

Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Supramolecular control of reverse spin transitions in cobalt(II) terpyridine complexes with diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012Keita Kuroiwa,^{*a} Tsubasa Arie,^a Shinichi Sakurai,^b Shinya Hayami,^c and Timothy J. Deming^d

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Three composites composed of the cobalt terpyridine complex [Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] and the diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles 1 and 2 or the polypeptide 3 (including glutamic acid and leucine) were prepared. Supramolecular structures such as rectangular morphologies were obtained from composites of 1 and 2. A perfectly reversible reverse spin transition was successfully generated in the case of composites made with 1.

Since spin crossover (SCO) was first described by Cambi et al. in the 1930s, a number of compounds exhibiting this phenomenon have been reported.¹⁻⁵ The most significant developments in the field have occurred only in the past ten years, and have included the fabrication of films,^{6,7} nanofibers⁸ and gels^{9,10}, that have been widely researched with the aim of developing magnetic and information storage devices. In addition, nanocomposites incorporating organic compounds such as surfactants,¹⁰ liquid crystals^{11,12} and polymers¹³ have been created in which the spin information of a metal complex is propagated to the nanostructure, thus transmitting changes in spin-state throughout a material. The characteristics of nanocomposites incorporating a metal complex in contact with adjacent molecules within a highly-ordered structure are known to vary based on intermolecular interactions and packing, implying that enhanced spin crossover cooperativity is a consequence of long-range interactions between metal ions.

The SCO characteristics of cobalt(II) compounds also exhibit a $1/2 \rightleftharpoons 3/2$ spin change. It is well-known that the discrete cobalt(II) compounds [Co(terpy)₂]X₂·nH₂O (terpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine, X = halide, pseudohalide, BF₄⁻, NO₃⁻ or ClO₄⁻ and n = 0 to 5) have demonstrated gradual SCO behaviour.¹⁵ Recently, the high molecular weight alkylated cobalt(II) compounds [Co(R-terpy)₂](BF₄)₂ (R-terpy = 4'-alkoxy-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine) have been reported to display a "reverse spin transition" between high-spin

(HS) and low-spin (LS) states with a thermal hysteresis loop triggered by a structural phase transition.^{16,17} It has been suggested that the flexibility of the alkyl chain plays an important role in the unique magnetic properties, intermolecular interactions and crystal properties of this compound. Ideally, the characteristics of such systems would be tuneable by controlling the spatial arrangement of the SCO metal complexes, resulting in intermolecular interaction among the metal complexes using supramolecules without covalent or coordinative linkages.

At present, the main focus is on the use of amphiphilic compounds as a means of developing supramolecular composites with metal complexes that will function as flexible nanostructural materials.^{18,19} In particular, metalloproteins and enzymes containing flexible internal portions based on apoproteins may be obtained from the complex amino acids and metal centers found in biological systems.²⁰ Therefore, the presence of a flexible region is a key factor in the operation of functional metal complexes.

In previous research, we developed an alternative approach to the design of metal complexes by compounding diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles, resulting in metal-metal interactions that generated photoluminescence in water.²¹ Various diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles with metal cyanide complexes were found to exhibit unique morphologies, such as the formation of an elliptical shape with a woven pattern based on the alignment of the metal complexes. This technique of combining amphiphilic molecules with discrete coordination compounds makes it possible to design flexible, reversible and signal-responsive supramolecular coordination systems.

In the present study, we initially focussed on combining cobalt(II) terpyridine complexes with diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles and examining the SCO characteristics of the resulting complexes in water. We further investigated novel concepts designed to allow supramolecular control of the spin state and to induce the reverse spin transition phenomenon through self-assembly, with the result

that these complexes exhibited SCO with thermal hysteresis. The characteristics of this specific SCO, including the associated structural changes and complex morphologies, were elucidated by spectroscopic and microscopic analyses.




Fig. 1 Chemical Structures of diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles **1** and **2**, the polypeptide **3** and the cobalt(II) terpyridine complex $[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]^{2+}$.

The diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles **1** and **2** and the polypeptide **3** (Figure 1) were synthesized by modifying methods previously published in the literature (see Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)).²² Both **1** and **2** were synthesized so as to have a suitable degree of polymerization, since this is known to enhance the solubility of these compounds in water and also enhances the packing of the polypeptides in supramolecular assemblies, resulting in the formation of hydrogels.²² In contrast, polymer **3** was synthesized to a higher degree of polymerization since it was not intended to produce a supramolecular effect. The cobalt(II) terpyridine complex containing the 4-methoxy-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine ligand was obtained by a method previously described in the literature.¹⁷ Composites of polypeptides **1-3** and the cobalt(II) terpyridine complex were synthesized by mixing solutions of the respective compounds, followed by precipitation of the resulting composites, and by replacing the counteranion of $[\text{Co}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]^{2+}$ (see ESI). Finally, $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$, $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ and $3/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ were obtained by lyophilisation. Evaluation by elemental analysis indicated the presence of water molecules in the final products ($1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $3/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$).

When $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ was dissolved in room temperature water at a concentration of 5 mM (on the basis of Co^{II} ions), a pale-purple, cloudy dispersion was obtained. This result indicated both the inclusion of the metal complex and the formation of a homogeneous, colloidal dispersion of the complex in the solvent. The $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ composite also dissolved in water to give a pale-purple, cloudy solution, whereas $3/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ gave a transparent solution, indicating that this composite was dispersed on the molecular level.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to determine the morphology of the supramolecular structures generated by these complexes in the cloudy dispersions. Figure 2 shows a TEM image of $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ following transfer to a carbon-coated Cu grid, in which rectangular structures with widths of 700 nm to 6 μm are evident (Figure 2a). A similar analysis of $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ showed that the widths of the resulting nanostructures ranged from 500 nm to 2 μm (Figure 2b). Using the Corey-Pauling-Koltun (CPK) model, the polypeptide lengths in **1** and **2** were estimated to be in the range of 900 - 1900 Å (**1**, ca. 1900 Å; **2**, ca. 900 Å), hence the rectangular structure seen in the TEM images being more than ten times the length of the diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles. These structures are therefore composed of multiple strands of linear and/or stacked polypeptides combined with the metal complex.

High resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (HR-STEM EDX) also confirmed that the composites consisted of cobalt(II) complexes and polymers. Figures 2d and 2e present the STEM EDX

mapping (Figure 2d, Co; Figure 2e, O) of nanocomposites of **1** within the boxed area indicated in Figure 2c. These data confirm the formation of nanostructures in which the cobalt complex and the amphiphile are evenly matched. Composite $3/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ did not show a specific structure in TEM observations, indicating that combinations of **3** and metal complexes do not form a supramolecular structure.




Fig. 2 HR-TEM images of (a) $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ and (b) $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ samples as prepared from water dispersions. (c) STEM image and (d) Co and (e) O STEM-EDX maps of $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ within the red square shown in (c).




Fig. 3 Volume-based size distributions obtained by DLS for (a) $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ and (b) $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ in water at 293 K. $[\text{Co}] = 5 \text{ mM}$.

The size distributions of the nanostructures in water were also analysed by dynamic light scattering (DLS). DLS data obtained for $1/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ and $2/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ at 293 K indicated at least three peaks in the volume-based mean nano structure size distributions, at approximately 200 nm, 1 μm and 40 μm (Figure 3). These results are consistent with the rectangular nanostructures having widths of several hundred nm and several μm observed in the TEM images, although DLS can only evaluate the hydrodynamic radii of dispersed structures in a solvent. In contrast, $3/[\text{Co}^{\text{II}}(\text{MeO-terpy})_2]$ did not generate a light scattering signal. The results demonstrate that the use of diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles led to supramolecular structures in water.

