

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 18135

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Coexisting gases regulate the rates of water adsorption by a flexible one-dimensional coordination polymer

AnQi Wang,^a Xin Zheng,^{ab} Yuki Saito,^{ab} Arata Tateishi,^a Yuan Huang,^b Yuichi Kamiya,^{ib} Hiroyasu Sato,^c Atsushi Kondo,^{ib} Kiyonori Takahashi,^{ib aeg} Takayoshi Nakamura^{aef} and Shin-ichiro Noro^{ib *ab}

A novel flexible one-dimensional coordination polymer $[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_3(\text{AcO})(\text{pyr})]$ (**1**, 3-OH-bza = 3-hydroxybenzoate, AcO = acetate, pyr = pyrimidine) was found to adsorb water at rates that are influenced by the nature of coexisting gases. Upon exposure to a flow of water vapor containing gas, **1** displays a H_2O adsorption rate that is decelerated to a greater extent by CO_2 than by N_2 . Key to this phenomenon is the observation that **1** undergoes a structural change upon reversible and selective adsorption of H_2O . This finding serves as the basis of a new strategy for designing porous materials for highly efficient separation and storage applications.

Received 4th March 2025
Accepted 26th August 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc01699a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Porous materials exhibit interesting properties that enable their practical use in storage, mixture separation, catalysis, and ion exchange applications. Recently, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and porous coordination polymers (PCPs) have attracted attention as next-generation porous materials because of their high porosity, high structural designability, versatility and flexibility.^{1–3} A variety of properties of MOFs/PCPs have been thoroughly investigated, the results of which have demonstrated that their storage and mixture separation capabilities excel those of other porous materials.^{4–10}

Several key parameters need to be considered when designing excellent storage and separation materials based on MOFs/PCPs, with adsorption rate being among the most important in the context of practical applications. Break-through experiments are often used to evaluate the adsorption and separation performances of materials under gas flow conditions. In these assessments, MOFs/PCPs need to be shaped because their use in fine particle form causes a large pressure drop. However, shaping MOFs/PCPs often significantly

affects adsorption rates.^{11,12} On the other hand, rapid adsorption by these materials causes a decrease in cycle times, resulting in an increased throughput.¹³ In this regard, materials that operate using kinetic (or diffusion rate) separation are more efficient than those that rely on equilibrium separation.

Several studies have been conducted to develop approaches that increase and control the adsorption rates of MOFs/PCPs. For example, Vogel, Watanabe, *et al.* described a structurally hierarchical MOF that displays rapid gas adsorption. Specifically, these workers observed that a pellet packed with supraparticles of the zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8) undergoes N_2 adsorption at a rate that is 30 times faster than that of an unstructured ZIF-8 powder pellet.¹¹ In another effort, Long *et al.* systematically investigated the CO_2 adsorption kinetics of the three-dimensional (3D) MOF, $[\text{Mg}_2(\text{dobpdc})]$ (dobpdc = 4,4'-dioxidobiphenyl-3,3'-dicarboxylate) and its diamine-appended derivatives. The findings show that the CO_2 adsorption rates are enhanced and induction periods are decreased as the temperature decreases.¹⁴ Moreover, we have recently demonstrated that coating surfaces of MOF particles with a glassy nonporous coordination polymer (g-NCP) can be employed to alter adsorption rates. Specifically, we observed that the 3D MOF, $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{pzdc})_2(\text{pyz})]$ (CPL-1, pzdc = 2,3-pyrazinedicarboxylate and pyz = pyrazine),^{15,16} has similar rates for adsorption of CO_2 , N_2O and C_2H_4 , whereas composites composed of this MOF and g-NCP, $[\text{Cu}(\text{bib})_{2.5}] \cdot 2\text{NTf}_2$ (bib = 1,4-bisimidazole butane and NTf_2 = bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amide), display clearly different rates for adsorption of these gases. Differences in these materials are a consequence of the occurrence of gas diffusion within the g-NCP of the composite $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{pzdc})_2(\text{pyz})]/\text{g-NCP}$ through a solution-diffusion mechanism.¹⁷

