Chemical Science

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

EDGE ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 10018

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 16th April 2024
Accepted 7th May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc02524e

rsc.li/chemical-science

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

A strategy for the controllable generation of organic superbases from benchtop-stable salts†

Stephen J. Sujansky,‡ Garrett A. Hoteling‡ and Jeffrey S. Bandar *

Organic superbases are a distinct class of strong base that enable numerous modern reaction applications. Despite their great synthetic potential, widespread use and study of superbases are limited by their air sensitivity and difficult preparation. To address this, we report air-stable carboxylate salts of BTPP and P_2-*t*-Bu phosphazene superbases that, when added to solution with an epoxide, spontaneously generate freebase. These systems function as effective precatalysts and stoichiometric prereagents for superbase-promoted addition, substitution and polymerization reactions. In addition to improving the synthesis, shelf stability, handling and recycling of phosphazenes, this approach enables precise regulation of the rate of base generation *in situ*. The activation strategy effectively mimics manual slow addition techniques, allowing for control over a reaction's rate or induction period and improvement of reactions that require strong base but are also sensitive to its presence, such as Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions.

Introduction

Brønsted bases are indispensable tools in synthetic chemistry. For a given application, the exact choice of base can be of critical importance as its properties often dictate reaction outcomes.[1] Organic superbases are a valuable class of base that enable unique applications over more common metal-containing bases (Fig. 1, top).[2] Defined as neutral organic compounds with basicity greater than Proton-sponge® ($pK_{a'}$ = 18.6 in MeCN), superbases are distinguished by their high solubility in organic media, low nucleophilicity and the formation of conjugate acid ion pairs upon substrate deprotonation.[3–5] These properties are frequently leveraged in the discovery of new base-promoted and -catalyzed reactions.[2,6] Superbases also enable advances in other areas of methods development, such as cross-coupling and photoredox methodology and in high throughput experimentation technologies.[7] However, as with all strong bases, organic superbases are high energy compounds that are unstable under ambient conditions, a significant limitation that hinders their wider use and study.[8] To address this challenge, we herein exploit the unique ion pairing properties of organic superbases to establish a new and improved means for their use. We disclose air-stable superbase salts and additives that, once added to solution, controllably generate the freebase without the use of a separate strong base (Fig. 1, bottom). This process functions as a practical superbase precatalyst system and also provides a new way to regulate base introduction to a solution.

Organic superbases form stable salts when combined with a strong acid, a fundamental contrast to commonly used anionic bases that form neutral conjugate acids (Fig. 2, top). Stable superbase salts are most commonly comprised of very weakly basic counteranions (*e.g.*, BF_4^-) and their neutralization requires the use of a separate strong base.[9] We reasoned, however, that the counteranion of a superbase salt could be a functional component of a design strategy for the controllable generation of freebase in solution. By definition, the



Fig. 1 Organic superbases and a new approach to accessing superbases from precatalyst salts. [a] Reported $pK_{a'}$ values in MeCN.[13]

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523, USA. E-mail: jeff.bandar@colostate.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc02524e

‡ These authors contributed equally.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.



Fig. 2 Mechanistic design for superbase precatalyst system.

counteranion of any stable salt is incapable of directly neutralizing the conjugate acid. Therefore, the key to spontaneous *in situ* activation of such a system is to implement a mechanism by which a weakly basic anion can facilitate superbase generation. This capability has been previously achieved within photocaged base technology wherein light-promoted counteranion decomposition leads to freebase formation.[10] This approach, however, has thus far only been used for photocuring applications and has not been applied towards more traditional synthetic chemistry.[11]

Herein, we describe a new strategy for the thermal generation of superbases from stable carboxylate salts in the presence of epoxides (Fig. 2, bottom). When in solution, the carboxylate ($pK_{a'} \sim 24$ in MeCN) opens the epoxide, thereby harnessing potential energy (*i.e.*, epoxide ring strain) to create a strongly basic alkoxide intermediate ($pK_{a'} \sim 43$ in MeCN) that deprotonates the superbase conjugate acid.[12,13] In principle, the epoxide can be stored independently for easy variation, premixed with the salt for convenience or incorporated into the counteranion structure, so long as activation takes place only in solution. This modular design allows for the carboxylate or epoxide to be adjusted to achieve desired physical properties and reactivity, including the rate of epoxide opening to control the speed of base generation. Importantly, the activation process generates tertiary alcohol byproducts that are compatible with most superbase applications.

