



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 8778

A review on graphene-based nanocomposites for electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors

Siva Kumar Krishnan,^a Eric Singh,^b Pragma Singh,^c Meyya Meyyappan^{*d} and Hari Singh Nalwa^{*e}

Biosensors with high sensitivity, selectivity and a low limit of detection, reaching nano/picomolar concentrations of biomolecules, are important to the medical sciences and healthcare industry for evaluating physiological and metabolic parameters. Over the last decade, different nanomaterials have been exploited to design highly efficient biosensors for the detection of analyte biomolecules. The discovery of graphene has spectacularly accelerated research on fabricating low-cost electrode materials because of its unique physical properties, including high specific surface area, high carrier mobility, high electrical conductivity, flexibility, and optical transparency. Graphene and its oxygenated derivatives, including graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO), are becoming an important class of nanomaterials in the field of biosensors. The presence of oxygenated functional groups makes GO nanosheets strongly hydrophilic, facilitating chemical functionalization. Graphene, GO and rGO nanosheets can be easily combined with various types of inorganic nanoparticles, including metals, metal oxides, semiconducting nanoparticles, quantum dots, organic polymers and biomolecules, to create a diverse range of graphene-based nanocomposites with enhanced sensitivity for biosensor applications. This review summarizes the advances in two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) graphene-based nanocomposites as emerging electrochemical and fluorescent biosensing platforms for the detection of a wide range of biomolecules with enhanced sensitivity, selectivity and a low limit of detection. The biofunctionalization and nanocomposite formation processes of graphene-based materials and their unique properties, surface functionalization, enzyme immobilization strategies, covalent immobilization, physical adsorption, biointeractions and direct electron transfer (DET) processes are discussed in connection with the design and fabrication of biosensors. The enzymatic and nonenzymatic reactions on graphene-based nanocomposite surfaces for glucose- and cholesterol-related electrochemical biosensors are analyzed. This review covers a very broad range of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors for the detection of glucose, cholesterol, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), nucleic acids (DNA/RNA), genes, enzymes, cofactors nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), cancer biomarkers, pathogenic microorganisms, food toxins, toxic heavy metal ions, mycotoxins, and pesticides. The sensitivity and selectivity of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are also examined with respect to interfering analytes present in biological systems. Finally, the future outlook for the development of graphene based biosensing technology is outlined.

Received 21st November 2018
 Accepted 15th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09577a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

^aCONACYT-Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apdo. Postal J-48, Puebla 72570, Mexico

^bDepartment of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA

^cDepartment of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30010, Taiwan

^dCenter for Nanotechnology, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Mountain View, California 94035, USA. E-mail: m.meyyappan@nasa.gov

^eAdvanced Technology Research, 26650 The Old Road, Valencia, California 91381, USA. E-mail: nalwa@mindspring.com

1. Introduction

Biosensors with high sensitivity, able to detect femto- or picomolar concentrations of analyte molecules, are of paramount importance not only in biomedical applications such as glucose monitoring and clinical diagnostics¹ but also in the agriculture² and food industries³ and environmental monitoring.^{4,5} The development of highly sensitive devices and new approaches that can provide efficient point-of-care testing with high



accuracy and low cost is an urgent need in the healthcare industry.^{6–8} In addition, *in vivo* biosensors have received attention since they enable the long-term monitoring of target analytes within live cells with high sensitivity, selectivity and biocompatibility.^{9,10} Notably, biosensor research is considered to be an important field since it covers a wide range of sensing capabilities, including pulse, heart rate, blood pressure, body motions, blood oxygen level, glucose, cholesterol, antibodies, nucleic acids, proteins, cancer cells, toxins in food products, and heavy metals in drinking water.^{11–13} Numerous approaches have been explored, including colorimetric biosensors,¹⁴ potentiometric biosensors,¹⁵ electrochemical biosensors,¹⁶ fluorescent biosensors,¹⁷ and Raman spectroscopy-based platforms.¹⁸ Compared with other detection methods, an electrochemistry^{19,20} and fluorescence-based²¹ approach offers a much less expensive, more facile and highly sensitive detection method, which enables the monitoring of different analytes, fast response–recovery times and very low detection limits.²²

After the discovery of buckminsterfullerene (C₆₀) molecules in 1985, the field of nanotechnology focused intently on developing new materials and devices within the 1–100 nm scale because nanoscale materials show unique chemical and physical properties compared to their counterpart bulk materials.²³ A wide variety of nanoscale materials have been developed, including zero-dimensional (0D) nanoparticles (such as metallic and semiconducting nanoparticles),^{24,25} one-dimensional (1D) nanostructures (nanowires, nanorods, nanotubes),²⁶ and two-dimensional (2D) nanostructures including graphene nanosheets (GNs), transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), *etc.*,²⁷ with substantial progress on their synthesis, processing, characterization and potential applications. Over the past two decades, these nanoscale materials have been used in many applications, including light-emitting diodes, memory devices, communication devices, magnetic disks, solar cells, batteries, fuel cells, supercapacitors, and catalysts.^{28,29} Interfacing with various probe biomolecules has been studied in order to develop highly sensitive biosensors with significantly enhanced sensitivity.³⁰ Due to the size and unprecedented physical properties of these nanomaterials, the development of biosensors with extremely small dimensions and substantially improved performance is possible, introducing new opportunities in the development and commercialization of next-generation biosensors for biomedicine and healthcare fields.³¹

Since the initial isolation of graphene from bulk graphite and characterization in 2004 by Geim and Novoselov,³² intensive research efforts have been directed toward 2D graphene nanomaterials and their potential applications.^{33–36} Graphene (GR) is a 2D sheet of carbons with atomic thickness that exhibits unique electrical, optical, mechanical and thermal properties.³⁷ Graphene nanosheets can be easily exfoliated from earth-abundant graphite and are considered allotropically similar to fullerenes and carbon nanotubes. Graphene can be easily processed into single-layer, few-layer or multi-layer nanosheets,³⁸ stretchable ultrathin films,³⁹ papers,⁴⁰ nanoribbons,⁴¹ and foams.^{42,43} Single-layer graphene nanosheets exhibit a high mechanical strength with a Young's modulus of 1.1 TPa,⁴⁰ thermal conductivity of $\sim 5000 \text{ W m K}^{-1}$,⁴⁴ high carrier mobility

($200\,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$),⁴⁵ high optical transparency toward visible light ($\sim 2.3\%$ absorption)⁴⁶ and a large specific surface area ($2630 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$).⁴⁷ As a result, graphene-based materials (GBMs) have been explored for a wide range of applications, including bulk-heterojunction⁴⁸ and dye-sensitized solar cells,⁴⁹ energy storage devices,⁵⁰ electronic skin and touchscreen-panel devices,⁵¹ field effect transistors,⁵² light-emitting diodes (LEDs),⁵³ gas and chemical sensors,⁵⁴ nanomedicine,³⁷ drug delivery,⁵⁵ and many other applications.⁵⁶ Graphene and its oxidized derivatives, such as graphene oxide (GO), which contain various oxygen functional groups (hydroxyl, carboxyl and epoxy functional groups), have emerged for potential use in biosensors.⁵⁷ The presence of these functional groups makes GO sheets strongly hydrophilic and allows the integration of various types of inorganic nanoparticles, including noble metals, metal oxides, semiconducting nanoparticles, quantum dots (QDs), and nanoclusters (NCs), to enhance the performance of sensors based on them.^{58,59} Moreover, the reduction of GO into reduced GO (rGO) results in a high density of defects that leads to high electrochemical activity compared with that of CVD-grown graphene, which is particularly useful for developing electrochemical biosensors. Graphene-based nanocomposites also inherit unique morphological structures and properties useful for sensing.⁶⁰ The 3D interconnected hierarchical structures of graphene nanocomposites facilitate the diffusion of different types of biomolecules and preserve their biocatalytic functions to optimize biosensing functionality.^{61–63} Graphene-based hybrids with polymers^{64,65} and surface-decorated metal nanoparticles⁵⁸ have been explored for biosensing due to their excellent biocompatibility, high surface area, and site-selective conjugation with biomolecules.

Various nanostructures have been explored for biosensors, including the detection of glucose and hydrogen peroxide,⁶⁶ cancer biomarkers,^{67–69} nucleic acids,¹¹ antibodies,⁷⁰ heavy metals,^{71,72} pathogenic bacteria,⁷³ and many other targets.^{19,74–77} However, no comprehensive review focused on a wide range of electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors utilizing graphene-based nanocomposites is yet available in the literature. There are no reviews on graphene-based fluorescent biosensors. Therefore, this review is intended to summarize the recent advances in both electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors based on graphene nanocomposites, including graphene, GO, rGO/polymer nanocomposites, graphene/inorganic NP nanocomposites, 3D graphene integrated with various metal/metal oxide nanoparticles, and polymer hydrogel networks. Biosensor systems to detect glucose, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), cholesterol, dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), nucleic acids (NAs), cofactors nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), cancer biomarkers, pathogens, food toxins, metal ions and pesticides are discussed. The discussion focuses primarily on advances in enzymatic and nonenzymatic electrochemical platforms as well as conceptual advances in fluorescent biosensing and amplified detection techniques. The selectivity of graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are also examined in biological systems with respect to interfering analytes. This review will provide a single reference source for researchers in



biosensors, graphene, materials science, nanotechnology, chemistry, and electrochemistry. Therefore, this review will attract a wide range of audiences from diverse research areas and stimulate further interest in graphene-based biosensors for future sensor industries.

2. Graphene-based nanocomposites

Numerous strategies have been developed for the synthesis of graphene.^{37,57,78–81} The synthesis of graphene and its graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) derivatives is illustrated in Fig. 1A. Four different routes have been commonly used to prepare graphene, namely, epitaxial growth on SiC or metals,⁸² chemical exfoliation of graphite,⁸³ liquid-phase ultrasonic exfoliation of graphite powder,⁸³ and chemical vapor deposition (CVD).⁸⁴ In addition to these approaches, graphene nanosheets have been prepared by the electrochemical

exfoliation of ionic liquid-functionalized graphite⁸⁵ and by electrical arc discharge between two graphitic electrodes^{86,87} Though the CVD process is considered a primary route to synthesize high-quality, large-area graphene nanosheets of different layers and sizes, CVD-grown graphene nanosheets exhibit relatively low electrocatalytic activity due to the low number of structural defects. The physicochemical properties and surface features of graphene can be effectively altered by using nitrogen (N), boron (B), phosphorus (P), and sulfur (S) heteroatom doping.⁸⁸ Numerous approaches have been used for chemical doping, including *in situ* doping, where the synthesis and doping of graphene are achieved simultaneously, for example, in CVD, ball milling, and bottom-up synthetic techniques. The highly controlled S-doped graphene (S-GR) nanostructure prepared by the ball milling technique is shown in Fig. 1B.

Graphene oxide (GO) consists of hydrophobic sp^2 - and sp^3 -bonded carbon and different oxygen-containing functional




Fig. 1 (A) Schematic illustration of possible methods for the preparation of graphene, GO and rGO from graphite using mechanical cleavage, exfoliation, CVD and reduction methods including chemical, thermal and electrochemical methods. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 89, J. Filip and J. Tkac, Is Graphene Worth Using in Biofuel Cells?, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **136**, 340–354. Copyright© Elsevier.] (B) A schematic representation of the physical cracking of graphite-flake into functionalized graphene derivatives using a ball milling technique. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 90, J. Xu, J. Shui, J. Wang, M. Wang, H.-K. Liu, S. X. Dou, I.-Y. Jeon, J.-M. Seo, J.-B. Baek and L. Dai, Sulfur–Graphene Nanostructured Cathodes via Ball-Milling for High-Performance Lithium–Sulfur Batteries, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 10920–10930. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



groups including hydroxyl ($-OH$), carbonyl, epoxy and carboxyl ($-COOH$) groups on the basal plane as well as at the edges of GO nanosheet.^{91,92} Similar to graphene, GO also exhibits unique electronic, electrochemical, thermal, and mechanical properties and can be developed into flexible, transparent, and biocompatible nanosheets due to its hydrophilic nature. The availability of functional groups on GO nanosheets facilitates their interaction with a wide range of biomolecules, which is highly advantageous for developing biosensors.^{78,93,94} GO nanosheets have been synthesized by the Brodie, Staudenmaier, and Hummers methods and by modified versions thereof.^{95,96} Furthermore, the reduction of GO into reduced GO (rGO) can be accomplished by reducing the GO employing chemical, electrochemical, high-temperature thermal annealing, and ultraviolet irradiation methods.^{91,96–99} The rGO also exhibits excellent properties; including solvent dispersibility; electrical,

optical, and mechanical properties; and thermal stability comparable to those of graphene and GO nanosheets. Graphene quantum dots (GQDs) have also appeared as a novel class of zero-dimensional (0D) graphene derivatives possessing unique properties with quantum confinement and edge effects for biosensor applications.^{100–108}

The hybridization of GBMs with different types of organic polymers, metal nanoparticles and surface functionalities with diverse enzymes and proteins is quite useful in developing new biosensors.^{64,109,110} In particular, both GO and rGO have been extensively used for developing nanocomposite-based biosensors because of their reactive oxygen functional groups and surface defects, which permit the controlled nucleation and growth of metal, metal oxides and semiconductor nanoparticles. Liu *et al.*⁶³ developed bioconjugate assemblies of various nanoparticles such as AuNPs, AgNPs, PtNPs, PdNPs and




Fig. 2 (A) Schematic illustration of the reduction and decoration of GO nanosheets using BSA protein to develop a new platform for biosensing. (B) TEM images show AuNPs-decorated BSA-GO nanosheets with well-controlled AuNPs densities by increasing the concentration of BSA protein from 0.5 mg mL^{-1} to 20 mg mL^{-1} during BSA-GO hybrid formation. In (c) the density of AuNPs was further increased by the addition of 0.1 M NaCl to the BSA-GO assembly in (b). NaCl was not used for (a) and (b). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 63, J. Liu, S. Fu, B. Yuan, Y. Li and Z. Deng, Toward a Universal "Adhesive Nanosheet" for the Assembly of Multiple Nanoparticles Based on a Protein-Induced Reduction/Decoration of Graphene Oxide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 7279–7281. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



latex using the bovine serum albumin (BSA)-based reduction and decoration of GO nanosheets (Fig. 2). The density of AuNPs in the BSA-GO nanocomposite was controlled by adjusting the concentration of BSA protein. Various synthetic approaches, including chemical methods and hydrothermal, electrochemical, and *ex situ* strategies, have been developed to prepare graphene- and nanoparticle-based nanocomposites by fine tuning the nanoparticle size, shape, distribution, and functionality over graphene, GO and rGO.⁵⁸

3. Graphene-based electrochemical biosensors

3.1 Glucose biosensors

Diabetes is one of the most common diseases, affecting millions of people worldwide. In 2017, according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), 425 million adults were affected by diabetes and this number is expected to rise to 629 million cases of diabetes by 2045.¹¹¹ The physiological level of blood glucose after a minimum of 8 hours of fasting (pre-meal) determines the prevalence of diabetes; fasting blood glucose level in the 70 to 99 milligrams per deciliter (mg dL⁻¹) (from 3.9 mmol L⁻¹ to 5.4 mmol L⁻¹) range is considered normal, while blood glucose level from 100 mg dL⁻¹ to 125 mg dL⁻¹ (from 5.6 mmol L⁻¹ to 6.9 mmol L⁻¹) is considered prediabetes, and a blood glucose level of 126 mg dL⁻¹ (7.0 mmol L⁻¹) or higher indicates the existence of the diabetes (<https://medlineplus.gov/ency/article/003482.htm>). The accurate and rapid detection of blood glucose is of critical importance for continuous point-of-care glucose monitoring and clinical diagnosis of diabetes.⁶ Since the first report of a glucose oxide (GOx)-based enzyme electrode by Clark and Lyons *et al.*¹¹² for monitoring blood glucose levels, there has been a growing demand for reliable devices for diabetes control; the need for the fabrication of electrochemical biosensors that are capable of label-free real-time monitoring of blood glucose levels with high sensitivity and resolution has been documented in the literature.¹¹³

The development of electrochemical glucose sensors to date can be categorized into three generations.¹¹⁴ In the first generation of glucose enzyme electrodes, the measurements relied on the oxygen consumed by the enzyme-catalyzed reaction. Specifically, an enzymatic reaction occurred between glucose and the GOx enzyme electrode in the presence of oxygen, producing hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and the glucose level was monitored through the amount of enzymatically generated H₂O₂.¹¹⁵ The second generation witnessed the utilization of electrical mediators to facilitate electron transfer process between the flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) active site of GOx and the electrode's surface, a process called mediated electron transfer (MET).¹¹⁵ However, MET-based glucose sensors have several disadvantages; for example, the mediator lowers the total energy of the system and affects the operational stability. Ultimately, third-generation enzymatic biosensors have been developed with no mediator to achieve direct electron transfer (DET) between FAD-GOx and the electrode surface. However,

several challenges still exist related to the achievement of DET for accurate and reliable glucose monitoring with operational stability.¹¹³ Numerous nanostructured materials have been employed as electrode materials for the fabrication of electrochemical glucose biosensors with greatly enhanced DET processes due to their unique optical and electronic properties.^{116–119} In particular, carbon nanotubes (CNTs)^{116,120–123} carbon nanodots (CNDs),¹¹⁷ porous carbon,¹²⁴ graphene,¹²⁵ and GQDs¹²⁶ are preferred as ideal nanostructures for the effective immobilization of enzymes and for the improvement of DET characteristics. Among them, graphene and GO are particularly attractive as promising electrode materials for the immobilization of enzymes and electrochemical glucose biosensing.^{127,128} Graphene is highly advantageous compared to other materials due to its high specific surface area (2630 m² g⁻¹ for single-layer graphene), excellent electronic properties and biocompatibility.^{57,129,130}

3.1.1 Surface functionalization. The surface functionalization of graphene often plays a key role in the precise control of the electronic surface states, which could help bringing the detection targets onto the graphene surface through specific molecular interactions and widen its applicability in biosensing.¹²⁷ The surface functionalization of graphene significantly affects its electrical properties. Moreover, the chemical reduction of GO into rGO with different defect densities, numbers of layers and oxygen concentrations influences the electrochemical behavior and glucose biosensor performance.¹³¹ Doping with semiconducting nitrogen (N), boron (B), sulfur (S), fluorine (F) and hydrogen (H) has a profound impact on the physical and chemical properties of graphene, resulting in improved sensitivity.^{75,132,133}

3.1.2 Enzyme immobilization strategies on graphene. The immobilization of enzymes on a solid support is one of the key steps in the fabrication of enzymatic biosensors with high sensitivity, selectivity, stability and confined electron transport between the enzymes and the electrode surface.⁷⁹ The enzyme immobilization method can affect the enzyme loading, biocatalytic activity and operational stability.¹³⁴ Different nanostructured materials have been successfully used for the effective immobilization of glucose oxidase (GOx) and their glucose-sensing ability. CNTs and graphene have been extensively studied due to their unique catalytic and electronic properties.¹³⁵ The high specific surface area and availability of abundant functional groups in graphene and GO make them ideal substrates for modulating enzyme activity and stability.^{136,137} Different immobilization strategies have been developed for effectively immobilizing enzymes on graphene-based materials.^{127,138} There are two main approaches for achieving efficient enzyme immobilization: simple physical adsorption (noncovalent) and covalent immobilization.⁷⁹

3.1.3 Physical adsorption. Noncovalent immobilization on GO or the simple physical adsorption of enzymes on graphene occurs through physical forces such as van der Waals, ionic and hydrophobic interactions. The immobilization procedure consists of simple deposition of the enzyme onto the graphene surface; thus, the sp²-hybridized carbon network is not affected, and the electrical properties of GO are preserved. Specifically,



the supramolecular interaction of aromatic molecules can be achieved by the π - π stacking of pyrene derivatives for non-covalent immobilization. However, due to the weak physical interaction between the enzyme and the graphene surface, the electron transfer characteristics, catalytic function and operational stability are highly affected.⁷⁶

3.1.4 Covalent immobilization. Covalent immobilization can be achieved by modifying GO with different organic linker molecules containing reactive functional groups, which enables

the direct covalent conjugation of the enzymes to the GO surface. The covalent immobilization reactions are formed in two main steps. The functionalization of amine groups^{139,140} and carboxylic groups^{141,142} on graphene results in covalent bonding between the organic functional groups and the oxygenated groups of GO, which is followed by the conjugation of amine groups of the enzyme with chemically activated amine or carboxylic groups in the second step through bifunctional cross-linker molecules. In general, either 1-ethyl-3-(3-

Table 1 Enzymatic electrochemical glucose biosensors developed from graphene nanocomposite-based electrodes and their sensitivity, concentration range, LOD, and heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant (K_s) between GOx enzyme and the modified graphene electrodes^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Linear range	Sensitivity ($\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$)	Limit of detection (LOD)	K_m (mM)	K_s (s^{-1})	Ref.
GR/CS nanocomposite	0.08–12 mM	37.93	0.02 mM	—	2.83	147
Nf/PdNPs@rGO-APTES//GOx	3 μM to 4.57 mM	234.1	0.91 μM	—	—	148
GR/AuNPs/CS nanocomposite	2–10 mM	0.55	180 μM	—	—	149
Sulfonated GR/AuNPs/CS nanocomposite	0.5–22.2 mM	6.51	0.13 mM	1.96	—	150
GR/DNA/AuNPs	0.8–50 μM	0.00244	0.3 μM	—	—	151
GQDs/GOx	0.005–1.27 mM	0.085	1.73 μM	0.76	1.12	126
Reduced carboxyl GR/GOx	2–18 mM	7	0.02 mM	—	—	152
3D porous GR/GOx	0.02–3.2 mM	6.82	1.7 μM	—	6.05	153
3D porous GR–CS composites	0.14–7.0 mM	11.2	17.5 μM	—	—	154
rGO/ZnO hybrid	0.2–6.6 mM	13.7	0.2 μM	2.2	7.55	155
rGO/ZrO ₂ NPs	0.29–14 mM	11.65	0.13 mM	—	5.03	156
rGO/PtPd NPs	2–12 mM	0.024	0.001 mM	—	—	157
rGO/AgNPs	0.5–12.5 mM	3.84	0.16 mM	—	5.27	158
PDDA-capped AuNPs/GR/MWCNTs	5–175 μM	29.72	4.8 μM	2.09	11.18	159
GO/AuNPs	1–8 mM	0.835	10 μM	0.144	5.35	160
rGO/Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	0.5–12 mM	—	0.05 mM	—	—	161
rGO/PLL/MnO ₂ NPs	0.04–10 mM	46.36	0.02 mM	—	4.92	162
GR–CNTs/ZnO hybrid nanostructure	10 μM to 6.5 mM	5.36	4.5 μM	—	5.554	163
MWCNTs/GO nanocomposite	0.05–23.2	0.266	28 μM	—	11.22	164
Py-NHS ester-modified graphite NPs	0–2.2 mM	0.729	50 μM	—	—	165
AuNPs/GR/CNTs nanocomposite	10 μM to 2 mM	0.695	4.1 μM	10.5	3.36	166
Au-MWCNTs–GR hybrid composite	50 μM to 20 mM	—	2.48 μM	1.07	—	167
3D GR/CNTs hybrid	2–8 mM	19.31	0.5 mM	—	9.0	168
Pt nanospheres/flexible GR sheets	10 μM to 10 mM	150.8	1 μM	—	—	169
CS-rGO-AuNPs hybrids	15 μM to 2.13 mM	102.4	1.7 μM	4.33	—	170
Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs/CS-GR	Up to 26 mM	5658	16 μM	—	—	171
rGO-activated carbon/PtNPs	0.002–10 mM	—	2 μM	—	—	172
rGO/ β -cyclodextrin composite	50 μM to 3.0 mM	59.74	59.74 μM	1.78	3.8	173
rGO/C ₆₀ composite	0.1–12.5 mM	55.97	35 μM	4.4	2.92	174
AuNPs/GO nanocomposites	0.3–20 mM	42	0.3 mM	—	8.3	175
GR/Cu nanocubes	25 μM to 4 mM	5432.2	250 nM	—	—	176
rGO/GOx	0.1–1 mM	9.60	5.8 μM	—	—	177
rGO/GOx	1.4 and 9.5 mM	2.47	13.4 μM	—	—	178
GR/polyethyleneimine-AuNPs hybrid	1–100 μM	93	0.32 μM	—	5.4	125
PANI-modified SnO ₂ /rGO	0.1 nM to 5 μM	96.1	0.26 nM	—	—	179
MnO ₂ /GR composite	0.04–2 mM	3.3	10 μM	—	2.57	180
rGO/polyethyleneimine (PEI)	0.01 μM to 15.5 mM	0.00334	5 μM	4.09	—	181
CVD-grown GR	0.2–9.8 mM	0.087	0.12 μM	—	—	182
Fe ₃ O ₄ /rGO nanocomposite	0.05–1 mM	5.9	0.1 μM	0.16	13.78	183
PAA-rGO/Vs-PANI/LuPc ₂ /GOx	2–12 mM	15.31	25 μM	17.05	—	201
Fe-CS/SWNTs/GOD/3DGR foam	5.0 μM to 19.8 mM	—	1.2 μM	—	—	184
rGO/Fe ₃ O ₄ nanocomposite	0.5–10 mM	2.645	106.5 μM	—	2.03	185
AuNPs/rGO	2–10 mM	18.73	0.9 nM	2.63	2.51	186
PtNPs/rGO	2–10 mM	27.51	1.21 μM	3.43	3.05	187

^a Abbreviations used: APTES: (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane, PEDOT: poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), PAA: polyacrylic acid, PEI: polyethyleneimine, PANI: polyaniline, VS-PANI: vinyl-substituted polyaniline, MWCNTs: multiwalled carbon nanotubes, CS: chitosan, Nf: Nafion, QDs: quantum dots. PDDA: poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride), Py-NHS: 1-pyrenebutyric acid *N*-hydroxysuccinimide ester. LuPc₂: lutetium phthalocyanine, Fe: ferrocene.



dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) or *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) is used for carboxylated graphene, while glutaraldehyde is utilized for amino-functionalized graphene.¹²⁷ The covalent immobilization of the enzyme prevents the inactivation of catalytic functions that might occur in the case of direct physical adsorption and electrically wires the active cofactor of the enzyme to the GO surface. However, covalent immobilization strategies significantly alter the native graphene lattice structure by converting carbon bonding from sp^2 to sp^3 , which markedly decreases the electronic properties of graphene.⁷⁹ The electroactive enzyme surface density (Γ , mol cm^{-2}) on the modified electrode can be evaluated by:¹⁴³

$$\Gamma = Q/nFA \quad (1)$$

where Q is the charge involved in the reaction (obtained by integrating the anodic peak and dividing by the scan rate), n is the number of transferred electrons ($n = 2$), F is the Faraday constant, and A represents the geometric area of an electrode.

3.1.5 Graphene-based electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors. Enzyme-based electrochemical glucose sensors have attracted significant attention over the past 40 years because of their high selectivity, simplicity, and sensitivity.¹⁶ Most of the clinically available systems for monitoring blood glucose levels depend on bioelectrodes based on the glucose oxidase enzyme (GOx).¹⁴⁴ Enhanced electrical contact of redox enzymes with the electrode surface is of fundamental interest for the development of mediator-free third-generation electrochemical glucose biosensors with high sensitivity and selectivity.^{134,145} Depending on the graphene derivative, the

conductivity and electrocatalytic activity can differ significantly. For example, the electrical properties of graphene can change upon reduction to GO or rGO as well as upon chemical functionalization.¹⁴⁶ Graphene-based materials provide a large specific surface area, excellent electrochemical properties, biocompatibility and plentiful oxygenated functional groups such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxyl and epoxy groups, all of which facilitate the effective immobilization of redox enzymes through either physical adsorption or covalent conjugation for subsequent glucose sensing.⁷⁹ Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely used to fabricate enzymatic glucose biosensors, as summarized in Table 1 in terms of their sensitivity, linear detection range and the lowest limit of detection (LOD).^{147–187}

An example of the enzymatic glucose biosensor is discussed here. Qi *et al.*¹⁷⁵ used AuNPs decorated GO nanosheet for developing a glucose sensor by attaching AuNPs on to the surface of GO nanosheet *via* a benzene (Ph) bridge employing aryldiazonium salt chemistry. The schematic biosensor fabrication process is depicted in Fig. 3. GO-Ph-AuNPs nanocomposite was attached to 4-aminophenyl modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE). Thereafter, the GCE/GO-Ph-AuNPs nanocomposite was functionalized with 4-carboxyphenyl (CP) and GOx was covalently attached to form the GCE/GO-Ph-AuNPs-CP/GOx based glucose sensor. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.3–20 mM, and sensitivity of 42 $\mu A mM^{-1} cm^{-2}$ for glucose detection as well as fast electron transfer and enzyme turnover rates of 8.3 and 112/s, respectively. The GCE/GO-Ph-AuNPs-CP/GOx sensor also exhibited a high




Fig. 3 The schematic fabrication of glucose biosensor using AuNP-decorated GO nanosheet. AuNPs were decorated onto GO nanosheet *via* a benzene bridge using aryldiazonium salt chemistry (GO-Ph-AuNPs) which was thereafter attached to 4-aminophenyl modified GC electrode. The GC/GO-Ph-AuNPs was further functionalized with 4-carboxyphenyl (CP) before covalently attaching GOx *via* amide bonds to form GC/GO-Ph-AuNPs-CP/GOx based glucose sensor. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 175, M. Qi, Y. Zhang, C. Cao, Y. Lu and G. Liu, Increased Sensitivity of Extracellular Glucose Monitoring Based on AuNP Decorated GO Nanocomposites, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 39180–39187. Copyright© The Royal Society of Chemistry.]




selectivity toward glucose detection because almost no amperometric current was noticed for 100 mM of dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), uric acid (UA), acetaminophen (AP), fructose, lactose, and galactose interfering analytes compared to 10 mM of glucose concentration. The GCE/GO-Ph-AuNP-CP/GOx-based sensor was also used to detect in real time the glucose changes occurring during growth of two cell lines, where glucose uptake rate of 8.8 fmol per min per cell for human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and 15.5 fmol per min per cell for human cervical cancer HeLa cell lines was measured. The GCE/GO-Ph-AuNP-CP/GOx sensor showed long-term stability by retaining 90.3% initial current density after 10 days storage at 4 °C due to the strongly entrapped GOx on AuNPs and covalent bonding between the sensor interfaces.

3.1.6 Direct electron transfer (DET). Accomplishing DET in amperometric glucose biosensors is of significant interest for the fabrication of third-generation electrochemical biosensors without mediators. The direct electrical wiring of immobilized GOx enables the detection of glucose at low potentials that are little more positive than the redox potential of GOx.¹⁸⁸ Significant work has been performed on the effective immobilization of the GOx enzyme on graphene-based materials for the development of highly sensitive enzymatic glucose biosensors with DET characteristics.^{74,152,153} However, establishing efficient DET between the biocatalyst and the electrode surface is a key challenge that arises due to the large and complex structure of the GOx enzyme; with the FAD redox active center deeply embedded within the protein, accomplishing DET is challenging.¹⁸⁹ The location of the active site FAD within the GOx structure creates a high electron tunneling distance between the electron donor (FAD) and the electrode surface, thus significantly limiting the electron transfer rate. Efficient electrical communication between the redox enzyme and the electrode

surface significantly minimizes the electron tunneling distance, leading to highly enhanced biosensor sensitivity.¹⁶⁸ Liang *et al.*¹⁹⁰ demonstrated the challenge associated with the DET process in GOx-immobilized GR electrodes with and without mediators for sensing glucose, as shown in Fig. 4A. The corresponding cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the GCE are shown in Fig. 4B. A pair of well-defined redox peaks at -0.48 V with a 40 mV separation of peak potential is associated with the redox potential of FAD-GOx on the modified electrode surface; however, no redox peaks are observed when GOx is directly adsorbed onto the bare GCE, indicating that the rGO/GOx-modified GCE can promote DET, which occurs primarily *via* contact between the rGO and FAD/GOx. They observed that DET and the catalytic activity of GOx cannot occur simultaneously.

The DET process between FAD-GOx and GO can be described as follows:



where FAD and FADH₂ are the oxidized and reduced forms of the redox center of the GOx enzyme. GOx is a homodimer containing its cofactor bound to its two identical 80-kDa subunits, which can easily undergo enzymatic reactions. FAD is an electroactive center that exhibits a two-electron and two-proton redox reaction, such as $\text{GOx(FAD)} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{GOx(FADH}_2\text{)}$. Glucose, when added, is oxidized by the GOx enzyme into D-glucono-1-5-lactone and GOx (FADH₂) by the transfer of two electrons and two protons to FAD-GOx. If FADH₂ can be oxidized back to FAD without any electrochemical mediators or oxygen, then the process is referred to as DET.¹¹⁸ The rate constant of the electron transfer (K_s) between the GOx-




Fig. 4 (A) Schematic illustration of DET with GOx adsorption on the ERCGR/GOx/GCE. (B) CVs of the different modified GCEs; (1) bare GCE, (2) GOx/GCE, (3) ERGO/GCE, and (4) GOx/ERGO/GCE in N₂-saturated phosphate buffer solution (PBS). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 190, B. Liang, X. Guo, L. Fang, Y. Hu, G. Yang, Q. Zhu, J. Wei and X. Ye, Study of Direct Electron Transfer and Enzyme Activity of Glucose Oxidase on Graphene Surface, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2015, 50, 1–5. Copyright© Elsevier.]



and GO-modified electrodes was calculated using the Laviron equation.¹⁹¹

$$\log K_s = \alpha \log(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha) \log \alpha - \log(RT/nFv) - \alpha(1 - \alpha)nF\Delta E_p/2.3 \quad (4)$$

where α is the charge transfer coefficient, R is the gas constant ($8.314 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$), T is the room temperature, ΔE_p is the peak separation of the FAD/FADH₂ redox couple, and n is the number of electrons transferred ($n = 2$).

Considerable efforts have been made to overcome the limitation of long electron tunneling distances and accomplish DET between the immobilized enzyme and the electrode surface. Among different nanostructured materials, GR has been extensively investigated for DET characteristics and glucose-sensing performance.^{141,192} For example, Wang *et al.*¹⁴⁰ showed a direct electrochemical reduction of single-layer GO and subsequent functionalization with (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) for covalent immobilization with GOx and application of developed GCE-APTES-rGO-GOx electrode in glucose sensing with a linear range of 0 to 24 mM. Additionally, Guler *et al.*¹⁹³ fabricated a glucose sensor by immobilizing GOx onto APTES-functionalized rGO deposited on GCE. The functionalization of APTES provides an $-\text{NH}_2$ -terminated surface, which enables covalent conjugation of the $-\text{NH}_2$ groups of GOx *via* bifunctional cross-linker glutaraldehyde (GA) molecules. The enzymatic glucose biosensor also consisted of the Nafion (Nf) protective membrane. The Nf/rGO-APTES/GOx/GCE

composite-based biosensor showed the sensitivity of $75.26 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $124.87 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, a wide linear range of 0.02–4.34 mM and 0.05–15.25 mM with LOD values of 9 μM and 0.017 mM for glucose and H₂O₂ detection, respectively. Unnikrishnan *et al.*¹⁹⁴ demonstrated a simple one-step immobilization of GOx onto rGO and subsequent glucose sensing. The resulting rGO-GOx-GCE sensor exhibited DET characteristics with a sensitivity of $1.85 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a linear range of 0.1–27 mM. Osikoya *et al.*¹⁸² used CVD-grown graphene to construct an electrochemical biosensor that displayed electrocatalytic activities and exhibited a linear response from 0.2 to 9.8 mM with a sensitivity of $0.087 \mu\text{A } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.12 μM . Ravenna *et al.*¹⁹⁵ reported a glucose sensor using rGO films with adsorbed phenothiazone to study electron transfer process between the FAD-dependent glucose dehydrogenase and the used electrodes. The DET results showed sensitivity of $42 \text{ mA M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a linear range of 0.5–12 mM for glucose detection as well as high selectivity against different interfering analytes. Liu *et al.*¹⁹⁶ reported the fabrication of a GR-GOx enzyme electrode by the alternate layer-by-layer (LBL) self-assembly of GOx over single-layer or multilayer graphene through noncovalent π - π stacking interactions, as shown in Fig. 5. Each pyrene-functionalized GOx enzyme contained 5.4 pyrene functional groups and maintained over 76% of the biocatalytic activity of GOx. The graphene-bridged GOx enzyme electrode-based biosensor showed a linear range between 0.2 and 40 mM and an LOD as low as 0.154 mM for glucose sensing. The sensitivity towards glucose increased with increasing layers




Fig. 5 Schematic illustration of the immobilization of GOx enzymes on graphene *via* pyrene and the subsequent fabrication of single- and multi-layered enzyme electrodes. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 196, J. Liu, N. Kong, A. Li, X. Luo, L. Cui, R. Wang and S. Feng, Graphene Bridged Enzyme Electrodes for Glucose Biosensing Application, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 2567–2575. Copyright© Royal Society of Chemistry.]



of graphene and GOx but was insignificant at 4 enzyme layers. The GOx enzyme electrode showed a very low response after adding 0.2 mM of ascorbic acid and uric acid, and also retained 82.2% biocatalytic activity after 4 weeks of storage at 4 °C.

The chemical reduction of GO into rGO with different amounts of defect density, layers and oxygen concentrations influences the electrochemical behavior and the glucose sensor performance.¹³¹ Chemical doping with foreign atoms is a promising approach to intrinsically tune the electrical properties and regulate electron transport to influence glucose sensor performance.¹³³ Wang *et al.*¹³³ demonstrated that a glucose sensor using N-doped graphene that exhibited strong electrochemical activity and fast DET kinetics of GOx with a linear range of 0.1–1.1 mM and LOD of 0.01 mM. The enhanced performance of the biosensor is due to the high electronic state density and the plenty of free electrons available in N-doped GR, which facilitates H₂O₂ electrochemical reduction by breaking of the O–O bond in H₂O₂. Nitrogen doping modifies the density of states around the Fermi level of graphene, which considerably enhances the electron transfer rate. Liang *et al.*¹⁵² reported the DET of self-assembled GOx on the surface of electrochemically reduced carboxyl graphene on a GC electrode. Their biosensor showed distinct and quasi-reversible redox peaks at a potential of –0.467 V, promoting DET process with an improved linear range from 2–18 mM and a low LOD of 0.02 mM.

The direct electrochemistry of GOx immobilized on three-dimensional (3D) porous graphene-modified electrodes and the effect of graphene defect structure on glucose sensor performance were studied.^{153,197} Guo *et al.*¹⁹⁸ fabricated an enzymatic glucose biosensor using highly conductive N-doped

CVD-grown graphene (3D N-GR). The GOx enzyme was effectively immobilized on 3D N-GR using a chitosan (CS) biopolymer *via* molecular binding with NH₂ groups. The fabricated 3D N-GR-CS-GOx biosensor showed a sensitivity of 226.24 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}$ and a linear range of 0.1–1.3 mM due to the high conductivity and porosity of the N-GR network, providing a large surface area for GOx enzyme loading and improving electron transport. Furthermore, Razmi *et al.*¹²⁶ demonstrated GQDs as an effective matrix for the immobilization of GOx on carbon ceramic electrode (CCE); the resulting GOx-GQD-CCE sensor showed high surface loading and strong affinity to enzymes while promoting DET, showing sensitivity of 0.085 $\mu\text{A } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a wide linear range of 5–1270 μM with an LOD of 1.73 μM for glucose detection. Manoj *et al.*¹⁹⁹ demonstrated a biosensor using an aldehyde-functionalized ionic liquid (CHO-IL) and 3-(3-formyl-4-hydroxybenzyl)-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate, as a platform for covalent immobilization (Fig. 6). Specifically, CHO-IL was immobilized on electrochemically reduced GO (EC-rGO) using the π - π stacking of imidazolium and hydroxybenzyl groups with EC-rGO, which permitted the covalent bonding of Azure A mediator or GOx enzyme. The Azure A-immobilized biosensor showed a linear range of 0.03–1 mM, an LOD of 11.5 μM and sensitivity of 133.2 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for nonenzymatic detection of H₂O₂. The GOx-immobilized CHO-IL biosensor exhibited an improved sensing response with a sensitivity of 17.7 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a broad linear range of 0.05–2.4 mM and an LOD of 17 μM for glucose detection.

3.1.7 Graphene/polymer composite-based glucose biosensors. To enhance the catalytic function of immobilized GOx and the operational stability of the biosensor, GO is grafted with




Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of the covalent immobilization of Azure A and GOx on the CHO-IL/EC-rGO/SPE platform. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 199, D. Manoj, K. Theyagarajan, D. Saravanakumar, S. Senthilkumar and K. Thenmozhi, Aldehyde Functionalized Ionic Liquid on Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide as a Versatile Platform for Covalent Immobilization of Biomolecules and Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, 103, 104–112. Copyright© Elsevier.]







Fig. 7 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of 3D-GR-based enzymatic glucose biosensors using CS-mediated electrodeposition. (SWNT = SWCNT.). (B and C) SEM images of 3D-GR foam with low and high magnification. (D and E) SEM images of Fc-CS/SWNTs/GOx composite film electrodeposited on 3D graphene with low and high magnification. (F) CV curves of the (a) 3D-GR, (b) CS/GOx/3D-GR, (c) Fc-CS/GOx/3D-GR and (d) Fc-CS/SWNTs/GOx/3D-GR electrodes in PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} . (G) Amperometric response of the Fc-CS/SWNTs/GOx/3D-GR electrode upon the successively added glucose to stirred PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.0) at 0.4 V. Inset (a) shows the magnified curve from 50 to 850 s. Inset (b) shows the calibration plot of the current as a function of the glucose concentration. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 184, J. Liu, X. Wang, T. Wang, D. Li, F. Xi, J. Wang and E. Wang, Functionalization of Monolithic and Porous Three-Dimensional Graphene by One-Step Chitosan Electrodeposition for Enzymatic Biosensor, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 19997–20002. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



different polymers, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG),¹³⁷ polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP),¹⁹² or biopolymers, such as chitosan (CS).¹⁵⁴ The polymer presents functional groups on the GO surface that enable the effective immobilization of redox enzymes without losing their biological functions and increase operational stability by suppressing leaching effects, while GO permits effective electron transport for enzymatic sensors.^{19,80} Kang *et al.*¹⁴⁷ showed that a GR–chitosan (GR–CS) nanocomposite electrode is capable of high loading capacity ($1.12 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol cm}^{-2}$) for the immobilization of GOx enzyme, which exhibits DET with sensitivity of $37.9 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 0.08 to 12 mM and an LOD of 0.02 mM. The CS biopolymer possesses amino ($-\text{NH}_2/\text{NH}_3^+$) and hydroxyl ($-\text{OH}$) functional groups, which facilitate the immobilizations of GOx while sustaining the biocatalytic functions and stabilizing the GOx enzymes. Similarly, Liu *et al.*¹⁴¹ reported the covalent immobilization of GOx enzymes on the carboxyl acid ($-\text{COOH}$) groups of GO *via* amide bonds in the presence of EDC and NHS. Their GO/GOx composite glucose biosensor showed sensitivity of $8.045 \text{ mA M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, a broad linear range and exhibited biocompatibility with human retinal pigment epithelium cells. Bharath *et al.*²⁰⁰ showed mesoporous 1D hydroxyapatite (HAP) nanorods on rGO for the efficient immobilization of GOx enzyme, displaying a highly enhanced electron transfer rate of rGO-HAP-GOx-GCE sensor with a superior sensitivity of $16.9 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 0.1–11.5 mM and an LOD of 0.03 mM. Liu *et al.*¹⁸⁴ showed a facile, one-step chitosan (CS)-based electrodeposition strategy for the fabrication of enzymatic glucose biosensors. The sensor was fabricated by electrodepositing a ferrocene (Fc)-functionalized CS hybrid (Fc-CS) and a single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) solution to form a homogeneous film with GOx enzymes. Fc-CS/SWCNT/GOx was immobilized *via* electrodeposition process on the surface of 3D graphene foam (GF) (Fig. 7A). The porous 3D structure is shown in Fig. 7B. Because of the superior properties of 3D GF, such as a large active surface area, high conductivity and fast mass transport dynamics, this sensor exhibited a wide linear range from 5.0 μM to 19.8 mM, an LOD of 1.2 μM and a fast response (95% response within 8 s) for the detection of glucose (Fig. 7C and D). Al-Sagur *et al.*²⁰¹ demonstrated a highly sensitive enzymatic glucose biosensor based on lutetium phthalocyanine (LuPc_2) as a redox mediator in rGO, integrated with polyacrylic acid (PAA) in vinyl-substituted polyaniline (VS-PANI) multifunctional hydrogels. The fabricated PAA-rGO/VS-PANI/ LuPc_2 /GOx biosensor showed a response time of 1 s, sensitivity of $15.31 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in a linear range of 2–12 mM with an LOD of 25 μM for glucose detection and 3 month long storage stability.

3.1.8 Graphene/nanoparticles (NPs) hybrid-based glucose sensors. The integration of graphene with inorganic nanoparticles has drawn significant interest in the development of hybrid nanostructures for electrochemical glucose sensors.^{57,58,202} Integrating electrocatalytically active metal nanoparticles onto highly conductive GR surfaces is attractive for electrode fabrication because of the large accessible surface area, electrical conductivity and capacity for immobilizing enzymes.²⁰³ Furthermore, chemically derived graphene contains

a large number of defects/vacancies and possesses functional groups such as carbonyls, epoxides, and hydroxyls, thus serving as a highly suitable matrix for the immobilization of inorganic nanoparticles as well as enzymes with enhanced stability and higher loading capacity.¹⁸⁸ The hybrid GO/inorganic metal nanocomposites are primarily formed by decorating GO nanosheets with inorganic metal nanoparticles including AuNPs,^{149,159} AgNPs,¹⁵⁸ PtNPs,^{172,204} PdNPs,²⁰⁵ CuNPs²⁰⁶ and RuNPs, metal oxide nanoparticles (ZnO ,^{163,207,208} CuO ,²⁰⁹ ZrO_2 ,¹⁵⁶ SnO_2 , NiO ,²¹⁰ MnO_2 ,¹⁶² Fe_3O_4 (ref. 211 and 212)), bimetallic nanoparticles (Pt/Pt NPs,¹⁵⁷), and metal/metal oxide composites (AuWO_3 (ref. 213)). The surface functionalization of these supported NPs provides an effective approach for the site-specific conjugation of biomolecules without loss of activity. In particular, the integration of Au nanoparticles with the GOx enzyme can provide site-specific conjugation of the FAD site and improve the specific surface area, thus minimizing the electron tunneling distance to facilitate DET.²¹⁴ Willner *et al.*^{215,216} reported the functionalization of AuNPs to create an FAD-active center of GOx for electrochemical enzymatic biosensors.

Graphene/nanoparticle composite-based electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors have been summarized in Table 1. Noble metal nanoparticles (Au, Ag, Pt, and Pd) are widely used for the effective site-specific conjugation of enzymes.^{160,217} Palanisamy *et al.*¹⁵⁸ developed a biosensor by immobilizing GOx on an EC-rGO/AgNP nanocomposite. The biosensor showed a pair of distinct redox peaks having a formal potential (E°) of -0.422 V and a heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant (k_s) of 5.27 s^{-1} , suggesting a high affinity between GOx and the EC-rGO/AgNP nanocomposite and fast DET. The biosensor exhibited high sensitivity toward glucose detection in the 0.5 to 12.5 mM concentration range with an LOD of 0.16 mM. Clausen *et al.*²¹⁸ demonstrated a biosensor with PtNPs decorated on multilayered graphene petal nanosheets (MGPNS). The performance of biosensor was optimized through electrodeposited PtNPs by changing their size and morphology. The designed GOx-PEDOT/PtNP/MGPN biosensor demonstrated a wide linear range of 0.01–50 mM with an LOD of 0.3 μM for glucose detection and a stable shelf-life by retaining 75% sensitivity over a time period of 5 week.

An enzymatic glucose biosensor based on the tannic acid (TA)-mediated deposition of AuNPs onto rGO nanocomposites was demonstrated by Çakıroğlu and Özacar.¹⁸⁶ The fabricated glucose biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of $18.73 \text{ mA mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a linear range of 2–10 mM. Akkaya *et al.*¹⁸⁷ also fabricated a glucose biosensor based on the direct electrochemistry of GOx on PtNPs supported on a TA-rGO nanocomposite, as shown in Fig. 8A. Specifically, after the electrochemical oxidation of tannic acid to quinone, the formation of π - π interactions between GO and TA and of Schiff-base supported hydrogen bonding between GOx and TA that improved DET. The curves from CV measurements in PBS (0.05 M, pH 7.4) solution at 100 mV s^{-1} scan rate are shown in Fig. 8B. The GOx/PtNPs/rGO-GC-modified electrode exhibited redox peaks at a formal potential (E°) of -0.462 V and the peak-to-peak separation (ΔE_p) of 56 mV, indicating the fast electron transfer between PtNPs/rGO nanocomposite and GOx. The redox peak current linearly decreased as the concentration



of glucose was increased (Fig. 8C). By adding glucose, more GOx(FAD) was transformed into GOx(FADH₂) due to the biocatalytic reaction. The fabricated biosensor showed an enhanced sensitivity of 27.51 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a wide linear range of 2–10 mM and an LOD of 1.21 μM . Notably, the sensitivity of the rGO/PtNP/GOx-GCE electrode is higher than that of the rGO/AuNP/GOx-based biosensor (18.73 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$),¹⁸⁶ which can be ascribed to the high catalytic activity of the PtNPs supported on the surface of rGO.

Bimetallic nanoparticles are well known to exhibit highly enhanced electrochemical activity compared to monometallic nanoparticles.²¹⁹ Taking advantage of this property, Hossain and Park¹⁵⁷ designed a glucose biosensor based on the immobilization of GOx on rGO modified with electrochemically deposited PtPdNPs. The resulting biosensor showed sensitivity of 24 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 2–12 mM, an LOD of 0.001 mM, and a fast response time of 5 s. In addition, metal oxide nanoparticles or magnetic nanoparticles supported on




Fig. 8 (A) Schematic illustration of the tannic acid (TA)-assisted preparation of rGO/PtNPs/GOx-GCE-based biosensors for glucose sensing. (B) CV curves of different electrodes; (a) GOx/GCE, (b) GO-GOx/GCE, (c) rGO-GOx/GCE, and (d) rGO-PtNPs-GOx/GCE in deoxygenated PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4) at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} . (C) CV curves of rGO-PtNPs-GOx/GCE recorded in O₂-saturated PBS (0.1 M) at a scan rate of 100 mV s^{-1} as a function of different concentrations of glucose (0–12 mM). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 187, B. Akkaya, B. Çakiroğlu and M. Özacar, Tannic Acid-Reduced Graphene Oxide Deposited with Pt Nanoparticles for Switchable Bioelectronics and Biosensors Based on Direct Electrochemistry, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, 6, 3805–3814. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



Table 2 Graphene/nanoparticles (NPs) nanocomposite-based nonenzymatic glucose biosensors and their sensitivity, concentration detection range and LOD^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Linear range	Sensitivity ($\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$)	Limit of detection	Ref.
NiCo ₂ N/N-GR core-shell	2.008 μM to 7.15 mM	1803	50 nM	224
GR/PtNiNPs	0.5–35 mM	20.42	10 μM	229
GR/CuO NPs nanocomposites	1 μM to 8 mM	1065	1 μM	231
3D GF/cobalt oxide nanowires (Co ₃ O ₄ NWs) composite	Up to 80 μM	3390	25 nM	232
GR-cobalt oxide nanoneedles	0.2–3 mM	—	10 μM	233
GR paper/PtAu-MnO ₂ nanocomposites	0.1–30.0 mM	58.54	0.02 mM	234
GO/NiONPs composite	3.13 μM to 3.05 mM	1087	1 μM	210
GO/CuONPs composite	2.79 μM to 2.03 mM	262.52	0.69 μM	209
GR/Cu ₂ O nanocubes	0.3–3.3 mM	—	3.3 μM	235
Cu-GR nanoflowers	5–900 μM	11.3	1 μM	236
Pt nanoflowers/GO composite	2–20.3 mM	0.64	2.0 μM	237
Mn ₃ O ₄ /3D GR foam	0.1–8 mM	360	10 μM	238
rGO/CuO nanoflowers/Pt nanocubes	Up to 12 mM	3577	0.01 μM	239
N-doped GR/CuNPs composite	0.004–4.5 mM	48.13	1.3 μM	226
rGO/Ni(OH) ₂ nanostructures	15 μM to 30 mM	11.4	15 μM	240
PtPd-IL-rGO nanocomposite	0.1–22 mM	1.47	2 μM	241
Ni(OH) ₂ /3D GF	1 μM to 1.17 mM	2.65	0.34 μM	242
GR/PtNi NPs	0.5–20 mM	30.3	2 μM	243
Cu ₂ O NPs/graphene	0.5 μM to 2 mM	2939.24	0.09 μM	244
PdCu NPs/3D GR hydrogel	2–18 mM	48.0	0–8 mM	245
GO nanoribbon/AuNPs hybrid	0.5 M to 10 mM	59.1	5 μM	246
Ni(OH) ₂ /rGO/MWCNTs	10–1500 μM	2042	2.7 μM	247
SnO ₂ /rGO composite	50–500 μM	1930	13.35 μM	248
PVP/GNs/NiNPs/CS composite	0.1 μM to 0.5 mM	103.8	30 nM	249
rGO/Au-CuO NPs	1 μM to 12 mM	2356	0.01 μM	250
AuNPs/Ni-Al double hydroxide/SWCNTs/GR composite	10 μM to 6.1 mM	1989	1 μM	251
S-GR/CuO NPs composite	0.1–10.5 mM	1298.6	80 nM	252
N-rGO/Mn ₃ O ₄ NPs	1.0–329.5 μM	0.026	0.5 μM	253
CuNiO/GO	0.05–6.9 mM	225.75	16 μM	254
GR/Pt nanoclusters/PVP composite	1–25 mM	1.21	30 μM	255
GO/Cu NPs	Up to 12 mM	447.65	3.4 μM	256
rGO/Pt-NiO nanoplate arrays	0.008–14.5 mM	832.95	2.67 μM	257
Needlelike NiCo ₂ O ₄ /3D GF	0.5 μM to 0.59 mM	2524	0.38 μM	258
rGO nanosheet/Cu nanowires composite	1 μM to 11 mM	1625	0.2 μM	227
NiO NPs/polyaniline nanowire/GO composite	2 μM to 5.560 mM	376.22	0.5 μM	259
NiCo alloys/polypyrrole/rGO nanocomposites	0.5 μM to 4.1 mM	153.5	0.17 μM	230
Cu ₂ O/AlOOH/rGO nanocomposite	5.0 μM to 14.77 mM	155.1	2.6 μM	260
NiCo ₂ O ₄ nanowrinkles/rGO	0.005–8.6 mM	548.9	2 μM	261
Cu NPs/pencil graphite	1.0–100 mM	1467.5	0.44 μM	262
CuCo ₂ O ₄ nanosheets/graphite paper	Up to 320 μM	3.625	5 μM	263
AgPt/rGO composite	0.003–7.72 mM	129.32	1.8 μM	264
Hollow CuCo ₂ O ₄ /porous rGO composite	0.5–3354 μM	2426	0.15 μM	265
GQD/CoNiAl-layered double hydroxide	0.01–14.0 mM	48.717	6 μM	266
GR/BiOCl nanohybrid	0.5–10 mM	1.878	0.22 mM	267
AuNPs/N-doped GR	0.04–16.1 mM	0.25	12 μM	268
CuS NPs/rGO	0.0001–3.88 mM	429.4	32 nM	269
NiCo ₂ O ₄ /nitrogen-doped rGO/IL composite	0.001–4.555 mM	3760	0.18 μM	270
CuNPs/EC-rGO composite	0.14–5091 mM	445	0.049 μM	271
N-doped GR/Cu nanostructures composite	0.0005–5.0 mM	1848	0.014 μM	228
Honeycomb-like CoNS/RGO/PPy nanocomposite	0.5 μM to 2.667 mM	297.73	29 nM	272
3D GR/Ni on ZnO nanorod arrays	0.05 μM to 1.11 mM	2030	0.15 μM	273
Nanoneedle like CuO/N-doped rGO	0.5–639 μM	0.0034	0.01 μM	274
Ni plasma-modified GR	1–1150 μM	2213	1 μM	275
rGO/CuS NF nanocomposite	1–2000 μM	53.5	0.19 μM	276
3D Cu-Co/rGO nanocomposite	1 μM to 4 mM	240	0.15 μM	277
GNs/GR nanoribbons/NiNPs	5 nM to 5 mM	2300	2.5 nM	278
CuNPs/rGO core-shell nanocomposite	0.001–2 mM	150	0.34 μM	279
NiO/PtNPs/EC-rGO composite	0.05–5.66 mM	668.2	0.2 μM	280

^a Abbreviations used: PVP: polyvinyl-pyrrolidone, EC-rGO: electrochemically reduced graphene oxide, GF: graphene foam, BiOCl: bismuth oxychloride, CuSNFs: copper sulfide nanoflake.



graphene have been widely used to develop electrochemical enzymatic glucose biosensors (Table 1). Liu *et al.*¹⁸⁰ reported an enzymatic glucose sensor based on a composite of MnO₂ NPs supported on graphene. The GR-MnO₂NPs-GOx-Nafion based sensor exhibited a high sensitivity of 3.30 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a wide linear range of 0.04 to 2 mM with an LOD of 10 μM . The sensor displayed high selectivity for the determination of glucose level in the presence of interfering bioanalytes including AA and UA which may be associated with the use of Nafion in the modified electrode. Vilian *et al.*¹⁵⁶ fabricated a glucose biosensor using GOx immobilized in a poly(L-lysine) (PLL) and rGO-zirconium oxide (rGO-ZrO₂) nanocomposite. The sensor exhibited DET by displaying well-defined redox peaks at the formal potential (E°) of -0.403 V with a small peak-to-peak separation ($\Delta E_p = 27 \text{ mV}$). The GOx-PLL/rGO-ZrO₂ based sensor exhibited a sensitivity of 11.65 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 0.29–14 mM and LOD of 0.13 mM. The biosensor showed recoveries of 97% to 102.5% in the spiked samples of human urine for glucose detection and 94.4% retention of original response after storing at 4 °C for a period of 1 month. Zhao *et al.*²⁰⁸ reported a long-range electrical wiring of GOx using a ZnO nanorods/EC-reduced graphene heterostructure. The glucose biosensor fabricated by immobilizing GOx on ZnONRs/EC-reduced graphene enabled contact with enzymes through the ZnO nanorods. The charge transfer was associated with ZnO nanorods between the redox center of FAD-GOx and the electrode. The fabricated GOx-ZnONRs/EC-reduced graphene/PT-based glucose biosensor showed DET characteristics with a sensitivity of 89.84 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a linear response to glucose concentrations of 0.2–1.6 mM with a correlation coefficient (R) value of 0.998. The K_s value of 0.92 s^{-1} indicated DET of GOx. Teymourian *et al.*¹⁶¹ fabricated a glucose biosensor based on a Fe₃O₄/rGO-modified GCE, which showed glucose sensing with a wide linear range of 0.5 mM to 12 mM and an LOD of 0.05 μM .

