

Chemical Science

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Celebrating
IYPT 2019

PERSPECTIVE

Yang Yang and Ji-Woong Lee
Toward ideal carbon dioxide functionalization

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 3905

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 11th December 2018
Accepted 20th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc05539d

rsc.li/chemical-science

Toward ideal carbon dioxide functionalization

Yang Yang and Ji-Woong Lee *

This *Perspective* recapitulates recent developments of carbon dioxide utilization in carbon–carbon bond formation reactions, with an intention of paving a way toward sustainable CO₂-functionalization and its tangible applications in synthetic chemistry. CO₂ functionalization reactions possess intrinsic drawbacks: the high kinetic inertness and thermodynamic stability of CO₂. Numerous procedures for CO₂ utilization depend on energy-intensive processes (*i.e.* high pressure and/or temperature), often solely relying on reactive substrates, hampering its general applications. Recent efforts thus have been dedicated to catalytic CO₂-utilization under ambient reaction conditions, however, it is still limited to a few activation modes and the use of reactive substrates. Herein, ideal CO₂-functionalization with particular emphasis on sustainability will be discussed based on the following sub-categories; (1) metal-catalyzed ‘reductive’ carboxylation reaction of halides, olefins and allyl alcohols, (2) photochemical CO₂-utilization, (3) redox-neutral CO₂-functionalization, and (4) enantioselective catalysis incorporating CO₂ to form C–CO₂ bonds (excluding strain mediated reactions with epoxide- and aziridine-based substrates). Recent progress in these fields will be discussed with the proposed reaction mechanisms and selected examples, highlighting redox-neutral, umpolung, and asymmetric carboxylation to postulate ideal CO₂ functionalization reactions to be developed in the near future.

1. Introduction

Carbon is an essential element for all living organisms, and is present in carbohydrates, amino acids, proteins, and lipids. These biomolecules are synthesized with specific selectivities controlled by the natural molecular foundry – enzymes – to sustain forms of life. The sustainability of bio- and chemical networks in living organisms is powered by the seemingly

unlimited solar energy. Owing to the evolution of cyanobacteria and their photosynthesis,¹ our planet became a unique biosphere where water was split into oxygen and hydrogen, while consuming (or fixating) CO₂ to generate reduced organic matter.

Photosynthesis and CO₂ fixation operate under ambient conditions; artificial photosynthesis is yet to be realized,² and can ensure sustainable growth of the human civilization. The challenge lies in overcoming the thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness of CO₂, which possesses the highest oxidation state of carbon. Therefore, it is inevitable to employ reducing

Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, Copenhagen Ø, 2100, Denmark. E-mail: jiwoong.lee@chem.ku.dk



Yang Yang (left) was born in 1989 in Hubei Province, P. R. China. He received his BSc (2013) and MSc (2016) in chemistry under the supervision of Prof. Wei Wang at the State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry (SKLAOC), Lanzhou University. He joined the Lee group in 2017 as a PhD student. His current research interest focuses on asymmetric CO₂-functionalization promoted by organocatalysts.

Ji-Woong Lee (right) received his BSc and MSc in chemistry from Sungkyunkwan University under the guidance of Prof. Choong Eui Song (2009). In 2013 he obtained his PhD under the supervision of Prof. Dr Benjamin List at the Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung. After postdoctoral research at the Weizmann Institute of Science with Prof. Rafal Klajn and at UC-Berkeley with Prof. Jeffrey R. Long, he has been an

assistant professor at the University of Copenhagen since 2016. His team is working on desalination, water purification, materials chemistry, new methodology development in CO₂-functionalization and asymmetric catalysis.



reagents (reactive metals, H₂, electricity, and highly reducing chemicals) to overcome the intrinsic reaction barrier of CO₂-activation, particularly to enable the reactions to be operative under mild reaction conditions.