The initial motivation for this work was to address key challenges associated with conducting superbase chemistry. Although superbase hydrochloride salts are readily prepared from commodity chemicals, their neutralization often involves nontrivial procedures such as distillation, air-free purification or the use of hazardous bases.[14,15] The resulting freebases are air sensitive and typically stored and handled in an inert atmosphere glovebox, resulting in limited convenience and long-term fidelity, as well as high cost.[16] In total, these concerns can stifle the translation of innovative breakthroughs made in discovery settings into more widely used chemistry.[17] In this report, we focus on BTPP and P_2-*t*-Bu phosphazenes as they are commercially available and among the most commonly used superbases for reaction discovery and methods development. The use of superbase carboxylate salts with this new activation method addresses the aforementioned challenges as the salts can be prepared without the need to access the freebase and are stored, handled and recycled under ambient conditions (Fig. 2, bottom).

Results and discussion

BTPP salt development and application

BTPP freebase irreversibly reacts with atmospheric CO_2 to form a phosphoramide, thus necessitating storage and use under inert atmosphere.[8] This deleterious process also rules out the use of a decarboxylative strategy for superbase salt activation. We therefore sought to identify a stable BTPP salt and effective activation system to overcome these challenges. Superbase carboxylate salts were targeted given that they can be stable salts while still possessing nucleophilic counteranions, as opposed to more commonly isolated salts comprised of nonnucleophilic counteranions (*e.g.*, BF_4^-). After investigating various carboxylates, BTPP salt **A** was identified as a shelf-stable crystalline solid (Fig. 3a). BTPP salt **A** is accessible in scalable quantities *via* a one-pot process from PCl_5, *t*-$BuNH_2$ and pyrrolidine, followed



Fig. 3 (a) Synthesis and (b) activation of BTPP salt **A**. [a] Activation studies run with 1 equiv BTPP salt **A** and 2 equiv epoxide; all conditions ultimately reach near-complete BTPP generation. See ESI† for details on data collection and analysis.

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

by anion metathesis with potassium carboxylate salt **1** (Fig. 3a, >20 g prepared).

We next studied the generation of freebase from BTPP salt **A** when mixed with epoxides in solution. We found that aryl-substituted epoxides readily facilitate BTPP generation, as tracked by ^{31}P and ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy to observe the freebase and activation byproduct, respectively. The activation curves for electronically-differentiated epoxides **2–4** show that the rate of BTPP formation directly correlates with epoxide electrophilicity (Fig. 3b). The activation rate is also dependent on the solvent identity, temperature and concentration, with full details in the ESI.† Thus, BTPP salt **A** activation occurs under a variety of conditions, which provides flexibility for its use in synthetic applications.

The successful activation of BTPP salt **A** with epoxides allows this system to function as a precatalyst for superbase-promoted reactions. All reaction applications of the superbase salts in this article were conducted outside of a glovebox with the use of a Schlenk line. To demonstrate this capability, we selected the Michael addition between deoxybenzoin (**5**) and *tert*-butyl acrylate (**6**) as a model reaction.[18] The combination of BTPP salt **A** and epoxide **2** promotes this reaction in a similar high yield as commercial BTPP that is stored and handled in an inert atmosphere glovebox (Fig. 4ai). Consistent with a precatalyst activation process, reaction profiles for the Michael reaction show an induction period that reflects the electrophilicity of the epoxide activator used (Fig. 4aii). Further control experiments show that neither BTPP salt **A** nor epoxides on their own promote this reaction (Fig. 4ai). The fact that the combination of potassium carboxylate **1** with epoxide **2** also does not promote this reaction indicates BTPP is a necessary component of the precatalyst system.[12] Additional Michael, aldol and Mannich products are shown in Fig. 4aiii to demonstrate the precatalyst system's generality (substrates **8–13**). Finally, we note that BTPP salt **A** was regularly handled open-to-air for six months, after which it remained equally effective at promoting these reactions.

We found that direct use of the precatalyst system was not equally as effective as commercial BTPP freebase for certain applications. For example, in the ester amidation reaction in Fig. 4b, the aminoalcohol undergoes a competitive side reaction with the epoxide that inhibits BTPP activation.[19] To address this, a five-minute preactivation process (stirring BTPP salt **A** and epoxide **2** at 80 °C in DMSO) generates a solution of freebase for use in catalytic ester amidation reactions (**14–16**).

BTPP salt **A** and epoxide **2** can also be used in stoichiometric quantities as a prereagent system, a more demanding application that requires full generation of freebase. We studied this utility in the context of a BTPP-promoted deoxyfluorination method developed by the Doyle Group (Fig. 4c).[20] This reaction



Fig. 4 Use of BTPP salt **A** in catalytic (a and b) and stoichiometric (c) applications. Yields determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. [a] Preactivation procedure conducted in DMSO for (b) and THF for (c). [b] Yields of reactions with commercial BTPP in a N_2-filled glovebox for comparison.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

was selected as a challenging test for the prereagent system, as the sulfonyl fluoride reagent can potentially react with the carboxylate of BTPP salt **A** or the activation byproduct. Using a preactivation procedure, the prereagent system provides alkyl fluorides (**17**–**20**) in good yields, albeit slightly lower than use of commercial BTPP. The tertiary alcohol activation byproduct does not undergo deoxyfluorination, demonstrating its compatibility in superbase-promoted alcohol functionalization reactions.



