

PCCP

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

rsc.li/pccp



ISSN 1463-9076

PAPER

Páraic M. Keane, Susan J. Quinn, John M. Kelly *et al.*
Picosecond time-resolved infra-red spectroscopic study of a
water-soluble cationic copper-porphyrin with nucleic acids



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2026, **28**, 10467

Picosecond time-resolved infra-red spectroscopic study of a water-soluble cationic copper-porphyrin with nucleic acids

Páraic M. Keane,^{id}*^{ab} Daniel Graczyk,^c Igor V. Sazanovich,^{id}^d Michael Towrie,^d Susan J. Quinn,^{id}*^c and John M. Kelly,^{id}*^a

The greater abundance and lower cost of copper make its porphyrin derivatives an attractive alternative to precious-metal based photosensitisers. The Cu(II) complex of 5,10,15,20-*meso*-tetrakis(*N*-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin (CuTMPyP4) is a useful photophysical probe for biomolecules. It is non-luminescent in aqueous solution, as it forms a metastable five-coordinate water complex after photo-excitation. CuTMPyP4 is known to bind to DNA both through the grooves and by intercalation. In this paper picosecond transient absorption (TA) and time-resolved infra-red (TRIR) spectra of CuTMPyP4 in D₂O and in the presence of polydeoxythymidylic acid (poly(dT)) and the double-stranded oligonucleotides {d(GC)₅}₂ and {d(CGCAATTTGCG)}₂ are reported. These spectra show characteristic features depending on whether a five-coordinate transient species or the four-coordinate triplet excited state is formed. Notably, in the case of thymine-containing nucleic acids the TRIR method unambiguously demonstrates the binding of the porphyrin to the C₂=O carbonyl group of that nucleobase.

Received 18th December 2025,
 Accepted 5th March 2026

DOI: 10.1039/d5cp04939c

rsc.li/pccp

Introduction

Porphyrin molecules are an important class of photosensitisers for applications ranging from artificial photosynthesis to photodynamic therapy.¹ The binding of *meso*-tetrakis(*N*-methylpyridinium-4-yl) porphyrins to nucleic acids has been a rich field of study for many years.² As binding to DNA perturbs the excited states of the porphyrins, optical spectroscopic methods have been widely used to study the nature of the binding processes for both the free base ligand (H₂TMPPyP4) and its metallo-derivatives (MTMPyP4). Emission spectroscopy is particularly valuable as the luminescence is sensitive not only to the mode of binding (intercalation, groove binding *etc.*) but also to the base sequence. For example, H₂TMPPyP4 fluorescence is enhanced upon binding to AT-rich sequences in DNA but quenched when intercalated between GC base-pairs.^{2,3} Amongst the metallo-derivatives the fluorescence of ZnTMPyP4 is enhanced upon DNA-binding² as is the phosphorescence of PtTMPyP4.⁴

The greater abundance and lower cost of copper make their porphyrin derivatives an attractive alternative to precious-metal based photosensitisers. An interesting feature of CuTMPyP4 is that it is non-luminescent in water.⁵ This is attributed to sub-picosecond formation of a 5-coordinate water complex, CuTMPyP4-(κ-O-H₂O), which reforms the ground state CuTMPyP4 in a few picoseconds.^{6–9} This high energy species is called an ‘exciplex’ in the literature. However, recent quantum mechanical calculations indicate that it exists in its electronic ground state, and have shown that the formation of this 5-coordinate species occurs *via* a singlet LMCT excited state.⁹ Evidence for the formation of this high energy 5-coordinate species has come from Raman studies.⁶ The biological activity of copper porphyrins is of significant interest.¹⁰ CuTMPyP4 is a useful photophysical DNA probe and several studies have explored its binding to different DNA conformations including single, double, left-handed Z-DNA and quadruplex DNA.¹¹ CuTMPyP4 is versatile and can bind through multiple modes including end stacking, intercalation, groove binding and electrostatic binding. Notably, studies by the Chirvony, Turpin and Kim groups demonstrated that CuTMPyP4 formed a relatively long-lived (2–3 ns) ‘exciplex’ with thymine-containing nucleic acids through coordination to a carbonyl group of the nucleobase, see Fig. 1.^{12–20}

In the current paper we present a picosecond time-resolved visible absorption (TA) and infra-red (TRIR) study of CuTMPyP4 in aqueous solution and in the presence of double-stranded DNAs and with polydeoxythymidylic acid.

