

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 4851

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

A descriptor guiding the selection of catalyst supports for ammonia synthesis†

Andreas Weilhard,^a Ilya Popov,^a Emerson C. Kohlrausch,^a Gazi N. Aliev,^b L. Scott Blankenship,^b Luke T. Norman,^a Sadeqh Ghaderzadeh,^a Louise Smith,^c Mark Isaacs,^e James O'Shea,^d Anabel E. Lanterna,^a Wolfgang Theis,^b David Morgan,^c Graham J. Hutchings,^c Elena Besley,^a Andrei N. Khlobystov^a and Jesum Alves Fernandes^{a*}

The efforts to increase the active surface area of catalysts led to reduction of metal particle size, down to single metal atoms. This results in increasing importance of support–metal interactions. We demonstrate the mechanisms through which the support influences catalytic activity of nanoclusters: the support electronics, described by the O 2p energy level, and the support surface chemistry, determined by the density of Lewis base sites. Using Ru nanoclusters, our study shows that these parameters can be effectively captured within a single catalyst support descriptor (CSD). The apparent activation energy and turnover frequency (TOF) for the ammonia synthesis correlates strongly with CSD measured for the series Ru/MgO, Ru/Sc₂O₃, Ru/CeO₂, Ru/La₂O₃, and Ru/Y₂O₃. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that CSD correlates linearly with the binding strength of N–Ru in nanocluster, thereby providing a direct link between the catalyst's surface chemistry and the nature of the support. The catalyst support descriptor developed in this study serves as a simple yet powerful tool for selecting the optimal support material to maximise the activity of metal nanoclusters without altering the metal itself.

Received 5th December 2024
Accepted 27th January 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc08253b

rsc.li/chemical-science

1 Introduction

Over the past 20 years, the focus in heterogeneous catalysis has shifted from using bulk metals and particles to nanoclusters and, ultimately, single atoms.^{1–3} This change was driven by the desire to maximise the metal's surface area, which in turn increased the interaction and bonding between the metal and its support due to the sheer increase of the fraction of surface atoms as metal particles become smaller.⁴ As a result, there is now a greater dependence on the electronic structure of catalytic centres on the local environment provided by the support material.³ In this context, metal nanoclusters and single atoms can be seen as homogeneous catalysts, where the support functions like a ligand towards the metal centres, strongly modifying their chemical state and thus the activation energy required for a specific reaction.^{3,5}

For example, Ru nanoclusters with a size range of 2–3 nm are considered the most effective catalysts for ammonia synthesis because of the maximum concentration of B5 sites.^{6–9} In such cases, improving the catalytic performance depends on changing the electronic environment of Ru catalysts.^{7–9} This can be accomplished by carefully selecting a catalyst support, known as Electronic Metal-Supported Interaction (EMSI).² Numerous reports show support's effect on catalytic performance in various reactions, but there is no clear understanding of the fundamental aspects of this effect,^{10–14} and even more importantly, there are no universal rules to guide the choice of ligand–support for a specific metal. Ideally, such a guide would embed the properties of the support into the classical framework of the Sabatier principle, which relates the binding strength of the crucial intermediate on the catalyst surface to the reaction rate *via* the Bell–Evans–Polanyi principle.^{15–19} Examples include ammonia synthesis (intermediate = surface nitride N*),^{7,16,20–25} CO hydrogenation (intermediate = surface carbonyl CO*)²⁶ and CO₂ hydrogenation (oxygen and carbon binding strengths).^{22,27,28} In ammonia synthesis, the N₂ activation is generally accepted to be rate-controlling, and consequently, the crucial intermediate is the surface-adsorbed nitride (*N). Assuming a simplified single-atom model, the activation of nitrogen can be mainly driven by the π -backdonation from the filled metal d-orbitals to the π^* -orbitals of dinitrogen, which destabilises the N₂ triple bond. This effect is stronger for the

^aSchool of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, Nottingham, UK. E-mail: jesum.alvesfernandes@nottingham.ac.uk

^bSchool of Physics & Astronomy, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, Birmingham, UK

^cCardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, CF10 3AT, Cardiff, UK

^dSchool of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, Nottingham, UK

^eDepartment of Chemistry, University College London, London, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc08253b>



higher-lying d-states of the metal.^{23,29,30} How can higher-lying d-states be achieved without changing the metal, which in turn would decrease the N_2 activation energy?