Fig. 5 (a) Identification and (b) activation studies of P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A**. Photos taken on the benchtop. [a] Activation with 1 equiv P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** and 2 equiv epoxide, assessed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy.

P_2-*t*-Bu salt development and application

We next sought to develop salt systems for the stronger P_2-*t*-Bu base, which is typically sold as a solution in THF under inert atmosphere as its pure, solid form rapidly absorbs ambient moisture.[21] Slight modification of the carboxylate counteranion provided stable, crystalline P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** (Fig. 5a). However, activation of this salt with aryl-substituted epoxides (**2** and **21**) is reversible, with only 50% freebase generated at equilibrium (Fig. 5b), as confirmed by running the activation process in reverse. We reasoned this equilibrium effect is due to the greater thermodynamic challenge of generating P_2-*t*-Bu as compared to BTPP. To address this, we found dialkyl-substituted epoxides provide a greater driving force for freebase generation, including spirocyclic epoxide **22** that produces >90% P_2-*t*-Bu at equilibrium.[22] We also identified epoxide **23** that, although only generates 75% freebase at equilibrium, can be stored together with P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** to serve as a premixed, all-in-one precatalyst system.[23] Additional activation studies for P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** are described in the ESI.†

The P_2-*t*-Bu precatalyst system was first investigated for the promotion of oxa-Michael addition reactions. This application was selected as such reactions are reversible and require strong, non-coordinating bases that operate in nonpolar media for high yields, making superbases ideal catalysts.[24] The simple addition of P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** and epoxide **22** to a solution of methanol (**24**) and *N*,*N*-dimethylacrylamide (**25**) leads to a high-yielding oxa-Michael reaction, similar to the use of commercial P_2-*t*-Bu in a glovebox (Fig. 6a). Notably, use of the all-in-one precatalyst (P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** and epoxide **23** stored together) or six-month-old P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** also provide high yield. Consistent with P_2-*t*-Bu serving



Fig. 6 Use of P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** in catalytic (a and b) and stoichiometric (c and d) reaction applications. Yields determined by ^1H NMR spectroscopy. [a] Reaction run in DMSO. [b] Reaction run in THF. [c] Preactivation at 100 °C for 1 h in PhMe. [d] Yields of reactions setup using commercial P_2-*t*-Bu in a nitrogen-filled glovebox are provided for comparison.

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

as the active catalyst, control studies show that neither P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** or epoxide **22** catalyze the reaction on their own (Fig. 6ai). The P_2-*t*-Bu precatalyst system is general, as shown by an oxa-Michael reaction with an alkynol (**27**) and related hydroamination reactions between *N*-heterocycles and polarized alkenes (**28** and **29**, Fig. 6aii).[25]

Beyond small molecule synthetic applications, phosphazene bases are also valued as organocatalysts for controlled anionic polymerization reactions.[26] As these reactions are typically conducted at or near room temperature (rt), we used aryl-substituted epoxides that partially activate P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** at low temperature for polymerization.[27] Thus, catalytic P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** and epoxide **2** promote the polymerization of ε-caprolactone (**30**) with benzyl alcohol (**31**) initiator to 90% conversion (**32**, $M_n = 14.9$ kDa, $Đ = 1.07$, Fig. 6b).[28]

We next used P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** and epoxide **22** as a prereagent system for nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) reactions. This represents an emerging application of P_2-superbases as they have been shown in high throughput experimentation (HTE) to act as mild bases and uniquely enable challenging S_NAr reactions.[29] The prereagent system promotes S_NAr for a broad range of O-, N-, and C-based pronucleophiles (**33–38**, Fig. 6c) with yields typically similar to direct use of commercial P_2-*t*-Bu. We note that a 1 h preactivation procedure is required for substrate **36** as imidazole reacts with epoxide **22**, precluding an effective single addition protocol. A separate stoichiometric application is shown in Fig. 6d, where preactivated P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** promotes the alkylation of benzyl sulfone **39** in 81% yield.[30] A preactivation protocol was used as this reaction takes place at 25 °C whereas elevated temperature is required for full P_2-*t*-Bu generation using epoxide **22**.



Fig. 7 Use of superbase prereagents in Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. Yields determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. [a] *t*-BuBrettPhos Pd G3 (5 mol%) used as catalyst.