Most previous studies aimed at increasing and controlling adsorption rates, including those described above, have focused

^aGraduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. E-mail: noro@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

^bFaculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

^cRigaku Corporation, Akishima 196-8666, Japan

^dFaculty of Science and Technology, Oita University, Oita 870-1192, Japan

^eResearch Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0020, Japan

^fDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1, Kagamiyama, Higashi-hiroshima 739-8526, Japan

^gFaculty of Advanced Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, 860-8555, Japan

on changing the micro- or macrostructures of MOFs. In the current investigation described below, we developed an MOF in which the H_2O adsorption rate is governed by the nature of coexisting gases. Specifically, the novel flexible one-dimensional (1D) coordination polymer $[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_3(\text{AcO})(\text{pyr})]$ (**1**, 3-OH-bza = 3-hydroxybenzoate, AcO = acetate, pyr = pyrimidine) adsorbs H_2O when exposed to not only pure H_2O vapor but also when exposed to flows of carrier gas (N_2 and CO_2) containing water vapor. Also, water adsorption promotes a structural change of **1**. Measurements have shown that the rate of H_2O adsorption under flow conditions is higher when N_2 rather than CO_2 is the carrier gas because of a difference in the diffusion coefficient of the binary gas system.

Results and discussion

$[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_3(\text{AcO})(\text{pyr})] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was prepared by the reaction of $\text{Cu}(\text{AcO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, 3-hydroxybenzoic acid (3-OH-Hbza), and pyr in a solution of H_2O and MeOH. X-ray crystallographic

analysis (Fig. 1 and Table S1) shows that **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has an unprecedented 1D chain structure composed of paddlewheel dimers. In contrast to most 1D coordination polymers that are created from one type of paddlewheel dimer,^{18–20} **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ contains an alternating array of two types of paddlewheel dimers, $[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_4]$ and $[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_2(\text{AcO})_2]$ (Fig. 1(a) and (b)). Furthermore, $[\text{Cu}_2(3\text{-OH-bza})_2(\text{AcO})_2]$ is a heteroleptic dimer containing mixed carboxylate groups. It is interesting to note that examples of 1D coordination polymers composed of heteroleptic dimers are limited, although a new method to synthesize heteroleptic paddlewheel dimers has been recently described by Miyasaka *et al.*²¹ The two types of dimers in **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ are alternately bridged by pyr to form a 1D zigzag chain oriented along the *c* axis (Fig. 1(b)). The 1D chains aggregate through $\text{COO} \cdots \text{HO}$ hydrogen bonding interactions ($\text{O} \cdots \text{O}$ distance = 2.750(4) Å, Fig. 1(c)) to form a porous crystal with one-dimensional channels aligned along the *a*-axis (Fig. 1(d) and S1). The amount of void space in the structure was calculated using Mercury software to be 11%. Guest H_2O molecules are present in these channels positioned by rich hydrogen bonding interactions not only between the H_2O molecules but also between the H_2O and COO/OH groups of the carboxylate ligands (Fig. 1(e)).

The thermal properties of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were evaluated using a thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) measurement. As shown in Fig. 2(a), guest H_2O molecules in the material are released in the range of room temperature to *ca.* 50 °C. The weight loss at 50 °C is 5.4%, corresponding to 2.2 mol of H_2O per 1 mol of **1**. The weight loss, which is slightly less than 3 mol per 1 mol calculated from analysis of the crystal structure of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, is caused by gradual desorption of water in air. Desolvated **1** formed by H_2O release is stable up to *ca.* 220 °C.

The attenuated total reflection (ATR)-infrared (IR) spectrum of desolvated **1** was found to contain only an O–H vibration band associated with 3-OH-bza ligands, supporting the conclusion that all guest H_2O molecules are released during thermally promoted dehydration (Fig. S4(a)).