3.1.9 Graphene-based nonenzymatic glucose biosensors.

The enzymatic glucose sensor exhibits high sensitivity and selectivity because of the superior catalytic functions of the enzymes. However, the catalytic function of enzymes such as GOx can be negatively influenced by many parameters, including pH, temperature, pressure and humidity.²²⁰ In addition, the sensor performance and operational stability depend strongly on the immobilization method, biocatalytic functions of the enzyme, and DET characteristics, which greatly limit their practical applications. Although GOx is relatively stable compared to other enzymes, it quickly loses its activity below pH 2.0 and above pH 8.²²⁰ Moreover, it has an apparent transition temperature of $55.8 \pm 1.28 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and an activation energy of 280 kJ mol^{-1} .²²¹ Thus, GOx undergoes structural transformations and exhibits damage above 40 °C. Therefore, the development of a low-cost, sensitive, enzyme-free approach to glucose detection is a desirable alternative to enzymatic methods. Nevertheless, given the sensitivity and selectivity of the commercially available glucose sensor strips, nonenzymatic methods have to meet stringent requirements for commercial viability.²²²

The unique electrochemical properties of graphene and its derivatives have also been applied to fabricate nonenzymatic glucose biosensors. Moreover, supporting inorganic nanoparticles on graphene allows significant enhancement of their electrocatalytic activity and sensing performance.²²³ Due to their strong electrocatalytic activity, noble metal nanoparticles have been decorated/supported on graphene to construct highly sensitive enzyme-less electrochemical glucose sensors.²²³ Table 2 summarizes the performance of nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose biosensing developed from graphene-based nanocomposites with a very wide variety of metal nanoparticles/nanostructures.^{210,224–280} For example, Deepalakshmi *et al.*²²⁴ used nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR)-encapsulated nickel cobalt nitride (NiCo₂N) core-shell nanostructures for nonenzymatic detection of glucose and hydrogen peroxide. The synergistic effect of the NiCo₂N/N-GR core-shell nanostructures resulted in high sensitivity and selectivity. NiCo₂N/N-GR/GCE sensor detected 1.09-mM glucose concentration in human blood serum with 94.8% recovery, showed high selectivity for glucose detection against interfering biomolecules such as DA, UA, AA, lactic acid, fructose, lactose and NaCl, as well as long-term environmental stability for glucose oxidation by retaining 92.31% of initial current response after 45 days at room temperature. Hoa *et al.*²²⁵ used Pt nanoparticles supported on GO hydrogel (GOH) for nonenzymatic glucose detection. The PtNPs/GOH sensor showed a sensitivity of 137.4 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, which is 7-times greater than in the absence of PtNPs on GOH. In addition, the sensor showed selective detection in real blood samples and good anti-interference ability toward AA, UA, and DA interferents. Jiang *et al.*²²⁶ prepared nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR) decorated with copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) through simple thermal treatment for nonenzymatic glucose detection. The CuNPs/N-GR sensor showed greatly enhanced glucose-sensing performance with a sensitivity of 48.13 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1}$, a linear range of 0.004–4.5 mM, an LOD of 1.3 μM , and the response time of <5 s. The peak current of glucose oxidation was found to be approximately 23 times greater compared with pristine CuNPs. Ju *et al.*²²⁷ demonstrated an enhanced nonenzymatic amperometric glucose biosensor based on rGO decorated with copper nanowires (CuNWs). The resulting sensor displayed enhanced sensitivity and LOD, which was attributed to the higher catalytic activity and electrical conductivity of the CuNWs. The sensitivity was found to be 1625 $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2} \text{mM}^{-1}$ with a linear range up to 11 mM, an LOD of 0.02 μM and a fast response time of <2 s at a potential of 0.58 V. Moreover, the sensor exhibited negligible interference from AA, DA, UA, acetamidophenol and other carbohydrates. Gowthaman *et al.*²²⁸ reported a nonenzymatic glucose biosensor based on a Cu nanostructure-deposited nitrogen-doped graphene (N-GR). Owing to the unique dendritic nanostructure of Cu on N-GR, the sensor exhibited 2-times greater glucose oxidation current than that of pristine N-GR, with a sensitivity of 1848 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 0.0005–5.0 mM, and an LOD of 0.014 μM . The biosensor showed high selectivity toward glucose determination against interfering bioanalytes including Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, urea, oxalic acid, AA and UA. The recoveries of 97.34 to 99.52% and 97.19 to 98.01% in spiked






Fig. 9 (A) Schematic representation of the formation of PtNiNPs/rGO nanocomposites by electrochemical reduction method. (B) SEM images of the GO nanosheet, PtNiNPs/ERGO, PtNi NPs/CRGO nanocomposites and PtNiNPs/SWCNTs nanocomposites. (C) Amperometric response of PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE after adding 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mM glucose and the calibration curve (inset). (D) Influence of interfering bioanalytes including 0.5 mM ascorbic acid (AA), 0.1 mM uric acid (UA), 0.1 mM urea, 0.5 mM AAP, and 0.5 mM fructose to 5.0 mM glucose at the PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE. Here, electrochemically and chemically reduced GO are ERGO and CRGO, respectively. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 229, H. Gao, F. Xiao, C. B. Ching and H. Duan, One-Step Electrochemical Synthesis of PtNi Nanoparticle-Graphene Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Amperometric Glucose Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, 3, 3049–3057. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

human blood serum and urine samples were achieved for the determination of glucose. Bimetallic nanoparticles supported on GO have also been widely employed to enhance the electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity of glucose biosensors. Gao

*et al.*²²⁹ used sonication-assisted electrochemical synthesis to prepare bimetallic PtNiNPs/GR nanocomposite for nonenzymatic glucose detection (Fig. 9). The PtNiNPs are found to be uniformly distributed and supported on graphene surfaces



compared with SWCNTs. The biosensor displayed a wide linear range of 0.5–35 mM, LOD of 10 μM , and a sensitivity of 20.42 $\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ with a negative potential of -0.35 V . The PtNiNPs/ERGO/GCE sensor also showed high selectivity for the determination of glucose against interfering bioanalytes

including AA, UA, urea, AAP, and fructose, and recoveries of 91.3% to 108.2% for glucose detection in human urine samples. Sheng *et al.*²³⁰ reported a NiCoNPs/polypyrrole/rGO nanocomposite for nonenzymatic glucose sensing. The designed nonenzymatic glucose sensor displayed a sensitivity




Fig. 10 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of graphene-encapsulated CuNPs. (B) DPV responses of graphene-encapsulated CuNPs composites at different concentrations (from a to k: 1–2000 μM) of glucose in 0.1 M NaOH. (C) Linear relationship between the peak current and the glucose concentration. (D) Normalized DPV peak current of the CuNPs@rGO composites with the addition of 1.0 mM glucose as the control and in the presence of 2.0 mM uric acid (UA), 2.0 mM dopamine (DA), and 2.0 mM ascorbic acid (AA) as interferents and their corresponding mixtures. The CuNPs@rGO composite-based sensor shows high selectivity for detecting glucose. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 279, Q. Zhang, Q. Luo, Z. Qin, L. Liu, Z. Wu, B. Shen and W. Hu, Self-Assembly of Graphene-Encapsulated Cu Composites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *ACS Omega*, 2018, 3, 3420–3428. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



of $153.5 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a wide linear range of $0.5 \mu\text{M}$ to 4.1mM , and an LOD of $0.17 \mu\text{M}$ for the glucose detection.

In addition to metal/graphene hybrid nanostructures, copper (Cu) and nickel (Ni) oxide nanostructures have been widely studied in the development of nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose sensors due to their high electrochemical activity and stability. Song *et al.*²⁰⁹ demonstrated a nonenzymatic glucose biosensor based on CuO nanoparticles (CuONPs) supported on GO nanosheet with a high sensitivity of $262.52 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and a wide linear range between $2.79 \mu\text{M}$ and 2.03mM with an LOD of $0.69 \mu\text{M}$ under 0.7V detection potential. In the real human serum, the CuONPs/GO sensor also showed a similar broad linear range of $2.55 \mu\text{M}$ to 0.5mM with

a sensitivity of $285.38 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The sensor also displayed selectivity toward glucose against AA and UA interferences and good stability at room temperature as the sensitivity was found to decrease by 12.5% after 4 weeks. Wang *et al.*²⁵⁷ prepared a Pt-NiO array/rGO nanocomposite-based biosensor with a sensitivity of $832.95 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of $0.008\text{--}14.5 \text{mM}$, and an LOD of $2.67 \mu\text{M}$. The enhanced performance was ascribed to the strong catalytic activity of the metal-metal oxide (Pt-NiO) combined with rGO, as well as the high porosity of the nanocomposite material. Moreover, graphene functionalized with metal oxide nanoparticles such as nitrogen-doped rGO/Mn₃O₄ NPs²⁵³ or GO hydrogels/Co₃O₄ nanoflowers²⁸¹ has been applied to the fabrication of biosensors with improved




Fig. 11 Structural characterization of Cu–Co/rGO nanostructures on a pencil graphite electrode (PGE). (A) SEM images of Cu/PGE (a–c), Cu–Co/PGE (d and e), and Cu–Co/rGO/PGE (f–h). White arrows indicate interspaces with voids, and yellow solid and dotted lines indicate the primary and secondary trunks of dendrites and rGO, respectively. (B) Glucose-sensing performance of the Cu–Co/rGO-PGE-modified electrode. (a) Amperometric response after the successive addition of glucose at 0.4V vs. Ag/AgCl . (Inset) Amperometric response of Cu–Co/rGO/PGE toward low glucose concentration ranges between $1\text{--}100 \mu\text{M}$. (C) Calibration plot of Cu–Co/rGO/PGE amperometric responses with respect to glucose concentration. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 277, K. J. Babu, S. Sheet, Y. S. Lee and G. G. Kumar, Three-Dimensional Dendrite Cu–Co/Reduced Graphene Oxide Architectures on a Disposable Pencil Graphite Electrode as an Electrochemical Sensor for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, 6, 1909–1918. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



sensitivity and selectivity for the electrochemical detection of glucose. Dong *et al.*²³² designed cobalt oxide nanowires (Co₃O₄ NWs)/3D-graphene foam with high porosity for nonenzymatic electrochemical glucose detection. The 3D graphene/Co₃O₄ nanocomposite sensor exhibited a sensitivity of 3.39 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², an LOD of <25 nM (S/N = 8.5) and anti-interference ability against AA, UA and proteins, which was attributed to the unique 3D porous nanostructures. Rao *et al.*²⁷⁰ reported an enzyme-free electrochemical glucose sensor based on a NiCo₂O₄/nitrogen-doped rGO/ionic liquid ternary composite. The resulting sensor showed high electrocatalytic activity toward glucose with a sensitivity of 3.76 mA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a wide linear response from 0.001 mM to 4.555 mM and an LOD of 0.18 μM under a potential of 0.5 V. The enhanced sensitivity was attributed to the synergistic effect of the NiCo₂O₄, GO and ionic liquid. Moreover, the N-doping of rGO further expedited the electron transfer of the ionic liquid.

An approach to synthesize of rGO-encapsulated CuNPs using an electrostatic self-assembly method was reported by Zhang *et al.*,²⁷⁹ as shown in Fig. 10A. The obtained Cu core and rGO shell nanocomposite exhibited high stability compared to bare CuNPs because CuNPs in the composite were protected from oxidation by the rGO shell. The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) response of glucose in 0.1-M NaOH was measured in the 1–2000 μM concentration range and showed a linear

relationship between the peak current and the glucose concentration. Moreover, the resulting CuNPs/rGO nanocomposite exhibited high electrocatalytic activity with a wide linear range from 1 μM to 2 mM, a sensitivity of 150 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², and an LOD of 0.34 μM in addition to good reproducibility and selectivity for the oxidation of glucose (Fig. 10B). The selectivity of the CuNPs/rGO core-shell nanocomposite-based sensor for glucose detection was examined by measuring the normalized DPV peak current with addition of 1.0-mM glucose alone as the control and then in the presence of 2.0-mM UA, 2.0-mM DA and 2.0-mM AA as interfering analytes and the corresponding mixtures with glucose (Fig. 10C bottom). The resulting DPV peak current was 5.4, 8.9 and 6.3% for UA, DA, and AA compared with 1.0-mM glucose in 0.1-M NaOH, respectively, showing high selectivity for glucose detection in the presence of each interferent. Babu *et al.*²⁷⁷ fabricated nonenzymatic glucose sensors using 3D copper-cobalt/rGO (Cu-Co/rGO) nanostructures which were electrochemically deposited over a pencil graphite electrode (PGE) (Fig. 11A). The Cu-Co/rGO/PGE sensor displayed high glucose detection with enhanced sensitivity (240 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻²), a linear range of 1 μM to 4 mM, an LOD of 0.15 μM (Fig. 11B) as well as anti-interfering property against AA, UA, DA, citric acid (CA), NaCl, urea, acetaminophen (AP) and chloride poisoning resistance. The high analytical performance of the biosensor was associated with the 3D hierarchical dendrite-like

Table 3 Cholesterol biosensors developed from graphene nanocomposite-based modified electrodes and their linear detection range, sensitivity and limit of detection^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Linear range	Sensitivity (μA mM ⁻¹ cm ⁻²)	Limit of detection (LOD)	K _m (mM)	Ref.
GR-PtNPs hybrid	Up to 12 mM	2.07	0.2 μM	5.0	287
Cholesterol esterase-functionalized GR	50–300 μM	443.25	15 μM	—	288
ChOx/hemin-GR nanosheets	0.17–1120 μM	—	0.06 μM	—	289
CeO ₂ /GR composites	12 μM to 7.2 mM	—	4 μM	—	290
TiO ₂ -GR-Pt-Pd hybrid	0.05 μM to 5.9 mM	—	0.017 μM	0.21	291
Pd-Pt NPs/GR nanocomposite	2.2 μM to 0.52 mM	—	0.75 μM	0.11	292
MWCNTs/GO/thionine-AuNPs	0.15–828 μM	—	50 nM	—	293
GR/PVP/PANI nanocomposite	50 μM to 10 mM	—	1 μM	—	294
Self-assembled GR	0.05–0.35 mM	124.57	0.05 μM	1.22	295
rGO/dendritic Pd nanostructure	0.005–0.014 mM	—	0.05 μM	—	296
GR/IL	0.25–215 μM	4163	0.5 mM	2.32	297
CS/GR nanocomposites	0.005–1.0 mM	—	0.715 μM	17.39	298
MWCNTs/GO/AuNPs	0.15–828 μM	—	0.05 μM	—	293
ZnO/AgNWs/GR-CS nanocomposites	6.5 μM to 10 mM	9.2	0.287 μM	0.295	299
MB/calix[6]arene-functionalized GR	0.50–50.00 μM	—	0.20 μM	—	300
Ag nanowires/CS/GO nanocomposite	Up to 400 mg dL ⁻¹	13.628	0.427 mg dL ⁻¹	2.813	301
CdTe QD/MWCNTs/rGO nanoribbons	1 μM to 1 mM	—	0.33 μM	—	302
SiO ₂ NPs/rGO hybrid composite	2.6–15.5 mM	11.1	1.3 mM	0.49	303
NiO flowers/CVD-grown GR	2–40 μM	—	0.13 μM	—	304
PSS/polymeric IL/GR nanocomposite	0.01–10.4 mM	—	3.5 μM	—	305
Polypyrrole/rGO/ChOx composite	0.01–6 mM	1095.3	3.78 μM	—	306
GO-SH/AuNPs composite	0.05–11.45 mM	273	0.2 nM	0.18	26
TiO ₂ nanowires/3D GR nanostacks	0.05–8.0 mM	3.82	6 μM	—	307
rGO nanosheet/PEI hybrid	2.5–25 μM	—	0.5 μM	—	181
CeO ₂ /N-doped GR composite	4.0 μM to 5 mM	—	1.33 μM	—	308
Ag/AuNPs-GO	0.01–5000 μg mL ⁻¹	0.084	0.001 μg mL ⁻¹	—	309

^a Abbreviations used: CS: chitosan, ILs: ionic liquids, SPE: screen-printed electrode, MB: methylene blue, GO-SH: thiol-functionalized graphene oxide.



architecture having controlled pore diameter, large surface area and high conductivity for rapid electron transfer.

3.2 Cholesterol biosensors

Cholesterol and its fatty acid esters are among the main constituents of mammalian cell membranes and are precursors of extra biological materials including steroid hormones and bile acid. Accurate determination of the cholesterol content in blood is very important for the diagnosis and prevention of various heart diseases. Excessive blood cholesterol is considered to be a severe threat for cardiovascular diseases such as atherosclerosis, hypertension, myocardial infarction, and

cardiopathy.²⁸² The accurate estimation of blood cholesterol is essential for the assessment of atherosclerosis and other lipid-related disorders and to determine the risk of thrombosis and myocardial infarction.²⁸³ A normal level of total cholesterol in the human blood is less than 5.17 mM, but this value can differ based on individuals and their age, body-weight, and gender. The higher limit of total cholesterol in human blood is in the range of 5.17–6.18 mM and cholesterol in values above 6.21 mM are considered high.²⁸³ Thus, biosensors for the precise detection of cholesterol in the human blood samples are critical for healthcare. Multiple analytical methods including electrochemical sensing have been developed for sensitive and selective monitoring of cholesterol.




Fig. 12 (A) Scheme illustrating the biosensor based on Fc-GO on SPE for the detection of cholesterol. (B) CV response of the Fc-GO based biosensors in the (a) absence and (b) presence of cholesterol ester, uric acid and glucose. (C) Amperometric response of the Fc-GO based biosensor for detecting cholesterol and uric acid. Arrows indicate the aliquots of (a) cholesterol ester and (b) uric acids, added at regular intervals into PBS (pH 7.2). Insets show the corresponding calibration plots. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 284, R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, Redox-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Architecture for the Development of Amperometric Biosensing Platform, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, 5, 4791–4798. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



Similar to electrochemical glucose biosensing, the sensing of cholesterol can be accomplished by two approaches. (i) The enzymatic reaction of cholesterol with cholesterol oxidase (ChOx), *i.e.*, the concentration of cholesterol can be estimated by monitoring the concentration of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) generated through the enzymatic reaction. The ChOx enzyme is most commonly used for the detection of cholesterol because it easily catalyzes the oxidation of cholesterol to cholest-4-3-one and H_2O_2 .²⁸⁴ (ii) Enzyme-free direct biocatalytic oxidation of cholesterol.²⁸⁵ Various nanomaterials, such as metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, CNTs, GR, and polymer nanocomposites, have been successfully applied to develop cholesterol biosensors.²⁸⁶ Among the nanomaterials developed, graphene holds a great promise for the detection of cholesterol with highly enhanced sensitivity and selectivity due to its fast electron transport process, good mechanical properties and biocompatibility.²⁸⁶ Graphene-based cholesterol biosensors are summarized in Table 3.

3.2.1 Enzymatic detection of cholesterol. Graphene-based nanocomposites have been extensively investigated for the fabrication of enzyme-based cholesterol sensors. For example, Dey *et al.*²⁸⁴ fabricated a redox enzyme-functionalized GO based biosensor by covalently conjugated the ferrocene (Fc) redox units to the GO backbone through diamine in order to facilitate the enhanced electron transfer rate. Fig. 12 shows the schematic of Fc-GO based biosensor the detection of cholesterol and mechanism of the enzymatic reaction, CV response of the biosensors in the absence and presence of cholesterol ester, UA and glucose and amperometric response of the cholesterol biosensor with subsequent addition of cholesterol at a fixed potential of 0.3 V. The biosensor showed a linear response ranging from 0.5 to 46.5 μM with a sensitivity of 5.71 $\mu\text{A } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.1 μM with a response time of 4 s for the detection of cholesterol. The Fc-GO based biosensors also exhibited a linear range of 0.001 to 0.02 mM and 0.2 to 19.7 mM, sensitivities of 5.85 $\mu\text{A } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and 20.71 $\mu\text{A } \text{mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ with LODs of 0.1 μM and 1 μM for detecting UA and glucose, respectively. The clinical application of the Fc-GO biosensors was demonstrated by detecting cholesterol ester, UA and glucose in human serum samples. Li *et al.*²⁹⁸ showed a cholesterol biosensor based on a CS biopolymer/GR nanocomposite. The availability of amine (NH_3/NH_2) and hydroxyl (OH) side groups in CS biopolymer not only facilitates the stable conjugation of ChOx enzyme but also increased the DET between the enzyme and the electrode surface. The ChOx/CS-GR based biosensor exhibited a linear range of 0.005 to 1.0 mM with an LOD of 0.715 μM for cholesterol detection and selectivity against interfering bionalytes such as DA, AA, UA, and glucose. Ruecha *et al.*²⁹⁴ used graphene/poly(vinylpyrrolidone)/poly(aniline) (GR/PVP/PANI) nanocomposites to fabricate cholesterol biosensors. The sensor showed a linear range from 50 μM to 10 mM with an LOD of 1 μM and clinical use for cholesterol detection in human serum samples.

Combining the noble metal nanoparticles with graphene has been shown to significantly enhance the electrocatalytic properties and subsequent detection of cholesterol.^{161,296,310} Pt and Pd nanoparticles exhibit superior electrocatalytic activity to

other noble metal nanoparticles. The fabrication of biosensor using PtNPs/GR²⁸⁷ and PdNPs/rGO²⁹⁶ hybrid nanostructures has been reported for the electrochemical detection of cholesterol. The PdNPs/rGO hybrid nanostructure-based biosensor displayed an increased sensitivity of 5.12 $\mu\text{A } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.05 μM , due to the high electrocatalytic activity of dendritic Pd nanostructures supported on rGO surface. Cao *et al.*²⁹² developed a cholesterol biosensor based on bimetallic PtPd nanoparticles supported on CS-GR-functionalized GCE. Owing to the synergetic catalytic activity of Pt and Pd nanoparticles, the fabricated PtPdNPs-CS-GR biosensor showed improvement in the detection range from 2.2×10^{-6} to 5.2×10^{-4} M, and the LOD was 0.75 μM because of accelerated DET between the redox enzyme and the electrode surface. Metal oxide nanostructures supported on GR have also been used to develop cholesterol sensors. Komathi *et al.*³⁰⁷ used titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanowires supported on 3D graphene nanostacks for the fabrication of dual-mode cholesterol biosensors. The biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of 3.82 $\mu\text{A } \text{mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 6 μM . The sensing performance is slightly lower than that of previous metal nanoparticles supported on GO due to the lower electrocatalytic activity and conductivity of TiO_2 nanostructures.

3.2.2 Nonenzymatic detection of cholesterol. The stability of enzymes such as GOx and ChOx is easily affected by factors such as temperature, humidity, pH, *etc.* Nonenzymatic detection has numerous advantages over the enzyme-based approach including simple fabrication process, reproducibility, stability, low cost and freedom from oxygen limitation.²⁸⁵ Therefore, significant research efforts have been focused on developing biosensors for nonenzymatic cholesterol detection. Moreover, owing to the strong catalytic ability and facile attachment of metal NPs to the electrode surface, GR-based nanocomposites have been extensively investigated as electrode materials.⁷⁵

A nonenzymatic cholesterol sensor based on β -cyclodextrin (β -CD)-modified chemically converted graphene was reported by Agnihotri *et al.*³¹¹ Methylene blue (MB) was used as a redox indicator in complex to form a cholesterol-sensing matrix. The resulting sensor showed an LOD as low as 1 μM . Lakshmi *et al.*³¹² developed a biosensor using polyaniline nanofiber/graphene microflowers for the detection of cholesterol, achieving an enhanced sensitivity of 0.101 $\mu\text{A } \text{mg}^{-1} \text{dL } \text{cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 1.93 $\text{mg } \text{dL}^{-1}$. The biosensor showed high selectivity toward cholesterol detection against interfering bioanalytes including AA, citric acid, urea, glucose, and cysteine. Alexander *et al.*³¹³ reported a nonenzymatic cholesterol sensor fabricated from a modified GO-based molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP). The GO-MIP based sensor showed high sensitivity with an LOD of 0.1 nM and a fast response time of 2 min. Rengaraj *et al.*³⁰⁴ developed a nonenzymatic cholesterol biosensor using nickel oxide (NiO)/graphene composite. The developed sensor displayed a sensitivity of 40.6 $\text{mA } \mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, an LOD of 0.13 mM, and a fast response time of 5 s. Moreover, the biosensor showed the ability to detect the cholesterol content in a milk sample, reproducibility and long-term stability.



Table 4 Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) biosensors fabricated using graphene nanocomposite-based modified electrodes^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Linear range	Sensitivity ($\mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$)	Limit of detection (LOD)	Ref.
rGO/carbon ceramic with CdS-hemoglobin	2–240 μM	1.056	0.24 μM	317
Carboxyl (COOH)-functionalized GO	0.006–0.8 μM	—	1 nM	318
Porous CeO ₂ /rGO xerogel composite	60.7 nM to 3.0 μM	1.978	30.40 nM	319
PtNPs/graphene nanocomposite	0.002–0.71 mM	—	0.5 μM	320
3D graphene/CNTs	10 μM to 1 mM	137.9	1 μM	321
PtNPs/MnO ₂ nanowire/rGO paper	2 μM to 133 mM	129.5	1.0 μM	322
Self-assembled AuNPs/rGO paper	0.005–8.6 mM	236.8	2.0 μM	323
Prussian blue (PB)/CS/graphene sheets	0.01–0.4 mM	816.4	0.213 μM	324
AuNPs/porous graphene films	0.5–4.9 mM	75.9	0.1 μM	42
Nf/PdNPs@rGO-APTES composite	0.7 μM to 13.5 mM	1164.3	0.21 μM	148
GR/Cu ₂ O nanocubes	0.3–7.8 mM	—	20.8 μM	235
GR/Ag nanocrystals	0.02–10 mM	183.5	3 μM	325
Prussian blue NPs/GR paper	1–7 mM	25.0	5 μM	326
AuNPs/rGO nanohybrids	0.25–22.5 mM	5.3	6.2 μM	327
ZrO ₂ /rGO nanocomposite	0.1–1340 μM	—	20 nM	328
rGO/tyrosine	0.1–2.1 mM	69.07	80 μM	329
rGO/mesoporous silica/AuNPs composite	0.5 μM to 50 mM	39.2	60 nM	330
rGO/MnO ₂ nanotube nanocomposite	0.1–30 mM	303.9	0.82 μM	331
Polypyrrole/rGO/AuNPs composites	32 μM to 2 mM	40.0	2.7 μM	332
PtNPs/graphene–CNTs hybrid paper	Up to 25 μM	1.41	10 nM	333
AgNPs/CNT/rGO hybrid nanocomposite	0.01–10 mM	—	1 μM	334
PtNPs/porous GR	1–1477 μM	341.14	0.50 μM	335
Freestanding graphene papers	1–30 μM	500000	100 nM	336
Hollow TiO ₂ -modified rGO microspheres	0.1–360 μM	417.6	10 nM	337
CuO/graphene nanosphere composite	0.01–0.1 mM	—	6.88 μM	338
3D graphene–Fe ₃ O ₄ QD composite	—	274150	8 nM	339
rGO/AuNPs nanohybrids	0.25 to 22.5 mM	—	6.2 μM	327
Ni(OH) ₂ /rGO/MWCNTs	10–9050 μM	2042.0	4.0 μM	247
Cytochrome <i>c</i> (Cyt <i>c</i>)/GO/CNT/AuNPs	0.001–0.14 mM	0.533	0.027 nM	340
Pt nanospheres/flexible graphene silk	0–2.5 mM	150.8	0.2 μM	169
rGO/nPPY composite	1–4 μM	—	34 nM	341
Carbon QDs/graphene sheets	10 μM to 1 mM	—	300 nm	342
Poly-L-histidine/rGO composite	0.2–500 μM	266.4	0.05 μM	343
Hemin-graphene/PEDOT composite	1.0 μM to 0.1 mM	23.05	0.08 μM	344
Ag nanowire/graphene hybrids	10.0 μM to 34.3 mM	—	1 μM	345
Hollow AuPd NPs/N-doped GR	0.1–20 mM	5095.5	0.02 μM	346
AgNPs/PANI/graphene composite	0.25 to 2.25 mM	7.46	0.03 mM	347
CeO ₂ /rGO nanocomposite	0.1–500 μM	4.65	0.021 μM	348
GR/cobalt oxide (Co ₃ O ₄) NPs	0.2–211.5 μM	13.52	0.06 μM	349
AgNPs/GO nanocomposites	0.02–23.1 mM	—	8.7 μM	350
rGO-PtNPs composite	0.05–750.6 μM	0.686	16 nM	351
GR nanodots/gold	0.005–4 mM	—	1 μM	352
AgNPs/CNTs/rGO nanocomposite	0.01–10 mM	—	1 μM	334
Ag@Pt core-shell NPs/rGO	5 μM to 12.4 mM	—	0.9 μM	353
NiCo ₂ N/N-GR core-shell	200 nM to 3.4985 mM	2848.73	200 nM	224
PdNPs/bilayer graphene	0.004–13.5 mM	115.1	1.5 μM	354
rGO/PdNPs/ZnFe ₂ O ₄ composite	25 μM to 10.2 mM	621.64	2.12 μM	355
Graphene/cellulose microfiber	0.05–926 μM	0.49	0.01 μM	356
3D porous N-doped GR	0.6 μM to 2.1 mM	1.0	0.3 μM	357
PDA/GR QDs/AuNPs	0.1–40 μM	48.6	5.8 nM	358
HRP/porous GR	2.77–835 μM	—	26.7 pM	359
Nanowall-like Pd/rGO	100 μM to 12 mM	—	0.24 μM	360
PEDOT:PSS/rGO/AuNPs	5–400 μM	677.0	0.08 μM	361
rGO/Nafion/AgNPs	1–10 μM	0.4508	0.535 μM	362
rGO/CuFe ₂ O ₄ composite	1 μM to 11 mM	265.57	0.35 μM	363
GR/organic salts	2–37 mM	1161.6	0.19 μM	315
Cu ₂ O/rGO paper	0.001–1.47 mM	—	0.37 μM	364
Cobalt sulfide/rGO composite	0.1 μM to 2.54 mM	2.519	42 nM	365
Au–Pt NPs/rGO composite	0.005–4 mM	1117.0	0.008 μM	366

^a Abbreviations used: ChOx: cholesterol oxidase, PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone, PANI: polyaniline, MWCNTs: multiwalled carbon nanotubes, PEI: polyethyleneimine, PEDOT: poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), HRP: horseradish peroxidase.



3.3 Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) biosensors

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) sensors have attracted much research interest because H₂O₂ is not only a byproduct of numerous enzymatic reactions but also plays an important role as a mediator in clinical, food, pharmaceutical and environmental monitoring applications due to its superior oxidizing and reducing properties.⁶⁶ In living organisms, H₂O₂ is a byproduct of enzymatic reactions involving different oxidases and plays an essential role in regulating various biological signaling transduction processes, and enzymatic biosensing appears feasible. Unfortunately, the overpotentials (300–600 mV against a reference electrode) applied to the working electrode usually generate a significant amount of interference.³¹⁴ Thus, intensive investigations have focused on the development of H₂O₂ sensors with reduced oxidation/reduction overpotentials.⁶⁶

Graphene-based nanomaterials have attracted extensive interest for sensing H₂O₂ because of their high specific surface area, good mechanical strength and superior electric conductivity.⁶⁶ Furthermore, the integration of metal NPs onto the surface of graphene could significantly improve the active surface area to enable adsorption and speed up electron transfer processes of analyte between the electrode and detection molecules. Table 4 lists the H₂O₂ biosensors developed from graphene-based nanocomposites. Initially, Zhou *et al.*¹³¹ demonstrated a modified electrode with chemically reduced GO, showing a much lower onset potential of H₂O₂ oxidation/reduction at 0.2/0.1 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) than with a graphite-modified electrode. The enhanced performance is ascribed to the high electrocatalytic activity and surface defects. Hou *et al.*³¹⁵ performed the organic salt-mediated preparation of pristine graphene and used it for the nonenzymatic electrochemical detection of H₂O₂. The biosensor exhibited improved electrocatalytic activity for H₂O₂ detection with a wide linear range from 2.0 to 437.0 μM with LOD of 0.19 μM. Yu *et al.*³¹⁶ prepared multilayer composite films of (phthalocyaninato)(-porphyrinato)europium(III) triple-decker compound (Pc)Eu(Pc)Eu[*trans*-T(COOCH₃)₂PP] (1) and GO for the detection of H₂O₂. The combination of the high electrical conductivity and a large surface area of GO with the semiconducting triple-decker porphyrin compound in the indium tin oxide electrode enabled high H₂O₂-sensing activity because of the optimized triple-decker molecular packing on the GO surface. The triple-decker/GO sensor showed a sensitivity of 7.4 μA mM⁻¹ with a wide linear range of 0.05–1800 μM, an LOD of 0.017 μM, and a response time of 0.03 s μM⁻¹.

Noble metal nanoparticles such as Ag, Au, Pt, Pd, and Cu decorated on GO surface have attracted significant interest for developing electrochemical H₂O₂ sensors due to their unique catalytic properties.^{66,367,368} Guo *et al.*³⁶⁹ prepared Pt nanoparticle/graphene hybrid nanosheets through a microwave-assisted heating method and used it for the electrochemical sensing of H₂O₂. The modified electrode showed increased in electrochemical performance for the detection of H₂O₂ in the linear range of 1 to 500 μM with a detection limit of 80 nM. Moreover, the sensor exhibited high selectivity for the

detection of H₂O₂ in the presence of UA and AA interferences. Ag and Au nanoparticles exhibit high catalytic ability toward H₂O₂. Zhan *et al.*³⁷⁰ demonstrated the hydrothermal synthesis of AgNPs and used them to decorate 3D graphene for a H₂O₂ sensor. Owing to the higher catalytic activity of AgNPs, higher conductivity and reactivity of the 3D graphene porous structure, the fabricated sensor showed a wide linear range between 0.03–16.21 mM and an LOD of 14.9 μM. One-dimensional Ag nanostructures such as Ag nanorods³⁷¹ and nanowires³⁴⁵ were also decorated on graphene surface to design sensors with improved sensitivity for the detection of H₂O₂. In addition to metal nanoparticles, metal oxide nanostructures were also supported on graphene for fabricating H₂O₂ biosensors. Karthikeyan *et al.*³⁶³ developed copper ferrite (CuFe₂O₄) supported rGO nanocomposite-based sensor which showed a high sensitivity of 265.57 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear range of 1 μM to 11 mM, and an LOD of 0.35 μM. Yusoff *et al.*³⁶² developed a biosensor using rGO-Nafion@silver6 (rGO-Nf@Ag6) hybrid-modified GCEs for the detection of H₂O₂. The sensor exhibited high sensitivity 0.4508 μA mM⁻¹, with an LOD of 0.535 μM due to the coupling of rGO-Nf with AgNPs, which enhanced the performance due to more active surface area that enabling the interaction of analyte and the fast electron transfer. Chen *et al.*³⁷² developed a biosensor based on a PtNPs-carbon quantum dot (CQD)/ionic liquid-functionalized GO nanocomposite for detecting H₂O₂. The unique PtNPs-CQDs/IL-GO structure improved the electrocatalytic reduction of H₂O₂ and offered abundant active sites for involved electrochemical redox reactions. The fabricated sensor showed a linear range of 1 to 900 μM and an LOD of 0.1 μM for detecting H₂O₂. Sun *et al.*³⁷³ used PtNi nanowires on rGO for developing H₂O₂ sensor. The sensor showed with a wide linear range between 1 nM to 5.3 mM and an LOD of 0.3 nM for the sensing of H₂O₂ due to the plentiful active sites available through PtNi nanowires. Bai *et al.*³⁶⁶ developed nonenzymatic H₂O₂ sensors using Au and Pt alloy microspheres decorated on rGO. The resulting biosensor exhibited a sensitivity of 1117.0 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear range of 0.005–4.0 mM and an LOD of 0.008 μM for the determination of H₂O₂. Deepalakshmi *et al.*²²⁴ used NiCo₂N/N-GR/GCE sensor for nonenzymatic detection of H₂O₂. NiCo₂N/N-GR core-shell nanostructure-based sensor detected 0.463 mM H₂O₂ concentration in human blood serum with 91.7% recovery, showed high selectivity toward H₂O₂ against interferences including DA, UA, AA, glucose, urea, and NaCl, and retained 91.05% and 98.52% of initial current response after 45 days at <5 °C and 3000 s, respectively.

The assembly of flexible electrodes using few-layer graphene nanosheets into different freestanding structures with high electrical conductivity, mechanical strength, and structural uniformity has shown great promise for the design of flexible biosensors.⁴⁰ The biosensor performance can be optimized by controlling the particle size and morphology of inorganic NPs, and their degree of loading and uniform distribution on the freestanding graphene films. For instance, the homogeneous dispersion or self-assembly of the different nanoparticles over graphene nanosheets offers a large specific surface area and improved electron transport through conducting networks.³⁷⁴ Xi *et al.*⁴² prepared AuNPs containing porous graphene






Fig. 13 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of 2D-assembly of AuNPs on GO paper to develop hybrid electrodes. (B) Different magnifications of the SEM images of 2D-assembly of AuNPs transferred on the GO paper where AuNPs formed a highly packed monolayer on the GO paper. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 323, F. Xiao, J. Song, H. Gao, X. Zan, R. Xu and H. Duan, Coating Graphene Paper with 2D-Assembly of Electrocatalytic Nanoparticles: A Modular Approach toward High-Performance Flexible Electrodes, *ACS Nano*, 2012, 6, 100–110. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

nanosheets by the electrostatic layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly of AuNPs with BSA to fabricate the electrode material for the detection of H_2O_2 . The AuNPs/porous GR-based sensor exhibited enhanced electrochemical detection of H_2O_2 with a sensitivity of $75.9 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2} \text{mM}^{-1}$, a linear range from $0.5 \mu\text{M}$ to 4.9mM , and an LOD of $0.1 \mu\text{M}$ compared with AuNPs/nonporous graphene composite. Xiao *et al.*³²³ developed flexible electrodes by assembling AuNPs on the freestanding GO paper. Specifically, the 2D array of AuNPs at oil-water interfaces were transferred on a freestanding GO paper, enabling a densely assembled monolayer of AuNPs on the surface of GO paper (Fig. 13). The fabricated sensor showed improved electrochemical properties, with a sensitivity of $236.8 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of $0.005\text{--}8.6 \text{mM}$ and an LOD value of $2.0 \mu\text{M}$ ($S/N = 3$) for the detection of H_2O_2 . The AuNPs/rGO paper-based sensor showed a linear range of 0.01 to 46mM , sensitivity of $52.36 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, and the LOD of $5.0 \mu\text{M}$ for glucose detection. The AuNPs/GO paper hybrid electrode also

showed long-term stability by retaining 96.8% of its initial peak current value for glucose oxidation after 200 cycles and over 90% initial catalytic current value up to 60 days after the electrode fabrication. Liang *et al.*¹⁶⁹ prepared a freestanding graphene oxide-silk fiber nanocomposite film by then supported spiky structured PtNPs on the film substrate for fabrication of the H_2O_2 sensor. The resultant sensor based on spiky PtNP-decorated nanocomposite films showed a linear range of $0\text{--}2.5 \text{mM}$, an LOD of $0.2 \mu\text{M}$ and the improved sensitivity of $0.56 \text{mA mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for detecting H_2O_2 and the LOD of $1 \mu\text{M}$ with a sensitivity of $150.8 \mu\text{A mM}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the glucose detection.

Hybrid nanocomposites of 3D graphene with NPs have attracted tremendous attention because of their unique physical and chemical properties, such as high electron mobility, exceptional impermeability and a large specific surface area for the development of H_2O_2 sensors.^{132,375,376} Cheng *et al.*³⁶⁴ reported Cu_2O microcrystals decorating highly porous 3D graphene aerogel (GA) for the electrochemical detection of H_2O_2 .






Fig. 14 (A) Photographs of 3D-Cu₂O-GA fabricated through a freeze-drying process and paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P nanostructure obtained by filtration (C). Schematic illustration of the synthesis of 3D Cu₂O-GA composite (B) and paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P nanostructure (D). (E and F) SEM images obtained at different magnifications of the 2D Cu₂O-rGO paper without thermal annealing. Inset in (F) shows the dispersion of Cu₂O nanocubes in the rGO paper in terms of the size distribution histogram. SEM images of the 2D Cu₂O-rGO paper before (G) and after (H) thermal annealing. Amperometric curves of 3D Cu₂O-GA/GC composite electrode (I) and paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P/GC composite electrode (J) with H₂O₂ addition in N₂-saturated 0.1 M PBS solution (pH = 7.0) at the applied potential of -0.4 V. The insets in (I) and (J) show the magnified cathodic current response measured at the low H₂O₂ concentrations. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 364, C. Cheng, C. Zhang, X. Gao, Z. Zhuang, C. Du and W. Chen, 3D Network and 2D Paper of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Cu₂O Composite for Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 1983–1991. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]






Fig. 15 Different graphene nanocomposite-based electrode materials for the detection of H_2O_2 in living cells. (A) Schematic representation of the *in vitro* detection of H_2O_2 using the rGO-PMS@AuNP/GCE. (B) Amperometric response of the rGO-PMS@AuNPs/GC electrode (i) with and (ii) without of HeLa cells after sequential addition of PMA and catalase to 0.1 M PBS at -0.75 V. (C) Cellular assay comparison of H_2O_2 detection for HEK 293, HeLa, and HepG2 cells. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 330, S. K. Maji, S. Sreejith, A. K. Mandal, X. Ma and Y. Zhao, Immobilizing Gold Nanoparticles in Mesoporous Silica Covered Reduced Graphene Oxide: A Hybrid Material for Cancer Cell Detection through Hydrogen Peroxide Sensing, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 13648–13656. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (D) Schematic of the rGO-PtNPs-modified GCE for detecting H_2O_2 efflux from cells stimulated with ascorbic acid (AA). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 381, Y. Zhang, X. Bai, X. Wang, K.-K. Shiu, Y. Zhu and H. Jiang, Highly Sensitive Graphene–Pt Nanocomposites Amperometric Biosensor and Its Application in Living Cell H_2O_2 Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 9459–9465. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (E) Schematic of the rGO/AuFe₃O₄/PtNPs-modified GCE for detecting H_2O_2 efflux from cells stimulated with ascorbic acid (AA). (F) Amperometric response upon adding AA in PBS containing HeLa cells at 0 V (red), containing HeLa cells and catalases (blue), or without cells (green). (G) Amount of H_2O_2 released by HeLa, HeLa + catalase, and No cells. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 382, L. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Cheng, X. Liu, H. Jiang and X. Wang, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Based on the Nanointerface of Graphene Nanocomposites Blended with Gold, Fe₃O₄, and Platinum Nanoparticles, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 18441–18449. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



Fig. 14 shows the synthesis and photographs of 3D-Cu₂O-GA and paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P nanostructures, their SEM images and amperometric curves of 3D Cu₂O-GA/GC and paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P/GC composite electrodes after adding H₂O₂ in N₂-saturated PBS solution at -0.4 V. The developed 3D Cu₂O-GA-based biosensor exhibited high electrocatalytic activity for the reduction of H₂O₂ and improved sensing performance with a linear range from 1.0 μM to 1.47 mM and an LOD of 0.37 μM. The paper-like 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P nanostructure showed further improved H₂O₂ sensing with a wide linear range of 5.0 μM to 10.56 mM and the LOD of 3.78 μM. Both sensors based on 3D Cu₂O-GA and 2D Cu₂O-rGO-P nanocomposites showed high selectivity toward the detection of H₂O₂ as no interference was observed from the trace amounts of DA, AA, UA and NaCl interferents. Lin *et al.*³⁷⁷ developed an electrochemical H₂O₂ sensor using 3D rGO structure decorated with molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) QDs. The developed rGO-MoS₂ QD composite-based sensor exhibited a broad linear range of 0.01 to 5.57 mM, an LOD of 1.90 μM, and stability up to 18 days.

3.3.1 Detection of H₂O₂ in living cells. The development of biosensors to detect H₂O₂ from biological cells such as cancer cells is gaining a lot of interest. Zhang *et al.*³⁷⁸ reported a H₂O₂ sensor based on nitrogen- and sulfur-codoped GQDs/graphene nanosheets. The sensor displayed a wide linear range from 0.4 μM to 33 mM with an LOD of 26 nM, in addition to high selectivity, reproducibility and long-term stability for H₂O₂ detection in human serum samples and in the secretions released by Raw 264.7 cells. The NS-GQDs/GR hybrid nanocomposite exhibited high performance for the detection of H₂O₂ due to the high electrical conductivity, specific surface area and the availability of plentiful doping sites and edges. Sun *et al.*³³³ demonstrated the real-time electrochemical detection of H₂O₂ in live cells using a PtNP-decorated GR/CNT hybrid paper electrode. The flexible PtNP/GR-CNT hybrid paper-based sensor showed a linear range as low as 25 mM and an LOD of 10 nM and the sensitivity of 1.41 μA μM⁻¹ cm⁻². Zhang *et al.*³⁷⁹ developed a freestanding nanohybrid paper electrode using 3D ionic liquid-functionalized graphene supported by gold nanoflowers for the *in situ* electrochemical detection and real-time monitoring of H₂O₂ released from live breast cancer cells. The composite-based nonenzymatic electrochemical sensor showed sensitivity toward H₂O₂ with a linear range of 0.5 μM to 2.3 mM and an LOD of 100 nM due to the unique 3D structure and high electrocatalytic activity originating from the Au nanoflowers. Moreover, the developed sensor was able to discriminate normal breast cells (HBL-100) from the cancer breast cells (MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells) and monitored H₂O₂ released from the breast cancer cells. Liu *et al.*³³⁵ reported PtNP-decorated porous graphene for detecting extracellular H₂O₂ released from the living cells. The biosensor showed good electrocatalytic reduction of H₂O₂ with a sensitivity of 341.14 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear range of 1.0–1477 μM and an LOD as low as 0.5 μM. The improved sensor performance can be attributed to the uniform dispersion of PtNPs on the larger surface area and abundant active sites provided by the porous graphene nanostructure.

Maji *et al.*³³⁰ developed a sensor based on sandwich-like periodic silica-coated rGO-AuNPs for the detection of H₂O₂

released from living tumor cells (Fig. 15A). The sensor showed a sensitivity of 39.2 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a wide linear range from 0.5 μM to 50 mM and an LOD of 60 nM. The sensor also detected glucose and H₂O₂ in human urine and nanomolar level sensitivity for H₂O₂ released from living HeLa and HepG2 tumor cells. Similarly, the detection of H₂O₂ in a biological system was accomplished Ju *et al.*³⁸⁰ using an electrochemical sensor based on AuNPs supported on nitrogen-doped GQDs (AuNPs/N-GQDs). The fabricated sensor exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity for the detection of H₂O₂ released from human cervical cancer cells with a sensitivity of 186.22 μA mM⁻¹ cm⁻², a linear range of 0.25–13 327 μM and an LOD of 0.12 μM. The performance of the biosensor is attributed to the catalytic activity of the exposed (111) facets of the AuNPs and the dimension of the GQDs, which facilitate electron transfer between the AuNPs/N-GQDs and the GCE surface. Zhang *et al.*³⁸¹ demonstrated an H₂O₂ sensor based on PtNPs/rGO nanocomposites to detect H₂O₂ from living cells (Fig. 15B). The sensor showed high sensitivity with a linear range from 0.5 μM to 3.475 mM and an LOD of ~0.2 μM. Wang *et al.*³⁸² prepared a modified electrode using rGO blended with dumbbell-like AuFe₃O₄ and Pt NPs (rGO/AuFe₃O₄NP/PtNP) for the detection of H₂O₂ released from living cancer cells (Fig. 15C). The fabricated rGO/AuFe₃O₄/PtNPs modified electrode-based sensor shows high catalytic activity and low overpotential for H₂O₂ detection. Moreover, the biosensor displayed improved selectivity and sensitivity with a linear range from 0.5 μM to 11.5 mM and an LOD of ~0.1 μM as well as no interference from competing UA, AA and glucose bioanalytes. The sensor was used to measure the release of H₂O₂ from living cells, including both tumor cells and healthy normal cells using external stimulation where more H₂O₂ was released from tumor cells compared with normal cells. The quantity of H₂O₂ released from human cervical cancer cell HeLa was found to be almost twice than that of normal hepatic cells LO₂. The results showed nanocomposite-based biosensor as a promising platform for nonenzymatic H₂O₂ detection in clinical diagnostics to determine oxidative stress in different types of normal and diseases cells. Sun *et al.*³³³ reported a graphene-decorated intermetallic PtPb nanoplate (PtPb-NPs/GR) nanocomposite-based electrochemical sensor for the detection of H₂O₂. This sensor showed high electrocatalytic activity for the reduction of H₂O₂ with a linear range from 2 nM to 2.5 mM and an LOD of 2 nM. Moreover, the sensor also detected H₂O₂ released from Raw 264.7 cells. The high performance of this biosensor was attributed to the synergy between the PtPb nanoplates and graphene as well as abundance of electrocatalytic active sites available on the PtPb nanoplates. The same research group also fabricated H₂O₂ biosensor by assembling atomic-thick PtNi nanowires on rGO employing an ultra-sonic self-assembly technique.³⁷³ The resulting PtNiNWs/rGO-based biosensor exhibited electrocatalytic reduction of H₂O₂ in a wide linear range from 1.0 nM to 5.3 mM with an LOD of 0.3 nM at a potential of -0.6 V (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) and also detected real-time H₂O₂ release from a murine macrophage cell line (Raw 264.7 cell).



3.4 Nucleic acid (DNA/RNA) biosensors

The detection of nucleic acids has attracted attention due to the variability and specificity of the sequence. For example, NA biosensors can be used to detect single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) that can hybridize with the complementary strand. The high sensitivity and selectivity of these biosensors is important in

gene engineering and in the diagnosis of genetic diseases since any damage to DNA molecules strongly influences genetic and chronic diseases.³⁸⁴ Several signal transduction methods have been employed for the detection of DNA, including fluorescence, electrochemistry, electrochemiluminescence (ECL), chemiluminescence (CL), colorimetry, and surface plasmon resonance (SPR).¹¹ The electrochemical detection method is

Table 5 Graphene nanocomposite-based biosensors for detecting nucleic acids and NADH^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Target	Detection technique	Linear range	Limit of detection (LOD)	Ref.
Nucleic acids					
3D GR/AuNP nanocomposite	DNA	CV, EIS	50 fM to 5.0 pM	3.4 fM	391
Poly(xanthurenic acid)/rGO composite	DNA	EIS	0.1 fM to 0.1 nM	4.2 fM	392
SPAN/GO composite	DNA	EIS	0.1 fM to 0.1 nM	0.32 fM	393
rGO modified GCE	Amelogenin gene	EIS, CV	1×10^{-20} to 1×10^{-14} M	3.2×10^{-21} M	394
AuNPs/toluidine blue-GO composite	DNA	DPV	10 pM to 1 nM	2.95 pM	395
GR/Au nanorods/polythionine	HPV	—	0.1 pM to 0.1 nM	40.3 fM	396
Flower-like VS ₂ /GR/AuNPs	AIV H5N1 gene	—	0.5 pM to 0.5 nM	52 fM	397
AuNPs/rGO/GCE	ssDNA	EIS, CV	3×10^{-20} to 10^{-12} M	1×10^{-20} M	398
Au nanoclusters/GR	HIV gene	DPV	0.1 fM to 100 nM	30 aM	399
EC-rGO/GR	DNA	CV, DPV	10 fM to 1 μM	0.158 fM	400
GR/Au nanoclusters composite	DNA	LSV	0.2 fM to 20 pM	0.057 fM	401
rGO/AuNPs	DNA	DPV	0.1 pM to 10 nM	35 fM	402
AuNPs/rGO/PANI nanocomposite	DNA	—	1.0 fM to 1.0 nM	1.0 fM	403
	(<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>)				
rGO	DNA	EIS	1.0 fM to 1.0 nM	0.029 fM	404
N-doped GR/AuNPs	DNA	—	10 fM to 0.1 μM	3.12 fM	405
rGO/ZrO ₂ nanocomposite	miRNA-21	EIS	10 fM to 0.1 nM	4.3 fM	406
GO nanosheet/AgNPs nanocomposite	DNA	DPV	10 fM to 10 nM	7.6 fM	407
GR/Nafion composite	HIV gene	EIS	0.1–100 pM	0.23 fM	408
EC-rGO	HIV gene	EIS	1 pM to 1 nM	0.3 pM	409
p-rGO/AuNPs	ssDNA	DPV	0.1 fM to 1 μM	0.317 fM	410
	(<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>)				
GR/flavin mononucleotides	DNA	DPV	0.1 fM to 1.0 μM	0.074 fM	411
dsDNA/poly(L-cysteine)/Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs/GO nanocomposite	DNA	CV, EIS	0.01–30.0 μM	3.48 nM	412
Au-transferred CVD-grown GR	DNA	Hall	1 pM to 100 nM	1 pM	413
rGO	DNA	—	1 fM to 1 nM	1 fM	414
Thionine functionalized rGO	DNA	DPV	0.01 fM to 1 pM	4.28×10^{-19}	415
AQMS-rGO composite	DNA	DPV	1 fM to 0.01 μM	63 fM	416
Au nanocages/GR nanoribbons	DNA	CV, EIS	1 fM to 100 pM	600 aM	417
GR QDs	miRNA-155	CV	1 fM to 100 pM	0.14 fM	418
GO/Au nanorod nanocomposite	miRNA-155	CV	2.0 fM to 8.0 pM	0.6 fM	419
MgO nanoflowers/GO-AuNPs nanocomposite	miRNA	CV	0.1–100 fM	50 aM	420
CS/N-doped rGO	miRNA	EIS	$5\text{--}20 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	$1.72 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$	421
N-doped GR/AuNPs/hemin/G-quadruplexes	miRNA	CV, DPV	10 fM to 1 nM	0.17 fM	422
NADH					
N-doped GR/AuNPs nanocomposite	NADH	CV	1–100 μM	300 nM	423
rGO/AuNPs	NADH	CV	0–500 μM	0.6 μM	160
GR-DNA tetrahedra/AuNPs	NADH	CV	1.0 fM to 10 pM	1.0 fM	424
AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite	NADH	CV	50 nM to 500 μM	1.13 nM	425
Poly(O-anisidine)/GR nanocomposite	NADH	CV	0.166–1.772 μM	1.3 μM	426
N-doped GR	NADH	CV	0.5–12 μM	0.37 μM	427
EC-rGO/AuNPs-PAH composite	NADH	CV	0.01–0.2 mM	3.5 μM	428
FeN NPs/N-doped GR	NADH	—	0.4–718 μM	25 nM	429
GR/pyrroloquinoline quinone composite	NADH	DPV	0.32–220 μM	0.16 μM	430
Au-AgNPs/poly(L-cysteine)/rGO nanocomposite	NADH	CV	0.083 μM to 1.05 mM	9.0 nM	431

^a Abbreviations used: NADH: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, SWCNTs: single-walled carbon nanotubes, LSV: linear sweep voltammetry, MDR: multidrug resistance, HPV: human papillomavirus, PAH: poly(allylamine hydrochloride), AQMS: anthraquinone-2-sulfonic acid. AIV: avian influenza virus, CILE: carbon ionic liquid electrode, SPAN: sulfonated polyaniline.



considered an efficient approach for NA detection because of its low cost, simple operation, high sensitivity, fast response, miniaturization, and easy use in molecular diagnosis. The electrochemical NA detection mechanisms involve binding to a probe immobilized on the sensing surface and thereafter monitoring the change in a generated signal. The sensitivity and specificity of NA detection depend strongly on the immobilization of specific probes and also on shunning nonspecific binding to the sensing surface.³⁸⁵

Graphene and its derivatives have attracted much interest for achieving fast, label-free, highly sensitive and selective DNA/RNA detection and sequencing.³⁸⁶ Owing to their unique molecular structure and electronic properties, DNA and RNA can easily form π - π bonds between their conjugated systems and the nucleobases.³⁸⁷ Theoretical studies have also provided evidence that the molecular interaction between DNA/RNA and graphene is highly dominated by weak non-covalent π - π interactions.^{388,389} Hence, the electronic structure of the graphene-nucleobase system can be easily tuned by altering the substrate surface and the adsorbate.³⁹⁰ Additionally, the inherent electrical conductivity of graphene and graphene-based derivatives support effective signal amplification. Table 5 summarizes the DNA/RNA biosensors developed from graphene-based nanocomposites and their sensing capabilities using different electrochemical methods.

Loan *et al.*⁴¹³ demonstrated a Hall effect device consisting of gold films deposited on graphene layers for improved sensitivity in hybridization detection, with a wide linear range from 1 pM to 100 nM for the detection of DNA targets. AuNPs have been widely employed with graphene for the detection of DNA because covalent bonding between AuNPs and multiple thiolated probe ssDNAs supports both the loading efficiency and capacity. Wang *et al.*⁴³² described an electrochemical DNA biosensor based on AuNP-decorated rGO. The biosensor showed good selectivity toward single-base mismatched target DNA with a linear detection range of 0.1 μ M to 0.1 fM and an LOD of 35 aM. Huang *et al.*³⁹⁶ developed a DNA biosensor for electrochemical detection of human papillomavirus (HPV) using graphene/Au nanorods/polythionine (GR/AuNRs/PT). The fabricated DNA biosensor exhibited high performance for the detection of HPV DNA in a range from 1×10^{-13} to 1×10^{-10} mol L⁻¹ with an LOD of 4.03×10^{-14} mol L⁻¹. Wang *et al.*⁴³³ fabricated a peroxide mimic by loading iron(III) meso-tetrakis(*N*-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin (FeTMPyP) and streptavidin onto graphene oxide. The designed biosensor was used to detect a biotinylated molecular beacon for the electrochemical detection of DNA (Fig. 16A). The sensor showed a high electrochemical response for detecting DNA with an LOD reaching to 22 aM and a broad linear relationship from 10 pM to 100 aM, ranging five orders of magnitude (Fig. 16B). Yang *et al.*⁴¹¹ fabricated an electrochemical DNA sensor using bifunctionalized graphene with riboflavin 5'-monophosphate sodium salt (FMNS). The GR/FMNS sensor performance was compared with that of the conventional electrochemical indicator ([Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-}). The designed DNA sensor exhibited high sensitivity, selectivity, and stability with an LOD of 8.3×10^{-17} M, which is slightly higher (7.4×10^{-17} M) than that of

([Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-}) indicator. Singh *et al.*⁴³⁴ developed a GO/CS nanocomposite-based DNA biosensor for the identification of typhoid. The biosensor was constructed by the covalent immobilization of a *Salmonella typhi*-specific 5'-amine-labeled ssDNA probe on GO-CS/ITO with GA as cross-linkers. The ssDNA/GO-CS-based biosensor can effectively differentiate between complementary, non-complementary and one-base mismatch sequences with a detection range of 10 fM to 50 nM and an LOD of 10 fM within hybridization times of 60 s for the complementary sequence. Moreover, the resulting ssDNA/GO-CS biosensor enables the detection of complementary targets in serum samples with a low LOD of 100 fM. The high performance of the sensor originated from the electrochemical activity of GO and to the capability of CS biopolymer to enhance the DNA immobilization and enable the electron transfer between DNA and the ITO electrode surface.

The integration of AuNPs with GO or rGO is another valuable approach for developing DNA biosensors. Peng *et al.*³⁹⁵ reported




Fig. 16 (A) Schematic illustration of graphene/ferric porphyrin (FeTMPyP) based electrochemical sensor for DNA detection as a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-mimicking trace label. (B) DPV responses at target DNA concentration of 10 fM with (a) FeTMPyP-streptavidin-GO bioconjugate, (b) HRP-streptavidin-GO as trace label, and (c) in the absence of trace label. DPV curves at different target DNA concentrations of (a) 10 pM, (b) 1 pM, (c) 100 fM, (d) 10 fM, (e) 1.0 fM, (f) 100 aM and (g) 0 aM. Inset is a plot of peak versus the logarithm of target DNA concentration. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 433, Q. Wang, J. Lei, S. Deng, L. Zhang and H. Ju, Graphene-Supported Ferric Porphyrin as a Peroxidase Mimic for Electrochemical DNA Biosensing, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 916–918. Copyright© Royal Society of Chemistry.]





Fig. 17 Schematic illustration of the LbL-assembled AuNP-decorated first-generation (G1) PD with rGO core as a label-free biosensor with controllable 3D nanoarchitecture for the rapid detection of DNA hybridization. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 436, K. Jayakumar, M. B. Camarada, V. Dharuman, R. Rajesh, R. Venkatesan, H. Ju, M. Maniraj, A. Rai, S. R. Barman and Y. Wen, Layer-by-Layer-Assembled AuNPs-Decorated First-Generation Poly(amidoamine) Dendrimer with Reduced Graphene Oxide Core as Highly Sensitive Biosensing Platform with Controllable 3D Nanoarchitecture for Rapid Voltammetric Analysis of Ultratrace DNA Hybridization, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 21541–21555. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

a label-free electrochemical DNA biosensor for the detection of multidrug resistance (MDR) gene using AuNPs/toluidine blue (TB)-GO-modified electrode. The AuNPs/TB-GO based sensor showed an LOD of 2.95 pM with good selectivity. Han *et al.*⁴³⁵ fabricated sensor from gold nanorods (AuNRs) supported on GO nanosheets. The constructed DNA sensor detected complementary DNA from 1.0 nM to 10 fM with an LOD of 3.5 fM. Moreover, the sensor showed high selectivity as it can effectively discriminate complementary DNA sequences from a large quantity of single-base mismatched DNA from a ratio of 1000 : 1. Fang *et al.*³⁹⁷ developed a label-free electrochemical aptasensor using the self-assembly of DNA aptamers on flower-structured VS₂ and AuNPs supported on graphene for the detection of DNA. Specifically, the gene sequence of avian influenza virus H5N1 was selected as the target DNA, and the [Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-} solution was employed as the electrochemical indicator. This VS₂/AuNPs/GR composite-based sensor displayed a wide linear detection range from 5.0×10^{-13} to 5.0×10^{-10} M with an LOD of 52 fM for the detection of the H5N1-specific genetic sequence. Jayakumar *et al.*⁴³⁶ synthesized a 3D nanoarchitecture based on the layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly of AuNPs, which was supported by poly(amido-amine) dendrimer using rGO as a core for the ultra-low level detection of DNA hybridization, as shown in Fig. 17. Specifically, the biosensor was designed using [Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-} for covalent immobilization of thiol-functionalized ssDNA. The DNA biosensor was fast, highly sensitive and selective for DNA hybridization with a linear range of 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-13} g m⁻¹ and achieved an LOD of 9.07×10^{-14} g m⁻¹.

Several signal enhancement strategies to amplify DNA detection have been demonstrated. For example, Wang *et al.*³⁹⁹ reported an electrochemical biosensor for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) gene detection using graphene/Au nanoclusters (GR/AuNCs) with an exonuclease III (Exo III) amplification strategy (Fig. 18). The biosensor platform having

extremely high specific area offered more fixed active sites for the cytosine (C)-rich capture probes. The biosensor exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity toward HIV target DNA with an LOD of 30 aM. The GR/AuNCs biosensor showed high selectivity toward detecting HIV target DNA (10 nM concentration), compared with 10 times larger concentrations of three other interfering DNA sequences; single-base mismatched DNA (100 nM), four-base mismatched DNA (100 nM), and unmatched DNA (100 nM) as well as high reproducibility for detecting HIV target DNA with five different electrodes. Moreover, the resulting GR/AuNCs biosensor showed good performance in HIV-infected human blood DNA. Wang *et al.*⁴⁰¹ developed an electrochemical DNA biosensor based on functionalized Au nanoclusters/graphene hybrids and Exo III-supported cascade target recycling (Fig. 19). In this designed biosensor, Exo III-supported cascade recycling that was induced by the target DNA, resulted in the final cleavage product, which acted as a linker between the capture probe and the functionalized Au nanoclusters/GR nanohybrid acting as interface. Using this signal enhancement strategy, the fabricated biosensor showed DNA detection range from 0.02 fM to 20 pM and an LOD of 0.057 fM. Functionalized Pt nanoclusters/graphene hybrid-based biosensor also detected DNA using the catalysis of 3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine-hydrogen peroxide (TMB-H₂O₂) an electrochemical signal.