Organic superbases also find utility in advanced applications of metal-catalyzed cross-coupling as they provide homogeneous reaction conditions, can enhance functional group tolerance and enable HTE for reaction screening.[31] In this regard, scientists at Merck reported the advantages of P_2-Et within Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions, which inspired us to apply the salt systems as prereagents for such methods.[32] As shown in Fig. 7, superbase carboxylate salts and epoxide **2** added directly to standard Pd catalysis reaction conditions enable high-yielding amination of aryl halides and triflates (**42–49**). The P_2-*t*-Bu prereagent system is optimal for alkyl amines, while the BTPP system can be used for more acidic aniline partners. Here, epoxide **2** is used for both bases as it activates the salts at 25 °C as compared to epoxide **22**, a process driven to completion by consumption of the base in the coupling reaction. However, C–O (**50** and **51**) and Suzuki couplings (**52**, with water as an additive) require superbase preactivation with epoxide **22** as *O*-pronucleophiles can react with epoxide **2** and prevent superbase activation when all reagents are mixed simultaneously.

Unique opportunities of superbase salt systems

The phosphazene salt activation systems provide several new opportunities compared to the manner in which strong base-promoted chemistry is typically conducted. First, salt synthesis and employment does not necessarily require a discrete neutralization step or handling of freebase. This was illustrated in the 75 mmol scale synthesis of BTPP salt **A** in Fig. 3a. This feature also enables recycling of the superbase salts, wherein the recovery of superbase hydrochloride salts from crude reaction mixtures, followed by anion metathesis, effectively regenerates the superbase carboxylate salts. Recovery is especially desirable in stoichiometric applications, as illustrated in Fig. 8a by a Pd-catalyzed amination reaction that used 1.1 g of P_2-*t*-salt **A** that was regenerated in 71% yield.

A second feature of the salt system is that, once added to solution, the epoxide identity controls the rate of base generation. This effectively mimics the physical act of freebase addition to a reaction vessel that is traditionally achieved manually or by a syringe pump.[33] Thus, one could view the epoxide component as a modular "timer" that can be adjusted through substituent modification, with more electrophilic epoxides generating base faster. We first observed this effect in the model Michael reaction for BTPP salt **A**, wherein the induction period onset is dictated by epoxide electrophilicity (Fig. 4aii). A similar correlation is observed for the rates of Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions of bromobenzene (**56**) and morpholine (**54**) that uses P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** with various epoxides (Fig. 8b).[34] We anticipate this strategy could serve as a general way to regulate onset times, rates and potential exotherms of base-promoted reactions.[35]

While investigating Pd-catalyzed amination reactions, we noticed use of the P_2-*t*-Bu prereagent system often provides higher yields than the commercial freebase, with examples in Fig. 8c (**58–60**). Despite their necessity for reaction promotion, strong bases can be detrimental to Pd catalysis due to competitive catalyst binding or undesired side reactions with

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.



Fig. 8 (a) Recovery of superbase salts and (b and c) use of rate-controlled base generation in Pd-catalyzed amination reactions. Yields determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. [a] 5 mol% Pd used.

catalytic intermediates and base-sensitive functional groups.[36] Prior work has shown the advantage of slow base addition for Pd-catalyzed amination reactions[33] and, in a similar sense, we speculate the yield improvements of the prereagent system are due to the epoxide opening process that governs base concentration *in situ*. This proposal was examined using substrate **61**, where use of P_2-*t*-Bu freebase provides only 9% yield using 5 mol% Pd catalyst. Control studies indicate the amine and aryl halide lose mass balance when mixed solely with stoichiometric P_2-*t*-Bu, and that the Pd-catalyzed coupling yield increases to 24% when P_2-*t*-Bu is added manually over 15 minutes. Use of P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** with epoxides **2**, **3** and **4** provides increased yields of 78–99%. The yields are inversely correlated with epoxide electrophilicity, a trend that is amplified when the Pd catalyst loading is decreased to 2.5 mol%. Together, these results illustrate how the prereagent system provides a new approach to improving reactions that require strong base but are also sensitive to its presence.[37]

Improved superbase salt stability

Throughout our studies, BTPP salt **A** and P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** remained unchanged over six months during which time they were handled regularly open to air and stored in a benchtop desiccator while not in use.[38] However, upon exposure to >60% humidity, the salts begin to absorb moisture and can become difficult to handle. This effect is exacerbated at higher humidity (80–90%) where the salts turn into oil hydrates. If this occurs, a toluene azeotrope restoration process *via* rotary evaporation can regenerate the anhydrous salts, as demonstrated in Fig. 9a for BTPP salt **A**. This restoration procedure exploits the fact that the hygroscopic nature of the carboxylate salts differs from freebase decomposition, as neutral phosphazenes react with CO_2 or absorb water and are impossible or challenging to restore, respectively.[8,14,15b] A second approach we took to address salt hygroscopicity was to alter the carboxylate counteranion. To this end, we found BTPP salt **B** and P_2-*t*-Bu salt **B** to be substantially less hygroscopic, with no change stored in glass vials open to 84% humidity for 24 h. Additionally, while spread out across weighing paper (a more moisture-sensitive state), the new BTPP and P_2-*t*-Bu salts maintain crystallinity for 8 and 24 hours on weighing paper in 84% humidity, respectively. These more stable salts perform equally well in reaction applications compared to the original superbase carboxylate salts (details in the ESI†). Storage of the superbase salts in a desiccator or freezer while not in use is recommended.