Fig. 1 Crystal structure of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$. (a) Two types of contributing paddlewheel dimers forming (b) a 1D zigzag chain structure. (c) Packing of the chains, (d) one-dimensional channel structure, and (e) hydrogen bonds involving guest water molecules. The green, blue, gray, and red represent copper, nitrogen, carbon, and oxygen, respectively. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity except in (d). Red dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds.



Fig. 2 (a) TG (red)-DTA (blue) curves of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$. (b) Simulated PXRD pattern of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (black), observed PXRD patterns of as-synthesized **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (red), **1** obtained after heating of **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at 100 °C under vacuum (blue), and **1**· $3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ obtained after H_2O exposure to dehydrated **1** (green).



Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analysis was employed to evaluate the structure of **1** after H₂O removal. Desolvated **1** displays a PXRD pattern that differs from both the simulated and real patterns of **1**·3H₂O (Fig. 2(b)), suggesting that crystal-to-crystal structural transformation takes place during the release of H₂O. Finally, exposure of desolvated **1** to H₂O causes regeneration of the hydrated form **1**·3H₂O (Fig. 2(b)), revealing that H₂O adsorption/desorption occurs reversibly in conjunction with a structural change.

We succeeded in carrying out structural characterization of desolvated **1** using electron diffraction analysis (EDA). Data obtained from EDA experiments show that after the release of H₂O molecules, **1** retains its 1D zigzag chain structure (Fig. S2). However, the pattern of interchain hydrogen-bonding interactions is significantly changed upon dehydration. Specifically, in **1**·3H₂O, the interchain hydrogen bonds are oriented in the *ac* plane (Fig. 1(c)), while those in dehydrated **1** are aligned in a 3D manner presumably for crystal structural stabilization (Fig. 3). Thus, reorganization of the hydrogen bonding network in **1**·3H₂O takes place during dehydration to promote more dense packing of the chains creating a very small void space of 2% (Fig. S3). Also, the purity of the sample of **1** produced by thermal treatment of **1**·3H₂O was confirmed using PXRD. The cell parameters arising from Le Bail fitting for the PXRD pattern of the desolvated **1** agree well with those obtained employing EDA (Fig. S7), indicating that the sample includes only a single structure shown in Fig. 3.

Experiments were conducted to assess the detailed H₂O adsorption properties of desolvated **1**. In Fig. 4(a) are given the H₂O, MeOH and EtOH adsorption/desorption isotherms of this material. The results indicate that a gradual increase in the amount of H₂O adsorbed occurs in the P/P_0 range from 0 to 0.41, and that the total amount of H₂O adsorbed reaches 0.57 mol mol^{−1}. Above $P/P_0 = 0.41$, a sudden increase in H₂O adsorption takes place reaching a saturated state of *ca.* 3 mol mol^{−1}, which is consistent with formation of **1**·3H₂O. In the desorption process, **1**·3H₂O undergoes a rapid decrease in the amount of adsorbed H₂O below $P/P_0 = 0.34$, and the adsorption and




Fig. 3 EDA-derived packing structure of chains in dehydrated **1**. The green, blue, grey, and red colors represent copper, nitrogen, carbon, and oxygen, respectively. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Red dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds.



Fig. 4 (a) H₂O (red circles, 298 K), MeOH (blue squares, 288 K), and EtOH (green triangle, 298 K) adsorption (filled symbols)/desorption (open symbols) isotherms of **1**. (b) Isothermic heat of water adsorption for **1**.

desorption isotherms have a large hysteresis. These observations are consistent with the PXRD results indicating that **1** undergoes reversible H₂O adsorption/desorption along with an accompanying structural change that can be described as H₂O gated sorption. The results of experiments aimed at elucidating adsorption selectivity suggest that **1** adsorbs small amounts of not only N₂ (77 K) and CO₂ (195 and 298 K) gas (Fig. S8 and S9) but also MeOH and EtOH vapor (Fig. 3(a)). Overall, these observations indicate that **1** has high selectivity for H₂O over other small molecules.