3.4.1 Graphene nanopore-mediated DNA detection. Graphene nanopores have emerged as a promising route to fabricate devices for simultaneously measuring the ionic current and changes in local voltage in the transistor.^{437–439} Graphene atomic layers serve as an efficient membrane that allows single-base resolution in an ionic current because of its single atomic-layer thickness.⁴⁴⁰ Sadeghi *et al.*⁴⁴¹ demonstrated DNA sequencing using bilayer graphene with nanopores by observing the current-voltage characteristics of a bilayer graphene junction. Liu *et al.*⁴⁰³ reported an electrochemical DNA sensor based on the signal






Fig. 18 (A) Schematic illustration for the biosensor fabrication process using graphene/gold nanoclusters (GR/AuNcs) modified GCE with exonuclease III (Exo III) supported target DNA recycling for detecting HIV DNA. (A) The initial signal obtained from the capture probe. (B) DPV of AuNcs/GR/GCE biosensor after incubation with 100 nM, 10 nM, 1 nM, 100 pM, 10 pM, 1 pM, 100 fM, 10 fM, 1 fM, and 0.1 fM concentrations of target HIV gene and Exo III. (C) The linear relationship showing current change as a function of the logarithmic value of the target DNA concentration within a 0.1 fM to 100 nM range. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 399, Y. Wang, X. Bai, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for HIV Gene Detection Based on Graphene Stabilized Gold Nanoclusters with Exonuclease Amplification, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 18872–18879. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

amplification of rGO and gold nanoparticles/polyaniline (AuNPs/PANI) hybrid composite for detecting specific DNA insertion sequence IS6110 of pathogenic bacteria *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. The sensor showed specificity in the wide linear range from 1.0×10^{-15} and 1.0×10^{-9} M.

3.4.2 Graphene-based miRNA detection. Similar to DNA (nitrogenous bases of DNA are guanine (G), adenine (A), cytosine (C), thymine (T)), RNA is also a chain of nucleotides having four nitrogenous bases guanine (G), adenine (A), cytosine (C) and uracil (U); however, it is usually single stranded. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small, endogenous and single-stranded non-coding RNAs (approximately 18–25 nucleotides) that play vital roles in regulating gene expression through messenger RNA

(mRNA) degradation or translational repression.⁴⁴² MiRNAs are involved in many physiological processes of the human body, such as cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis, and their abnormal regulation will result in various diseases, including different types of cancer, kidney disease, central nervous system disease, cardiovascular disease, diabetes and viral infections.⁴⁴³ Therefore, the precise and rapid detection of multiple miRNAs is of great importance to control and prevent various types of diseases. The isolation of miRNA is commonly carried out through commercial kits; however, there are several limitations since the kits contain numerous interfering RNAs, and the concentration of miRNA is not sufficient for detection.⁴⁴³ Several strategies have been explored for the sensitive






Fig. 19 Schematic illustration of the multiply amplified electrochemical biosensor for target DNA detection. (A) Fabrication steps of the functionalized AuNCs/GR nanohybrids; (B) principle of the target-triggered Exo III-assisted cascade target recycling; (C) construction of the biosensor using functionalized AuNC/GR nanohybrids as the interfaces of the enzyme-catalyzed silver deposition reaction. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 401, W. Wang, T. Bao, X. Zeng, H. Xiong, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Functionalized Gold Clusters/Graphene Nanohybrids Coupling with Exonuclease III-Aided Cascade Target Recycling, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 183–189. Copyright© Elsevier.]

detection of miRNA through significant challenges to improve the sensitivity, detection limit and sensitivity still remain. Several signal amplification strategies have been studied to enhance the sensitivity.⁴⁴³ Different approaches for the signal amplification are included in Table 5.

GO and rGO exhibit strong affinity for single-stranded nucleic acids (ssNAs) *via* hydrogen bonding or π - π

interactions.⁴⁴⁴ Hence, GO and rGO have been extensively used for sensing miRNAs. Hu *et al.*⁴¹⁸ developed a miRNA electrochemical biosensor based on a GQDs and horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The GQDs/HRP can efficiently catalyze the H_2O_2 -supported oxidation of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), resulting in an enhanced electrochemical signal and improved performance of the biosensor with a linear range from 1 fM to



100 pM and an LOD of 0.14 fM. Azimzadeh *et al.*⁴¹⁹ reported an electrochemical nanobiosensor for detecting plasma miRNA-155 utilizing GO sheets decorated with thiol-functionalized gold nanorods (AuNRs), which were deposited on a GCE surface. The biosensor showed a linear range from 2.0 fM to 8.0 pM, with an LOD of 0.6 fM for detecting the target miRNA and was able to differentiate between complementary target miRNA, non-complementary, single- and three-base mismatch miRNA; therefore, this sensor was also used in clinical detection of the breast cancer cells. Cardoso *et al.*⁴⁴⁵ fabricated an electrochemical biosensor for monitoring miRNA-155 down to the attomolar concentration level in breast cancer cells. The sensor

was fabricated in two steps: first the immobilization of anti-miRNA-155 onto the thiol-modified gold-screen-printed electrode and then followed by protecting the areas of nonspecific binding using mercaptosuccinic acid. The sensor detected miRNA-155 in a linear range from 10 aM to 1.0 nM with an LOD of 5.7 aM in human serum. The biosensor also showed selectivity for the breast cancer antigen (CA-15.3) and BSA without any interference from cell lines of melanoma. The graphene-based biosensors can be used for clinical diagnosis of various diseases.




Fig. 20 (A) Fabrication of the iron nitride (FeN) NPs/NG core-shell hybrid. (B) NADH measurement mechanism involving a FeN NPs/NG core-shell hybrid-based electrode. (C) Selective amperometric response of FeN NPs/NG/GCE after adding (a) 1 mM NADH, (b) 1 mM glucose, (c) UA, (d) dopamine, and (e) AA at an applied potential of +0.35 V. (D) Stability of FeN NPs/NG/GCE based sensor in 0.5 mM NADH at an applied potential of +0.35 V showing 96.18% of initial current retention after 1000 cycles. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 429, J. Balamurugan, T. D. Thanh, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Facile Fabrication of FeN Nanoparticles/Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Core-Shell Hybrid and Its Use as a Platform for NADH Detection in Human Blood Serum, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, 83, 68–76. Copyright© Elsevier.]



3.5 Detection of enzymes

Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) is a coenzyme involved in metabolic processes, and the NAD^+/NADH redox couple (standard redox potential for half-reaction = -0.32 V) is involved in numerous enzymatic reactions in biological systems. A high overpotential (*e.g.*, 0.6 V vs. SCE) is needed for the direct oxidation of NADH with traditional electrodes.⁴⁴⁶ Moreover, the electrooxidation of NADH is mostly an irreversible reaction, which causes significant polluting of electrodes.⁷⁴ Graphene-based electrode materials have shown a great promise for the electrochemical detection of NADH with reduced overpotential and such results are summarized in Table 5. Tabrizi and Zand⁴⁴⁷ reported synthesis of rGO using NADH as a mild reducing agent. The GO-modified electrode showed high electrocatalytic activity for the oxidation of NADH, the detection of NADH at a reduced potential of 1.35 V with an LOD of $0.6\text{ }\mu\text{M}$. Govindhan *et al.*⁴²⁵ developed an electrochemical NADH sensor using a AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite without any redox mediators or enzymes. The fabricated sensor showed a sensitivity of $0.916\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-2}$, a linear range of 50 nM to 500 mM and an LOD of 1.13 nM , with no interference from AA, glucose, glutathione, or quinine bioanalytes. Mutyala *et al.*⁴²⁷ developed a NADH biosensor using nitrogen-doped graphene-modified electrodes. The NADH biosensor displayed an enhanced sensitivity of $0.16\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{M}^{-1}$ with a linear range of $0.5\text{--}12\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ and an LOD of $0.37\text{ }\mu\text{M}$. Moreover, the sensor exhibited stability after a period of 15 days with low surface contamination. Balamurugan *et al.*⁴²⁹ reported an iron nitride (FeN) nanoparticle-encapsulated nitrogen-doped graphene for the electrochemical detection of NADH. Fig. 20 shows the fabrication and NADH measurement mechanism for the FeN NPs/NG core-shell hybrid electrode based biosensor, high selectivity of FeN NPs/NG/GCE toward NADH against interfering bioanalytes including glucose, UA, DA, and AA at an applied potential of $+0.35\text{ V}$, and also high stability of FeN NPs/NG/GCE sensor with 98.18% retention of initial current after 1000 cycles. The sensor exhibited sensitivity of $0.028\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-2}$, a wide linear range of 0.4 to $718\text{ }\mu\text{M}$, and an LOD of 25 nM . In addition, the FeN NPs/NG/GCE biosensor showed negligible interference effects in the presence of common bioanalytes existing in human serum samples. Li *et al.*⁴²⁴ fabricated a graphene-DNA tetrahedron-gold nanoparticle (AuNP)-modified gold disk electrode for the detection of NADH which showed a very low of LOD of 1 fM with a significantly decreased potential of 0.28 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). The graphene biosensors can be used for the clinical diagnosis of NADH-related diseases.

3.6 Detection of dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA) and uric acid (UA)

Dopamine is known to be a neurotransmitter present in the brain, and its level impacts the physiological functions in human body and also helps effect the treatment of disorders related to the central nervous system including Parkinson disease.⁴⁴⁸ The electrochemical biosensors have been widely employed to detect a variety of biochemicals such as neurotransmitters in the brain.^{449–451} DA, AA and UA exhibit

electrooxidation peaks at nearly the same potentials; therefore, many studies have focused on separating their signal potential with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity.^{452,453} Ascorbic acid is an essential component of the human diet that helps prevent scurvy. It is present in the mammalian brain together with several neurotransmitter amines such as dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine. Moreover, UA and other oxypurines are the main products of human's purine metabolism. High levels of UA can lead to several diseases, including hyperuricemia, gout and Lesch-Nyhan disease. Therefore, accurate detection of DA, AA and UA is highly desirable in clinical monitoring and diagnosis of such diseases.

Carbon-based nanomaterials such as CNTs and GBMs have been studied for the electrochemical detection of DA, AA, and UA due to their unique electronic structure, high surface area, and electrical conductivity.^{19,454} Graphene and GO have emerged as the most promising electrode materials for the detection of a wide range of analytes because of their enhanced electron transfer rate and structural orientation.^{455,456} The simultaneous detection of DA, AA, and UA using graphene-based electrodes has been accomplished and such data are summarized in Table 6. Gao *et al.*⁴⁵⁷ reported a GO-modified GCE for the selective detection of DA in the presence of interfering AA bioanalyte. The designed biosensor showed an LOD of $0.27\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ for detecting DA, while no interference was observed by the addition of AA. The electrostatic repulsion between the GO and AA biomolecules makes the oxidation of AA difficult at the electrode surface. In comparison, the $\pi\text{--}\pi$ stacking as well as the electrostatic attraction between dopamine aromatic ring and delocalized π -electrons of GO promotes the oxidation. Li *et al.*⁴⁵⁸ used 3D nanocomposite based on MoS_2 nanospheres, PANI loaded on rGO for simultaneous electrochemical detection of AA, DA and UA. Fig. 21 shows the differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) curves of $\text{MoS}_2\text{-PANI/rGO/GCE}$ electrode-based sensor and the plot of peak currents as a function of AA, DA and UA concentrations. The oxidation peak currents showed a linear increase with increasing AA, DA and UA concentrations. The $\text{MoS}_2\text{-PANI/rGO}$ nanocomposite-based sensors displayed sensitivity over wide concentration ranges and low LODs for AA, DA and UA. DPV curves measured with $\text{MoS}_2\text{-PANI/rGO/GCE}$ electrode for a ternary nanocomposite of AA, DA, and UA biomolecules with their different concentrations showed three well-defined anodic peaks at different potentials; 0.052 mV for AA, 0.196 mV for DA, and 0.304 mV for UA and simultaneously detected these three analytes with LODs of 2.49 , 0.65 and $0.40\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ for AA, DA and UA, respectively. The electrochemical sensor also showed high selectivity toward AA, DA and UA in the presence of interfering analytes including ZnSO_4 , NaNO_3 , glucose, citric acid, and glycine. Devaramani *et al.*⁴⁵⁶ used a covalently linked *p*-amino-benzene sulfonate multilayer on the surface of a graphite pencil core electrode for selectively detecting dopamine. The sensor exhibited a linear range of $0.5\text{--}10\text{ }\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and an LOD of $0.095\text{ }\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ ($S/N = 3$).

To enhance the high electrocatalytic activity and sensitivity, graphene and GO can be doped with nitrogen,^{466,492} modified with polymers,^{467,468,470} and decorated with different inorganic metal and metal oxide nanoparticles¹⁹ for the



Table 6 Graphene-based biosensors for detecting dopamine (DA), ascorbic acid (AA), and uric acid (UA)^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Linear range (μM)			Limit of detection (μM)			Sensitivity	Ref.
	AA	DA	UA	AA	DA	UA		
GR		4–100			2.64		—	459
GR/PtNPs	0.15–34.4	0.03–8.13	0.05–11.85	0.15	0.03	0.05	0.969	460
MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons	0.1–8.5	0.15–12.15	0.15–11.4	0.06	0.08	0.07	—	461
MoS ₂ -PANI/rGO nanocomposite	50–8000	5.0–500	1.0–500	22.20	0.70	0.36	—	458
N-doped GR	5–1300	0.5–170	0.1–20	2.2	0.25	0.045	—	462
Screen-printed GR	0–4500	0.5–2000	0.8–2500	0.95	0.12	0.20	—	463
AuNPs/ β -cyclodextrin/GR	300–2000	0.50–150	0.5–50	10	0.15	0.21	—	464
Pd NPs/GR/CS composite	100–4000	20–200	0.5–200	20	0.1	0.17	—	465
N-doped carbon spheres/rGO	50–1200	0.5–90	1–70	0.65	0.012	0.018	—	466
GR/CS nanocomposite	—	0.1–140	1–125	—	0.05	0.1	—	467
Polyaniline/GO fibrous nanocomposite	25–200	2–18	2–18	20	0.5	0.2	2.0	468
Tryptophan/GR	0.2–3.4	0.5–10	10–1000	10.09	0.29	1.24	—	469
Polyimidazole/GO copolymer	7–2275	12–278	3.6–249.6	18	0.63	0.59	—	470
CTAB-GO/MWCNTs	5.0–300	5.0–500	3.0–60	1.0	1.5	1.0	—	471
PtNPs/GR–CNTs composite	200–900	0.1–30	0.1–50	50	0.01	0.01	9.199	472
Pristine GR	9–2314	5–710	6–1330	6.45	2.0	4.82	112.5	473
Ni–Co hexacyanoferrate/GR	0.5–2000	0.2–500	—	0.15	0.06	—	—	474
GR/CNTs/sulfonated CS	1.25–442	1.25–357	1.25–533	0.06	0.06	0.06	1.68	475
AgNWs/rGO	45–1550	40–450	35–300	0.81	0.26	0.30	—	476
rGO–CNTs/ITO	10–200	0.2–8	0.2–16	5.31	0.04	0.17	—	477
Au@PdNPs/rGO composite	1–800	0.1–100	0.1–350	0.28	0.024	0.02	—	478
N-doped GR flakes	80–2000	0.5–150	3–60	0.58	0.015	0.015	—	479
Hemin–GO sheets	1–100	0.5–40	0.5–50	0.3	0.17	0.17	—	480
Pd@Ag nanoflowers/rGO	1–4100	0.05–112	3–186	0.185	0.017	0.654	—	481
GR fibers/NiCo ₂ O ₄	200–750	1–13	10–26	50	0.1	0.2	—	482
PtNPs/GR paper	0.087–100	—	—	0.005	—	2.00	—	483
GR/ZnO composite	50–2350	1–70	3–330	3.71	0.33	1.08	—	484
PAA/polypyrrole/GO	300–440	32–60	—	1.962	1.025	—	—	485
PAM/polypyrrole/GO	150–220	6–13	—	0.659	0.408	—	—	485
β -NiS/rGO/AuNPs	0.90–100	—	0.1–100	0.331	—	0.0045	—	486
AuNPs/rGO	—	0.05–11	0.1–11	—	0.02	0.03	—	487
CVD-grown GR	5–1500	0.25–75	0.4–120	1.58	0.06	0.09	—	488
3D GR hydrogel/AuNPs	1.0–700	0.2–30	1–60	0.028	0.0026	0.005	—	489
Ferrocene/3D GR hydrogel	20–450	10–180	8–400	0.183	0.042	0.067	—	490
GR/porous CS composite	—	1–700	1–800	—	0.14	0.17	—	491

^a Abbreviations used: HP: hydrophilic polymer, CNT: carbon nanotubes, CTAB: cetyl trimethylammonium bromide, PPy: polypyrrole, CVD: chemical vapor deposition, GRHG: graphene hydrogel, PAA: polyacrylic acid, PAM: polyacrylamide.

amplified detection of DA, AA, and UA. Yue *et al.*⁴⁹³ reported a vertically aligned ZnO nanowire array (NWA) assembled on 3D GF and applied to the detection of UA, DA and AA employing DPV technique, as shown in Fig. 22. The fabricated ZnO NWA/GF sensor showed high selectivity for both UA and DA with an LOD of 1 nM. DA and UA are considered biomarkers for the diagnosis of Parkinson disease. The sensors exhibited high performance in real samples for the detection of UA levels at $265 \pm 20 \mu\text{M}$ in the serum of patients suffering from Parkinson disease compared to UA levels of $355 \pm 30 \mu\text{M}$ in healthy individuals. Srivastava *et al.*⁴⁹⁴ prepared functionalized multilayer graphene for developing an amperometric urea biosensor that showed a linear range from 10 to 100 mg dL⁻¹ and a high sensitivity of 5.43 $\mu\text{A mg}^{-1}$ dL cm⁻² with an LOD of 3.9 mg dL⁻¹. Dutta *et al.*⁴⁹⁵ fabricated an enzyme-free urea sensor employing a nanocomposite of SnO₂ QDs/rGO. Due to the synergistic effect between these

components, the developed sensor displayed high electrochemical activity for the detection of urea in a linear range of 1.6×10^{-14} to 3.9×10^{-12} M and a very low LOD of 11.7 fM.

3.7 Detection of cancer biomarkers

The foremost cause of human death worldwide is cancer. The accurate detection and diagnosis of cancer during its early stage is significantly important for its successful treatment.⁴⁹⁶ Cancer diagnostic techniques such as blood analysis, clinical bioimaging and morphological changes in cancer cells have been widely employed for cancer diagnosis. However, these techniques still lack the required sensitivity, selectivity and reproducibility; therefore, these are not so accurate in the precise early stage detection of cancer cells.⁴⁹⁷ The use of cancer biomarkers seems more promising for early stage cancer detection, monitoring, diagnosis and subsequent treatment.^{498,499} A biomarker is defined as a biological feature that






Fig. 21 (a) DPV curves of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE electrode and (b) the plot of peak currents as a function of AA concentration from 50 μM to 8.0 mM containing 75 μM DA and 75 μM UA. (c) DPV curves of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE and (d) the plot of peak currents as a function of DA concentration from 5.0 to 500 μM containing 1.0 mM AA and 75 μM UA. (e) DPV curves of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE and (f) the plot of peak currents as a function of UA concentration from 1.0 μM to 500 μM containing 1.0 mM AA and 75 μM DA. The solution used in the measurements was 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 M KCl. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 458, S. Li, Y. Ma, Y. Liu, G. Xin, M. Wang, Z. Zhang and Z. Liu, Electrochemical sensor based on a three dimensional nanostructured MoS₂ nanosphere-PANI/reduced graphene oxide composite for simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid, dopamine, and uric acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 2997–3003. Copyright© Royal Society of Chemistry.]

can be an indicator of both normal and abnormal biological processes, pathogenic conditions, or pharmacological responses to applied therapeutic interventions.⁵⁰⁰ There is an increasing demand for disease diagnostic tools which require

highly sensitive and accurate methods for detecting cancer-related biomarkers.^{69,499} In particular, the clinical detection of cancer biomarkers is critically important for early-stage diagnosis of cancer-related diseases and proteomics research to






Fig. 22 (A) Schematic illustration of the ZnO NWAs/GF electrode for the simultaneous detection of UA, DA, and AA. (B–E) SEM images of the ZnO NWAs assembled on the 3D GF recorded at different magnifications. Inset shows the EDX of the ZnO NWAs. (F) SEM images showing the height of ZnO NWAs ($\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$). Inset shows the diameter of the ZnO NWAs ($\sim 40 \text{ nm}$). (G–I) DPV curves for UA, DA, and AA measured using a ZnO NWA/GF electrode at different concentrations. The UA concentrations from the bottom are $0\text{--}10 \mu\text{M}$. The DA concentrations from the bottom are $0\text{--}1 \mu\text{M}$. The AA concentrations from the bottom are $0\text{--}10 \mu\text{M}$. Insets show plots of the oxidation peak current as a function of concentration of each biomolecule, showing two slopes for UA and DA. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 493, H. Y. Yue, S. Huang, J. Chang, C. Heo, F. Yao, S. Adhikari, F. Gunes, L. C. Liu, T. H. Lee, E. S. Oh, B. Li, J. J. Zhang, T. Q. Huy, N. V. Luan and Y. H. Lee, ZnO Nanowire Arrays on 3D Hierarchical Graphene Foam: Biomarker Detection of Parkinson's Disease, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 1639–1646. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

understand of the biological processes involved in causing cancer.⁵⁰¹ To discard false positives in the diagnosis of cancer that may result from the population inequality in the genetic expression of a single biomarker, concurrent detection of a series of protein biomarkers are required.⁴⁹⁸ In recent years, electrochemical techniques have become attractive for the immunoassay of protein biomarkers, owing to their low cost, simplicity, high sensitivity, and miniaturization. However, their sensing performance still needs to be improved for rapid and sensitive detection.

Nanomaterials offer potential platforms to diagnosis and treatment of cancer; therefore, research efforts have moved

from the preclinical stage to practical point-of-care applications.⁵⁰² Nanomaterials such as metal NPs, magnetic NPs, QDs, and CNTs have been studied in the design of biosensors to increase the sensitivity of electrochemical detection techniques.^{503–505} Graphene and GO are particularly attractive materials for use in biomarker detection owing to their easy surface modification chemistry and unique electrocatalytic, optical, chemical, and electrical properties.^{506,507} Furthermore, metal nanoparticles such as AuNPs have been used as immobilization matrices in developing electrochemical biosensors due to their strong adsorption abilities, easy surface modification, high stability and electrical conductivity.⁵⁰⁸ Table 7




summarizes graphene-based nanocomposites using cancer biomarkers for various disease diagnosis. Zhu *et al.*⁵⁰⁹ developed an electrochemiluminescence immunosensor using CdSe QD-decorated poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride)-graphene and gold nanoparticles for the detection of ractopamine. PDDA-graphene and AuNPs were used in order to improve electron conduction, efficient loading of antibodies,

and to create a large surface area for antigen binding. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.01 to 1000 ng mL⁻¹ and a low LOD of 2.6 pg mL⁻¹ (S/N = 3) and displayed high stability as well as sensitivity for determining biomarkers. Kumar *et al.*⁵¹⁰ developed PdNP-decorated rGO-based electrochemical sensor for the label-free detection of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a prostate cancer biomarker. The fabricated biosensor exhibited

Table 7 Graphene-based biosensors for detecting different cancer biomarkers^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Biomarkers	Detection technique	Linear range	Limit of detection	Ref.
CS/EC-rGO-AuNPs-Ag deposition	CEA	LSV	0.5 pg mL ⁻¹ to 0.5 ng mL ⁻¹	0.12 pg mL ⁻¹	512
Carboxyl GR nanosheets/CS/AuNPs composites	CEA AFP	DPV	0.5–60 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹ 0.05 ng mL ⁻¹	511
CVD GR/AuNPs composite	CEA	EIS	50–60 ng mL ⁻¹	5 ng mL ⁻¹	513
rGO/thionine/AuNPs composite	CEA AFP	—	0.01–300 ng mL ⁻¹	0.650 pg mL ⁻¹ 0.885 pg mL ⁻¹	514
GR sheets/MWCNTs-CS/AuNPs	EBNA-1	DPV	0.05–6.4 ng mL ⁻¹	0.7 pg mL ⁻¹	515
APTES-ME-GR	hCG	CV	0.62–5.62 ng mL ⁻¹	0.82 ng mL ⁻¹	516
GR/AuNPs nanocomposite	PSA	CV	0–10 ng mL ⁻¹	0.59 ng mL ⁻¹	517
GO/Au nanorod composite	MiR-155	CV, DPV	2 fM to 8 pM	0.6 fM	419
Porous GR/TiO ₂ nanofibers	ErbB2	DPV	1.0 fM to 0.1 μM	0.06 ng mL ⁻¹	518
ZrO ₂ /rGO nanocomposite	CYFRA-21-1	DPV	2–22 ng mL ⁻¹	0.122 ng mL ⁻¹	519
AuNPs/GO nanocomposite	AFP CEA	—	0.001–80 ng mL ⁻¹	0.0002 ng mL ⁻¹ 0.0001 ng mL ⁻¹	520
Au nanocages/rGO nanocomposite	Beclin-1, LC3B-II	DPV	0.02–0.03 ng mL ⁻¹	—	521
AuNPs/GO nanocomposite	PSA	CV	0.001 fg mL ⁻¹ to 0.02 mg mL ⁻¹	5.4 fg mL ⁻¹	522
AuNPs/PDA@GR nanocomposite	Ki67 protein	EIS	4–800 pg mL ⁻¹	1.7 pg mL ⁻¹	523
TB/Cu ₂ O@GO nanocomposite	AFT	—	0.001 pg mL ⁻¹ to 100 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1 fg mL ⁻¹	524
Streptavidin-N-doped GR	CEA	DPV	0.02–12 ng mL ⁻¹	0.01 ng mL ⁻¹	525
PtPd/N-doped GR-QDs/AuNPs	CEA	CV, EIS	5 fg mL ⁻¹ to 50 ng mL ⁻¹	2.0 fg mL ⁻¹	526
EC-rGO	NEFA, bHBA	SPE	0.1–10 mM	0.111 mM	527
GO/ssDNA/PLLA NPs	VEGF PSA	DPV	0.05–100 ng mL ⁻¹ 1–100 ng mL ⁻¹	50 pg mL ⁻¹ 1 ng mL ⁻¹	528
PtCu@rGO/g-C ₃ N ₄	PSA	CV	50 fg mL ⁻¹ to 40 ng mL ⁻¹	16.6 fg mL ⁻¹	529
Green corona-metal NPs/rGO	ErbB2	EIS	1.0 fM to 0.5 μM	1 fM	508
AuNPs/GO nanocomposite	ErbB2 CD24	CV EIS	0.37–10 nM mL ⁻¹	0.16 nM 0.23 nM	530
S-doped GR/PANI nanocomposite	CEA NMP22	SWV	0.1 pg mL ⁻¹ to 0.3 ng mL ⁻¹	30 fg mL ⁻¹ 25 fg mL ⁻¹	531
AgNPs/rGO/SPE	CEA	CV	0.05–0.50 mg mL ⁻¹	0.035 μg mL ⁻¹	532
Mesoporous Pd@P NPs/NH ₂ -GR	PSA	CV	10 fg mL ⁻¹ to 50 ng mL ⁻¹	3.3 fg mL ⁻¹	533
S-doped rGO	8-OHdG	EIS	20–0.002 μM	1 nM	534
Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs@GO nanosheets	PSA PSMA	CV EIS	9.8–624 pg mL ⁻¹ 15.6–7.8 ng mL ⁻¹	15 fg mL ⁻¹ 4.8 fg mL ⁻¹	535
GR-QDs-IL-Nafion composite	CEA	—	0.5 fg mL ⁻¹ to 0.5 ng mL ⁻¹	0.34 fg mL ⁻¹	536
3D GR/AgNPs composite	CYFRA21-1	DPV	0.1 fM to 0.1 μM	0.1 fM	537
GO/Au nanorods nanocomposite	PSA	ECL	0.5 pg mL ⁻¹ to 5.0 ng mL ⁻¹	0.17 pg mL ⁻¹	538
AgPt nanoring/rGO nanocomposite	CEA	CV	5 fg mL ⁻¹ to 50 ng mL ⁻¹	1.43 fg mL ⁻¹	539
CdS QDs@PS/GO-PANI nanocomposite	K562 cells	—	10 to 1.0 × 10 ⁷ cells per mL	3 cells per mL	540
PBSE/CVD-grown GR/Cu	CEA	EIS	1.0–25.0 ng mL ⁻¹	0.23 ng mL ⁻¹	541
Poly(catechol)/GO nanosheets/AuNPs	Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	DPV	100 μM to 10 pM	1 pM	542
Hemin-GR/PdNPs nanocomposite	PSA	DPV	0.025–205 ng mL ⁻¹	8 pg mL ⁻¹	543
Carboxylic group-functionalized GO (GO-COOH-SPCE)	MUC1	DPV	0.1 to 2 U mL ⁻¹	0.04 U mL ⁻¹	544
Polypyrrole/EC-rGO composite	BRCA1 gene	CV, EIS	10 fM to 0.1 μM	3 fM	545

^a Abbreviations used: CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen, AFP: α-fetoprotein, DPV: differential pulse voltammetry, NMP22: nuclear matrix protein 22, SWV: square wave voltammetry, NEFA: nonesterified fatty acids, bHBA: beta-hydroxybutyrate, ErbB2: epidermal growth factor receptor, MUC1: mucin1, AFT: a-fetoprotein, MPS: mesoporous silica, hCG: human chorionic gonadotropin, APTES: 3-aminopropyl-triethoxysilane, ME-GR: multilayer epitaxial graphene, SPE: screen-printed carbon electrode, 8-OHdG: 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine, EBNA-1: Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 1, TB: toluidine blue, SPE: screen-printed electrode, SPCE: screen-printed carbon electrode, VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor, PSA: prostate-specific antigen, PSMA: prostate-specific membrane antigen, PDA: polydopamine, PS: polystyrene, PANI: polyaniline, BRCA: breast cancer gene, CYFRA21-1: cytokeratin fragment antigen 21-1, PLLA: poly(L-lactide), IL: ionic liquid, PBSE: 1-pyrenebutanoic acid succinimidyl ester.



a high sensitivity of $28.96 \text{ mA mL ng}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with an LOD of 10 pg mL^{-1} . Ali *et al.*⁵⁰⁸ reported the green synthesis of metal (Pd, Pt, Ag and Au) nanoparticles on rGO sheets using a black pepper extract and applied the nanocomposite-based sensor to the detection of epidermal growth factor receptor in breast cancer diagnosis. The designed biosensor can be employed for detecting ErbB2 concentrations ranging from 1.0 fM to 0.5 mM . Chen *et al.*⁵¹¹ reported an immunosensor using graphene-based nanocomposites for simultaneously detecting multiple cancer biomarkers, such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and α -fetoprotein (AFP), as shown in Fig. 22A. In this study, the carboxyl-functionalized graphene nanosheet was first immobilized with toluidine blue and labeled with anti-CEA (Ab2,1) or immobilized with Prussian blue and labeled with anti-AFP (Ab2,2). Thereafter, the captured anti-CEA (Ab1,1) and anti-AFP (Ab1,2) were immobilized on a CS-AuNP-modified electrode *via* EDC/NHS. The developed biosensors showed a linear range of 0.5 to 60 ng mL^{-1} for both CEA and AFP bioanalytes and an LOD of 0.1 ng mL^{-1} and 0.05 ng mL^{-1} for CEA and AFP, respectively (Fig. 23B).

Cancer biomarkers have been developed using graphene-based nanocomposites. Ren *et al.*⁵³¹ used sulfur-doped GO/polyaniline (PANI) composite as a matrix and mesoporous NKF-5-3 as a label for developing tri-antibody dual-channel

immunological biosensors for the detection of cancer biomarkers, such as carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA) and nuclear matrix protein 22 (NMP22) as shown in Fig. 24A. In this approach, two types of labels were prepared on the biosensor using two antibodies (anti-CEA anti-NMP22) and two antigens (CEA and NMP22). Toluidine blue (TB) and neutral red were employed as the signals to detect the CEA and NMP22 antigens. The immunosensor biosensor was incubated with two types of labels; AuNP-NKF-5-3|anti-NMP22|neutral red|BSA and AuNP-NKF-5-3|anti-CEA|TB|BSA which were NMP22 and CEA labels, respectively. The tri-antibody dual-channel immunosensor showed improved sensing performance having linear ranges of 0.1 pg mL^{-1} to 0.3 ng mL^{-1} for both NMP22 and CEA, and the low LODs of 25 fg mL^{-1} for NMP22 antigen and 30 fg mL^{-1} for CEA antigen. The immunosensor biosensor also exhibited high selectivity toward NMP22 and CEA in the presence of interfering bioanalytes including BSA, α -fetoprotein, human immunoglobulin G (HIgG), PSA, and breast cancer gene BRCA1 and can be used for the analysis of bladder carcinoma biomarkers. Ali Saeed *et al.*⁵³⁰ fabricated an electrochemical DNA biosensor for detecting the ErbB2 and CD24 biomarkers in order to evaluate breast cancer. Their strategy was based on a sandwich hybridization assay by immobilizing the capture DNA probe of the target DNA on GO-modified AuNPs (Fig. 24B). An HRP-




Fig. 23 (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of biofunctional CGS nanocomposites. (B) DPV responses of the proposed immunosensor after incubation with different concentrations of CEA and AFP. (C) and (D) Calibration curves of the multiplex immunoassay toward CEA and AFP in 0.1 M PBS, pH 6.5. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 511, X. Chen, X. Jia, J. Han, J. Ma, Z. Ma, Electrochemical immunosensor for simultaneous detection of multiplex cancer biomarkers based on graphene nanocomposites, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, 50, 356–361. Copyright© Elsevier.]






Fig. 24 (A) Schematic representation of the fabrication of the tri-antibody dual-channel immunological biosensor for detecting cancer biomarkers; nuclear matrix protein 22 (NMP22) and carcino-embryonic antigen (CEA). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 531, X. Ren, H. Ma, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, T. Yan, B. Du and Q. Wei, Sulfur-Doped Graphene-Based Immunological Biosensing Platform for Multianalysis of Cancer Biomarkers, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 37637–37644. Copyright© American Chemical Society]. (B) Schematic presentation of the proposed sandwich-type DNA sensor showing the prepared AuNPs–GO/GCE and the hybridization of target DNA with the specific capture probe and HRP-labeled probe. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 530, A. A. Saeed, J. L. A. Sánchez, C. K. O'Sullivan, M. N. Abbas, DNA Biosensors Based on Gold Nanoparticles-Modified Graphene Oxide for the Detection of Breast Cancer Biomarkers for Early Diagnosis, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2017, **118**, 91–99. Copyright© Elsevier.]

labeled probe was used to detect the concentration of the DNA biomarker. The biosensor achieved the sensitivities of 378 nA nM^{-1} with an LOD of 0.16 nM for ErbB2 breast cancer biomarker and 219 nA nM^{-1} with LOD of 0.23 nM for CD24 control marker. Song *et al.*⁵¹⁵ reported a sandwich-type

electrochemical biosensor using an AuNPs/MWCNTs/GR/CS nanocomposite with a signal amplification strategy involving a hybridization chain reaction (HCR) for the detection of Epstein–Barr virus nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA-1). Similar to the sandwich immunoassay approach, the sandwich immune-reactions were initially formed by functionalization with the captured antibody, EBNA-1 and a secondary antibody in DNA-conjugated carboxyl MWCNTs. The double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) was formed from the HCR. This HCR-assisted amperometric signal amplification strategy significantly increased the detection range of target concentrations ($0.05\text{--}6.4 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$) with an LOD as low as 0.7 pg mL^{-1} . Jang *et al.*⁵¹⁷ showed a 3D electrochemical immunosensor based on a GR/AuNP composite for the label-free detection of PSA over a linear range of $0\text{--}10 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$ with an LOD of 0.59 ng mL^{-1} . Feng *et al.*⁵²⁹ reported a sandwich-type immunosensor for detecting PSA using AuNPs supported on thionine functionalized GO. In addition, bimetallic PtCu NPs were loaded on rGO/graphitic carbon nitride ($g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$) and used as labels for combining secondary antibodies (Ab_2) and amplification of signals. The designed immunosensor displayed a linear range from 50 fg mL^{-1} to 40 ng mL^{-1} with an LOD of 16.6 fg mL^{-1} for the detection of PSA biomarker.

A chemical nose/tongue was developed by Wu *et al.*⁵⁴⁶ using a functionalized graphene array-based electrochemical biosensor for differentiating the cancerous, metastatic human breast cells, multidrug-resistant cancerous, and artificial circulating tumor cells (CTCs). This approach enables the biosensor to analyze different cell types at a very low level (100 cells). Fig. 25 shows the schematic of the cell detecting system, seven graphene derivatives including P1: BSA/chemically converted graphene (CCG), P2: CCG, P3: chitosan (Chit)/CCG, P4: polydopamine (DA)/CCG, P5: calf thymus DNA/CCG, P6: gelatin (Gel)/CCG, and P7: polyethylene glycol (PEG)/CCG, discrimination of 5 different human cancerous cell types including lung (A549), cervical (HeLa), liver (HepG2), leukemia (K562), and breast (MCF-7) with P1–P7 graphene probes at a low cancer cell density of 100 cells, jackknifed classification using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and canonical score plot for the graphene derivatives array-based biosensor. A single graphene array electrochemical sensor can detect 5 different human cancerous cell types for diagnostic purposes where two combined graphene derivative probes successfully obtained 100% classification accuracy. The LDA plots showed certain patterns and differentiated human cancerous cells from each other, having two canonical factors variance of 70.06 and 29.94% for P1 + P4, 68.66 and 31.34% for P1 + P5, 51.91 and 48.09% for P2 + P4, 82.95 and 17.05% for P2 + P5 for the A549, HeLa, HepG2, K562 and MCF-7 human cancerous cell lines. The LDA separated human cancerous cell lines into five discrete clusters. The functionalized graphene derivatives can be used for the application in the field of clinical cancer diagnostics.

3.7.1 Microfluidic electrochemical immunoassays. The microfluidic devices have attracted significant interest for point-of-care diagnostic systems.⁵⁴⁷ A microfluidics-based detection device is a promising approach for the detection of clinical biomarkers because of its simplicity, low cost,






Fig. 25 (A) Schematic illustration of the cell detecting system and the molecular interactions between the functionalized graphene (P1, P2, P3) and the different cell types including human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), cancerous cells and circulating tumor cells (CTCs). (B) Illustration of the seven functionalized graphene (P1–P7) derivatives used for the identification of normal, cancerous cells and CTCs. The seven graphene (P1–P7) derivatives include P1: BSA/chemically converted graphene (CCG), P2: CCG, P3: chitosan (Chit)/CCG, P4: polydopamine (DA)/CCG, P5: calf thymus DNA/CCG, P6: gelatin (Gel)/CCG, and P7: polyethylene glycol (PEG)/CCG. (C) Discrimination of different cancerous cell types at a cancer cell density of 100 cells: (a) 2D electrochemistry contour plots of 5 different cancer cell lines including lung (A549), cervical (HeLa), liver (HepG2), leukemia (K562), and breast (MCF-7) to P1–P7 graphene probes; (b) changes in the electron-transfer resistance at the electrolyte/graphene interface measured by the electrochemical impedance spectra for five different cancerous cell lines; (c) jackknifed classification recorded using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) for P1–P7 graphene derivatives for A549, HeLa, HepG2, K562 and MCF-7 human cancerous cells; and canonical score plots for the functionalized graphene array-based electrochemical sensor containing P1 + P4 (d), P1 + P5 (e), P2 + P4 (f), and P2 + P5 (g). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 546, L. Wu, H. Ji, Y. Guan, X. Ran, J. Ren and X. Qu, A Graphene-Based Chemical Nose/Tongue Approach for the Identification of Normal, Cancerous and Circulating Tumor Cells, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2017, 9, e356. Copyright© Nature Publishing Group.]






Fig. 26 (A) Functionalization of anti-ErbB2 molecules on the outer surfaces of GF and GF-*n*TiO₂ electrodes. (B) FESEM (a) and TEM (b) images of carbon-doped *n*TiO₂. The inset shows a magnified image of a single *n*TiO₂. (c) SEM image of 3D GF. (d-f) SEM images of the GF-*n*TiO₂ nanocomposite. (C) DPV curves of biosensor in the presence of ErbB3 and ErbB4 antigens. (D) Histogram displaying the peak current of the biosensor in the presence of different interfering bionanolytes. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 518, M. A. Ali, K. Mondal, Y. Jiao, S. Oren, Z. Xu, A. Sharma and L. Dong, Microfluidic Immuno-Biochip for Detection of Breast Cancer Biomarkers Using Hierarchical Composite of Porous Graphene and Titanium Dioxide Nanofibers, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 20570–20582. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

portability, low reagent consumption and capability of multiplex analysis using a single chip.⁵⁴⁸ Likely, a paper-based microfluidic device is also considered a simple approach for biosensing applications due to its low cost.⁵⁴⁹ Graphene-based materials have been used for fabricating microfluidic devices to detect cancer biomarkers at ultra-low concentrations. Wu

*et al.*⁵⁵⁰ developed a paper-based microfluidic electrochemical immunodevice using graphene thin film for the multiplexed detection of four types of cancer biomarkers including AFP, CEA, cancer antigen 125 (CA125) and carbohydrate antigen 153 (CA153). They used horseradish peroxidase (HRP), antibody co-immobilized silica NPs and graphene to enable dual signal



amplification, which enabled LODs of 0.001, 0.005, 0.001, and 0.005 ng mL⁻¹ for AFP, CEA, CA125, and CA153 biomarkers, respectively. Ali *et al.*⁵¹⁸ demonstrated a label-free microfluidic immunosensor fabricated using highly porous graphene foam (GF) integrated with carbon-doped TiO₂ nanofibers for the detection of epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (ErbB2) proteins. Fig. 26 shows the functionalization of anti-ErbB2 molecules on the outer surfaces of GF and GF-*n*TiO₂ electrodes, microscopic images of carbon-doped *n*TiO₂, 3D GF and GF-*n*TiO₂ nanocomposite, DPV curves in the presence of ErbB3 and ErbB4 antigens and histogram displaying the peak current in the presence of different interfering bionalytes. The rodlike TiO₂ nanofibers consisted of TiO₂ grains. The GF has 500–600 μm size pores which are sufficient for the penetration of TiO₂ nanofibers. The large surface area and porous structure of GF-*n*TiO₂ composite allows easy adsorption of analytes. The sensor detected breast cancer biomarkers with a high sensitivity of 0.585 μA μM⁻¹ cm⁻², a very wide linear range of 1.0 fM to 0.1 μM concentrations of the target ErbB2 antigen and an LOD of 1 fM ErbB2. The peak current of the microfluidic sensor showed no significant change after adding 1 nM ErbB2 antigen to the mixed solution of interfering ErbB3, ErbB4, and ErbB3 + ErbB4 antigens, which indicated high selectivity toward ErbB2 in the breast cancer cells. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of ±1.0% was observed for the microfluidic sensor for 42 days storage at 4 °C, showing high stability due to strong molecular interactions between GF-*n*TiO₂ composite and anti-ErbB2. Singh *et al.*⁵⁵¹ demonstrated a microfluidic biochip fabricated using a microporous manganese oxide (Mn₃O₄)/rGO nanocomposite for human cardiac troponin I (cTnI) detection. The designed microfluidic chip showed high sensitivity, stability and reproducibility for the analysis of human cardiac troponin I molecules in a wide concentration range of 0.008 to 20 ng mL⁻¹ and achieved an LOD of 8.0 pg mL⁻¹ and negligible interference from other competing biomarkers including cardiac troponin C (cTnC), cardiac troponin T (cTnT), B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), and myoglobin.

3.8 Detection of pathogens

Pathogens are key contaminants in water, including viruses, fungi, bacteria and protozoan parasites, and are responsible for various kinds of water-borne diseases. The presence of pathogens in water and food products is a major concern due to their rapid growth and harmful health effects to human.^{552–554} Furthermore, pathogenic microorganisms such as fungi, bacteria, viruses, viroids, phytoplasma and nematodes cause infectious diseases that lead to a decrease in agricultural productivity worldwide. The early detection of plant pathogens is an important first step to prevent plant disease in greenhouses, agricultural fields and at the national borders.⁵⁵⁵ A report from the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2007 indicated that the water- and food-borne infections account for approximately 4 billion episodes of diarrhea per year worldwide, causing approximately 1 to 2 million deaths.⁷³ The real-time and sensitive detection of pathogens is of great importance to monitor and control such pathogen-related fatalities. Among

the various pathogens, enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* bacterial strains is the most life-threatening and serious food-borne outbreaks.⁵⁵⁶ Therefore, the sensitive detection and control of foodborne bacterial pathogens, such as *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7, remains a major scientific challenge in food safety in order to prevent severe diseases in humans.⁵⁵⁷ Nanostructured materials, including graphene and CNTs, have been exploited to fabricate electrochemical biosensors for the sensitive and selective detection of pathogens.⁷³ Progress in the nanomaterial-based detection of pathogens through electrochemical methodologies has been reported previously.^{73,558} The electrochemical sensors for the detection of pathogenic bacteria using graphene-based nanocomposites are summarized in Table 8.

Tiwari *et al.*⁵⁵⁹ demonstrated an electrochemical sensor based on a GO-modified iron oxide-CS nanocomposite for pathogen detection. The sensor was fabricated through the covalent immobilization of *E. coli* O157:H7 as a specific probe oligonucleotide sequence on the nanocomposite films. The sensor showed a linear response to complementary DNA in 10⁻⁶ M to 10⁻¹⁴ M concentration range with an LOD of 1 × 10⁻¹⁴ M. Pandey *et al.*⁵⁶⁴ reported a graphene-based label-free biosensor for detecting pathogenic bacteria. Graphene was interfaced with interdigitated microelectrodes for designing a biosensor that was biofunctionalized using *E. coli* O157:H7-specific antibodies (Fig. 27). The capacitance change of the sensor showed the sensitivity of 10–100 cells per mL of the *E. coli* O157:H7 strain.

3.9 Detection of food toxins

Environmental conditions such as insect damage, temperature, and humidity may lead to increased infection and toxin accumulation. Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites generated by fungi including *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus*, *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Penicillium verrucosum* that readily grow on crops such as cereals, nuts, dried fruits, and beans during plant growth, harvesting, drying, processing and storage. Hence, the efficient monitoring and detection of foodborne pathogens and toxins (sterigmatocystin, aflatoxin, ochratoxin, *etc.*) are of paramount significance.⁵⁹⁹ Although several methods have been developed to maintain the quality of food nutrients and to prevent contamination of food, still diseases due to foodborne pathogens have increased considerably. Food and water contamination by pathogenic microorganisms causes 1.8 million deaths per year worldwide.⁶⁰⁰ Aflatoxin-B1 (AFB1) is a highly toxic and carcinogenic compound generated by *Aspergillus* species of fungi. Among more than 20 aflatoxins, only four compounds, aflatoxin-B1 (AFB1), AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, are classified as human carcinogens. AFB1 is more toxic relative to the other types of aflatoxins that cause human liver cancer.⁵⁷⁵ Various analytical techniques have been developed and applied for the fast, sensitive and selective detection of diverse toxins in foods and food products, including fluorescence and electrochemical sensing, colorimetry, immunoassays, and chromatographic separation.⁵⁹⁹ However, electrochemical methods are highly sensitive and easy for the detection of food toxins.⁶⁰¹



Table 8 Graphene-based biosensors for the detection of pathogens, food toxins, and metal ions^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Pathogen/food toxin	Detection method	Detection range	Limit of detection (LOD)	Ref.
Pathogenic bacteria					
GO/CS nanocomposite	<i>S. Typhi</i> -specific DNA	DPV	10 fM to 50 nM	10 fM	434
GO/iron oxide/CS composite	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	EIS	1 μM to 10 fM	10 fM	559
GO/nickel ferrite/CS composite	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	DPV	0.1 fM to 1 μM	0.1 fM	560
GO/thionine assembly	Cry1C	SWV	0.01–100 ng mL ⁻¹	3.2 pg mL ⁻¹	561
rGO/AuNPs nanocomposite	<i>S. aureus</i>	EIS	10 to 10 ⁶ cell per mL	10 CFU mL ⁻¹	562
GR/copper oxide–cysteine	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	EIS	10 to 10 ⁸ CFU mL ⁻¹	3.8 CFU mL ⁻¹	563
GR	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	EIS	10–100 cells per mL	—	564
Nanoporous alumina membrane/GR-QDs	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	EIS	1 pM to 100 nM	1 pM	565
Functionalized bridged rebar GR	<i>E. coli</i> O78:K80:H11	EIS	10 ¹ to 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹	10 CFU mL ⁻¹	566
EC-rGO/CS nanocomposite	<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	DPV	10 ¹ to 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹	10 ¹ CFU mL ⁻¹	567
rGO/AuNPs/Ab ₂ /IMB/SPCE	<i>Salmonella pullorum</i>	DPV	10 ² to 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹	89 CFU mL ⁻¹	568
rGO-azophloxine nanocomposite	<i>S. typhimurium</i>	—	10 ¹ to 10 ⁸ CFU mL ⁻¹	10 ¹ CFU mL ⁻¹	569
ssDNA/GO/CS nanocomposite	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	EIS	10 pM to 10 nM	3.584 pM	570
AuNPs–PdNPs/EC-rGO	Lomefloxacin	SWV	4–500 μM	81 nM	571
	Amoxicillin	—	3–350 μM	9 μM	—
3D GR nanosheet/AuNPs	Sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB)	DPV	0.1 μM to 10 fM	9.41 fM	572
GO/AuNPs	<i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i>	DPV	2 × 10 ² to 2 × 10 ⁷ CFU mL ⁻¹	2 × 10 ¹ CFU mL ⁻¹	573
rGO/polyethylenimine	<i>E. coli</i> UTI89 bacteria	DPV	10 ¹ to 10 ⁴ CFU mL ⁻¹	10 CFU mL ⁻¹	574
Food toxins					
ss-HSDNA/rGO aerogel	Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1)	EIS	0.1–70 ng mL ⁻¹	0.04 ng mL ⁻¹	575
Anti-AFB ₁ /rGO/ITO	AFB1	CV	0.125–1.5 ng mL ⁻¹	0.12 ng mL ⁻¹	576
AuNPs/carboxylated rGO	AFB1	EIS	0.1–12 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹	577
rGO/PPy/PPa nanocomposite	AFB1	EIS	10 fg mL ⁻¹ to 10 pg mL ⁻¹	10 fg mL ⁻¹	578
NiNPs/rGO nanosheets	Mycotoxin (AFB1)	CV	1–8 ng mL ⁻¹	0.16 ng mL ⁻¹	579
GR–thionine–aptamer	Ochratoxin A	DPV	0.4–8 ng mL ⁻¹	5.6 pg mL ⁻¹	580
DNA aptamer/GR	β-Lactoglobulin milk protein	SWV	0–100 ng mL ⁻¹	20 pg mL ⁻¹	581
Metal ions					
AuNPs/GR/cysteine composite	Cd ²⁺	SWASV	0.5–40 μg L ⁻¹	0.1 μg L ⁻¹	582
	Pb ²⁺	—	—	0.05 μg L ⁻¹	—
GO modified Au electrode	Pb ²⁺	SWV	0–50 ppb	0.4 ppb	583
	Hg ²⁺	—	0–15 ppb	0.8 ppb	—
	Cu ²⁺	—	0–200 ppb	1.2 ppb	—
GR nanodots/porous Au electrode	Pb ²⁺	SWV	0.006–2.5 μM	0.8 nM	584
	Cu ²⁺	—	0.009–4 μM	1 nM	—
GO/AgNPs composite	Pb ²⁺	SWV	0.1 nM to 10 μM	80 pM	585
Hollow AuPd-flower-like	Pb ²⁺	DPV	0.1 pM to 200 nM	0.034 pM	586
MnO ₂ –hemin@rGO composite	—	—	—	—	—
DNA-modified 3D rGO/CS composite	Hg ²⁺	EIS	0.1 nM to 10 nM	0.016 mM	587
AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite	Hg ²⁺	CV, EIS	10 ng L ⁻¹ to 1.0 μg L ⁻¹	1.5 ng L ⁻¹	588
Heparin modified CS/GR composite	Pb ²⁺	SWASV	1.125–8.25 μg L ⁻¹	0.03 μg L ⁻¹	589
rGO/AuNPs composite	Fe ³⁺	DPV	30–3000 nM	3.5 nM	590
Pi-A/rGO nanocomposite	Cu ²⁺	SWASV	5–300 μg L ⁻¹	0.67 μg L ⁻¹	591
Nile red-GR composite	Fe ³⁺	CV, DPV	30–1000 μM	24.9 μM	592
rGO-CS/poly-L-lysine nanocomposite	Cd ²⁺	DPASV	0.05–10 μg mL ⁻¹	0.01 μg mL ⁻¹	593
	Pb ²⁺	—	—	0.02 μg mL ⁻¹	—
	Cu ²⁺	—	—	0.02 μg mL ⁻¹	—
GR-Au modified electrode	Hg ²⁺	CV, SWV	1 aM to 100 nM	0.001 aM	594
Ruthenium(II) bipyridine [Ru(bpy) ₃] ²⁺ complex/GO composite	Cd ²⁺	CV, EIS	0.5–0.3 μM	2.8 nM	595
	Pb ²⁺	—	0.05–0.25 μM	1.41 nM	—
	As ²⁺	—	0.05–1.8 μM	2.3 nM	—
	Hg ²⁺	—	0.1–1.2 μM	1.6 nM	—
L-Cysteine/AuNPs/rGO	Cu ²⁺	DPSV	2–60 μg mL ⁻¹	0.037 μg mL ⁻¹	596
MnFe ₂ O ₄ /GO nanocomposite	Pb ²⁺	SWASV	0.2–1.1 μM	0.0883 μM	597



Table 8 (Contd.)

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Pathogen/food toxin	Detection method	Detection range	Limit of detection (LOD)	Ref.
GQDs@VMSF	Hg ²⁺	DPV	10 pM to 1 nM	9.8 pM	598
	Cu ²⁺		10 pM to 1 nM	8.3 pM	
	Cd ²⁺		20 nM to 1 μM	4.3 nM	

^a Abbreviations used: MoS₂: molybdenum disulfide, PANI: polyaniline, PPy: polypyrrole, PPA: pyrrolepropionic acid, GQDs: graphene quantum dots, LMF: lomefloxacin, AMX: amoxicillin, EIS: electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, SWV: square wave voltammetry, SWASV: square wave anodic stripping voltammetry, Ab2: secondary antibody, IMB: immunomagnetic beads, Pi-A: *N*-(2-(1-(*p*-tolyl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)picolinamide, DPASV: differential pulse anodic stripping voltammetry, ochratoxin A (OTA): (2*S*)-2-[[[(3*R*)-5-chloro-8-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-oxo-3,4-dihydroisochromene-7-carbonyl]amino]-phenylpropanoic acid, VMSF: vertically ordered mesoporous silica-nanochannel film.




Fig. 27 (A) (Left) Photographs of a real graphene-interfaced chip. (Right) The PASE activation and antibody immobilization processes. (B) On-chip biosensing of *E. coli* O157:H7 through capacitance change measured between Au microelectrodes. (C) Optical micrographs of captured *E. coli* O157:H7 cells on graphene-interface chips through targeted antibodies that are covalently attached to the surfaces of the chips. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 564, A. Pandey, Y. Gurbuz, V. Ozguz, J. H. Niazi and A. Qureshi, Graphene-Interfaced Biosensor for Label-Free and Sensitive Detection of Foodborne Pathogenic *E. coli* O157:H7, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 225–231. Copyright© Elsevier.]

Nanomaterials such as inorganic nanoparticles and carbon-based nanomaterials (CNTs, graphene) have been effectively used for the detection of food contaminants.^{602,603} Table 8 summarizes the graphene-based biosensors for the detection of pathogens, food toxins, and metal ions.

Srivastava *et al.*⁵⁷⁶ demonstrated an electrochemical sensor by covalently binding monoclonal antibodies to AFB1 (anti-AFB1) onto the rGO surface for detecting food toxin (AFB1). The rGO/anti-AFB1 based sensor showed high sensitivity of 68 $\mu\text{A ng}^{-1} \text{mL cm}^{-2}$ and an LOD of 0.12 ng mL^{-1} . The same research group also reported a label-free sensor using a AuNP-supported rGO nanosheet, and the surface was bioconjugated with monoclonal antibodies against AFB1. The BSA/anti-AFB1/

AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite-based immunosensor showed good stability up to 56 days and the detection of AFB1 with a sensitivity of 182.4 $\mu\text{A ng}^{-1} \text{mL cm}^{-2}$ and a linear range of 0.1–12 ng mL^{-1} with an LOD of 0.1 ng mL^{-1} .⁵⁷⁷ Moreover, this group also demonstrated the fabrication of label-free immunosensors that used rGO sheets decorated with antibody-conjugated nickel nanoparticles for the electrochemical detection of AFB1 mycotoxin.⁵⁷⁹ Owing to the high electrocatalytic activity of NiNPs, the fabricated BSA/anti-AFB1/rGO-NiNPs immunosensor exhibited an enhanced electron transfer rate and sensitivity of 129.6 $\mu\text{A ng mL}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, a linear detection range of 1.0–8.0 ng mL^{-1} with an LOD of 0.16 ng mL^{-1} for AFB1 and stability up to 42 days. Krittayavathananon *et al.*⁵⁷⁵ showed an



impedimetric biosensor for detection of the AFB1 level employing rGO aerogel labeled with ssDNA on a rotating disk electrode. The sensor detected AFB1 in a linear concentration range of 7×10^{-8} to 1×10^{-10} g mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.04 ng mL⁻¹. Wang *et al.*⁵⁷⁸ reported the detection of AFB1 using an rGO/polypyrrole/pyrrolepropyric acid nanocomposite-based impedimetric immunosensor. The sensor showed improved sensitivity for the detection of AFB1 in a linear range of 10 fg mL⁻¹ to 10 pg mL⁻¹. Kaur *et al.*⁶⁰⁴ developed an electrochemical aptasensor using functionalized GR (f-GR)-doped chitosan composite for detecting mycotoxin ochratoxin A (OTA). The fGR played a role in increasing the surface area of the electrode whereas CS hindered the leaching of aptamer (APT). The streptavidin (SA) attached in f-GR was immobilized by ATP molecules through SA-biotin coupling process. The APT/SA/CS-fGR composite-based biosensor showed a linear range of 1 µg mL⁻¹ to 1 fg mL⁻¹, and an LOD of 1 fg mL⁻¹ for standard sample and 0.01 ng mL⁻¹ for spiked grape juice samples for the OTA detection with a response time of 8 min and retained 91.4%, 70.7% and 53.8% of initial responses after 7, 21, and 28 days, respectively. The OTA recoveries from the grape juice were found to be between 90% and 101%. Jiang *et al.*⁶⁰⁵ used AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite to amplify the electrochemical impedimetric signal of an aptasensor for mycotoxin ochratoxin A (OTA) detection within picomolar level sensitivity. The negative assembled reporter DNA covered almost the entire surface of the AuNPs/rGO nanocomposite and effectively repelled the [Fe(CN)₆]^{4-/-3-} anions, which enabled considerable amplification for the detection of OTA. The designed sensor displayed a good response for OTA concentrations ranging from 1.0 pg mL⁻¹ to 50 ng mL⁻¹ with an LOD of 0.3 pg mL⁻¹.

3.10 Detection of toxic heavy metal ions

Toxic heavy metal ions including of arsenic (As³⁺), lead (Pb²⁺), chromium (Cr³⁺ or Cr⁶⁺), cadmium (Cd²⁺), and mercury (Hg²⁺) may get accumulated in the human body through food chains. These highly toxic pollutants are non-biodegradable and may have adverse effects on the central nervous, immune and reproductive systems even at very low trace amounts.⁶⁰⁶ It is critical to develop new analytical techniques for monitoring toxic heavy metal ions in water, food and the environment.⁶⁰⁷ The electrochemical approach is a simple low-cost method and facilitates real-time monitoring of pollutants compared to other spectroscopic and optical techniques.⁶⁰⁸ Different types of nanostructured materials have been employed for effectively monitoring environmental pollutants. Graphene and its nanocomposites have shown great promise for removing toxic heavy metals and organic dyes from aqueous solutions.^{609,610} The graphene-based electrochemical sensors used for detecting various metal ions are summarized in Table 8.