Recently, the global society has raised concerns related to excessive energy consumption and uncontrollable anthropogenic CO₂ emission.³ Although CO₂ functionalization can provide ideal solutions, chemical reactions with CO₂ currently suffer from low efficiency, making it impossible to mitigate the overwhelmingly large quantity of accumulated CO₂ in the atmosphere at low concentrations.⁴ Yet, chemical recycling of carbon dioxide has been recognized as a promising supplement to the natural carbon cycle,⁵ while producing value-added fine chemicals.⁶ In this context, CO₂ can serve as an inexpensive and non-toxic renewable C1-building block.^{4,7} For example, light hydrocarbons and C₁- or C₂-units (*i.e.* carbon


monoxide, formic acid, formaldehyde, methanol, and oxalic acid) are accessible from CO₂, mostly catalyzed by heterogeneous materials (semiconductors,⁸ zeolites,⁹ COFs,¹⁰ MOFs,¹¹ and g-C₃N₄ (ref. 12)). On the other hand, homogeneous catalysis has shown remarkable potential in C–C bond formation reactions, *via* formal insertion of CO₂ at C–H bonds. The utility of carboxylic acids and their derivatives is certainly applicable with broad interest in organic synthesis¹³ and pharmaceutical chemistry.¹⁴

As categorized in Table 1, catalytic CO₂-functionalization reactions have been reviewed, particularly transition-metal catalyzed C–C bond formation reactions,¹⁵ carboxylation reactions catalyzed by palladium,¹⁶ silver,¹⁷ copper¹⁸ or copper–NHC (N-heterocyclic carbene) complexes,¹⁹ and nickel/iron²⁰ catalysts, asymmetric CO₂-functionalization reactions²¹ and photocatalytic CO₂-functionalization.²² Other types of reactions are

Table 1 A summary of recent reviews cited regarding CO₂-utilization related subjects

Year (ref.)	Title	Keywords
2014 (ref. 3a)	Catalysis for the valorization of exhaust carbon: from CO ₂ to chemicals, materials, and fuels.	CO ₂ emission and utilization CO ₂ capture CO ₂ emission and utilization CO ₂ conversion Catalysis, carbon life cycle assessment Photocatalytic CO ₂ reduction
2014 (ref. 3b)	Technological use of CO ₂	
2001 (ref. 3c)	Porous inorganic membranes for CO ₂ capture: present and prospects	
2007 (ref. 4)	Catalysis research of relevance to carbon management: progress, challenges, and opportunities	
2018 (ref. 7)	Transformation of carbon dioxide	
2018 (ref. 8a)	Sustainable conversion of carbon dioxide: an integrated review of catalysis and life cycle assessment	MOFs in CO ₂ utilization g-C ₃ N ₄ in CO ₂ utilization Metal-catalyzed carboxylation
2013 (ref. 8b)	Cocatalysts in semiconductor-based photocatalytic CO ₂ reduction: achievements, challenges, and opportunities	
2014 (ref. 8c)	Photocatalytic reduction of CO ₂ on TiO ₂ and other semiconductors	
2017 (ref. 11a)	Photocatalytic conversion of CO ₂ into renewable hydrocarbon fuels: state-of-the-art accomplishment, challenges, and prospects	
2015 (ref. 12a)	The chemistry of metal–organic frameworks for CO ₂ capture, regeneration and conversion	
2018 (ref. 15a)	Metal organic framework based catalysts for CO ₂ conversion	Cyclic organic carbonates
2016 (ref. 15b)	A review on g-C ₃ N ₄ for photocatalytic water splitting and CO ₂ reduction	
2018 (ref. 15c)	Transition metal-catalyzed carboxylation reactions with carbon dioxide	
2018 (ref. 16)	Metal-catalyzed carboxylation of organic (pseudo)halides with CO ₂	
2016 (ref. 17)	Transition metal-catalyzed carboxylation of unsaturated substrates with CO ₂	
2016 (ref. 18)	Recent advances in palladium-catalyzed carboxylation with CO ₂	Asymmetric functionalization Photocatalytic carboxylation using CO ₂ Formic acid and methanol derivatives
2013 (ref. 19)	Silver-catalyzed carboxylation	
2016 (ref. 20)	Copper-catalyzed carboxylation reactions using carbon dioxide	
2015 (ref. 23a)	N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)–copper-catalysed transformations of carbon dioxide	
2018 (ref. 23b)	Ni- and Fe-catalyzed carboxylation of unsaturated hydrocarbons with CO ₂	
2015 (ref. 23c)	Recent advances in the catalytic preparation of cyclic organic carbonates	Catalytic alkylation
2018 (ref. 24a)	Catalytic strategies for the cycloaddition of pure, diluted, and waste CO ₂ to epoxides under ambient conditions	
2017 (ref. 24b)	Synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides and carbon dioxide by using organocatalysts	
2017 (ref. 21a)	Catalytic reductive N-alkylations using CO ₂ and carboxylic acid derivatives: recent progress and developments	
2016 (ref. 21b)	Utilization of CO ₂ as a C1 building block for catalytic methylation reactions	
2018 (ref. 22a)	Enantioselective incorporation of CO ₂ : status and potential	Formic acid and methanol derivatives
2017 (ref. 22b)	CO ₂ -mediated formation of chiral fine chemicals	
2017 (ref. 85a)	Photoredox catalysis as a strategy for CO ₂ incorporation: direct access to carboxylic acids from a renewable feedstock	
2015 (ref. 85b)	Photochemical carboxylation of activated C(sp ³)–H bonds with CO ₂	
2014 (ref. 85c)	Reversible hydrogenation of carbon dioxide to formic acid and methanol: Lewis acid enhancement of base metal catalysts	
	CO ₂ hydrogenation to formate and methanol as an alternative to photo- and electrochemical CO ₂ reduction	
	Recycling of carbon dioxide to methanol and derived products-closing the loop	