^a School of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, D02 P3X2, Ireland.
 E-mail: jmkelly@tcd.ie, keanepa@tcd.ie

^b School of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AD, UK

^c School of Chemistry, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.
 E-mail: susan.quinn@ucd.ie

^d STFC Central Laser Facility, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, UK



TA and TRIR investigations of CuTMPyP4 with {d(GC)₅}₂ in D₂O

We next studied CuTMPyP4 in the presence of the double-stranded oligo-deoxynucleotide {d(GC)₅}₂, where the porphyrin is expected to be intercalated between the GC base-pairs.²⁴ This was confirmed by characteristic hypochromism and red-shift of the CuTMPyP4 B (Soret) band in the UV/vis on binding to {d(GC)₅}₂. In contrast to the unbound CuTMPyP4, intercalation between GC base-pairs results in a weak luminescence at 770 nm (SI Fig. S5), which is indicative of formation of the emissive triplet state.⁵

The transient visible absorption changes (Fig. 4) produced for CuTMPyP4 bound to {d(GC)₅}₂, are quite different to those observed in D₂O. The absorption of the transient maximises at 487 nm and the band is much broader than that recorded in pure buffered D₂O. This transient species is also much longer-lived with a lifetime greater than 1 ns. This is consistent with the species observed being the triplet state, which is known to decay on the ns timescale (2.8 ns, 61%; 22 ns, 39% in {poly(dG-dC)₂}).¹⁵ It may be noted that as the CuTMPyP4 is intercalated between the GC base-pairs, access by water to the copper atom is hindered and hence formation of the CuTMPyP4-(κ-O-D₂O) complex is inhibited.

The TRIR of CuTMPyP4 in the presence of {d(GC)₅}₂ is dominated by strong absorption bands at 1513 and 1632 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 5a). The spectrum observed, which we assign to the CuTMPyP4 triplet state, is similar to that observed for the triplet state of PtTMPyP4 intercalated into {d(GC)₅}₂ (Fig. 5b).²² Thus, it shows the same band at 1513 cm⁻¹, and enhanced pyridinium absorption band (at 1632 cm⁻¹) relative to the CuTMPyP4 bleach at 1643 cm⁻¹ ($\Delta\text{Abs}_{(1632/1643)} = 3.2$ at 50 ps), see Fig. 5a.

Additional long-lived bleach signals are also apparent at 1580 and 1682 cm⁻¹. These coincide with those of the ring and C=O vibrations of guanine²⁵ (see also Fig. S6), respectively, and are consistent with the perturbation of these guanine-centred vibrations by proximity to CuTMPyP4 in its lowest energy triplet state. Similar effects have been observed with PtTMPyP4²² and ruthenium polypyridyls.²¹




Fig. 4 ps-TA spectra of CuTMPyP4 (500 μM) in the presence of {d(GC)₅}₂ (500 μM, CuTMPyP4: Nucl = 1:20) in buffered D₂O solution following 400 nm excitation. Delays shown at 5, 100, 1000 and 2500 ps.




Fig. 5 TRIR spectra of (a) CuTMPyP4 (500 μM) in the presence of {d(GC)₅}₂ (500 μM, CuTMPyP4: Nucl = 1:20) and (b) PtTMPyP4 (500 μM) in the presence of {d(GC)₅}₂ (500 μM, CuTMPyP4: Nucl = 1:20). Both in D₂O buffer with 400 nm excitation (1 μJ). For ease of comparison absorbance has been scaled for matching intensity of 1632 cm⁻¹ transient. Measurement of the ratios of band intensities at 1632 cm⁻¹ and 1643 cm⁻¹ at 50 ps ($\Delta\text{Abs}_{(1632/1643)}$) is depicted in (a).