In this work, we use the support to achieve this. We developed a catalyst support descriptor (CSD) for ligand–support selection for heterogeneous catalysis based on support band structure and surface chemistry. The CSD was experimentally validated for the ammonia synthesis reaction, the Haber–Bosch process considered the most important chemical reaction in industry. A series of metal oxides CeO_2 , La_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , Sc_2O_3 , and MgO were investigated due to their differences in the valence band energy, mainly comprised of O 2p, and surface density of Lewis basic sites. Ru nanoclusters of 2–3 nm in diameter were produced by magnetron sputtering, where metal atoms are deposited onto metal oxides' surfaces in vacuum. This enables direct contact between the metal atom catalyst and support surface without any impurities in between, which allows a direct comparison between these materials' activity for an accurate validation of the CSD.

2 Results and discussion

Nanocluster–support interactions affecting the electronic structure of d-manifold include two main contributions: (i) pure Coulomb interactions, which do not mix states of the subsystems and are substantial for ionic supports, and (ii) electron transfer, ET (also known as resonance coupling) between band states of the support and d-states of nanoclusters (Fig. 1a). The first contribution provides a constant shift of d-states (crystal field shift), but the effect on the metal internal structure is

minor compared to the ET term.³¹ Therefore, ET is the most crucial factor to consider when analyzing the support's effect on catalytic properties.

Because ET can be viewed as a hybridization of orbitals for the transition metal (TM) and the atoms of the support material, its magnitude depends on the energy difference of the states involved and their coupling parameter. In the context of metal oxides, density functional theory and Hartree–Fock calculations have demonstrated that their valence band has a relatively narrow peak just below the Fermi level, with a significant contribution of O 2p atomic orbitals.³² Metal oxides' surfaces are terminated by oxygen atoms, whose orbitals are strongly coupled with the d-orbitals of TM nanoclusters. Therefore, the ET from the O 2p band to the TM-d orbital (O 2p band \rightarrow TM-d) contributes significantly to the resonance interaction between the transition metal nanocluster and the metal oxide support.

To tune the ET contribution for a given transition metal, we must vary the energy of the O 2p band of the supporting oxide. As the energy of the O 2p is lower than the energy of the d-orbitals of the TM, the ET effect will be more prominent for the oxide having the O 2p band located higher on the energy scale (Fig. 1a). The position of the O 2p band in any metal oxide can be experimentally determined from the energy of O-KL₂₃L₂₃ Auger lines.³³ The kinetic energy, E_{kin} , of the O-KL₂₃L₂₃ line observed in Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) is related to the energy of the O 2p band as:

$$E_{kin} = -E_{1s} + 2E_{2p} \quad (1)$$



Fig. 1 Two main factors affect the electronic structure of metal nanoclusters on metal oxide. (a) Schematic depiction of the density of states of two metal oxides with high (MO-1) and low-lying (MO-2) O 2p bands coupling with the d-band of a transition metal (TM) nanocluster resulting in different degrees of broadening the d-band as shown in the insets. (b) Schematic depiction of Lewis base sites (LBS) interacting with a nanocluster of a transition metal catalyst. Solid lines indicate bonding to LBS, which are defined as binding sites for CO_2 .



where $E_{1s} < 0$ and $E_{2p} < 0$ are the energies of O 1s and O 2p levels in an oxide material measured from the vacuum level. If the energy of low-lying O 1s states, which do not participate in chemical bonding, remains within the range from typical oxides (ca. 529–530 eV),³⁴ we can estimate the relative position of O 2p bands for any two oxides as

$$E_{2p}^{(1)} - E_{2p}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{kin}^{(1)} - E_{kin}^{(2)}) \quad (2)$$

This equation shows that higher kinetic energies of O-KL₂₃L₂₃ correspond to higher-lying O 2p bands, resulting in a bigger ET effect (Fig. 1a).