We next estimated the isosteric heat of adsorption (Q_{st}) of **1** for H₂O using isotherms obtained at various temperatures (Fig. S10). The Q_{st} values for **1** before and after rapid increases in adsorption amounts were found to be 52–55 and 44–53 kJ mol^{−1} (Fig. 3(b)), which are larger than the latent energy of water (40.7 kJ mol^{−1}). The Q_{st} value of 53 kJ mol^{−1} determined after a rapid increase in the amount of adsorbed H₂O is slightly higher than that found earlier for [Zr₆O₄(OH)₄(MTB)₂(HCOO)₄(H₂O)₂] (MOF-841, MTB = 4,4',4'',4'''-methanetetrayltetrabenzoate) (50 kJ mol^{−1}).²²

The H₂O adsorption/desorption behaviour of **1** was also evaluated using TG-DTA under humidified and dry N₂ conditions. As the plot in Fig. 5 shows, H₂O adsorption at 300 K reaches a plateau after 40 min, at which the amount of H₂O adsorbed is 2.86 mol mol^{−1}. This value is very close to the *ca.* 3 mol mol^{−1} value arising from the H₂O adsorption isotherm. These results suggest that **1** adsorbs H₂O almost exclusively even under mixed N₂–H₂O flow conditions. After switching from wet to dry N₂ gas, the amount of adsorbed H₂O decreases to zero




Fig. 5 Time dependence of the amount of H₂O adsorbed by **1** under humidified N₂ (0–46 min) and dry N₂ (46–83 min) at 300 K.



at 80 min. When the carrier gas is CO₂, similar H₂O content changes take place (humidified CO₂ flow reaching 2.86 mol mol⁻¹ and dry flow back to zero, Fig. S12), suggesting that the nature of the carrier gas has no effect on the amount of H₂O adsorbed.

We also determined the rates of H₂O adsorption by **1** using IR spectroscopy rather than TG-DTA because of the difficulties in controlling temperatures near room temperature and performing rate measurements inside the TG-DTA chamber. Comparison of ATR-IR spectra of **1**·3H₂O and **1** indicates that dramatic differences exist in the 1150–1300 cm⁻¹ range (Fig. S4(b)), which are associated with C–O (hydroxyl oxygen) vibration bands of 3-OH-bza ligands (Fig. S5). These observations are consistent with the results of the crystal structure analysis that suggest that dehydration alters the hydrogen bonding patterns of the OH groups. Therefore, this wavelength range was employed to monitor the rates of H₂O adsorption by **1**. Inspection of the spectra in Fig. 6(a) shows that dramatic changes in peak intensities occur when **1** is subjected to a humid N₂ gas flow because of structural changes promoted by H₂O adsorption. The time course for changes in the intensity of the peak at 1227 cm⁻¹ given in Fig. 6(b) indicates that the adsorption process reaches saturation after 15 min.



Fig. 6 (a) ATR-IR spectra of **1** (red) and **1**·3H₂O (black) and difference IR spectra of **1** under wet N₂ at 303 K over 1 min intervals with the humid N₂ gas flow beginning at 0 min. (b) Time dependence of relative intensity for a peak at 1227 cm⁻¹ (blue arrow in (a) indicates the wavenumber monitored) under humid N₂ (red) and humid CO₂ (blue) at 303 K in **1**.

Surprisingly, the rate of H₂O adsorption by **1** is carrier gas dependent. Specifically, although the spectral changes promoted by subjecting **1** to a flow of humidified CO₂ are the same as those brought about by humid N₂ (Fig. S13), they occur more slowly than in the former experiment (especially, after 7 min) to reach the saturation point after 25 min (Fig. 6(b)). The adsorption rates ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-ad}}$ and $k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-ad}}$) calculated using the time dependency data were found to be 0.11 and 0.055 min⁻¹ for wet N₂ and CO₂ gases, respectively (Fig. S14). Notably, H₂O desorption under dry N₂ and CO₂ flows occurs at similar rates ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-de}} = 0.80$ and $k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-de}} = 0.85$ min⁻¹) and more rapidly than adsorption of H₂O (Fig. S15–S18).