TRIR investigations of CuTMPyP4 with polydeoxythymidylic acid in D₂O solution

The TRIR spectroscopic changes observed following 373 nm excitation of CuTMPyP4 in solution with polydeoxythymidylic acid (poly(dT)) in buffered D₂O are presented in Fig. 6(a) and (b). The signals in the 1620–1650 cm⁻¹ region clearly show biexponential behaviour (Fig. 7a). The product band is noted to shift from 1625 cm⁻¹ to 1632 cm⁻¹ in the first 20 ps. In the 1500 to 1540 cm⁻¹ region there are initially two overlapping components, with maxima at approx. 1511 cm⁻¹ and 1528 cm⁻¹. The 1511 cm⁻¹ absorption then diminishes during the first 20 ps, while the absorbance at 1528 cm⁻¹ is comparatively unchanged over the first 20 ps. The loss of the band at 1511 cm⁻¹ reveals the distinct absorption at 1500 cm⁻¹ associated with the 1528 cm⁻¹ feature, see inset of Fig. 6a. It may also be noted that in poly(dT) the ratio of the 1632 cm⁻¹ and 1643 cm⁻¹ bands ($\Delta\text{Abs}_{(1632/1643)}$) for the long-lived species is 1.4, which is quite different from what is found when CuTMPyP4 is bound to {d(GC)₅}₂ (ratio = 3.2) and more similar to what is observed in the absence of polynucleotide. A comparison between CuTMPyP4 in D₂O and poly(dT) is given in SI Fig. S7. An additional feature in the poly(dT) system is a bleach band at 1699 cm⁻¹ which is expected for the C2=O carbonyl absorption of thymine. We therefore assign this long-lived species ($\tau = 850 \pm 280$ ps) as the 5-coordinate CuTMPyP4-(κ-O-thymine). By way of comparison, using visible transient absorption methods, Chirvony *et al.* measured a lifetime of 950 ps for CuTMPyP4 bound to the short oligonucleotide d(T)_n ($n = 1-18$) in H₂O solution.¹² The short-lived species may be CuTMPyP4-(κ-O-D₂O), formed by the porphyrin species still able to access the solvent, or due to an interaction with the second thymine carbonyl (C4=O).






Fig. 6 (a) The early-time and (b) later time TRIR spectra obtained following 373 nm excitation of CuTMPyP4 (500 μ M) in the presence of poly(dT) (10 mM nucleotide, CuTMPyP4 : Nucl = 1 : 20) in buffered D₂O (c) The early time TRIR spectroscopic changes observed following 373 nm excitation of 0.5 mM CuTMPyP4 in the presence of {d(CGCAAATTTGCG)}₂ in D₂O (1.0 mM per strand, CuTMPyP4 : Nucl = 1 : 24) showing the depletion of the CuTMPyP4 bands at 1643 cm⁻¹ and 1548 cm⁻¹, features characteristic of loss of ground state CuTMPyP4 and a significant depletion at 1699 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the bleaching of a uncoordinated thymine absorption; (d) the later time changes showing the slow decay of all product bands and recovery of the parent absorptions.

TRIR investigations of CuTMPyP4 with {d(CGCAAATTTGCG)}₂ in D₂O solution

We next investigated the TRIR of CuTMPyP4 in the presence of the mixed sequence double-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide {d(CGCAAATTTGCG)}₂. This model self-complementary sequence was chosen as it has been the subject of several DNA binding studies and detailed knowledge of its B-DNA structure^{26,27} and of minor groove bound drug molecules²⁸ have been revealed by X-ray crystallography. Previous steady-state studies have shown that in mixed sequence DNA CuTMPyP4 may bind both in the minor groove (in the AT-rich section) or intercalate (at a GC base-pair).²⁹

The TRIR data following 373 nm excitation are presented in Fig. 6(c) and (d). (essentially similar results were obtained with 400 nm excitation; SI Fig. S8). These data show many similarities to what was observed with CuTMPyP4 bound to poly(dT). The characteristic porphyrin features at 1632 cm⁻¹ and 1643 cm⁻¹ show biexponential behaviour and a blue-shifting of the main absorption band from 1625 cm⁻¹ to 1632 cm⁻¹ in the first 20 ps. Additionally, there is initially a broad transient in the 1500–1540 cm⁻¹ region which yields a long-lived species with a maximum at 1528 cm⁻¹. Other bleach bands occur at 1548 cm⁻¹ and 1699 cm⁻¹. The latter is caused by the C=O carbonyl absorption of thymine and might be expected by the formation of the 5-coordinate CuTMPyP4-(κ -O-thymine). This

long-lived species decays with a lifetime of 1180 \pm 340 ps (measured at 1632 cm⁻¹, Fig. 7b).