In addition to the support's electronic structure, we must consider its surface chemistry. The most relevant aspect is the surface density of Lewis base sites (LBS) responsible for coupling to the nanoclusters' d-states (Fig. 1b). For example, the density of LBS on the support's surface has been shown to be a relatively good descriptor for predicting the catalytic activity of Ru nanoclusters in ammonia synthesis.^{35,36} However, this descriptor works well only for a limited range of oxides and fails for higher concentrations of LBS, such as in Y₂O₃.^{35,36}

In this work, we unify the two parameters of metal oxides, the energy of the valence band (E_{2p}) and surface density of LBS (C), within a single catalyst support descriptor (CSD), making it

applicable to a broader range of metal oxides. Based on the perturbation theory, the Hamiltonian of the metal d-orbitals coupling with O 2p-band is proportional to the concentration of LBS and inversely proportional to their energy difference (see details in ESI, Section 1†). This allows us to propose the following equation for the CSD:

$$CSD = \frac{C_x}{C_0} \left(1 - \frac{E_{2p}^{(x)} - E_{2p}^{(0)}}{E_d - E_{2p}^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where 0 stands for a reference oxide, x for any other oxide in the series, and E_d is the energy of d-states of the metal nanocluster.

To test CSD, we selected five metal oxides with different stoichiometries (*i.e.* Y₂O₃, La₂O₃, CeO₂, Sc₂O₃ and MgO), as supports for Ru nanoclusters and investigated their catalytic activity in the ammonia synthesis reaction. To measure E_{2p} and concentration of LBS of the supports, we used X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of CO₂, respectively (Fig. 2a and b, see details in ESI, Sections 2 and 3†). The O-KL₂₃L₂₃ peak was used to determine the relative values of E_{2p} (eqn (2)). XPS measurements show the lowest kinetic energy for MgO (510.2 eV) and the highest for La₂O₃ (513.4 eV) (Fig. 2c and Table S1†). MgO was used as a reference point as it has the deepest valence band



Fig. 2 Properties of supports and catalysts. (a) The kinetic energy of the O-KL₂₃L₂₃ peaks for the metal oxides after signal processing (see details in ESI, Section 2†). (b) CO₂ desorption normalised by the surface area of the support from the metal oxides measured between 50 °C and 600 °C at atmospheric pressure in a flux of 30 mL per min He (*due to the active redox behaviour of CeO₂; see details in ESI, Section 3†). (c) A table containing kinetic energy of O-KL₂₃L₂₃, the concentration of LBS and the corresponding CSD values calculated according to eqn (3). (d) Schematic depiction of CSD values and predicted E_a . (e) Aberration Corrected Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (AC-STEM) of Ru/metal oxide catalysts with size distribution histograms. No sintering of Ru nanoclusters was observed after catalysis (Fig. S18†).





Fig. 3 Validation of catalyst support descriptor – CSD. Activation energies (a) and turnover frequency (TOF) at 400 °C (b) for the catalysts plotted against the values of CSD. Catalysts stability was tested over 58 hours of reaction (Fig. S13†). TOF was calculated by dividing the rate of NH_3 (mmol h^{-1}) production by the amount of Ru surface atoms (mmol).

within this series of supports which allows to estimate $E_d - E_{2p}$ which was found 3.15 eV (see details in ESI, Section 1†). Therefore, for MgO CO_2 TPD measurements, normalised to their surface areas (Table S2†), show that Y_2O_3 displays the highest surface density of LBS in the series, which is in line with previous reports,³⁷ followed by La_2O_3 and CeO_2 , Sc_2O_3 and then MgO (Fig. 2c and S12†). Using eqn (3), we calculate the values of the CSD for the catalysts in the series, predicting the following order of the activation energies $\text{Ru}/\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 < \text{Ru}/\text{La}_2\text{O}_3 < \text{Ru}/\text{CeO}_2 < \text{Ru}/\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_3 < \text{Ru}/\text{MgO}$ (Fig. 2c and d).

