ar), 103.2 (CCl$_3$), 84.4 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{11}$OCl$_4$: 334.9569. Found: 334.9583.

1-(4-(tert-Buthyl)phenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-ol[7a] 5d. Product **5d** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-tert-buthylpheny boroxine (240 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow solid (95 mg, 0.34 mmol, 67%), mp 77–78 °C. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.16 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.33 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H, OH) 1.32 (s, 9H, C(CH_3)$_3$); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 152.6 (Ar), 132.0 (Ar), 128.9 (Ar), 124.8 (Ar), 103.3 (CCl$_3$), 84.4 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 34.7 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.3 (C(CH$_3$)$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{15}$OCl$_4$: 314.9882. Found: 314.9894.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol[7b] 5e. Product **5e** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-methoxyphenyl boroxine (201 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (90 mg, 0.35 mmol, 70%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.52 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.15 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.35 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 160.4 (Ar), 130.4 (Ar), 127.0 (Ar), 113.2 (Ar), 103.5 (CCl$_3$), 84.2 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 55.3 (OCH$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_9$H$_9$O$_2$Cl$_4$: 288.9362. Found: 288.9374.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol 5f. Product **5f** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 3-methoxyphenyl boroxine (201 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a colourless liquid (85 mg, 0.33 mmol, 66%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.28 (dd, J_1 = 8.0 Hz, J_2 = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.16–7.17 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.94 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.16 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH_3), 3.43 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 159.0 (Ar), 136.4 (Ar), 128.8 (Ar), 121.8 (Ar), 115.0 (Ar), 114.9 (Ar), 103.0 (CCl$_3$), 84.4 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 55.3 (OCH$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_9$H$_9$O$_2$Cl$_4$: 288.9362. Found: 288.9372.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol 5g. Product **5g** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 2-methoxyphenyl boroxine (201 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a colourless liquid (113 mg, 0.44 mmol, 88%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.60 (dd, J_1 = 1.7 Hz, J_2 = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.35 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.91–7.01 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.59 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 4.25 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, OH), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH_3); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 157.7 (Ar), 130.6 (Ar), 130.4 (Ar), 123.5 (Ar), 120.5 (Ar), 111.2 (Ar), 103.5 (CCl$_3$), 80.3 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 55.6 (OCH$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_9$H$_9$O$_2$Cl$_4$: 288.9362. Found: 288.9371.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)ethan-1-ol 5h. Product **5h** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-(methylthio)phenyl boroxine (225 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow solid (107 mg, 0.394 mmol, 78%), mp 89.5–90.0 °C. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.50 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.14 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.46 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, OH), 2.48 (s, 3H, SCH_3); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 140.4 (Ar), 131.4 (Ar), 129.6 (Ar), 125.3 (Ar), 103.1 (CCl$_3$), 84.2 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 15.2 (SCH$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_9$H$_9$OCl$_4$S: 304.9134. Found: 304.9146.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol[1c] 5i. Product **5i** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-fluorophenyl boroxine (183 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (99 mg, 0.41 mmol, 81%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.58–7.61 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.07 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, ArH), 5.20 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.40 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 163.4 (d, $^1J_{C-F}$ = 247 Hz, Ar), 131.1 (d, $^2J_{C-F}$ = 8.6 Hz, Ar), 130.6 (d, $^3J_{C-F}$ = 3.0 Hz, Ar), 114.9 (d, $^4J_{C-F}$ = 21.4 Hz, Ar), 103.1 (d, $^5J_{C-F}$ = 2.4 Hz, CCl$_3$), 83.8 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); [19]F (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ −117.8 (s, 1F, ArF); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_8$H$_6$OCl$_4$F: 276.9162. Found: 276.9170.

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-ol[7c] 5j. Product **5j** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 4-bromophenyl boroxine (274 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (138 mg, 0.45 mmol, 90%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.49–7.56 (m, 4H, ArH), 5.18 (s, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.59 (s, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 133.8 (Ar), 131.1 (Ar), 130.9 (Ar), 123.9 (Ar), 102.7 (CCl$_3$), 83.9 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_8$H$_6$OBrCl$_4$: 336.8362. Found: 336.8374.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(thiophen-2-yl)ethan-1-ol[7b] 5n. Product **5n** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 2-thiophene boroxine (165 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (47 mg, 0.20 mmol, 41%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.40 (dd, J_1 = 1.2 Hz, J_2 = 5.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.31 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.04 (dd, J_1 = 3.6 Hz, J_2 = 5.1 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.48 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.40 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 137.3 (Ar), 129.2 (Ar), 127.1 (Ar), 126.3 (Ar), 102.5 (CCl$_3$), 81.6 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_6$H$_5$OCl$_4$S: 264.8821. Found: 264.8833.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(thiophen-3-yl)ethan-1-ol[14] 5o. Product **5o** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 3-thiophene boroxine (165 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow

liquid (68 mg, 0.29 mmol, 59%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.55 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.32 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.32 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.29 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, CCl$_3$); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 136.0 (Ar), 127.6 (Ar), 126.2 (Ar), 125.2 (Ar), 102.8 (CCl$_3$), 81.3 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_6$H$_5$OCl$_4$S: 264.8821. Found: 264.8831.

2,2,2-Trichloro-1-(furan-3-yl)ethan-1-ol 5p. Product **5p** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 3-furan boroxine (141 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow liquid (50 mg, 0.23 mmol, 46%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.66 (t, J = 0.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.45 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.66 (dd, J_1 = 0.7 Hz, J_2 = 1.7 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.21 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.28 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 142.8 (Ar), 142.6 (Ar), 120.8 (Ar), 110.0 (Ar), 102.8 (CCl$_3$), 79.0 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_6$H$_5$O$_2$Cl$_4$: 248.9049. Found: 248.9056.

1-(Benzo[b]thiophene-2-yl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-ol[8a] 5q. Product **5q** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 2-benzo[b]thiophene boroxine (240 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow solid (34 mg, 0.12 mmol, 24%), mp 109–110 °C (lit.[8a] 109–110 °C). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.82–7.89 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.59 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.39–7.41 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.57 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.48 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 140.1 (Ar), 138.4 (Ar), 138.0 (Ar), 126.2 (Ar), 125.2 (Ar), 124.5 (Ar), 124.1 (Ar), 122.3 (Ar), 102.1 (CCl$_3$), 82.0 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd for C$_{10}$H$_7$OCl$_4$S: 314.8977. Found: 314.8992.

1-(Benzofuran-2-yl)-2,2,2-trichloroethan-1-ol 5r. Product **5r** was prepared by utilizing the general procedure using 2-benzofuran boroxine (207 mg, 0.500 mmol) and was isolated as a pale yellow solid (80 mg, 0.30 mmol, 60%), mp 71–72 °C. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 7.60 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.51 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.24–7.36 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.98 (s, 1H, ArH), 5.35 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH(OH)CCl$_3$), 3.59 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, OH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ppm): δ 154.7 (Ar), 150.7 (Ar), 127.4 (Ar), 125.3 (Ar), 123.3 (Ar), 121.6 (Ar), 111.6 (Ar), 107.9 (Ar), 100.9 (CCl$_3$), 79.7 (CH(OH)CCl$_3$); HRMS (EI) m/z: [M + Cl]$^-$ calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_7$O$_2$Cl$_4$: 298.9206. Found: 298.9216.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Notes and references

1 (a) I. Hwang, J. Kim, H. Kim and S. Kyung, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **30**, 1475; (b) A. Schäfer, A. Wellner, M. Strauss, A. Schäfer, G. Wolber and R. Gust, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 9607; (c) X. Zhu, C. T. Hu, J. Yang, L. A. Joyce, M. Qiu, M. D. Ward and B. Kahr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 16858.

2 (a) E. J. Corey and J. O. Link, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 1906; (b) R. O. Duthaler, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 1539; (c) C. Mellin-Morliére, D. J. Aitken, S. D. Bull, S. G. Davis and H. Husson, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2001, **12**, 149; (d) R. L. Tennyson, G. S. Cortez, H. J. Galicia, C. R. Kreiman, C. M. Thompson and D. Romo, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 533; (e) A. Scaffidi, B. W. Skelton, R. V. Stick and A. H. White, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2004, **57**, 723; (f) M. S. Perryman, M. E. Harris, J. L. Foster, A. Joshi, G. J. Clarkson and D. J. Fox, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10022; (g) C. Hobson, M. S. Perryman, G. Kirby, G. J. Clarkson and D. J. Fox, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2018, **59**, 3965.

3 (a) E. J. Corey and J. O. Link, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 3431; (b) K. Funabiki, N. Honma, W. Hashimoto and M. Matsui, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 2059; (c) H. Yu, Y. Fang, Y. Xia and J. Wu, *Synth. Commun.*, 2006, **36**, 2421; (d) S. Federico, T. Khan, N. Relitti, G. Chemi, M. Brindisi, S. Brogi, E. Novellino, D. M. Zisterer, G. Campiani, S. Gemma and S. Butini, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2018, **59**, 4466.