Scheme 1 Stoichiometric CO₂-functionalization using Ni(0).

also tabulated to guide the readers for further reading in specific topics of interest. For example, carbonate formation reactions with epoxides and ring-strain mediated reactions,²³ catalytic alkylation with CO₂,²⁴ etc., will not be discussed in this *Perspective*.

The purpose of *this Perspective* is the following: providing a general concept of catalytic CO₂-functionalization by exemplifying recent progress (up to 2018). Section 2 will discuss transition-metal catalysis with a hint of sustainability. Sections 3 and 4 will explore recently reported photochemical redox catalysis by utilizing synthetic dyes with the aid of pre-established transition metal catalysis, and single-electron

reduction of CO₂ via a redox-neutral mechanism. Section 5 will focus on a handful but remarkable examples of asymmetric C–C bond formation reactions by the action of metal-chiral ligand complexes. The future perspective on ideal CO₂-functionalization will also be discussed in the context of umpolung carboxylation, redox-neutral photochemistry and asymmetric CO₂-activation to reduce the prevailing energy input or highly reactive species. This discussion will lead to an alternative platform for sustainable CO₂ recycling, to mimic the natural carbon cycle by utilizing the combined knowledge in organic, inorganic, photo- and materials chemistry, and enzymatic engineering for improved carbon fixation as well.


2. Metal-catalyzed reductive carboxylation with halides, olefins and allyl alcohols

The catalytic application of transition metals for carboxylation with CO₂ was triggered by the seminal work by Nobile²⁵ (Scheme 1, eqn (1)) and Osakada²⁶ (Scheme 1, eqn (2)), where stoichiometric Ph–Ni(L)–Br (L = 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy))


a) Ni-Catalyzed reductive R–CO₂ coupling reactions




b) Ligand-controlled carboxylation of allyl esters



c) Unsaturated hydrocarbon activation



d) Dicarboxylation of 1,3-dienes

Scheme 2 Ni-catalyzed reductive CO₂-functionalization reactions.


participated in CO₂ insertion at the Ph–Ni bond, affording benzoic acid as the final product.

The Martin group employed a Pd(II)–Pd(0) cycle in the catalytic carboxylation reaction of aryl bromides using ZnEt₂ as a terminal reducing reagent.²⁷ This methodology was further expanded to abundant Ni(II) catalysis by the Tsuji group,²⁸ realizing carboxylation of aryl chloride with Mn powder as a reducing reagent. New reductive carboxylation reactions were developed later by the Martin group with a broad range of substrate scope, including organic halides,²⁹ sulfonates,^{29b} esters,³⁰ benzylic ammonium salts³¹ (Scheme 2a), allyl acetates,³² allyl alcohols³³ (Scheme 2b), and unsaturated hydrocarbons (Scheme 2c and d).³⁴ The facile insertion of CO₂ into R–Ni was tested with olefin substrates, enabling olefin activation without an apparent hydride donor (Scheme 2). These protocols provided a broad substrate scope and high functional group tolerance. However, it is necessary to use (over) stoichiometric amounts of reducing reagents (*i.e.* Mn, Zn, ZnR₂, and *etc.*) to complete the catalytic cycle.