Fig. 9 (a) Moisture sensitivity of superbase salts and (b) solutions to address this issue.

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Conclusions

In summary, the strategy presented here provides access to organic superbases from benchtop-stable carboxylate salts. These salts, with epoxide additives, are effective precatalysts and prereagents for BTPP and P_2-*t*-Bu using standard Schlenk manifold protocols. The salts are easy to prepare, recyclable and can be regularly handled open to air. We described several scenarios where a preactivation procedure is required to illustrate potential challenges that may be encountered and how they can be addressed when using these salts. Improved activation systems are currently under development, including for the stronger P_4-*t*-Bu superbase.[4a,39] The precatalyst systems have the potential to accelerate the discovery of new superbases, superbase-promoted applications and their use by a wider community. More broadly, the mechanistic ability to regulate superbase introduction to solutions presents a myriad of possibilities for improving or manipulating base-promoted reactivity, a prospect that we are currently pursuing in numerous contexts.

Data availability

Compound characterization data and experimental procedures are openly available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

SJS and GAH conducted the experimental work and the manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-1944478. We thank Professor Yiming Wang and Mr Austin Durham (University of Pittsburgh) for reproducing several reaction applications of the salts described in this report. We thank Mr Alexander Curtis for assistance with amination substrate **60** and Dr Ravikumar R. Gowda of the Chen Group at CSU for assistance with analysis of polymer **32**. We also thank Colorado State University (CSU) for funding and the Analytical Resources Core (RRID: SCR_021758) at CSU for instrument access, training and assistance with sample analysis.

Notes and references

1 For selected reviews on the utility of strong bases and their structural effects on reactivity, see: (*a*) R. K. Henderson, A. P. Hill, A. M. Redman and H. F. Sneddon, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 945–949; (*b*) B. Haag, M. Mosrin, H. Ila, V. Malakhov and P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 9794–9824; (*c*) R. E. Mulvey, F. Mongin, M. Uchiyama and Y. Kondo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3802–3824; (*d*) D. B. Collum, A. J. McNeil and A. Ramirez, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3002–3017; (*e*) C. K. Patel, S. Banerjee, K. Kant, R. Sengupta, N. Aljaar and C. C. Malakar, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **12**, e2023003; (*f*) T. L. Rathman and W. F. Bailey, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2009, **13**, 144–151.

2 For reviews on organic superbases, see: (*a*) *Superbases for Organic Synthesis: Guanidines, Amidines, Phosphazenes and Related Organocatalysts*, ed. W. F. Ishikawa, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2009; (*b*) K. Vazdar, D. Margetić, B. Kovačević, J. Sundermeyer, I. Leito and U. Jahn, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **54**, 3108–3123; (*c*) R. F. Weitkamp, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler and B. Hoge, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 10807–10825; (*d*) Y.-H. Wang, Z.-Y. Cao, Q.-H. Li, G.-Q. Lin, J. Zhou and P. Tian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 8004–8014.

3 Phosphazene superbases are often sold as solutions in THF and hexanes, illustrating their high solubility in non-polar organic media.

4 For discussions and measurements of the relative Brønsted basicity *versus* nucleophilicity of superbases, see: (*a*) R. Schwesinger and H. Schlemper, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1987, **26**, 1167–1169; (*b*) E. D. Nacsa and T. H. Lambert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10246–10253; (*c*) A. Gholamipour-Shirazi and C. Rolando, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 8059–8063.

5 For example methods that rely on ion pairing of superbase conjugate acids, including in asymmetric catalysis, see: (*a*) H. Krawczyk, M. Dzięgielewski, D. Deredas, A. Albrecht and Ł. Albrecht, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 10268–10277; (*b*) H. J. A. Dale, G. R. Hodges and G. C. Lloyd-Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 7181–7193; (*c*) S. Martinez-Erro, A. Sanz-Marco, A. B. Gómez, A. Vázquez-Romero, M. S. G. Ahlquist and B. Martín-Matute, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13408–13414; (*d*) H. Kawai, Z. Yuan, E. Tokunaga and N. Shibata, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 1446–1450; (*e*) D. L. Orsi, M. R. Yadav and R. A. Altman, *Tetrahedron*, 2019, **75**, 4325–4336.

6 T. R. Puleo, S. J. Sujansky, S. E. Wright and J. S. Bandar, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 4216–4229.