To confirm the generality of this phenomenon, similar water adsorption experiments were performed on another flexible coordination polymer. The two-dimensional (2D) [Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂(bpp)₂] (bpp = 1,3-bis(4-pyridyl)propane) shows reversible H₂O adsorption/desorption with temporary expansion of the 2D layer.²³ This coordination polymer adsorbs *ca.* 1 mol mol⁻¹ of H₂O at 298 K (ref. 23) but adsorbs only a small amount of CO₂ at the same temperature (Fig. S11). The ATR-IR spectrum of [Cu(CF₃SO₃)₂(bpp)₂] contains vibration bands for CF₃SO₃ anions in the 1000–1300 cm⁻¹ range (Fig. S19).²³ Analysis of the time-dependence of the relative intensity of the IR peak at 1163 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S19–S21) indicates that the rate of H₂O adsorption under a humid CO₂ gas flow is slower than that under a humid N₂ gas flow ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-ad}}$ and $k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-ad}} = 0.39$ and 0.29 min⁻¹ for wet N₂ and CO₂ gases, respectively, Fig. S22). However, the difference in the adsorption rate, calculated using the time dependency data ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-ad}}/k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-ad}} = 1.34$), is smaller than that observed for **1** ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-ad}}/k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-ad}} = 2.00$), indicating that the degree of a coexisting guest effect depends on nature of the structure. On the other hand, the desorption rates are almost the same ($k_{\text{N}_2\text{-de}}$ and $k_{\text{CO}_2\text{-de}} = 0.42$ and 0.41 min⁻¹) regardless of the type of coexisting gas (Fig. S23–S26).

Several possible sources for the carrier gas dependence of the rate of H₂O adsorption were considered. First, we realized that CO₂ has high solubility in H₂O, and that dissolution results in the formation of carbonic acid (H₂CO₃) and its conjugate bases (HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻). Moreover, Kitagawa, Onoe, *et al.* reported that CO₃²⁻ anions form in the specific sub-nm space of a 1D uneven-structured C₆₀ polymer film subjected to CO₂ and H₂O at room temperature.²⁴ While H₂CO₃ and CO₃²⁻ display bands at *ca.* 1180 and 1363 cm⁻¹, respectively,²⁴ these researchers observed a band at only 1370 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of the C₆₀ polymer film after exposure to atmospheric air (*ca.* 14% humidity), suggesting the presence of CO₃²⁻ anions.

It is possible that H₂CO₃, HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻, formed transiently during H₂O adsorption under a humid CO₂ gas flow, decrease the H₂O adsorption rate owing to a blocking effect caused by strong H₂CO₃/HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻-interactions with framework sites in **1** (Fig. 7(a(i))). To determine if the formation of H₂CO₃, HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ occurs under a humid CO₂ flow, the 1000–1400 cm⁻¹ region in IR spectra of **1** under humid CO₂ and humid N₂ flows was analyzed. However, no differences were found to exist in spectra obtained using both flows (Fig. 6(a) and S13).



Fig. 7 Possible source of the coexisting gas dependency of the H₂O adsorption rate. (a) Temporary formation of H₂CO₃/HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻ (i) and temporary occupancy of CO₂ and H₂O in the pores (ii) under humid CO₂ flow conditions. (b) Temporary occupancy of N₂ and H₂O in the pores under wet N₂ flow conditions.

Next, we focused on diffusion coefficients for binary gas systems because it is known that this parameter varies with the type of coexisting gas in a mixture. For example, the diffusion coefficient of the CO₂–H₂O binary (equimolar mixture) system at 293.15 K is 0.162 cm² s⁻¹, a value that is considerably lower than that of the N₂–H₂O binary system (0.242 cm² s⁻¹ at 293.15 K).²⁵ Therefore, the greater propensity of CO₂ to decelerate water diffusion is the likely cause of the lower rate of H₂O adsorption by **1** under a CO₂–H₂O flow compared to a N₂–H₂O flow (Fig. 7(a(ii)) and (b)).