To test this prediction and ensure that the observed catalytic parameters are dominated by the effects of supports, it is critical to ensure that the size distributions of Ru nanoclusters are uniform across the metal oxides series. Our methodology, based on the on-surface formation of metal nanoclusters directly from a flux of atoms generated by magnetron sputtering, enables the

growth of nanoclusters with accurate size control on different supports.^{32–35} Furthermore, this approach provides direct contact between Ru nanoclusters and the support, without any solvent and impurities associated with traditional wet impregnation methods.^{34,36} Aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy (AC-STEM) imaging of Ru nanoclusters on the metal oxides after catalysis shows the mean diameter *ca.* 2–3 nm with a narrow size distribution (Fig. 2e), except in $\text{Ru}/\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ where the average diameter is 3.4 nm. This size range of nanoclusters is optimal for ammonia synthesis because the density of B5 sites is maximised, which are largely responsible for N_2 activation.^{6–9}

To investigate the electronic effects imposed by the support onto the catalyst, the apparent activation energy, E_{app} , of ammonia synthesis was determined (Fig. 3a, see details in ESI†). We found a linear dependence between E_{app} and CSD (R^2



Fig. 4 Rate control and H_2 poisoning mitigation. (a) Schematic depiction of the N_2 to NH_3 transformation in the presence of H_2 on a surface site S. Green highlights the dissociative adsorption of N_2 onto S, whilst purple highlights the recombinative desorption of NH_3 , and red highlights the surface nitride as the crucial intermediate. (b) Effect of the partial pressure of H_2 on $\text{Ru}/\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ and an inset shows the dependence for Ru/MgO . (c) Effect of the partial pressure of H_2 and N_2 (inset) onto $\text{Ru}/\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$. (d) Effect of the partial pressure of H_2 and N_2 (inset) onto Ru/CeO_2 . (b–d) All experiments are at 10 bar total pressure a total flow of 40 mL min^{-1} and 400 °C. The total flow was kept constant by the additional flux of Ar in the reaction mixture (see details in ESI, Section 4†).



Table 1 Magnetron sputtering parameters and obtained Ru content as determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES)

Catalyst	Deposition time (min)	Applied power (W)	Ru content (wt%)
Ru/CeO ₂	50	60	1.5
Ru/Y ₂ O ₃	40	50	0.8
Ru/La ₂ O ₃	40	50	2.0
Ru/MgO	50	60	1.7
Ru/Sc ₂ O ₃	40	50	1.7

measurements were measured using CATLAB-PCS Microreactor with an integrated mass spectrometer.

4.2. Metal oxides X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements

XPS measurements were performed using Thermo Fisher K-Alpha X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer using a monochromatic Al K_α radiation source operating at 72 W (6 mA × 12 kV) which defines an analysis area of approximately 400 × 400 microns. An analyser pass energy of 160 eV was used for wide energy range survey scans, and 50 eV for elemental regions (C 1s, O 1s, O KLL, Y 3d, La 3d, Ce 3d, Sc 2p and Mg 1s), all samples were recorded using a dual ion-electron charge compensation detector, operating with an argon background pressure of *ca.* 10⁻⁷ mbar. Samples were mounted by pressing onto silicone-free double-sided adhesive tape. Depth profiling was performed with an Argon ion gun operating at 1000 eV and rastered over a 2 × 1 mm area for 10 seconds per cycle. The data were processed with CASAXPS (Version 2.3.17). The data was charge corrected to the reference C 1s signal at 285.0 eV.

4.3. Thermal programmed desorption (TPD) of CO₂

To measure the concentration of Lewis basic sites (LBS) on the supports, temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of CO₂ was performed. The measurements were normalized by metal oxides BET area.

4.3.1 BET area and average particle size. All sample masses were measured using a microbalance with a resolution of 0.0001 g. For isotherm measurements, samples were prepared by degassing under vacuum using a Micromeritics Smart Vac-Prep at 300 °C for 16 hours. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured over a relative pressure range of 0.05 to 0.99 at -196 °C using a Micromeritics Tristar. The BET area (*A*_{BET}) was calculated from the linear portion of the BET-transform of the isotherm in the relative pressure range of 0.05 to 0.30, with the limits adjusted to achieve a correlation coefficient of at least 0.9999.