Samples of the composites in water were freeze-dried and small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis of the powdered composites was performed (Figure S1), which did not generate marked signal peaks. This lack of peaks indicates the presence of an amorphous phase in the rectangular supramolecular structures.

The variations in the magnetic susceptibilities of **1**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂], **2**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] and **3**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] with temperature were examined. Composite **1**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] was found to exist in the HS state at all temperatures and exhibited a $\chi_m T$ value within the range of 1.69–2.25 cm³ K mol⁻¹ over the temperature range of 5–300 K (the blue plot in Figure 4a). On further heating to 400 K, the $\chi_m T$ value was found to decrease at 337 K, consistent with the loss of water molecules (the red plot in Figure 4a).

However, after annealing, the pre-heated compound displayed markedly different behaviour. The $\chi_m T$ value gradually decreased from 1.60 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 400 K to 1.05 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 274 K, representing normal thermal SCO behaviour (red plot in Figure 4a). Upon further cooling, the $\chi_m T$ value increased abruptly below $T_{1/2} \downarrow = 260$ K, to 1.96 at 222 K. On further cooling, the $\chi_m T$ varied between 1.70 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and 2.20 cm³ K mol⁻¹ in the temperature range between 5 and 220 K. On further heating, the $\chi_m T$ value abruptly dropped ($T_{1/2} \uparrow = 345$ K), showing the transition from HS to LS. Finally, the $\chi_m T$ value gradually increased between 361 and 400 K. The wide thermal hysteresis loop ($\Delta T = 85$ K) near room temperature was maintained through successive thermal cycles.




Fig. 4 $\chi_m T$ versus T for (a) **1**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂], (b) **2**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] and (c) **3**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] on warming (\blacktriangle) and cooling (\blacktriangledown). The blue plots indicate the first cycle (from 5 to 300 K) and the red plots indicate the second cycle above the boiling point of water (from 5 to 400 K).

Composites **2**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] (Figure 4b) and **3**/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂] (Figure 4c) also showed abnormal reverse spin transitions during heating and cooling cycles (5–300–5–400–5 K). Reversibility between the HS and LS states in the reverse spin transition, however, was dependent on the polymer employed. In particular, the diblock copolypeptide amphiphile **1** evidently possessed a suitable degree of polymerization and a balance between hydrophilic and hydrophobic portions, leading to perfect reversibility between the HS and LS states to generate reverse spin transition. Thus reverse spin transition¹⁶ was obtained from the composite in its solvated state based on intermolecular interactions among the metal complexes.

The [Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂]²⁺ complex with BF₄⁻ anions is typically observed to undergo a gradual SCO with the transition centred around $T_{1/2} = 100$ –300 K.¹⁷ During this process, water molecules in the solvent have been found to play an important role in the SCO behaviour, due to either two-step SCO (H₂O-solvated complex) or one-step SCO (non-solvated complex). In addition, reverse spin transition can be achieved using an organic-solvated metal complex

in solvents such as acetone in association with a structural phase transition.¹⁷ These prior results suggest that the SCO of the aqueous dispersions of solid composites of polymers and a cobalt(II) terpyridine complex should be accompanied by a transition between solvated and non-solvated phases since the metal complex is dispersed in the amphiphilic polypeptide-induced nanostructures.