On the other hand, a carrier gas independence of the H₂O desorption rates was observed, suggesting that the H₂O adsorption and desorption processes in the presence of coexisting guests are considerably different. During H₂O desorption, CO₂ and N₂ do not diffuse through pores as shown in Fig. 8, which is the likely cause of the observed similar desorption rates under N₂ and CO₂ flows.

If correctly interpreted, this finding would be the first example of a coexisting guest effect on rates of adsorption of




Fig. 8 Scheme of the possible source of the coexisting gas independence of the H₂O desorption rate.

guests by materials. This proposal raises the question whether CO₂ and/or N₂ gases diffuse through pores of **1** (Fig. 7) along with H₂O while not being adsorbed. Previously, we investigated the influence of co-adsorbates on CO₂ gated sorption in flexible MOFs.²⁶ We observed that [Zn₂(DiP-bdc)₂(dabco)] (DiP-bdc = 2,5-diisopropoxy-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate; dabco = 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) displays gated sorption of CO₂, C₂H₆ and C₃H₈ in conjunction with a structural change from a narrow pore (np) to a large pore (lp) form. In contrast, N₂ and CH₄ do not promote gate opening, which results in low adsorption of these gases. However, results of co-adsorption measurements demonstrated that after CO₂ induced gate opening, CH₄ co-adsorbs in the lp phase. In other words, CH₄ enters pores of this MOF along with CO₂. Based on these previous results, it is reasonable to propose that unlike H₂O, CO₂ and N₂ occupy the pores only transiently. However, further investigations are needed to confirm that transient occupation in the pores occurs.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we investigated features of H₂O adsorption by the 1D coordination polymer [Cu₂(3-OH-bza)₃(AcO)(pyr)] (**1**) under gas flow conditions. The results of single-crystal X-ray analysis, EDA, and gas and vapor adsorption/desorption measurements show that the coordination polymer displays reversible and selective H₂O adsorption in conjunction with a structural change. Kinetic analysis carried out by using *in situ* IR spectroscopy indicates that the rate of H₂O adsorption under a humid CO₂ gas flow is slower than that under a humid N₂ gas flow. This trend is consistent with the diffusion coefficients of the respective binary gas systems. Specifically, the considerably lower diffusion coefficient of the CO₂–H₂O mixture compared to that of the corresponding N₂–H₂O mixture is responsible for the greater propensity of CO₂ to decelerate water adsorption by **1**. In addition, this coexisting guest effect was observed in another flexible 2D coordination polymer. While it is obvious that the diffusion coefficient of the binary gas system depends on the types of gases, it is noteworthy that the phenomenon was observed for gas flows within a very narrow (<1 nm) space. Therefore, this finding suggests that a new strategy exists to control gas/vapor adsorption rates of porous materials, and that the approach might be applicable to the development of efficient gas storage and separation materials.

Author contributions

S. N. conceptualized the project. A. W., X. Z., Y. S., A. T., Y. H., K. T. and T. N. contributed to data collection and formal analyses. S. N., Y. K. and Y. H. set up and performed *in situ* IR spectra measurements. H. S. performed electron diffraction measurements and analyses. A. K. performed Le Bail fitting of the PXRD pattern. S. N. wrote the manuscript, and all the authors approved the final version.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

CCDC 2428329 (1·3H₂O) and 2428330 (1) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.^{27a,b}

Supplementary information is available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc01699a>.