Helium pycnometry experiments were conducted on a Micromeritics Accupyc, following degassing at 300 °C for 1 hour using a Micromeritics Smart Vac-Prep. A total of 200 helium purges were performed at room temperature before conducting 50 volume measurements. The helium density (ρ_{He})

was determined as the average of these 50 measurements. The average particle diameter (*d*) was calculated from *A*_{BET} and ρ_{He} , assuming non-agglomerated, non-porous spherical particles according to the formula:

$$d = \frac{6000}{\rho_{\text{He}} \cdot A_{\text{BET}}}$$

4.3.2 CO₂ desorption measurements. In a typical experiment the metal oxide is loaded into a quartz tube which in turn is inserted into a CatLab Microreactor provided by Hidden. The sample is held in place by glass wool. After placing the sample in the microreactor, the sample is flushed with He 30 mL min⁻¹ and heated to 450 °C with a ramp rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and held to at 450 °C for 6 h. Afterwards, the sample is cooled to 50 °C and the CO₂ is adsorbed in the absence of He with a flow rate of CO₂ of 30 mL min⁻¹. After 6 h the CO₂ is replaced by He and after flushing with He for another hour the sample is heated to 950 °C with a ramp rate of 8 °C min⁻¹. In the case of CeO₂, the sample is treated with 5% H₂ (30 mL min⁻¹) in Ar during initial heating to 450 °C and kept under 5% H₂ in Ar (30 mL min⁻¹) for 3 h at 450 °C. Afterwards the sample is flushed with He (30 mL min⁻¹) for 3 h and then the sample is cooled to 50 °C. The following adsorption and desorption process are as described above.

4.4. Catalytic experiments

Catalytic experiments were conducted in a stainless steel PFR reactor supplied by Micromeritics, PID Microactivity effi, and the effluent NH₃ concentration was measured using Agilent 8890 GC system. In a typical experiment 1.7 mg of Ru was employed to ensure the same catalyst loading throughout the catalytic experiments. Furthermore, the volume of Ru/metal-oxide support and the employed support were kept constant to keep the contact time the same. The samples were further diluted in 2.3 g of SiC. Both, the catalytic material and the SiC were mixed and loaded into the reactor. The reactor is pressurized to 20 bar and the catalysts are reduced in a flow of H₂ (30 mL min⁻¹) for 6 h at 450 °C. Afterwards, the reactor is cooled to 400 °C and a N₂ is added to the feed (10 mL min⁻¹). After, 10 h samples of the exhaust feed are measured by GC-TCD (Table S3 and Fig. S13†).

To determine the rate orders, all other parameters were kept the same, but the pressure was reduced to 10 bar. To vary the partial pressures of H₂ and N₂, Ar was introduced to the feed and the total gas flow was kept constant at 40 mL min⁻¹ (Fig. S14†).

The activation energy was measured by changing the total flow of reagents to the reactor at various temperatures. The rate was approximated by measuring the linear regression of the inverse total flow (H₂:N₂ = 3:1) against the effluent NH₃ concentration (Fig. S15†).

4.5. N* binding strength

4.5.1 N₂ desorption of Ru/Y₂O₃. In a typical experiment 100 mg Ru/Y₂O₃ is loaded into a quartz tube which in turn is



inserted into a CatLab Microreactor provided by Hidden. The sample is held in place by glass wool. After placing the sample in the microreactor, the sample is flushed with 5% H₂ in Ar (30 mL min⁻¹) and heated to 450 °C with a ramp rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ and held to at 450 °C for 6 h. N₂ is adsorbed in the absence of any other gas with a flow rate of N₂ of 30 mL min⁻¹. Afterwards the sample is cooled to 50 °C with a ramp rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. After 15 h the N₂ is replaced by He (30 mL min⁻¹) and after flushing with He for 5 h the sample is heated to 950 °C with a ramp rate of 8 °C min⁻¹.

4.5.2 N₂ desorption post reaction. In typical experiments 50 mg of the spent catalyst is loaded into a quartz tube which in turn is inserted into a CatLab Microreactor provided by Hidden. The sample is held in place by glass wool. After placing the sample in the microreactor, the sample is flushed with He (30 mL min⁻¹) and heated to 950 °C with a ramp rate of 8 °C min⁻¹.