7 For selected examples of phosphazene superbase use in photoredox catalysis, Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions and HTE applications, see: (*a*) C. D. Matier, J. Schwaben, J. C. Peters and G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17707–17710; (*b*) X. Zhang, R. T. Smith, C. Le, S. J. McCarver, B. T. Shireman, N. I. Carruthers and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2020, **580**, 220–226; (*c*) L. M. Baumgartner, J. M. Dennis, N. A. White, S. L. Buchwald and K. F. Jensen, *Org. Process. Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1594–1601; (*d*) A. B. Santanilla, E. L. Regalado, T. Pereira, M. Shevlin, K. Bateman, L.-C. Campeau, J. Schneeweis, S. Berritt, Z.-C. Shi, P. Nantermet, Y. Liu, R. Helmy, C. J. Welch, P. Vachal, I. W. Davies, T. Cernak and S. D. Dreher, *Science*, 2015, **347**, 49–53; (*e*) M. R. Uehling, R. P. King, S. W. Krska, T. Cernak and S. L. Buchwald, *Science*, 2019, **363**, 405–408; (*f*)

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

D. T. Ahneman, J. G. Estrada, S. Lin, S. D. Dreher and A. G. Doyle, *Science*, 2018, **360**, 186–190.

8 For discussions of air sensitivity of strong organic and metal-containing Brønsted bases, see: (*a*) M.-A. Courtemanche, M.-A. Légaré, É. Rochette and F.-G. Fontaine, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6858–6861; (*b*) C. Villiers, J.-P. Dognon, R. Pollet, P. Thuéry and M. Ephritikhine, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 3465–3468; (*c*) T. L. Rathman and J. A. Schwindeman, *Org. Process. Res. Dev.*, 2014, **18**, 1192–1210; (*d*) L. Chen, J. Zhao, S.-F. Yin and C.-T. Au, *RSC Advances*, 2013, **3**, 3799–3814; (*e*) R. Wethman, J. Derosa, V. T. Tran, T. Kang, O. Apolinar, A. Abraham, R. Kleinmans, S. R. Wisniewski, J. R. Coombs and K. M. Engle, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 502–508; (*f*) See also reviews on strong base chemistry covered in ref. 1 and 2, and the procedures for isolation of superbases in ref. 14.

9 *In situ* generation of superbases from their conjugate acid salts can be enabled through the use of a separate strong base activator. For examples, see: (*a*) C. Luo, J. V. Alegre-Requena, S. J. Sujansky, S. P. Pajk, L. C. Gallegos, R. S. Paton and J. S. Bandar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 9586–9596; (*b*) T. Takeda and M. Terada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15306–15309; (*c*) D. Uraguchi, S. Sakaki and T. Ooi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 12392–12393; (*d*) See also Ref. 4*b*.

10 For reviews on photobase generators, see: (*a*) K. Suyama and M. Shirai, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2009, **34**, 194–209; (*b*) N. Zivic, P. K. Kuroishi, F. Dumur, D. Gigmes, A. P. Dove and H. Sardon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 10410–10422.

11 Several reports describe the synthesis of phosphazene photobase generators, although these reagents have not been extensively studied for reaction or polymerization applications; see: (*a*) X. Sun, J. P. Gao and Z. Y. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8130–8131; (*b*) K. Arimitsu and R. Endo, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4461–4463; (*c*) D. Aoki, C. Gu, M. Suzuki, Y. Kawamura and K. Arimitsu, *Chem. Lett.*, 2023, **52**, 775–778.

12 For the use of epoxides as alkoxide-generating reagents, see: (*a*) J. Buddrus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1972, **11**, 1041–1050; (*b*) J. Buddrus and W. Kimpenhaus, *Chem. Ber.*, 1974, **107**, 2062–2078; (*c*) S. Tanaka, T. Nakashima, N. Satou, H. Oono, Y. Kon, M. Tamura and K. Sato, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2019, **60**, 2009–2013.

13 For pK_a values of carboxylic acids, alcohols and superbases shown in Fig. 1, see: (*a*) F. G. Bordwell, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1988, **21**, 456–463; (*b*) E. Rossini, A. D. Bochevarov and E. W. Knapp, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 1653–1662; (*c*) A. Kütt, S. Tshepelevitsh, J. Saame, M. Lõkov, I. Kaljurand, S. Selberg and I. Leito, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **2021**, 1407–1419; (*d*) S. Tshepelevitsh, A. Kütt, M. Lõkov, M. Kaljurand, J. Saame, A. Heering, P. G. Plieger, R. Vianello and I. Leito, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **2019**(40), 6735–6748.

14 For synthetic routes to P_1-, P_2- and P_4-superbases and related strong bases, see: (*a*) R. Schwesinger, *Chimia*, 1985, **39**, 269; (*b*) R. Schwesinger, H. Schlemper, C. Hasenfratz, J. Willaredt, T. Dambacher, T. Breuer, C. Ottaway, M. Fletschinger, J. Boele, H. Fritz, D. Putzas, H. W. Rotter, F. G. Bordwell, A. V. Satish, G.-Z. Ji, E.-M. Peters, K. Peters, H. G. von Schnering and L. Walz, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1996, 1055–1081; (*c*) R. Schwesinger and T. Dambacher, *Z. Naturforsch. B.*, 2006, **61**, 1229–1233; (*d*) D. H. R. Barton, J. D. Elliot and S. D. Géro, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1981, 1136–1137; (*e*) K. Vazdar, R. Kunetskiy, J. Saame, K. Kaupmees, I. Leito and U. Jahn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1435–1438; (*f*) J. Tang and J. G. Verkade, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 2903–2904; (*g*) See also ref. 4*a* and 4*b*.