Muralikrishna *et al.*⁶¹¹ utilized L-cysteine-functionalized GO for the simultaneous detection of Cd(II), Cu(II), Pb(II), and Hg(II) in the linear detection range of 0.4 to 2.0 µM for Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺ and 0.4 to 1.2 µM for Pb²⁺. The L-cysteine/GO composite-based sensor showed LODs of 0.366, 0.261, 0.416 and 1.113 µg L⁻¹ for Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, and Hg²⁺, respectively. The limits of

metal ion detection were found to be lower than the WHO standards. Gumpu *et al.*⁵⁹⁵ used a ruthenium(II) bipyridine complex ([Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺) and GO nanocomposite to detect Cd(II), Pb(II), As(III) and Hg(II) metal ions. The sensor showed the lowest detection limits of 2.8 nM for Cd(II), 1.41 nM for Pb(II), 2.3 nM for As(III) and 1.6 nM for Hg(II) metal ions. Zhang *et al.*⁶¹² reported the use of TiO₂-graphene hybrid nanostructures for Pb(II) and Cd(II) detection. The sensor showed linear ranges from 1.0×10^{-8} M to 3.2×10^{-5} M and 6.0×10^{-7} M to 3.2×10^{-5} M and LODs of 1.0×10^{-10} M and 2.0×10^{-9} M for Pb²⁺ and Cd²⁺ respectively. Palanisamy *et al.*⁶¹³ reported the electrochemical determination of Hg(II) based on activated graphite-modified screen-printed carbon electrodes. The sensor exhibited a linear range of 0.05–14.77 ppm and an LOD of 4.6 ppb with a sensitivity of 81.5 mA ppm⁻¹ cm⁻². The LOD value is considerably below the guideline values for Hg(II) in drinking water. Seenivasan *et al.*⁶¹⁴ synthesized cysteine-functionalized GO that used carbonyldiimidazole as a cross-linker for the electrochemical detection of Pb²⁺ ions in water using DPV. The resulting sensor showed a linear range of 1.4–28 ppb with an LOD of 0.07 ppb (S/N = 3) for the detection of Pb²⁺ ions. This value is 2-fold lower than the 10 ppb threshold for drinking water set by WHO. Zhang *et al.*⁵⁹⁴ reported the activation of thymine-Hg²⁺-thymine (T-Hg²⁺-T) coordination chemistry for the detection of Hg²⁺ with an LOD of 0.001 aM using an electrodeposited graphene-Au-modified electrode for signal amplification. Fig. 28 shows a schematic illustration of the sensing approach for the detection of Hg²⁺, square wave voltammograms (SWV) of the biosensor as a function of Hg²⁺ ion concentration and its selectivity toward Hg²⁺ ions among various interfering metal ions and their mixture containing Hg²⁺ ions. In this sensor design, the electrodeposited GR-Au on a GCE surface is functionalized with a 10-mer thymine-rich DNA probe (P1), and the Au nanocarrier is functionalized with a 29-mer guanine-rich DNA probe (P3) labeled with MB to further improve the signal response. With the addition of Hg²⁺, the T-T mismatched dsDNA between P1 and a 22-mer thymine-rich DNA probe (P2) forms on the surface of the electrode because of T-Hg²⁺-T coordination chemistry and the introduction of the MB-nano-Au-P 3s for P2 hybridization. The SWV of the mercuric sensor showed a very broad range of Hg²⁺ ions concentration from 10 µM to 0.001 aM where the detection of Hg²⁺ ions ranged from 1.0 aM to 100 nM with an LOD of 0.001 aM. Furthermore, biosensor also showed very high selectivity toward Hg²⁺ ions among various interfering metal ions when measurements were performed by the square wave voltammetry using 10 nM of Hg²⁺ and 500 nM of K⁺, Ca²⁺, Ba²⁺, Cd²⁺, Cr²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ni²⁺, Zn²⁺, Fe³⁺, Al³⁺, and their mixture with 10 nM of Hg²⁺ respectively, where the sensitivity of interfering metal ions were found be less than 5% compared with Hg²⁺ ions. Yu *et al.*⁶¹⁵ reported a noncovalently modified rGO sensor for the detection of Hg²⁺ down to the picomolar concentration range. The resulting sensor could detect Hg²⁺ ions at low concentrations up to 0.1 nM, which is lower than the limits of the WHO and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Lu *et al.*⁵⁹⁸ developed electrochemical sensors for detecting Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺ ions and dopamine using silica nanochannel-






Fig. 28 (A) Schematic illustration of the sensing strategy for the detection of Hg^{2+} using a graphene/nano-Au composite for signal amplification. (B) Square wave voltammograms (SWV) of mercuric biosensor as a function of Hg^{2+} ions concentration in the 10 μM to 0.001 aM range in 20 mL Tris having 10 mM KCl. (C) Mercuric biosensor showing the selectivity of Hg^{2+} ions among various interfering metal ions measured using 10 nM of Hg^{2+} , 500 nM of interfering metal ions K^+ , Ba^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cr^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , and their corresponding mixture having 10 nM of Hg^{2+} respectively. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 594, Y. Zhang, G. M. Zeng, L. Tang, J. Chen, Y. Zhu, X. X. He and Y. He, Electrochemical Sensor Based on Electrodeposited Graphene-Au Modified Electrode and NanoAu Carrier Amplified Signal Strategy for Attomolar Mercury Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, 87, 989–996. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

confined GQDs. Fig. 29 shows DPV curves recorded with vertically ordered mesoporous silica-nanochannel film (VMSF)/ITO electrode, OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO and NH_2 -GQD@VMSF/ITO electrodes for the detection of Hg^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} . Both OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO and NH_2 -GQD@VMSF/ITO electrodes exhibited significantly amplified current signals for detecting Hg^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} ions than that of VMSF/ITO electrode having no GQDs. This indicates that the significant increase in current signals is associated with the GQDs due to their molecular interactions with Hg^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} ions, efficient electronic transfer between GQDs and metal ions as well as high specific surface area inherited by GQDs. DPV curves were also recorded

as a function of different concentrations of Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} using OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode and as a function of different concentrations of Cd^{2+} using NH_2 -GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode. The OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode-based sensing platform could detect Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions at concentrations as low as 9.8 pM and 8.3 pM, respectively, compared with the LOD of 0.3 μM for Hg^{2+} and 32 nM for Cu^{2+} using VMSF/ITO electrode. Likely, the NH_2 -GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode showed an LOD of 4.3 nM for Cd^{2+} compared with 120 nM using VMSF/ITO electrode. The LOD for detecting Hg^{2+} was reduced by 5 orders of magnitude by incorporating OH-GQDs into the electrode. The ITO electrode was replaced by Au electrode, the resulting OH-GQD@VMSF/Au






Fig. 29 (A–C) A comparison of DPV curves recorded with different electrodes including vertically ordered mesoporous silica-nanochannel film (VMSF)/ITO electrode, OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO and NH₂-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrodes for detecting Hg²⁺ (0.5 μM), Cu²⁺ (1.0 μM), and Cd²⁺ (1.0 μM), respectively. (D and E) DPV curves recorded as a function of different concentrations of Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ using OH-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode. (F) DPV curves obtained as a function of different concentrations of Cd²⁺ using NH₂-GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode. The (D)–(F) insets show linear curves obtained in a very low concentration range. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 598, L. Lu, L. Zhou, J. Chen, F. Yan, J. Liu, X. Dong, F. Xi and P. Chen, Nanochannel-Confined Graphene Quantum Dots for Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Analysis of Complex Samples, *ACS Nano*, 2018, 12, 12673–12681. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

electrode showed a linear range of 200 nM to 100 μM and an LOD of 120 nM for the detection of dopamine. These GQD@VMSF/ITO electrode-based sensors also showed high sensitivity and selectivity toward Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Cd²⁺ ions in presence of other interfering chemical analytes including Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺, BSA and hemoglobin. Similarly, the OH-GQD@VMSF/Au electrode-based sensor showed high selectivity toward dopamine in the presence of other interfering bioanalytes including common ions, amino acids, protein (concanavalin A), ascorbic acid and uric acid.

3.11 Detection of pesticides

Pesticides are widely employed in agriculture to control weeds and pests and to increase food production around the world. Herbicides and insecticides used to protect plants can be potentially toxic to human. Their overuse and improper disposal can cause significant food and environmental contamination as pesticides easily dissolve in water and do not decompose easily so they may have harmful health effects.⁶¹⁶ Therefore, it is important to develop biosensors for the sensitive detection of agro-hazardous pesticide residues in food and water to avoid toxic effects to humans. Organophosphorus

pesticides (OPs) are used as insecticides to protect crops worldwide, but they are equally unsafe to public health due to their high neurotoxicity.⁶¹⁷ Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), a kind of acetylhydrolase, is a catalytic enzyme present in the central nervous system that catalyzes the hydrolysis of neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine and choline esters. AChE inhibitor-based drugs have been approved by the FDA for clinical trials and the management of Alzheimer disease, which is a neurodegenerative disorder.^{618–620} The catalytic activity of AChE is significantly inhibited by exposure to trace amounts of environmentally toxic OPs and OP nerve agents.^{621–623} This catalytic inhibition concept has been used to develop various types of AChE-based biosensors for detecting OPs.^{624–627} AChE can be easily immobilized on the surface of nanostructured materials to improve the sensitivity of biosensors for detecting pesticide residues.^{628–634} Graphene-based materials also offer high surface area and plentiful active sites; therefore, they have been used for developing AChE inhibition biosensors.

Two-dimensional layered nanomaterials analogous to graphene⁶³⁵ and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs)⁶³⁶ have attracted significant research interest for developing biosensors



for the electrochemical detection of pesticides. Owing to its high surface area and unique electrocatalytic properties, graphene can markedly enhance biosensing performance (Table 9). AChE electrochemical biosensors with graphene nanocomposite-modified GCEs have been studied for the detection of different types of pesticides: methyl parathion, carbofuran,⁶³⁷ chlorpyrifos,^{638–640} imidacloprid,⁶⁴¹ methyl parathion,⁶⁴² phoxim with graphene/GCE,⁶⁴³ poly(3-methylthiophene)/nitrogen-doped graphene (P3MT/N-GR),⁶⁴⁴ and carboxylic chitosan (CChit)/silver nanoclusters-rGO (AgNCs-rGO),⁶⁴⁵ paraoxon and chlorpyrifos with TiO₂-GO/UiO-66 composite,⁶⁴⁶ carbaryl with MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons,⁶⁴⁷ carbaryl and chlorpyrifos with AgNPs-CGR/NF composite,⁶⁴⁸ chlorpyrifos and carbofuran with ZnONPs-CGR/NF composite,⁶⁴⁹ carbaryl and monocrotophos with ionic liquid-functionalized graphene (IL-GR)/gelatin,⁶⁵⁰ monocrotophos with Prussian blue nanocubes (PBNCs)/rGO,⁶⁵¹

malathion and carbaryl with rGO-AuNP/ β -cyclodextrin/Prussian blue-CS nanocomposites (rGO-AuNPs/ β -CD/PB-Chit),⁶⁵² dichlorvos with multilayered Chit@TiO₂-Chit/rGO,⁶⁵³ fenitrothion with cerium oxide nanoparticle-decorated rGO (CeO₂-rGO),⁶⁵⁴ diuron with rGO-AuNPs,⁶⁵⁵ paraoxon-ethyl with rGO-AuNPs/polypyrrole (PPy),⁶⁵⁶ carbaryl with GR/PANI,⁶⁵⁷ carbaryl with an electrochemically induced porous GO network,⁶⁵⁸ methyl parathion and malathion with plant esterase (PLaE)-Chit/AuNPs-graphene nanosheets (PLaE-Chit/AuNPs-GNs).⁶⁵⁹

An electrochemical AChE biosensor using rGO/silver nanoclusters (AgNCs)/chitosan composite was fabricated by Zheng *et al.*⁶⁴⁵ The immobilization of AChE on a GCE modified with rGO and AgNCs was accomplished using carboxylic chitosan as a cross-linking agent. The biosensor exhibited a wide linear range of 0.2–250 nM and an LOD of 81 pM for phoxim with S/N = 3. Bao *et al.*⁶⁵⁹ developed a sensor using plant esterase-

Table 9 Graphene nanocomposite-modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE)-based acetylcholinesterase (AChE) electrochemical biosensors for detecting pesticides^a

Graphene-based nanocomposites	Pesticides	Linear range	Limit of detection	Ref.
PtNPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Methyl parathion	1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-5} nM	637
PtNPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Carbofuran	1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-4} nM	637
NiONPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Methyl parathion	1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-5} nM	638
NiONPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Chlorpyrifos	1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-4} nM	638
SnO ₂ NPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Methyl parathion	1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-5} nM	639
SnNPs-carboxylic GR-Nafion	Chlorpyrifos	1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5×10^{-4} nM	639
MIPs/rGO-AuNPs	Carbofuran	5.0×10^{-2} to 0.2 μ M	2.0×10^{-2} μ M	640
MIPs-GR	Imidacloprid	0.5–15 μ M	0.10 μ M	641
EC-rGO/Nafion	Methyl parathion	0.002–0.7 μ M	1 nM	642
GR/GCE	Phoxim	20–20 000 nM	8 nM	643
Poly(3-methylthiophene)/N-doped GR	Phoxim	0.02–2.0 μ M	6.4 nM	644
Carboxylic chitosan/AgNCs-rGO composite	Phoxim	0.2–250 nM	81 pM	645
TiO ₂ -GO/UiO-66 composite	Paraoxon	1.0–100 nM	0.2 nM	646
	Chlorpyrifos	5.0–300 nM	1.0 nM	
MWCNTs/GO nanoribbons	Carbaryl	5–5000 nM	1.7 nM	647
AgNPs-carboxylic GR/Nafion	Carbaryl	1.0×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5.45×10^{-4} nM	648
AgNPs-carboxylic GR/Nafion	Chlorpyrifos	1.0×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5.3×10^{-4} nM	648
ZnONPs-carboxylic GR/Nafion	Chlorpyrifos	1.0×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5.0×10^{-5} nM	649
ZnONPs-carboxylic GR/Nafion	Carbofuran	1.0×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5.2×10^{-4} nM	649
IL-GR-gelatin	Carbaryl	1.0×10^{-8} to 1×10^{-2} μ M	5.3×10^{-6} nM	650
IL-GR-gelatin	Monocrotophos	1.0×10^{-7} to 5×10^{-2} μ M	4.6×10^{-5} nM	650
PBNCs-rGO composite	Monocrotophos	1.0–600 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹	651
rGO-AuNPs/ β -CD/PB-CS	Malathion	7.98 to 2.0×10^3 pg mL ⁻¹	4.14 pg mL ⁻¹	652
rGO-AuNPs/ β -CD/PB-CS	Carbaryl	4.3 to 1.0×10^3 pg mL ⁻¹	1.15 pg mL ⁻¹	652
CS@TiO ₂ -CS/rGO	Dichlorvos	0.036–22.6 μ M	29 nM	653
CeO ₂ -rGO composite	Fenitrothion	0.025–2.0 μ g mL ⁻¹	3.0 ng mL ⁻¹	654
rGO-AuNPs composite	Diuron	0.5–30.0 μ g mL ⁻¹	0.125 μ g mL ⁻¹	655
rGO-AuNPs/PPy composite	Paraoxon-ethyl	1.0 nM to 5 μ M	0.5 nM	656
GR/PANI composite	Carbaryl	38–194 ng mL ⁻¹	20 ng mL ⁻¹	657
Porous GO	Carbofuran	0.3–6.1 ng mL ⁻¹	0.15 ng mL ⁻¹	658
PLaE-CS/AuNPs-GR	Methyl parathion	0.19–760 nM	0.19 nM	659
PLaE-CS/AuNPs-GR	Malathion	1.5–1513.5 nM	1.51 nM	659
EC-rGO-Nafion	Dichlorvos	5–100 ng mL ⁻¹	2 ng mL ⁻¹	660
3D GR/MWCNTs composite	Carbaryl paraoxon	0.3–0.81 ng mL ⁻¹	0.015 ng mL ⁻¹	661
		0.05–1 ng mL ⁻¹	0.025 ng mL ⁻¹	
Ionic liquid-GR/CO ₃ O ₄ /CS	Dimethoate	5×10^{-3} nM to 0.1 μ M	1×10^{-4} nM	662

^a Abbreviations used AChE: acetylcholinesterase, GCE: glassy carbon electrode, PLaE: plant esterase, GNs: graphene nanosheets, AuNPs: gold nanoparticles, carboxylic graphene (C-GR), Nafion (NF), PtNPs: platinum nanoparticles, NiONPs: nickel oxide nanoparticles, SnO₂NPs: tin oxide nanoparticles, MIPs: molecularly imprinted polymers, C-CS: carboxylic chitosan, MWCNTs: multiwalled carbon nanotubes, IL-GR: ionic liquid-functionalized graphene, rGO: reduced graphene oxide, β -CD: β -cyclodextrin, PBNCs: Prussian blue nanocubes, CeO₂: cerium oxide, PPy: polypyrrole, PANI: polyaniline, methyl parathion: *O,O*-dimethyl-*O*-(4-nitrophenyl) phosphorothioate.






Fig. 30 DPVs at the glassy carbon electrode GCE on the PLaE-Chit/AuNPs-GN nanocomposite for (a) 10 ppb of methyl parathion and then 10 ppb of methyl parathion mixed with (b) 10 ppb of carbendazim, (c) 10 ppb of lindane, (d) 1 ppm of Fe^{3+} , (e) 1 ppm of Zn^{2+} , (f) 1 ppm of Cu^{2+} , (g) 1 ppm of Pb^{2+} , (h) 1 ppm of K^+ , (i) 1 ppm of NO_3^- , (j) 1 ppm of PO_4^{3-} , (k) 1 ppm of SO_4^{2-} , (l) 0.5 mM glucose, or (m) 0.5 mM citric acid. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 659, J. Bao, C. Hou, M. Chen, J. Li, D. Huo, M. Yang, X. Luo and Y. Lei, Plant Esterase-Chitosan/Gold Nanoparticles-Graphene Nanosheet Composite-Based Biosensor for the Ultrasensitive Detection of Organophosphate Pesticides, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2015, **63**, 10319–10326. Copyright© 2018 American Chemical Society.]

chitosan/AuNPs-graphene nanosheets for the detection of methyl parathion and malathion. Esterase is a plant enzyme that dissociates esters into acid and alcohol components and catalyzes OPs with a similar mechanism to that of the AChE enzyme. The nanostructures of graphene nanosheets, AuNP-GNs, and PLaE-Chit/AuNP-GN nanocomposites were characterized using SEM and TEM. AuNPs approximately 13 nm in diameter were uniformly distributed on the crumpled GN surfaces. The particles of PLaE and CS nanocomposite were clearly visible on the AuNP-GN composites, where TEM images confirmed the formation of hybrid composites suitable for detecting OPs. The nanocomposite-based biosensor showed detection limits of 0.19 nM (50 ppt) for methyl parathion and 1.51 nM (0.5 ppb) for malathion ($S/N = 3$). The biosensor also exhibited high selectivity because no interference from other analytes, such as glucose, citric acid, metal ions and oxygen-containing inorganic ions, was observed. The specificity of the sensor was evaluated for the detection of OPs by comparing the normalized signal of 0.1 M PBS buffer solution (pH 6.5) containing 0.5 mM 1-naphthyl acetate in 10 ppb of methyl parathion (100%) with the normalized signals recorded in the presence of methyl parathion in combination with different interfering analytes (Fig. 30). The DPV data did not show any significant changes in the normalized signals for the presence of (c) 10 ppb lindane, (d) 1 ppm Fe^{3+} , (e) 1 ppm Zn^{2+} , (f) 1 ppm Cu^{2+} , (h) 1 ppm K^+ , (i) 1 ppm NO_3^- , (j) 1 ppm PO_4^{3-} , (k) 1 ppm SO_4^{2-} , (l) 0.5 mM glucose, and (m) 0.5 mM citric acid after mixing with 10 ppb of methyl parathion. Interference with the 10 ppb methyl parathion was observed only with (b) 10 ppb of carbendazim and (g) 1 ppm Pb^{2+} with detection of 85.97 and 78.37%, respectively. The biosensor also showed storage




Fig. 31 (A) Schematic illustration of phosphotriesterase (PTE) enzyme functionalization on the PtNPs-inkjet maskless lithography-PGE (PtNP-IML-PGE) surface using glutaraldehyde (GA). Hydrolysis of paraoxon into *p*-nitrophenol by the immobilized PTE enzyme and thereafter, successive oxidation of *p*-nitrophenol at the surface of graphene electrode at a working potential of +0.95 V vs. Ag/AgCl. (B) Enzyme progress of *p*-nitrophenol production rate for varying paraoxon concentrations using enzyme inks created using 2 nM (black), 4 nM (green), and 20 nM (red) concentrations of PTE. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 663, J. A. Hondred, J. C. Breger, N. J. Alves, S. A. Trammell, S. A. Walper, I. L. Medintz and J. C. Clausen, Printed Graphene Electrochemical Biosensors Fabricated by Inkjet Maskless Lithography for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Organophosphates, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 11125–11134. Copyright© 2018 American Chemical Society.]

stability, as no decrease in the normalized signal was observed after 9 days, and 73% of the initial signal was retained after 15 days.

Hondred *et al.*⁶⁶³ developed an electrochemical sensor with Pt nanoparticles electrodeposited on patterned graphene electrodes (PGEs) for detecting OPs. The electrode was first fabricated *via* inkjet maskless lithography (IML) followed by the electrodeposition of Pt nanoparticles to enhance its surface area, electrical conductivity, and electrocatalytic activity. Next, the enzyme phosphotriesterase was conjugated using the bifunctional cross-linking molecule glutaraldehyde (GA), as shown in Fig. 31. The fabricated PtNP-IML-PGE/GA biosensor



showed rapid detection of paraoxon pesticide with 5 s response time, a sensitivity of 370 nA μM , a linear range of 0.1–1 μM , and an LOD of 3 nM. In comparison, the biosensor based on IML-PGE/GA (without PtNPs) showed a sensitivity of 270 nA μM , a linear range of 0.1–1 μM , and an LOD of 12 nM. The PtNP-IML-PGE/GA biosensor showed reusability over 12 repeated operations by retaining 95% sensitivity and 70% stability of anodic current signal to paraoxon after 8 weeks. The biosensor exhibited high selectivity toward paraoxon detection against interfering chemical nerve agents including *p*-nitrophenol, chlorpyrifos methyl, parathion, dichlofenthion, fenitrothion, phoxim, and dimethoate. These PtNP-IML-PGEs biosensors can be manufactured in large quantities at a low-cost and used in-field for detecting pesticides and other biochemical agents in river water, tap water and soil samples.

4. Graphene-based fluorescent biosensors

Fluorescence-based biosensing is a well-established optical tool with many advantages, such as high sensitivity, rapid detection time and easy operation, making it an ideal platform for biological, biochemical and medical research.⁶⁶⁴ The development of fluorescent biosensors to detect different biological molecules, such as glucose, H_2O_2 , dopamine, NAs, food toxins and metal ions, is of great interest to medicine since this technique can provide direct readouts of molecular localization in different biological organisms and living cells. Since the discovery of green fluorescent protein (GFP), which enabled the observation of molecular interactions within a 10 nM range through the Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) mechanism, a wide variety of fluorescent biosensors have been developed utilizing different types of nanomaterials with high sensitivity and selectivity, and low detection limits.⁶⁶⁵ Specifically, carbon-based nanomaterials such as GR or GO have been found to quench fluorescence very effectively, which makes them strong acceptors in the FRET process over the entire visible region. In addition, further functionalization with carboxyl (–COOH) groups has been applied to fluorescent sensors in order to attain high fluorescence quenching and ssDNA interaction through π – π stacking.²¹ GO has been found to exhibit high fluorescence quenching up to a distance of 300 Å.⁶⁶⁶

Graphene-based fluorescence quenching has been predominantly accredited to FRET due to the linear dispersion of Dirac electrons and the relatively distant effective gap between fluorescent molecules and graphene.⁶⁶⁷ The linear dispersion of Dirac fermions allows electron–hole pairs in graphene to resonate with photons of various frequencies that are emitted from fluorescent molecules. This kind of FRET mechanism and the high-efficiency quenching ability of graphene for all types of fluorophores makes GO promising for the development of new fluorescent-based biological sensors and other devices for their use *in vivo* and *in vitro*.^{21,462,668} Moreover, the amphiphilicity of GO facilitates the adsorption of diverse biomolecules on its surface due to its high binding affinity with biomolecules *via* π – π stacking.⁶⁶⁹ GO nanosheet shows the capability of efficient

energy transfer when the adsorbed biomolecules are conjugated with a fluorescent dye, yielding fluorescence quenching with minimal background signal because of the absence of graphene's energy bandgap and the existing close-distance π – π stacking. The progress made in fluorescence-based biosensors have been discussed.^{17,21,128} The graphene- and graphene-nanocomposite-based fluorescent biosensors for the detection of various biomolecules are summarized in Table 10.

4.1 Fluorescent detection of glucose, cholesterol, H_2O_2 , and dopamine

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely applied to the fluorescence-based detection of glucose, H_2O_2 , DA, and so forth, with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity (Table 10). Li *et al.*⁶⁷⁰ developed tyramine (TYR)-functionalized GQDs (TYR-GQDs) for fluorescent biosensing of H_2O_2 and four metabolites including glucose, cholesterol, L-lactate, and xanthine in the blood. In the TYR-GQDs conjugate, the tyramine is covalently attached on the surface of GQDs through the reaction between the amino (–NH₂) groups of TYR and carboxyl (–COOH) groups of GQDs. In order to detect these four metabolites, their corresponding oxidases such as glucose oxidase, cholesterol oxidase, L-lactate oxidase, and xanthine oxidase were added into the 10 mM PBS (pH 7.0) containing 0.1 mg mL^{–1} TYR-GQDs conjugate to trigger photoluminescence (PL) quenching. Fig. 32 shows a schematic of fluorescence biosensing using TYR-GQDs, and PL spectra of 0.1 mg mL^{–1} TYR-GQDs conjugate in PBS containing 2.5 μM glucose oxidase, 10 μM cholesterol oxidase and 5 μM L-lactate oxidase at different concentrations of glucose, cholesterol and L-lactate, respectively. The TYR-GQD-based fluorescence biosensors exhibited LODs of 7.2×10^{-9} M, 3.2×10^{-10} M, and 1.2×10^{-9} M with linear ranges of 5×10^{-8} to 2×10^{-6} M, 1×10^{-9} to 1.5×10^{-7} M, and 8×10^{-8} to 1×10^{-5} M for glucose, H_2O_2 and cholesterol detection, respectively. The biosensor showed a linear range of 2×10^{-7} to 2.5×10^{-5} M and 1×10^{-7} to 1.8×10^{-5} M with LOD of 4.7×10^{-8} M and 3.2×10^{-8} M for L-lactate and xanthine detection, respectively. The evident PL quenching was not observed for TYR-GQDs after adding either glucose oxidase or glucose and other interferents such as fructose, lactose and maltose mixed with glucose oxidase, indicating high selectivity of the biosensor toward glucose detection. These TYR-GQD-based fluorescence biosensors showing high sensitivity and selectivity, can be used for healthcare for diagnosis of metabolic and other related diseases.

Chen *et al.*⁷⁰⁸ demonstrated a GO-based label-free near infrared (NIR) fluorescent sensor for detecting dopamine. The good affinity of DA molecules with GO allows multiple non-covalent interactions. The effective quenching of GO when DA molecules are adsorbed onto the GO nanosheet enables biosensing by way of direct readout of the NIR fluorescence of the GO in the NIR for the effective detection of DA. The sensor showed an LOD of 94 nM and a 2.0% relative standard deviation; it was used to DA determination in biological fluids with 98 to 115% quantitative recovery. Cheng *et al.*⁷⁰⁹ demonstrated a label-free FRET-based biosensor using doxorubicin (DOX)-



Table 10 Graphene-based fluorescent biosensors for detecting biomolecules, nucleic acids, biomarkers, pathogens, food toxins, metal ions and pesticides^a

Biosensor type	Graphene-based nanocomposites	Target	Linear range	Limit of detection (LOD)	Ref.
Biomolecules	Tyramine-functionalized GQDs	Glucose	50 nM to 2 μM	7.2 nM	670
	Tyramine-functionalized GQDs	H ₂ O ₂	1 nM to 150 nM	0.32 nM	670
	Tyramine-functionalized GQDs	Cholesterol	80 nM to 10 μM	1.2 nM	670
	Tyramine-functionalized GQDs	L-Lactate	200 nM to 25 μM	47 nM	670
	Tyramine-functionalized GQDs	Xanthene	100 nM to 18 μM	32 nM	670
	PVA/GQDs	Glucose	0.25–24 mM	10 μM	671
	PVA/GQDs	H ₂ O ₂	0.05–35 mM	1.0 μM	671
Nucleic acids	GLY-GQDs	Ce ⁴⁺	0.03–17.0 μM	25 nM	672
	GO	miRNA	0.02–100 fM	3 fM	673
	GO	miRNA	10 fM to 10 pM	3 fM	674
	rGO	Hepatitis B virus (HBV)	0.05 pg mL ⁻¹ to 1 ng mL ⁻¹	0.05 ng mL ⁻¹	675
Biomarkers	GQDs	Cardiac marker antigen troponin I (cTnI)	0.001–1000 ng mL ⁻¹	0.192 pg mL ⁻¹	676
	GR	PSA	100–500 ng mL ⁻¹	100 ng mL ⁻¹	677
Pathogens	GQDs/AuNPs	<i>S. aureus</i>	100 pM to 100 nM	1 nM	678
	GR magnetic nanosheets/CS	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	4.5 × 10 ² to 5 × 10 ² CFU mL ⁻¹	5 CFU mL ⁻¹	679
		<i>S. aureus</i>		4.5 CFU mL ⁻¹	
	GR sheets	Oxytetracycline	0.01–0.2 μM	0.01 μM	680
	GO/nucleic-acid stabilized Ag nanoclusters hybrid	DNA	0–1000 nM	0.5 nM	681
Food toxins		ATP		2.5 nM	
		Thrombin		0.5 nM	
	GO/UC NPs	Ochratoxin A (OTA)	0.05–100 ng mL ⁻¹	0.02 ng mL ⁻¹	682
		Aflatoxin B ₁ (AFB ₁)	0.1–500 ng mL ⁻¹	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹	
	GO	OTA	2.0–35 μM	1.9 μM	683
Metal ions	CdTe QDs/GO	AFB ₁	3.2 nM to 320 μM	1 nM	684
	GQDs	OTA	0.05–20 ng mL ⁻¹	13 pg mL ⁻¹	685
	GO/Au nanocomposite	AFB ₁	0.1–10 pg mL ⁻¹	0.03 pg mL ⁻¹	686
	GR/DNAzymes complex	Cu ²⁺	0–250 nM	2 nM	687
	GR/DNAzyme	Cu ²⁺	0.01–1.5 μM	0.365 nM	688
	GR/DNAzyme	Pb ²⁺	0.5 nM to 2 μM	0.5 nM	689
	GQDs/DNA	Hg ²⁺	1 nM to 10 μM	0.25 nM	690
	GO/guanine-quadruplex	Pb ²⁺	0.75/6.0 nM L ⁻¹	0.40 nM L ⁻¹	691
	DNA aptamer				
	CdSe/ZnS QDs/GO	Pb ²⁺	0.1–10 nM	90 pM	692
	GO	Hg ²⁺	1–50 nM	0.92 nM	693
	GQDs	Cu ²⁺	0–15 μM	0.226 μM	694
	GQDs/GO	Pb ²⁺	Up to 400 nM	0.6 nM	695
	Carbon dots/ODN/GO	Hg ²⁺	5–200 nM	2.6 nM	696
	Dopamine/GQDs	Fe ³⁺	20 nM to 2 μM	7.6 nM	697
	GO-AuNPs	Pb ²⁺	2 nM to 0.23 μM	0.1 nM	698
	Macroporous GR foam	Pb ²⁺	50–500 pM	50 pM	699
		Cu ²⁺		0.6 nM	
	GQDs	Fe ³⁺	0 to 60 μM	0.45 μM	700
Pi-A/rGO	Cu ²⁺	5–300 μg mL ⁻¹	0.67 μg mL ⁻¹	591	
N-doped GQDs	Al ³⁺	2.5–75 μM	1.3 μM	701	
S-doped GQDs	Ag ⁺	0.1–130 μM	30 nM	702	
GQDs	Cu ²⁺	0.1–1 μM	0.067 μM	703	
GQDs/AuNPs	Pb ²⁺	50 nM to 4 μM	16.7 nM	704	
N-doped GQDs	Hg ²⁺	2–200 nM	0.32 nM	705	
Pesticides	N-doped GQDs	Omethoate	0.1–1.7 nM	0.041 pM	706
	N-doped GQDs MIP	Thiacloprid	0.1–10 mg L ⁻¹	0.03 mg L ⁻¹	707

^a Abbreviations used PVA: poly(vinyl alcohol), PSA: (prostate specific antigen, a cancer marker), GLY: glycine, AFB₁: aflatoxin B₁, cTnI: cardiac marker antigen troponin I in blood, ATP: adenosine triphosphate, Pi-A: *N*-(2-(1-(*p*-tolyl)-1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)picolinamide, CDs: carbon dots, ODN: oligodeoxyribonucleotide, MIP: molecularly imprinted polymer. Upconversion fluorescent NPs: BaY_{0.78}F₅:Yb_{0.2},Er_{0.02} and BaY_{0.78}F₅:Yb_{0.7},Tm_{0.02} nanoparticles.

functionalized GO for detecting DA in human serum, aqueous solutions and cells. The fluorescence is quenched upon adsorption onto GO. Upon the addition of DA, the preadsorbed DOX is released by the specific interaction occurring between

DA and GO due to the significantly higher binding affinity of DA molecules, which recovers the fluorescence of DOX and enables selective sensing of DA. The fluorescent DOX-GO sensor showed a high response for the detection of DA in the 8.3 × 10⁻⁷ M to 3.3



$\times 10^{-5}$ M concentration range in an aqueous solution and $1.44\text{--}11.48$ $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ in a human serum sample. A linear relationship was observed between the ratio of fluorescence intensity (F_0/F) of DOX before and after adding GO as a function of the GO concentration over 0.5 to 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ range at 598 nm.

4.2 Fluorescence detection of nucleic acids

Fluorescence-based detection has become an attractive research area for a wide range of applications. It is suitable for NA sensors where the target-triggered conformational change of an

oligonucleotide affects the fluorescence of DNA-binding dyes such as OliGreen, TOTO, and ethidium bromide.¹¹ Carbon nanomaterials functionalized with carboxyl ($-\text{COOH}$) groups have been commonly used in NA sensors, which offer great fluorescence quenching and interaction with ssDNA *via* π - π stacking. Specifically, graphene and GO-based fluorescent sensors have been widely employed for the detection of biologically active molecules such as DNA, RNA, and proteins.^{21,602} Most of these biosensor designs involve the use of DNA as the basis for templates for targeted molecule recognition and reporting. These DNA segments including aptamers have been




Fig. 32 (a) Schematic illustration of fluorescence detection scheme using tyramine-functionalized GQDs (TYR-GQDs). (b) Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of 0.1 mg mL^{-1} TYR-GQD in PBS (pH 7.0) containing 2.5 μM glucose oxidase and at different concentrations of glucose. (c) PL spectra of spectra of 0.1 mg mL^{-1} TYR-GQD in PBS (pH 7.0) containing 10 μM cholesterol oxidase and at different concentrations of cholesterol. (d) PL spectra of 0.1 mg mL^{-1} TYR-GQD in PBS (pH 7.0) containing 5 μM lactate oxidase and at different concentrations of L-lactate. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 670, N. Li, A. Than, X. Wang, S. Xu, L. Sun, H. Duan, C. Xu and P. Chen, *Ultrasensitive Profiling of Metabolites Using Tyramine-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots*, *ACS Nano*, 2016, 10, 3622–3629. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



used for the selective binding of target analytes or as enzyme substrates and the signal transducer is graphene or GO. Moreover, many fluorescent nanomaterials such as QDs, nanoclusters (NCs), and upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) have been developed for their use in biosensing.

Xing *et al.*⁷¹⁰ demonstrated a fluorescence aptasensor using a dsDNA/GO complex through dsDNA and GO binding in the presence of certain salts to study exonuclease I (Exo I) activities. In this sensing probe, the competitor gets hybridized with the aptamer in the absence of the target, which hinders the absorption of the competitor by Exo I, therefore, the formed dsDNA is adsorbed onto the surface of GO, giving rise to fluorescence quenching. On the other hand, the aptamer prefers binding with its target after the target is introduced into the system; this results in a nuclease reaction, and a minor change in fluorescence is observed when GO is introduced because of the weak affinity between generated mononucleotides and GO.

A smaller dissociation constant (K_d) value of 311.0 μM and an LOD of 3.1 μM were obtained for dsDNA and GO-based fluorescence aptasensor, compared with the conventional dye-labeled aptamer and GO complex-based sensing platform ($K_d = 688.8 \mu\text{M}$ and LOD = 21.2 μM). Chen *et al.*⁷¹¹ demonstrated a nitrogen- and sulfur-codoped rGO (N,S-rGO) as a sensing probe for the fluorescent detection of various biological species through simple fluorescence quenching and recovery (Fig. 33A). Doping with N and S heteroatoms can effectively activate the sp^2 -hybridized carbon lattice, which greatly improves the electron/energy acceptor. The QD fluorescence was found to be quenched after mixing QD-labeled with human disease-related genes such as hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA and HIV DNA molecular beacon probes with N,S-rGO; the recovery of QD fluorescence was observed after adding target HBV and HIV DNA. The biosensor showed the target virus DNA with an LOD of 2.4 nM with a linear range of 5–100 nM for HBV and 3.0 nM




Fig. 33 (A) Schematic illustration showing the multicolored P-QD- and N, S-codoped rGO-(N, S-rGO) based DNA biosensor for the sensitive detection of HBV DNA and HIV DNA. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 711, L. Chen, L. Song, Y. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. Xiao, Y. Guo and F. Cao, Nitrogen and Sulfur Codoped Reduced Graphene Oxide as a General Platform for Rapid and Sensitive Fluorescent Detection of Biological Species, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, 8, 11255–11261. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (B) Schematic illustration of the FRET-based biosensing platform using GQDs and pyrene-functionalized molecular beacon probes for miRNA detection. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 712, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, D. Zhao, D. Zeng, J. Xia, A. Aldalbah, C. Wang, L. San, C. Fan, X. Zuo and X. Mi, Universal Fluorescence Biosensor Platform Based on Graphene Quantum Dots and Pyrene-Functionalized Molecular Beacons for Detection of MicroRNAs, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 16152–16156. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (C) Aptamer biosensor based on a NA/AgNC/GO hybrid system for the detection of thrombin. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 681, X. Liu, F. Wang, R. Aizen, O. Yehezkeli and I. Willner, Graphene Oxide/Nucleic-Acid-Stabilized Silver Nanoclusters: Functional Hybrid Materials for Optical Aptamer Sensing and Multiplexed Analysis of Pathogenic DNAs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 11832–11839. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (D) Schematic representation showing the mechanism of UC NPs/GO in the presence and absence of complementary DNA. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 713, P. Alonso-Cristobal, P. Vilela, A. El-Sagheer, E. Lopez-Cabarcos, T. Brown, O. L. Muskens, J. Rubio-Retama, A. G. Kanaras, Highly Sensitive DNA Sensor Based on Upconversion Nanoparticles and Graphene Oxide, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 12422–12429. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



with a linear range of 7–80 nM for HIV. The molecular beacon probes with thrombin and immunoglobulin (IgE) aptamers showed LODs of 0.17 ng mL⁻¹ for thrombin and 0.19 ng mL⁻¹ for IgE proteins. The N,S-rGO-based QD sensor showed 99 to 100.6% quantitative recovery. Zhang *et al.*⁷¹² showed a fluorescence biosensor using GQDs and pyrene-functionalized molecular beacons (py-MBs) for detecting miRNAs (Fig. 33B). Pyrene was used to functionalize and trigger specific FRET between GQDs and py-MBs containing fluorescent dyes and the target detection was realized by the change in fluorescence intensity. The biosensor showed a linear range of 0.1 nM to 200 nM with LOD of 100 pM and high selectivity for the detection of target miRNA miR-155 in the presence three other interfering miRNAs including miR-21, miR-210, and miR-196a.

Metal nanoclusters such as Ag nanoclusters (NCs) also show promise for greatly enhanced detection limits and sensitivity of fluorescence-based biosensors. Metal NCs can either increase or quench fluorophore emission, depending on the distance between a metal surface and the resulting molecular orientation. Liu *et al.*⁶⁸¹ developed a fluorescent DNA sensor and aptasensor for the detection of infectious pathogens based on a silver nanocluster/GO hybrid system (Fig. 33C). By conjugating oligonucleotide sequences to NA-protected AgNCs, the fluorescence was generated by the desorption of AgNCs from GO surface by forming duplex DNA structures or complexes with aptamer-substrate. This approach enabled thrombin detection with an LOD of 0.5 nM. The hybrid biosensor was also used for the detection of HBV, HIV, and *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis) genes. The upconversion nanoparticle-based FRET detection approach has attracted significant research interest. Alonso-Cristobal *et al.*⁷¹³ reported a highly sensitive DNA biosensor based on FRET pairing between erbium- and ytterbium-doped β-NaYF₄

(NaYF₄:Yb,Er) UCNF fluorescent donors and GO quencher (Fig. 33D). In this sensing probe, the DNA-functionalized NaYF₄:Yb,Er@SiO₂ nanoparticles were near the GO surface, and FRET fluorescence quenching was induced by the π–π stacking interactions occurring between the GO and nucleobases of the DNA because of the fluorescence emission overlapping of NaYF₄:Yb,Er@SiO₂ NPs and the GO absorption spectrum. This nanoparticle-mediated upconversion approach showed high sensitivity with an LOD of 5 pM. Huang *et al.*⁷¹⁴ designed a FRET-based biosensor from DNA-functionalized NaYF₄:Yb,Tm@NaYF₄ UCNPs utilizing liquid–liquid interface-based ligand exchange. The resulting biosensor exhibited a high sensitivity with an LOD of 1 × 10⁻⁴ U mL⁻¹ for S1 nuclease.

4.2.1 Amplified detection of nucleic acids. There has been significant effort to enhance the signal intensity in order to detect the trace amounts of bioanalytes. Numerous strategies have been developed for signal enhancement, including ligation chain reaction (LCR), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and rolling circle amplification (RCA).^{128,715} RCA offers the capability of creating DNA products through a replication process that may contain thousands of tandem sequence repeats; therefore, RCA is a powerful tool for signal amplification. Numerous reports utilizing this unique strategy have appeared (see Table 11) to enhance the sensitivity of the detection of biomolecules.⁴⁴³

Liu *et al.*⁷¹⁶ developed a sensor using graphene for the detection of DNA probes, through an RCA signal-amplified technique. The working mechanism of this rGO-aptamer RCA strategy is displayed in Fig. 34A. The sensing design consists of an ssDNA probe and an rGO transducing mediator in order to amplify the molecular detection. Specifically, the sensing probe is designed with 2 sequence domains: a 3' primer domain that initiates RCA and a 5' domain consisting of an aptamer for

Table 11 Graphene-based signal enhancement strategies

Graphene-based platform	Amplification method	Target		Linear range	Limit of detection	Ref.
GR	Rolling circle amplification	Nucleic acid	DNA	10 pM	10–200 pM	716
GO	Rolling circle amplification	Nucleic acid	miRNA	1 fM to 50 pM	0.4 pM	717
GR	Hybridization chain reaction	Nucleic acid	miRNA	10–2000 fM	4.2 fM	718
Au nanoclusters/ GR hybrid	Exo III-aided cascade target recycling	Nucleic acid	DNA	0.02 fM to 20 pM	0.057 fM	401
GO	Hybridization chain reaction	Nucleic acid	RNA	5 pM to 12 nM	2.7 pM	719
GR	Hybridization chain reaction	Nucleic acid	miRNA	100 pM to 10 nM	100 fM	720
GO	Isothermal exponential amplification	Nucleic acid	miRNA	10 fM to 10 pM	3 fM	674
rGO	<i>In situ</i> reverse transcription	Nucleic acid	miRNA	10 fM to 100 pM	1.318 pM	721
GO	Nicking enzyme signal amplification	Protein	Thrombin	2 fM to 200 nM	1.0 fM	722
GO	DNase I-mediated cyclic signal amplification	Bacteria	<i>S. Paratyphi</i> A	1 × 10 ² to 1 × 10 ¹¹ cells per mL	1 × 10 ² cells per mL	723
GO	Hybridization chain reaction	Small molecule	Biothiol	0.1–1000 nM	0.1 nM	724
GO	GO-assisted rolling circle amplification	Pathogen	Ebola virus	30 fM to 3 nM	1.4 pM	725
GO–AuNCs	HCR	Food toxin	AFB1	0.1–10 pg mL ⁻¹	0.03 pg mL ⁻¹	686
GO	Hybridization chain reaction	Metal ion	Hg ²⁺	0–1 nM	0.3 nM	602
GR	GR/DNAzyme	Metal ion	Pb ²⁺	Up to 100 nM	300 pM	726






Fig. 34 (A) Schematic illustration of the rGO-aptamer-RCA based sensing probe. (B) Analysis of RCA products using 0.6% agarose gel electrophoresis. Each reaction was conducted at 30 °C for 1 h in 60 μL of target binding buffer (4,5,6,7-tetrabromobenzotriazole (TBB), 20 mM PBS, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM KCl, and 5 mM MgCl_2 at pH 7.5) having components of rGO-adsorbed functional thrombin probe TP1 (250 nM), circular DNA template (CDT1) (8 nM), and thrombin (Thr; 200 nM). (C) Time-dependent fluorescence response of rGO-adsorbed FAM-labeled TP1 (250 nM) in the presence of Thr (200 nM), CDT1 (8 nM), or both. Excitation wavelength (λ_{ex})/emission wavelength (λ_{em}) = 494 nm/518 nm. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 716, M. Liu, J. Song, S. Shuang, C. Dong, J. D. Brennan and Y. A. Li, Graphene-Based Biosensing Platform Based on the Release of DNA Probes and Rolling Circle Amplification, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8, 5564–5573. Copyright© American Chemical Society.] (D) Illustration of the GO-based platform coupled with hybridization chain reactions (HCR) for biothiol analysis. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 724, J. Ge, Z.-M. Huang, Q. Xi, R.-Q. Yu, J.-H. Jiang and X. Chu, A Novel Graphene Oxide Based Fluorescent Nanosensing Strategy with Hybridization Chain Reaction Signal Amplification for Highly Sensitive Biethiol Detection, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 11879–11882. Copyright© Royal Society of Chemistry.]

molecular recognition (Fig. 34B). The rGO surface adsorbs the ssDNA probe *via* π - π stacking, preventing the probe's primer region from being used for RCA initiation. The adsorbed probes undergo conformational change in the presence of any targeted molecule, releasing the probes from the surface of the rGO,

exposing the primer domain for RCA. The detection of the target was therefore, in effect, used for the detection of the products of the RCA. This RCA approach showed the detection of ATP and DNA with LODs as low as 60 nM and 0.8 pM, respectively. Hong *et al.*⁷¹⁷ developed a GO-based biosensor combined with the



target-specific RCA technique for the fluorometric detection of miRNA. By utilizing this approach, the resulting sensor exhibited a highly enhanced quantitative analysis of miRNA with an LOD as low as 0.4 pM. Wen *et al.*⁷²⁵ demonstrated a GO-assisted RCA probe for the sensitive detection of Ebola virus (EBOV). No RCA products were obtained in the absence of the EBOV gene; however, the fluorescence quenching of fluorescein amidate (FAM) was observed when FAM-labeled detection probe was adsorbed on the GO surface. The sensor showed high performance both in aqueous solution and in 1% serum for determination of the EBOV gene with an LOD of 1.4 pM.

Special types of enzymes consisting of DNA, also known as DNazymes, have been developed to perform various catalytic functions as an alternative to traditional protein enzymes.⁷²⁷ Since the first report on DNazyme by Breaker and Joyce⁷²⁷ in 1994, numerous DNazyme sequences have been discovered, showing high catalytic activities in various chemical reactions. DNazymes can readily interact with various fluorescent tags, functional molecules and solid surfaces, which facilitate the highly sensitive detection of target analytes. Furthermore, the development of different DNA amplification techniques has enabled the enhancement of the signal to improve the sensitivity of assays.^{72,727} Enzymatic signal amplification approaches suffer from several limitations due to the influence of parameters such as temperature and pH. Therefore, signal amplification approaches without using an enzymatic process are highly desirable to overcome such limitations. HCR amplification is one of the methods that have been widely employed to enhance the signal. Ge *et al.*⁷²⁴ demonstrated a GO-based fluorescent-based biosensor for biothiol detection with hairpin DNA-selective fluorescence quenching and signal amplification *via* thymine–Hg(II)–thymine (T–Hg²⁺–T) and HCR coordination control. The sensing mechanism is shown in Fig. 34D. The target biothiol molecules initiate the formation of long duplex chains utilizing T–Hg²⁺–T coordination chemistry, giving rise to an amplified fluorescence emission. The fluorescence quenching ability of GO and HCR reduces the background signal and enhances the detection sensitivity. The biosensor showed an LOD of 0.08 nM for biothiols. Xi *et al.*⁷²⁸ also developed a fluorescence biosensor by combining fluorescence quenching ability of GO with HCR amplification. The biosensor showed a dynamic range of 0.0001 to 100 U mL⁻¹ and an LOD of 0.00006 U mL⁻¹.

4.3 Fluorescent detection of pathogens and food toxins

The strong fluorescence quenching properties of graphene-based nanomaterials have attracted tremendous attention for developing aptamer-based fluorescence quenching sensing probes for detecting pathogens and food toxins.⁷²⁹ Shi *et al.*⁶⁷⁸ developed a FRET biosensor using GQDs and AuNPs for *Staphylococcus aureus*, achieving a fluorescence quenching efficiency of approximately 87% in a 100 nM target oligo and an LOD of 1 nM. The biosensor was designed by immobilizing capture probes on GQDs and conjugating the reporter probes to AuNPs. The sandwich-type structure was formed by cohybridizing the target oligos with the capture probes to trigger the FRET effect. Lu *et al.*⁶⁸⁴ developed an aptamer-based

fluorescence recovery assay for detecting AFB1 mycotoxin, a secondary fungal metabolite of *A. flavus*, using a FRET-based quenching system composed of CdTe QDs and GO. In this system, a thiolated aptamer specific for AFB1 was linked to the surface of CdTe QDs; thus, the fluorescence of the aptamer-modified CdTe QDs was quenched by the GO. The fluorescence is restored after adding AFB1 which also depends on the quantity of AFB1. The developed CdTe QDs/GO showed a wide linear range of 3.2 nM to 320 μM and an LOD of 1.0 nM. Moreover, sensor showed a linear range of 1.6 nM to 160 μM and an LOD of 1.4 nM in peanut oil solution.

Wu *et al.*⁶⁸² developed an aptasensor for detecting mycotoxins based on multicolor UCNP as donors and GO as an acceptor. Two mycotoxins, ochratoxin A (OTA) and fumonisin B1 (FB1) were used in this study. The UCNP BaY_{0.78}F₅:Yb_{0.2}Er_{0.02} and BaY_{0.78}F₅:Yb_{0.7}Tm_{0.02} were prepared and functionalized *via* OTA-aptamer and FB1-aptamer immobilization (Fig. 35A). Both tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) and APTES were used to prepare amino-modified BaYF₅:Yb Er/Tm UCNP, which were then be conjugated with avidin employing GA method. The avidin-conjugated BaYF₅:Yb Er/Tm UCNP were linked with biotin-functionalized OTA- and FB1-aptamers, respectively. Strong upconversion fluorescence was displayed by both the OTA aptamer-modified BaYF₅:YbEr UCNP and the FB1 aptamer-modified BaYF₅:Yb Tm UCNP at a 980 nm excitation wavelength. GO completely quenches the upconversion fluorescence of UCNP owing to the strong overlap between the GO absorption and multicolor UCNP fluorescence spectra. Due to changes in aptamer formation when they bind OTA and FB1, aptamer-modified UCNP form further away from the GO surface. This aptasensor exhibited a linear range of 0.05–100 ng mL⁻¹ and 0.1–500 ng mL⁻¹ for detection of OTA and FB1 with LODs of 0.02 and 0.1 ng mL⁻¹, respectively. Fig. 35B shows the selectivity for OTA and FB1 against other interfering mycotoxins, including aflatoxins AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, and AFG₂, fumonisin B2 (FB2) and zearalenone (ZEN). A dramatic enhancement in fluorescent intensity was observed for OTA and FB1 compared with other mycotoxins at a concentration of 10 ng mL⁻¹. The fluorescent intensity showed no change with other mycotoxins because UCNP/GO form specific interactions with OTA and FB1 aptamers. Yan *et al.*⁷²³ developed a sensitive and highly selective DNA aptasensor to detect *S. Paratyphi A* by using GO as an efficient fluorescence quencher and two short carboxy-fluorescein-modified sequences in a DNase I-mediated target recycling amplification (TRA) approach. When the target is absent, the aptasensor selectively bound with GO, causing fluorescence quenching of FAM. In the presence of the *S. Paratyphi A* target, the aptasensor disconnects from the GO surface due to aptasensor-to-target binding, resulting into a strong fluorescence that could be further amplified and detected by a DNase I-mediated TRA strategy. The resulting aptasensor displayed high sensitivity and selectivity with an LOD of 1 × 10² cells per mL. Li *et al.*⁶⁸⁶ reported a fluorescence quenching based sensing probe for aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) combined with an HCR amplification approach using a GO/AuNC nanocomposite. The HCR strategy displayed 94% quenching efficiency for the fluorescent probes






Fig. 35 (A) Schematic representation of the immobilization of ochratoxin A (OTA) aptamers and fumonisin B1 (FB1) aptamers and their multiplex upconversion FRET between aptamer-UCNPs and GO for detecting OTA and FB1. (B) Fluorescence signal change for different mycotoxins at a concentration of 10 ng mL^{-1} . FB1 and OTA show a significant increase in fluorescence intensity compared with other mycotoxin homologs, including AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, fumonisin B2 (FB2) and zearalenone (ZEN), which are commonly found in foods. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 682, S. Wu, N. Duan, X. Ma, Y. Xia, H. Wang, Z. Wang and Q. Zhang, Multiplexed Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer Aptasensor between Upconversion Nanoparticles and Graphene Oxide for the Simultaneous Determination of Mycotoxins, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 6263–6270. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



and considerable enhancement of the LOD, reaching 0.03 pg mL⁻¹ for AFB1 detection.

4.4 Fluorescent detection of toxic heavy metal ions

Graphene-based nanocomposites have been widely used as fluorescent probes to detect metal ions such as Ag⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺ with high levels of sensitivity and selectivity.²¹ Metal ions can bind selectively to specific DNA nitrogenous bases. Therefore, DNAzyme-based sensors with different signal transduction mechanisms have been developed using graphene.⁷² However, the diverse chemical structures of graphene, GO and rGO lead to complexities in the selective detection of multiple metal ions. Wen *et al.*⁷³⁰ demonstrated a fluorescent nanoprobe using GO and an Ag-specific oligonucleotide for the detection of Ag(I) ions. The sensor exhibited an LOD of 20 nM, and the Stern–Volmer constant (K_{sv}) of Ag⁺ to silver-specific cytosine-rich oligonucleotide (DNA oligonucleotide SSO = 5'-FAM-CTCTCTTCTTCATTTTCAACA CAACACAC-3') was calculated to be 12 μM. The fluorescence response satisfies the sensitivity requirement (4.6 × 10⁻⁷ M) of the US EPA for drinking water. Wang *et al.*⁷³¹ reported the self-assembly of fluorescent chemodosimeter (FC) molecules onto a GO surface for the detection of fluoride ions (F⁻). The fluorescent sensing of F⁻ ions using GO/FC was approximately 5-fold larger than that without GO, the response time was shortened from 4 h to 30 min, and the sensitivity was 2-fold greater than that without GO.

GQDs have been investigated as a new class of fluorescent sensors for detecting a wide range of metal ions due to their improved optical properties and fluorescence quenching capability compared to GR and GO.¹⁰² In addition, the small size, improved photostability, good solubility, chemical inertness and high biocompatibility of the GQDs are advantageous for the fabrication of fluorescent biosensors with high sensitivity and selectivity in the presence of interfering analytes.¹⁰² Surface-functionalized GQDs have been applied for the fluorescent detection of metal ions. Zhu *et al.*⁷⁰⁰ demonstrated a fluorescent approach using GQDs for the detection of Fe³⁺ ions (Fig. 36). The strong coordination between the hydroxyl (–OH) groups on the GQDs and Fe³⁺ ions forms aggregates of iron hydroxide, which gives rise to fluorescence quenching. The GQD sensor displayed a very low solubility product constant (K_{sp}) 2.8 × 10⁻³⁹ for ferric hydroxide [Fe(OH)₃] compared with Cu(OH)₂ and Ni(OH)₂, leading to superior sensitivity and an LOD as low as 0.45 μM. The GQDs sensor showed high selectivity toward Fe³⁺ ions over 10 other interfering metal ions including Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ca²⁺, Al³⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, and Zn²⁺ at the same concentrations of 5 μM for all metal ions. Ananthanarayanan *et al.*⁷³² demonstrated an IL (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIMPF₆) in acetonitrile)-functionalized GQD derived from CVD-grown 3D graphene for the sensitive detection of Fe³⁺ ions. The BMIM⁺ functionalization of GQDs due to the high binding affinity of ferric ions with the imidazole ring of BMIM⁺ enabled enhanced fluorescence quenching for a wide range of Fe³⁺ ions and achieved an LOD of 7.2 μM. Guo *et al.*⁷³³ reported a fluorescent biosensor based on N-(rhodamine B) lactam-ethylenediamine-functionalized GQDs (RBD-GQDs)

for the sensitive detection of Fe³⁺ in 0–1 μM concentration range with an LOD of 0.02 μM in pancreatic cancer stem cells. The Fe³⁺ binding with RBD-GQDs, turns on the biosensor in cancer stem cells after forming RBD-GQDs-Fe³⁺ complex of orange-red fluorescence. RBD-GQDs showed high selectivity toward Fe³⁺ ions in the presence other interfering cations including Na⁺, K⁺, NH₄⁺, Ca²⁺, Cu²⁺, Cd²⁺, Co²⁺, Hg²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ni²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Al³⁺. RBD-GQDs-Fe³⁺ also showed high photostability in living cells as the fluorescence intensity did not decrease after 12 h continuous excitation. Chowdhury and Doong⁶⁹⁷ demonstrated dopamine-functionalized GQDs with bright blue fluorescence for the detection of low levels of Fe³⁺ ions. The complexation and oxidation of DA were associated with detection of Fe³⁺ with a linear range of 20 nM to 2 μM and an LOD of 7.6 nM. Moreover, the resulting DA-GQD fluorescent biosensor was highly selective toward Fe³⁺ ions in the presence of other interfering metal ions including Al³⁺, Au³⁺, Fe²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, Co²⁺, Cr³⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Mn²⁺, and Zn²⁺; however, small quenching was observed for the competitive Fe²⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions which may be associated with the adsorption of these metal ions onto the surface of GQDs. The DA-GQDs sensor shows higher sensitivity with a lower LOD compared with RBD-GQDs sensor for detecting Fe³⁺ ions.

The heteroatom doping of GQDs drastically enhances their electrical properties, leading to unusual fluorescent properties and surface reactivity.⁸⁸ Li *et al.*⁷³⁴ prepared sulfur-doped GQDs with stable blue-green fluorescence for the enhanced detection of Fe³⁺. S-doping effectively alters the local electronic density of the GQDs and thus the coordination interaction between Fe³⁺ and phenolic hydroxyl groups on the surface. This coordination interaction induces efficient fluorescence quenching, enabling improved sensitivity in a linear range of 0–0.7 mM and an LOD of 4.2 nM. This biosensor was also useful for the direct analysis of Fe³⁺ in human serum samples, indicating its potential for future clinical diagnosis. Peng *et al.*⁷⁰⁵ developed a facile approach for the rapid detection of Hg²⁺ using N-GQDs, which increase the complexation rate between 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(*p*-toluenesulfonate) (TMPyP) and manganese ions (Mn²⁺). In this strategy, the substitution reaction between the deformed TMPyP nucleus and the Hg²⁺ ions facilitates the effective detection of small divalent metal ions by utilizing N-GQDs. At the same time, the effective formation of metalloporphyrin coupled with the fluorescence quenching of porphyrins improves the fluorescence of N-GQDs due to the inner filter effect between porphyrins and N-GQDs from the back. This sensor detected Hg²⁺ with an LOD of 0.2 nM. Fig. 37 shows the fluorescence spectra of (TMPyP) after the addition of N-GQDs, Mn^{II}, and different concentrations of Hg^{II} ranging from 0 to 200 nM, a plot of the fluorescence ratio (I_{490}/I_{658}) vs. Hg^{II} concentrations, the absorption spectra and the selectivity of Hg^{II} among different interfering analytes including Ba^{II}, Cd^{II}, Co^{II}, Cu^{II}, Fe^{II}, Mg^{II}, Ni^{II}, Pb^{II}, Zn^{II}, HCO₃⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, and SO₄²⁻, where the system of N-GQDs and Mn^{II} is highly selective in detecting Hg^{II} over a very wide range of concentrations.

4.4.1 Amplified detection of heavy metal ions. Numerous strategies have been pursued to enhance the fluorescent signal






Fig. 36 (A) Fe³⁺ ions sensing platform showing GQD fluorescence quenching by Fe³⁺ ions and the selectivity of Fe³⁺ ions by GQDs among other interfering metal ions. (B) TEM and HETEM images of the GQDs. Inset shows the lattice fringes of GQDs. (C) SEM image of GQD aggregation induced after adding Fe³⁺ ions. (D) PL intensity of GQDs in different concentrations of Fe³⁺ ranging from 0 to 60 μM. Inset shows a linear calibration plot for detecting Fe³⁺ ions. (E) Selectivity of GQDs toward Fe³⁺ ions over 10 other common interfering metal ions at identical concentrations of 5 μM for all metal ions. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 700, X. Zhu, Z. Zhang, Z. Xue, C. Huang, Y. Shan, C. Liu, X. Qin, W. Yang, X. Chen and T. Wang, Understanding the Selective Detection of Fe³⁺ Based on Graphene Quantum Dots as Fluorescent Probes: The K_{sp} of a Metal Hydroxide-Assisted Mechanism, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 12054–12058. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

for the amplified detection of various metal ions, as shown in Table 10. Effective DNAzyme-based sensors have been designed to detect a broad range of metal ions using various signal transduction mechanisms.²¹ Wen *et al.*⁶⁸⁹ developed a GO-based DNAzyme for selectively detecting Pb²⁺. Their biosensor was fabricated by employing a Pb²⁺-dependent DNAzyme consisting of 2 strands, an enzyme strand, and a Cy3 fluorescent dye-tagged ribonucleotide-containing substrate strand; the

ribonucleotide was the site of cleavage. Cy3 fluorescent dye namely 1,1'-bis(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine, consists of a conjugated chain of three methine (=CH-) groups. This modification of the DNAzyme enabled an extension of 5 bases at the 3' end of the substrate and 5 complementary bases at the 5' end of the enzyme strand. The rigid DNAzyme double strand did not bind GO well, and there was strong emission from Cy3 dye, without Pb²⁺. When Pb²⁺ is



introduced, the substrate strand is irreversibly cleaved at this site, leading to the duplex DNAzyme being disassembled into the 3'- and 5'- fragments of the substrate strand and the enzyme strand. The GO surface adsorbed the short Cy3 dye-containing segment of DNA, resulting in significant fluorescence quenching. The resulting fluorescent sensor exhibited an LOD of 0.5 nM for the detection of Pb^{2+} , which is significantly lower than the limit set by the US EPA for drinking water (72 nM). Zhao *et al.*⁷²⁶ reported a GO-based DNAzyme containing different numbers of bases for the amplified fluorescence “turn-on” detection of Pb^{2+} . The hybrid DNAzyme–substrate was used for molecular recognition and as signal reporter. GO acted as a highly effective quencher. The resulting biosensor exhibited an amplified fluorescence signal with detection of Pb^{2+} with an LOD of 300 pM. The sensor also was effectively employed in Pb^{2+} analysis in samples of river water. The DNAzyme-GO-based fluorescence sensor also showed high selectivity toward Pb^{2+} ions among nine other interfering metal ions, including Hg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Fe^{3+} , and the fluorescence response toward Pb^{2+} was found to be remarkably high. Liu *et al.*⁶⁸⁸ demonstrated a “turn-on” fluorescent biosensor based on a graphene-DNAzyme catalytic beacon for the sensitive detection of Cu^{2+} . The graphene acts as substrate and quencher for the Cu^{2+} -dependent DNAzyme, promoting

graphene-quenched DNAzyme complex formation that through a process of self-assembly. The quenched fluorescence was recovered in 15 min. The sensors exhibited improved sensitivity with an LOD of 0.365 nM. Liu *et al.*⁶⁸⁷ also reported label-free fluorescent detection of Cu^{2+} , using an externally introduced fluorophore and cleavage of DNA internally in a graphene/DNAzyme complex. This sensor showed an LOD as low as 2 nM. Fang *et al.*⁶⁹⁹ showed a macroporous GF-based DNAzyme for the detection of Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} . This biosensor achieved LOD of 50 pM for Pb^{2+} and 0.6 nM for Cu^{2+} , and the sensor also showed detection of these ions in river water samples with high recovery.