4.5.3 N₂ adsorption measurements. In a typical experiment 150 mg of the investigated sample is loaded into a quartz tube which in turn is inserted into a CatLab Microreactor provided by Hidden. The sample is held in place by glass wool. After placing the sample in the microreactor, the sample is flushed with 5% H₂ in Ar (30 mL min⁻¹) and heated to 450 °C with a ramp rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ and held to at 450 °C for 6 h. Then the 5% H₂ in Ar (30 mL min⁻¹) is replaced by He (30 mL min⁻¹) and flushed for 6 h. After cooling to 40 °C 5% N₂ in Ar (5 mL min⁻¹) is added to mix. After 15 min the sample is heated to 450 °C with a ramp rate of 1 °C. The adsorption constant k_{ads} is calculated assuming that N₂ adsorbs onto vacant two vacant surface sites.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

A. W. performed the catalytic experiments. I. P., S. G. and E. B. were responsible for the theoretical framework. E. K. and L. N. prepared the metal catalysts and performed part of their characterisation. G. N. A. and W. T. performed and analysed the AC-STEM images. L. S. B. and L. S. have performed the base sites and surface area measurements and analysis. M. I., J. O., A. E. L. and D. M. were responsible for the XPS measurements and data analysis. G. J. H., A. N. K. and J. A. F. provided funding acquisition and project supervision. The work was written and edited by all co-authors.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support of EPSRC Metal Atoms on Surfaces and Interfaces (MASI) for Sustainable Future

programme grant (EP/V000055/1) for the financial support; and Dr Tom Pike for the scientific discussions.

References

- 1 Y. Guo, M. Wang, Q. Zhu, D. Xiao and D. Ma, Ensemble effect for single-atom, small cluster and nanoparticle catalysts, *Nat. Catal.*, 2022, 5(9), 766–776.
- 2 L. Liu and A. Corma, Metal Catalysts for Heterogeneous Catalysis: From Single Atoms to Nanoclusters and Nanoparticles, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, 118(10), 4981–5079.
- 3 X. Cui, W. Li, P. Ryabchuk, K. Junge and M. Beller, Bridging homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis by heterogeneous single-metal-site catalysts, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, 1(6), 385–397.
- 4 L. C. Caballero, N. E. Thornburg and M. M. Nigra, Catalytic ammonia reforming: alternative routes to net-zero-carbon hydrogen and fuel, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13(44), 12945–12956.
- 5 X. Peng, M. Zhang, T. Zhang, Y. Zhou, J. Ni, X. Wang and L. Jiang, Single-atom and cluster catalysts for thermocatalytic ammonia synthesis at mild conditions, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15(16), 5897–5915.
- 6 F. R. García-García, A. Guerrero-Ruiz and I. Rodríguez-Ramos, Role of B5-Type Sites in Ru Catalysts used for the NH₃ Decomposition Reaction, *Top. Catal.*, 2009, 52(6), 758–764.
- 7 K. Honkala, A. Hellman, I. N. Remediakis, A. Logadottir, A. Carlsson, S. Dahl, C. H. Christensen and J. K. Nørskov, Ammonia Synthesis from First-Principles Calculations, *Science*, 2005, 307(5709), 555–558.
- 8 S. Shetty, A. P. J. Jansen and R. A. van Santen, Active Sites for N₂ Dissociation on Ruthenium, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, 112(46), 17768–17771.
- 9 J. A. Herron, S. Tonelli and M. Mavrikakis, Atomic and molecular adsorption on Ru(0001), *Surf. Sci.*, 2013, 614, 64–74.
- 10 M. Ravi and J. W. Makepeace, Facilitating green ammonia manufacture under milder conditions: what do heterogeneous catalyst formulations have to offer?, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13(4), 890–908.
- 11 X. Peng, H.-X. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z.-Q. Huang, L. Yang, Y. Jiang, X. Wang, L. Zheng, C. Chang, C.-t. Au, L. Jiang and J. Li, Highly efficient ammonia synthesis at low temperature over a Ru–Co catalyst with dual atomically dispersed active centers, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, 12(20), 7125–7137.
- 12 Y. Ogura, K. Sato, S.-i. Miyahara, Y. Kawano, T. Toriyama, T. Yamamoto, S. Matsumura, S. Hosokawa and K. Nagaoka, Efficient ammonia synthesis over a Ru/La_{0.5}Ce_{0.5}O_{1.75} catalyst pre-reduced at high temperature, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9(8), 2230–2237.
- 13 M. Kitano, Y. Inoue, H. Ishikawa, K. Yamagata, T. Nakao, T. Tada, S. Matsuishi, T. Yokoyama, M. Hara and H. Hosono, Essential role of hydride ion in ruthenium-based ammonia synthesis catalysts, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7(7), 4036–4043.
- 14 S. Kamiguchi, K. Asakura, T. Shibayama, T. Yokaichiya, T. Ikeda, A. Nakayama, K.-i. Shimizu and Z. Hou, Catalytic