Our morphological and magnetic investigations were able to provide details concerning the supramolecular structure of the composites made with [Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂]²⁺, as illustrated in Figure 5. The results of elemental analysis showed that the composites were composed of [Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂]²⁺ and the hydrophilic peptide in a 1:4 ratio. In addition, since reversible transitions of the magnetic properties between solvated and non-solvated phases (Figure 4) have been obtained when using a suitable diblock copolypeptide amphiphile with a metal complex,²¹ the metal complexes must be partially aligned to one another in the polypeptide composites. Indeed, supramolecular structures on the sub-nanometre to micrometre scale were observed by DLS and TEM, indicating that the hydrophobic interactions of the leucine moiety (Figure 5b) determine the H₂O-solvated and non-solvated forms of the composites, reversibly. Typically, 1D Co(II) complexes in organic media have been found to be sensitive to solvation with water molecules.²³ Thus, one possible arrangement among metal complexes that can be proposed is moderate packing of 1D or 2D sheets (Figure 5c). The close-packed structure of cobalt(II) terpyridine complexes exhibiting reverse spin transition as obtained from crystallographic data shows a two-dimensional array of metal complexes extending along the crystallographic *bc* plane, with minimal order, resulting in a loose packing arrangement.¹⁷ In this structure, the cobalt(II) complexes are aligned with an average separation between nearest molecules of 6–7 Å, which is consistent with the distance between the neighbouring carboxylic acid units (ca. 0.7 nm, as estimated by the CPK model; Figure 5c) when polyglutamic acid forms β sheet with an all-trans conformation, partially. Therefore, reversible reverse spin transition is thought to result from the balance between the amorphous diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles and the loose packing of the cobalt(II) terpyridine complexes.




Fig. 5 Hierarchical schematic illustration of the self-assembly of diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles/[Co^{II}(MeO-terpy)₂], showing how a rectangular structure (a) is formed from diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles with cobalt(II) complexes (b, c).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that composites consisting of a cobalt(II) terpyridine complex with diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles generate supramolecular structures in water. The formation of these nanostructures results in the evolution of morphologies ranging in size from sub-nanometre to several micrometres. Moreover, these supramolecular composites display reverse spin transition depending on the

polypeptide structures. Changes in both the morphology and magnetic properties of these materials can be induced by variations in the temperature and solvated phase. The technique of combining polypeptide molecules and discrete coordination compounds thus makes it possible to design flexible, reversible and spin-controllable supramolecular coordination systems. The concept of composites based on diblock copolypeptide amphiphiles could also be expanded to generate other useful coordination compounds and should allow us to further develop the nanochemistry of element-block composites.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) (No. 24685019) and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (New polymeric materials based on element-blocks, #2401) (No. 25102547).

Notes and references

^aDepartment of Nanoscience, Faculty of Engineering, Sojo University, 4-22-1 Ikeda, Nishi-ku, Kumamoto 860-0082, Japan

^bDepartment of Biobased Materials Science, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8585, Japan


^cDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

^dDepartment of Bioengineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

† Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: General information on materials and measurement, and SWAXS data. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- 1 L. Cambi, L. Szego, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B*, 1931, **64**, 259.
- 2 P. Gülich, H. A. Goodwin, *Spin Crossover in Transition Metal Compounds I – III*, Topics in Current Chemistry, Springer, Heidelberg, 2004, vol. 233-235 and reference therein.
- 3 O. Kahn, J. C. Martinez, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 44-48
- 4 O. Kahn, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **33**, 647-657.
- 5 O. Kahn, J. Kröber, C. Jay, *Adv. Mater.*, 1992, **4**, 718-728.
- 6 A. Nakamoto, Y. Ono, N. Kojima, D. Matsumura, T. Yokoyama, X. J. Liu, Y. Morimoto, *Synthetic Metals*, 2003, **137**, 1219; A. Nakamoto, Y. Ono, N. Kojima, D. Matsumura, T. Yokoyama, *Chem. Lett.*, **2003**, 32, 336; A. Nakamoto, N. Kojima, L. XiaoJun, Y. Morimoto, A. Nakamura, *Polyhedron*, 2005, **24**, 2909.
- 7 F. Armand, C. Badoux, P. Bonville, A. Ruaudel-Teixier, O. Kahn, *Langmuir*, 1995, **11**, 3467-3472.
- 8 K. Kuroiwa, N. Kimizuka, *Polym. J.*, 2013, **45**, 3842; T. Shibata, N. Kimizuka, T. Kunitake, *The 76th CSJ National meeting*, 1999, 4F1 37; N. Kimizuka, T. Shibata, *Polymer Preprints Jpn.*, 2000, **49**, 1S18, 3774.
- 9 K. Kuroiwa, T. Shibata, S. Sasaki, M. Ohba, A. Takahara, T. Kunitake, N. Kimizuka, *J. Polym. Sci. A, Polym. Chem.*, 2006, **44**, 5192; S. Kume, K. Kuroiwa, N. Kimizuka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, **23**, 2442; K. Kuroiwa, N. Kimizuka, *Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **39**, 790.
- 10 a) O. Roubeau, A. Colin, V. Schmitt, R. Clérac, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 3283; b) T. Fujigaya, D. –L. Jiang, T. Aida, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2007, **2**, 106.
- 11 E. Coronado, J. R. Galán-Mascarós, M. Monrabal-Capilla, J. García-Martínez, P. Pardo-Ibáñez, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1359-.
- 12 K. Kuroiwa, H. Kikuchi, N. Kimizuka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1229.
- 13 A. B. Gaspar, V. Ksenofontov, M. Serebyuk, P. Gülich, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 2661.
- 14 S. –W. Lee, J. –W. Lee, S. –H. Jeong, I. –W. Park, Y. –M. Kim, J. –I. Jin, *Synthetic Metals*, 2004, **142**, 243.; G. Schwarzanbacher, M. S. Gangl, M. Goriup, M. Winter, M. Grunert, F. Renz, W. Linert, R. Saf, *Monat. Chem.*, 2001, **132**, 519.; R. Saf, G. Schwarzanbacher, C. Mirtl, G. Hayn, J. Hobisch, K. Gatterer, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2004, **25**, 911.
- 15 E. C. Constable, K. Harris, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, S. Schaffner, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5360.; E. C. Constable, K. Harris, C. E. Housecroft, M. Neuburger, J. A. Zampese, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 11441.; J. Chambers, B. Eaves, D. Parker, R. Claxton, P. S. Ray, S. J. Slattery, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **359**, 2400.
- 16 S. Hayami, Y. Shigeyoshi, M. Akita, K. Inoue, K. Kato, K. Osaka, M. Takata, R. Kawajiri, T. Mitani, Y. Maeda, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4899.; S. Hayami, R. Moriyama, A. Shuto, Y. Maeda, K. Ohta, K. Inoue, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 7692.; S. Hayami, K. Murata, D. Urakami, Y. Kojima, M. Akita, K. Inoue, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6510.; S. Hayami, K. Kato, Y. Komatsu, A. Fuyuhiko, M. Ohba, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 2167.; Y. Komatsu, K. Kato, Y. Yamamoto, H. Kamihata, Y. H. Lee, F. Akita, S. Kawata, S. Hayami, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 2769.
- 17 S. Hayami, M. Nakaya, H. Ohmagari, A. S. Alao, M. Nakamura, R. Ohtani, R. Yamaguchi, T. Kuroda-Sowa, J. K. Clegg, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, in press.
- 18 N. Kimizuka, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2008, **219**, 1, and reference therein; H. Matsukizono, K. Kuroiwa, N. Kimizuka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5622-5623.
- 19 K. Kuroiwa, M. Yoshida, S. Masaoka, K. Kaneko, K. Sakai, N. Kimizuka, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 656.
- 20 Y. Mizutani, T. Kitagawa, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 10992.
- 21 K. Kuroiwa, Y. Masaki, Y. Koga, T. J. Deming, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, **14**, 2022
- 22 A. P. Nowak, V. Breedveld, L. Pakstis, B. Ozbas, D. J. Pine, D. Pochan, T. J. Deming, *Nature*, 2002, **417**, 424.; C. –Y. Yang, B. Song, Y. Ao, A. P. Nowak, R. B. Abelowitz, R. A. Korsak, L. A. Havton, T. J. Deming, M. V. Sofroniew, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 2881.
- 23 K. Kuroiwa, T. Shibata, A. Takada, N. Nemoto, N. Kimizuka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 2016.; K. Kuroiwa, N. Kimizuka, *Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **37**, 192.



30x12mm (300 x 300 DPI)