Notes and references

- 1 S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334.
- 2 H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 3 L. Carlucci, G. Ciani, D. M. Proserpio, T. G. Mitina and V. A. Blatov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 7557.
- 4 Y. Peng, V. Krungleviciute, I. Eryazici, J. T. Hupp, O. K. Farha and T. Yildirim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11887.
- 5 K. Suresh, D. Aulakh, J. Purewal, D. J. Siegel, M. Veenstra and A. J. Matzger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 10727.
- 6 W. Lu, D. D. A. Jayasinghe, M. Schröder and S. Yang, *Acc. Mater. Res.*, 2024, **5**, 1279.
- 7 T. T. T. Nguyen, J.-B. Lin, G. K. H. Shimizu and A. Rajendran, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **442**, 136263.
- 8 Y. Su, K. Otake, J.-J. Zhang, S. Horike, S. Kitagawa and C. Gu, *Nature*, 2022, **611**, 289.
- 9 P. Vervoorts, A. Schneemann, I. Hante, J. Pirillo, Y. Hijikata, T. Toyao, K. Kon, K. Shimizu, T. Nakamura, S. Noro and R. A. Fischer, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 9448.
- 10 Y. Tulchinsky, C. H. Hendon, K. A. Lomachenko, E. Borfecchia, B. C. Melot, M. R. Hudson, J. D. Tarver, M. D. Korzyński, A. W. Stubbs, J. J. Kagan, C. Lamberti, C. M. Brown and M. Dincă, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5992.
- 11 A. Fujiwara, J. Wang, S. Hiraide, A. Götz, M. T. Miyahara, M. Hartmann, B. A. Zubiri, E. Spiecker, N. Vogel and S. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 2305980.
- 12 Y. Chen, X. Huang, S. Zhang, S. Li, S. Cao, X. Pei, J. Zhou, X. Feng and B. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 10810.
- 13 R. T. Yang, *Gas Separation by Adsorption Processes*, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, 1987, ch. 5, pp. 141–200.
- 14 J. D. Martell, P. J. Milner, R. L. Siegelman and J. R. Long, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 6457.
- 15 M. Kondo, T. Okubo, A. Asami, S. Noro, T. Yoshitomi, S. Kitagawa, T. Ishii, H. Matsuzaka and K. Seki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 140.
- 16 R. Kitaura, R. Matsuda, Y. Kubota, S. Kitagawa, M. Takata, T. C. Kobayashi and M. Suzuki, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 23378.
- 17 X. Zheng, M. Kato, Y. Uemura, D. Matsumura, I. Yagi, K. Takahashi, S. Noro and T. Nakamura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, **62**, 1257.
- 18 S. Takamizawa, E. Nakata, T. Akatsuka, R. Miyake, Y. Kakizaki, H. Takeuchi, G. Maruta and S. Takeda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3783.
- 19 W. Kosaka, J. Zhang, Y. Watanabe and H. Miyasaka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 12698.
- 20 S. Noro, Y. Meng, K. Suzuki, M. Sugiura, Y. Hijikata, J. Pirillo, X. Zheng, K. Takahashi and T. Nakamura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 4531.
- 21 Y. Sekine, W. Kosaka, H. Kano, C. Dou, T. Yokoyama and H. Miyasaka, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 7427.
- 22 H. Furukawa, F. Gándara, Y.-B. Zhang, J. Jiang, W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4369.
- 23 K. Fukuhara, S. Noro, K. Sugimoto, T. Akutagawa, K. Kubo and T. Nakamura, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5630.
- 24 M. Nakaya, Y. Kitagawa, S. Watanabe, R. Teramoto, I. Era, M. Nakano and J. Onoe, *Adv. Sustainable Syst.*, 2021, **5**, 2000156.
- 25 *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, ed. David R. Lide, CRC Press, 88th edn, 2008.
- 26 A. Schneemann, Y. Takahashi, R. Rudolf, S. Noro and R. A. Fischer, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 12963.
- 27 (a) A. Wang, X. Zheng, Y. Saito, A. Tateishi, Y. Huang, Y. Kamiya, H. Sato, A. Kondo, K. Takahashi, T. Nakamura and S. Noro, CCDC 2428329: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2mhw67](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2mhw67); (b) A. Wang, X. Zheng, Y. Saito, A. Tateishi, Y. Huang, Y. Kamiya, H. Sato, A. Kondo, K. Takahashi, T. Nakamura and S. Noro, CCDC 2428330: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2025, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2mhw78](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2mhw78).