15 Phosphazene freebases can be accessed by several other alternative routes. For a Staudinger reaction using organic azides and tris(dialkylamino)phosphines en route to P_1 bases, see: (*a*) A. V. Alexandrova, T. Mašek, S. M. Polyakova, I. Císařová, J. Saame, I. Leito and I. M. Lyapkalo, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **2013**, 1811–1823. For high vacuum neutralization of hydrates of P_4 phosphazenium hydroxide salts, see: (*b*) R. F. Weitkamp, B. Neumann, H.-G. Stammler and B. Hoge, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 14633–14638.

16 The commercial cost of phosphazene superbases from Millipore Sigma are: BTPP (25 mL for \$718, or \$8780 per mol); P_1-*t*-Bu (25 mL for \$1600 or \$15,954 per mol); P_2-Et (5 mL for \$740, or \$49,247 per mol); P_2-*t*-Bu (25 mL of 2 M solution for \$1820, or \$36,400 per mol); and P_4-*t*-Bu (25 mL of 0.8 M solution for \$1460, or \$73,000 per mol).

17 For examples and discussions of use of BTPP and cyclic guanidine superbases in production scale-up, see: (*a*) R. E. H. Jones, P. Aspin, S. H. Davies, I. Mann, C. Priestley, A. D. Roberts, N. Zotova-Eldridge and J. H. Leahy, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2022, **26**, 2646–2655; (*b*) A. M. Hyde, R. Calabria, R. Arvary, X. Wang and A. Klapars, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1860–1871; (*c*) C. Penverne, B. Hazard, C. Rolando and M. Penhoat, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2017, **21**, 1864–1868.

18 D. Truong, B. L. Howard and P. E. Thompson, *Tetrahedron*, 2021, **85**, 132034.

19 (*a*) N. Caldwell, C. Jamieson, I. Simpson and T. Tuttle, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 2506–2509; (*b*) N. Caldwell, P. S. Campbell, C. Jamieson, F. Potjewyd, I. Simpson and A. J. B. Watson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 9347–9354.

20 (*a*) M. K. Nielsen, C. R. Ugaz, W. Li and A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 9571–9574; (*b*) M. K. Nielsen, D. T. Ahneman, O. Riera and A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5004–5008; (*c*) A. M. Żurański, S. S. Gandhi and A. G. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 7898–7909.

21 Pure, solid P_2-*t*-Bu freebase is very hygroscopic such that exposure to ambient conditions quickly leads to formation of an oily hydrated substance. For example, P_2-*t*-Bu solid loses its solidity after 15 minutes on weigh paper under 84% humidity.

22 Methylenecyclohexane oxides (*e.g.*, **22**) may provide a greater driving force due to increased stereoelectronic stabilization of the alcohol byproduct compared to the epoxide; for discussions, see: (*a*) R. G. Carlson and N. S. Behn, *Chem. Commun.*, 1968, 339–340; (*b*) T. Hrenar, I. Primožič, D. Fijan and M. Majerić Elenkov, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,

Open Access Article. Published on 29 mai 2024. Downloaded on 20/08/2024 10:35:29.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

2017, **19**, 31706–31713; (*c*) I. V. Alabugin, L. Kuhn, N. V. Krivoshchapov, P. Mehaffy and M. G. Medvedev, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 10212–10252.

23 Epoxide **23** is used for an all-in-on system in place of **22**; we found that the epoxide **22** and P_2-*t*-Bu salt **A** mixture is less stable over time compared to the mixture with **23**.

24 For reviews, see: (*a*) C. F. Nising and S. Bräse, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 988–999; (*b*) J. L. Kennemur, R. Maji, M. J. Scharf and B. List, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 14649–14681. For mechanistic investigations of challenging alcohol addition reactions, see ref. 9*a*.

25 For reviews on base-catalyzed hydroamination reactions, see: (*a*) J. Seayad, A. Tillack, C. G. Hartung and M. Beller, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2002, **344**, 795–813; (*b*) T. E. Müller, K. C. Hultzsch, M. Yus, F. Foubelo and M. Tada, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 3795–3892; (*c*) B. N. Hemric, T. A. Garcia and A. E. Barni, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2024, **107**, e202300160.

26 (*a*) S. Boileau and N. Illy, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **36**, 1132–1151; (*b*) S. Liu, C. Ren, N. Zhao, Y. Shen and Z. Li, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2018, **39**, 1800485.