However, there are some features that differ between the two systems. In contrast to what was observed for the long-lived species in the CuTMPyP4-poly(dT) system, the Δ Absorbance observed at 1632 cm⁻¹ is much larger than that of the bleaching at 1643 cm⁻¹ (Δ Abs._{(1632/1643)} = 2.2 for {d(CGCAAATTTGCG)}₂; 1.4 for poly(dT)), and may also be compared to the ratio observed for CuTMPyP4 bound to {d(GC)₅}₂ (3.2), which was assigned to the triplet state (see also Fig. 8). In addition, while the intensity of the signal associated with binding to thymine (1699 cm⁻¹) does not change appreciably over the first 20 ps, a weak bleach at 1577 cm⁻¹ (expected for a guanine ring vibration as shown above with {d(GC)₅}₂) essentially disappears over this time period (SI Fig. S9). The short-lived nature of this process also contrasts with that found when the porphyrin intercalates between the base-pairs of {d(GC)₅}₂ and it could involve coordination to the endocyclic nitrogen atoms on the guanine, giving (CuTMPyP4-(κ -N-guanine)). This species would be expected to be short-lived, as it should behave similarly to the pyridine 5-coordinate complex recently examined by DFT.⁹}

A further notable feature in the TRIR is a weak transient band at 1720 cm⁻¹, that emerges after approx. 10 ps and appears relatively long-lived. IR bands above 1700 cm⁻¹ in nucleobases are often associated with carbonyls in






Fig. 7 Biexponential TRIR kinetic fits of CuTMPyP4 (500 μM) in the presence of (a) poly(dT) (10 mM nucleotide, CuTMPyP4: Nucl = 1:20) (b) d(CGAAATTTGCG)₂ (1.0 mM per strand, CuTMPyP4: Nucl = 1:24). Both in 50 mM phosphate buffered D₂O.

non-aqueous environments (e.g., over 40 cm^{-1} difference for some thymine derivatives in pure CD₃CN vs. D₂O).³⁰ The high-resolution X-ray structure of {d(CGAAATTTGCG)}₂ shows that the A₃T₃ minor groove contains a monolayer of water molecules, which bridges the two strands. This can either involve two O4' atom(s) and one O2 (T) atom and one N3 (A) atom or in the case of the ApT step, two O2 (T) atoms.²⁷ Since the disruption appears to exclusively manifest in the region of the O2 (T), it is intriguing to suggest that photoexcitation of the porphyrin close to the thymine C2=O band may influence the local hydration structure of that bond. Indeed, it has been proposed that the CuTMPyP4-thymine exciplex may form *via* a bridging H₂O (or D₂O) molecule, rather than directly to the C2=O bond as shown in Fig. 1, and that the hydration sphere around the nucleobase has a crucial role in stabilising the exciplex.³¹

The presence of features characteristic of both exciplex and triplet state in the TRIR spectra may reflect the diversity of binding modes available in {d(CGAAATTTGCG)}₂, including groove-binding and intercalation. This mirrors previous Raman studies where CuTMPyP4 bound to mixed-sequence systems such as poly(dA-dC).poly(dG-dT) was proposed to undergo excited-state relaxation through at least three separate routes.¹⁵ Nevertheless, the data presented here demonstrates how TRIR can be used to unravel the various DNA interactions of versatile binders such as CuTMPyP4. It may also be noted that previous studies on the perturbation of nucleobase vibrations by photo-excited compounds have focused on intercalators. Compounds such as




Fig. 8 Comparative spectra; (a) 20 ps TRIR spectrum of CuTMPyP4 in D₂O. 50 ps TRIR spectra of 500 μM CuTMPyP4 in presence of (b) poly(dT) (c) d(CGAAATTTGCG)₂ and (d) d(GC)₅. Vertical lines track bands associated with 5-coordinate species (1505 cm^{-1} , 1528 cm^{-1}) and triplet excited state (1513 cm^{-1}). Shaded areas denote regions associated with porphyrin pyridinium (blue) and DNA nucleotide carbonyls (yellow).

CuTMPyP4 that can bind in the grooves and may potentially interact with a number of base-pair steps in addition to the DNA spine of hydration, merit further experimental and theoretical investigation.