Enzymeless signal amplification strategies have also been widely applied for the sensitive and selective detection of metal ions. Huang *et al.*⁶⁰² developed a GO-based fluorescent biosensor using HCR for detecting Hg^{2+} in aqueous solution using GO to adsorb ssDNA. The biosensor showed an LOD of 0.3 nM for Hg^{2+} detection. They constructed a thymine-rich ssDNA initiator and two fluorescently labeled hairpin probes (Fig. 38). The GO surface adsorbed the hairpins in the absence of Hg^{2+} ; one of the hairpin probes quenched the fluorescence. However, the presence of Hg^{2+} initiates the HCR process between the two hairpin probes using the coordination chemistry of the thymine- Hg^{2+} -thymine coordination compound.




Fig. 37 (A) Fluorescence spectra of 5.0 μM 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin tetra(*p*-toluenesulfonate) (TMPyP) after the addition of 20 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ N-GQDs, 40 μM Mn^{II} , and different concentrations of Hg^{II} ranging from 0 to 200 nM. (B) Plot of the fluorescence ratio (I_{490}/I_{658}) vs. Hg^{II} concentrations (0–200 nM) in the presence or absence (Hg^{II} concentrations of 0 to 1400 nM) of N-GQDs. Inset shows photographs corresponding to the Hg^{II} concentrations and the plot of (I_{490}/I_{658}) vs. Hg^{II} concentration (0–100 nM) in the presence of N-GQDs. (C) Absorption spectra of 5.0 μM TMPyP after the addition of 40 μM Mn^{II} , 20 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ N-GQDs, and different concentrations of Hg^{II} ; inset shows plots of A_{462}/A_{422} vs. Hg^{II} concentration with and without N-GQDs. (D) The selectivity for Hg^{II} among different interfering analytes. The fluorescence quenching efficiency (I_{490}/I_{658}) of 5.0 μM TMPyP after the addition of 40 μM Mn^{II} , 100 nM Hg^{II} and 20 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ N-GQDs and other interfering ions, including Ba^{II} , Cd^{II} , Co^{II} , Cu^{II} , Fe^{II} , Ni^{II} , Pb^{II} , Zn^{II} , HCO_3^- , Cl^- at 500 nM concentration; Mg^{II} , SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- at 100 μM concentration; and Ca^{II} at 200 μM concentration; inset shows photographs of the fluorescence changes under 365 nm UV light. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 705, D. Peng, L. Zhang, R.-P. Liang and J.-D. Qiu, Rapid Detection of Mercury Ions Based on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots Accelerating Formation of Manganese Porphyrin, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, 3, 1040–1047. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



The GO surface cannot adsorb the final HCR double-stranded DNA (dsDNA); therefore, the fluorescence of the system is released by the GO and recovered.

4.5 Fluorescence detection of pesticides

Nanomaterials offer unique possibilities in optical biosensing platforms for the detection of food and chemical contaminants.⁶⁰³ In particular, GQDs have been studied for the detection of pesticides through FRET mechanisms because of their unique fluorescence quenching properties. GQDs have also been used as fluorophores for the fabrication of highly efficient fluorescence-based biosensors for pesticide detection.¹⁰³ Zor *et al.*⁷³⁵ developed a GQD-based multifunctional nanocomposite as a photoluminescent sensor probe in which molecularly imprinted polypyrrole captures the chemical species, magnetic silica beads support the separation mechanism and GQDs optically recognize the target chemical species. This sensor showed an LOD of 12.78 ppb in water and 42.56 ppb in seawater for the tributyltin (TBT) pesticide. Lin *et al.*⁷⁰⁶ reported an N-GQD-based aptamer biosensor for the detecting pesticide omethoate with an LOD of 0.041 nM. In this study, the GQDs

were first doped with nitrogen to enhance the fluorescence quantum efficiency to 30%, followed by coupling with an omethoate aptasensor. The fluorescence polarization technique based on the polarization signal of GQDs lowered the LOD from 0.041 nM to 0.029 fM. Gao *et al.*⁷³⁶ developed a pesticide biosensor array for the detection of multiple pesticides using *N*-(aminobutyl)-*N*-(ethylisoluminol) (ABEI)-functionalized GQDs. The biosensor array can be used to effectively differentiate different pesticides, such as flubendiamide, thiamethoxam, dimethoate, chlorpyrifos and dipterex, and the ABEI-GQD-based sensor detected pesticides with LOD of 10 ng mL⁻¹. Yan *et al.*⁷³⁷ used a GQD-manganese dioxide nanosheet-based fluorescent sensor for detecting glutathione in living cells. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.5–10 μmol L⁻¹ and an LOD of 150 nmol L⁻¹ for glutathione. The MnO₂ nanosheets act as a quencher for the GQDs through FRET and recognize glutathione molecules. The fluorescence sensor also showed high selectivity toward glutathione among common interfering analytes, including Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, aspartic acid, glycine, tyrosine, glucose, fructose, BSA, acetylcholinesterase (AChE), tyrosinase, glucose oxidase (GOx), and trypsin. The




Fig. 38 Amplified fluorescent sensing mechanism of detecting Hg²⁺ through HCR. In the absence of Hg²⁺, GO adsorbs the DNA probes (helper DNA, HP1, and HP2) via noncovalent interactions and quenches the fluorescence of HP1. However, in the presence of Hg²⁺, the helper DNA opens HP1 because of the formation of stable T-Hg²⁺-T structures and consequently induces continuous HP1-HP2 hybridizations, which cannot adsorb on GO, leading to the generation of amplified fluorescence. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 602, J. Huang, X. Gao, J. Jia, J.-K. Kim and Z. Li, Graphene Oxide-Based Amplified Fluorescent Biosensor for Hg²⁺ Detection through Hybridization Chain Reactions, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 86, 3209–3215. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]



fluorescence intensity remained almost constant, while the fluorescence intensity of the GQD-MnO₂-GSH sensor was found to increase only after adding glutathione (Fig. 39). Liu *et al.*⁷⁰⁷ used a GQD-MnO₂ nanosheet MIP to fabricate a fluorescent sensor for thiacloprid detection. The sensor showed a linear range of 0.1 to 10 mg L⁻¹ and a low LOD of 0.03 mg L⁻¹ in the thiacloprid pesticide detection. Nemati *et al.*⁷³⁸ detected ethion using N- and S- codoped GQD-based fluorescent biosensors. The N/S-GQD-based sensors showed a linear concentration range of 19.2–961.2 μg L⁻¹ and an LOD of 8 μg L⁻¹ for detecting ethion in food samples in the presence of mercury (Hg²⁺) ions.

5. Selectivity of graphene-based biosensors

The performance of a biosensor is evaluated based on its high sensitivity and selectivity toward a target biochemical analyte, achieved by excluding other interfering chemical species. Graphene-based sensor arrays have been developed for the simultaneous detection, differentiation and categorization of various analytes within a mixture by using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and principal component analysis (PCA) to identify patterns. The high selectivity of graphene-based sensor




Fig. 39 (A) Fluorescence (FL) intensity of GQDs-MnO₂ sensor with varying concentrations of glutathione (GSH) from 0 to 100 μmol L⁻¹. Inset shows the FL intensity ratio trend varying concentrations of GSH. (B) Plot of FL intensity ratio (F_R/F_{R0}) against the logarithm of the concentration of GSH. (C) Selectivity of the GQD-MnO₂-based fluorescence sensor toward glutathione pesticide. Fluorescence (FL) intensities of the GQD-MnO₂ and GQD-MnO₂-glutathione (GSH) sensors measured in the presence of 21 different interfering analytes. The concentration was 100 μg mL⁻¹ for protein analytes, including bovine serum albumin (BSA), tyrosinase (TYR), glucose oxidase (GOx), acetyl cholinesterase (AChE), and trypsin (TRY), and 500 μmol L⁻¹ for nonprotein analytes, including the inorganic salts KCl, Na₂SO₄, CaCl₂, MgCl₂, and MnCl₂ as well as aspartic acid, tyrosine, glycine, glucose and fructose. The fluorescence intensities increased only after the addition of GSH to the GQD-MnO₂ system and also showed recovery (blank column). [Reprinted with permission from ref. 737, X. Yan, Y. Song, C. Zhu, J. Song, D. Du, X. Su and Y. Lin, Graphene Quantum Dot-MnO₂ Nanosheet Based Optical Sensing Platform: A Sensitive Fluorescence "Turn off-on" Nanosensor for Glutathione Detection and Intracellular Imaging, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, 8, 21990–21996. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]






Fig. 40 (A) Fluorescence spectra of N-doped GQDs decorated with V_2O_5 nanosheets (N-GQD@ V_2O_5) of cysteine in the concentration range of 0–125 μM . (B) The change of fluorescence intensity as a function of cysteine concentration in the range of 0–125 μM . The inset shows a linear relationship in the 0–15 μM concentration range. (C) Selectivity of the N-GQD@ V_2O_5 biosensors in the presence of 22 common interfering electrolytes and biological analytes, including metal ions, inorganic salts, amino acids, sugar, reducing agents, proteins, and glucose oxidase (GOx). Reprinted with permission from ref. 750, A. B. Ganganboina, A. D. Chowdhury and R.-A. Doong, N-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots-Decorated V_2O_5 Nanosheet for Fluorescence Turn Off–On Detection of Cysteine, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **10**, 614–624. Copyright © 2018 American Chemical Society.

arrays was reported for the detection of volatile organic compounds (VOCs),⁷³⁹ gases,⁷⁴⁰ organophosphate pesticides,⁷⁴¹ bisphenol A,^{742,743} cancer biomarkers,⁷⁴⁴ proteins,^{745,746} dopamine (DA),^{457,747,748} and the amino acid tyrosine.⁷⁴⁹ A few examples of sensitivity, high selectivity and strategies for excluding interfering analytes for graphene-based biosensors are provided here.

Ganganboina *et al.*⁷⁵⁰ reported a fluorescence turn-off/on biosensor base on nitrogen-doped GQD (N-GQD)-decorated V_2O_5 nanosheets for cysteine detection in human serum. N-GQDs of 2–8 nm in diameter were deposited on few-layered V_2O_5 nanosheets to develop a fluorescence turn-off/on probe. The V_2O_5 nanosheets function as both cysteine detectors and fluorescence quenchers in the biosensor. The quenching of the fluorescence intensity of N-GQDs occurs after deposition onto

the V_2O_5 nanosheets. Fig. 40 shows the fluorescence spectra of the N-GQD@ V_2O_5 nanocomposite-based sensor for detecting cysteine in the 0 to 125 μM concentration range and the selectivity of cysteine in the presence of 22 common interfering electrolytes and biological analytes, including metal ions, inorganic salts, amino acids, sugar, reducing agents, proteins, and glucose oxidase. The addition of 60 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of V_2O_5 nanosheets was found to turn off the fluorescence intensity of N-GQDs, whereas the fluorescence subsequently turned on 4 min after the addition of cysteine in the 0–125 μM concentration range. The inclusion of cysteine initiates the reduction of V_2O_5 to V^{4+} and the discharging of N-GQDs, which consequently causes the fluorescence to turn off/on for cysteine sensing. The N-GQDs@ V_2O_5 sensing probe showed a two-stage linear response for cysteine detection, a sharp rise in the






Fig. 41 Schematic representation of nGO-based biosensor array. (a) GO–protein binding and interactions showing GO as a quencher for fluorophores. The fluorescence is restored by the displacement of quenched fluorophores due to the interactions between GO and the analyte proteins. Statistical analysis of the displaced fluorophores using LDA to examine differences in the GO–protein interactions between conventional GO and nGO flakes (20 nm diameter). (b) LDA patterns showed enhanced fluorescent restoration from a three sensor array of nGO flakes compared to that obtained with conventional GO. The nGO showed a high fluorescence response due to increased protein interactions compared with those observed for conventional GO flakes. (c) Chemical structures of the five fluorophores, including acridine orange, pyronine Y (PY), rhodamine B, rhodamine 6G (R6G) and His-tagged emerald green fluorescent protein (eGFP), used for the fluorescent sensor array. Reprinted with permission from ref. 753, S. S. Chou, M. De, J. Luo, V. M. Rotello, J. Huang, V. P. Dravid, Nanoscale Graphene Oxide (nGO) as Artificial Receptors: Implications for Biomolecular Interactions and Sensing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16725–16733. Copyright© 2018 American Chemical Society.

fluorescence at the low concentration range of 0.1–15 μM and thereafter a slight increase in the 15–125 μM range at 6.5 pH, and an LOD of 50 nM. A correlation coefficient of 0.994 was obtained in the 0.1–15 μM concentration range. The surface-mediated reaction between cysteine and N-GQDs@V₂O₅ composites is fast in the low cysteine concentration range of 0.1–15 μM range due to the abundance of reactive sites on the N-GQD@V₂O₅ nanosheets, whereas the availability of reactive sites on V₂O₅ nanosheets is significantly reduced over time in the high cysteine concentration range of 15–125 μM . The N-GQD@V₂O₅ nanocomposite-based sensor showed recoveries of 95%–108% for cysteine detection in human serum. The N-GQD@V₂O₅ nanocomposites showed high sensitivity and selectivity for detecting cysteine. The high selectivity toward cysteine was also demonstrated by the N-GQD@V₂O₅-based sensor in the presence of 22 common interfering analytes: Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Fe³⁺, Zn²⁺, tyrosine, glutathione, methionine, aspartic acid, glucose, fructose, citric acid, AA, glutamic acid, UA, BSA, tyrosinase, acetylcholinesterase, and GOx. Protein concentrations were 100 μM and other analyte concentrations were 500 μM (the spiked concentration of

cysteine was 50 μM). The N-GQD@V₂O₅ sensor fluorescence recovery was <10% for most of the interfering analytes except for glutathione and aspartic acid, which showed 16%–23% fluorescence intensity; however, all 22 interfering species showed significantly lower fluorescence intensity than cysteine. The smaller thiol group on the cysteine molecule may interact more rapidly with the V₂O₅ nanosheet surface, resulting in enhanced fluorescence recovery compared with the larger glutathione molecule.

The highly sensitive and selective detection of 4-nitrophenol using AuNPs@sulfur-doped GQD sensors was reported.⁷⁵¹ The AuNPs@S-GQD sensing probe showed a detection range of 0.01–50 μM for 4-nitrophenol with an LOD of 8.4 nM in contaminated food wastewater and a dynamic range of 0.005–50 μM with an LOD of 3.5 nM in deionized water. The AuNPs@S-GQD sensor also showed high selectivity for 4-nitrophenol in the presence of 7 interfering analytes, including 50 μM toluene, phenol, 2-nitrotoluene, 4-nitrotoluene, 2-nitrophenol, 2,4,6-trinitrophenol, and 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene, under identical conditions. The recovery of 4-nitrophenol by the AuNPs@S-GQD nanocomposites in the 100 nM to 20 μM concentration range



was found to be in a similar range, from $97 \pm 2\%$ to $110 \pm 3\%$, to that in the lake water. This study demonstrated the high sensitivity and selectivity of AuNPs@S-GQD-based optical sensor for 4-nitrophenol in complex mixed aqueous solutions.

Biosensor arrays fabricated using nanographene oxide (nGO) have been studied for the selective detection biomolecular species with pattern recognition techniques.^{752,753} Oligonucleotides or peptides that specifically bind to a target molecule have been used for such target identification. Chou *et al.*⁷⁵³ demonstrated a size-dependent effect in the use of GO flakes for the selective detection of different proteins by fluorescence response patterns. A schematic representation of the nGO-based biosensor array and chemical structures of the five fluorophores used in this study are shown in Fig. 41. GO acts as a quencher for fluorophores, and fluorescence is observed due to the interactions between GO-analyte proteins after the displacement of quenched fluorophores. To demonstrate the size-dependent effect, LDA was used to analyze the displacement of fluorophores and to compare the GO-proteins formed

with conventional GO and nGO flakes (20 nm diameter). A higher fluorescence response was measured for nGO than for conventional GO flakes due to increased protein interactions, showing the effect of flake size. Five different fluorophores, including acridine orange, pyronin Y (PY), rhodamine B, rhodamine 6G (R6G), and histone-tagged emerald green fluorescent protein (His-eGFP), were used in the development of arrays. Three fluorophores, pyronine Y, rhodamine 6G, and His-eGFP, were found to be significantly effective in generating responses among these five fluorophores. Eight analyte proteins of different sizes were included in the test, including BSA, β -galactosidase (β -Gal), hemoglobin (Hem), Histone (His), lipase (Lip), lysozyme (Lys), myoglobin, and ribonuclease A (Rib-A). The training matrix data of different proteins were obtained at 10 and 100 nM protein concentrations with the conventional GO and nGO arrays. The correlation of the fluorescence response from the conventional GO and nGO arrays at 10 and 100 nM concentrations of analyte proteins and their LDA scores were scrutinized, and a 10-fold increase was observed for the




Fig. 42 (A) Fluorescence response patterns measured using nGO-based sensor arrays to selectively identify eight different analyte proteins, including ribonuclease A (Rib-A), histone (His), β -galactosidase (β -Gal), hemoglobin (Hemo), lysozyme (Lys), myoglobin, lipase (Lip), and BSA at 10 nM concentrations. Three different fluorophores, pyronine Y (PY), rhodamine 6G (R6G), and His-tagged emerald green fluorescent protein (eGFP), were used in the biosensing arrays. (B) The canonical score plot obtained by the LDA method using the nGO sensor array showed 95% accurate classification of all proteins. (C) The fluorescence response patterns measured using the conventional GO-based sensor array at 10 nM protein concentration. (D) The corresponding canonical score plot for the conventional GO-based sensor array, revealing an unclear classification. Reprinted with permission from ref. 753, S. S. Chou, M. De, J. Luo, V. M. Rotello, J. Huang and V. P. Dravid, Nanoscale Graphene Oxide (nGO) as Artificial Receptors: Implications for Biomolecular Interactions and Sensing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16725–16733. Copyright© American Chemical Society.



10 nM concentration compared with analogous sensor arrays. A success rate of >95% was obtained for the identification of 48 unknown analytes at both 10 and 100 nM.

Biosensor arrays based on conventional GO and nGO were studied for the discrimination of analyte proteins with five fluorophores. With five sensors, each with eight proteins, and five replicates of each analyte protein present for each sensor, there were 200 data points for each biosensor array. The fluorescent signal intensity showed higher fluorophore displacement rates for the nGO-based array than for the conventional GO arrays because of the higher protein affinity for nGO surfaces resulting from the presence of edges. An LDA/jackknife accuracy of 100% was observed using only three fluorophore sensors (eGFP, R6G, and PY) in the nGO array at 100 nM. The canonical factors of 48.7, 32.6, and 18.7% were produced from training matrix data with 3 sensors \times 8 proteins \times 5 replicates in the LDA method. The jackknife analysis demonstrated 100% separation using acridine orange-, rhodamine B-, eGFP-, R6G-, and PY-based fluorophore sensors at 100 nM and 98% separation with eGFP, R6G, and PY fluorophores. The canonical charts were found to be overlapped and significantly compressed (69.7%, 21.4%, and 8.9%), showing an inability to identify unknown analytes. The GO and nGO-based arrays were also compared at 10 nM protein concentrations under similar experimental conditions (Fig. 42). The canonical score plot obtained by the LDA method showed 95% accuracy in the jackknife classification using the nGO sensor array at a 10 nM protein concentration, while the corresponding canonical score plot exhibited an unclear classification for the conventional GO-based sensor array. The nGO-based array showed high reproducibility of 24 out of 24 (protein concentration of 100 nM) and 22 out of 24 (10 nM) compared with 17 out of 24 (100 nM) and no ability to distinguish proteins (10 nM) for conventional GO arrays. Analyte protein discrimination was clearly observed at

a 10 nM concentration for R6G, PY and eGFP fluorophores. The selectivity for different proteins depends upon the size scaling of GO flakes, where properties such as electrical conductivity, elasticity and viscosity may play important roles. Similar nGO-based biosensor arrays were previously reported for the selective detection of ensemble aptamer proteins by Pei *et al.*⁷⁵²

Luminescent nanomaterials possessing aggregation-induced emission (AIE) characteristics have attracted attention as fluorescent sensors because of their high sensitivity and selectivity.^{665,754–759} Graphene-based fluorescent sensor arrays have been studied for biomolecule identification. Shen *et al.*⁷⁶⁰ used AIE and GO complexes to develop a fluorescent sensor array for detecting microbial lysates through their competitive biomolecular interactions (Fig. 43). Using AIE/GO complex-based sensor arrays, six microbial bioanalytes including two fungi (*Candida albicans* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and four bacteria including two Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*) and two Gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *E. coli*), were discriminated and identified. The reproducibility of AIE/GO complex sensor array was confirmed through PCA where each test was conducted five times and six microbial lysates were discriminated with 100% ($F_1 = 61.3\% + F_2 = 29.9\%$) efficiency with F_1 and F_2 as the main contributing rates in the PCA method. The two fungi are located in the upper-left side whereas the two Gram-negative bacteria and two Gram-positive bacteria are situated in the upper-right side and bottom areas in the PCA plot. The high efficiency of identification and discrimination with AIE/GO sensor arrays resulted from the different molecular components of each microbial lysate and their competitive biomolecular interactions. Xu *et al.*⁷⁶¹ developed GO-based AIE biosensors with tetraphenylethene dye that exhibited high sensitivity and selectivity for detecting BSA protein. The fluorescence intensity recorded at an excitation wavelength of 350 nm increased




Fig. 43 (A) Schematic illustration of AIE/GO complex-based fluorescent sensor array showing competitive biomolecular interaction among AIE, microbes and GO. AIE is represented as AIEGen in the illustration. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot showing three separate patterns formed from six microbial lysates where each test was conducted five times. The AIE/GO complex-based fluorescent sensor array identified six microbial lysates with 100% ($F_1 = 61.3\% + F_2 = 29.9\%$) efficiency. Reprinted with permission from ref. 760, J. Shen, R. Hu, T. Zhou, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Li, C. Gui, M. Jiang, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, Fluorescent Sensor Array for Highly Efficient Microbial Lysate Identification through Competitive Interactions, *ACS Sensors*, 2018, 3, 2218–2222. Copyright© American Chemical Society.



dramatically for BSA molecules compared with the response to interfering lysozyme, papain, pepsin and trypsin proteins at 200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Similar PL intensity enhancement in the fluorescence spectra was observed for BSA compared to other proteins under the same experimental conditions. Therefore, GO-based AIE biosensors are highly selective for BSA.

Huang *et al.*⁷⁶² used PtNPs-decorated graphene, cyclodextrin prepolymer (CDP) and MWCNT nanocomposite-based biosensors to simultaneously detect hydroquinone (HQ) and catechol (CC). The PtNP-graphene/CDP-MWCNT/GCE electrochemical sensor showed linear ranges of 0.05 to 27.2 μM and 0.1 to 27.2 μM and LOD values of 0.015 μM and 0.03 μM for the simultaneous detection of HQ and CC, respectively. The HQ and CC measured in local river water showed recoveries ranging from 98.0% to 102.0% for HQ and from 99.3% to 101.5% for CC. The selectivity for HQ and CC was studied against 21 different interfering inorganic ions and organic analytes. The addition of 1000-fold concentrations of Al^{3+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ , K^+ , NH_4^+ , SO_4^{2-} , Cl^- , and NO_3^- , 100-fold of Fe^{3+} , Ni^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , SO_3^{2-} , S^{2-} , and Br^- , 10-fold of resorcinol (RC), phenol (NP), glucose and UA showed no interference with the detection of 50 μM HQ and CC (Fig. 44). Therefore, the PtNP-graphene/CDP-MWCNT/GCE electrochemical biosensor is highly selective and sensitive to the presence of HQ and CC.

Hybrids or nanocomposites of graphene with other semi-conducting nanoparticles and polymers have been used to develop highly selective sensors for differentiating chemical species.^{48,206,763,764} Toxic metal ions, including Hg^{2+} ions,^{693,696,765}

Mn^{2+} ,⁷⁶⁶ Pb^{2+} ,^{689,692} Cu^{2+} ,^{694,767} Fe^{3+} ,⁷⁶⁸ Fe^{3+} , Cd^{2+} and Pb^{2+} ,⁷⁶⁹ Ce^{3+} ,⁷⁷⁰ Pb^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} ,⁷⁷¹ Tl^+ , Pb^{2+} and Hg^{2+} ,⁷⁷² and Ag^+ ,^{702,730,773} and the mycotoxin OTA,⁶⁸³ have been selectively detected using graphene-based sensors.

Mao *et al.*,⁷⁶⁶ developed a fluorescent sensor based on 1,2-bis-(2-pyren-1-ylmethylamino-ethoxy) ethane (NPEY) and graphene nanosheets (GNs) for the detection of Mn^{2+} both *in vitro* and in living cells utilizing π - π interactions. Fig. 45 shows the fluorescence intensity of NPEY/GNs hybrid system to different metal ions, schematic illustration of fluorescence mechanism for detecting Mn^{2+} with NPEY/GNs hybrid, and confocal fluorescence microscopy images of HeLa cells with NPEY, NPEY/GNs hybrid with and without Mn^{2+} ions. HRTEM image of NPEY/GNs hybrid confirmed the NPEY decorated GNs. The GNs quenched fluorescence emission occurring between NPEY molecules and GNs due to the π - π stacking interactions facilitated by the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process. NPEY/GNs hybrid-based sensor showed high sensitivity and selectivity toward Mn^{2+} ions against other interfering heavy metal ions including Ag^+ , Hg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cr^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Pb^{2+} . The Mn^{2+} ions lead to a significant increase in the fluorescence intensity of NPEY/GNs hybrid. AFM showed no interaction between Mn^{2+} ions and GNs demonstrating the non-sensitivity of GNs. The ethylene glycol and imino groups of NPEY assist in binding with Mn^{2+} ions. The NPEY/GNs hybrid-based sensor also showed the imaging and detection of Mn^{2+} ions in living cells.




Fig. 44 Selectivity of PtNPs-graphene/CDP-MWCNTs/GCE electrochemical biosensor toward catechol (CC) and hydroquinone (HQ) against 21 different interfering analytes. The buffer was 0.1 M PBS (pH 6.0) at 50 mV s^{-1} scan rate. The concentrations of HQ and CC were 50 μM . Reprinted with permission from ref. 762, X. Huang, X. Deng, W. Qi and D. Wu, Simultaneous Detection of Hydroquinone and Catechol Using Platinum Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene/Poly-Cyclodextrin/Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs) Nanocomposite Based Biosensor, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, 18, 8118–8123. Copyright© American Scientific Publishers.






Fig. 45 (A) Fluorescence intensity of 1,2-bis-(2-pyren-1-ylmethylamino-ethoxy)ethane (NPEY)/graphene nanosheets (GNs) hybrid system to different metal ions. (B) The changes of fluorescence intensity of NPEY/GNs hybrid measured at 376 nm after adding different heavy metal ions. (C) Schematic illustration of fluorescence "Turn-On" mechanism for detecting Mn^{2+} using NPEY/GNs hybrid; change in fluorescence emission with NPEY/GNs (left) and NPEY/GNs in the presence of Mn^{2+} (right) under 365 nm UV light illumination. The GNs quenched fluorescence emission due to the π - π stacking interactions between NPEY molecules and GNs via photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process. (D) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of HeLa cells with NPEY only (E) NPEY/GNs, and (F) NPEY/GNs with Mn^{2+} after extensive washing of HeLa cells with PBS. [Reprinted with permission from ref. 766, X. Mao, H. Su, D. Tian, H. Li and R. Yang, Bipyrene-functionalized graphene as a "turn-on" fluorescence sensor for manganese(II) ions in living cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, 5, 592–597. Copyright© American Chemical Society.]

Niu *et al.*⁷⁰⁴ developed a "turn-on" fluorescence sensor using GQD and AuNP conjugates for Pb^{2+} detection. The GQD/AuNP-based fluorescence sensor showed a Pb^{2+} detection range of 50 nM to 4 μ M with an LOD of 16.7 nM and very high sensitivity and selectivity for Pb^{2+} ions in the presence of ten other interfering metal ions. Fig. 46 shows the fluorescence intensity

response measured for 200 nM concentrations of Mn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , K^+ , Hg^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Ag^+ ions and 50 nM Pb^{2+} ions. The fluorescence increased sharply with the addition of 50 nM Pb^{2+} , whereas no significant change in fluorescence was observed after the addition of 200 nM concentrations of ten other interfering metal ions. The Pb^{2+} ions showed almost 3-






Fig. 46 Selectivity for Pb²⁺ ions (50 nM) using a "turn-on" fluorescence sensor based on GQD/AuNP conjugates in the presence of interfering analytes including Mn²⁺, Fe³⁺, K⁺, Hg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Ag⁺ ions (200 nM). Reprinted with permission from ref. 704, X. Niu, Y. Zhong, R. Chen, F. Wang, Y. Liu, D. A. Luo, "Turn-on" Fluorescence Sensor for Pb²⁺ Detection Based on Graphene Quantum Dots and Gold Nanoparticles, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, 255, 1577–1581. Copyright© Elsevier.

fold higher restoration efficiency than the other interfering metal ions, clearly differentiating Pb²⁺ from all metal ions. Other metal ions were not able to cleave the catalytic DNA strand and did not separate the GQDs and AuNPs; therefore, no quenching recovery was observed except for Pb²⁺ ions. The high sensitivity and selectivity of the fluorescent sensor toward Pb²⁺ ions occurred due to the FRET between GQDs and AuNPs. The strong fluorescence quenching and fluorescence recovery resulted from the combination of GQDs and AuNPs and their deaggregation, which was induced by the catalytic DNA strand and Pb²⁺ ions.

Jiang *et al.*⁷⁷⁴ fabricated electrochemical immunosensors using GO/AgNPs composites for the detection of *E. coli* SEM images of mica sheets coated with AuNPs/gold, BSA/anti-body(Ab)/AuNPs/gold, *E. coli*/BSA/Ab/AuNPs/gold, and P-GO-AgNPs-Ab/*E. coli*/BSA/Ab/AuNPs/gold were compared, and the selectivity of the detection of different bacteria was measured by analyzing current signals. Current responses of 0.946 μA for *B. subtilis* (1.8×10^4 CFU mL⁻¹), 1.124 μA for *Enterobacter aerogenes*, (2.0×10^4 CFU mL⁻¹), 1.505 μA for *Enterobacter dissolvens* (2.5×10^4 CFU mL⁻¹), and (d) 17.3000 μA for (*E. coli* (1.0×10^4 CFU mL⁻¹) were observed. Zhang *et al.*⁷⁷⁵ developed a fluorescent aptasensor assay using GO for detecting mucin 1 protein (MUC1) by employing deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I)-




Fig. 47 (A) Schematic representation of a fluorescent aptasensor assay based on GO-probe for detecting mucin 1 protein (MUC1) (5'-FAM-CCCGTCTTCCAGACAAGAGTGCAGGG-3') by using deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I)-mediated target cyclic amplification. The formation of GO-probe/MUC1 complex results in the fluorescence signal detection. (B) Fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor in the presence of MUC1 (5 ng mL⁻¹), epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (50 ng mL⁻¹), serum albumin (BSA) (50 ng mL⁻¹), prostate-specific antigen (PSA) (50 ng mL⁻¹), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (50 ng mL⁻¹), and blank, respectively. (C) Fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor for detection of MUC1 protein in buffer and blank biological samples of human urine, saliva and serum. Reprinted with permission from ref. 775, J. Zhang, F. Ran, W. Zhou, B. Shang, F. Yu, L. Wu, W. Hu, X. He and Q. Chen, Ultrasensitive fluorescent aptasensor for MUC1 detection based on deoxyribonuclease I-aided target recycling signal amplification, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 32009–32015. Copyright© The Royal Society of Chemistry.



mediated target cyclic amplification. Fig. 47 shows the schematics of the GO-based fluorescent aptasensor assay, fluorescence intensity of the GO-based aptasensor for detection of MUC1 protein in the presence of different interfering proteins including epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), BSA, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF and the biological samples of human urine, saliva and serum. The GO-probe/MUC1 complex formation plays an important role for the fluorescence detection. The GO strongly adsorbs deoxyribonuclease I (DNase I) in the absence of MUC1 protein so no fluorescence signal is observed whereas a fluorescence signal is turn-on when the GO-probe/MUC1 complexes are formed in the presence of MUC1. In this process, the DNA sequences are damaged after adding DNase which releases MUC1 and FAM fluorophores. The GO-based aptasensor showed a dramatic increase in the fluorescence intensity as the concentration of MUC1 was increased from 0 to 300 ng mL⁻¹ with a linear range from 50 pg mL⁻¹ to 100 ng mL⁻¹ and an LOD as low as 10 pg mL⁻¹. The GO-based biosensor showed a significant increase in fluorescence intensity for 5 ng mL⁻¹ concentration of MUC1 compared with 50 ng mL⁻¹ concentration of each interfering EpCAM, BSA, PSA, and VEGF analytes. The GO biosensor demonstrated high selectivity and sensitivity toward MUC1 protein in the presence of EpCAM, BSA, PSA, VEGF proteins and MUC1 spiked biological samples of human serum, urine and saliva. The high sensitivity of the GO biosensor originated from the fluorescence signal amplification by DNase I-mediated target recycling process and the accumulation of FAM fluorophores.

The selectivity of graphene-based biosensors has been discussed throughout this article, which showed that the selectivity is governed by a number of factors, including morphological nanostructures, structural defects, interlayer spacing, and the nature and concentrations of dopants, and interfering analytes. Graphene-based biosensor arrays could accurately identify and classify a group of bioanalytes from interferents with the help of LDA and PCA pattern recognition methods. Furthermore, the nature of GBMs such as graphene, GO, and rGO, their functional reactive groups, such as -OH, -COOH, -CO, and -C-O-C, and their interactions with biomolecules are equally crucial for selectivity at the nanomolar level.

New graphene-based electrochemical and fluorescent biosensors are emerging rapidly for the detection of pathogenic *E. coli* O157:H7,^{564,776} Typhoidal *Salmonella*,⁷⁷⁷ *S. aureus*,⁷⁷⁸ Johne disease,⁷⁷⁹ insulin,⁷⁸⁰ protein kinase activity,⁷⁸¹ bisphenol A,⁷⁸² AFB1,⁶⁸⁶ fenitrothion pesticide,⁷⁸³ circulating tumor cells (CTCs),⁷⁸⁴ breast cancer gene,⁷⁸⁵ Zika virus,⁷⁸⁶ *M. tuberculosis*,⁷⁸⁷ glucose,⁷⁸⁸ and microRNA in living cells.⁷⁸⁹ corrosion sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB),⁷⁹⁰ pyrophosphate,⁷⁹¹ florfenicol in milk,⁷⁹² foodborne mycotoxin,⁷⁹³ anticancer drug regorafenib,⁷⁹⁴ anticancer chemotherapy drug bleomycin,⁷⁹⁵ Alzheimer disease biomarker,⁷⁹⁶ tumor cells,⁷⁹⁷ HPV,⁷⁹⁸ dengue virus,⁷⁹⁹ HBV,^{800,801} hepatitis C virus,⁸⁰² liver cancer cells,⁸⁰³ vascular endothelial growth factor 165 (VEGF₁₆₅) for cancer diagnosis,⁸⁰⁴ human protein of cardiac troponin I (cTnI) biomarker for acute myocardial infarction,^{805,806} progesterone hormone,⁸⁰⁷ 17β-

estradiol,⁸⁰⁸ stem cell differentiation,⁸⁰⁹ adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP),⁸¹⁰ acetaminophen,⁸¹¹ tetracyclines,⁸¹² and lectins.⁸¹³

The strong quenching ability of GO and rGO compared with graphene offers exciting opportunities for the development of fluorescent biosensors with significantly improved sensitivity, selectivity, and low detection limits. The integration of graphene nanomaterials with inorganic nanoparticles and UCNPs combine the advantages of high carrier mobility and greatly enhanced quenching for the amplified detection of biomolecules. Finally, the high sensitivity and selectivity of the electrochemical and fluorescent biosensor platforms have already been used in clinical testing⁸¹⁴ and cancer biomarkers,⁸¹⁵⁻⁸²¹ demonstrating the promising future of inexpensive biosensing platforms.

6. Conclusions

Significant advances have been made in developing new biosensors using graphene-based nanomaterials for the detection of a wide variety of biological analytes, including glucose, H₂O₂, cholesterol, NAs, cancer biomarkers, food pathogens, heavy metal ions and pesticides, with desirable levels of sensitivity, low detection limits and good stability. Importantly, the successful integration of nanoscale materials such as inorganic nanoparticles (metals, metal oxides and semiconductors), organic polymers and hydrogels with graphene, GO and rGO creates unprecedented capabilities for detecting a very wide variety of bioanalytes, yielding biosensors with superior performance. Furthermore, the controlled fabrication of materials into different 2D and 3D nanostructures further helps to improve the sensing capabilities. The design of nano- or microscale devices such as microfluidic chips that incorporate multiple graphene-based nanocomposite materials is particularly promising for the detection of multiple biomarkers in a single chip. These advances in biosensor research provide new and promising platforms for the sensitive detection of various biomarkers, which can provide potential solutions not only in the biomedical field but also in other applications such as the food, water, agriculture industries and environmental monitoring.

7. Outlook and future perspectives

This review summarized recent advances in designing 2D and 3D graphene, graphene/polymer and graphene/inorganic nanoparticle hybrid architectures for diverse electrochemical biosensing applications. Integrating these hybrid nanostructures with biocompatible biopolymers, conducting polymers and hydrogels further amplifies the potential of these materials with greatly improved sensitivity, selectivity and operational stability, particularly for enzymatic biosensors. Over the last decade, despite recent developments in the controlled design of graphene and graphene-based hybrid nanocomposites, the understanding of the functional properties of graphene, GO, rGO and their interactions with surface-modified polymers or inorganic nanoparticles remains a great challenge. The current synthesis approaches still lack controlled uniform deposition, assembly onto graphene surfaces and stability on the surfaces. More research efforts



focusing on new and cost-effective synthetic approaches are needed to achieve precisely controlled synthesis and an in-depth understanding of the structure–property relationships of graphene nanomaterials.

The large-scale processability, biocompatibility, large surface-to-volume ratio and oxygen-terminated functional groups of graphene facilitate surface modification with diverse functionalities and the site-specific conjugation of enzymes. The preparation of graphene-based hybrid nanocomposites and fine-tuning of their structural features are promising for developing novel biosensors to solve the existing stability issues and efficiently enhance the electron transfer rate in enzymatic biosensors. For instance, 3D architectures such as highly porous graphene, graphene foam, graphene scaffolds and graphene/polymer hydrogels have been explored, which trap enzymes inside pores and cavities that are similar or matching in size to different biomolecules (*e.g.*, enzymes, other proteins, and aptamers), which helps to retain their biocatalytic functions for an extended time, to improve their stability by avoiding leaching effects and, importantly, to enhance the electron transfer rates. Specifically, the structural features of graphene-based derivatives such as GO and rGO and the integration of inorganic nanoparticles increase the electronic conductivity and electrocatalytic activity of these hybrid nanostructures by several orders of magnitude. In the case of enzymatic biosensors, the achievement of DET with both an enhanced electron transfer rate and operational stability simultaneously still faces challenges in third-generation enzymatic biosensors. The selectivity of graphene-based biosensors has been analyzed and showed dependence on a number of factors including morphology, defects, dopants and interferents.

Graphene-based microfluidic devices have attracted increasing interest due to their many advantages over the common electrochemical approach. In particular, the devices fabricated using microporous 3D architecture that interacts with nanoparticle systems seem to be highly efficient for the detection of multiple cancer biomarkers in a single-chip device with enhanced sensitivity and detection limits reaching subpicomolar concentrations. Similarly, graphene-based porous nanostructures have had major impacts on the detection of pathogenic bacteria and heavy metal ions. Numerous studies have demonstrated the detection of biomolecules down to the picomolar concentration range by the exploitation of signal amplification strategies. Despite this progress and advances in the fabrication of electrodes and their characterization, long-term studies on the toxicity of graphene-based materials for use in clinical industry,^{822–827} there are still several challenges related to practical implementation, including limited lifetime, operational stability and reproducibility.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- 1 B. D. Malhotra and A. Chaubey, Biosensors for Clinical Diagnostics Industry, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2003, **91**, 117–127.
- 2 M. N. Velasco-Garcia and T. Mottram, Biosensor Technology Addressing Agricultural Problems, *Biosyst. Eng.*, 2003, **84**, 1–12.
- 3 M. S. Thakur and K. V. Ragavan, Biosensors in Food Processing, *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **50**, 625–641.
- 4 S. Rodriguezmozaz, M. Alda, M. Marco and D. Barcelo, Biosensors for Environmental Monitoring A Global Perspective, *Talanta*, 2005, **65**, 291–297.
- 5 S. Su, S. Chen and C. Fan, Recent Advances in Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials-Based Electrochemical Sensors for Environmental Analysis, *Green Energy & Environment*, 2018, **3**, 97–106.
- 6 V. Gubala, L. F. Harris, A. J. Ricco, M. X. Tan and D. E. Williams, Point of Care Diagnostics: Status and Future, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 487–515.
- 7 *Nanomaterial-Based Flexible and Multifunctional Sensors*, ed. E. Singh and H. S. Nalwa, American Scientific Publishers, Los Angeles, CA, 2019.
- 8 S. O. Kelley, C. A. Mirkin, D. R. Walt, R. F. Ismagilov, M. Toner and E. H. Sargent, Advancing the Speed, Sensitivity and Accuracy of Biomolecular Detection Using Multi-Length-Scale Engineering, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 969–980.
- 9 Q. Liu, C. Wu, H. Cai, N. Hu, J. Zhou and P. Wang, Cell-Based Biosensors and Their Application in Biomedicine, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 6423–6461.
- 10 G. Rong, S. R. Corrie and H. A. Clark, *In Vivo* Biosensing: Progress and Perspectives, *ACS Sens.*, 2017, **2**, 327–338.
- 11 Y. Du and S. Dong, Nucleic Acid Biosensors: Recent Advances and Perspectives, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 189–215.
- 12 X. Huang, Y. Liu, B. Yung, Y. Xiong and X. Chen, Nanotechnology-Enhanced No-Wash Biosensors for *In vitro* Diagnostics of Cancer, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 5238–5292.
- 13 L. T. Berezina-Malcolm, G. Mann and A. E. Franks, Environmental Sensing of Heavy Metals Through Whole Cell Microbial Biosensors: A Synthetic Biology Approach, *ACS Synth. Biol.*, 2014, **4**, 535–546.
- 14 D. Gaddes, W. B. Reeves and S. Tadigadapa, Calorimetric Biosensing System for Quantification of Urinary Creatinine, *ACS Sens.*, 2017, **2**, 796–802.
- 15 K. De Wael, D. Daems, G. Van Camp and L. J. Nagels, Use of Potentiometric Sensors To Study (Bio)molecular Interactions, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 4921–4927.
- 16 A. Heller and B. Feldman, Electrochemical Glucose Sensors and Their Applications in Diabetes Management, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2482–2505.
- 17 T. Tamura and I. Hamachi, Recent Progress in Design of Protein-Based Fluorescent Biosensors and Their Cellular Applications, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **9**, 2708–2717.
- 18 Z. Wang, S. Zong, L. Wu, D. Zhu and Y. Cui, SERS-Activated Platforms for Immunoassay: Probes, Encoding Methods, and Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 7910–7963.
- 19 J. N. Tiwari, V. Vij, K. C. Kemp and K. S. Kim, Engineered Carbon-Nanomaterial-Based Electrochemical Sensors for Biomolecules, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 46–80.
- 20 G. Maduraiveeran, M. Sasidharan and V. Ganesan, Electrochemical Sensor and Biosensor Platforms Based on



- Advanced Nanomaterials for Biological and Biomedical Applications, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **103**, 113–129.
- 21 H. Zhang, H. Zhang, A. Aldalbahi, X. Zuo, C. Fan and X. Mi, Fluorescent Biosensors Enabled by Graphene and Graphene Oxide, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 96–106.
- 22 C. Zhu, G. Yang, H. Li, D. Du and Y. Lin, Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors Based on Nanomaterials and Nanostructures, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 230–249.
- 23 (a) *Handbook of Nanostructured Materials and Nanotechnology*, ed. H. S. Nalwa, Academic Press, San Diego, 2000, vol. 1–5; (b) *Encyclopedia of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*, ed. H. S. Nalwa, American Scientific Publishers, Los Angeles, 2004, vol. 1–10; (c) *Handbook of Nanostructured Biomaterials and Their Applications in Nanobiotechnology*, ed. H. S. Nalwa, American Scientific Publishers, Los Angeles, 2005, vol. 1–2; (d) V. Georgakilas, J. A. Perman, J. Tucek and R. Zboril, Broad Family of Carbon Nanoallotropes: Classification, Chemistry, and Applications of Fullerenes, Carbon Dots, Nanotubes, Graphene, Nanodiamonds, and Combined Superstructures, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 4744–4822.
- 24 C. M. Cobley, J. Chen, E. C. Cho, L. V. Wang and Y. Xia, Gold nanostructures: a class of multifunctional materials for biomedical applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 44–56.
- 25 S.-K. Sun, H.-F. Wang and X.-P. Yan, Engineering Persistent Luminescence Nanoparticles for Biological Applications: From Biosensing/Bioimaging to Theranostics, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1131–1143.
- 26 S. Nandini, S. Nalini, M. B. M. Reddy, G. S. Suresh, J. S. Melo, P. Niranjana, J. Sanetuntikul and S. Shanmugam, Synthesis of One-Dimensional Gold Nanostructures and the Electrochemical Application of the Nanohybrid Containing Functionalized Graphene Oxide for Cholesterol Biosensing, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2016, **110**, 79–90.
- 27 C. Tan, X. Cao, X.-J. Wu, Q. He, J. Yang, X. Zhang, J. Chen, W. Zhao, S. Han, G.-H. Nam, M. Sindoro and H. Zhang, Recent Advances in Ultrathin Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 6225–6331.
- 28 (a) E. Singh, K. S. Kim, G. Y. Yeom and H. S. Nalwa, Atomically thin-layered molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) for bulk-heterojunction solar cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 3223–3245; (b) E. Singh, K. S. Kim, G. Y. Yeom and H. S. Nalwa, Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide-based counter electrodes for dye-sensitized solar cells, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 28234–28290; (c) E. Singh, P. Singh, K. S. Kim, G. Y. Yeom and H. S. Nalwa, Flexible molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) atomic layers for wearable electronics and optoelectronics, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b19859; (d) R. Singh, E. Singh and H. S. Nalwa, Inkjet printed nanomaterial based flexible radio frequency identification (RFID) tag sensors for the internet of nano things, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 48597–48630.
- 29 N. P. Dasgupta, J. Sun, C. Liu, S. Brittman, S. C. Andrews, J. Lim, H. Gao, R. Yan and P. Yang, 25th Anniversary Article: Semiconductor Nanowires - Synthesis, Characterization, and Applications, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 2137–2184.
- 30 K. E. Sapsford, W. R. Algar, L. Berti, K. B. Gemmill, B. J. Casey, E. Oh, M. H. Stewart and I. L. Medintz, Functionalizing Nanoparticles with Biological Molecules: Developing Chemistries that Facilitate Nanotechnology, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 1904–2074.
- 31 T. R. Fadel, D. F. Farrell, L. E. Friedersdorf, M. H. Griep, M. D. Hoover, M. A. Meador and M. Meyyappan, Toward the Responsible Development and Commercialization of Sensor Nanotechnologies, *ACS Sens.*, 2016, **1**, 207–216.
- 32 K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva and A. A. Firsov, Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 666–669.
- 33 C. Cheng, S. Li, A. Thomas, N. A. Kotov and R. Haag, Functional Graphene Nanomaterials Based Architectures: Biointeractions, Fabrications, and Emerging Biological Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 1826–1914.
- 34 A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, The Rise of Graphene, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 183–191.
- 35 Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J. W. Suk, J. R. Potts and R. S. Ruoff, Graphene and Graphene Oxide: Synthesis, Properties, and Applications, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3906–3924.
- 36 P. Avouris and C. Dimitrakopoulos, Graphene: Synthesis and Applications, *Mater. Today*, 2012, **15**, 86–97.
- 37 H. Y. Mao, S. Laurent, W. Chen, O. Akhavan, M. Imani, A. A. Ashkarran and M. Mahmoudi, Graphene: Promises, Facts, Opportunities, and Challenges in Nanomedicine, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 3407–3424.
- 38 J. C. Meyer, A. K. Geim, M. I. Katsnelson, K. S. Novoselov, T. J. Booth and S. Roth, The Structure of Suspended Graphene Sheets, *Nature*, 2007, **446**, 60–63.
- 39 Y. Xu, H. Bai, G. Lu, C. Li and G. Shi, Flexible Graphene Films via the Filtration of Water-Soluble Noncovalent Functionalized Graphene Sheets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 5856–5857.
- 40 H. Chen, M. B. Müller, K. J. Gilmore, G. G. Wallace and D. Li, Mechanically Strong, Electrically Conductive, and Biocompatible Graphene Paper, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3557–3561.
- 41 X. Li, X. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Lee and H. Dai, Chemically Derived, Ultrasoft Graphene Nanoribbon Semiconductors, *Science*, 2008, **319**, 1229–1232.
- 42 Q. Xi, X. Chen, D. G. Evans and W. Yang, Gold Nanoparticle-Embedded Porous Graphene Thin Films Fabricated via Layer-by-Layer Self-Assembly and Subsequent Thermal Annealing for Electrochemical Sensing, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 9885–9892.
- 43 C. Hu, J. Xue, L. Dong, Y. Jiang, X. Wang, L. Qu and L. Dai, Scalable Preparation of Multifunctional Fire-Retardant Ultralight Graphene Foams, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 1325–1332.
- 44 A. A. Balandin, S. Ghosh, W. Bao, I. Calizo, D. Teweldebrhan, F. Miao and C. N. Lau, Superior



- Thermal Conductivity of Single-Layer Graphene, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 902–907.
- 45 S. V. Morozov, K. S. Novoselov, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Schedin, D. C. Elias, J. A. Jaszczak and A. K. Geim, Giant Intrinsic Carrier Mobilities in Graphene and Its Bilayer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 11–14.
- 46 R. R. Nair, P. Blake, A. N. Grigorenko, K. S. Novoselov, T. J. Booth, T. Stauber, N. M. R. Peres and A. K. Geim, Fine Structure Constant Defines Visual Transparency of Graphene, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 1308.
- 47 M. D. Stoller, S. Park, Y. Zhu, J. An and R. S. Ruoff, Graphene-Based Ultracapacitors, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, **8**, 3498–3502.
- 48 E. Singh and H. S. Nalwa, Graphene-Based Bulk-Heterojunction Solar Cells: A Review, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **15**, 6237–6278.
- 49 E. Singh and H. S. Nalwa, Graphene-Based Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells: A Review, *Sci. Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **7**, 1863–1912.
- 50 W. Lv, Z. Li, Y. Deng, Q.-H. Yang and F. Kang, Graphene-Based Materials for Electrochemical Energy Storage Devices: Opportunities and Challenges, *Energy Storage Materials*, 2016, **2**, 107–138.
- 51 U. Khan, T.-H. Kim, H. Ryu, W. Seung and S.-W. Kim, Graphene Tribotronics for Electronic Skin and Touch Screen Applications, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 2–8.
- 52 F. Schwier, Graphene Transistors, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, **5**, 487–496.
- 53 T.-H. Han, Y. Lee, M.-R. Choi, S.-H. Woo, S.-H. Bae, B. H. Hong, J.-H. Ahn and T.-W. Lee, Extremely Efficient Flexible Organic Light-Emitting Diodes with Modified Graphene Anode, *Nat. Photonics*, 2012, **6**, 105–110.
- 54 E. Singh, M. Meyyappan and H. S. Nalwa, Flexible Graphene-Based Wearable Gas and Chemical Sensors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 34544–34586.
- 55 D. Iannazzo, A. Pistone, M. Salamò, S. Galvagno, R. Romeo, S. V. Giofrè, C. Branca, G. Visalli and A. Di Pietro, Graphene Quantum Dots for Cancer Targeted Drug Delivery, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2017, **518**, 185–192.
- 56 V. Kumar, K.-H. Kim, J.-W. Park, J. Hong and S. Kumar, Graphene and Its Nanocomposites as a Platform for Environmental Applications, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **315**, 210–232.
- 57 D. Chen, H. Feng and J. Li, Graphene Oxide: Preparation, Functionalization, and Electrochemical Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 6027–6053.
- 58 P. T. Yin, S. Shah, M. Chhowalla and K.-B. Lee, Design, Synthesis, and Characterization of Graphene-Nanoparticle Hybrid Materials for Bioapplications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 2483–2531.
- 59 X. Zhao, P. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Su and G. Wei, Recent Advances in the Fabrication and Structure-Specific Applications of Graphene-Based Inorganic Hybrid Membranes, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 5080–5093.
- 60 L. Qiu, D. Li and H.-M. Cheng, Structural Control of Graphene-Based Materials for Unprecedented Performance, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 5085–5092.
- 61 S. Nardecchia, D. Carriazo, M. L. Ferrer, M. C. Gutiérrez and F. del Monte, Three Dimensional Macroporous Architectures And Aerogels Built of Carbon Nanotubes and/or Graphene: Synthesis and Applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 794–830.
- 62 F. Liu, Y. Piao, J. S. Choi and T. S. Seo, Three-Dimensional Graphene Micropillar Based Electrochemical Sensor for Phenol Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **50**, 387–392.
- 63 J. Liu, S. Fu, B. Yuan, Y. Li and Z. Deng, Toward a Universal “Adhesive Nanosheet” for the Assembly of Multiple Nanoparticles Based on a Protein-Induced Reduction/Decoration of Graphene Oxide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 7279–7281.
- 64 M. Wang, X. Duan, Y. Xu and X. Duan, Functional Three-Dimensional Graphene/Polymer Composites, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 7231–7247.
- 65 W. K. Chee, H. N. Lim, N. M. Huang and I. Harrison, Nanocomposites of Graphene/Polymers: A Review, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 68014–68051.
- 66 R. Zhang and W. Chen, Recent Advances in Graphene-Based Nanomaterials for Fabricating Electrochemical Hydrogen Peroxide Sensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 249–268.
- 67 P. Bollella, G. Fusco, C. Tortolini, G. Sanzò, G. Favero, L. Gorton and R. Antiochia, Beyond Graphene: Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors for Biomarkers Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 152–166.
- 68 L. Wang, Q. Xiong, F. Xiao and H. Duan, 2D Nanomaterials Based Electrochemical Biosensors for Cancer Diagnosis, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 136–151.
- 69 B. V. Chikkaveeraiah, A. A. Bhirde, N. Y. Morgan, H. S. Eden and X. Chen, Electrochemical Immunosensors for Detection of Cancer Protein Biomarkers, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 6546–6561.
- 70 Z. Farka, T. Juřík, D. Kovář, L. Trnková and P. Skládal, Nanoparticle-Based Immunochemical Biosensors and Assays: Recent Advances and Challenges, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 9973–10042.
- 71 M. Li, H. Gou, I. Al-Ogaidi and N. Wu, Nanostructured Sensors for Detection of Heavy Metals: A Review, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2013, **1**, 713–723.
- 72 M. R. Saidur, A. R. A. Aziz and W. J. Basirun, Recent Advances in DNA-Based Electrochemical Biosensors for Heavy Metal Ion Detection: A Review, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **90**, 125–139.
- 73 N. Reta, C. P. Saint, A. Michelmoré, B. Prieto-Simon and N. H. Voelcker, Nanostructured Electrochemical Biosensors for Label-Free Detection of Water- and Food-Borne Pathogens, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 6055–6072.
- 74 Y. Zhang, J. Shen, H. Li, L. Wang, D. Cao, X. Feng, Y. Liu, Y. Ma and L. Wang, Recent Progress on Graphene-based Electrochemical Biosensors, *Chem. Rec.*, 2016, **16**, 273–294.
- 75 A. T. Lawal, Progress in Utilisation of Graphene for Electrochemical Biosensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **106**, 149–178.



- 76 Y. Liu, X. Dong and P. Chen, Biological and Chemical Sensors Based on Graphene Materials, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2283–2307.
- 77 P. A. Rasheed and N. Sandhyarani, Carbon Nanostructures as Immobilization Platform for DNA: A Review on Current Progress in Electrochemical DNA Sensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **97**, 226–237.
- 78 C. Chung, Y.-K. Kim, D. Shin, S.-R. Ryoo, B. H. Hong and D.-H. Min, Biomedical Applications of Graphene and Graphene Oxide, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2211–2224.
- 79 V. Georgakilas, M. Otyepka, A. B. Bourlinos, V. Chandra, N. Kim, K. C. Kemp, P. Hobza, R. Zboril and K. S. Kim, Functionalization of Graphene: Covalent and Non-Covalent Approaches, Derivatives and Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 6156–6214.
- 80 V. Georgakilas, J. N. Tiwari, K. C. Kemp, J. A. Perman, A. B. Bourlinos, K. S. Kim and R. Zboril, Noncovalent Functionalization of Graphene and Graphene Oxide for Energy Materials, Biosensing, Catalytic, and Biomedical Applications, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 5464–5519.
- 81 C. K. Chua and M. Pumera, Chemical Reduction of Graphene Oxide: A Synthetic Chemistry Viewpoint, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 291–312.
- 82 P. W. Sutter, J.-I. Flege and E. A. Sutter, Epitaxial Graphene on Ruthenium, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, **7**, 406–411.
- 83 Y. Hernandez, V. Nicolosi, M. Lotya, F. M. Blighe, Z. Sun, S. De, I. T. McGovern, B. Holland, M. Byrne, Y. K. Gun'Ko, J. J. Boland, P. Niraj, G. Duesberg, S. Krishnamurthy, R. Goodhue, J. Hutchison, V. Scardaci, A. C. Ferrari and J. N. Coleman, High-Yield Production of Graphene by Liquid-Phase Exfoliation of Graphite, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2008, **3**, 563–568.
- 84 A. Reina, X. Jia, J. Ho, D. Nezich, H. Son, V. Bulovic, M. S. Dresselhaus and J. Kong, Large Area, Few-Layer Graphene Films on Arbitrary Substrates by Chemical Vapor Deposition, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 30–35.
- 85 N. Liu, F. Luo, H. Wu, Y. Liu, C. Zhang and J. Chen, One-Step Ionic-Liquid-Assisted Electrochemical Synthesis of Ionic-Liquid-Functionalized Graphene Sheets Directly from Graphite, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 1518–1525.
- 86 K. S. Subrahmanyam, L. S. Panchakarla, A. Govindaraj and C. N. R. Rao, Simple Method of Preparing Graphene Flakes by an Arc-Discharge Method, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 4257–4259.
- 87 Z.-S. Wu, W. Ren, L. Gao, J. Zhao, Z. Chen, B. Liu, D. Tang, B. Yu, C. Jiang and H.-M. Cheng, Synthesis of Graphene Sheets with High Electrical Conductivity and Good Thermal Stability by Hydrogen Arc Discharge Exfoliation, *ACS Nano*, 2009, **3**, 411–417.
- 88 X. Wang, G. Sun, P. Routh, D.-H. Kim, W. Huang and P. Chen, Heteroatom-Doped Graphene Materials: Syntheses, Properties and Applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7067–7098.
- 89 J. Filip and J. Tkac, Is Graphene Worth Using in Biofuel Cells?, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **136**, 340–354.
- 90 J. Xu, J. Shui, J. Wang, M. Wang, H.-K. Liu, S. X. Dou, I.-Y. Jeon, J.-M. Seo, J.-B. Baek and L. Dai, Sulfur-Graphene Nanostructured Cathodes via Ball-Milling for High-Performance Lithium-Sulfur Batteries, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 10920–10930.
- 91 S. Park and R. S. Ruoff, Chemical Methods for the Production of Graphenes, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **4**, 217–224.
- 92 K. Erickson, R. Erni, Z. Lee, N. Alem, W. Gannett and A. Zettl, Determination of the Local Chemical Structure of Graphene Oxide and Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 4467–4472.
- 93 L. Gao, C. Lian, Y. Zhou, L. Yan, Q. Li, C. Zhang, L. Chen and K. Chen, Graphene Oxide–DNA Based Sensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **60**, 22–29.
- 94 J. H. Jung, D. S. Cheon, F. Liu, K. B. Lee and T. S. Seo, A Graphene Oxide Based Immuno-Biosensor for Pathogen Detection, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5708–5711.
- 95 W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, Preparation of Graphitic Oxide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 1339.
- 96 D. R. Dreyer, S. Park, C. W. Bielawski and R. S. Ruoff, The Chemistry of Graphene Oxide, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 228–240.
- 97 M. J. McAllister, J.-L. Li, D. H. Adamson, H. C. Schniepp, A. A. Abdala, J. Liu, M. Herrera-Alonso, D. L. Milius, R. Car, R. K. Prud'homme and I. A. Aksay, Single Sheet Functionalized Graphene by Oxidation and Thermal Expansion of Graphite, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 4396–4404.
- 98 G. Williams, B. Seger and P. V. Kamat, TiO₂-Graphene Nanocomposites. UV-Assisted Photocatalytic Reduction of Graphene Oxide, *ACS Nano*, 2008, **2**, 1487–1491.
- 99 O. C. Compton and S. T. Nguyen, Graphene Oxide, Highly Reduced Graphene Oxide, and Graphene: Versatile Building Blocks for Carbon-Based Materials, *Small*, 2010, **6**, 711–723.
- 100 M. Bacon, S. J. Bradley and T. Nann, Graphene Quantum Dots, *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.*, 2014, **31**, 415–428.
- 101 L.-s. Li and X. Yan, Colloidal Graphene Quantum Dots, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 2572–2576.
- 102 S. Zhou, H. Xu, W. Gan and Q. Yuan, Graphene Quantum Dots: Recent Progress in Preparation and Fluorescence Sensing Applications, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 110775–110788.
- 103 H. Sun, L. Wu, W. Wei and X. Qu, Recent Advances in Graphene Quantum Dots for Sensing, *Mater. Today*, 2013, **16**, 433–442.
- 104 D. Pan, J. Zhang, Z. Li and M. Wu, Hydrothermal Route for Cutting Graphene Sheets into Blue-Luminescent Graphene Quantum Dots, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 734–738.
- 105 N. Suzuki, Y. Wang, P. Elvati, Z.-B. Qu, K. Kim, S. Jiang, E. Baumeister, J. Lee, B. Yeom, J. H. Bahng, J. Lee, A. Violi and N. A. Kotov, Chiral Graphene Quantum Dots, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 1744–1755.
- 106 J. Lu, P. S. E. Yeo, C. K. Gan, P. Wu and K. P. Loh, Transforming C₆₀ Molecules Into Graphene Quantum Dots, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 247–252.
- 107 Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, N. Chen and L. Qu, Graphene Quantum Dots: An Emerging Material for Energy-Related Applications and Beyond, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 8869–8890.