- ammonia synthesis on HY-zeolite-supported angstrom-size molybdenum cluster, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**(8), 2914–2922.
- 15 S. Ichikawa, Volcano-shaped curves in heterogeneous catalysis, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 1990, **45**(2), 529–535.
- 16 T. Bligaard, J. K. Nørskov, S. Dahl, J. Matthiesen, C. H. Christensen and J. Sehested, The Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi relation and the volcano curve in heterogeneous catalysis, *J. Catal.*, 2004, **224**(1), 206–217.
- 17 M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Further considerations on the thermodynamics of chemical equilibria and reaction rates, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1936, **32**, 1333–1360.
- 18 M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Inertia and driving force of chemical reactions, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1938, **34**, 11–24.
- 19 M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Some applications of the transition state method to the calculation of reaction velocities, especially in solution, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1935, **31**, 875–894.
- 20 S. Dahl, A. Logadottir, C. J. H. Jacobsen and J. K. Nørskov, Electronic factors in catalysis: the volcano curve and the effect of promotion in catalytic ammonia synthesis, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2001, **222**(1), 19–29.
- 21 A. Vojvodic, A. J. Medford, F. Studt, F. Abild-Pedersen, T. S. Khan, T. Bligaard and J. K. Nørskov, Exploring the limits: A low-pressure, low-temperature Haber–Bosch process, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **598**, 108–112.
- 22 A. J. Medford, A. Vojvodic, J. S. Hummelshøj, J. Voss, F. Abild-Pedersen, F. Studt, T. Bligaard, A. Nilsson and J. K. Nørskov, From the Sabatier principle to a predictive theory of transition-metal heterogeneous catalysis, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **328**, 36–42.
- 23 F. Abild-Pedersen, J. Greeley, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl, T. R. Munter, P. G. Moses, E. Skúlason, T. Bligaard and J. K. Nørskov, Scaling Properties of Adsorption Energies for Hydrogen-Containing Molecules on Transition-Metal Surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, **99**(1), 016105.
- 24 G. Xu, C. Cai and T. Wang, Toward Sabatier Optimal for Ammonia Synthesis with Paramagnetic Phase of Ferromagnetic Transition Metal Catalysts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**(50), 23089–23095.
- 25 C. M. Goodwin, P. Lömker, D. Degerman, B. Davies, M. Shipilin, F. Garcia-Martinez, S. Koroidov, J. Katja Mathiesen, R. Rameshan, G. L. S. Rodrigues, C. Schlueter, P. Amann and A. Nilsson, Operando probing of the surface chemistry during the Haber–Bosch process, *Nature*, 2024, **625**(7994), 282–286.
- 26 A. O. Elnabawy, J. Schumann, P. Bothra, A. Cao and J. K. Nørskov, The Challenge of CO Hydrogenation to Methanol: Fundamental Limitations Imposed by Linear Scaling Relations, *Top. Catal.*, 2020, **63**(7), 635–648.
- 27 A. A. Peterson and J. K. Nørskov, Activity Descriptors for CO₂ Electroreduction to Methane on Transition-Metal Catalysts, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **3**(2), 251–258.
- 28 F. Studt, I. Sharafutdinov, F. Abild-Pedersen, C. F. Elkjær, J. S. Hummelshøj, S. Dahl, I. Chorkendorff and J. K. Nørskov, Discovery of a Ni–Ga catalyst for carbon dioxide reduction to methanol, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**(4), 320–324.
- 29 B. Hammer and J. K. Nørskov, Electronic factors determining the reactivity of metal surfaces, *Surf. Sci.*, 1995, **343**(3), 211–220.