Conclusion

The time-resolved infra-red data presented in the paper shows that this technique is a valuable complement to transient absorption as it not only monitors the transient spectroscopic properties of the porphyrin but can concurrently provide information of changes in the biomolecule. Thus, it is possible to use TRIR to detect and distinguish spectroscopically between different types of five-coordinate complexes (previously described as exciplexes) formed by interactions of the CuTMPyP4 upon excitation. In the present case, the TRIR of the 5-coordinate complex produced with D₂O is quite different in shape from that of the triplet state formed when the porphyrin is intercalated between the base-pairs of {d(GC)₅}₂ (in this latter case the absorption band at 1632 cm^{-1} is much stronger than the bleach at 1645 cm^{-1}). With thymine-containing nucleic acids the TRIR method also unambiguously demonstrates the binding of the porphyrin to the C2=O carbonyl group of that nucleobase.



Experimental

Cu(II)-5,10,15,20-*meso*-tetrakis(*N*-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin tetrachloride (CuTMPyP4) was purchased from Inochem Ltd and used without further purification. HPLC-purified oligodeoxy-nucleotide sequences were obtained from Eurogentec. Polydeoxy-thymidylic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. TRIR spectra were recorded on the TR^MPS system,³² and ps-TA spectra recorded on the ULTRA³³ apparatus, at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratories (UK). Further details on transient spectroscopic measurements are provided in the SI.

Author contributions

PMK, JMK and SJQ designed and carried out the TRIR and TA experimental work. Data were analysed by PMK and DG. IVS and MT set up and optimised the TRIR and TA instruments. PMK, SJQ and JMK drafted the manuscript, which was critically read by all authors.

Conflicts of interest

None to declare.

Data availability

Data for this article, including time-resolved absorption spectroscopy and time-resolved infra-red spectroscopy datasets, are available from the UCD Research Data Zenodo Community at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18939777>. Supplementary information (SI): additional spectra, kinetics fits and experimental details. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5cp04939c>.

Acknowledgements

We thank STFC for program access to the CLF (App 13230047), this work was supported by BBSRC grants BB/K019279/1 and BB/M004635/1 (to Professor Christine Cardin, University of Reading). DG acknowledges funding from Research Ireland (GOIPG/2022/922). SJQ acknowledges financial support from Research Ireland Photogene project (21/FFP-P/10126). We thank Professor Conor Long for stimulating discussions.

Notes and references

- (a) *Fundamentals of Porphyrin Chemistry*, ed. P. J. Brothers and M. O. Senge, Wiley, 2022; (b) R. Das, P. K. Verma and C. M. Nagaraja, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **514**, 215944; (c) J. Chen, Y. Zhu and S. Kaskel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 5010–5035.
- (a) R. J. Fiel, J. C. Howard, E. H. Mark and N. Datta-Gupta, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1979, **6**, 3093–3118; (b) R. F. Pasternack, E. J. Gibbs and J. J. Villafranca, *Biochemistry*, 1983, **22**, 2406–2414; (c) J. M. Kelly, M. J. Murphy, D. J. McConnell and C. OhUigin, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1985, **13**, 167–184.
- V. S. Chirvony, V. A. Galievsky, N. N. Kruk, B. M. Dzhagarov and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 1997, **40**, 154–162.
- (a) E. Nyarko, N. Hanada, A. Habib and M. Tabata, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2004, **357**, 739–745; (b) P. M. Keane and J. M. Kelly, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2016, **15**, 980–987.
- B. P. Hudson, J. Sou, D. J. Berger and D. R. McMillin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 8997–9002.
- S. G. Kruglik, V. V. Ermolenkov, A. G. Shvedko, V. A. Orlovich, V. A. Galievsky, V. S. Chirvony, C. Otto and P.-Y. Turpin, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **270**, 293–298.
- V. S. Chirvony, M. Négrerie, J.-L. Martin and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2002, **106**, 5760–5767.
- S. C. Jeoung, S. Takeuchi, T. Tahara and D. Kim, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, **309**, 369–376.
- R. J. McGarry, L. Varvarezos, M. T. Pryce and C. Long, *Molecules*, 2023, **28**, 6310.
- A. Das, T. P. Mohammed and M. Sankaralingam, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **506**, 215661.
- A. Erxleben, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **360**, 92–121.
- V. S. Chirvony, V. A. Galievsky, I. V. Sazanovich and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 1999, **52**, 43–50.
- S. G. Kruglik, V. A. Galievsky, V. S. Chirvony, P. A. Apanasevich, V. V. Ermolenkov, V. A. Orlovich, L. Chinsky and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99**, 5732–5741.
- P. Mojzes, P. Praus, V. Baumruk, P.-Y. Turpin, P. Matousek and M. Towrie, *Biopolymers*, 2002, **67**, 278–281.
- S. G. Kruglik, P. Mojzes, Y. Mizutani, T. Kitagawa and P.-Y. Turpin, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 5018–5031.
- A. G. Shvedko, S. G. Kruglik, V. V. Ermolenkov, V. A. Orlovich, P.-Y. Turpin, J. Greve and C. Otto, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 1999, **30**, 677–684.
- P. Mojzes, L. Chinsky and P. Y. Turpin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1993, **97**, 4841–4847.
- P. Y. Turpin, L. Chinsky, A. Laigle, M. Tsuboi, J. R. Kincaid and K. A. Nakamoto, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1990, **51**, 519–525.
- S. C. Jeoung, H. S. Eom, D. Kim, D. W. Cho and M. Yoon, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1997, **101**, 5412–5417.
- S. C. Jeoung, H. S. Eom and D. Kim, *Laser Chem.*, 1999, **19**, 299–303.
- (a) P. M. Keane, K. O'Sullivan, F. E. Poynton, B. C. Poulsen, I. V. Sazanovich, M. Towrie, C. J. Cardin, X.-Z. Sun, M. W. George, T. Gunnlaugsson, S. J. Quinn and J. M. Kelly, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 8600–8609; (b) P. M. Keane and J. M. Kelly, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **364**, 137–154.
- P. M. Keane, C. Zehe, F. E. Poynton, S. A. Bright, S. Estayalo-Adrián, S. J. Devereux, P. M. Donaldson, I. V. Sazanovich, M. Towrie, S. W. Botchway, C. J. Cardin, D. C. Williams, T. Gunnlaugsson, C. Long, J. M. Kelly and S. J. Quinn, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, **24**, 27524–27531; P. M. Keane, C. Zehe, F. E. Poynton, S. A. Bright, S. Estayalo-Adrián, S. J. Devereux, P. M. Donaldson, I. V. Sazanovich, M. Towrie, S. W. Botchway, C. J. Cardin, D. C. Williams,



- T. Gunnlaugsson, C. Long, J. M. Kelly and S. J. Quinn, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2023, **25**, 23316–23317.
- 23 K. M. Kadish, B. G. Maiya and C. Araullo-McAdams, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1991, **95**, 427–431.
- 24 R. F. Pasternack, E. J. Gibbs and J. J. Villafranca, *Biochemistry*, 1983, **22**, 5409–5417.
- 25 G. W. Doorley, D. A. McGovern, M. W. George, M. Towrie, A. W. Parker, J. M. Kelly and S. J. Quinn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 123–127.
- 26 K. J. Edwards, D. G. Brown, N. Spink, J. V. Skelly and S. Neidle, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1992, **226**, 1161–1173.
- 27 K. K. Woods, T. Maehigashi, S. B. Howerton, C. C. Sines, S. Tannenbaum and L. D. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15330–15331.
- 28 (a) M. Coll, C. A. Frederick, A. H. Wang and A. Rich, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1987, **84**, 8385–8389; (b) D. G. Brown, M. R. Sanderson, E. Garman and S. Neidle, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1992, **226**, 481–490.
- 29 (a) D. R. McMillin and K. M. McNett, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 1201–1219; (b) B. Ward, A. Skorobogaty and J. C. Dabrowiak, *Biochemistry*, 1986, **25**, 7827–7833.
- 30 P. M. Hare, C. T. Middleton, K. I. Mertel, J. M. Herbert and B. Kohler, *Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **347**, 383–392.
- 31 V. S. Chirvony, *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines*, 2003, **7**, 766–774.
- 32 G. M. Greetham, D. Sole, I. P. Clark, A. W. Parker, M. R. Pollard and M. Towrie, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2012, **83**, 103107.
- 33 G. M. Greetham, P. Burgos, Q. Cao, I. P. Clark, P. S. Codd, R. C. Farrow, M. W. George, M. Kogimtzis, P. Matousek, A. W. Parker, M. R. Pollard, D. A. Robinson, Z. J. Xin and M. Towrie, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2010, **64**, 1311–1319.