- 108 Y. Li, Y. Zhao, H. Cheng, Y. Hu, G. Shi, L. Dai and L. Qu, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots with Oxygen-Rich Functional Groups, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15–18.
- 109 Y. Guo, Y. Han, S. Shuang and C. Dong, Rational Synthesis of Graphene–Metal Coordination Polymer Composite Nanosheet as Enhanced Materials for Electrochemical Biosensing, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 13166–13173.
- 110 P. T. Yin, T.-H. Kim, J.-W. Choi and K.-B. Lee, Prospects for Graphene–Nanoparticle-Based Hybrid Sensors, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 12785–12799.
- 111 International Diabetes Federation, <https://www.idf.org/aboutdiabetes/what-is-diabetes/facts-figures.html>.
- 112 L. C. Clark and C. Lyons, Electrode Systems for Continuous Monitoring in Cardiovascular Surgery, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1962, **102**, 29–45.
- 113 E. Witkowska Nery, M. Kundys, P. S. Jeleń and M. Jönsson-Niedziółka, Electrochemical Glucose Sensing: Is There Still Room for Improvement?, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 11271–11282.
- 114 J. Wang, Electrochemical Glucose Biosensors, *Electrochem. Sensors, Biosens. their Biomed. Appl.*, 2008, pp. 57–69.
- 115 C. Chen, Q. Xie, D. Yang, H. Xiao, Y. Fu, Y. Tan and S. Yao, Recent Advances in Electrochemical Glucose Biosensors: A Review, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 4473–4491.
- 116 H. Muguruma, H. Iwasa, H. Hidaka, A. Hiratsuka and H. Uzawa, Mediatorless Direct Electron Transfer between Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide-Dependent Glucose Dehydrogenase and Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 725–734.
- 117 M. Zhao, Y. Gao, J. Sun and F. Gao, Mediatorless Glucose Biosensor and Direct Electron Transfer Type Glucose/Air Biofuel Cell Enabled with Carbon Nanodots, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 2615–2622.
- 118 Y.-F. Bai, T.-B. Xu, J. H. T. Luong and H.-F. Cui, Direct Electron Transfer of Glucose Oxidase-Boron Doped Diamond Interface: A New Solution for a Classical Problem, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 4910–4918.
- 119 A. Chen and S. Chatterjee, Nanomaterials Based Electrochemical Sensors for Biomedical Applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 5425–5438.
- 120 C. Cai and J. Chen, Direct Electron Transfer of Glucose Oxidase Promoted by Carbon Nanotubes, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2004, **332**, 75–83.
- 121 J. M. Goran, S. M. Mantilla and K. J. Stevenson, Influence of Surface Adsorption on the Interfacial Electron Transfer of Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide and Glucose Oxidase at Carbon Nanotube and Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotube Electrodes, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 1571–1581.
- 122 Y. Lin, F. Lu, Y. Tu and Z. Ren, Glucose Biosensors Based on Carbon Nanotube Nanoelectrode Ensembles, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 191–195.
- 123 M. Wooten, S. Karra, M. Zhang and W. Gorski, On the Direct Electron Transfer, Sensing, and Enzyme Activity in the Glucose Oxidase/Carbon Nanotubes System, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **86**, 752–757.
- 124 S. Tsujimura, K. Murata and W. Akatsuka, Exceptionally High Glucose Current on a Hierarchically Structured Porous Carbon Electrode with “Wired” Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide-Dependent Glucose Dehydrogenase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14432–14437.
- 125 P. Rafighi, M. Tavahodi and B. Haghighi, Fabrication of a Third-Generation Glucose Biosensor Using Graphene-Polyethyleneimine-Gold Nanoparticles Hybrid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **232**, 454–461.
- 126 H. Razmi and R. Mohammad-Rezaei, Graphene Quantum Dots as a New Substrate for Immobilization and Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase: Application to Sensitive Glucose Determination, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **41**, 498–504.
- 127 A. Karimi, A. Othman, A. Uzunoglu, L. Stanciu and S. Andreescu, Graphene Based Enzymatic Bioelectrodes and Biofuel Cells, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 6909–6923.
- 128 J. Kim, S.-J. Park and D.-H. Min, Emerging Approaches for Graphene Oxide Biosensor, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 232–248.
- 129 Y. Song, K. Qu, C. Zhao, J. Ren and X. Qu, Graphene Oxide: Intrinsic Peroxidase Catalytic Activity and Its Application to Glucose Detection, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 2206–2210.
- 130 L. Lipani, B. G. R. Dupont, F. Doungmene, F. Marken, R. M. Tyrrell, R. H. Guy and A. Ilie, Non-Invasive, Transdermal, Path-Selective and Specific Glucose Monitoring via a Graphene-Based Platform, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **13**, 504–511.
- 131 M. Zhou, Y. Zhai and S. Dong, Electrochemical Sensing and Biosensing Platform Based on Chemically Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 5603–5613.
- 132 H. Gao and H. Duan, 2D and 3D Graphene Materials: Preparation and Bioelectrochemical Applications, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **65**, 404–419.
- 133 Y. Wang, Y. Shao, D. W. Matson, J. Li and Y. Lin, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene and Its Application in Electrochemical Biosensing, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 1790–1798.
- 134 A. Küchler, M. Yoshimoto, S. Luginbühl, F. Mavelli and P. Walde, Enzymatic Reactions in Confined Environments, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 409–420.
- 135 Z. Zhu, L. Garcia-Gancedo, A. J. Flewitt, H. Xie, F. Moussy and W. I. Milne, A Critical Review of Glucose Biosensors Based on Carbon Nanomaterials: Carbon Nanotubes and Graphene, *Sensors*, 2012, **12**, 5996–6022.
- 136 J. Zhang, F. Zhang, H. Yang, X. Huang, H. Liu, J. Zhang and S. Guo, Graphene Oxide as a Matrix for Enzyme Immobilization, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 6083–6085.
- 137 L. Jin, K. Yang, K. Yao, S. Zhang, H. Tao, S.-T. Lee, Z. Liu and R. Peng, Functionalized Graphene Oxide in Enzyme Engineering: A Selective Modulator for Enzyme Activity and Thermostability, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 4864–4875.
- 138 I. V. Pavlidis, M. Patila, U. T. Bornscheuer, D. Gournis and H. Stamatis, Graphene-Based Nanobiocatalytic Systems: Recent Advances and Future Prospects, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2014, **32**, 312–320.
- 139 S. K. Singh, M. K. Singh, P. P. Kulkarni, V. K. Sonkar, J. J. A. Grácio and D. Dash, Amine-Modified Graphene:



- Thrombo-Protective Safer Alternative to Graphene Oxide for Biomedical Applications, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 2731–2740.
- 140 Z. Wang, X. Zhou, J. Zhang, F. Boey and H. Zhang, Direct Electrochemical Reduction of Single-Layer Graphene Oxide and Subsequent Functionalization with Glucose Oxidase, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 14071–14075.
- 141 Y. Liu, D. Yu, C. Zeng, Z. Miao and L. Dai, Biocompatible Graphene Oxide-Based Glucose Biosensors, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 6158–6160.
- 142 Q. Li, F. Fan, Y. Wang, W. Feng and P. Ji, Enzyme Immobilization on Carboxyl-Functionalized Graphene Oxide for Catalysis in Organic Solvent, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 6343–6348.
- 143 J. F. Rusling and R. J. Forster, Electrochemical Catalysis with Redox Polymer and Polyion-Protein Films, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2003, **262**, 1–15.
- 144 J. D. Newman and A. P. F. Turner, Home Blood Glucose Biosensors: A Commercial Perspective, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2005, **20**, 2435–2453.
- 145 D. Li, W. Zhang, X. Yu, Z. Wang, Z. Su and G. Wei, When Biomolecules Meet Graphene: From Molecular Level Interactions to Material Design and Applications, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 19491–19509.
- 146 T. S. Sreepasad and V. Berry, How do the Electrical Properties of Graphene Change with its Functionalization?, *Small*, 2013, **9**, 341–350.
- 147 X. Kang, J. Wang, H. Wu, I. A. Aksay, J. Liu and Y. Lin, Glucose Oxidase-Graphene-Chitosan Modified Electrode for Direct Electrochemistry and Glucose Sensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2009, **25**, 901–905.
- 148 M. Guler and Y. Dilmac, Palladium nanoparticles decorated (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane functionalized reduced graphene oxide for electrochemical determination of glucose and hydrogen peroxide, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2019, **834**, 49–55.
- 149 C. Shan, H. Yang, D. Han, Q. Zhang, A. Ivaska and L. Niu, Graphene/AuNPs/Chitosan Nanocomposites Film for Glucose Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2010, **25**, 1070–1074.
- 150 J. Singh, P. Khanra, T. Kuila, M. Srivastava, A. K. Das, N. H. Kim, B. J. Jung, D. Y. Kim, S. H. Lee, D. W. Lee, D.-G. Kim and J. H. Lee, Preparation of Sulfonated Poly(Ether-Ether-Ketone) Functionalized Ternary Graphene/AuNPs/Chitosan Nanocomposite for Efficient Glucose Biosensor, *Process Biochem.*, 2013, **48**, 1724–1735.
- 151 J. Zheng, Y. He, Q. Sheng and H. Zhang, DNA as a Linker for Biocatalytic Deposition of Au Nanoparticles on Graphene and Its Application in Glucose Detection, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 12873–12879.
- 152 B. Liang, L. Fang, G. Yang, Y. Hu, X. Guo and X. Ye, Direct Electron Transfer Glucose Biosensor Based on Glucose Oxidase Self-Assembled on Electrochemically Reduced Carboxyl Graphene, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **43**, 131–136.
- 153 M. Cui, B. Xu, C. Hu, H. B. Shao and L. Qu, Direct electrochemistry and electrocatalysis of glucose oxidase on three-dimensional interpenetrating, porous graphene modified electrode, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **98**, 48–53.
- 154 L. Qian and L. Lu, Three Dimensional Porous Graphene-Chitosan Composites from Ice-Induced Assembly for Direct Electron Transfer and Electrocatalysis of Glucose Oxidase, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 38273–38280.
- 155 R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, A Hybrid Functional Nanoscaffold Based on Reduced Graphene Oxide-ZnO for the Development of an Amperometric Biosensing Platform, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 25858–25864.
- 156 A. T. E. Vilian, S.-M. Chen, M. A. Ali and F. M. A. Al-Hemaid, Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase Immobilized on ZrO₂ Nanoparticles-Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Sheets for a Glucose Biosensor, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 30358–30367.
- 157 M. F. Hossain and J. Y. Park, Amperometric Glucose Biosensor Based on Pt-Pd Nanoparticles Supported by Reduced Graphene Oxide and Integrated with Glucose Oxidase, *Electroanalysis*, 2014, **26**, 940–951.
- 158 S. Palanisamy, C. Karupiah and S.-M. Chen, Direct Electrochemistry and Electrocatalysis of Glucose Oxidase Immobilized on Reduced Graphene Oxide and Silver Nanoparticles Nanocomposite Modified Electrode, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2014, **114**, 164–169.
- 159 Y. Yu, Z. Chen, S. He, B. Zhang, X. Li and M. Yao, Direct Electron Transfer of Glucose Oxidase and Biosensing For Glucose Based on PDDA-Capped Gold Nanoparticle Modified Graphene/Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Electrode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **52**, 147–152.
- 160 M. A. Tabrizi and J. N. Varkani, Green Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide Decorated with Gold Nanoparticles and its Glucose Sensing Application, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **202**, 475–482.
- 161 H. Teymourian, A. Salimi and S. Firoozi, A High Performance Electrochemical Biosensing Platform for Glucose Detection and IgE Aptasensing Based on Fe₃O₄/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *Electroanalysis*, 2014, **26**, 129–138.
- 162 A. T. E. Vilian, V. Mani, S.-M. Chen, B. Dinesh and S.-T. Huang, The Immobilization of Glucose Oxidase at Manganese Dioxide Particles-Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Sheets for the Fabrication of a Glucose Biosensor, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **53**, 15582–15589.
- 163 K.-Y. Hwa and B. Subramani, Synthesis of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on Graphene-Carbon Nanotube Hybrid for Glucose Biosensor Applications, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **62**, 127–133.
- 164 S. Palanisamy, S. Cheemalapati and S.-M. Chen, Amperometric Glucose Biosensor Based on Glucose Oxidase Dispersed in Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes/Graphene Oxide Hybrid Biocomposite, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2014, **34**, 207–213.
- 165 Y. Piao, D. J. Han and T. S. Seo, Highly Conductive Graphite Nanoparticle Based Enzyme Biosensor for Electrochemical Glucose Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **194**, 454–459.
- 166 R. Devasenathipathy, V. Mani, S.-M. Chen, S.-T. Huang, T.-T. Huang, C.-M. Lin, K.-Y. Hwa, T.-Y. Chen and B.-J. Chen, Glucose Biosensor Based On Glucose Oxidase Immobilized At Gold Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene-



- Carbon Nanotubes, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2015, **78**, 40–45.
- 167 P. Nayak, P. N. Santhosh and S. Ramaprabhu, Synthesis of Au-MWCNT-Graphene Hybrid Composite for the Rapid Detection of H₂O₂ and Glucose, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 41670–41677.
- 168 T. Terse-Thakoor, K. Komori, P. Ramnani, I. Lee and A. Mulchandani, Electrochemically Functionalized Seamless Three-Dimensional Graphene-Carbon Nanotube Hybrid for Direct Electron Transfer of Glucose Oxidase and Bioelectrocatalysis, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 13054–13061.
- 169 B. Liang, L. Fang, Y. Hu, G. Yang, Q. Zhu and X. Ye, Fabrication and Application of Flexible Graphene Silk Composite Film Electrodes Decorated with Spiky Pt Nanospheres, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 4264–4274.
- 170 Y. Fang, D. Zhang, Y. Guo, Y. Guo and Q. Chen, Simple One-Pot Preparation of Chitosan-Reduced Graphene Oxide-Au Nanoparticles Hybrids for Glucose Sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **221**, 265–272.
- 171 W. Zhang, X. Li, R. Zou, H. Wu, H. Shi, S. Yu and Y. Liu, Multifunctional Glucose Biosensors from Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles Modified Chitosan/Graphene Nanocomposites, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 11129.
- 172 M. F. Hossain and J. Y. Park, Plain to Point Network Reduced Graphene Oxide-Activated Carbon Composites Decorated with Platinum Nanoparticles for Urine Glucose Detection, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 21009.
- 173 S. Palanisamy, R. Devasenathipathy, S.-M. Chen, M. Ajmal Ali, C. Karuppiah, V. Balakumar, P. Prakash, M. S. Elshikh and F. M. A. Al-Hemaid, Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase at Reduced Graphene Oxide and β -Cyclodextrin Composite Modified Electrode and Application for Glucose Biosensing, *Electroanalysis*, 2015, **27**, 2412–2420.
- 174 B. Thirumalraj, S. Palanisamy, S.-M. Chen, C.-Y. Yang, P. Periakaruppan and B.-S. Lou, Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase and Sensing of Glucose at a Glassy Carbon Electrode Modified with a Reduced Graphene Oxide/Fullerene-C₆₀ Composite, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 77651–77657.
- 175 M. Qi, Y. Zhang, C. Cao, Y. Lu and G. Liu, Increased Sensitivity of Extracellular Glucose Monitoring Based on AuNP Decorated GO Nanocomposites, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 39180–39187.
- 176 F. Tehrani and B. Bavarian, Facile and Scalable Disposable Sensor Based on Laser Engraved Graphene for Electrochemical Detection of Glucose, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 27975.
- 177 K. Vijayaraj, S. W. Hong, S.-H. Jin, S.-C. Chang and D.-S. Park, Fabrication of a Novel Disposable Glucose Biosensor Using an Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide-Glucose Oxidase Biocomposite, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 6974–6981.
- 178 D. B. T. Mascagni, C. M. Miyazaki, N. C. da Cruz, M. L. de Moraes, A. Riul and M. Ferreira, Layer-by-Layer Assembly of Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide for Direct Electrochemistry and Glucose Detection, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2016, **68**, 739–745.
- 179 S. Wu, F. Su, X. Dong, C. Ma, L. Pang, D. Peng, M. Wang, L. He and Z. Zhang, Development of Glucose Biosensors Based on Plasma Polymerization-Assisted Nanocomposites of Polyaniline, Tin Oxide, and Three-Dimensional Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **401**, 262–270.
- 180 Y. Liu, X. Zhang, D. He, F. Ma, Q. Fu and Y. Hu, An Amperometric Glucose Biosensor Based on a MnO₂/Graphene Composite Modified Electrode, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 18654–18661.
- 181 A. Halder, M. Zhang and Q. Chi, Electroactive and Biocompatible Functionalization of Graphene for The Development of Biosensing Platforms, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 764–771.
- 182 A. O. Osikoya, O. Parlak, N. A. Murugan, E. D. Dikio, H. Moloto, L. Uzun, A. P. F. Turner and A. Tiwari, Acetylene-Sourced CVD-Synthesised Catalytically Active Graphene for Electrochemical Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 496–504.
- 183 S. Pakapongpan and R. P. Poo-arporn, Self-Assembly of Glucose Oxidase on Reduced Graphene Oxide-Magnetic Nanoparticles Nanocomposite-Based Direct Electrochemistry for Reagentless Glucose Biosensor, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2017, **76**, 398–405.
- 184 J. Liu, X. Wang, T. Wang, D. Li, F. Xi, J. Wang and E. Wang, Functionalization of Monolithic and Porous Three-Dimensional Graphene by One-Step Chitosan Electrodeposition for Enzymatic Biosensor, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 19997–20002.
- 185 Y. Wang, X.-y. Liu, X. Xu, Y. Yang, L.-h. Huang, Z.-y. He, Y.-h. Xu, J.-j. Chen and Z.-s. Feng, Preparation and Characterization of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Fe₃O₄ Nanocomposite by a Facile *In situ* Deposition Method for Glucose Biosensor Applications, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2018, **101**, 340–346.
- 186 B. Çakıroğlu and M. Özacar, Tannic Acid Modified Electrochemical Biosensor for Glucose Sensing Based on Direct Electrochemistry, *Electroanalysis*, 2017, **29**, 2719–2726.
- 187 B. Akkaya, B. Çakıroğlu and M. Özacar, Tannic Acid-Reduced Graphene Oxide Deposited with Pt Nanoparticles for Switchable Bioelectronics and Biosensors Based on Direct Electrochemistry, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 3805–3814.
- 188 R. M. Ianniello, T. J. Lindsay and A. M. Yacynych, Differential Pulse Voltammetric Study of Direct Electron Transfer in Glucose Oxidase Chemically Modified Graphite Electrodes, *Anal. Chem.*, 1982, **54**, 1098–1101.
- 189 G. S. Wilson, Native Glucose Oxidase does not Undergo Direct Electron Transfer, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **82**, 7–8.
- 190 B. Liang, X. Guo, L. Fang, Y. Hu, G. Yang, Q. Zhu, J. Wei and X. Ye, Study of Direct Electron Transfer and Enzyme Activity of Glucose Oxidase on Graphene Surface, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2015, **50**, 1–5.



- 191 E. Laviron, General Expression of the Linear Potential Sweep Voltammogram in the Case Of Diffusionless Electrochemical Systems, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1979, **101**, 19–28.
- 192 C. Shan, H. Yang, J. Song, D. Han, A. Ivaska and L. Niu, Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase and Biosensing for Glucose Based on Graphene, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 2378–2382.
- 193 M. Guler, V. Turkoglu and M. R. Kivanc, A Novel Enzymatic Glucose Biosensor and Nonenzymatic Hydrogen Peroxide Sensor Based on (3-Aminopropyl) Triethoxysilane Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Electroanalysis*, 2017, **29**, 2507–2515.
- 194 B. Unnikrishnan, S. Palanisamy and S.-M. Chen, A Simple Electrochemical Approach to Fabricate a Glucose Biosensor Based on Graphene–Glucose Oxidase Biocomposite, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **39**, 70–75.
- 195 Y. Ravenna, L. Xia, J. Gun, A. A. Mikhaylov, A. G. Medvedev, O. Lev and L. Alfonta, Biocomposite Based on Reduced Graphene Oxide Film Modified with Phenothiazone and Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide-Dependent Glucose Dehydrogenase for Glucose Sensing and Biofuel Cell Applications, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 9567–9571.
- 196 J. Liu, N. Kong, A. Li, X. Luo, L. Cui, R. Wang and S. Feng, Graphene Bridged Enzyme Electrodes for Glucose Biosensing Application, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 2567–2575.
- 197 X. Zhang, Q. Liao, M. Chu, S. Liu and Y. Zhang, Structure Effect on Graphene-Modified Enzyme Electrode Glucose Sensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **52**, 281–287.
- 198 J. Guo, T. Zhang, C. Hu and L. Fu, A Three-Dimensional Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Structure: A Highly Efficient Carrier of Enzymes for Biosensors, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 1290–1295.
- 199 D. Manoj, K. Theyagarajan, D. Saravanakumar, S. Senthilkumar and K. Thenmozhi, Aldehyde Functionalized Ionic Liquid on Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide as a Versatile Platform for Covalent Immobilization of Biomolecules and Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **103**, 104–112.
- 200 G. Bharath, R. Madhu, S.-M. Chen, V. Veeramani, A. Balamurugan, D. Mangalaraj, C. Viswanathan and N. Ponpandian, Enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Biosensors by Mesoporous 1D Hydroxyapatite-on-2D Reduced Graphene Oxide, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**, 1360–1370.
- 201 H. Al-Sagur, S. Komathi, M. A. Khan, A. G. Gurek and A. Hassan, A Novel Glucose Sensor Using Lutetium Phthalocyanine as Redox Mediator in Reduced Graphene Oxide Conducting Polymer Multifunctional Hydrogel, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **92**, 638–645.
- 202 K. T. Nguyen and Y. Zhao, Integrated Graphene/Nanoparticle Hybrids for Biological and Electronic Applications, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 6245–6266.
- 203 J. Lu, I. Do, L. T. Drzal, R. M. Worden and I. Lee, Nanometal-Decorated Exfoliated Graphite Nanoplatelet Based Glucose Biosensors with High Sensitivity and Fast Response, *ACS Nano*, 2008, **2**, 1825–1832.
- 204 L. Jin, Z. Meng, Y. Zhang, S. Cai, Z. Zhang, C. Li, L. Shang and Y. Shen, Ultrasmall Pt Nanoclusters as Robust Peroxidase Mimics for Colorimetric Detection of Glucose in Human Serum, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 10027–10033.
- 205 Q. Wang, X. Cui, J. Chen, X. Zheng, C. Liu, T. Xue, H. Wang, Z. Jin, L. Qiao and W. Zheng, Well-Dispersed Palladium Nanoparticles on Graphene Oxide as a Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 6245–6249.
- 206 J. Luo, S. Jiang, H. Zhang, J. Jiang and X. Liu, A Novel Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based On Cu Nanoparticle Modified Graphene Sheets Electrode, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **709**, 47–53.
- 207 S. Palanisamy, A. E. Vilian and S.-M. Chen, Direct Electrochemistry of Glucose Oxidase at Reduced Graphene Oxide/Zinc Oxide Composite Modified Electrode for Glucose Sensor, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2012, **7**, 2153–2163.
- 208 Y. Zhao, W. Li, L. Pan, D. Zhai, Y. Wang, L. Li, W. Cheng, W. Yin, X. Wang, J.-B. Xu and Y. Shi, ZnO-Nanorods/Graphene Heterostructure: A Direct Electron Transfer Glucose Biosensor, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 32327.
- 209 J. Song, L. Xu, C. Zhou, R. Xing, Q. Dai, D. Liu and H. Song, Synthesis of Graphene Oxide Based CuO Nanoparticles Composite Electrode for Highly Enhanced Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 12928–12934.
- 210 B. Yuan, C. Xu, D. Deng, Y. Xing, L. Liu, H. Pang and D. Zhang, Graphene Oxide/Nickel Oxide Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode for Supercapacitor and Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **88**, 708–712.
- 211 H. Teymourian, A. Salimi and S. Khezrian, Fe₃O₄ Magnetic Nanoparticles/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanosheets as a Novel Electrochemical and Bioelectrochemical Sensing Platform, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **49**, 1–8.
- 212 Q. Wang, X. Zhang, L. Huang, Z. Zhang and S. Dong, One-Pot Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticle Loaded 3D Porous Graphene Nanocomposites with Enhanced Nanozyme Activity for Glucose Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 7465–7471.
- 213 A. Devadoss, P. Sudhagar, S. Das, S. Y. Lee, C. Terashima, K. Nakata, A. Fujishima, W. Choi, Y. S. Kang and U. Paik, Synergistic Metal–Metal Oxide Nanoparticles Supported Electrocatalytic Graphene for Improved Photoelectrochemical Glucose Oxidation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 4864–4871.
- 214 J. T. Holland, C. Lau, S. Brozik, P. Atanassov and S. Banta, Engineering of Glucose Oxidase for Direct Electron Transfer *via* Site-Specific Gold Nanoparticle Conjugation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19262–19265.
- 215 O. Yehezkeli, R. Tel-Vered, S. Raichlin and I. Willner, Nano-Engineered Flavin-Dependent Glucose Dehydrogenase/Gold Nanoparticle-Modified Electrodes for Glucose Sensing and Biofuel Cell Applications, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 2385–2391.



- 216 Y. Xiao, F. Patolsky, E. Katz, J. F. Hainfeld and I. Willner, "Plugging into Enzymes": Nanowiring of Redox Enzymes by a Gold Nanoparticle, *Science*, 2003, **299**, 1877–1881.
- 217 X. Wang and X. Zhang, Electrochemical Co-Reduction Synthesis of Graphene/Nano-Gold Composites and its Application to Electrochemical Glucose Biosensor, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **112**, 774–782.
- 218 J. C. Claussen, A. Kumar, D. B. Jaroch, M. H. Khawaja, A. B. Hibbard, D. M. Porterfield and T. S. Fisher, Nanostructuring Platinum Nanoparticles on Multilayered Graphene Petal Nanosheets for Electrochemical Biosensing, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3399–3405.
- 219 A. Zaleska-Medynska, M. Marchelek, M. Diak and E. Grabowska, Noble Metal-Based Bimetallic Nanoparticles: The Effect of the Structure on the Optical, Catalytic and Photocatalytic Properties, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, **229**, 80–107.
- 220 S. Park, H. Boo and T. D. Chung, Electrochemical Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensors, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **556**, 46–57.
- 221 G. Zoldák, A. Zubrik, A. Musatov, M. Stupák and E. Sedlák, Irreversible Thermal Denaturation of Glucose Oxidase from *Aspergillus niger* is the Transition to the Denatured State with Residual Structure, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2004, **279**, 47601–47609.
- 222 K. Tian, M. Prestgard and A. Tiwari, A Review of Recent Advances in Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensors, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2014, **41**, 100–118.
- 223 (a) S. Y. Tee, C. P. Teng and E. Ye, Metal Nanostructures for Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2017, **70**, 1018–1030; (b) S. A. Zaidi and J. H. Shin, Recent Developments in Nanostructure Based Electrochemical Glucose Sensors, *Talanta*, 2016, **149**, 30–42.
- 224 T. Deepalakshmi, D. T. Tran, N. H. Kim, K. T. Chong and J. H. Lee, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene-Encapsulated Nickel Cobalt Nitride as a Highly Sensitive and Selective Electrode for Glucose and Hydrogen Peroxide Sensing Applications, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 35847–35858.
- 225 L. T. Hoa, K. G. Sun and S. H. Hur, Highly Sensitive Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Pt Nanoparticle Decorated Graphene Oxide Hydrogel, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **210**, 618–623.
- 226 D. Jiang, Q. Liu, K. Wang, J. Qian, X. Dong, Z. Yang, X. Du and B. Qiu, Enhanced Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing Based on Copper Nanoparticles Decorated Nitrogen-Doped Graphene, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **54**, 273–278.
- 227 L. Ju, G. Wu, B. Lu, X. Li, H. Wu and A. Liu, Non-Enzymatic Amperometric Glucose Sensor Based on Copper Nanowires Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Electroanalysis*, 2016, **28**, 2543–2551.
- 228 N. S. K. Gowthaman, M. A. Raj and S. A. John, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene as a Robust Scaffold for the Homogeneous Deposition of Copper Nanostructures: A Nonenzymatic Disposable Glucose Sensor, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 1648–1658.
- 229 H. Gao, F. Xiao, C. B. Ching and H. Duan, One-Step Electrochemical Synthesis of PtNi Nanoparticle-Graphene Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Amperometric Glucose Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2011, **3**, 3049–3057.
- 230 Q. Sheng, D. Liu and J. Zheng, NiCo Alloy Nanoparticles Anchored on Polypyrrole/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, **40**, 6658–6665.
- 231 Y.-W. Hsu, T.-K. Hsu, C.-L. Sun, Y.-T. Nien, N.-W. Pu and M.-D. Ger, Synthesis of CuO/Graphene Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Biosensor Applications, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **82**, 152–157.
- 232 X.-C. Dong, H. Xu, X.-W. Wang, Y.-X. Huang, M. B. Chan-Park, H. Zhang, L.-H. Wang, W. Huang and P. Chen, 3D Graphene-Cobalt Oxide Electrode for High-Performance Supercapacitor and Enzymeless Glucose Detection, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 3206–3213.
- 233 X. Wang, X. Dong, Y. Wen, C. Li, Q. Xiong and P. Chen, A Graphene-Cobalt Oxide Based Needle Electrode for Non-Enzymatic Glucose Detection in Micro-Droplets, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6490–6492.
- 234 F. Xiao, Y. Li, H. Gao, S. Ge and H. Duan, Growth of Coral-Like PtAu-MnO₂ Binary Nanocomposites on Free-Standing Graphene Paper for Flexible Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **41**, 417–423.
- 235 M. Liu, R. Liu and W. Chen, Graphene Wrapped Cu₂O Nanocubes: Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Sensors for the Detection of Glucose and Hydrogen Peroxide with Enhanced Stability, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **45**, 206–212.
- 236 Y. He and J. Zheng, One-Pot Ultrasonic-Electrodeposition of Copper-Graphene Nanoflowers in Ethaline for Glucose Sensing, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, **5**, 767–772.
- 237 G.-h. Wu, X.-h. Song, Y.-F. Wu, X.-m. Chen, F. Luo and X. Chen, Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Platinum Nanoflowers Supported on Graphene Oxide, *Talanta*, 2013, **105**, 379–385.
- 238 P. Si, X.-C. Dong, P. Chen and D.-H. Kim, A Hierarchically Structured Composite of Mn₃O₄/3D Graphene Foam for Flexible Nonenzymatic Biosensors, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**, 110–115.
- 239 K. Dhara, J. Stanley, T. Ramachandran, B. G. Nair and T. G. S. Babu, Pt-CuO Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide for the Fabrication of Highly Sensitive Non-Enzymatic Disposable Glucose Sensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **195**, 197–205.
- 240 P. Subramanian, J. Niedziolka-Jonsson, A. Lesniewski, Q. Wang, M. Li, R. Boukherroub and S. Szunerits, Preparation of Reduced Graphene Oxide-Ni(OH)₂ Composites by Electrophoretic Deposition: Application for Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 5525–5533.
- 241 M. Li, X. Bo, Y. Zhang, C. Han and L. Guo, One-Pot Ionic Liquid-Assisted Synthesis of Highly Dispersed PtPd Nanoparticles/Reduced Graphene Oxide Composites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **56**, 223–230.



- 242 B. Zhan, C. Liu, H. Chen, H. Shi, L. Wang, P. Chen, W. Huang and X. Dong, Free-Standing Electrochemical Electrode Based on Ni(OH)₂/3D Graphene Foam for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 7424–7429.
- 243 Y. Hu, F. He, A. Ben and C. Chen, Synthesis of Hollow Pt–Ni–Graphene Nanostructures for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2014, **726**, 55–61.
- 244 T. Alizadeh and S. Mirzaghilipour, A Nafion-Free Non-Enzymatic Amperometric Glucose Sensor Based on Copper Oxide Nanoparticles–Graphene Nanocomposite, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **198**, 438–447.
- 245 M. Yuan, A. Liu, M. Zhao, W. Dong, T. Zhao, J. Wang and W. Tang, Bimetallic PdCu Nanoparticle Decorated Three-Dimensional Graphene Hydrogel for Non-Enzymatic Amperometric Glucose Sensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **190**, 707–714.
- 246 N. S. Ismail, Q. H. Le, H. Yoshikawa, M. Saito and E. Tamiya, Development of Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Graphene Oxide Nanoribbon–Gold Nanoparticle Hybrid, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **146**, 98–105.
- 247 W. Gao, W. W. Tjiu, J. Wei and T. Liu, Highly Sensitive Nonenzymatic Glucose and H₂O₂ Sensor Based on Ni(OH)₂/Electroreduced Graphene Oxide–Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube Film Modified Glass Carbon Electrode, *Talanta*, 2014, **120**, 484–490.
- 248 Y. Ye, P. Wang, E. Dai, J. Liu, Z. Tian, C. Liang and G. Shao, A Novel Reduction Approach to Fabricate Quantum-Sized SnO₂-Conjugated Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites as Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensors, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 8801–8807.
- 249 Z. Liu, Y. Guo and C. Dong, A High Performance Nonenzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Polyvinylpyrrolidone–Graphene Nanosheets–Nickel Nanoparticles–Chitosan Nanocomposite, *Talanta*, 2015, **137**, 87–93.
- 250 K. Dhara, T. Ramachandran, B. G. Nair and T. G. Satheesh Babu, Single Step Synthesis of Au–CuO Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide for High Performance Disposable Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **743**, 1–9.
- 251 S. Fu, G. Fan, L. Yang and F. Li, Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Au Nanoparticles Decorated Ternary Ni–Al Layered Double Hydroxide/Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes/Graphene Nanocomposite, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **152**, 146–154.
- 252 Y. Tian, Y. Liu, W.-p. Wang, X. Zhang and W. Peng, CuO Nanoparticles on Sulfur-Doped Graphene for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **156**, 244–251.
- 253 S. Yang, L. Liu, G. Wang, G. Li, D. Deng and L. Qu, One-Pot Synthesis of Mn₃O₄ Nanoparticles Decorated with Nitrogen-Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide for Sensitive Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **755**, 15–21.
- 254 X. Zhang, Q. Liao, S. Liu, W. Xu, Y. Liu and Y. Zhang, CuNiO Nanoparticles Assembled on Graphene as an Effective Platform for Enzyme-Free Glucose Sensing, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **858**, 49–54.
- 255 G. Chang, H. Shu, Q. Huang, M. Oyama, K. Ji, X. Liu and Y. He, Synthesis of Highly Dispersed Pt Nanoclusters Anchored Graphene Composites and Their Application for Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **157**, 149–157.
- 256 Q. Wang, Q. Wang, M. Li, S. Szunerits and R. Boukherroub, Preparation of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Cu Nanoparticle Composites Through Electrophoretic Deposition: Application for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 15861–15869.
- 257 L. Wang, X. Lu, C. Wen, Y. Xie, L. Miao, S. Chen, H. Li, P. Li and Y. Song, One-Step Synthesis of Pt–NiO Nanoplate Array/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 608–616.
- 258 M. Wu, S. Meng, Q. Wang, W. Si, W. Huang and X. Dong, Nickel–Cobalt Oxide Decorated Three-Dimensional Graphene as an Enzyme Mimic for Glucose and Calcium Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 21089–21094.
- 259 X. Zhuang, C. Tian, F. Luan, X. Wu and L. Chen, One-Step Electrochemical Fabrication of a Nickel Oxide Nanoparticle/Polyaniline Nanowire/Graphene Oxide Hybrid on a Glassy Carbon Electrode for use as a Non-Enzymatic Glucose Biosensor, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 92541–92546.
- 260 Z. Yang, X. Yan, Z. Li, X. Zheng and J. Zheng, Synthesis of Cu₂O on ALOOH/Reduced Graphene Oxide for Non-Enzymatic Amperometric Glucose Sensing, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 1527–1531.
- 261 G. Ma, M. Yang, C. Li, H. Tan, L. Deng, S. Xie, F. Xu, L. Wang and Y. Song, Preparation of Spinel Nickel–Cobalt Oxide Nanowrinkles/Reduced Graphene Oxide Hybrid for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection at Physiological Level, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **220**, 545–553.
- 262 S. Pourbeyram and K. Mehdizadeh, Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on Disposable Pencil Graphite Electrode Modified by Copper Nanoparticles, *J. Food Drug Anal.*, 2016, **24**, 894–902.
- 263 S. Liu, K. S. Hui and K. N. Hui, Flower-Like Copper Cobaltite Nanosheets on Graphite Paper as High-Performance Supercapacitor Electrodes and Enzymeless Glucose Sensors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 3258–3267.
- 264 C. Wang, Y. Sun, X. Yu, D. Ma, J. Zheng, P. Dou, Z. Cao and X. Xu, Ag–Pt Hollow Nanoparticles Anchored Reduced Graphene Oxide Composites for Non-Enzymatic Glucose Biosensor, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2016, **27**, 9370–9378.
- 265 J. Yang, H. Ye, Z. Zhang, F. Zhao and B. Zeng, Metal–Organic Framework Derived Hollow Polyhedron CuCo₂O₄ Functionalized Porous Graphene for Sensitive Glucose Sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **242**, 728–735.



- 266 S. Samuei, J. Fakkar, Z. Rezvani, A. Shomali and B. Habibi, Synthesis and Characterization of Graphene Quantum Dots/CoNiAl-Layered Double-Hydroxide Nanocomposite: Application as a Glucose Sensor, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2017, **521**, 31–39.
- 267 A. I. Gopalan, N. Muthuchamy and K. P. Lee, A Novel Bismuth Oxide-Graphene Hybrid Nanosheets Based Non-Enzymatic Photoelectrochemical Glucose Sensing Platform for High Performances, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 352–360.
- 268 T. D. Thanh, J. Balamurugan, S. H. Lee, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Effective Seed-Assisted Synthesis of Gold Nanoparticles Anchored Nitrogen-Doped Graphene for Electrochemical Detection of Glucose and Dopamine, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **81**, 259–267.
- 269 N. Karikalan, R. Karthik, S.-M. Chen, C. Karuppiyah and A. Elangovan, Sonochemical Synthesis of Sulfur Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide Supported CuS Nanoparticles for the Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Applications, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 2494.
- 270 H. Rao, Z. Zhang, H. Ge, X. Liu, P. Zou, X. Wang and Y. Wang, Enhanced Amperometric Sensing Using a NiCo₂O₄/Nitrogen-Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide/Ionic Liquid Ternary Composite for Enzyme-Free Detection of Glucose, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 3667–3676.
- 271 P. Balasubramanian, M. Velmurugan, S.-M. Chen and K.-Y. Hwa, Optimized Electrochemical Synthesis of Copper Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide: Application for Enzymeless Determination of Glucose in Human Blood, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **807**, 128–136.
- 272 N. Hui and J. Wang, Electrodeposited Honeycomb-Like Cobalt Nanostructures on Graphene Oxide Doped Polypyrrole Nanocomposite for High Performance Enzymeless Glucose Sensing, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **798**, 9–16.
- 273 M. Mazaheri, H. Aashuri and A. Simchi, Three-Dimensional Hybrid Graphene/Nickel Electrodes on Zinc Oxide Nanorod Arrays as Non-Enzymatic Glucose Biosensors, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **251**, 462–471.
- 274 S. Yang, G. Li, D. Wang, Z. Qiao and L. Qu, Synthesis of Nanoneedle-Like Copper Oxide on N-Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide: A Three-Dimensional Hybrid for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **238**, 588–595.
- 275 H. Wu, Y. Yu, W. Gao, A. Gao, A. M. Qasim, F. Zhang, J. Wang, K. Ding, G. Wu and P. K. Chu, Nickel Plasma Modification of Graphene for High-Performance Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **251**, 842–850.
- 276 X. Yan, Y. Gu, C. Li, B. Zheng, Y. Li, T. Zhang, Z. Zhang and M. Yang, A Non-Enzymatic Glucose Sensor Based on the CuS Nanoflakes-Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *Anal. Methods*, 2018, **10**, 381–388.
- 277 K. J. Babu, S. Sheet, Y. S. Lee and G. G. Kumar, Three-Dimensional Dendrite Cu-Co/Reduced Graphene Oxide Architectures on a Disposable Pencil Graphite Electrode as an Electrochemical Sensor for Nonenzymatic Glucose Detection, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 1909–1918.
- 278 L. Jothi, N. Jayakumar, S. K. Jaganathan and G. Nageswaran, Ultrasensitive and Selective Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Glucose Sensor Based on Hybrid Material of Graphene Nanosheets/Graphene Nanoribbons/Nickel Nanoparticle, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 2018, **98**, 300–307.
- 279 Q. Zhang, Q. Luo, Z. Qin, L. Liu, Z. Wu, B. Shen and W. Hu, Self-Assembly of Graphene-Encapsulated Cu Composites for Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensing, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 3420–3428.
- 280 M. Li, X. Bo, Z. Mu, Y. Zhang and L. Guo, Electrodeposition of Nickel Oxide and Platinum Nanoparticles on Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide Film as a Nonenzymatic Glucose Sensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **192**, 261–268.
- 281 L. T. Hoa, J. S. Chung and S. H. Hur, A Highly Sensitive Enzyme-Free Glucose Sensor Based on Co₃O₄ Nanoflowers and 3D Graphene Oxide Hydrogel Fabricated via Hydrothermal Synthesis, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **223**, 76–82.
- 282 A. Ahmadlinezhad and A. Chen, High-Performance Electrochemical Biosensor for the Detection of Total Cholesterol, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2011, **26**, 4508–4513.
- 283 A. N. Sekretaryova, V. Beni, M. Eriksson, A. A. Karyakin, A. P. F. Turner and M. Y. Vagin, Cholesterol Self-Powered Biosensor, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 9540–9547.
- 284 R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, Redox-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Architecture for the Development of Amperometric Biosensing Platform, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 4791–4798.
- 285 Y.-J. Lee and J.-Y. Park, Nonenzymatic Free-Cholesterol Detection via a Modified Highly Sensitive Macroporous Gold Electrode with Platinum Nanoparticles, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2010, **26**, 1353–1358.
- 286 U. Saxena and A. B. Das, Nanomaterials Towards Fabrication of Cholesterol Biosensors: Key Roles and Design Approaches, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **75**, 196–205.
- 287 R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, Development of an Amperometric Cholesterol Biosensor Based on Graphene–Pt Nanoparticle Hybrid Material, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 21427–21433.
- 288 R. Manjunatha, G. Shivappa Suresh, J. Savio Melo, S. F. D'Souza and T. Venkatarangaiah Venkatesha, An Amperometric Bionzymatic Cholesterol Biosensor Based on Functionalized Graphene Modified Electrode and its Electrocatalytic Activity Towards Total Cholesterol Determination, *Talanta*, 2012, **99**, 302–309.
- 289 M. Zhang, R. Yuan, Y. Chai, S. Chen, X. Zhong, H. Zhong and C. Wang, A Cathodic Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence Biosensor Based on Luminol and Hemin-Graphene Nanosheets for Cholesterol Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 4639–4641.
- 290 M. Zhang, R. Yuan, Y. Chai, C. Wang and X. Wu, Cerium Oxide-Graphene as the Matrix for Cholesterol Sensor, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2013, **436**, 69–74.



- 291 S. Cao, L. Zhang, Y. Chai and R. Yuan, An Integrated Sensing System for Detection of Cholesterol Based on TiO₂-Graphene-Pt-Pd Hybrid Nanocomposites, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **42**, 532–538.
- 292 S. Cao, L. Zhang, Y. Chai and R. Yuan, Electrochemistry of Cholesterol Biosensor Based on a Novel Pt-Pd Bimetallic Nanoparticle Decorated Graphene Catalyst, *Talanta*, 2013, **109**, 167–172.
- 293 X. Wu, Y. Chai, R. Yuan, X. Zhong and J. Zhang, Synthesis of Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes-Graphene Oxide-Thionine-Au Nanocomposites for Electrochemiluminescence Detection of Cholesterol, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **129**, 441–449.
- 294 N. Ruecha, R. Rangkupan, N. Rodthongkum and O. Chailapakul, Novel Paper-Based Cholesterol Biosensor Using Graphene/Polyvinylpyrrolidone/Polyaniline Nanocomposite, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **52**, 13–19.
- 295 O. Parlak, A. Tiwari, A. P. F. Turner and A. Tiwari, Template-Directed Hierarchical Self-Assembly of Graphene Based Hybrid Structure for Electrochemical Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **49**, 53–62.
- 296 R. S. Dey and C. R. Raj, Enzyme-integrated cholesterol biosensing scaffold based on *in situ* synthesized reduced graphene oxide and dendritic Pd nanostructure, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **62**, 357–364.
- 297 M. B. Gholivand and M. Khodadadian, Amperometric Cholesterol Biosensor Based on the Direct Electrochemistry of Cholesterol Oxidase and Catalase on a Graphene/Ionic Liquid-Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **53**, 472–478.
- 298 Z. Li, C. Xie, J. Wang, A. Meng and F. Zhang, Direct Electrochemistry of Cholesterol Oxidase Immobilized on Chitosan-Graphene and Cholesterol Sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **208**, 505–511.
- 299 Q. Wu, Y. Hou, M. Zhang, X. Hou, L. Xu, N. Wang, J. Wang and W. Huang, Amperometric Cholesterol Biosensor Based on Zinc Oxide Films on a Silver Nanowire-Graphene Oxide Modified Electrode, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 1806–1812.
- 300 L. Yang, H. Zhao, Y. Li, X. Ran, G. Deng, Y. Zhang, H. Ye, G. Zhao and C.-P. Li, Indicator Displacement Assay for Cholesterol Electrochemical Sensing Using a Calix[6]arene Functionalized Graphene-Modified Electrode, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 270–278.
- 301 L. Xu, Y. Hou, M. Zhang, T. Cheng, W. Huang, C. Yao and Q. Wu, Electrochemical Sensor Based on a Silver Nanowires Modified Electrode for the Determination of Cholesterol, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, **7**, 5649–5653.
- 302 J. Huan, Q. Liu, A. Fei, J. Qian, X. Dong, B. Qiu, H. Mao and K. Wang, Amplified Solid-State Electrochemiluminescence Detection of Cholesterol in Near-Infrared Range Based on CDTE Quantum Dots Decorated Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes@Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanoribbons, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **73**, 221–227.
- 303 S. Abraham, S. Srivastava, V. Kumar, S. Pandey, P. K. Rastogi, N. R. Nirala, S. Kashyap, S. K. Srivastava, V. N. Singh, V. Ganesan, P. S. Saxena and A. Srivastava, Enhanced Electrochemical Biosensing Efficiency of Silica Particles Supported on Partially Reduced Graphene Oxide for Sensitive Detection of Cholesterol, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **757**, 65–72.
- 304 A. Rengaraj, Y. Haldorai, C. H. Kwak, S. Ahn, K.-J. Jeon, S. H. Park, Y.-K. Han and Y. S. Huh, Electrodeposition of Flower-Like Nickel Oxide on CVD-Grown Graphene to Develop an Electrochemical Non-Enzymatic Biosensor, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, **3**, 6301–6309.
- 305 S. Wu, Y. Wang, H. Mao, C. Wang, L. Xia, Y. Zhang, H. Ge and X.-M. Song, Direct Electrochemistry of Cholesterol Oxidase and Biosensing of Cholesterol Based on PSS/Polymeric Ionic Liquid-Graphene Nanocomposite, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 59487–59496.
- 306 K. Pramanik, P. Sarkar, D. Bhattacharyay and P. Majumdar, One Step Electrode Fabrication for Direct Electron Transfer Cholesterol Biosensor Based on Composite of Polypyrrole, Green Reduced Graphene Oxide and Cholesterol Oxidase, *Electroanalysis*, 2018, **30**, 2719–2730.
- 307 S. Komathi, N. Muthuchamy, K. P. Lee and A. I. Gopalan, Fabrication of a Novel Dual Mode Cholesterol Biosensor Using Titanium Dioxide Nanowire Bridged 3D Graphene Nanostacks, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **84**, 64–71.
- 308 X. Du, D. Jiang, S. Chen, L. Dai, L. Zhou, N. Hao, T. You, H. Mao and K. Wang, CeO₂ Nanocrystallines Ensemble-on-Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Nanocomposites: One-Pot, Rapid Synthesis and Excellent Electrocatalytic Activity for Enzymatic Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 681–688.
- 309 Y. Huang, J. Tan, L. Cui, Z. Zhou, S. Zhou, Z. Zhang, R. Zheng, Y. Xue, M. Zhang, S. Li, N. Zhu, J. Liang, G. Li, L. Zhong and Y. Zhao, Graphene and Au NPs co-Mediated Enzymatic Silver Deposition for the Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Detection of Cholesterol, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **102**, 560–567.
- 310 S. Karimi, H. Ghourchian, P. Rahimi and H.-A. Rafiee-Pour, A Nanocomposite Based Biosensor for Cholesterol Determination, *Anal. Methods*, 2012, **4**, 3225–3231.
- 311 N. Agnihotri, A. D. Chowdhury and A. De, Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Detection of Cholesterol Using β -Cyclodextrin Functionalized Graphene, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **63**, 212–217.
- 312 G. B. V. S. Lakshmi, A. Sharma, P. R. Solanki and D. K. Avasthi, Mesoporous Polyaniline Nanofiber Decorated Graphene Micro-Flowers for Enzyme-Less Cholesterol Biosensors, *Nanotechnology*, 2016, **27**, 345101.
- 313 S. Alexander, P. Baraneedharan, S. Balasubrahmanyam and S. Ramaprabhu, Modified Graphene Based Molecular Imprinted Polymer for Electrochemical Non-Enzymatic Cholesterol Biosensor, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2017, **86**, 106–116.
- 314 W. Chen, S. Cai, Q.-Q. Ren, W. Wen and Y.-D. Zhao, Recent Advances in Electrochemical Sensing for Hydrogen Peroxide: A Review, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 49–58.
- 315 B. Hou, H. Liu, S. Qi, Y. Zhu, B. Zhou, X. Jiang and L. Zhu, Preparation of Pristine Graphene in Ethanol Assisted by Organic Salts for Nonenzymatic Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **510**, 103–110.
- 316 Z. Yu, L. Zou, Y. Chen and J. Jiang, (Pc)Eu(Pc)Eu[trans-T(COOCH₃)₂PP]/GO Hybrid Film-Based Nonenzymatic



- H₂O₂ Electrochemical Sensor with Excellent Performance, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 30398–30406.
- 317 R. Mohammad-Rezaei and H. Razmi, Reduced Graphene Oxide|Carbon Ceramic Electrode Modified with CdS-Hemoglobin as a Sensitive Hydrogen Peroxide Biosensor, *Electroanalysis*, 2012, **24**, 2094–2101.
- 318 W. Sun, X. Ju, Y. Zhang, X. Sun, G. Li and Z. Sun, Application of Carboxyl Functionalized Graphene Oxide as Mimetic Peroxidase for Sensitive Voltammetric Detection of H₂O₂ with 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2013, **26**, 113–116.
- 319 S. K. Jha, C. N. Kumar, R. P. Raj, N. S. Jha and S. Mohan, Synthesis of 3D Porous CeO₂/Reduced Graphene Oxide Xerogel Composite and Low Level Detection of H₂O₂, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **120**, 308–313.
- 320 F. Xu, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, Y. Shi, Z. Wen and Z. Li, Graphene–Pt Nanocomposite for Nonenzymatic Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide with Enhanced Sensitivity, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2011, **13**, 1131–1134.
- 321 X. Dong, Y. Ma, G. Zhu, Y. Huang, J. Wang, M. B. Chan-Park, L. Wang, W. Huang and P. Chen, Synthesis of Graphene–Carbon Nanotube Hybrid Foam and its use as a Novel Three-Dimensional Electrode for Electrochemical Sensing, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 17044–17048.
- 322 F. Xiao, Y. Li, X. Zan, K. Liao, R. Xu and H. Duan, Growth of Metal-Metal Oxide Nanostructures on Freestanding Graphene Paper for Flexible Biosensors, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 2487–2494.
- 323 F. Xiao, J. Song, H. Gao, X. Zan, R. Xu and H. Duan, Coating Graphene Paper with 2D-Assembly of Electrocatalytic Nanoparticles: A Modular Approach toward High-Performance Flexible Electrodes, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 100–110.
- 324 J.-H. Yang, N. Myoung and H.-G. Hong, Facile and Controllable Synthesis of Prussian Blue on Chitosan-Functionalized Graphene Nanosheets for the Electrochemical Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **81**, 37–43.
- 325 L. Zhong, S. Gan, X. Fu, F. Li, D. Han, L. Guo and L. Niu, Electrochemically Controlled Growth of Silver Nanocrystals on Graphene Thin Film and Applications for Efficient Nonenzymatic H₂O₂ Biosensor, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **89**, 222–228.
- 326 N. Zhu, S. Han, S. Gan, J. Ulstrup and Q. Chi, Graphene Paper Doped with Chemically Compatible Prussian Blue Nanoparticles as Nanohybrid Electrocatalyst, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 5297–5306.
- 327 P. Zhang, X. Zhang, S. Zhang, X. Lu, Q. Li, Z. Su and G. Wei, One-Pot Green Synthesis, Characterizations, and Biosensor Application of Self-Assembled Reduced Graphene Oxide–Gold Nanoparticle Hybrid Membranes, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**, 6525–6531.
- 328 H. Teymourian, A. Salimi, S. Firoozi, A. Korani and S. Soltanian, One-Pot Hydrothermal Synthesis of Zirconium Dioxide Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite as High Performance Electrochemical Sensing and Biosensing Platform, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **143**, 196–206.
- 329 Q. Wang, M. Li, S. Szunerits and R. Boukherroub, Environmentally Friendly Reduction of Graphene Oxide Using Tyrosine for Nonenzymatic Amperometric H₂O₂ Detection, *Electroanalysis*, 2014, **26**, 156–163.
- 330 S. K. Maji, S. Sreejith, A. K. Mandal, X. Ma and Y. Zhao, Immobilizing Gold Nanoparticles in Mesoporous Silica Covered Reduced Graphene Oxide: A Hybrid Material for Cancer Cell Detection through Hydrogen Peroxide Sensing, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 13648–13656.
- 331 M. R. Mahmoudian, Y. Alias, W. J. Basirun, P. M. Woi and M. Sookhakian, Facile Preparation of MnO₂ Nanotubes/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite for Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **201**, 526–534.
- 332 B. Wu, N. Zhao, S. Hou and C. Zhang, Electrochemical Synthesis of Polypyrrole, Reduced Graphene Oxide, and Gold Nanoparticles Composite and its Application to Hydrogen Peroxide Biosensor, *Nanomaterials*, 2016, **6**, 220.
- 333 Y. Sun, K. He, Z. Zhang, A. Zhou and H. Duan, Real-Time Electrochemical Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide Secretion in Live Cells by Pt Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene–Carbon Nanotube Hybrid Paper Electrode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **68**, 358–364.
- 334 Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Ji, S. Liu and T. Zhang, Synthesis of Ag Nanoparticle–Carbon Nanotube–Reduced Graphene Oxide Hybrids for Highly Sensitive Non-Enzymatic Hydrogen Peroxide Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 39037–39041.
- 335 J. Liu, X. Bo, Z. Zhao and L. Guo, Highly Exposed Pt Nanoparticles Supported on Porous Graphene for Electrochemical Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide in Living Cells, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **74**, 71–77.
- 336 M. Zhang, A. Halder, C. Hou, J. Ulstrup and Q. Chi, Free-Standing and Flexible Graphene Papers as Disposable Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Sensors, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2016, **109**, 87–94.
- 337 H. Liu, K. Guo, C. Duan, X. Dong and J. Gao, Hollow TiO₂ Modified Reduced Graphene Oxide Microspheres Encapsulating Hemoglobin for a Mediator-Free Biosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 473–479.
- 338 V. Sharma and S. M. Mobin, Cytocompatible Peroxidase Mimic CuO:Graphene Nanosphere Composite as Colorimetric Dual Sensor for Hydrogen Peroxide and Cholesterol With its Logic Gate Implementation, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **240**, 338–348.
- 339 Y. Zhao, D. Huo, J. Bao, M. Yang, M. Chen, J. Hou, H. Fa and C. Hou, Biosensor Based on 3D Graphene-Supported Fe₃O₄ Quantum Dots as Biomimetic Enzyme for *In situ* Detection of H₂O₂ Released from Living Cells, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **244**, 1037–1044.
- 340 B. Dinesh, V. Mani, R. Saraswathi and S.-M. Chen, Direct electrochemistry of cytochrome c immobilized on a graphene oxide–carbon nanotube composite for picomolar detection of hydrogen peroxide, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 28229–28237.



- 341 E. Zor, M. E. Saglam, I. Akin, A. O. Saf, H. Bingol and M. Ersoz, Green Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide/Nanopolypyrrole Composite: Characterization and H₂O₂ Determination in Urine, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 12457–12466.
- 342 M. Sadhukhan, T. Bhowmik, M. K. Kundu and S. Barman, Facile Synthesis of Carbon Quantum Dots and Thin Graphene Sheets for Non-Enzymatic Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 4998–5005.
- 343 A. T. E. Vilian and S.-M. Chen, Simple Approach for the Immobilization of Horseradish Peroxidase on Poly-L-Histidine Modified Reduced Graphene Oxide for Amperometric Determination of Dopamine and H₂O₂, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 55867–55876.
- 344 W. Lei, L. Wu, W. Huang, Q. Hao, Y. Zhang and X. Xia, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Hemin–Graphene/Poly(3,4-Ethylenedioxythiophene) Nanocomposite for a Biomimetic Hydrogen Peroxide Biosensor, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 4324–4330.
- 345 M. Zhang and Z. Wang, Nanostructured Silver Nanowires–Graphene Hybrids for Enhanced Electrochemical Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **102**, 213104.
- 346 L. Shang, B. Zeng and F. Zhao, Fabrication of Novel Nitrogen-Doped Graphene–Hollow AuPd Nanoparticle Hybrid Films for the Highly Efficient Electrocatalytic Reduction of H₂O₂, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 122–128.
- 347 S. Li, J. Xiong, J. Shen, Y. Qin, J. Li, F. Chu, Y. Kong and L. Deng, A Novel Hydrogen Peroxide Sensor Based on Ag Nanoparticles Decorated Polyaniline/Graphene Composites, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **132**, 4–9.
- 348 S. Radhakrishnan and S. J. Kim, An Enzymatic Biosensor for Hydrogen Peroxide Based on One-Pot Preparation of CeO₂-Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 12937–12943.
- 349 C. Karuppiyah, S. Palanisamy, S.-M. Chen, V. Veeramani and P. Periakaruppan, A Novel Enzymatic Glucose Biosensor and Sensitive Non-Enzymatic Hydrogen Peroxide Sensor Based on Graphene and Cobalt Oxide Nanoparticles Composite Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **196**, 450–456.
- 350 Z. Yang, C. Qi, X. Zheng and J. Zheng, Facile Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticle-Decorated Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites and their Application for Electrochemical Sensing, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 9358–9362.
- 351 S. Palanisamy, H. F. Lee, S.-M. Chen and B. Thirumalraj, An Electrochemical Facile Fabrication of Platinum Nanoparticle Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide; Application for Enhanced Electrochemical Sensing of H₂O₂, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 105567–105573.
- 352 J. Wang, H. Zhu, Y. Xu, W. Yang, A. Liu, F. Shan, M. Cao and J. Liu, Graphene Nanodots Encaged 3-D Gold Substrate as Enzyme Loading Platform for The Fabrication of High Performance Biosensors, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **220**, 1186–1195.
- 353 J. Liu, M. Zhang, J. Liu and J. Zheng, Synthesis of Ag@Pt Core–Shell Nanoparticles Loaded onto Reduced Graphene Oxide and Investigation of its Electrochemical Sensing Properties, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 1084–1090.
- 354 J. Wang, H.-b. Sun, H.-y. Pan, Y.-y. Ding, J.-g. Wan, G.-h. Wang and M. Han, Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide at a Palladium Nanoparticle-Bilayer Graphene Hybrid-Modified Electrode, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **230**, 690–696.
- 355 L. Ning, Y. Liu, J. Ma, X. Fan, G. Zhang, F. Zhang, W. Peng and Y. Li, Synthesis of Palladium, ZnFe₂O₄ Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites as H₂O₂ Detector, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **56**, 4327–4333.
- 356 V. Velusamy, S. Palanisamy, S.-M. Chen, T.-W. Chen, S. Selvam, S. K. Ramaraj and B.-S. Lou, Graphene Dispersed Cellulose Microfibers Composite for Efficient Immobilization of Hemoglobin and Selective Biosensor for Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **252**, 175–182.
- 357 Y. Qi, J. Bai, X. Ding and H.-M. Zhang, Electrochemically Prepared Three-dimensional Porous Nitrogen-doped Graphene Modified Electrode for Non-enzymatic Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Electroanalysis*, 2017, **29**, 2083–2089.
- 358 Y. Zhu, S. Lu, A. Gowri Manohari, X. Dong, F. Chen, W. Xu, Z. Shi and C. Xu, Polydopamine Interconnected Graphene Quantum Dots and Gold Nanoparticles for Enzymeless H₂O₂ Detection, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **796**, 75–81.
- 359 Y. Liu, X. Liu, Z. Guo, Z. Hu, Z. Xue and X. Lu, Horseradish Peroxidase Supported on Porous Graphene as a Novel Sensing Platform for Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide in Living Cells Sensitive, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 101–107.
- 360 M. Sookhajian, E. Zalnezhad and Y. Alias, Layer-by-Layer Electrodeposited Nanowall-Like Palladium-Reduced Graphene Oxide Film as a Highly-Sensitive Electrochemical Non-Enzymatic Sensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **241**, 1–7.
- 361 L. A. Mercante, M. H. M. Facure, R. C. Sanfelice, F. L. Migliorini, L. H. C. Mattoso and D. S. Correa, One-Pot Preparation of PEDOT:PSS-Reduced Graphene Decorated with Au Nanoparticles for Enzymatic Electrochemical Sensing of H₂O₂, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **407**, 162–170.
- 362 N. Yusoff, P. Rameshkumar, M. S. Mehmood, A. Pandikumar, H. W. Lee and N. M. Huang, Ternary Nanohybrid of Reduced Graphene Oxide-Nafion@Silver Nanoparticles for Boosting the Sensor Performance in Non-Enzymatic Amperometric Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 1020–1028.
- 363 C. Karthikeyan, K. Ramachandran, S. Sheet, D. J. Yoo, Y. S. Lee, Y. S. kumar, A. R. Kim and G. G. kumar, Pigeon-Excreta-Mediated Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide (rGO)/CuFe₂O₄ Nanocomposite and Its Catalytic Activity toward Sensitive and Selective Hydrogen Peroxide Detection, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 4897–4905.
- 364 C. Cheng, C. Zhang, X. Gao, Z. Zhuang, C. Du and W. Chen, 3D Network and 2D Paper of Reduced Graphene Oxide/



- Cu₂O Composite for Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 1983–1991.
- 365 S. Kubendhiran, B. Thirumalraj, S.-M. Chen and C. Karuppiah, Electrochemical Co-Preparation of Cobalt Sulfide/Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite for Electrocatalytic Activity and Determination of H₂O₂ in Biological Samples, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **509**, 153–162.
- 366 Z. Bai, W. Dong, Y. Ren, C. Zhang and Q. Chen, Preparation of Nano Au and Pt Alloy Microspheres Decorated with Reduced Graphene Oxide for Nonenzymatic Hydrogen Peroxide Sensing, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 2235–2244.
- 367 C.-L. Sun, J.-S. Su, S.-Y. Lai and Y.-J. Lu, Size Effects of Pt Nanoparticle/Graphene Composite Materials on the Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide, *J. Nanomater.*, 2015, **2015**, 861061.
- 368 S. Chen, R. Yuan, Y. Chai and F. Hu, Electrochemical Sensing of Hydrogen Peroxide Using Metal Nanoparticles: A Review, *Microchim. Acta*, 2013, **180**, 15–32.
- 369 S. Guo, D. Wen, Y. Zhai, S. Dong and E. Wang, Platinum Nanoparticle Ensemble-on-Graphene Hybrid Nanosheet: One-Pot, Rapid Synthesis, and Used as New Electrode Material for Electrochemical Sensing, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 3959–3968.
- 370 B. Zhan, C. Liu, H. Shi, C. Li, L. Wang, W. Huang and X. Dong, A Hydrogen Peroxide Electrochemical Sensor Based on Silver Nanoparticles Decorated Three-Dimensional Graphene, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 243704.
- 371 B. Yu, J. Feng, S. Liu and T. Zhang, Preparation of Reduced Graphene Oxide Decorated with High Density Ag Nanorods for Non-Enzymatic Hydrogen Peroxide Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 14303–14307.
- 372 D. Chen, X. Zhuang, J. Zhai, Y. Zheng, H. Lu and L. Chen, Preparation of Highly Sensitive Pt Nanoparticles-Carbon Quantum Dots/Ionic Liquid Functionalized Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites and Application for H₂O₂ Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 1500–1506.
- 373 Y. Sun, M. Luo, Y. Qin, S. Zhu, Y. Li, N. Xu, X. Meng, Q. Ren, L. Wang and S. Guo, Atomic-Thick PtNi Nanowires Assembled on Graphene for High-Sensitivity Extracellular Hydrogen Peroxide Sensors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 34715–34721.
- 374 C. Chen, Q.-H. Yang, Y. Yang, W. Lv, Y. Wen, P.-X. Hou, M. Wang and H.-M. Cheng, Self-Assembled Free-Standing Graphite Oxide Membrane, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3007–3011.
- 375 H.-J. Qiu, Y. Guan, P. Luo and Y. Wang, Recent Advance in Fabricating Monolithic 3D Porous Graphene and Their Applications in Biosensing and Biofuel Cells, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 85–95.
- 376 H.-P. Cong, J.-F. Chen and S.-H. Yu, Graphene-Based Macroscopic Assemblies and Architectures: An Emerging Material System, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7295–7325.
- 377 D. Lin, Z. Su and G. Wei, Three-Dimensional Porous Reduced Graphene Oxide Decorated with MoS₂ Quantum Dots for Electrochemical Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2018, **7**, 76–83.
- 378 T. Zhang, Y. Gu, C. Li, X. Yan, N. Lu, H. Liu, Z. Zhang and H. Zhang, Fabrication of Novel Electrochemical Biosensor Based on Graphene Nanohybrid to Detect H₂O₂ Released from Living Cells with Ultrahigh Performance, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 37991–37999.
- 379 Y. Zhang, J. Xiao, Q. Lv, L. Wang, X. Dong, M. Asif, J. Ren, W. He, Y. Sun, F. Xiao and S. Wang, *In situ* Electrochemical Sensing and Real-Time Monitoring Live Cells Based on Freestanding Nanohybrid Paper Electrode Assembled from 3D Functionalized Graphene Framework, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 38201–38210.
- 380 J. Ju and W. Chen, *In situ* Growth of Surfactant-Free Gold Nanoparticles on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots for Electrochemical Detection of Hydrogen Peroxide in Biological Environments, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 1903–1910.
- 381 Y. Zhang, X. Bai, X. Wang, K.-K. Shiu, Y. Zhu and H. Jiang, Highly Sensitive Graphene–Pt Nanocomposites Amperometric Biosensor and Its Application in Living Cell H₂O₂ Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 9459–9465.
- 382 L. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Cheng, X. Liu, H. Jiang and X. Wang, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for Evaluation of Oxidative Stress Based on the Nanointerface of Graphene Nanocomposites Blended with Gold, Fe₃O₄, and Platinum Nanoparticles, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 18441–18449.
- 383 Y. Sun, M. Luo, X. Meng, J. Xiang, L. Wang, Q. Ren and S. Guo, Graphene/Intermetallic PtPb Nanoplates Composites for Boosting Electrochemical Detection of H₂O₂ Released from Cells, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 3761–3767.
- 384 Y. He, G. Huang and H. Cui, Quenching the Chemiluminescence of Acridinium Ester by Graphene Oxide for Label-Free and Homogeneous DNA Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 11336–11340.
- 385 J. Cervenka, A. Budi, N. Dontschuk, A. Stacey, A. Tadich, K. J. Rietwyk, A. Schenk, M. T. Edmonds, Y. Yin, N. Medhekar, M. Kalbac and C. I. Pakes, Graphene Field Effect Transistor as a Probe of Electronic Structure and Charge Transfer at Organic Molecule–Graphene Interfaces, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 1471–1478.
- 386 T. Premkumar and K. E. Geckeler, Graphene–DNA Hybrid Materials: Assembly, Applications, and Prospects, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2012, **37**, 515–529.
- 387 X. Zhu, L. Sun, Y. Chen, Z. Ye, Z. Shen and G. Li, Combination of Cascade Chemical Reactions with Graphene–DNA Interaction to Develop New Strategy for Biosensor Fabrication, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **47**, 32–37.
- 388 N. Dontschuk, A. Stacey, A. Tadich, K. J. Rietwyk, A. Schenk, M. T. Edmonds, O. Shimoni, C. I. Pakes, S. Praver and J. Cervenka, A Graphene Field-Effect Transistor as a Molecule-Specific Probe of DNA Nucleobases, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6563.
- 389 J.-H. Lee, Y.-K. Choi, H.-J. Kim, R. H. Scheicher and J.-H. Cho, Physisorption of DNA Nucleobases on h-BN



- and Graphene: vdW-Corrected DFT Calculations, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 13435–13441.
- 390 Y. Yin, J. Cervenka and N. V. Medhekar, Molecular Dipole-Driven Electronic Structure Modifications of DNA/RNA Nucleobases on Graphene, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 3087–3094.
- 391 A.-L. Liu, G.-X. Zhong, J.-Y. Chen, S.-H. Weng, H.-N. Huang, W. Chen, L.-Q. Lin, Y. Lei, F.-H. Fu, Z.-l. Sun, X.-H. Lin, J.-H. Lin and S.-Y. Yang, A Sandwich-Type DNA Biosensor Based on Electrochemical Co-Reduction Synthesis of Graphene-Three Dimensional Nanostructure Gold Nanocomposite Films, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **767**, 50–58.
- 392 T. Yang, Q. Li, L. Meng, X. Wang, W. Chen and K. Jiao, Synchronous Electrosynthesis of Poly(xanthurenic acid)-Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite for Highly Sensitive Impedimetric Detection of DNA, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 3495–3499.
- 393 T. Yang, L. Meng, X. Wang, L. Wang and K. Jiao, Direct Electrochemical DNA Detection Originated from the Self-Redox Signal of Sulfonated Polyaniline Enhanced by Graphene Oxide in Neutral Solution, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 10889–10894.
- 394 A. Benvidi, N. Rajabzadeh, M. Mazloum-Ardakani, M. M. Heidari and A. Mulchandani, Simple and label-free electrochemical impedance amelogenin gene hybridization biosensing based on reduced graphene oxide, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **58**, 145–152.
- 395 H.-P. Peng, Y. Hu, P. Liu, Y.-N. Deng, P. Wang, W. Chen, A.-L. Liu, Y.-Z. Chen and X.-H. Lin, Label-Free Electrochemical DNA Biosensor for Rapid Detection of Mutidrug Resistance Gene Based on Au Nanoparticles/Toluidine Blue-Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **207**, 269–276.
- 396 H. Huang, W. Bai, C. Dong, R. Guo and Z. Liu, An Ultrasensitive Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Graphene/Au Nanorod/Polythionine for Human Papillomavirus DNA Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **68**, 442–446.
- 397 L.-X. Fang, J.-T. Cao and K.-J. Huang, A Sensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for Specific DNA Sequence Detection Based on Flower-Like VS₂, Graphene and Au Nanoparticles Signal Amplification, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **746**, 1–8.
- 398 A. Benvidi, A. D. Firouzabadi, S. M. Moshtaghian, M. Mazloum-Ardakani and M. D. Tezerjani, Ultrasensitive DNA Sensor Based on Gold Nanoparticles/Reduced Graphene Oxide/Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2015, **484**, 24–30.
- 399 Y. Wang, X. Bai, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Biosensor for HIV Gene Detection Based on Graphene Stabilized Gold Nanoclusters with Exonuclease Amplification, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 18872–18879.
- 400 B. Li, G. Pan, N. D. Avent, R. B. Lowry, T. E. Madgett and P. L. Waines, Graphene Electrode Modified with Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide for Label-Free DNA Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **72**, 313–319.
- 401 W. Wang, T. Bao, X. Zeng, H. Xiong, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Functionalized Gold Clusters/Graphene Nanohybrids Coupling with Exonuclease III-Aided Cascade Target Recycling, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 183–189.
- 402 Y. Z. Zhang and W. Jiang, Decorating Graphene Sheets with Gold Nanoparticles for the Detection of Sequence-Specific DNA, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2012, **71**, 239–245.
- 403 C. Liu, D. Jiang, G. Xiang, L. Liu, F. Liu and X. Pu, An Electrochemical DNA Biosensor for the Detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, Based on Signal Amplification of Graphene and a Gold Nanoparticle-Polyaniline Nanocomposite, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 5460–5465.
- 404 A. Wu, Q. Wang, Q. Zhu, J. Ni and F. Gao, A Facile and Highly Sensitive Impedimetric DNA Biosensor with Ultralow Background Response Based on *In situ* Reduced Graphene Oxide, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 90983–90990.
- 405 M. Chen, C. Hou, D. Huo, J. Bao, H. Fa and C. Shen, An electrochemical DNA biosensor based on nitrogen-doped graphene/Au nanoparticles for human multidrug resistance gene detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **85**, 684–691.
- 406 K. Zhang, N. Zhang, L. Zhang, H. Wang, H. Shi and Q. Liu, Label-free impedimetric sensing platform for microRNA-21 based on ZrO₂-reduced graphene oxide nanohybrids coupled with catalytic hairpin assembly amplification, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 16146–16151.
- 407 N. Gao, F. Gao, S. He, Q. Zhu, J. Huang, H. Tanaka and Q. Wang, Graphene Oxide Directed *in situ* Deposition of Electroactive Silver Nanoparticles and its Electrochemical Sensing Application for DNA Analysis, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2017, **951**, 58–67.
- 408 Q. Gong, Y. Wang and H. Yang, A Sensitive Impedimetric DNA Biosensor for the Determination of the HIV Gene Based on Graphene-Nafion Composite Film, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 565–569.
- 409 Q. Gong, H. Yang, Y. Dong and W. Zhang, A Sensitive Impedimetric DNA Biosensor for the Determination of the HIV Gene Based on Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, **7**, 2554–2562.
- 410 X. Niu, W. Zheng, C. Yin, W. Weng, G. Li, W. Sun and Y. Men, Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Gold Nanoparticles and Partially Reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Electrode for the Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* Hly Gene Sequence, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **806**, 116–122.
- 411 T. Yang, H. Chen, Z. Qiu, R. Yu, S. Luo, W. Li and K. Jiao, Direct Electrochemical Vibrio DNA Sensing Adopting Highly Stable Graphene-Flavin Mononucleotide Aqueous Dispersion Modified Interface, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 4540–4547.
- 412 M. Arvand, M. Sanayeei and S. Hemmati, Label-Free Electrochemical DNA Biosensor for Guanine and Adenine by ds-DNA/Poly(L-Cysteine)/Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles-Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite Modified Electrode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **102**, 70–79.



- 413 P. T. K. Loan, D. Wu, C. Ye, X. Li, V. T. Tra, Q. Wei, L. Fu, A. Yu, L.-J. Li and C.-T. Lin, Hall Effect Biosensors with Ultraclean Graphene Film for Improved Sensitivity of Label-Free DNA Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 85–91.
- 414 H. Imran, P. N. Manikandan and V. Dharuman, Graphene Oxide Supported Liposomes for Efficient Label Free Electrochemical DNA Biosensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **260**, 841–851.
- 415 Y. Ye, J. Xie, Y. Ye, X. Cao, H. Zheng, X. Xu and Q. Zhang, A Label-Free Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Thionine Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Carbon*, 2018, **129**, 730–737.
- 416 R. Z. A. R. Jamaluddin, L. Y. Heng, L. L. Tan and K. F. Chong, A Biosensor for Genetic Modified Soybean DNA Determination via Adsorption of Anthraquinone-2-Sulphonic Acid in Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Electroanalysis*, 2018, **30**, 250–258.
- 417 Q. Feng, X. Zhao, Y. Guo, M. Liu and P. Wang, Stochastic DNA Walker for Electrochemical Biosensing Sensitized with Gold Nanocages@Graphene Nanoribbons, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **108**, 97–102.
- 418 T. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Wen, X. Zhang and S. Wang, Enzyme Catalytic Amplification of miRNA-155 Detection with Graphene Quantum Dot-Based Electrochemical Biosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **77**, 451–456.
- 419 M. Azimzadeh, M. Rahaie, N. Nasirizadeh, K. Ashtari and H. Naderi-Manesh, An Electrochemical Nanobiosensor for Plasma miRNA-155, Based on Graphene Oxide and Gold Nanorod, for Early Detection of Breast Cancer, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **77**, 99–106.
- 420 H.-L. Shuai, K.-J. Huang, W.-J. Zhang, X. Cao and M.-P. Jia, Sandwich-Type microRNA Biosensor Based on Magnesium Oxide Nanoflower and Graphene Oxide–Gold Nanoparticles Hybrids Coupling with Enzyme Signal Amplification, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **243**, 403–411.
- 421 E. Eksin, S. K. Bikkarolla, A. Erdem and P. Papakonstantinou, Chitosan/Nitrogen Doped Reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Biosensor for Impedimetric Detection of microRNA, *Electroanalysis*, 2018, **30**, 551–560.
- 422 Y.-H. Wang, K.-J. Huang, X. Wu, Y.-Y. Ma, D.-L. Song, C.-Y. Du and S.-H. Chang, Ultrasensitive Supersandwich-Type Biosensor for Enzyme-Free Amplified microRNA Detection Based on N-Doped Graphene/Au Nanoparticles and Hemin/G-Quadruplexes, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 2134–2142.
- 423 P.-P. Gai, C.-E. Zhao, Y. Wang, E. S. Abdel-Halim, J.-R. Zhang and J.-J. Zhu, NADH Dehydrogenase-Like Behavior of Nitrogen-Doped Graphene and Its Application in NAD⁺-Dependent Dehydrogenase Biosensing, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **62**, 170–176.
- 424 Z. Li, W. Su, S. Liu and X. Ding, An Electrochemical Biosensor Based on DNA Tetrahedron/Graphene Composite Film for Highly Sensitive Detection of NADH, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **69**, 287–293.
- 425 M. Govindhan, M. Amiri and A. Chen, Au Nanoparticle/Graphene Nanocomposite as a Platform for the Sensitive Detection of NADH in Human Urine, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **66**, 474–480.
- 426 D. Sangamithirai, V. Narayanan, B. Muthuraaman and A. Stephen, Investigations on the Performance of Poly(O-Anisidine)/Graphene Nanocomposites for the Electrochemical Detection of NADH, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2015, **55**, 579–591.
- 427 S. Mutyala and J. Mathiyarasu, A Highly Sensitive NADH Biosensor Using Nitrogen Doped Graphene Modified Electrodes, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2016, **775**, 329–336.
- 428 O.-M. Istrate, L. Rotariu, V. E. Marinescu and C. Bala, NADH Sensing Platform Based on Electrochemically Generated Reduced Graphene Oxide–Gold Nanoparticles Composite Stabilized With Poly(Allylamine Hydrochloride), *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **223**, 697–704.
- 429 J. Balamurugan, T. D. Thanh, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Facile Fabrication of FeN Nanoparticles/Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Core-Shell Hybrid and Its Use as a Platform for NADH Detection in Human Blood Serum, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **83**, 68–76.
- 430 S. Han, T. Du, H. Jiang and X. Wang, Synergistic Effect of Pyrroloquinoline Quinone and Graphene Nano-Interface for Facile Fabrication of Sensitive NADH Biosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 422–429.
- 431 G. A. Tığ, Highly Sensitive Amperometric Biosensor for Determination of NADH and Ethanol Based on Au-Ag Nanoparticles/Poly(L-Cysteine)/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *Talanta*, 2017, **175**, 382–389.
- 432 J. Wang, A. Shi, X. Fang, X. Han and Y. Zhang, An Ultrasensitive Supersandwich Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Gold Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2015, **469**, 71–75.
- 433 Q. Wang, J. Lei, S. Deng, L. Zhang and H. Ju, Graphene-Supported Ferric Porphyrin as a Peroxidase Mimic for Electrochemical DNA Biosensing, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 916–918.
- 434 A. Singh, G. Sinsinbar, M. Choudhary, V. Kumar, R. Pasricha, H. N. Verma, S. P. Singh and K. Arora, Graphene Oxide-Chitosan Nanocomposite Based Electrochemical DNA Biosensor for Detection of Typhoid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **185**, 675–684.
- 435 X. Han, X. Fang, A. Shi, J. Wang and Y. Zhang, An Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Gold Nanorods Decorated Graphene Oxide Sheets for Sensing Platform, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2013, **443**, 117–123.
- 436 K. Jayakumar, M. B. Camarada, V. Dharuman, R. Rajesh, R. Venkatesan, H. Ju, M. Maniraj, A. Rai, S. R. Barman and Y. wen, Layer-by-Layer-Assembled AuNPs-Decorated First-Generation Poly(amidoamine) Dendrimer with Reduced Graphene Oxide Core as Highly Sensitive Biosensing Platform with Controllable 3D Nanoarchitecture for Rapid Voltammetric Analysis of Ultratrace DNA Hybridization, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 21541–21555.
- 437 F. Traversi, C. Raillon, S. M. Benameur, K. Liu, S. Khlybov, M. Tosun, D. Krasnozhan, A. Kis and A. Radenovic, Detecting the Translocation of DNA Through a Nanopore



- Using Graphene Nanoribbons, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 939–945.
- 438 S. K. Min, W. Y. Kim, Y. Cho and K. S. Kim, Fast DNA Sequencing with a Graphene-Based Nanochannel Device, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 162–165.
- 439 S. J. Heerema and C. Dekker, Graphene Nanodevices for DNA Sequencing, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 127–136.
- 440 S. M. Avdoshenko, D. Nozaki, C. G. da Rocha, J. W. González, M. H. Lee, R. Gutierrez and G. Cuniberti, Dynamic and Electronic Transport Properties of DNA Translocation through Graphene Nanopores, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 1969–1976.
- 441 H. Sadeghi, L. Algaragholy, T. Pope, S. Bailey, D. Visontai, D. Manrique, J. Ferrer, V. Garcia-Suarez, S. Sangtarash and C. J. Lambert, Graphene Sculpture Nanopores for DNA Nucleobase Sensing, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2014, **118**, 6908–6914.
- 442 E. A. Hunt, D. Broyles, T. Head and S. K. Deo, MicroRNA Detection: Current Technology and Research Strategies, *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **8**, 217–237.
- 443 Y.-X. Chen, K.-J. Huang and K.-X. Niu, Recent Advances in Signal Amplification Strategy Based on Oligonucleotide and Nanomaterials for MicroRNA Detection—A Review, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 612–624.
- 444 T. Kilic, A. Erdem, M. Ozsoz and S. Carrara, MicroRNA Biosensors: Opportunities and Challenges among Conventional and Commercially Available Techniques, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 525–546.
- 445 A. R. Cardoso, F. T. C. Moreira, R. Fernandes and M. G. F. Sales, Novel and Simple Electrochemical Biosensor Monitoring Attomolar Levels of miRNA-155 in Breast Cancer, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **80**, 621–630.
- 446 Z. Li, Y. Huang, L. Chen, X. Qin, Z. Huang, Y. Zhou, Y. Meng, J. Li, S. Huang, Y. Liu, W. Wang, Q. Xie and S. Yao, Amperometric Biosensor for NADH and Ethanol Based on Electroreduced Graphene Oxide–Polythionine Nanocomposite Film, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **181**, 280–287.
- 447 M. A. Tabrizi and Z. Zand, A Facile One-Step Method for the Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites by NADH as Reducing Agent and Its Application in NADH Sensing, *Electroanalysis*, 2013, **26**, 171–177.
- 448 J. M. Savitt, V. L. Dawson and T. M. Dawson, Diagnosis and Treatment of Parkinson Disease: Molecules to Medicine, *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2006, **116**, 1744–1754.
- 449 T. Xiao, F. Wu, J. Hao, M. Zhang, P. Yu and L. Mao, *In vivo* Analysis with Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 300–313.
- 450 A. C. Schmidt, X. Wang, Y. Zhu and L. A. Sombers, Carbon Nanotube Yarn Electrodes for Enhanced Detection of Neurotransmitter Dynamics in Live Brain Tissue, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 7864–7873.
- 451 M. Zhang, P. Yu and L. Mao, Rational Design of Surface/Interface Chemistry for Quantitative *In vivo* Monitoring of Brain Chemistry, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 533–543.
- 452 L. Falat and H. Y. Cheng, Voltammetric Differentiation of Ascorbic Acid and Dopamine at an Electrochemically Treated Graphite/Epoxy Electrode, *Anal. Chem.*, 1982, **54**, 2108–2111.
- 453 L. Zhang, X. Lin and Y. Sun, Separation of Anodic Peaks of Ascorbic Acid and Dopamine at an α -Alanine Covalently Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Analyst*, 2001, **126**, 1760–1763.
- 454 X. Mao, G. C. Rutledge and T. A. Hatton, Nanocarbon-Based Electrochemical Systems for Sensing, Electrocatalysis, and Energy Storage, *Nano Today*, 2014, **9**, 405–432.
- 455 A. Ambrosi, T. Sasaki and M. Pumera, Platelet Graphite Nanofibers for Electrochemical Sensing and Biosensing: The Influence of Graphene Sheet Orientation, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2010, **5**, 266–271.
- 456 S. Devaramani, M. Sreeramareddygar, M. R. Reddy and R. Thippeswamy, Covalently Anchored *p*-Aminobenzene Sulfonate Multilayer on a Graphite Pencil Lead Electrode: A Highly Selective Electrochemical Sensor for Dopamine, *Electroanalysis*, 2017, **29**, 1410–1417.
- 457 F. Gao, X. Cai, X. Wang, C. Gao, S. Liu, F. Gao and Q. Wang, Highly Sensitive and Selective Detection of Dopamine in the Presence of Ascorbic Acid at Graphene Oxide Modified Electrode, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **186**, 380–387.
- 458 S. Li, Y. Ma, Y. Liu, G. Xin, M. Wang, Z. Zhang and Z. Liu, Electrochemical sensor based on a three dimensional nanostructured MoS₂ nanosphere-PANI/reduced graphene oxide composite for simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid, dopamine, and uric acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 2997–3003.
- 459 Y.-R. Kim, S. Bong, Y.-J. Kang, Y. Yang, R. K. Mahajan, J. S. Kim and H. Kim, Electrochemical Detection of Dopamine in the Presence of Ascorbic Acid Using Graphene Modified Electrodes, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2010, **25**, 2366–2369.
- 460 C.-L. Sun, H.-H. Lee, J.-M. Yang and C.-C. Wu, The Simultaneous Electrochemical Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid Using Graphene/Size-Selected Pt Nanocomposites, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2011, **26**, 3450–3455.
- 461 C.-L. Sun, C.-T. Chang, H.-H. Lee, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T.-K. Sham and W.-F. Pong, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of a Core–Shell MWCNT/GONR Heterostructure for the Electrochemical Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 7788–7795.
- 462 Z.-H. Sheng, X.-Q. Zheng, J.-Y. Xu, W.-J. Bao, F.-B. Wang and X.-H. Xia, Electrochemical Sensor Based on Nitrogen Doped Graphene: Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2012, **34**, 125–131.
- 463 J. Ping, J. Wu, Y. Wang and Y. Ying, Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid Using High-Performance Screen-Printed Graphene Electrode, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2012, **34**, 70–76.
- 464 X. Tian, C. Cheng, H. Yuan, J. Du, D. Xiao, S. Xie and M. M. F. Choi, Simultaneous Determination of L-Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid With Gold Nanoparticles– β -Cyclodextrin–Graphene-Modified Electrode by Square Wave Voltammetry, *Talanta*, 2012, **93**, 79–85.



- 465 X. Wang, M. Wu, W. Tang, Y. Zhu, L. Wang, Q. Wang, P. He and Y. Fang, Simultaneous Electrochemical Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid Using a Palladium Nanoparticle/Graphene/Chitosan Modified Electrode, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2013, **695**, 10–16.
- 466 H. Zhang, P. Gai, R. Cheng, L. Wu, X. Zhang and J. Chen, Self-Assembly Synthesis of a Hierarchical Structure Using Hollow Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Spheres as Spacers to Separate the Reduced Graphene Oxide for Simultaneous Electrochemical Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, **5**, 3591–3600.
- 467 X. Weng, Q. Cao, L. Liang, J. Chen, C. You, Y. Ruan, H. Lin and L. Wu, Simultaneous Determination of Dopamine and Uric Acid Using Layer-by-Layer Graphene and Chitosan Assembled Multilayer Films, *Talanta*, 2013, **117**, 359–365.
- 468 P. Manivel, M. Dhakshnamoorthy, A. Balamurugan, N. Ponpandian, D. Mangalaraj and C. Viswanathan, Conducting Polyaniline-Graphene Oxide Fibrous Nanocomposites: Preparation, Characterization and Simultaneous Electrochemical Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 14428–14437.
- 469 Q. Lian, Z. He, Q. He, A. Luo, K. Yan, D. Zhang, X. Lu and X. Zhou, Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid Based on Tryptophan Functionalized Graphene, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **823**, 32–39.
- 470 X. Liu, L. Zhang, S. Wei, S. Chen, X. Ou and Q. Lu, Overoxidized Polyimidazole/Graphene Oxide Copolymer Modified Electrode for the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, Uric Acid, Guanine and Adenine, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **57**, 232–238.
- 471 Y. J. Yang and W. Li, CTAB Functionalized Graphene Oxide/Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube Composite Modified Electrode for the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, Uric Acid and Nitrite, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **56**, 300–306.
- 472 S. Ramakrishnan, K. R. Pradeep, A. Raghul, R. Senthilkumar, M. Rangarajan and N. K. Kothurkar, One-Step Synthesis of Pt-Decorated Graphene–Carbon Nanotubes for the Electrochemical Sensing of Dopamine, Uric Acid and Ascorbic Acid, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, **7**, 779–786.
- 473 S. Qi, B. Zhao, H. Tang and X. Jiang, Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid by a Novel Electrochemical Sensor Based on Pristine Graphene, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **161**, 395–402.
- 474 Q. Wang and Q. Tang, Improved Sensing of Dopamine and Ascorbic Acid Using a Glassy Carbon Electrode Modified with Electrochemically Synthesized Nickel-Cobalt Hexacyanoferrate Microparticles Deposited on Graphene, *Microchim. Acta*, 2015, **182**, 671–677.
- 475 Y.-T. Shieh and H.-F. Jiang, Graphene Oxide-Assisted Dispersion of Carbon Nanotubes in Sulfonated Chitosan-Modified Electrode for Selective Detections Of Dopamine, Uric Acid, and Ascorbic Acid, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **736**, 132–138.
- 476 S.-M. Li, Y.-S. Wang, S.-T. Hsiao, W.-H. Liao, C.-W. Lin, S.-Y. Yang, H.-W. Tien, C.-C. M. Ma and C.-C. Hu, Fabrication of a Silver Nanowire-Reduced Graphene Oxide-Based Electrochemical Biosensor and its Enhanced Sensitivity in the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 9444–9453.
- 477 Y. Zhang, Y. Ji, Z. Wang, S. Liu and T. Zhang, Electrodeposition Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide–Carbon Nanotube Hybrids on Indium Tin Oxide Electrode for Simultaneous Electrochemical Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 106307–106314.
- 478 J. Jiang and X. Du, Sensitive Electrochemical Sensors for Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid Based on Au@Pd-Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 11303–11309.
- 479 S. Biswas, R. Das, D. Chakraborty, R. Bandhyopadhyay and P. Pramanik, Synthesis of Nitrogen Doped Multilayered Graphene Flakes: Selective Non-enzymatic Electrochemical Determination of Dopamine and Uric Acid in presence of Ascorbic Acid, *Electroanalysis*, 2015, **27**, 1253–1261.
- 480 H. L. Zou, B. L. Li, H. Q. Luo and N. B. Li, A Novel Electrochemical Biosensor Based on Hemin Functionalized Graphene Oxide Sheets for Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **207**, 535–541.
- 481 L.-X. Chen, J.-N. Zheng, A.-J. Wang, L.-J. Wu, J.-R. Chen and J.-J. Feng, Facile Synthesis of Porous Bimetallic Alloyed PdAg Nanoflowers Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide for Simultaneous Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 3183–3192.
- 482 W. Cai, J. Lai, T. Lai, H. Xie and J. Ye, Controlled Functionalization of Flexible Graphene Fibers for the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **224**, 225–232.
- 483 X. Zan, H. Bai, C. Wang, F. Zhao and H. Duan, Graphene Paper Decorated with a 2D Array of Dendritic Platinum Nanoparticles for Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Detection of Dopamine Secreted by Live Cells, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 5204–5210.
- 484 X. Zhang, Y.-C. Zhang and L.-X. Ma, One-Pot Facile Fabrication of Graphene-Zinc Oxide Composite and its Enhanced Sensitivity for Simultaneous Electrochemical Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **227**, 488–496.
- 485 H. Mao, C. Ji, M. Liu, Y. Sun, D. Liu, S. Wu, Y. Zhang and X.-M. Song, Hydrophilic Polymer/Polypyrrole/Graphene Oxide Nanosheets with Different Performances in Electrocatalytic Applications to Simultaneously Determine Dopamine and Ascorbic Acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 111632–111639.
- 486 P. Muthukumaran, C. Sumathi, J. Wilson and G. Ravi, Enzymeless Biosensor Based on β -NiS@rGO/Au Nanocomposites for Simultaneous Detection of Ascorbic Acid, Epinephrine and Uric Acid, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 96467–96478.



- 487 V. Vinoth, J. J. Wu and S. Anandan, Sensitive Electrochemical Determination of Dopamine and Uric Acid Using AuNPs(EDAS)-rGO Nanocomposites, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 4379–4390.
- 488 X. Wang, D. Gao, M. Li, H. Li, C. Li, X. Wu and B. Yang, CVD Graphene as an Electrochemical Sensing Platform for Simultaneous Detection of Biomolecules, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 7044.
- 489 Q. Zhu, J. Bao, D. Huo, M. Yang, C. Hou, J. Guo, M. Chen, H. Fa, X. Luo and Y. Ma, 3D Graphene Hydrogel-Gold Nanoparticles Nanocomposite Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode for the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **238**, 1316–1323.
- 490 Q. Zhu, J. Bao, D. Huo, M. Yang, H. Wu, C. Hou, Y. Zhao, X. Luo and H. Fa, 3DGH-Fc Based Electrochemical Sensor for the Simultaneous Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine and Uric Acid, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **799**, 459–467.
- 491 H. Begum, M. S. Ahmed and S. Jeon, New Approach for Porous Chitosan-Graphene Matrix Preparation through Enhanced Amidation for Synergic Detection of Dopamine and Uric Acid, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 3043–3054.
- 492 S.-M. Li, S.-Y. Yang, Y.-S. Wang, C.-H. Lien, H.-W. Tien, S.-T. Hsiao, W.-H. Liao, H.-P. Tsai, C.-L. Chang, C.-C. M. Ma and C.-C. Hu, Controllable Synthesis of Nitrogen-Doped Graphene and its Effect on the Simultaneous Electrochemical Determination of Ascorbic Acid, Dopamine, and Uric Acid, *Carbon*, 2013, **59**, 418–429.
- 493 H. Y. Yue, S. Huang, J. Chang, C. Heo, F. Yao, S. Adhikari, F. Gunes, L. C. Liu, T. H. Lee, E. S. Oh, B. Li, J. J. Zhang, T. Q. Huy, N. V. Luan and Y. H. Lee, ZnO Nanowire Arrays on 3D Hierarchical Graphene Foam: Biomarker Detection of Parkinson's Disease, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 1639–1646.
- 494 R. K. Srivastava, S. Srivastava, T. N. Narayanan, B. D. Mahlotra, R. Vajtai, P. M. Ajayan and A. Srivastava, Functionalized Multilayered Graphene Platform for Urea Sensor, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 168–175.
- 495 D. Dutta, S. Chandra, A. K. Swain and D. Bahadur, SnO₂ Quantum Dots-Reduced Graphene Oxide Composite for Enzyme-Free Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Detection of Urea, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 5914–5921.
- 496 L. Wu and X. Qu, Cancer Biomarker Detection: Recent Achievements and Challenges, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 2963–2997.
- 497 A. J. Vargas and C. C. Harris, Biomarker Development in the Precision Medicine Era: Lung Cancer as a Case Study, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2016, **16**, 525–537.
- 498 V. S. P. K. S. A. Jayanthi, A. B. Das and U. Saxena, Recent Advances in Biosensor Development for the Detection of Cancer Biomarkers, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 15–23.
- 499 M. Swierczewska, G. Liu, S. Lee and X. Chen, High-Sensitivity Nanosensors for Biomarker Detection, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2641–2655.
- 500 Biomarkers Definitions Working Group, A. J. Atkinson Jr, W. A. Colburn, V. G. DeGruttola, D. L. DeMets, G. J. Downing, D. F. Hoth, J. A. Oates, C. C. Peck and R. T. Schooley, Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework, *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2001, **69**, 89–95.
- 501 J. F. Rusling, C. V. Kumar, J. S. Gutkind and V. Patel, Measurement of Biomarker Proteins for Point-of-Care Early Detection and Monitoring of Cancer, *Analyst*, 2010, **135**, 2496–2511.
- 502 C. M. Hartshorn, M. S. Bradbury, G. M. Lanza, A. E. Nel, J. Rao, A. Z. Wang, U. B. Wiesner, L. Yang and P. Grodzinski, Nanotechnology Strategies to Advance Outcomes in Clinical Cancer Care, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 24–43.
- 503 A. Schroeder, D. A. Heller, M. M. Winslow, J. E. Dahlman, G. W. Pratt, R. Langer, T. Jacks and D. G. Anderson, Treating Metastatic Cancer with Nanotechnology, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2012, **12**, 39–50.
- 504 Y.-E. Choi, J.-W. Kwak and J. W. Park, Nanotechnology for Early Cancer Detection, *Sensors*, 2010, **10**, 428–455.
- 505 T. Pasinszki, M. Krebsz, T. T. Tung and D. Losic, Carbon Nanomaterial Based Biosensors for Non-Invasive Detection of Cancer and Disease Biomarkers for Clinical Diagnosis, *Sensors*, 2017, **17**, 1919.
- 506 H. J. Yoon, T. H. Kim, Z. Zhang, E. Azizi, T. M. Pham, C. Paoletti, J. Lin, N. Ramnath, M. S. Wicha, D. F. Hayes, D. M. Simeone and S. Nagrath, Sensitive Capture of Circulating Tumour Cells by Functionalized Graphene Oxide Nanosheets, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 735–741.
- 507 H. J. Yoon, A. Shanker, Y. Wang, M. Kozminsky, Q. Jin, N. Palanisamy, M. L. Burness, E. Azizi, D. M. Simeone, M. S. Wicha, J. Kim and S. Nagrath, Tunable Thermal-Sensitive Polymer-Graphene Oxide Composite for Efficient Capture and Release of Viable Circulating Tumor Cells, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 4891–4897.
- 508 M. A. Ali, C. Singh, S. Srivastava, P. Admane, V. V. Agrawal, G. Sumana, R. John, A. Panda, L. Dong and B. D. Malhotra, Graphene Oxide-Metal Nanocomposites for Cancer Biomarker Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 35982–35991.
- 509 Q. Zhu, H. Liu, J. Zhang, K. Wu, A. Deng and J. Li, Ultrasensitive QDs Based Electrochemiluminescent Immunosensor for Detecting Ractopamine Using AuNPs and Au Nanoparticles@PDDA-Graphene as Amplifier, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **243**, 121–129.
- 510 V. Kumar, S. Srivastava, S. Umrao, R. Kumar, G. Nath, G. Sumana, P. S. Saxena and A. Srivastava, Nanostructured Palladium-Reduced Graphene Oxide Platform for High Sensitive, Label Free Detection of a Cancer Biomarker, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 2267–2273.
- 511 X. Chen, X. Jia, J. Han, J. Ma and Z. Ma, Electrochemical immunosensor for simultaneous detection of multiplex cancer biomarkers based on graphene nanocomposites, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **50**, 356–361.
- 512 D. Lin, J. Wu, M. Wang, F. Yan and H. Ju, Triple Signal Amplification of Graphene Film, Polybead Carried Gold Nanoparticles as Tracing Tag and Silver Deposition for Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Immunosensing, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 3662–3668.



- 513 B. Jin, P. Wang, H. Mao, B. Hu, H. Zhang, Z. Cheng, Z. Wu, X. Bian, C. Jia, F. Jing, Q. Jin and J. Zhao, Multi-Nanomaterial Electrochemical Biosensor Based on Label-Free Graphene for Detecting Cancer Biomarkers, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **55**, 464–469.
- 514 X. Jia, Z. Liu, N. Liu and Z. Ma, A Label-Free Immunosensor Based on Graphene Nanocomposites for Simultaneous Multiplexed Electrochemical Determination of Tumor Markers, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **53**, 160–166.
- 515 C. Song, G. Xie, L. Wang, L. Liu, G. Tian and H. Xiang, DNA-Based Hybridization Chain Reaction for an Ultrasensitive Cancer Marker EBNA-1 Electrochemical Immunosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2014, **58**, 68–74.
- 516 S. Teixeira, G. Burwell, A. Castaing, D. Gonzalez, R. S. Conlan and O. J. Guy, Epitaxial Graphene Immunosensor for Human Chorionic Gonadotropin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **190**, 723–729.
- 517 H. D. Jang, S. K. Kim, H. Chang and J.-W. Choi, 3D Label-Free Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA) Immunosensor Based on Graphene–Gold Composites, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **63**, 546–551.
- 518 M. A. Ali, K. Mondal, Y. Jiao, S. Oren, Z. Xu, A. Sharma and L. Dong, Microfluidic Immuno-Biochip for Detection of Breast Cancer Biomarkers Using Hierarchical Composite of Porous Graphene and Titanium Dioxide Nanofibers, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 20570–20582.
- 519 S. Kumar, J. G. Sharma, S. Maji and B. D. Malhotra, Nanostructured Zirconia Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Based Efficient Biosensing Platform for Non-Invasive Oral Cancer Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **78**, 497–504.
- 520 T. Feng, X. Qiao, H. Wang, Z. Sun, Y. Qi and C. Hong, An Electrochemical Immunosensor for Simultaneous Point-of-Care Cancer Markers Based on the Host–Guest Inclusion of β -Cyclodextrin–Graphene Oxide, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, **4**, 990–996.
- 521 G. Wang, Y. Li, J. Liu, Y. Yuan, Z. Shen and X. Mei, Ultrasensitive Multiplexed Immunoassay of Autophagic Biomarkers Based on Au/rGO and Au Nanocages Amplifying Electrochemical Signal, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 2442.
- 522 M. Pal and R. Khan, Graphene Oxide Layer Decorated Gold Nanoparticles Based Immunosensor for the Detection Of Prostate Cancer Risk Factor, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2017, **536**, 51–58.
- 523 L. Hao, L. Liu, X. Meng, H. Cui and Z. Wang, Electrochemical Analysis of Ki67 Protein As Pancreatic Cancer Biomarker Based on Graphene-Polydopamine Nanocomposite, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2017, **12**, 3040–3049.
- 524 H. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Ma, B. Du and Q. Wei, Facile Synthesis of Cuprous Oxide Nanowires Decorated Graphene Oxide Nanosheets Nanocomposites and its Application in Label-Free Electrochemical Immunosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 745–751.
- 525 Z. Yang, Q. Lan, J. Li, J. Wu, Y. Tang and X. Hu, Efficient Streptavidin-Functionalized Nitrogen-Doped Graphene for the Development of Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Immunosensor, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 312–318.
- 526 Y. Yang, Q. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Cui, H. Liu, P. Wang, Y. Li, L. Chen, Z. Zhao and Y. Dong, A Novel Label-Free Electrochemical Immunosensor Based on Functionalized Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots for Carcinoembryonic Antigen Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **90**, 31–38.
- 527 S. K. Tuteja, T. Duffield and S. Neethirajan, Graphene-Based Multiplexed Disposable Electrochemical Biosensor for Rapid on-Farm Monitoring of NEFA and β HBA Dairy Biomarkers, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2017, **5**, 6930–6940.
- 528 L.-H. Pan, S.-H. Kuo, T.-Y. Lin, C.-W. Lin, P.-Y. Fang and H.-W. Yang, An Electrochemical Biosensor to Simultaneously Detect VEGF and PSA for Early Prostate Cancer Diagnosis Based on Graphene Oxide/ssDNA/PLLA Nanoparticles, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 598–605.
- 529 J. Feng, Y. Li, M. Li, F. Li, J. Han, Y. Dong, Z. Chen, P. Wang, H. Liu and Q. Wei, A Novel Sandwich-Type Electrochemical Immunosensor for PSA Detection Based on PtCu Bimetallic Hybrid (2D/2D) rGO/g-C₃N₄, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 441–448.
- 530 A. A. Saeed, J. L. A. Sánchez, C. K. O'Sullivan and M. N. Abbas, DNA Biosensors Based on Gold Nanoparticles-Modified Graphene Oxide for the Detection of Breast Cancer Biomarkers for Early Diagnosis, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2017, **118**, 91–99.
- 531 X. Ren, H. Ma, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang, T. Yan, B. Du and Q. Wei, Sulfur-Doped Graphene-Based Immunological Biosensing Platform for Multianalysis of Cancer Biomarkers, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 37637–37644.
- 532 S. X. Lee, H. N. Lim, I. Ibrahim, A. Jamil, A. Pandikumar and N. M. Huang, Horseradish Peroxidase-Labeled Silver/Reduced Graphene Oxide Thin Film-Modified Screen-Printed Electrode for Detection of Carcinoembryonic Antigen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 673–680.
- 533 M. Li, P. Wang, F. Li, Q. Chu, Y. Li and Y. Dong, An Ultrasensitive Sandwich-Type Electrochemical Immunosensor Based on the Signal Amplification Strategy of Mesoporous Core–Shell Pd@Pt Nanoparticles/Amino Group Functionalized Graphene Nanocomposite, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **87**, 752–759.
- 534 F. Shahzad, S. A. Zaidi and C. M. Koo, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Sensor Based on Environmentally Friendly Biomass-Derived Sulfur-Doped Graphene For Cancer Biomarker Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **241**, 716–724.
- 535 M. Sharafeldin, G. W. Bishop, S. Bhakta, A. El-Sawy, S. L. Suib and J. F. Rusling, Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles on Graphene Oxide Sheets for Isolation and Ultrasensitive Amperometric Detection of Cancer Biomarker Proteins, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 359–366.
- 536 J.-Y. Huang, L. Zhao, W. Lei, W. Wen, Y.-J. Wang, T. Bao, H.-Y. Xiong, X.-H. Zhang and S.-F. Wang, A High-Sensitivity Electrochemical Aptasensor of Carcinoembryonic Antigen Based on Graphene Quantum



- Dots-Ionic Liquid-Nafion Nanomatrix and DNazyme-Assisted Signal Amplification Strategy, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 28–33.
- 537 M. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Su, L. Mao, X. Jiang, T. Zhang and X. Dai, Three-Dimensional Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on 3D Graphene-Ag Nanoparticles for Sensitive Detection of CYFRA21-1 in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 2910–2918.
- 538 J.-T. Cao, J.-J. Yang, L.-Z. Zhao, Y.-L. Wang, H. Wang, Y.-M. Liu and S.-H. Ma, Graphene Oxide@Gold Nanorods-Based Multiple-Assisted Electrochemiluminescence Signal Amplification Strategy for Sensitive Detection of Prostate Specific Antigen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 92–98.
- 539 R. Wang, J.-J. Feng, Y. Xue, L. Wu and A.-J. Wang, A Label-Free Electrochemical Immunosensor Based on AgPt Nanorings Supported on Reduced Graphene Oxide for Ultrasensitive Analysis of Tumor Marker, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **254**, 1174–1181.
- 540 J. Wang, X. Wang, H. Tang, Z. Gao, S. He, J. Li and S. Han, Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Detection of Tumor Cells Based on Multiple Layer CDS Quantum Dots-Functionalized Polystyrene Microspheres and Graphene Oxide–Polyaniline Composite, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **100**, 1–7.
- 541 V. K. Singh, S. Kumar, S. K. Pandey, S. Srivastava, M. Mishra, G. Gupta, B. D. Malhotra, R. S. Tiwari and A. Srivastava, Fabrication of Sensitive Bioelectrode Based on Atomically Thin CVD Grown Graphene for Cancer Biomarker Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **105**, 173–181.
- 542 M. Mazloun-Ardakani, B. Barazesh, A. Khoshroo, M. Moshtaghiun and M. H. Sheikhha, A New Composite Consisting of Electrosynthesized Conducting Polymers, Graphene Sheets and Biosynthesized Gold Nanoparticles for Biosensing Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2018, **121**, 38–45.
- 543 G. Zhang, Z. Liu, L. Fan and Y. Guo, Electrochemical Prostate Specific Antigen Aptasensor Based on Hemin Functionalized Graphene-Conjugated Palladium Nanocomposites, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, **185**, 159.
- 544 S. Rauf, G. K. Mishra, J. Azhar, R. K. Mishra, K. Y. Goud, M. A. H. Nawaz, J. L. Marty and A. Hayat, Carboxylic Group Riched Graphene Oxide Based Disposable Electrochemical Immunosensor for Cancer Biomarker Detection, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2018, **545**, 13–19.
- 545 S. Shahrokhian and R. Salimian, Ultrasensitive Detection of Cancer Biomarkers Using Conducting Polymer/Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide-Based Biosensor: Application Toward BRCA₁ Sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **266**, 160–169.
- 546 L. Wu, H. Ji, Y. Guan, X. Ran, J. Ren and X. Qu, A Graphene-Based Chemical Nose/Tongue Approach for the Identification of Normal, Cancerous and Circulating Tumor Cells, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2017, **9**, e356.
- 547 G. M. Whitesides, The Origins and the Future of Microfluidics, *Nature*, 2006, **442**, 368–373.
- 548 R. A. G. de Oliveira, E. M. Materon, M. E. Melendez, A. L. Carvalho and R. C. Faria, Disposable Microfluidic Immunoarray Device for Sensitive Breast Cancer Biomarker Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 27433–27440.
- 549 D. M. Cate, J. A. Adkins, J. Mettakoonpitak and C. S. Henry, Recent Developments in Paper-Based Microfluidic Devices, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 19–41.
- 550 Y. Wu, P. Xue, Y. Kang and K. M. Hui, Paper-Based Microfluidic Electrochemical Immunodevice Integrated with Nanobioprobes onto Graphene Film for Ultrasensitive Multiplexed Detection of Cancer Biomarkers, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 8661–8668.
- 551 N. Singh, M. A. Ali, P. Rai, A. Sharma, B. D. Malhotra and R. John, Microporous Nanocomposite Enabled Microfluidic Biochip for Cardiac Biomarker Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 33576–33588.
- 552 C. Dye, After 2015: Infectious Diseases in a New Era of Health and Development, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B*, 2014, **369**, 20130426.
- 553 T. C. Paulitz and R. R. Bélanger, Biologicalcontrol in Greenhousesystems, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 2001, **39**, 103–133.
- 554 E. C. Alocilja and S. M. Radke, Market Analysis of Biosensors for Food Safety, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2003, **18**, 841–846.
- 555 M. Khater, A. de la Escosura-Muñiz and A. Merkoçi, Biosensors for Plant Pathogen Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **93**, 72–86.
- 556 M. B. dos Santos, J. P. Aguil, B. Prieto-Simón, C. Sporer, V. Teixeira and J. Samitier, Highly Sensitive Detection of Pathogen *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **45**, 174–180.
- 557 L. Yang and R. Bashir, Electrical/Electrochemical Impedance for Rapid Detection of Foodborne Pathogenic Bacteria, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2008, **26**, 135–150.
- 558 M. Amiri, A. Bezaatpour, H. Jafari, R. Boukherroub and S. Szunerits, Electrochemical Methodologies for the Detection of Pathogens, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, **3**, 1069–1086.
- 559 I. Tiwari, M. Singh, C. M. Pandey and G. Sumana, Electrochemical Genosensor Based on Graphene Oxide Modified Iron Oxide–Chitosan Hybrid Nanocomposite for Pathogen Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **206**, 276–283.
- 560 I. Tiwari, M. Singh, C. M. Pandey and G. Sumana, Electrochemical Detection of a Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* Specific DNA Sequence Based on a Graphene Oxide–Chitosan Composite Decorated with Nickel Ferrite Nanoparticles, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 67115–67124.
- 561 Q. Zhou, G. Li, Y. Zhang, M. Zhu, Y. Wan and Y. Shen, Highly Selective and Sensitive Electrochemical Immunoassay of Cry₁C Using Nanobody and π - π Stacked Graphene Oxide/Thionine Assembly, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 9830–9836.
- 562 F. Jia, N. Duan, S. Wu, X. Ma, Y. Xia, Z. Wang and X. Wei, Impedimetric Aptasensor for *Staphylococcus aureus* based on Nanocomposite Prepared from Reduced Graphene



- Oxide and Gold Nanoparticles, *Microchim. Acta*, 2014, **181**, 967–974.
- 563 C. M. Pandey, I. Tiwari, V. N. Singh, K. N. Sood, G. Sumana and B. D. Malhotra, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Immunosensor Based on Graphene-Wrapped Copper Oxide-Cysteine Hierarchical Structure for Detection of Pathogenic Bacteria, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **238**, 1060–1069.
- 564 A. Pandey, Y. Gurbuz, V. Ozguz, J. H. Niazi and A. Qureshi, Graphene-Interfaced Electrical Biosensor for Label-Free and Sensitive Detection of Foodborne Pathogenic *E. coli* O157:H7, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 225–231.
- 565 W. Ye, J. Guo, X. Bao, T. Chen, W. Weng, S. Chen and M. Yang, Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Bacteria Response to Antibiotics Using Nanoporous Membrane and Graphene Quantum Dot (GQDs)-Based Electrochemical Biosensors, *Materials*, 2017, **10**, 603.
- 566 H. Kaur, M. Shorie, M. Sharma, A. K. Ganguli and P. Sabherwal, Bridged Rebar Graphene Functionalized Aptasensor for Pathogenic *E. coli* O78:K80:H11 Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **98**, 486–493.
- 567 I. J. Dinshaw, S. Muniandy, S. J. Teh, F. Ibrahim, B. F. Leo and K. L. Thong, Development of an Aptasensor Using Reduced Graphene Oxide Chitosan Complex to Detect *Salmonella*, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2017, **806**, 88–96.
- 568 J. Fei, W. Dou and G. Zhao, Amperometric Immunoassay for the Detection of *Salmonella pullorum* Using a Screen-Printed Carbon Electrode Modified with Gold Nanoparticle-Coated Reduced Graphene Oxide and Immunomagnetic Beads, *Microchim. Acta*, 2016, **183**, 757–764.
- 569 S. Muniandy, I. J. Dinshaw, S. J. Teh, C. W. Lai, F. Ibrahim, K. L. Thong and B. F. Leo, Graphene-Based Label-Free Electrochemical Aptasensor for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Foodborne Pathogen, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2017, **409**, 6893–6905.
- 570 S. Xu, Y. Zhang, K. Dong, J. Wen, C. Zheng and S. Zhao, Electrochemical DNA Biosensor Based on Graphene Oxide-Chitosan Hybrid Nanocomposites for Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2017, **12**, 3443–3458.
- 571 N. Kumar, S. Rosy and R. N. Goyal, Gold-Palladium Nanoparticles Aided Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide Sensor for the Simultaneous Estimation of Lomefloxacin and Amoxicillin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **243**, 658–668.
- 572 S. Chen, Y. Frank Cheng and G. Voordouw, Three-Dimensional Graphene Nanosheet Doped with Gold Nanoparticles as Electrochemical DNA Biosensor for Bacterial Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **262**, 860–868.
- 573 S. Shukla, Y. Haldorai, V. K. Bajpai, A. Rengaraj, S. K. Hwang, X. Song, M. Kim, Y. S. Huh and Y.-K. Han, Electrochemical Coupled Immunosensing Platform Based on Graphene Oxide/Gold Nanocomposite for Sensitive Detection of *Cronobacter Sakazakii* in Powdered Infant Formula, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **109**, 139–149.
- 574 R. Jijie, K. Kahlouche, A. Barras, N. Yamakawa, J. Bouckaert, T. Gharbi, S. Szunerits and R. Boukherroub, Reduced Graphene Oxide/Polyethylenimine Based Immunosensor for the Selective and Sensitive Electrochemical Detection of Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **260**, 255–263.
- 575 A. Krittayavathananon and M. Sawangphruk, Impedimetric Sensor of ss-HSDNA/Reduced Graphene Oxide Aerogel Electrode toward Aflatoxin B1 Detection: Effects of Redox Mediator Charges and Hydrodynamic Diffusion, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 13283–13289.
- 576 S. Srivastava, V. Kumar, M. A. Ali, P. R. Solanki, A. Srivastava, G. Sumana, P. S. Saxena, A. G. Joshi and B. D. Malhotra, Electrophoretically Deposited Reduced Graphene Oxide Platform for Food Toxin Detection, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 3043–3051.
- 577 S. Srivastava, S. Abraham, C. Singh, M. A. Ali, A. Srivastava, G. Sumana and B. D. Malhotra, Protein Conjugated Carboxylated Gold@Reduced Graphene Oxide For Aflatoxin B₁ Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 5406–5414.
- 578 D. Wang, W. Hu, Y. Xiong, Y. Xu and C. Ming Li, Multifunctionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide-Doped Polypyrrole/Pyrrolepropylic Acid Nanocomposite Impedimetric Immunosensor to Ultra-Sensitively Detect Small Molecular Aflatoxin B₁, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **63**, 185–189.
- 579 S. Srivastava, V. Kumar, K. Arora, C. Singh, M. A. Ali, N. K. Puri and B. D. Malhotra, Antibody Conjugated Metal Nanoparticle Decorated Graphene Sheets for a Mycotoxin Sensor, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 56518–56526.
- 580 A.-L. Sun, Y.-F. Zhang, G.-P. Sun, X.-N. Wang and D. Tang, Homogeneous Electrochemical Detection of Ochratoxin A in Foodstuff Using Aptamer-Graphene Oxide Nanosheets and Dnase I-Based Target Recycling Reaction, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 659–665.
- 581 S. Eissa and M. Zourob, *In vitro* Selection of DNA Aptamers Targeting β -Lactoglobulin and Their Integration in Graphene-Based Biosensor for the Detection of Milk Allergen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 169–174.
- 582 L. Zhu, L. Xu, B. Huang, N. Jia, L. Tan and S. Yao, Simultaneous Determination of Cd(II) and Pb(II) Using Square Wave Anodic Stripping Voltammetry at a Gold Nanoparticle-Graphene-Cysteine Composite Modified Bismuth Film Electrode, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **115**, 471–477.
- 583 X. Gong, Y. Bi, Y. Zhao, G. Liu and W. Y. Teoh, Graphene Oxide-Based Electrochemical Sensor: A Platform for Ultrasensitive Detection of Heavy Metal Ions, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 24653–24657.
- 584 H. Zhu, Y. Xu, A. Liu, N. Kong, F. Shan, W. Yang, C. J. Barrow and J. Liu, Graphene Nanodots-Encaged Porous Gold Electrode Fabricated *via* Ion Beam Sputtering Deposition for Electrochemical Analysis of Heavy Metal Ions, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **206**, 592–600.
- 585 S. Tang, P. Tong, X. You, W. Lu, J. Chen, G. Li and L. Zhang, Label free Electrochemical Sensor for Pb²⁺ Based on