In 2017, a breakthrough CO₂-functionalization was reported by the Martin group proposing a ‘chain-walking’ mechanism with catalytic Ni–H species (Scheme 3).³⁵ Although the β-hydride

elimination is undesired in transition metal-catalyzed coupling reactions,³⁶ in the proposed reaction mechanism, a chain-walking process was key to generate thermodynamically more stable species, thus contributing to the high regio- and chemoselectivity of the targeted insertion reactions.³⁷ For carboxylation reactions with CO₂, the Martin group showed temperature-controlled site-selectivity affording linear and branched carboxylated products (1 : b ratios). The authors suggested a Curtin–Hammett scenario, where the reaction proceeded through common intermediates or transition states under fast equilibrium (Scheme 3a). More strikingly, the chain-walking mechanism was translated to a useful method starting from a mixture of alkyl bromides – expanding the utility of the protocol significantly. Regardless of regioisomers, linear alkanes were smoothly converted to carboxylated products under a bromination/carboxylation reaction sequence (1 atm of CO₂). The iterative reversible β-hydride elimination/insertion reactions occurred, converging regioisomers of alkyl bromides into a single carboxylated product (Scheme 3b).


The proposed chain-walking process with high site-selectivity represents a significant potential toward fatty-acid syntheses from bulk petroleum raw materials. In this context,



Scheme 3 Chain-walking carboxylation of halogenated hydrocarbons.



Substrate controlled branched/linear carboxylation reaction



Scheme 4 Site-selective carboxylation dictated by the degree of unsaturation.

the same group extended the methodology with olefin substrates, enabling carboxylation reactions in the presence of water as a proton source.^{34b} In the case of alkenes, water served as a way to access metal-hydride species,³⁸ namely Ni-H species, which in turn can participate in the above-mentioned chain-walking mechanism. Indeed, a linear carboxylic acid was the main product with high selectivity (b : l = 1 : 99) even from an unrefined mixture of olefin isomers (Scheme 4a). As for alkynes, however, only a branched carboxylation product was obtained (Scheme 4b). The authors proposed that the **Ni-L2** complex favored the formation of a thermodynamically more stable α,β -unsaturated nickelalactone (**Ni-1**) with internal alkynes in a CO_2 environment. Therefore, a branched carboxylic acid was obtained with high selectivity (b : l = 99 : 1) after reduction with H_2 and Pd/C. The 'uni-directional' chain-walking mechanism highlights the potential application of this process in producing added value chemicals from CO_2 and crude industrial feedstock.

It is noteworthy that the variation of the ligand is critical in Ni-catalyzed reactions. The substituent adjacent to the nitrogen atoms in bidentate ligands (**L1** and **L2**), such as bipyridine and phenanthroline, differentiates the site-selectivity of the carboxylation reaction. High site-selectivity is a pre-requisite for many organic transformations, for example in allylic substitution reactions. Catalytic metal-ligand complexes govern chemo-, regio- and even enantioselectivity.³⁹ Allyl alcohol is a substrate class with high accessibility yet low chemical utility for allylation reactions due to the apparently low leaving group ability of the hydroxide. It has been proved that *in situ* activation of allylic alcohol with 'activating reagents' can mediate various types of transformation,⁴⁰ shortening the synthetic steps avoiding the preparation of activated substrates⁴¹ (like amines,^{41a} ammonium salts,^{41b} carbamates,^{41c} carbonates,^{41d} esters,^{41e} ethers,^{41f} nitro compounds,^{41g} phosphates,^{41h} and sulfones⁴¹ⁱ). For example, CO_2 was involved in the asymmetric Pd-catalyzed direct α -allylation of ketones.^{40a} The use of CO_2 as a catalyst is noticeable although only a 'catalytic'-amount of it would be necessary for the process.


The Martin group employed CO_2 as an activating reagent as well as a C1 source for the carboxylation of allylic alcohols to afford β,γ -unsaturated carboxylic acids (Scheme 5a).³³ Once again, ligand-controlled selectivity was observed starting from

linear or branched allylic alcohols affording high yields of linear and branched carboxylation products (Scheme 5b). The former resulted from CO_2 insertion between the α -carbon and Ni(I) center. Alternatively, α -branched acids were obtained when the tridentate ligand **L3** was employed. The critical role of the ligands was rationalized by stoichiometric studies of active **NiL2** or **NiL3** species in the absence of Zn metal (yields: linear, 0%; branched 73%). The transition-state, **Ni-2**, was proposed for the nucleophilic attack from the γ -carbon of an η^1 -allyl Ni(II) intermediate to CO_2 . Also, a six-membered cyclic conformation can be suggested, similar to the reported nucleophilic addition of Pd-(π) allyl intermediates to CO_2 or carbonyl substrates.^{42,43} The utility of the reaction was further verified by producing useful intermediates for the synthesis of γ -lactone-based bioactive compounds.⁴⁴


Dienes, abundant and accessible chemical feedstocks, have the same oxidation states as allylic alcohols. However, activation of dienes and conjugated olefins poses a great challenge. Recently, Ni-based catalysts were evaluated for a catalytic carboxylation reaction of dienes toward carboxylated or dicarboxylated products in stoichiometric amounts of a Ni(0) complex.⁴⁵ Although limited only to activated substrates, alkynes⁴⁶ and silylallenes⁴⁷ were transformed to the desired dicarboxylated products. The Martin group successfully implemented a catalytic dicarboxylation reaction for 1,3-dienes with high site-selectivity (up to 90%), to furnish diesters (Scheme 6a).^{34c} Various functional groups were tolerated including heterocycles, organotin, nitrile, and esters. Structurally simple dienes such as butadiene, isoprene, and piperylene – major byproducts of steam cracking in ethylene production plants – were converted to the corresponding terminal diacids with excellent site-selectivity in moderate yields (up to 65% yield, 99 : 1 selectivity, Scheme 6b). Single crystal structure analysis determined the formation of monocarboxylated η^3 -Ni nickelalactone (**Ni-3**). The corresponding dicarboxylation product could be obtained when **Ni-3** was treated with CO_2 under optimized reaction conditions (also see Mori group's work^{45c}), shedding some light on the reaction mechanism (Scheme 6c).

The transition metal-catalyzed carboxylation reactions of the above-mentioned recent examples showed unprecedented catalytic performances with a variety of substrates, yet they require stoichiometric reducing reagents to sustain the catalytic cycle.




a) Principle design of CO₂ facilitates C-O bond cleavage.

b) Plausible mechanisms with L2 and L3.



c) Selected examples



Scheme 5 Site-selective catalytic carboxylation of allylic alcohols.

Certain improvements have been attempted by utilizing insoluble reducing reagents (Mn, and Zn powder) replacing highly reactive RMgX, Et₂Zn, or AlMe₃. In an ideal CO₂ functionalization process, a redox-neutral mechanism would be more desirable,⁴⁸ where no additional oxidants or reductants are required. In this context, the next two section will describe reactions utilizing photocatalysts, demonstrating sustainable light-induced chemical reduction reactions, mimicking photosynthesis.

3. Photocatalytic carboxylation with CO₂


Photosynthesis is the master process in the realm of CO₂-functionalization, as it is called CO₂-fixation. This ideal process

operates *via* multi-step electron transfer and chemical transformation reactions,⁴⁹ resulting in somewhat limited CO₂-fixation efficiency, constraining the capacity of nature's carbon cycle (Fig. 1 highlighted in green).⁵⁰ Recent efforts in enzymatic engineering in chemical biology for *in vitro* CO₂ fixation⁵¹ would potentially lead to enhanced photosynthesis.⁵²


Solar energy obviously represents one of the most promising and limitless energy sources, which can be harnessed using a photosensitizer. In the late 1970s, seminal studies were reported regarding photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by the Tazuke, Fujishima, Honda, and Lehn groups,⁵³ which formed the basis of the modern photoredox activation of CO₂. Further developments in photocatalysts played a significant role in CO₂ reduction reactions mainly targeting industrial feedstock




a) Scope of dicarboxylation



b) Dicarboxylation of structurally simple dienes



c) Stoichiometric studies with Ni-3



Scheme 6 Ni-catalyzed dicarboxylation of 1,3-dienes and a mechanistic study.






Fig. 1 Natural photosynthesis and an example of artificial photosynthesis.