4 (a) D. A. Dudley, A. M. Bunker, L. Chi, W. L. Cody, D. R. Holland, D. P. Ignasiak, N. Janiczek-Dolphin, T. B. McClanahan, T. E. Mertz, L. S. Narasimhan, S. T. Rapundalo, J. A. Trautschold, C. A. V. Huis and J. J. Edmunds, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 4063; (b) J. Blanchet and J. Zhu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 4449; (c) M. S. Perryman, M. W. M. Earl, S. Greatorex, G. J. Clarkson and D. J. Fox, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 2360.

5 (a) J. L. Shamshina and T. S. Snowden, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 5881; (b) A. Scaffidi, B. W. Skelton, R. V. Stick and A. H. White, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2006, **59**, 426.

6 T. S. Snowden, *ARKIVOC*, 2012, 24, (ii), .

7 (a) E. Y. Ko, C. H. Lim and K. Chung, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **27**, 432; (b) M. K. Gupta, Z. Li and T. S. Snowden, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 4854; (c) A. B. Jensen and A. T. Lindhardt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 1174; (d) M. K. Gupta, Z. Li and T. S. Snowden, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 1602; (e) R. N. Ram and V. K. Soni, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 8922.

8 (a) R. P. Gajewski, J. L. Jackson, N. D. Jones, J. K. Swartzendruber and J. B. Deeter, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 3311; (b) S. Dohi, K. Moriyama and H. Togo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **34**, 7815.

9 (a) D. V. Partyka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1529; (b) C. S. Marques and A. J. Burke, *Catalytic Arylation Methods*, Wiley-VCH, 2014, p. 329.

10 (a) S. L. X. Martina, B. C. J. Richard, J. G. de Vries, F. L. Feringa and A. J. Minnard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, **39**, 4093; (b) J. R. White, G. J. Price, P. K. Plucinsky and C. G. Frost, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 7365; (c) V. R. Jumde, S. Facchetti and A. Iuliano, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2010, **21**, 2775; (d) R. Luo, K. Li, Y. Hu and W. Tang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 1297; (e) V. Valdivia, I. Fernández and N. Khiar, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 1211; (f) L. S. Dobson and G. Pattison, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 11116; (g) L. G. Borrego, R. Recio, M. Alcarranza, N. Khiar and I. Fernández, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2018, **360**, 1273.

11 For selected recent examples: (*a*) M. Sreenivasulu, K. S. Kumar, P. R. Kumar, K. B. Chandrasekhar and M. Pal, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 1670; (*b*) A. F. Henwood, M. Lesieur, A. K. Bansal, V. Lemaur, D. Beljonne, D. G. Thompson, D. Graham, A. M. Z. Slawin, I. D. W. Samuel, C. S. J. Cazin and E. Zysman-Colman, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3248; (*c*) T. T. H. Fong, C. N. Lok, C. Y. S. Chung, Y. M. E. Fung, P. K. Chow, P. K. Wan and C. M. Che, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 11935; (*d*) F. Schroeter, J. Soellner and T. Strassner, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 4267; (*e*) S. Y. Hussaini, R. A. Haque, T. Fatima, M. T. Agha, A. M. S. A. Majid and M. R. Razali, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 2018, **71**, 2787; (*f*) Q. Deng, Q. Zheng, B. Zuo and T. Tu, *Green Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **1**, 75.

12 (*a*) T. Yamamoto, A. Zhumagazin, T. Furusawa, R. Tanaka, T. Yamakawa, Y. Oe and T. Ohta, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 3525; (*b*) M. Sugaya, T. Yamamoto and H. Shinozaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, **58**, 2495; (*c*) M. S. T. Yagihashi, T. Yamamoto and H. Shinozaki, *The 8th Tokyo Conference on Advanced Catalytic Science and Technology*, Japan, August 6, 2018, p. P1200; (*d*) R. Akiyama, M. Sugaya, H. Shinozaki and T. Yamamoto, *Synth. Commun.*, 2019, **49**, 1193; (*e*) Y. Okuda, M. Nagaoka and T. Yamamoto, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 6291.

13 Q. Xiao, L. Tian, R. Tan, Y. Xia, D. Qiu, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4230.

14 A. I. Ayi, R. Condom, T. N. Wade and R. Guedj, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1979, **14**, 437.