- Graphene Oxide Mediated Deposition of Silver Nanoparticles, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **187**, 286–292.
- 586 S. Xue, P. Jing and W. Xu, Hemin on Graphene Nanosheets Functionalized with Flower-Like MnO₂ and Hollow AuPd for the Electrochemical Sensing Lead Ion Based on the Specific DNzyme, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **86**, 958–965.
- 587 Z. Zhang, X. Fu, K. Li, R. Liu, D. Peng, L. He, M. Wang, H. Zhang and L. Zhou, One-Step Fabrication of Electrochemical Biosensor Based on DNA-Modified Three-Dimensional Reduced Graphene Oxide and Chitosan Nanocomposite for Highly Sensitive Detection of Hg(II), *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **225**, 453–462.
- 588 N. Wang, M. Lin, H. Dai and H. Ma, Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposites for Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Sensing Of Mercury Ions Based on Thymine–Mercury–Thymine Structure, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **79**, 320–326.
- 589 T. Priya, N. Dhanalakshmi and N. Thinakaran, Electrochemical Behavior of Pb (II) on a Heparin Modified Chitosan/Graphene Nanocomposite Film Coated Glassy Carbon Electrode and its Sensitive Detection, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2017, **104**, 672–680.
- 590 Y. Zhu, D. Pan, X. Hu, H. Han, M. Lin and C. Wang, An Electrochemical Sensor Based on Reduced Graphene Oxide/Gold Nanoparticles Modified Electrode for Determination of Iron in Coastal Waters, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **243**, 1–7.
- 591 L. Yang, N. Huang, L. Huang, M. Liu, H. Li, Y. Zhang and S. Yao, An Electrochemical Sensor for Highly Sensitive Detection of Copper Ions Based on a New Molecular Probe Pi-A Decorated on Graphene, *Anal. Methods*, 2017, **9**, 618–624.
- 592 O. Sadak, A. K. Sundramoorthy and S. Gunasekaran, Highly Selective Colorimetric and Electrochemical Sensing of Iron (III) Using Nile Red Functionalized Graphene Film, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 430–436.
- 593 Z. Guo, D.-d. Li, X.-k. Luo, Y.-h. Li, Q.-N. Zhao, M.-m. Li, Y.-t. Zhao, T.-s. Sun and C. Ma, Simultaneous Determination of Trace Cd(II), Pb(II) and Cu(II) by Differential Pulse Anodic Stripping Voltammetry Using a Reduced Graphene Oxide-Chitosan/Poly-L-Lysine Nanocomposite Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2017, **490**, 11–22.
- 594 Y. Zhang, G. M. Zeng, L. Tang, J. Chen, Y. Zhu, X. X. He and Y. He, Electrochemical Sensor Based on Electrodeposited Graphene-Au Modified Electrode and NanoAu Carrier Amplified Signal Strategy for Attomolar Mercury Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 989–996.
- 595 M. B. Gumpu, M. Veerapandian, U. M. Krishnan and J. B. B. Rayappan, Simultaneous Electrochemical Detection of Cd(II), Pb(II), As(III) and Hg(II) Ions Using Ruthenium(II)-Textured Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *Talanta*, 2017, **162**, 574–582.
- 596 X. Yiwei, Z. Wen, H. Xiaowei, S. Jiyong, Z. Xiaobo, L. Yanxiao, C. Xueping, H. E. Tahir and L. Zhihua, A Self-Assembled L-Cysteine and Electrodeposited Gold Nanoparticles-reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Electrode for Adsorptive Stripping Determination of Copper, *Electroanalysis*, 2018, **30**, 194–203.
- 597 S.-F. Zhou, X.-J. Han, H.-L. Fan, J. Huang and Y.-Q. Liu, Enhanced Electrochemical Performance for Sensing Pb(II) Based on Graphene Oxide Incorporated Mesoporous MnFe₂O₄ Nanocomposites, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **747**, 447–454.
- 598 L. Lu, L. Zhou, J. Chen, F. Yan, J. Liu, X. Dong, F. Xi and P. Chen, Nanochannel-Confined Graphene Quantum Dots for Ultrasensitive Electrochemical Analysis of Complex Samples, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 12673–12681.
- 599 L. Rotariu, F. Lagarde, N. Jaffrezic-Renault and C. Bala, Electrochemical Biosensors for Fast Detection of Food Contaminants—Trends and Perspective, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **79**, 80–87.
- 600 N. F. D. Silva, J. M. C. S. Magalhães, C. Freire and C. Delerue-Matos, Electrochemical Biosensors for *Salmonella*: State of the Art and Challenges in Food Safety Assessment, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 667–682.
- 601 A. Vasilescu and J.-L. Marty, Electrochemical Aptasensors for the Assessment of Food Quality and Safety, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **79**, 60–70.
- 602 J. Huang, X. Gao, J. Jia, J.-K. Kim and Z. Li, Graphene Oxide-Based Amplified Fluorescent Biosensor for Hg²⁺ Detection through Hybridization Chain Reactions, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 3209–3215.
- 603 L. Lan, Y. Yao, J. Ping and Y. Ying, Recent Progress in Nanomaterial-Based Optical Aptamer Assay for the Detection of Food Chemical Contaminants, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 23287–23301.
- 604 N. Kaur, A. Bharti, S. Batra, S. Rana, S. Rana, A. Bhalla and N. Prabhakar, An electrochemical aptasensor based on graphene doped chitosan nanocomposites for determination of ochratoxin A, *Microchem. J.*, 2019, **144**, 102–109.
- 605 L. Jiang, J. Qian, X. Yang, Y. Yan, Q. Liu, K. Wang and K. Wang, Amplified Impedimetric Aptasensor Based on Gold Nanoparticles Covalently Bound Graphene Sheet for the Picomolar Detection of Ochratoxin A, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **806**, 128–135.
- 606 G. Aragay, J. Pons and A. Merkoçi, Recent Trends in Macro-, Micro-, and Nanomaterial-Based Tools and Strategies for Heavy-Metal Detection, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3433–3458.
- 607 F. Fu and Q. Wang, Removal of Heavy Metal Ions from Wastewaters: A Review, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2011, **92**, 407–418.
- 608 B. Bansod, T. Kumar, R. Thakur, S. Rana and I. Singh, A Review on Various Electrochemical Techniques for Heavy Metal Ions Detection with Different Sensing Platforms, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **94**, 443–455.
- 609 X. Gong, G. Liu, Y. Li, D. Y. W. Yu and W. Y. Teoh, Functionalized-Graphene Composites: Fabrication and Applications in Sustainable Energy and Environment, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 8082–8118.
- 610 D. Vilela, J. Parmar, Y. Zeng, Y. Zhao and S. Sánchez, Graphene-Based Microbots for Toxic Heavy Metal



- Removal and Recovery from Water, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 2860–2866.
- 611 S. Muralikrishna, K. Sureshkumar, T. S. Varley, D. H. Nagaraju and T. Ramakrishnapa, *In situ* Reduction and Functionalization of Graphene Oxide with L-Cysteine for Simultaneous Electrochemical Determination of Cadmium(ii), Lead(ii), Copper(ii), and Mercury(ii) Ions, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 8698–8705.
- 612 H. Zhang, S. Shuang, G. Wang, Y. Guo, X. Tong, P. Yang, A. Chen, C. Dong and Y. Qin, TiO₂-Graphene Hybrid Nanostructures by Atomic Layer Deposition With Enhanced Electrochemical Performance for Pb(ii) and Cd(ii) Detection, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 4343–4349.
- 613 S. Palanisamy, R. Madhu, S.-M. Chen and S. K. Ramaraj, A Highly Sensitive and Selective Electrochemical Determination of Hg(ii) Based on an Electrochemically Activated Graphite Modified Screen-Printed Carbon Electrode, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 8368–8373.
- 614 R. Seenivasan, W.-J. Chang and S. Gunasekaran, Highly Sensitive Detection and Removal of Lead Ions in Water Using Cysteine-Functionalized Graphene Oxide/Polypyrrole Nanocomposite Film Electrode, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 15935–15943.
- 615 C. Yu, Y. Guo, H. Liu, N. Yan, Z. Xu, G. Yu, Y. Fang and Y. Liu, Ultrasensitive and Selective Sensing of Heavy Metal Ions with Modified Graphene, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6492–6494.
- 616 W. Aktar, D. Sengupta and A. Chowdhury, Impact of Pesticides Use in Agriculture: Their Benefits and Hazards, *Interdiscip. Toxicol.*, 2009, **2**, 1–12.
- 617 D. Gunnell, M. Eddleston, M. R. Phillips and F. Konradsen, The Global Distribution of Fatal Pesticide Self-Poisoning: Systematic Review, *BMC Public Health*, 2007, **7**, 357.
- 618 J. Grutzendler and J. C. Morris, Cholinesterase Inhibitors for Alzheimer's Disease, *Drugs*, 2001, **61**, 41–52.
- 619 W. J. Deardorff, E. Feen and G. T. Grossberg, The Use of Cholinesterase Inhibitors Across All Stages of Alzheimer's Disease, *Drugs Aging*, 2015, **32**, 537–547.
- 620 S.-Y. Hung and W.-M. Fu, Drug Candidates in Clinical Trials for Alzheimer's Disease, *J. Biomed. Sci.*, 2017, **24**, 47.
- 621 D. M. Quinn, Acetylcholinesterase: Enzyme Structure, Reaction Dynamics, and Virtual Transition States, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, **87**, 955–979.
- 622 V. Tougu, Acetylcholinesterase: Mechanism of Catalysis and Inhibition, *Curr. Med. Chem.: Cent. Nerv. Syst. Agents*, 2001, **1**, 155–170.
- 623 M. B. Colovic, D. Z. Krstic, T. D. Lazarevic-Pasti, A. M. Bondzic and V. M. Vasic, Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors: Pharmacology and Toxicology, *Curr. Neuropharmacol.*, 2013, **11**, 315–335.
- 624 N. Jaffrezic-Renault, New Trends in Biosensors for Organophosphorus Pesticides, *Sensors*, 2001, **1**, 60–74.
- 625 B. B. Narakathu, W. Guo, S. O. Obare and M. Z. Atashbar, Novel Approach for Detection of Toxic Organophosphorus Compounds, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2011, **158**, 69–74.
- 626 S. Hassani, S. Momtaz, F. Vakhshiteh, A. S. Maghsoudi, M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi and M. Abdollahi, Biosensors and Their Applications in Detection of Organophosphorus Pesticides in the Environment, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 2017, **91**, 109–130.
- 627 X. Yan, H. Li and X. Su, Review of Optical Sensors for Pesticides, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **103**, 1–20.
- 628 S. Viswanathan, H. Radecka and J. Radecki, Electrochemical Biosensor for Pesticides Based on Acetylcholinesterase Immobilized on Polyaniline Deposited on Vertically Assembled Carbon Nanotubes Wrapped with ssDNA, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2009, **24**, 2772–2777.
- 629 W. Zhang, A. M. Asiri, D. Liu, D. Du and Y. Lin, Nanomaterial-Based Biosensors for Environmental and Biological Monitoring of Organophosphorus Pesticides and Nerve Agents, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **54**, 1–10.
- 630 A. P. Periasamy, Y. Umasankar and S.-M. Chen, Nanomaterials-Acetylcholinesterase Enzyme Matrices for Organophosphorus Pesticides Electrochemical Sensors: A Review, *Sensors*, 2009, **9**, 4034–4055.
- 631 J. Jiang, S. Zou, L. Ma, S. Wang, J. Liao and Z. Zhang, Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Detection of Pesticide Residues Using Transparent Adhesive Tapes and Coated Silver Nanorods, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 9129–9135.
- 632 E. H. Koh, C. Mun, C. Kim, S.-G. Park, E. J. Choi, S. H. Kim, J. Dang, J. Choo, J.-W. Oh, D.-H. Kim and H. S. Jung, M13 Bacteriophage/Silver Nanowire Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Sensor for Sensitive and Selective Pesticide Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 10388–10397.
- 633 D. Huo, Q. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Hou and Y. Lei, A Highly Efficient Organophosphorus Pesticides Sensor Based on CuO Nanowires-SWCNTs Hybrid Nanocomposite, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **199**, 410–417.
- 634 P. Kumar, A. K. Paul and A. Deep, A Luminescent Nanocrystal Metal Organic Framework for Chemosensing of Nitro Group Containing Organophosphate Pesticides, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 4095–4101.
- 635 S. Wu, X. Lan, L. Cui, L. Zhang, S. Tao, H. Wang, M. Han, Z. Liu and C. Meng, Application of Graphene for Preconcentration and Highly Sensitive Stripping Voltammetric Analysis of Organophosphate Pesticide, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **699**, 170–176.
- 636 M. Z. M. Nasir, C. C. Mayorga-Martinez, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, Two-Dimensional 1T-Phase Transition Metal Dichalcogenides as Nanocarriers to Enhance and Stabilize Enzyme Activity for Electrochemical Pesticide Detection, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 5774–5784.
- 637 L. Yang, G. Wang and Y. Liu, An Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Platinum Nanoparticles-Carboxylic Graphene-Nafion-Modified Electrode for Detection of Pesticides, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2013, **437**, 144–149.
- 638 L. Yang, G. Wang, Y. Liu and M. Wang, Development of a Biosensor Based on Immobilization of Acetylcholinesterase on NiO Nanoparticles-Carboxylic Graphene-Nafion Modified Electrode for Detection of Pesticides, *Talanta*, 2013, **113**, 135–141.



- 639 Q. Zhou, L. Yang, G. Wang and Y. Yang, Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on SnO₂ Nanoparticles-Carboxylic Graphene-Nafion Modified Electrode for Detection of Pesticides, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **49**, 25–31.
- 640 X. Tan, Q. Hu, J. Wu, X. Li, P. Li, H. Yu, X. Li and F. Lei, Electrochemical Sensor Based on Molecularly Imprinted Polymer Reduced Graphene Oxide and Gold Nanoparticles Modified Electrode for Detection of Carbofuran, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **220**, 216–221.
- 641 M. Zhang, H. T. Zhao, T. J. Xie, X. Yang, A. J. Dong, H. Zhang, J. Wang and Z. Y. Wang, Molecularly Imprinted Polymer on Graphene Surface for Selective and Sensitive Electrochemical Sensing Imidacloprid, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **252**, 991–1002.
- 642 T. Jeyapragasam, R. Saraswathi, S.-M. Chen and T.-W. Chen, Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor for the Detection of Methyl Parathion at an Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide-Nafion Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2017, **12**, 4768–4781.
- 643 M. Chao and M. Chen, Electrochemical Determination of Phoxim in Food Samples Employing a Graphene-Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode, *Food Anal. Methods*, 2014, **7**, 1729–1736.
- 644 L. Wu, W. Lei, Z. Han, Y. Zhang, M. Xia and Q. Hao, A Novel Non-Enzyme Amperometric Platform Based on Poly(3-Methylthiophene)/Nitrogen Doped Graphene Modified Electrode for Determination of Trace Amounts of Pesticide Phoxim, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **206**, 495–501.
- 645 Y. Zheng, A. Wang, H. Lin, L. Fu and W. Cai, A Sensitive Electrochemical Sensor for Direct Phoxim Detection Based on an Electrodeposited Reduced Graphene Oxide-Gold Nanocomposite, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 15425–15430.
- 646 N. Karimian, H. Fakhri, S. Amidi, A. Hajian, F. Arduini and H. Bagheri, A novel sensing layer based on metal-organic framework UiO-66 modified with TiO₂-graphene oxide: application to rapid, sensitive and simultaneous determination of paraoxon and chlorpyrifos, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 2600–2609.
- 647 Q. Liu, A. Fei, J. Huan, H. Mao and K. Wang, Effective Amperometric Biosensor for Carbaryl Detection Based on Covalent Immobilization Acetylcholinesterase on Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes/Graphene Oxide Nanoribbons Nanostructure, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **740**, 8–13.
- 648 Y. Liu, G. Wang, C. Li, Q. Zhou, M. Wang and L. Yang, A Novel Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Carboxylic Graphene Coated with Silver Nanoparticles for Pesticide Detection, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2014, **35**, 253–258.
- 649 G. Wang, X. Tan, Q. Zhou, Y. Liu, M. Wang and L. Yang, Synthesis of Highly Dispersed Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles on Carboxylic Graphene for Development a Sensitive Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **190**, 730–736.
- 650 Y. Zheng, Z. Liu, Y. Jing, J. Li and H. Zhan, An Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Ionic Liquid Functionalized Graphene-Gelatin-Modified Electrode for Sensitive Detection of Pesticides, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **210**, 389–397.
- 651 L. Zhang, A. Zhang, D. Du and Y. Lin, Biosensor Based on Prussian blue Nanocubes/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite for Detection of Organophosphorus Pesticides, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 4674–4679.
- 652 H. Zhao, X. Ji, B. Wang, N. Wang, X. Li, R. Ni and J. Ren, An Ultra-Sensitive Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Reduced Graphene Oxide-Au Nanoparticles-β-Cyclodextrin/Prussian Blue-Chitosan Nanocomposites for Organophosphorus Pesticides Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **65**, 23–30.
- 653 H.-F. Cui, W.-W. Wu, M.-M. Li, X. Song, Y. Lv and T.-T. Zhang, A Highly Stable Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Chitosan-TiO₂-Graphene Nanocomposites for Detection of Organophosphate Pesticides, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **99**, 223–229.
- 654 A. A. Ensafi, R. Noroozi, N. Zandi-Atashbar and B. Rezaei, Cerium(IV) Oxide Decorated on Reduced Graphene Oxide, a Selective and Sensitive Electrochemical Sensor for Fenitrothion Determination, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **245**, 980–987.
- 655 N. Shams, H. N. Lim, R. Hajian, N. A. Yusof, J. Abdullah, Y. Sulaiman, I. Ibrahim, N. M. Huang and A. Pandikumar, A Promising Electrochemical Sensor Based on Au Nanoparticles Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide for Selective Detection of Herbicide Diuron in Natural Waters, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2016, **46**, 655–666.
- 656 Y. Yang, A. M. Asiri, D. Du and Y. Lin, Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on a Gold Nanoparticle-Polypyrrole-Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite Modified Electrode for the Amperometric Detection Of Organophosphorus Pesticides, *Analyst*, 2014, **139**, 3055–3060.
- 657 Y. Li, Y. Zhang, G. Han, Y. Xiao, M. Li and W. Zhou, An Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Graphene/Polyaniline Composite Film for Detection of Pesticides, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2016, **34**, 82–88.
- 658 Y. Li, L. Shi, G. Han, Y. Xiao and W. Zhou, Electrochemical Biosensing of Carbaryl Based on Acetylcholinesterase Immobilized onto Electrochemically Inducing Porous Graphene Oxide Network, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **238**, 945–953.
- 659 J. Bao, C. Hou, M. Chen, J. Li, D. Huo, M. Yang, X. Luo and Y. Lei, Plant Esterase-Chitosan/Gold Nanoparticles-Graphene Nanosheet Composite-Based Biosensor for the Ultrasensitive Detection of Organophosphate Pesticides, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2015, **63**, 10319–10326.
- 660 S. Wu, F. Huang, X. Lan, X. Wang, J. Wang and C. Meng, Electrochemically Reduced Graphene Oxide and Nafion Nanocomposite for Ultralow Potential Detection of Organophosphate Pesticide, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2013, **177**, 724–729.
- 661 Y. Li, R. Zhao, L. Shi, G. Han and Y. Xiao, Acetylcholinesterase Biosensor Based on Electrochemically Inducing 3D Graphene Oxide Network/



- Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotube Composites for Detection of Pesticides, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 53570–53577.
- 662 Y. Zheng, Z. Liu, H. Zhan, J. Li and C. Zhang, Studies on Electrochemical Organophosphate Pesticide (OP) Biosensor Design Based On Ionic Liquid Functionalized Graphene and a Co_3O_4 Nanoparticle Modified Electrode, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, 8, 5288–5295.
- 663 J. A. Hondred, J. C. Breger, N. J. Alves, S. A. Trammell, S. A. Walper, I. L. Medintz and J. C. Claussen, Printed Graphene Electrochemical Biosensors Fabricated by Inkjet Maskless Lithography for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Organophosphates, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, 10, 11125–11134.
- 664 A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, Signaling Recognition Events with Fluorescent Sensors and Switches, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, 97, 1515–1566.
- 665 M. Gao and B. Z. Tang, Fluorescent Sensors Based on Aggregation-Induced Emission: Recent Advances and Perspectives, *ACS Sens.*, 2017, 2, 1382–1399.
- 666 A. Kasry, A. A. Ardakani, G. S. Tulevski, B. Menges, M. Copel and L. Vyklicky, Highly Efficient Fluorescence Quenching with Graphene, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, 116, 2858–2862.
- 667 W. Lin, B. Tian, P. Zhuang, J. Yin, C. Zhang, Q. Li, T.-M. Shih and W. Cai, Graphene-Based Fluorescence-Quenching-Related Fermi Level Elevation and Electron-Concentration Surge, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, 16, 5737–5741.
- 668 F. Tian, J. Lyu, J. Shi and M. Yang, Graphene and Graphene-Like Two-Denominational Materials Based Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Assays for Biological Applications, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, 89, 123–135.
- 669 M. J. Deka and D. Chowdhury, Tuning Electrical Properties of Graphene with Different π -Stacking Organic Molecules, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, 120, 4121–4129.
- 670 N. Li, A. Than, X. Wang, S. Xu, L. Sun, H. Duan, C. Xu and P. Chen, Ultrasensitive Profiling of Metabolites Using Tyramine-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots, *ACS Nano*, 2016, 10, 3622–3629.
- 671 P. Zhang, X. Zhao, Y. Ji, Z. Ouyang, X. Wen, J. Li, Z. Su and G. Wei, Electrospinning Graphene Quantum Dots into a Nanofibrous Membrane for Dual-Purpose Fluorescent and Electrochemical Biosensors, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, 3, 2487–2496.
- 672 R. Liu, R. Yang, C. Qu, H. Mao, Y. Hu, J. Li and L. Qu, Synthesis of Glycine-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots as Highly Sensitive and Selective Fluorescent Sensor of Ascorbic Acid in Human Serum, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 241, 644–651.
- 673 Y. Tu, W. Li, P. Wu, H. Zhang and C. Cai, Fluorescence Quenching of Graphene Oxide Integrating with the Site-Specific Cleavage of the Endonuclease for Sensitive and Selective MicroRNA Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, 85, 2536–2542.
- 674 W. Li, T. Hou, M. Wu and F. Li, Label-Free Fluorescence Strategy for Sensitive MicroRNA Detection Based on Isothermal Exponential Amplification and Graphene Oxide, *Talanta*, 2016, 148, 116–121.
- 675 C. Tong, C. Zhao, B. Liu, B. Li, Z. Ai, J. Fan and W. Wang, Sensitive Detection of RNase A Activity and Collaborative Drug Screening Based on rGO and Fluorescence Probe, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, 90, 2655–2661.
- 676 D. Bhatnagar, V. Kumar, A. Kumar and I. Kaur, Graphene Quantum Dots FRET Based Sensor for Early Detection of Heart Attack in Human, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, 79, 495–499.
- 677 K. Furukawa, Y. Ueno, M. Takamura and H. Hibino, Graphene FRET Aptasensor, *ACS Sens.*, 2016, 1, 710–716.
- 678 J. Shi, C. Chan, Y. Pang, W. Ye, F. Tian, J. Lyu, Y. Zhang and M. Yang, A Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) Biosensor Based on Graphene Quantum Dots (GQDs) and Gold Nanoparticles (AuNPs) for the Detection of mecA Gene Sequence of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 67, 595–600.
- 679 H. N. Abdelhamid and H.-F. Wu, Multifunctional Graphene Magnetic Nanosheet Decorated with Chitosan for Highly Sensitive Detection of Pathogenic Bacteria, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, 1, 3950–3961.
- 680 F. Yuan, H. Zhao, Z. Zhang, L. Gao, J. Xu and X. Quan, Fluorescent Biosensor for Sensitive Analysis of Oxytetracycline Based on an Indirectly Labelled Long-Chain Aptamer, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 58895–58901.
- 681 X. Liu, F. Wang, R. Aizen, O. Yehezkeli and I. Willner, Graphene Oxide/Nucleic-Acid-Stabilized Silver Nanoclusters: Functional Hybrid Materials for Optical Aptamer Sensing and Multiplexed Analysis of Pathogenic DNAs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 11832–11839.
- 682 S. Wu, N. Duan, X. Ma, Y. Xia, H. Wang, Z. Wang and Q. Zhang, Multiplexed Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer Aptasensor between Upconversion Nanoparticles and Graphene Oxide for the Simultaneous Determination of Mycotoxins, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, 84, 6263–6270.
- 683 L. Sheng, J. Ren, Y. Miao, J. Wang and E. Wang, PVP-Coated Graphene Oxide for Selective Determination of Ochratoxin A via Quenching Fluorescence of Free Aptamer, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2011, 26, 3494–3499.
- 684 Z. Lu, X. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Zheng and C. M. Li, Aptamer Based Fluorescence Recovery Assay for Aflatoxin B₁ Using a Quencher System Composed of Quantum Dots and Graphene Oxide, *Microchim. Acta*, 2014, 182, 571–578.
- 685 S. Wang, Y. Zhang, G. Pang, Y. Zhang and S. Guo, Tuning the Aggregation/Disaggregation Behavior of Graphene Quantum Dots by Structure-Switching Aptamer for High-Sensitivity Fluorescent Ochratoxin A Sensor, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, 89, 1704–1709.
- 686 Z. Li, N. Xue, H. Ma, Z. Cheng and X. Miao, An Ultrasensitive and Switch-on Platform for Aflatoxin B₁ Detection in Peanut Based on the Fluorescence Quenching of Graphene Oxide-Gold Nanocomposites, *Talanta*, 2018, 181, 346–351.
- 687 M. Liu, H. Zhao, S. Chen, H. Yu, Y. Zhang and X. Quan, Label-Free Fluorescent Detection of Cu(II) Ions Based on DNA Cleavage-Dependent Graphene-Quenched DNazymes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 7749–7751.




- 688 M. Liu, H. Zhao, S. Chen, H. Yu, Y. Zhang and X. Quan, A “Turn-on” Fluorescent Copper Biosensor Based on DNA Cleavage-Dependent Graphene-Quenched DNzyme, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2011, **26**, 4111–4116.
- 689 Y. Wen, C. Peng, D. Li, L. Zhuo, S. He, L. Wang, Q. Huang, Q.-H. Xu and C. Fan, Metal ion-Modulated Graphene-DNzyme Interactions: Design of a Nanoprobe for Fluorescent Detection of Lead(II) Ions with High Sensitivity, Selectivity and Tunable Dynamic Range, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 6278–6280.
- 690 X. Zhao, J. Gao, X. He, L. Cong, H. Zhao, X. Li and F. Tan, DNA-Modified Graphene Quantum Dots as a Sensing Platform For Detection of Hg²⁺ in Living Cells, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 39587–39591.
- 691 S. Pang, S. Liu and X. Su, An Ultrasensitive Sensing Strategy for the Detection of Lead (II) Ions Based on the Intermolecular G-Quadruplex and Graphene Oxide, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **208**, 415–420.
- 692 M. Li, X. Zhou, S. Guo and N. Wu, Detection of Lead (II) with a “Turn-On” Fluorescent Biosensor Based on Energy Transfer from CdSe/ZnS Quantum Dots to Graphene Oxide, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **43**, 69–74.
- 693 M. Li, X. Zhou, W. Ding, S. Guo and N. Wu, Fluorescent Aptamer-Functionalized Graphene Oxide Biosensor for Label-Free Detection of Mercury(II), *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2013, **41**, 889–893.
- 694 F. Wang, Z. Gu, W. Lei, W. Wang, X. Xia and Q. Hao, Graphene Quantum Dots as a Fluorescent Sensing Platform for Highly Efficient Detection of Copper(II) Ions, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **190**, 516–522.
- 695 Z. S. Qian, X. Y. Shan, L. J. Chai, J. R. Chen and H. Feng, A Fluorescent Nanosensor Based on Graphene Quantum Dots–Aptamer Probe and Graphene Oxide Platform for Detection of Lead (II) Ion, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **68**, 225–231.
- 696 X. Cui, L. Zhu, J. Wu, Y. Hou, P. Wang, Z. Wang and M. Yang, A Fluorescent Biosensor Based on Carbon Dots-Labeled Oligodeoxyribonucleotide and Graphene Oxide for Mercury (II) Detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **63**, 506–512.
- 697 A. D. Chowdhury and R. A. Doong, Highly Sensitive and Selective Detection of Nanomolar Ferric Ions Using Dopamine Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 21002–21010.
- 698 X. Shi, W. Gu, W. Peng, B. Li, N. Chen, K. Zhao and Y. Xian, Sensitive Pb²⁺ Probe Based on the Fluorescence Quenching by Graphene Oxide and Enhancement of the Leaching of Gold Nanoparticles, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2568–2575.
- 699 X. Fang, Y. Liu, L. Jimenez, Y. Duan, G. B. Adkins, L. Qiao, B. Liu and W. Zhong, Rapid Enrichment and Sensitive Detection of Multiple Metal Ions Enabled by Macroporous Graphene Foam, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 11758–11764.
- 700 X. Zhu, Z. Zhang, Z. Xue, C. Huang, Y. Shan, C. Liu, X. Qin, W. Yang, X. Chen and T. Wang, Understanding the Selective Detection of Fe³⁺ Based on Graphene Quantum Dots as Fluorescent Probes: The *K_{sp}* of a Metal Hydroxide-Assisted Mechanism, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 12054–12058.
- 701 B.-Y. Fang, C. Li, Y.-Y. Song, F. Tan, Y.-C. Cao and Y.-D. Zhao, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dot for Direct Fluorescence Detection of Al³⁺ in Aqueous Media and Living Cells, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **100**, 41–48.
- 702 S. Bian, C. Shen, Y. Qian, J. Liu, F. Xi and X. Dong, Facile Synthesis of Sulfur-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots as Fluorescent Sensing Probes For Ag⁺ Ions Detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **242**, 231–237.
- 703 C. Wang, F. Yang, Y. Tang, W. Yang, H. Zhong, C. Yu, R. Li, H. Zhou, Y. Li and L. Mao, Graphene Quantum Dots Nanosensor Derived from 3D Nanomesh Graphene Frameworks and its Application For Fluorescent Sensing of Cu²⁺ in Rat Brain, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **258**, 672–681.
- 704 X. Niu, Y. Zhong, R. Chen, F. Wang, Y. Liu and D. Luo, A “Turn-on” Fluorescence Sensor for Pb²⁺ Detection Based on Graphene Quantum Dots and Gold Nanoparticles, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 1577–1581.
- 705 D. Peng, L. Zhang, R.-P. Liang and J.-D. Qiu, Rapid Detection of Mercury Ions Based on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots Accelerating Formation of Manganese Porphyrin, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, **3**, 1040–1047.
- 706 C. Zhang, B. Lin, Y. Cao, M. Guo and Y. Yu, Fluorescence Determination of Omethoate Based on a Dual Strategy for Improving Sensitivity, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2017, **65**, 3065–3073.
- 707 Y. Liu, N. Cao, W. Gui and Q. Ma, Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots-Based Fluorescence Molecularly Imprinted Sensor for Thiocloprid Detection, *Talanta*, 2018, **183**, 339–344.
- 708 J.-L. Chen, X.-P. Yan, K. Meng and S.-F. Wang, Graphene Oxide Based Photoinduced Charge Transfer Label-Free Near-Infrared Fluorescent Biosensor for Dopamine, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 8787–8793.
- 709 R. Cheng, C. Ge, L. Qi, Z. Zhang, J. Ma, H. Huang, T. Pan, Q. Dai and L. Dai, Label-Free Graphene Oxide Förster Resonance Energy Transfer Sensors for Selective Detection of Dopamine in Human Serums and Cells, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 13314–13321.
- 710 X.-J. Xing, W.-L. Xiao, X.-G. Liu, Y. Zhou, D.-W. Pang and H.-W. Tang, A Fluorescent Aptasensor Using Double-Stranded DNA/Graphene Oxide as the Indicator Probe, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **78**, 431–437.
- 711 L. Chen, L. Song, Y. Zhang, P. Wang, Z. Xiao, Y. Guo and F. Cao, Nitrogen and Sulfur Codoped Reduced Graphene Oxide as a General Platform for Rapid and Sensitive Fluorescent Detection of Biological Species, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 11255–11261.
- 712 H. Zhang, Y. Wang, D. Zhao, D. Zeng, J. Xia, A. Aldalbah, C. Wang, L. San, C. Fan, X. Zuo and X. Mi, Universal Fluorescence Biosensor Platform Based on Graphene Quantum Dots and Pyrene-Functionalized Molecular Beacons for Detection of MicroRNAs, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 16152–16156.
- 713 P. Alonso-Cristobal, P. Vilela, A. El-Sagheer, E. Lopez-Cabarcos, T. Brown, O. L. Muskens, J. Rubio-Retama and



- A. G. Kanaras, Highly Sensitive DNA Sensor Based on Upconversion Nanoparticles and Graphene Oxide, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 12422–12429.
- 714 L.-J. Huang, X. Tian, J.-T. Yi, R.-Q. Yu and X. Chu, A Turn-on Upconversion Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer Biosensor for Ultrasensitive Endonuclease Detection, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, 7, 7474–7479.
- 715 C. I. L. Justino, T. A. Rocha-Santos, A. C. Duarte and T. A. Rocha-Santos, Review of Analytical Figures of Merit of Sensors and Biosensors in Clinical Applications, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2010, 29, 1172–1183.
- 716 M. Liu, J. Song, S. Shuang, C. Dong, J. D. Brennan and Y. Li, A Graphene-Based Biosensing Platform Based on the Release of DNA Probes and Rolling Circle Amplification, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8, 5564–5573.
- 717 C. Hong, A. Baek, S. S. Hah, W. Jung and D.-E. Kim, Fluorometric Detection of MicroRNA Using Isothermal Gene Amplification and Graphene Oxide, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, 88, 2999–3003.
- 718 X. Fan, Y. Qi, Z. Shi, Y. Lv and Y. Guo, A Graphene-Based Biosensor for Detecting microRNA with Augmented Sensitivity Through Helicase-Assisted Signal Amplification of Hybridization Chain Reaction, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, 255, 1582–1586.
- 719 Z. Shi, X. Zhang, R. Cheng, B. Li and Y. Jin, Sensitive Detection of Intracellular RNA of Human Telomerase by Using Graphene Oxide as a Carrier to Deliver the Assembly Element of Hybridization Chain Reaction, *Analyst*, 2016, 141, 2727–2732.
- 720 L. Yang, C. Liu, W. Ren and Z. Li, Graphene Surface-Anchored Fluorescence Sensor for Sensitive Detection of MicroRNA Coupled with Enzyme-Free Signal Amplification of Hybridization Chain Reaction, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, 4, 6450–6453.
- 721 H. Yan, Y. Xu, Y. Lu and W. Xing, Reduced Graphene Oxide-Based Solid-Phase Extraction for the Enrichment and Detection of microRNA, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, 89, 10137–10140.
- 722 Y. Huang, X. Liu, L. Zhang, K. Hu, S. Zhao, B. Fang, Z.-F. Chen and H. Liang, Nicking Enzyme and Graphene Oxide-Based Dual Signal Amplification for Ultrasensitive Aptamer-Based Fluorescence Polarization Assays, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 63, 178–184.
- 723 X. Yan, W. Li, K. Liu and L. Deng, Highly Sensitive Fluorescent Aptasensor for *Salmonella paratyphi* A via DNase I-Mediated Cyclic Signal Amplification, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, 7, 10243–10250.
- 724 J. Ge, Z.-M. Huang, Q. Xi, R.-Q. Yu, J.-H. Jiang and X. Chu, A Novel Graphene Oxide Based Fluorescent Nanosensing Strategy with Hybridization Chain Reaction Signal Amplification for Highly Sensitive Biorthiol Detection, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 11879–11882.
- 725 J. Wen, W. Li, J. Li, B. Tao, Y. Xu, H. Li, A. Lu and S. Sun, Study on Rolling Circle Amplification of Ebola Virus and Fluorescence Detection based on Graphene Oxide, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 227, 655–659.
- 726 X.-H. Zhao, R.-M. Kong, X.-B. Zhang, H.-M. Meng, W.-N. Liu, W. Tan, G.-L. Shen and R.-Q. Yu, Graphene-DNAzyme Based Biosensor for Amplified Fluorescence “Turn-on” Detection of Pb²⁺ with a High Selectivity, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, 83, 5062–5066.
- 727 (a) H. Peng, A. M. Newbigging, Z. Wang, J. Tao, W. Deng, X. C. Le and H. Zhang, DNAzyme-Mediated Assays for Amplified Detection of Nucleic Acids and Proteins, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, 90, 190–207; (b) R. R. Breaker and G. F. Joyce, A DNA enzyme that cleaves RNA, *Chem. Biol.*, 1994, 1, 223–229.
- 728 Q. Xi, J.-J. Li, W.-F. Du, R.-Q. Yu and J.-H. Jiang, A Highly Sensitive Strategy for Base Excision Repair Enzyme Activity Detection Based on Graphene Oxide Mediated Fluorescence Quenching and Hybridization Chain Reaction, *Analyst*, 2016, 141, 96–99.
- 729 R. Mitra and A. Saha, Reduced Graphene Oxide Based “Turn-On” Fluorescence Sensor for Highly Reproducible and Sensitive Detection of Small Organic Pollutants, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, 5, 604–615.
- 730 Y. Wen, F. Xing, S. He, S. Song, L. Wang, Y. Long, D. Li and C. Fan, A Graphene-Based Fluorescent Nanoprobe for Silver(i) Ions Detection by Using Graphene Oxide and A Silver-Specific Oligonucleotide, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 2596–2598.
- 731 C. Wang, S. Yang, M. Yi, C. Liu, Y. Wang, J. Li, Y. Li and R. Yang, Graphene Oxide Assisted Fluorescent Chemodosimeter for High-Performance Sensing and Bioimaging of Fluoride Ions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 9768–9775.
- 732 A. Ananthanarayanan, X. Wang, P. Routh, B. Sana, S. Lim, D.-H. Kim, K.-H. Lim, J. Li and P. Chen, Facile Synthesis of Graphene Quantum Dots from 3D Graphene and their Application for Fe³⁺ Sensing, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, 24, 3021–3026.
- 733 R. Guo, S. Zhou, Y. Li, X. Li, L. Fan and N. H. Voelcker, Rhodamine-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots for Detection of Fe³⁺ in Cancer Stem Cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 23958–23966.
- 734 S. Li, Y. Li, J. Cao, J. Zhu, L. Fan and X. Li, Sulfur-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots as a Novel Fluorescent Probe for Highly Selective and Sensitive Detection of Fe³⁺, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 86, 10201–10207.
- 735 E. Zor, E. Morales-Narváez, A. Zamora-Gálvez, H. Bingol, M. Ersoz and A. Merkoçi, Graphene Quantum Dots-based Photoluminescent Sensor: A Multifunctional Composite for Pesticide Detection, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 20272–20279.
- 736 L. Gao, L. Ju and H. Cui, Chemiluminescent and Fluorescent Dual-Signal Graphene Quantum Dots and Their Application in Pesticide Sensing Arrays, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 7753–7758.
- 737 X. Yan, Y. Song, C. Zhu, J. Song, D. Du, X. Su and Y. Lin, Graphene Quantum Dot–MnO₂ Nanosheet Based Optical Sensing Platform: A Sensitive Fluorescence “Turn off-on” Nanosensor for Glutathione Detection and Intracellular Imaging, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, 8, 21990–21996.



- 738 F. Nemati, M. Hosseini, R. Zare-Dorabei and M. R. Ganjali, Sensitive Recognition of Ethion in Food Samples Using Turn-on Fluorescence N and S Co-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots, *Anal. Methods*, 2018, **10**, 1760–1766.
- 739 C. Kotlowski, M. Larisika, P. M. Guerin, C. Kleber, T. Kröber, R. Mastrogiacomo, C. Nowak, P. Pelosi, S. Schütz, A. Schwaighofer and W. Knoll, Fine Discrimination of Volatile Compounds by Graphene-Immobilized Odorant-Binding Proteins, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **256**, 564–572.
- 740 S.-J. Choi, S.-J. Kim and I.-D. Kim, Ultrafast Optical Reduction of Graphene Oxide Sheets on Colorless Polyimide Film for Wearable Chemical Sensors, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2016, **8**, e315.
- 741 M. H. M. Facure, L. A. Mercante, L. H. C. Mattoso and D. S. Correa, Detection of Trace Levels of Organophosphate Pesticides Using an Electronic Tongue Based on Graphene Hybrid Nanocomposites, *Talanta*, 2017, **167**, 59–66.
- 742 K. S. Kim, J.-r. Jang, W.-S. Choe and P. J. Yoo, Electrochemical Detection of Bisphenol A with High Sensitivity and Selectivity Using Recombinant Protein-Immobilized Graphene Electrodes, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **71**, 214–221.
- 743 S. Gupta and R. Wood, Development of FRET Biosensor Based on Aptamer/Functionalized Graphene for Ultrasensitive Detection of Bisphenol A and Discrimination from Analogs, *Nano-Struct. Nano-Objects*, 2017, **10**, 131–140.
- 744 S. Myung, A. Solanki, C. Kim, J. Park, K. S. Kim and K.-B. Lee, Graphene-Encapsulated Nanoparticle-Based Biosensor for the Selective Detection of Cancer Biomarkers, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2221–2225.
- 745 D. Khatayevich, T. Page, C. Gresswell, Y. Hayamizu, W. Grady and M. Sarikaya, Selective Detection of Target Proteins by Peptide-Enabled Graphene Biosensor, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 1505–1513.
- 746 S. Tomita, S. Ishihara and R. Kurita, A Multi-Fluorescent DNA/Graphene Oxide Conjugate Sensor for Signature-Based Protein Discrimination, *Sensors*, 2017, **17**, E2194.
- 747 P. Si, H. Chen, P. Kannan and D.-H. Kim, Selective and Sensitive Determination of Dopamine by Composites of Polypyrrole and Graphene Modified Electrodes, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 5134–5138.
- 748 S. Hou, M. L. Kasner, S. Su, K. Patel and R. Cuellari, Highly Sensitive and Selective Dopamine Biosensor Fabricated with Silanized Graphene, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 14915–14921.
- 749 S. Dong, Q. Bi, C. Qiao, Y. Sun, X. Zhang, X. Lu and L. Zhao, Electrochemical Sensor for Discrimination Tyrosine Enantiomers Using Graphene Quantum Dots and B-Cyclodextrins Composites, *Talanta*, 2017, **173**, 94–100.
- 750 A. B. Ganganboina, A. D. Chowdhury and R.-A. Doong, N-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots-Decorated V₂O₅ Nanosheet for Fluorescence Turn Off-On Detection of Cysteine, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **10**, 614–624.
- 751 N. T. N. Anh and R.-a. Doong, One-Step Synthesis of Size-Tunable Gold@Sulfur-Doped Graphene Quantum Dot Nanocomposites for Highly Selective and Sensitive Detection of Nanomolar 4-Nitrophenol in Aqueous Solutions with Complex Matrix, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 2153–2163.
- 752 H. Pei, J. Li, M. Lv, J. Wang, J. Gao, J. Lu, Y. Li, Q. Huang, J. Hu and C. Fan, A Graphene-Based Sensor Array for High-Precision and Adaptive Target Identification with Ensemble Aptamers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13843–13849.
- 753 S. S. Chou, M. De, J. Luo, V. M. Rotello, J. Huang and V. P. Dravid, Nanoscale Graphene Oxide (nGO) as Artificial Receptors: Implications for Biomolecular Interactions and Sensing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16725–16733.
- 754 R. T. K. Kwok, C. W. T. Leung, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, Biosensing by Luminogens with Aggregation-Induced Emission Characteristics, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4228–4238.
- 755 J. Mei, Y. Huang and H. Tian, Progress and Trends in AIE-Based Bioprobes: A Brief Overview, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **10**, 12217–12261.
- 756 Y. Hong, L. Meng, S. Chen, C. W. T. Leung, L.-T. Da, M. Faisal, D.-A. Silva, J. Liu, J. W. Y. Lam, X. Huang and B. Z. Tang, Monitoring and Inhibition of Insulin Fibrillation by a Small Organic Fluorogen with Aggregation-Induced Emission Characteristics, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1680–1689.
- 757 X. Li, K. Ma, S. Zhu, S. Yao, Z. Liu, B. Xu, B. Yang and W. Tian, Fluorescent Aptasensor Based on Aggregation-Induced Emission Probe and Graphene Oxide, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **86**, 298–303.
- 758 J.-W. Liu, Y. Luo, Y.-M. Wang, L.-Y. Duan, J.-H. Jiang and R.-Q. Yu, Graphitic Carbon Nitride Nanosheets-Based Ratiometric Fluorescent Probe for Highly Sensitive Detection of H₂O₂ and Glucose, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 33439–33445.
- 759 L. Zhao, Y. Chen, J. Yuan, M. Chen, H. Zhang and X. Li, Electrospun Fibrous Mats with Conjugated Tetraphenylethylene and Mannose for Sensitive Turn-On Fluorescent Sensing of *Escherichia coli*, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 5177–5186.
- 760 J. Shen, R. Hu, T. Zhou, Z. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Li, C. Gui, M. Jiang, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, Fluorescent Sensor Array for Highly Efficient Microbial Lysate Identification through Competitive Interactions, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, **3**, 2218–2222.
- 761 X. Xu, J. Huang, J. Li, J. Yan, J. Qin and Z. Li, A Graphene Oxide-Based AIE Biosensor with High Selectivity Toward Bovine Serum Albumin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12385–12387.
- 762 X. Huang, X. Deng, W. Qi and D. Wu, Simultaneous Detection of Hydroquinone and Catechol Using Platinum Nanoparticles Decorated Graphene/Poly-Cyclodextrin/Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNTs) Nanocomposite Based Biosensor, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **18**, 8118–8123.
- 763 H. Chang, X. Wu, C. Wu, Y. Chen, H. Jiang and X. Wang, Catalytic oxidation and determination of β-NADH using



- self-assembly hybrid of gold nanoparticles and graphene, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 2735–2740.
- 764 Y. Yan, Q. Liu, X. Du, J. Qian, H. Mao and K. Wang, Visible Light Photoelectrochemical Sensor for Ultrasensitive Determination of Dopamine Based on Synergistic Effect of Graphene Quantum Dots and TiO₂ Nanoparticles, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **853**, 258–264.
- 765 Z.-Q. Zhao, X. Chen, Q. Yang, J.-H. Liu and X.-J. Huang, Selective Adsorption Toward Toxic Metal Ions Results in Selective Response: Electrochemical Studies on A Polypyrrole/Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 2180–2182.
- 766 X. Mao, H. Su, D. Tian, H. Li and R. Yang, Bipyrene-functionalized graphene as a “turn-on” fluorescence sensor for manganese (II) ions in living cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 592–597.
- 767 H. Sun, N. Gao, L. Wu, J. Ren, W. Wei and X. Qu, Highly Photoluminescent Amino-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots Used for Sensing Copper Ions, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 13362–13368.
- 768 L. Xu, W. Mao, J. Huang, S. Li, K. Huang, M. Li, J. Xia and Q. Chen, Economical, Green Route to Highly Fluorescence Intensity Carbon Materials Based on Ligninsulfonate/Graphene Quantum Dots Composites: Application as Excellent Fluorescent Sensing Platform for Detection of Fe³⁺ Ions, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **230**, 54–60.
- 769 C. Göde, M. L. Yola, A. Yilmaz, N. Atar and S. Wang, A Novel Electrochemical Sensor Based on Calixarene Functionalized Reduced Graphene Oxide: Application to Simultaneous Determination of Fe(III), Cd(II) and Pb(II) Ions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2017, **508**, 525–531.
- 770 F. Salehnia, F. Faridbod, A. S. Dezfali, M. R. Ganjali and P. Norouzi, Cerium(III) Ion Sensing Based on Graphene Quantum Dots Fluorescent Turn-Off, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2017, **27**, 331–338.
- 771 N. Ruecha, N. Rodthongkum, D. M. Cate, J. Volckens, O. Chailapakul and C. S. Henry, Sensitive Electrochemical Sensor Using a Graphene–Polyaniline Nanocomposite for Simultaneous Detection of Zn(II), Cd(II), and Pb(II), *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **874**, 40–48.
- 772 H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, H. Khoshafar, M. Rezaei, S. J. Sabounchei and M. Sarlakifar, Simultaneous Electrochemical Sensing of Thallium, Lead and Mercury Using a Novel Ionic Liquid/Graphene Modified Electrode, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **870**, 56–66.
- 773 A. Afkhami, A. Shirzadmehr, T. Madrakian and H. Bagheri, New Nano-Composite Potentiometric Sensor Composed of Graphene Nanosheets/Thionine/Molecular Wire for Nanomolar Detection of Silver Ion in Various Real Samples, *Talanta*, 2015, **131**, 548–555.
- 774 X. Jiang, K. Chen, J. Wang, K. Shao, T. Fu, F. Shao, D. Lu, J. Liang, M. F. Foda and H. Han, Solid-State Voltammetry-Based Electrochemical Immunosensor for *Escherichia coli* Using Graphene Oxide–Ag Nanoparticle Composites as Labels, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 3388–3393.
- 775 J. Zhang, F. Ran, W. Zhou, B. Shang, F. Yu, L. Wu, W. Hu, X. He and Q. Chen, Ultrasensitive fluorescent aptasensor for MUC1 detection based on deoxyribonuclease I-aided target recycling signal amplification, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 32009–32015.
- 776 B. Thakur, G. Zhou, J. Chang, H. Pu, B. Jin, X. Sui, X. Yuan, C.-H. Yang, M. Magruder and J. Chen, Rapid Detection of Single *E. coli* Bacteria Using a Graphene-Based Field-Effect Transistor Device, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **110**, 16–22.
- 777 S. Xu, H. Duo, C. Zheng, S. Zhao, S. Song and G. Simon, Novel approach to fabrication of DNA Biosensor Based on a Carboxylated Graphene Oxide Decorated with Fe₃O₄ NPs for the Detection of Typhoidal *Salmonella*, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2019, **14**, 1248–1269.
- 778 A. Mathur, R. Gupta, S. Kondal, S. Wadhwa, R. N. Pudake, Shivani, R. Kansal, C. S. Pundir and J. Narang, A New Tactics for the Detection of *S. aureus* via Paper Based Geno-Interface Incorporated with Graphene Nano Dots And Zeolites, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2018, **112**, 364–370.
- 779 R. Chand, Y. L. Wang, D. Kelton and S. Neethirajan, Isothermal DNA amplification with functionalized graphene and nanoparticle assisted electroanalysis for rapid detection of John's disease, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **261**, 31–37.
- 780 J. Yang, Z. Zhang and G. Yan, An Aptamer-Mediated CdSe/ZnS QDs@Graphene Oxide Composite Fluorescent Probe for Specific Detection of Insulin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 2339–2346.
- 781 M. Wang, Z. Lin, Q. Liu, S. Jiang, H. Liu and X. Su, DNA-Hosted Copper Nanoclusters/Graphene Oxide Based Fluorescent Biosensor for Protein Kinase Activity Detection, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1012**, 66–73.
- 782 J. Zou, G. Q. Zhao, J. Teng, Q. Liu, X. Y. Jiang, F. P. Jiao and J. G. Yu, Highly sensitive detection of bisphenol A in real water samples based on *in situ* assembled graphene nanoplatelets and gold nanoparticles composite, *Microchem. J.*, 2019, **145**, 693–702.
- 783 V. Velusamy, S. Palanisamy, S. W. Chen, S. Balu, T. C. Yang and C. E. Banks, Novel electrochemical synthesis of cellulose microfiber entrapped reduced graphene oxide: a sensitive electrochemical assay for detection of fenitrothion organophosphorus pesticide, *Talanta*, 2019, **192**, 471–477.
- 784 L. Tian, J. Qi, K. Qian, O. Oderinde, Y. Cai, C. Yao, W. Song and Y. Wang, An ultrasensitive electrochemical cytosensor based on the magnetic field assisted binanozymes synergistic catalysis of Fe₃O₄ nanozyme and reduced graphene oxide/molybdenum disulfide nanozyme, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **260**, 676–684.
- 785 S. Shahrokhian and R. Salimian, Ultrasensitive detection of cancer biomarkers using conducting polymer/electrochemically reduced graphene oxide-based biosensor: application toward BRCA1 sensing, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **266**, 160–169.
- 786 S. Afsahi, M. B. Lerner, J. M. Goldstein, J. Lee, X. Tang, D. A. Bagarozzi Jr, D. Pan, L. Locascio, A. Walker, F. Barron and B. R. Goldsmith, Novel graphene-based



- biosensor for early detection of Zika virus infection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **100**, 85–88.
- 787 L. Li, Y. Yuan, Y. Chen, P. Zhang, Y. Bai and L. Bai, Aptamer based voltammetric biosensor for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* antigen ESAT-6 using a nanohybrid material composed of reduced graphene oxide and a metal-organic framework, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, **185**, 379.
- 788 X. Xuan, H. S. Yoon and J. Y. Park, A wearable electrochemical glucose sensor based on simple and low-cost fabrication supported micro-patterned reduced graphene oxide nanocomposite electrode on flexible substrate, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **109**, 75–82.
- 789 (a) L. Yang, B. Liu, M. Wang, J. Li, W. Pan, X. Gao, N. Li and B. Tang, A Highly Sensitive Strategy for Fluorescence Imaging of MicroRNA in Living Cells and *In vivo* Based on Graphene Oxide-Enhanced Signal Molecules Quenching of Molecular Beacon, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 6982–6990; (b) X. Ou, S. Zhan, C. Sun, Y. Cheng, X. Wang, B. Liu, T. Zhai, X. Lou and F. Xia, Simultaneous detection of telomerase and miRNA with graphene oxide-based fluorescent aptasensor in living cells and tissue samples, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **124**, 199–204.
- 790 S. Chen, Y. F. Cheng and G. Voordouw, Three-dimensional graphene nanosheet doped with gold nanoparticles as electrochemical DNA biosensor for bacterial detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **262**, 860–868.
- 791 W. Zou, F. Gong, T. Gu, Z. Cao and J. Xia, An efficient strategy for sensing pyrophosphate based on nitrogen-rich quantum dots combined with graphene oxide, *Microchem. J.*, 2018, **141**, 466–472.
- 792 A. S. Sadeghi, M. Mohsenzadeh, K. Abnous, S. M. Taghdisi and M. Ramezani, Development and characterization of DNA aptamers against florfenicol: fabrication of a sensitive fluorescent aptasensor for specific detection of florfenicol in milk, *Talanta*, 2018, **182**, 193–201.
- 793 J. Tian, W. Wei, J. Wang, S. Ji, G. Chen and J. Lu, Fluorescence resonance energy transfer aptasensor between nanoceria and graphene quantum dots for the determination of ochratoxin A, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1000**, 265–272.
- 794 M. Venu, S. Venkateswarlu, Y. V. M. Reddy, A. Seshadri Reddy, V. K. Gupta, M. Yoon and G. Madhavi, Highly Sensitive Electrochemical Sensor for Anticancer Drug by a Zirconia Nanoparticle-Decorated Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 14597–14605.
- 795 X. Liu, W. Na, Q. Liu and X. Su, A novel label-free fluorescent sensor for highly sensitive detection of bleomycin based on nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1028**, 45–49.
- 796 M. A. Tabrizi, J. Ferré-Borrull, P. Kapruwan and L. F. Marsal, A photoelectrochemical sandwich immunoassay for protein S100 β , a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease, using an ITO electrode modified with a reduced graphene oxide-gold conjugate and CdS-labeled secondary antibody, *Microchim. Acta*, 2019, **186**, 117.
- 797 W. Gui, J. Zhang, X. Chen, D. Yu and Q. Ma, N-Doped graphene quantum dot@ mesoporous silica nanoparticles modified with hyaluronic acid for fluorescent imaging of tumor cells and drug delivery, *Microchim. Acta*, 2018, **185**, 66.
- 798 F. Chekin, K. Bagga, P. Subramanian, R. Jijie, S. K. Singh, S. Kurungot, R. Boukherroub and S. Szunerits, Nucleic aptamer modified porous reduced graphene oxide/MoS₂ based electrodes for viral detection: application to human papillomavirus (HPV), *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **262**, 991–1000.
- 799 K. Navakul, C. Warakulwit, P. T. Yenchitsomanus, A. Panya, P. A. Lieberzeit and C. Sangma, A novel method for dengue virus detection and antibody screening using a graphene-polymer based electrochemical biosensor, *Nanomedicine*, 2017, **13**, 549–557.
- 800 Q. Xiang, J. Huang, H. Huang, W. Mao and Z. Ye, A label-free electrochemical platform for the highly sensitive detection of hepatitis B virus DNA using graphene quantum dots, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 1820–1825.
- 801 M. F. A. Muain, K. H. Cheo, M. N. Omar, A. S. A. Hamzah, H. N. Lim, A. B. Salleh, W. S. Tan and A. A. Tajudin, Gold nanoparticle-decorated reduced-graphene oxide targeting anti hepatitis B virus core antigen, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2018, **122**, 199–205.
- 802 A. Valipour and M. Roushani, Using silver nanoparticle and thiol graphene quantum dots nanocomposite as a substratum to load antibody for detection of hepatitis C virus core antigen: electrochemical oxidation of riboflavin was used as redox probe, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **89**, 946–951.
- 803 L. Ruiyi, C. Fangchao, Z. Haiyan, S. Xiulan and L. Zaijun, Electrochemical sensor for detection of cancer cell based on folic acid and octadecylamine-functionalized graphene aerogel microspheres, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **119**, 156–162.
- 804 Q. Zhou, H. Yan, F. Ran, J. Cao, L. Chen, B. Shang, H. Chen, J. Wei and Q. Chen, Ultrasensitive enzyme-free fluorescent detection of VEGF 165 based on target-triggered hybridization chain reaction amplification, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**(46), 25955–25960.
- 805 D. Liu, X. Lu, Y. Yang, Y. Zhai, J. Zhang and L. Li, A novel fluorescent aptasensor for the highly sensitive and selective detection of cardiac troponin I based on a graphene oxide platform, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2018, **410**, 4285–4291.
- 806 D. Sandil, S. Srivastava, B. D. Malhotra, S. C. Sharma and N. K. Puri, Biofunctionalized tungsten trioxide-reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites for sensitive electrochemical immunosensing of cardiac biomarker, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, **763**, 102–110.
- 807 A. Gevaerd, S. F. Blaskiewicz, A. J. Zarbin, E. S. Orth, M. F. Bergamini and L. H. Marcolino-Junior, Nonenzymatic electrochemical sensor based on imidazole-functionalized graphene oxide for progesterone detection, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **112**, 108–113.



- 808 M. Liu, H. Ke, C. Sun, G. Wang, Y. Wang and G. Zhao, A simple and highly selective electrochemical label-free aptasensor of 17 β -estradiol based on signal amplification of bi-functional graphene, *Talanta*, 2019, **194**, 266–272.
- 809 J. H. Lee, H. K. Choi, L. Yang, S. T. D. Chueng, J. W. Choi and K. B. Lee, Nondestructive Real-Time Monitoring of Enhanced Stem Cell Differentiation Using a Graphene-Au Hybrid Nanoelectrode Array, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1802762.
- 810 F. Gao, J. Wu, Y. Yao, Y. Zhang, X. Liao, D. Geng and D. Tang, Proximity hybridization triggered strand displacement and DNAzyme assisted strand recycling for ATP fluorescence detection *in vitro* and imaging in living cells, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 28161–28171.
- 811 S. Yu, H. Li, G. Li, L. Niu, W. Liu and X. Di, Reduced graphene oxide-supported gold dendrite for electrochemical sensing of acetaminophen, *Talanta*, 2018, **184**, 244–250.
- 812 W. Li, J. Zhu, G. Xie, Y. Ren and Y. Q. Zheng, Ratiometric system based on graphene quantum dots and Eu³⁺ for selective detection of tetracyclines, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1022**, 131–137.
- 813 T. Jiang, H. Tan, Y. Sun, J. Wang, Y. Hang, N. Lu, J. Yang, X. Qu and J. Hua, Graphene oxide-based NIR fluorescence probe with aggregation-induced emission property for lectins detection and liver cells targeting, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **261**, 115–126.
- 814 N. Siraj, B. El-Zahab, S. Hamdan, T. E. Karam, L. H. Haber, M. Li, S. O. Fakayode, S. Das, B. Valle, R. M. Strongin, G. Patonay, H. O. Sintim, G. A. Baker, A. Powe, M. Lowry, J. O. Karolin, C. D. Geddes and I. M. Warner, Fluorescence, Phosphorescence, and Chemiluminescence, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **88**, 170–202.
- 815 H. Zhang, S. Ba, D. Mahajan, J. Y. Lee, R. Ye, F. Shao, L. Lu and T. Li, Versatile Types of DNA-Based Nanobiosensors for Specific Detection of Cancer Biomarker FEN1 in Living Cells and Cell-Free Systems, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 7383–7388.
- 816 Z. Shang, L. Qian, S. Liu, X. Niu, Z. Qiao, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, L. Y. Fan, X. Guan, C. X. Cao and H. Xiao, Graphene Oxide-Facilitated Comprehensive Analysis of Cellular Nucleic Acid Binding Proteins for Lung Cancer, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 17756–17770.
- 817 D. Jin, F. Yang, Y. Zhang, L. Liu, Y. Zhou, F. Wang and G. J. Zhang, ExoAPP: Exosome-Oriented, Aptamer Nanoprobe-Enabled Surface Proteins Profiling and Detection, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 14402–14411.
- 818 R. Heidari, J. Rashidiani, M. Abkar, R. A. Taheri, M. M. Moghaddam, S. A. Mirhosseini, R. Seidmoradi, M. R. Nourani, M. Mahboobi, A. H. Keihan and H. Kooshki, CdS nanocrystals/graphene oxide-AuNPs based electrochemiluminescence immunosensor in sensitive quantification of a cancer biomarker: p53, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2019, **126**, 7–14.
- 819 V. Serafin, A. Valverde, G. Martínez-García, E. Martínez-Periñán, F. Comba, M. Garranzo-Asensio, R. Barderas, P. Yáñez-Sedeño, S. Campuzano and J. M. Pingarrón, Graphene quantum dots-functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes as nanocarriers in electrochemical immunosensing. Determination of IL-13 receptor $\alpha 2$ in colorectal cells and tumor tissues with different metastatic potential, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **284**, 711–722.
- 820 C. Tian, L. Wang, F. Luan and X. Zhuang, An electrochemiluminescence sensor for the detection of prostate protein antigen based on the graphene quantum dots infilled TiO₂ nanotube arrays, *Talanta*, 2019, **191**, 103–108.
- 821 M. S. Khan, W. Zhu, A. Ali, S. M. Ahmad, X. Li, L. Yang, Y. Wang, H. Wang and Q. Wei, Electrochemiluminescent immunosensor for prostate specific antigen based upon luminol functionalized platinum nanoparticles loaded on graphene, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2019, **566**, 50–57.
- 822 S. Singh and H. S. Nalwa, Nanotechnology and health safety–toxicity and risk assessments of nanostructured materials on human health, *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.*, 2007, **7**, 3048–3070.
- 823 *Nanotoxicology: Interactions of Nanomaterials with Biological Systems*, ed. Y. Zhao and H. S. Nalwa, American Scientific Publishers, Los Angeles, 2007.
- 824 K. Yang, Y. Li, X. Tan, R. Peng and Z. Liu, Behavior and toxicity of graphene and its functionalized derivatives in biological systems, *Small*, 2013, **9**, 1492–1503.
- 825 B. Zhang, P. Wei, Z. Zhou and T. Wei, Interactions of graphene with mammalian cells: molecular mechanisms and biomedical insights, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2016, **105**, 145–162.
- 826 M. Ema, M. Gamo and K. Honda, A review of toxicity studies on graphene-based nanomaterials in laboratory animals, *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2017, **85**, 7–24.
- 827 T. P. D. Shareena, D. McShan, A. K. Dasmahapatra and P. B. Tchounwou, A review on graphene-based nanomaterials in biomedical applications and risks in environment and health, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2018, **10**, 53.