27 For the use of P_2-bases for ε-caprolactone polymerization, see: H. Alamri, J. Zhao, D. Pahovnik and N. Hadjichristidis, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 5471–5478.

28 We note that when the precatalyst system is used, we observe approximately 10% of an oligomer side product that is not present with direct use of P_2-*t*-Bu; see ESI†

29 For use of P_2-bases in S_NAr reactions, see ref. 7*d* and N. J. Gesmundo, B. Sauvagnat, P. J. Curran, M. P. Richards, C. L. Andrews, P. J. Dandliker and T. Cernak, *Nature*, 2018, **557**, 228–232.

30 (*a*) A. Costa, C. Nájera and J. M. Sansano, *Synlett*, 2001, **12**, 1881–1884; (*b*) A. Costa, C. Nájera and J. M. Sansano, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 5216–5225.

31 For selected examples of organic bases in metal-catalyzed coupling reactions, see ref. 7 and: (*a*) J. M. Dennis, N. A. White, R. Y. Liu and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 4721–4725; (*b*) S. H. Lau, P. Yu, L. Chen, C. B. Madsen-Duggan, M. J. Williams and B. P. Carrow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 20030–20039; (*c*) G. L. Beutner, J. R. Coombs, R. A. Green, B. Inankur, D. Lin, J. Qiu, F. Roberts, E. M. Simmons and S. R. Wisniewski, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1529–1537; (*d*) S. K. Kashani, J. E. Jessiman and S. G. Newman, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 1948–1954; (*e*) J. W. M. MacMillan, R. T. McGuire and M. Stradiotto, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2022, e202200764.

32 For early work using phosphazenes in Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions, see ref. 7*d* and: (*a*) A. B. Santanilla, M. Christensen, L.-C. Campeau, I. W. Davies and S. D. Dreher, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 3370–3373; (*b*) See also: C. Palomo, M. Oiarbide, R. López and E. Gómez-Bengoa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 1283–1286.

33 For an example of a Pd-catalyzed amination protocol where slow addition of a superbase improves the reaction yield, see: (*a*) J. M. Dennis, N. A. White, R. Y. Liu and S. L. Buchwald, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 3822–3830. For other examples of slow addition improving base-promoted reactions, see: (*b*) H.-G. Lombart and W. D. Lubell, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 9437–9446 (*c*) S. K. Bertilsson and P. G. Andersson, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 4665–4668; (*d*) D. E. Knutson, M. S. Rashid Roni, M. Y. Mian, J. M. Cook, D. C. Stafford and L. A. Arnold, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 1467–1476.

34 The rates of product formation (**57**) in Fig. 8b also correlate with the rate of alcohol activation byproduct appearance, consistent with the epoxide opening process regulating the rate of amination.

35 Numerous reports of safety hazards associated with strong base-promoted reactions are available; for examples, see: (*a*) Q. Yiang, N. R. Babij and S. Good, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 2608–2626; (*b*) Q. Yang, B. Canturk, K. Gray, E. McCusker, M. Sheng and F. Li, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2018, **22**, 351–359; (*c*) Q. Yang, M. Sheng, J. J. Henkelis, S. Tu, E. Wiensch, H. Zhang, Y. Zhang, C. Tucker and D. E. Ejeh, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 2210–2217; (*d*) D. B. Brown, A. D. Allian and Y. Chen, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2021, **25**, 1932–1936; (*e*) M. Power, E. Alcock and G. P. McGlacken, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2020, **24**, 1814–1838; (*f*) See also ref. 1*a* and 8*c*.

36 For discussions of base coordination to and inhibition of Pd catalytic intermediates in C–N coupling reactions, see ref. 31, 33 and: (*a*) Y. Sunesson, E. Limé, S. O. Nilsson Lill, R. E. Meadows and P.-O. Norrby, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 11961–11969; (*b*) S.-T. Kim, B. Pudasaini and M.-H. Baik, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 6851–6856.

37 For discussions of strong base-promoted decomposition of functional groups seen in Fig. 8, such as azoles, indazoles, styrenes and nitriles, see: (*a*) E. C. Reichert, K. Feng, A. C. Sather and S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 3323–3329; (*b*) A. Sather and T. A. Martinot, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2019, **23**, 1725–1739; (*c*) M. L. Casey, D. S. Kemp, K. G. Paul and D. D. Cox, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1973, **38**, 2294–2301; (*d*) K. Inoue and K. Okano, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **9**, 1548–1561; (*e*) T. R. Puleo, A. J. Strong and J. S. Bandar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 1467–1472.

38 The humidity in our laboratory was typically 10–30% during the course of this work, with occasional raises up to approximately 60%.

39 R. Schwesinger and Y. Kondo, *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, 2010, DOI: **10.1002/047084289X.rp150.pub2**.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry