

Fig. 1 Anion ordering in  $M\text{-O}_4\text{N}_2$  and  $M\text{-O}_2\text{N}_4$  octahedra.

Anion ordering induces local distortions<sup>11</sup> in the crystal structure, influencing the ferroelectricity,<sup>12,13</sup> bandgap<sup>14,15</sup> and effective charge carrier mobility of these materials.<sup>16-18</sup>

Anion ordering in oxynitride perovskites can be categorised into by the regimes of *cis*- or *trans*-ordering as illustrated in Fig. 1, as well as by the dimensionality the ordering travels in *i.e.* 2D/3D for *cis*-ordering or 1D/2D for *trans*-ordering. Recent research has focused on characterising the relative stabilities of these different regimes. While *cis*-ordering is the more stable for  $\text{d}^0$  oxynitrides, both regimes are sensitive to stoichiometry, strain, and interfacial effects. For instance, synthetic conditions and A-site cation substitution can yield fully 2D or 3D *trans*-orderings.<sup>16-20</sup> For instance, increased  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  doping in  $\text{CaTaO}_2\text{N}$  induces partial *trans*-ordering, with *cis*-ordering becoming energetically prohibitive due to lattice strain.<sup>21</sup> Similarly, Vonruti *et al.*<sup>22</sup> have shown that >4% compressive strain stabilizes *trans*-ordering in bulk  $\text{LaTiO}_2\text{N}$ . Ninova *et al.*<sup>23</sup> have predicted that, for  $\text{LaTiO}_2\text{N}$ , surface layers can favour *trans*-ordering due to the charge neutral stacking afforded by  $(\text{LaN})\text{-}(\text{TiO}_2)$  layers.


However, the impact of anion ordering on anion vacancy defect formation and anion vacancy diffusion remains largely unexplored in perovskite oxynitride photocatalysts.

Understanding this relationship is the first step towards controlling their defect engineering for enhanced photocatalysis. Here we address this issue by demonstrating how the stability and mobility of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$  vacancy point defects are influenced by local anion ordering in two representative niobium perovskite oxynitrides,  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . Vacancy defect formation energies and migration barriers are investigated systematically as a function of both *cis*- and *trans*-ordering of  $\text{N}^{3-}\text{-M}^{n+}\text{-N}^{3-}$  ( $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ ) and  $\text{O}^{2-}\text{-M}^{n+}\text{-O}^{2-}$  ( $\text{LaNbON}_2$ ) chains using accurate first-principles calculations. *Cis*-anion orderings are in general more energetically favourable than *trans*-orderings in these materials. However, there are secondary ordering effects that arise from the stoichiometric distribution of anions in the A-site cation coordination sphere, most prevalent for  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . We show below how both the stability and mobility of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$  vacancy defects are influenced by these two ordering effects.


## Computational methodology

All calculations reported here employ the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange–correlation functional, revised for solids (PBEsol),<sup>24</sup> as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) code<sup>25,26</sup> using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.<sup>27</sup> A Hubbard- $U$  correction<sup>28</sup> has not been employed in this work, due to the non-trivial choice of selecting a  $+U$  potential that is independent of the anion ordering and vacancy defect type. All calculations employ (core)/valence configurations of Nb:  $([\text{Ne}]3s)/3p3d4s$ ; O:  $([\text{He}])/2s2p$ ; N:  $([\text{He}])/2s2p$  and a plane wave energy cutoff of 450 eV. Crystal structures of  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  at standard conditions were obtained from the International Crystal Structure Database (ICSD crystal IDs 434770 and 247261, respectively<sup>29</sup>), see Fig. 2. These structures are, respectively, of  $P_{m\bar{3}m}$  and  $P_{nma}$  symmetry. However, these symmetries are only nominal; we show below that local anion orderings within each extended supercell induce differing degrees of three-dimensional anisotropy in these ideal lattice structures when they are fully relaxed. Monkhorst–Pack meshes consisting of  $6 \times 6 \times 6$   $k$ -points were used for the 40 atom  $2 \times 2 \times 2$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  supercell, while  $4 \times 6 \times 4$   $k$ -point meshes were used for 80 atom  $2 \times 1 \times 2$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$  supercell. Vacancy migration barriers were pre-optimised using the nudged elastic band (NEB) algorithm<sup>30</sup> to a force criterion of 0.1 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ , before being re-optimised the Climbing Image (CI-NEB) algorithm<sup>31</sup> to a 0.05 eV  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  criterion. Five images were used in both cases.<sup>31</sup>

The formation of a neutral oxygen vacancy in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  can be expressed in Kröger–Vink notation as,



and for a neutral nitrogen vacancy,



The oxidation state of the M cations in the vicinity of the defect will be determined by the localization of the electron density, which may vary between  $2\text{M}' + \text{V}_\text{O}^\bullet$  and  $2\text{M}' + \text{V}_\text{O}^\times$  for




Fig. 2 Unit cells of (a)  $P_{m\bar{3}m}$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and (b)  $P_{nma}$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$ .



a neutral oxygen vacancy, and  $3M' + V_N^{•••}$  and  $3M + V_N^{••}$  for a neutral nitrogen vacancy. As Nb is capable of exhibiting a range of oxidation states (+5 to -1), we reason that it will likely favour charged vacancies and reduced Nb cations in anion-deficient  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . To this end we investigate the effect of anion ordering effect on electron localization and Nb oxidation *via* a DDEC6 charge decomposition analysis.<sup>32-34</sup>

For a neutral supercell, the anion vacancy formation energy,  $E_{f,\text{vac}}$ , can be obtained from the simplified expression:<sup>35</sup>

$$E_{f,\text{vac}} = E_{\text{def}} - E_{\text{pris}} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_i \quad (3)$$

where  $E_{\text{def}}$  is the defective supercell,  $E_{\text{pris}}$  is pristine supercell and  $\mu_i$  chemical potential for the missing anion. In this work we seek only to establish how anion ordering influences anion vacancy formation (and *vice versa*), and do not consider the additional effects induced by oxygen/nitrogen-rich synthesis conditions. To this end we only employ a single nitrogen and oxygen chemical potential, obtained from the fitted elemental-phase reference energies (FERE) ( $\mu_{\text{O}} = -4.76$  eV and  $\mu_{\text{N}} = -8.51$  eV).<sup>36</sup>

## Results and discussion

### Anion ordering in Nb perovskite oxynitrides

**BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N.** Fig. 3 illustrates each anion ordering considered here for BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N. This anion ordering is defined in terms of  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains. The high symmetry of this structure

( $P_{m3m}$  space group) enables an extensive search of anion orderings, including those that possess completely *cis*- or *trans*-ordered  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains, as well as those that possess a mixture of both *cis*- and *trans*-orderings. Fig. 4a depicts the relative energies of these distinct anion orderings, compared to the lowest energy structure of BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N. The latter is the fully *cis*-C1 ordering, which has previously been found to be the most stable ordering in LaTiO<sub>2</sub>N,<sup>14</sup> CaTaO<sub>2</sub>N<sup>17</sup> and SrTaO<sub>2</sub>N.<sup>15</sup> Fig. 4a shows that several fully *cis*-orderings considered here lie within 0.3 eV of C1, with the parallel ring structure C8 being the only exception. By comparison, local structures with fully *trans*-orderings (T1 and T2) are >2 eV less stable than the C1 ordering. Of these, the lowest energy fully *trans* configuration is T2, a 2D ordering comprised of stacked orthogonal layers of *trans*- $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains. Interestingly, Fig. 4a shows that the partial *trans*-anion orderings considered here (Q and H, which denote quarter and half *trans*-orderings, respectively) are all significantly lower in energy than the fully *trans*-configurations. Indeed, several quarter *trans*-orderings, such as Q1 and Q4, are predicted to be within 0.2 eV of the lowest energy C1 ordering. This suggests that distortion caused by *trans*-chains can be stabilized to a point by adjacent *cis*-chains. These results suggest that stabilizing the formation of a fully *trans*-ordered phase in BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N will be significantly more challenging than conversion from *cis*- to partially/fully *trans*-ordered phases, or forming mixed-phases comprising both *cis*- and *trans*-ordered regions. Of the ring & loop configurations considered here (*i.e.* C7, C8, C9, Q2, H2),





Fig. 3  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  anion orderings in a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  supercell ( $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and  $\text{O}^{2-}$  atoms not shown for clarity).  $\text{N}^{3-}$  and  $\text{Nb}^{5+}$  are dark and light blue atoms, respectively.





**Fig. 4** (a) PBEsol energies of  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  anion orderings relative to the lowest energy configuration for the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell. Note the different scales for the *cis*- and *trans*-anion orderings. Deviation of lattice vectors from cubic symmetry for (b) *cis*-orderings and (c) Quarter, half and full *trans*-orderings in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ .

Fig. 4a indicates that these orderings generally have higher energies than their counterparts. This suggests potentially unfavourable strain is produced when the  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains 'loop back' upon themselves too tightly.

Fig. 4 also considers the relative stability of anion orderings in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  from the point of view of dimensionality, by highlighting which orderings are 2D and which are 3D. In general, *cis*-orderings are considered to be 2D, in that they consist predominantly of  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains aligned parallel with each other. Introducing locally *trans*-ordered  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains, either partially (Q configurations) or completely (T configurations) naturally increases the dimensionality of the ordering when 'twists' and 'loops' are present in the  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  sublattice (see Fig. 3). Nevertheless, Fig. 4a shows that there is no clear relationship between dimensionality and stability here. For instance, the 2D fully *cis*-ordered structures are lowest in energy (e.g. C1, C2, C3) whereas the 2D half-*trans*-ordered structures (H1, H2, H3, H4) are less stable by

up to  $\sim 1.2$  eV. Similarly, of the 3D orderings studied, the fully *cis*-C7, C10 and C11 and the quarter *trans*-Q1 and Q4 orderings have mostly very similar energies. Notably, the C10, Q1 and Q4 orderings are only  $\sim 0.13$  eV higher in energy than the most stable C1 configuration. On the other hand, the dimensionality of the ordering has a clear relationship with the degree of lattice anisotropy, measured here *via* the deviation of each lattice constant from its value for a pristine  $P_{m\bar{3}m}$  unit cell for each ordering (*i.e.* we define this value as the average of the *a*, *b* and *c* lattice constants in each case). Fig. 4b and c show that the 2D orderings considered here clearly induce greater anisotropy in the crystal lattice compared to 3D orderings, as anticipated. Indeed, lattice constant deviations correlate with the predominant alignment of  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains in the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell. For instance, for the most stable C1 ordering, the  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains are aligned along the *a* and *c* lattice vectors (Fig. 3). This alignment causes equivalent expansion in *a* and *c* of  $\sim 0.03$  Å, while the lattice is compressed in *b* approximately twofold, by  $\sim -0.07$  Å (Fig. 4b). On the other hand, C7 yields an essentially isotropic  $P_{m\bar{3}m}$  structure, and Fig. 3 shows that alignment of the  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains are balanced exactly in all three directions. These results suggest that inducing lattice strain in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  will yield selectivity over anion ordering which is in line with recent studies for other oxynitride perovskites.<sup>16,21,22</sup> We return to a discussion of how these orderings influence thermokinetic parameters of vacancy defects below.

Beyond dimensionality, Fig. 4b and c show that these  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  anion orderings fall into three distinct groups. The first of these comprise orderings that minimally distort the cubic symmetry of the ideal  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  lattice, *i.e.*  $a \approx b \approx c$ . This isotropic group includes both high energy fully *trans*-orderings, as well as relatively stable C7 and Q2 orderings, and feature motifs in which  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains form a closed loop within a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  extension of the  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  lattice. The second group consists of orderings that yield anisotropic compression/expansion in a single dimension (*i.e.* two lattice constants essentially equal but distinct from the third), such as C1, C2, C3, H1, H3, and H4. The third group are those orderings that yield complete anisotropy in the sense that all three  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  lattice constants are unique (*e.g.* C3, C4 Q3, Q4, Q5). In the second group, the C1, C2 & C3 orderings (the three most stable orderings overall) are compressed in one axis and expanded in the other two. Interestingly, the half *trans*-orderings in this group (H1, H3, H4) all show the opposite trend, *i.e.* compression along two axes, and expansion in the third. These results suggest that *cis*-orderings in perovskite oxynitrides may be stabilised generally *via* in-plane lattice expansion, while *trans*-ordering may be stabilised *via* in-plane lattice compression. Indeed, Oka *et al.*<sup>21</sup> demonstrated the formation of a metastable *trans*-type anion ordering in  $\text{CaTaO}_2\text{N}$  *via*  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  A-site doping, in which  $\text{N}^{3-}$  preferentially resided in axial sites in  $\text{TaO}_4\text{N}_2$  octahedra due to lattice strain induced by the A-site dopant. Similarly, Vonruth *et al.*<sup>22</sup> predicted the stabilization of parallel *trans*-ordering of  $\text{N}^{3-}$  anions (akin to T2) in  $\text{LaTiO}_2\text{N}$  when in-plane compressive strains greater than 4% were applied to the lattice. While an exhaustive strain engineering study is beyond the

scope of this work, results presented here indicate that intermediate partial *trans*-orderings potentially impede the stabilization of fully *trans*-orderings *via* compressive in-plane strain, and so are likely an important consideration in strain engineering of perovskite oxynitrides more generally.

**LaNbON<sub>2</sub>.** Fig. 5a illustrates the anion orderings considered here for LaNbON<sub>2</sub>. Here NbO<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub> octahedra mean that anion ordering is best examined *via* O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chains, as opposed to N<sup>3-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-N<sup>3-</sup> chains. The lower orthorhombic symmetry here prevents an exhaustive study of anion orderings such as that presented above for the cubic BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N. Here we consider a set of chain structures that can provide an adequate representation of fully *cis*- or fully *trans*-anion orders in LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, and are commensurate with representation anion order sets considered in other DFT studies.<sup>15,17,22</sup> Due to the lower symmetry of LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, we have also considered the chains in perpendicular orientations. The total orderings considered was ultimately limited to those configurations that could be constructed in the unit cell and subsequently extended into the 2 × 1 × 2 supercell. Partial *trans*-orderings (akin to the **Q** and **H** structures considered for BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N) are not possible in the orthorhombic LaNbON<sub>2</sub> unit cell without violating the NbO<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub> octahedra selection rule, and so have not been considered.

Fig. 5b displays the relative energies of the different anion orderings for the 2 × 1 × 2 LaNbON<sub>2</sub> cell. It is clear that *cis*-orderings are preferred over *trans*-orderings, consistent with results for BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N presented in Fig. 4a. For LaNbON<sub>2</sub> all *trans*-orderings considered here (**LT1**, **LT2** & **LT3**) are > 2 eV less stable than the most stable *cis*-ordering, **LC9**. The latter ordering features 'zigzag' O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chains running through the 2 × 1 × 2 supercell alternating between the (101) and (101) planes and perpendicular to the (011) and (011) directions. This zigzag motif is shared with the other stable anion orderings, **LC1**, **LC2**, and **LC10**, the only difference being the alignment of the motif within the crystal structure. For instance, in the **LC10** ordering, the same zigzag O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chains are present in the 2 × 1 × 2 supercell as those observed in the **LC9** ordering. However, they are perpendicular to the (110) and (110) lattice directions, and as a result are ~0.2 eV higher in energy. Similarly, the **LC1** and **LC2** orderings also feature the zigzag O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chain motif, but perpendicular to the (101) and (101) lattice directions, respectively. Both orderings are only ~0.15 eV less stable than the most favourable ordering, **LC9**. The other *cis*-orderings considered here consist of different structural motifs and are less stable than the zigzag motif. These include the **LC3**, **LC4**, **LC5** and **LC6** orderings, all of which consist of O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chains that create a repeating square-tooth pattern in the 2 × 1 × 2 LaNbON<sub>2</sub> supercell and are ~0.3–0.6 eV less stable than **LC9**, and the ring-like **LC7** and **LC8** motifs, which are both ~0.5 eV less stable than **LC9**.

Fig. 5b shows that the dimensionality of the ordering is also apparently unrelated to its stability. For instance, **LC9** and **LC10** are both 3D orderings, whereas all their *cis*-orderings of O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> chains in LaNbON<sub>2</sub> are 2D. This is different from what was found in Fig. 4 for BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, and suggests that controlling for 2D or 3D more ordering may not be possible




Fig. 5 (a) Representative O<sup>2-</sup>-Nb<sup>5+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> anion orderings in a 2 × 1 × 2 LaNbON<sub>2</sub> supercell (La<sup>3+</sup> and N<sup>3-</sup> not shown for clarity). O<sup>2-</sup> and Nb<sup>5+</sup> are red and light blue atoms, respectively. (b) PBEsol energies of LaNbON<sub>2</sub> anion orderings shown in (a), relative to the lowest energy ordering **LC9**.



in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . Though we note that 2D–3D ordering transition temperatures have been observed in the comparable structure  $\text{LaTaON}_2$ .<sup>20</sup>

Further, comparison of Fig. 5b with equivalent results for  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  (Fig. 3 and 4a), shows striking similarities between the relative stabilities of comparable  $\text{O}^{2-}$ – $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ – $\text{O}^{2-}$  orderings in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$ – $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ – $\text{N}^{3-}$  orderings in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ . For instance, *cis*-orderings featuring zigzag chain motifs are the most stable in both materials, and fully *trans*-anion orderings are significantly higher in energy (by  $\sim 1$  eV). Further, the ring-like and loop-like motifs are also energetically similar in both cases. For instance, **LC3**, **LC4**, **LC5** and **LC6** in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  and **C4**, **C5** and **C6** in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  both feature repeating square tooth  $\text{O}^{2-}$ – $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ – $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$ – $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ – $\text{N}^{3-}$  motifs and have comparable relative energies ( $\sim 0.3$ – $0.4$  eV). Similarly, the loop motifs in **LC7** and **LC8** for  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  and **C8** and **C9** in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  are structurally similar and  $\sim 0.5$ – $0.6$  eV higher in energy than the most favourable ordering.

Nevertheless, the  $\text{La}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  A-site cations also drive secondary ordering effects that differ between  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . Comparing the  $\text{La}-(\text{O},\text{N})_{12}$  coordination spheres between different orderings for  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ , the lowest energy orderings (**LC1**–**LC4** and **LC9**–**10**) all possess stoichiometric  $\text{La}-\text{O}_4\text{N}_8$  coordination spheres, whereas the **LC5**–**LC8** orderings feature a mix of  $\text{La}-\text{O}_6\text{N}_6$  and  $\text{La}-\text{O}_2\text{N}_{10}$  coordination spheres. This mix creates alternating  $\text{LaO}$  and  $\text{LaN}$  layers between  $\text{NbON}^{3-}$  sheets aligned perpendicular to the  $b$ -axis in the  $2 \times 1 \times 2$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$  supercell. There is a clear energetic difference between these two groups, with **LC5**–**LC8** being  $\sim 0.3$  eV higher on average than **LC1**–**LC4** and **LC9**–**10**. This A-site effect is notably absent in the  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  orderings, shown in Fig. 4. While the most stable zigzag orderings in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  do indeed create an even distribution of  $\text{Ba}-\text{O}_8\text{N}_4$  coordination spheres, other orderings like **C6** & **C8** have energies 0.15– $0.30$  eV higher than orderings with comparable  $\text{Ba}-(\text{O},\text{N})_{12}$  coordination sphere distributions. Thus, the  $\text{N}^{3-}$ – $\text{Nb}^{5+}$ – $\text{N}^{3-}$  chain ordering in the more densely packed  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  supercell effectively masks the effect of the  $\text{Ba}-(\text{O},\text{N})_{12}$  coordination sphere on the stability of the material.

### Vacancy defect formation energies in Nb perovskite oxynitrides

We now consider the impact of anion order in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  on the stability of vacancy defects in both  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$  lattice positions. This is achieved *via* predicting the vacancy defect formation energies,  $E_{\text{f},\text{vo}}$  and  $E_{\text{f},\text{vn}}$  (eqn (3)) at the 12 distinct anion positions in the respective supercells of these materials, for each specific anion ordering considered in the preceding discussion. These distinct positions 1–12 for the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $2 \times 1 \times 2$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$  supercells are depicted in Fig. 6a and b.

**BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N.**  $E_{\text{f},\text{vo}}$  and  $E_{\text{f},\text{vn}}$  in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  for the 22 individual anion orderings introduced previously are shown in Fig. 6c, and grouped according to their *cis*/*trans*-character. This figure shows that nitrogen vacancy defects in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  have a smaller variation in formation energies in the presence of fully *cis* ( $2.24$ – $2.50$  eV) or fully *trans*-ordering ( $1.14$ – $1.46$  eV). By contrast,




Fig. 6 (a and b) Depiction of the 12 unique anion positions in the centroid of the (a)  $2 \times 2 \times 2$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and (b)  $2 \times 1 \times 2$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$  supercells. Light and dark blue spheres as in Fig. 3, red, green and yellow spheres represent oxygen, barium and lanthanum atoms respectively. (c and d) PBEsol vacancy formation energies  $V_N$  and  $V_O$  in positions 1–12 as a function of anion ordering for the (c)  $2 \times 2 \times 2$   $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and (d)  $2 \times 1 \times 2$   $\text{LaNbON}_2$  supercells; red indicates an  $\text{O}^{2-}$  vacancy defect and blue indicates an  $\text{N}^{3-}$  vacancy defect at that position. The colour depth indicates the magnitude of the formation energies  $V_N$  and  $V_O$  (blue and red, respectively) in eV, as indicated by the scale bar.

the range of  $E_{\text{f},\text{vn}}$  formation energies found for the quarter and half *trans* anion orderings (*i.e.* **Q1**–**Q5** and **H1**–**H4**) is larger,  $1.35$ – $2.77$  eV, presumably due to the greater structural anisotropy in these orderings, which gives rise to a greater number of distinct vacancy sites. Fig. 6c also shows that  $V_N$  sites in local *cis*- or local *trans*-anion sites of the partial *trans*-orderings are  $\sim 0.2$  eV higher in energy than if the whole ordering was *cis* or *trans*.

The  $E_{\text{f},\text{vo}}$  formation energies vary quite significantly across the fully *cis* ( $4.87$ – $6.08$  eV) and partial *trans* ( $4.87$ – $5.98$  eV) orderings, compared to fully *trans* ( $5.37$ – $5.60$  eV). This indicating that



neutral oxygen vacancy formation energies are more sensitive to  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chain disorder, whereas nitrogen vacancy formation energies depend simply on whether the site is in a *cis*- or *trans*-chain.  $\text{N}^{3-}$  anions in *trans*- $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chains, whether they be partially or fully *trans*-, have a reduced  $E_{f,\text{VN}}$  formation energy.

The question as to whether anion vacancies have the potential to affect local anion order in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  is considered in Fig. S1 (ESI†), which shows the relative energies of these anion orderings in the presence of  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defects. Compared to the defect-free relative energies in Fig. 4a, it can be seen in Fig. S1a (ESI†) that in several cases anion vacancies likely influence the anion ordering. For instance, the quarter-*trans* orderings (Q1–5) become energetically indistinguishable from the *cis* (C1–11) orderings in the presence of a neutral oxygen vacancy defect. Additionally, the relative orderings in the presence of a neutral nitrogen vacancy defect (Fig. S1b, ESI†) are significantly different from the defect-free orderings. While the *cis* C1–11 orderings are effectively equivalent in energy with and without a  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defect, the Q1–5 orderings are lowered by  $\sim 0.5$  eV, as the defect disrupts an  $\text{N}^{3-}-\text{Nb}^{5+}-\text{N}^{3-}$  chain, thus making them the most favourable ordering.

**LaNbON<sub>2</sub>**,  $E_{f,\text{vo}}$  and  $E_{f,\text{VN}}$  in LaNbON<sub>2</sub> for the 12 individual anion orderings introduced previously are shown in Fig. 6d, and grouped according to their *cis/trans*-character. It is clear from this figure that  $\text{N}^{3-}$  anion vacancies form more easily in fully *trans*-ordered structures (LT1, LT2, LT3) than the *cis*-ordered LC structures. The LC5, LC6, LC7, and LC8 orderings are exceptions here, exhibiting  $E_{f,\text{vo}}$  values between only 4.82–5.54 eV (the lowest values for all orderings considered here). We note that these are the orderings that yield alternating LaO/LaN layers in LaNbON<sub>2</sub>.  $E_{f,\text{VN}}$  values are notably higher than  $E_{f,\text{vo}}$  for the LC orderings. This means that LaNbON<sub>2</sub> domains rich in these more stable *cis*-anion orderings are more likely to exhibit  $\text{O}^{2-}$  anion vacancies than  $\text{N}^{3-}$  vacancies. The same trend was noted above in our discussion of  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  vacancy defects, indicating that the relative stabilities of  $\text{O}^{2-}$  and  $\text{N}^{3-}$  point vacancy defects in  $\text{AMO}_2\text{N}$  &  $\text{AMON}_2$  perovskite oxynitrides are potentially independent of the A-site cation and crystal symmetry.  $E_{f,\text{VN}}$  formation energies predicted for LaNbON<sub>2</sub> (1.74 eV range) here are more sensitive to the local anion ordering, and thus exhibit a wider range than observed for  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$

(1.36 eV range). This is attributed to  $\text{N}^{3-}$  being the majority anion LaNbON<sub>2</sub>.

Finally, we consider whether or not  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defects in LaNbON<sub>2</sub> have the potential to influence the relative stabilities of these anion orderings. Fig. S2 (ESI†) shows the relative energies of the anion orderings in the defective LaNbON<sub>2</sub> structures. Compared to the defect-free relative energies in Fig. 5a and Fig. S2a (ESI†) the presence of  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  does not appreciably influence the relative stability of many of the orderings. However, the LC5–9 orderings become the most stable orderings, as they can be lowered by up to  $\sim 0.2$  eV. Fig. S2b (ESI†) shows that, by comparison,  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defects are much more influential on the stabilities of these anion orderings, with all LC orderings being lowered by up to  $\sim 0.5$  eV. Nevertheless, the relative stabilities for all LC orderings become virtually indistinguishable in the presence of a  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defect.

### Vacancy defects and charge redistribution

Nb is capable of exhibiting multiple low oxidation states. While our calculations enforce charge neutrality on the entire supercell, the region surrounding a vacancy defect is itself not necessarily guaranteed to be charge neutral due to electron density delocalisation. In turn, the oxidation states of Nb cations are not necessarily uniform throughout the supercell, since, despite the formal vacancy charge (*i.e.*  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{2+}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{3+}$ ) electron density delocalisation around the vacancy may lead to charge redistribution on neighbouring atoms. We examine this possibility in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and LaNbON<sub>2</sub> *via* the DDEC6 charge decomposition analysis presented in Table 1, which summarizes the partial atomic charges and charge redistribution in these materials following the formation of  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defects. We limit our discussion to only the most thermodynamically stable *cis/trans* anion orderings for each material, established in the preceding discussion (*i.e.* C1 and T2 in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , and LC9 and LT2 for LaNbON<sub>2</sub>). Due to the size of the supercells used in this work, we have not verified these PBEsol results with higher-level exchange correlation functionals, such as HSE06.<sup>37</sup> We point out, however, that charges based on PBEsol have been shown to be in close agreement with higher level functionals for closely related transition metal oxide perovskites.<sup>38,39</sup>

For  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , Table 1 shows that charge redistribution, due to delocalisation of the electron density around the defect site, leads to the ‘true’ charge of  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{2+}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{3+}$  defects being only

**Table 1** DDEC6 average partial charges and charge redistribution (e) in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and LaNbON<sub>2</sub> due to ‘neutral’  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  vacancy defects using PBEsol. The redistributed charge of an atom is defined as the difference between its DDEC6 charges in the pristine and defective supercell; negative values indicate reduction in the presence of the defect. All values are averaged over all  $\text{O}^{2-}/\text{N}^{3-}$  positions in each respective supercell. ‘Adjacent’ Nb cations are those that are coordinated to the anion removed to form the defect, ‘other’ Nb cations are all that are not

		BaNbO <sub>2</sub> N				LaNbON <sub>2</sub>			
		C1		T2		LC9		LT2	
		$\text{V}_\text{O}$	$\text{V}_\text{N}$	$\text{V}_\text{O}$	$\text{V}_\text{N}$	$\text{V}_\text{O}$	$\text{V}_\text{N}$	$\text{V}_\text{O}$	$\text{V}_\text{N}$
Partial Charge	Adjacent Nb	1.89	1.73	1.93	1.84	1.78	1.64	1.64	1.89
	Other Nb	2.21	2.20	2.20	2.17	2.08	2.08	2.09	2.08
Charge Redistribution	Ba/La	−0.25	−0.30	−0.28	−0.32	−0.27	−0.35	−0.46	−0.34
	Nb	−1.26	−1.65	−1.15	−1.47	−0.79	−1.11	−1.71	−1.36
	Total	−1.51	−1.95	−1.43	−1.79	−1.06	−1.46	−2.17	−1.70



65–75% of the expected formal values. For instance, for the **C1** ordering the total charge redistribution in the  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  lattice around a  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  defect is  $-1.51$  e (rather than exactly  $-2$ ), while for a  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defect this figure is  $-1.95$  e (rather than exactly  $-3$  e). Charge redistribution is slightly smaller for the **T2** ordering ( $1.43$  and  $1.79$  e, respectively), indicating that anion vacancies near  $\text{trans-N}^{3-}\text{-Nb}^{5+}\text{-N}^{3-}$  anion configurations in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  lead to less charge redistribution away from the vacancy site in the cation sublattice. Table 1 also shows that, following the formation of a neutral oxygen or nitrogen vacancy defect in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , the oxidation state of a Nb cation depends on its vicinity to the defect. For instance, the average partial charge of adjacent Nb cations is  $\sim 0.5$  e lower than those not coordinated to the vacancy. This is true for both the **C1** and **T2** anion orderings, although the effect is again marginally smaller for the latter ( $\sim 0.3$  e), likely for the same reason noted above.

Very similar trends are observed in Table 1 regarding partial charges of adjacent and uncoordinated Nb cations in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . For instance, for the lowest energy **LC9** ordering, Nb cations adjacent to a  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  defect are more reduced by  $\sim 0.3$  e, compared to Nb cations further away. Similarly, for a  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  defect, the difference is  $\sim 0.4$  e (adjacent Nb cations being more reduced). Nb partial charges in the **LT2** ordering are analogous by comparison. As for  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , Table 1 shows that the DDEC6 charges obtained using PBEsol are notably lower than the expected formal values. For instance, in the **LC9** ordering, the  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  lattice is reduced by only  $1.06$  e ( $\text{V}_\text{O}$ ) and  $1.46$  e ( $\text{V}_\text{N}$ ), respectively. However, a marked increase in charge distribution is observed for an oxygen vacancy defect in the **LT2** ordering. Here, the  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  lattice is reduced by  $2.17$  e, which is comparable to the expected formal charge (*i.e.*  $-2$ ). This is attributed to the effect of the ordering itself. While the pristine

**LT2** and **LC9** structures exhibit essentially equivalent average Nb partial charges ( $2.14$  compared to  $2.10$ , not shown in Table 1), the introduction of the  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  defect disrupts a *trans*- $\text{O}^{2-}\text{-Nb}^{5+}\text{-O}^{2-}$  chain in the **LT2** structure, but leaves the chain in the *cis* **LC9** ordering unperturbed. This reduces the Nb sublattice by  $\sim 1$  e ( $-1.71$  e) more in **LT2**, compared to **LC9** ordering ( $-1.06$  e).

### Vacancy diffusion barriers in Nb perovskite oxynitrides

With the thermochemical stability of  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{\bullet\bullet}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{\bullet\bullet}$  vacancy defects in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  now established, we conclude our discussion by considering the impact of anion ordering on vacancy diffusion kinetics in these materials. Fig. 7 presents diffusion pathways of  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{\bullet\bullet}$  and  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{\bullet\bullet}$  vacancy defects in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  elucidated using the CI-NEB method. Due to the expense of this approach, we consider defect diffusion in only the most stable *cis*- and *trans*-anion orderings (*i.e.* the most relevant), and only those diffusion pathways corresponding to vacancies moving between adjacent positions in the crystal lattice (*i.e.* the distinct positions defined in Fig. 6a and b). For  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  we assess the accuracy of diffusion barriers obtained with the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell by comparing them with barriers obtained with a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  supercell in Fig. S3 and Table S3 (ESI†). This comparison indicates that shorter diffusion pathways (rotation about a Nb ion) are significantly less sensitive to supercell size than longer pathways (shifting to other Nb ion coordination spheres), as anticipated. Importantly, Table S3 (ESI†) indicates that the trends in vacancy diffusion barriers found using the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell are reproduced with the  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  supercell. The longer diffusion pathways in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  are orientated to the *a/c* plane in the  $2 \times 1 \times 2$  orthorhombic supercell, and so it is likely they are already converged with respect to the *a/c* dimensions of the supercell.




Fig. 7  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  &  $\text{V}_\text{O}$  diffusion pathways and associated PBEsol diffusion barriers (eV) for the most stable *cis*- and *trans*-anion orderings in (a and b)  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and (c and d)  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ . (a)  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , **C1** ordering, (b)  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ , **T2** ordering, (c)  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ , **LC9** ordering, (d)  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ , **LT1** ordering. All diffusion pathways were calculated in the complete  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  and  $2 \times 1 \times 2$  supercells, respectively, however only the core region is depicted here for clarity. Anion positions are defined in Fig. 5a and b for  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  and  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ , respectively.



**BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N.** Diffusion pathways for V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> and V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> vacancies in the most stable **C1** and **T2** orderings of BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N and the corresponding energy barriers are presented in Fig. 7a and b. There are two distinct pathways for each vacancy type; the defect can rotate positions about a Nb<sup>5+</sup> cation (O1 → O2, N7 → N12 in **C1** (Fig. 7a); O5 → O2 in **T2** (Fig. 7b)) or the defect can migrate to a non-adjacent position, shifting to a different Ta<sup>5+</sup> cation but rotating about the Ba<sup>2+</sup> cation (O1 → O4, N7 → N5 in **C1** (Fig. 7a); O5 → O7, N1 → N4 in **T2** (Fig. 7b)). The latter of these pathways is obviously the longest and so is anticipated to correlate with the highest diffusion barrier. Fig. 7a and b show that this is the case; V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> and V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> migration between non-adjacent sites is impeded by barriers ranging from 5.33 eV to 6.70 eV while rotation of the defect to adjacent positions around the Nb<sup>5+</sup> cation corresponds to a barrier of only 1.13 eV to 1.53 eV. Fig. 7a and b also shows that, in general, V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> diffusion are barriers around ~25% lower than the analogous diffusion pathways for V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup>. V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> diffusion in the less stable **T2** ordering is further impeded by the fact that it can only occur between adjacent NbO<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub> octahedra, and not *via* rotation around an individual Nb<sup>5+</sup> cation, which would correspond to a lower barrier. Thus, despite being more stable in BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, O<sup>2-</sup> vacancy defects are expected to undergo more facile diffusion, most notably in *trans*-ordered phases, impeding control over long range ordering in this material. We note also that these findings are consistent with our recent study of TaON, in which it was shown that diffusion barriers for V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> migration are potentially low enough to displace nitrogen content from the oxynitride.<sup>40</sup> Thus, it is suggested that stabilising *trans*-ordered phases of BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N is likely to yield more effective retention of nitrogen content in this material, even if long-range order cannot be controlled.

**LaNbON<sub>2</sub>.** Diffusion pathways for V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> and V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> vacancies in the lowest energy *cis*- and *trans*-ordered structures of LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, **LC9**, and **LT1**, and the corresponding energy barriers are presented in Fig. 7c and d. In the **LC9** *cis*-ordering, Fig. 7c, O<sup>2-</sup> vacancies can only diffuse *via* the O10 → O12 pathway, while N<sup>3-</sup> vacancies have two possibilities for migration *via* rotation around a Nb<sup>5+</sup> cation (N6 → N3) and between non-adjacent (N6 → N7) sites that cross the cavity between La<sup>3+</sup> ions. In the latter case the defect is shifting to a separate NbO<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub> octahedron while rotating is coordinating about the local La-(O,N)<sub>12</sub> coordinate sphere. In the less stable **LT1** *trans*-ordering, Fig. 7d, again O<sup>2-</sup> vacancies can only migrate *via* a single long pathway (O6 → O7) since rotation about an individual Nb<sup>5+</sup> cation to another O<sup>2-</sup> site isn't possible, whereas N<sup>3-</sup> vacancies can migrate *via* the N12 → N10 pathway and along the longer non-adjacent N10 → N3 pathway.

Consistent with BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, the V<sub>O</sub><sup>••</sup> diffusion barriers are seen to be sensitive to whether the diffusion path is between adjacent anion positions or non-adjacent positions with O10 → O12 in **LC9** predicted to have a barrier height of 0.71 eV, while O6 → O7 in **LT2** is 3.12 eV. However, the difference in the V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> diffusion barriers for adjacent and non-adjacent paths is greatly reduced compared to BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N. In **LC9** the adjacent pathway height is 1.56 eV with the non-adjacent path barrier only 0.29 eV higher, while in **LT2** the adjacent V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> diffusion is now 0.72, with the non-adjacent barrier merely 0.82 eV.

Interestingly, the kinetic stability of N<sup>3-</sup> vacancy defects in LaNbON<sub>2</sub> is significantly reduced from that observed in BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N. In particular, in the less stable **LT1** *trans*-ordering, N<sup>3-</sup> vacancies diffuse more easily than O<sup>2-</sup> vacancies. The origin of this reduction is a cooperative effect in which the migrating V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> vacancy partially displaces an adjacent O<sup>2-</sup> anion. The additional space created by this displacement gives rise to an asymmetric path, in which the V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> vacancy does not pass directly between adjacent La<sup>3+</sup> cations along the diffusion pathway. In doing so, the migrating V<sub>N</sub><sup>•••</sup> vacancy partially displaces an adjacent O<sup>2-</sup> anion and so reduces the diffusion barrier.

## Conclusions

The impact of anion ordering on defect engineering in perovskite oxynitride photocatalysts remains largely unexplored, despite it being the necessary first step towards controlling defects in these materials for improved photocatalytic performance. Using accurate first-principles calculations, we have presented an extensive investigation of *cis*- and *trans*-anion orderings in two exemplar perovskite oxynitrides, BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N and LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, and examined how these orderings influence (1) overall stability, (2) the stability of N<sup>3-</sup> and O<sup>2-</sup> point defects and (3) the mobility of these defects through the bulk material. Analysis of partial charges with DDEC6 confirmed that the anion ordering influenced the degree of e<sup>-</sup> redistribution to adjacent and outer Nb cations from the creation of an anion vacancy.

For BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, our results show clearly that fully *cis*-anion orderings are more stable than fully *trans*-anion orderings. The influence of these orderings on the cubic lattice vectors however demonstrates differing degrees of anisotropy. This ultimately has implications for strain engineering in this oxynitride; specifically, it may be difficult to stabilize the fully *trans*-orderings in BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, over half-*trans* or fully-*cis* orderings, *via* in-plane compression of the crystal lattice. In LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, 2D & 3D orderings have comparable energies, meaning that mixed dimensionality in anion ordering may be more prevalent in this material. However, our results show the presence of a secondary 'A-site coordination sphere effect' influencing the stability of different orderings in LaNbON<sub>2</sub>, where the stoichiometric distribution of O<sup>2-</sup> and N<sup>3-</sup> in La-(O,N)<sub>12</sub> gives rise to obvious differences in the relative energies of different fully *cis*-anion orderings. This effect is less obvious in BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N, which we contend is due to the more densely packed lattice having a higher degree of symmetry, which masks any La-(O,N)<sub>12</sub> coordination sphere on the overall stability of the material. Vacancy defect formation energies for BaNbO<sub>2</sub>N indicate that domains rich in *cis*-anion orderings (the most favourable) may preferentially stabilise O<sup>2-</sup> anion vacancies less uniformly in their structure, whereas N<sup>3-</sup> anion vacancies are less likely. On the other hand, O<sup>2-</sup> defect formation energies in LaNbON<sub>2</sub> are more sensitive to the local anion ordering, than its overall *cis*- or *trans*-character. This is attributed to the effect of the La<sup>3+</sup> A-site cation, which for particular orderings considered here gives rise to alternating layers of LaO and LaN that reduce significantly O<sup>2-</sup> vacancy defect formation energy.



For  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ ,  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{2+}$  diffusion barriers were found to be lower than  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{3+}$ , most notably in *trans*-ordered phases. It is predicted that this will impede control over long range ordering in  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$ . Stabilising *trans*-ordered phases of this material is thus predicted to yield more effective retention of nitrogen content in its structure, irrespective of long-range anion order. In this sense,  $\text{BaNbO}_2\text{N}$  bears some resemblance to  $\text{TaON}$ , for which  $\text{V}_\text{O}^{2+}$  migration barriers are potentially low enough to displace nitrogen content from the oxynitride.<sup>40</sup> The reverse is observed true for  $\text{V}_\text{N}^{3+}$  in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$ , *i.e.*  $\text{N}^{3-}$  vacancy defects exhibit lower diffusion barriers than  $\text{O}^{2-}$  vacancy defects.  $\text{V}_\text{N}$  diffusion *via* both adjacent and non-adjacent pathways in  $\text{LaNbON}_2$  benefits from the lower orthorhombic symmetry. In part this is due to the disruption the migrating  $\text{N}^{3-}$  defect has on the local coordination environment; when rotating around a local  $\text{La}^{3+}$  cation the  $\text{N}^{3-}$  anion vacancy partially displaces an adjacent  $\text{O}^{2-}$  ion to lower the diffusion barrier.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was undertaken with the assistance of resources provided at the NCI National Facility systems at the Australian National University, through the National Computational Merit Allocation Scheme supported by the Australian Government. AJP acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council (INTERSECT, LE170100032). JJB acknowledges support from an Australian Government RTP Scholarship.

## Notes and references

- 1 T. Takata and K. Domen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 10529–10544.
- 2 M. Ahmed and G. Xinxin, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2016, **3**, 578–590.
- 3 B. Siritanaratkul, K. Maeda, T. Hisatomi and K. Domen, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 74–78.
- 4 D. Y. Wan, Y. L. Zhao, Y. Cai, T. C. Asmara, Z. Huang, J. Q. Chen, J. Hong, S. M. Yin, C. T. Nelson, M. R. Motapothula, B. X. Yan, D. Xiang, X. Chi, H. Zheng, W. Chen, R. Xu, Ariando, A. Rusydi, A. M. Minor, M. B. H. Breese, M. Sherburne, M. Asta, Q. H. Xu and T. Venkatesan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15070.
- 5 X. Xu, C. Randorn, P. Efstathiou and J. T. S. Irvine, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 595–598.
- 6 K. Maeda, M. Higashi, B. Siritanaratkul, R. Abe and K. Domen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12334–12337.
- 7 J. Liu, Z. Wei and W. Shangguan, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 6177–6189.
- 8 S. Bai, N. Zhang, C. Gao and Y. Xiong, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **53**, 296–336.
- 9 Y.-I. Kim, P. M. Woodward, K. Z. Baba-Kishi and C. W. Tai, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 1267–1276.
- 10 M. Yang, J. Oró-Solé, J. A. Rodgers, A. B. Jorge, A. Fuertes and J. P. Attfield, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **3**, 47.
- 11 A. Fuertes, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 3293–3299.
- 12 D. Oka, Y. Hirose, H. Kamisaka, T. Fukumura, K. Sasa, S. Ishii, H. Matsuzaki, Y. Sato, Y. Ikuhara and T. Hasegawa, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4987.
- 13 Y. Hinuma, H. Moriwake, Y.-R. Zhang, T. Motohashi, S. Kikkawa and I. Tanaka, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 4343–4349.
- 14 N. Vonrüti and U. Aschauer, *Phys. Rev. Mater.*, 2018, **2**, 105401.
- 15 A. Ziani, C. Le Paven, L. Le Gendre, F. Marlec, R. Benzerga, F. Tessier, F. Cheviré, M. N. Hedhili, A. T. Garcia-Esparza, S. Melissen, P. Sautet, T. Le Bahers and K. Takanabe, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 3989–3998.
- 16 H. Johnston, A. P. Black, P. Kayser, J. Oró-Solé, D. A. Keen, A. Fuertes and J. P. Attfield, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 5245–5247.
- 17 A. Kubo, G. Giorgi and K. Yamashita, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 539–545.
- 18 P. J. Camp, A. Fuertes and J. P. Attfield, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 6762–6766.
- 19 S. G. Ebbinghaus, H.-P. Abicht, R. Dronskowski, T. Müller, A. Reller and A. Weidenkaff, *Prog. Solid State Chem.*, 2009, **37**, 173–205.
- 20 L. Clark, J. Oró-Solé, K. S. Knight, A. Fuertes and J. P. Attfield, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5004–5011.
- 21 D. Oka, Y. Hirose, F. Matsui, H. Kamisaka, T. Oguchi, N. Maejima, H. Nishikawa, T. Muro, K. Hayashi and T. Hasegawa, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 3860–3866.
- 22 N. Vonrüti and U. Aschauer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2018, **120**, 046001.
- 23 S. Ninova and U. Aschauer, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 11040–11046.
- 24 J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou and K. Burke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 136406.
- 25 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15–50.
- 26 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 27 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758–1775.
- 28 B. Hımmetoglu, A. Floris, S. de Gironcoli and M. Cococcioni, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2014, **114**, 14–49.
- 29 M. Hellenbrandt, *Cryst. Rev.*, 2004, **10**, 17–22.
- 30 G. Henkelman and H. Jónsson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **113**, 9978–9985.
- 31 G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga and H. Jónsson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **113**, 9901–9904.
- 32 N. G. Limas and T. A. Manz, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 2678–2707.
- 33 T. A. Manz and N. G. Limas, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 47771–47801.
- 34 N. G. Limas and T. A. Manz, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 45727–45747.
- 35 M. Pavone, A. M. Ritzmann and E. A. Carter, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4933–4937.
- 36 V. Stevanović, S. Lany, X. Zhang and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, **85**, 115104.
- 37 J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria and M. Ernzerhof, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2003, **118**, 8207–8215.
- 38 R. Wahl, D. Vogtenhuber and G. Kresse, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **78**, 104116.
- 39 K. K. Ghose, A. Bayon and A. J. Page, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 27055–27063.
- 40 J. J. Bown and A. J. Page, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 13029–13035.