- 30 B. Hammer and J. K. Nørskov, Why gold is the noblest of all the metals, *Nature*, 1995, **376**(6537), 238–240.
- 31 I. Popov, E. Plekhanov, A. Tchougréeff and E. Besley, Effective hamiltonian of crystal field method for periodic systems containing transition metals, *Mol. Phys.*, 2023, **121**(9–10), e2106905.
- 32 I. Fongkaew, B. Yotburut, W. Sailuam, W. Jindata, T. Thiwatwananikul, A. Khamkongkao, N. Chuewangkam, N. Tanapongpisit, W. Saenrang, R. Utke, P. Thongbai, S. Pinitsoontorn, S. Limpijumngong and W. Meevasana, Effect of hydrogen on magnetic properties in MgO studied by first-principles calculations and experiments, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **12**(1), 10063.
- 33 C. Wagner, D. Zatzko and R. Raymond, Use of the oxygen KLL Auger lines in identification of surface chemical states by electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis, *Anal. Chem.*, 1980, **52**(9), 1445–1451.
- 34 D. J. Morgan, Photoelectron spectroscopy of ceria: Reduction, quantification and the myth of the vacancy peak in XPS analysis, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2023, **55**(11), 845–850.
- 35 K. Sato and K. Nagaoka, Boosting Ammonia Synthesis under Mild Reaction Conditions by Precise Control of the Basic Oxide–Ru Interface, *Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **50**(4), 687–696.
- 36 S.-i. Miyahara, K. Sato, Y. Kawano, K. Imamura, Y. Ogura, K. Tsujimaru and K. Nagaoka, Ammonia synthesis over lanthanoid oxide-supported ruthenium catalysts, *Catal. Today*, 2021, **376**, 36–40.
- 37 S. Sato, R. Takahashi, M. Kobune and H. Gotoh, Basic properties of rare earth oxides, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2009, **356**(1), 57–63.
- 38 A. Hellman, K. Honkala, I. N. Remediakis, Á. Logadottir, A. Carlsson, S. Dahl, C. H. Christensen and J. K. Nørskov, Insights into ammonia synthesis from first-principles, *Surf. Sci.*, 2006, **600**(18), 4264–4268.
- 39 S. Kozuch and S. Shaik, Kinetic-Quantum Chemical Model for Catalytic Cycles: The Haber–Bosch Process and the Effect of Reagent Concentration, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**(26), 6032–6041.
- 40 B. Lin, B. Fang, Y. Wu, C. Li, J. Ni, X. Wang, J. Lin, C.-t. Au and L. Jiang, Enhanced Ammonia Synthesis Activity of Ceria-Supported Ruthenium Catalysts Induced by CO Activation, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**(3), 1331–1339.
- 41 C. Li, M. Li, Y. Zheng, B. Fang, J. Lin, J. Ni, B. Lin and L. Jiang, Revealing hydrogen migration effect on ammonia synthesis activity over ceria-supported Ru catalysts, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2023, **320**, 121982.
- 42 B. Lin, Y. Wu, B. Fang, C. Li, J. Ni, X. Wang, J. Lin and L. Jiang, Ru surface density effect on ammonia synthesis activity and hydrogen poisoning of ceria-supported Ru catalysts, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2021, **42**(10), 1712–1723.
- 43 I. Cano, A. Weilhard, C. Martin, J. Pinto, R. W. Lodge, A. R. Santos, G. A. Rance, E. H. Åhlgren, E. Jónsson, J. Yuan, Z. Y. Li, P. Licence, A. N. Khlobystov and J. Alves



Fernandes, Blurring the boundary between homogenous and heterogeneous catalysis using palladium nanoclusters with dynamic surfaces, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**(1), 4965.

44 E. C. Kohlrausch, H. A. Centurion, R. W. Lodge, X. Luo, T. Slater, M. J. L. Santos, S. Ling, V. R. Mastelaro,

M. J. Cliffe, R. V. Goncalves and J. Alves Fernandes, A high-throughput, solvent free method for dispersing metal atoms directly onto supports, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**(47), 26676–26679.