Scheme 7 Carboxylation of aryl halides by Pd/Ir dual catalysis.

molecules, such as carbon monoxide, methanol, methane and formic acid.⁸ In this regard, artificial CO₂ functionalization reactions have shown elegant modes of action in C-C bond

formation reactions.²² For example, a photochemical CO₂-fixation provided α -amino acid derivatives in a one-step reaction (Fig. 1 bottom right⁵⁴). The key to the success of this field will be



Scheme 8 Photoredox cycles and carboxylation cycles in a co-catalysis system.




to maintain mild reaction conditions to conserve complex molecular structures of products, while providing appropriate reduction potential for the reductive CO₂-functionalization.

The Iwasawa group demonstrated a dual catalytic system with a Pd/Ir-photocouple for carboxylation reactions of aryl halides in the absence of metallic reducing reagents (Scheme 7).⁵⁵ Hünig's base (3 eq.) served as a sacrificial electron donor in photoredox cycles, generating Pd(0)-complexes in the proposed catalytic carboxylation cycles (Scheme 10b). Although Ar-Pd(II)-Br(XPhos) possesses a high reduction potential (−2.28 V, vs. Fc/Fc⁺), a new peak at −1.4 V was observed from cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements. The coordination of CO₂ on Pd might influence the redox chemistry of the metal complex, therefore reducing the required reduction potential. In addition to the common insertion of CO₂ into the active Pd(II)-C bond, the authors suggested the formation of two intermediates, a Pd(I)- or Pd(II)-CO₂ complex (Scheme 7b, path b). After methylation with TMSCHN₂, various carboxylic acid esters were obtained including a sterically hindered acid (*i.e.* 2,4,5-triisopropyl carboxylic acid methyl ester).

Starting from simple feedstocks, Ar-Br and alkyl-Br, the König group reported visible light-induced carboxylation mediated by nickel catalysts (Scheme 8).⁵⁶ The plausible

reaction mechanism could be divided into two distinct catalytic cycles. The first one involved a one electron delivery to a Ni(II) or Ni(I) complex from the anion radical (4CzIPN^{•−}). Hantzsch ester (HEH, 2 equiv. required) was used as a terminal reducing agent in the presence of a reducing excited sensitizer (4CzIPN*) and light (left circle, Scheme 8b). Second, the oxidative addition to a Ni(0) complex was suggested, which undergoes reduction and then an insertion reaction with CO₂ (right circle, Scheme 8b). The catalytically active Ni(0) species can be regenerated from Ni(I) with electron sources produced from the left circle.

The same group expanded the dual catalysis strategy to the carboxylation of styrenes,⁵⁷ affording Markovnikov (branched) or anti-Markovnikov (linear) products selectively controlled by the choice of the ligand (Scheme 9). The suggested reaction mechanism explained that the observed chemoselectivity (branched/linear) was controlled by the different ligands (L6, neocuproine and L7, dppb). According to DFT calculations, Ni(0) species with the more sterically demanding ligand dppb (L7) tend to coordinate with CO₂, forming a 5-membered nickel-elactone (Ni-4) with styrene. With the less hindered neocuproine ligand (L6), the reaction proceeds *via* hydrometalation of styrene to afford Ni(II), which is subsequently reduced by the catalytic action of the photosensitizer (4CzIPN, Scheme 9b). The



Scheme 9 Site-selective photocatalytic carboxylation controlled by ligands.



electrons generated from the photocatalytic cycle are used to reduce Ni(II) or Ni(I) to Ni(0) , which can diverge to the hydro-metalation step (left) and CO_2 activation step (right) to generate branched and linear products respectively while completing the catalytic cycles.

The Jamison group reported styrene functionalization reactions in a CO_2 atmosphere to generate β -aryl carboxylic acids (Scheme 10).⁵⁸ In this case PMP (1,2,2,6,6-pentamethylpiperidine) was employed as a sacrificial organic electron donor, while utilizing water as an additive under modified reaction conditions. Although it is unclear, the addition of water induced high selectivity toward the mono-carboxylated product compared to other tested hydride or proton donors. The suggested reaction mechanism shows that the carboxylation with $\text{CO}_2^{\cdot-}$ results in the formation of a stabilized benzylic radical ($E^0 =$ from -1.82 to -0.71 V vs. SCE). Therefore, further reduction is feasible leading to the generation of carboxylated benzylic anion species, which could be protonated upon addition of water.


Photoactivation of organic substrates has been a successful transformation with high chemoselectivity to produce Markovnikov (branched) or anti-Markovnikov (linear) carboxylic acids. Also, the Murakami group reported a carboxylation reaction with α -alkyl ketones and CO_2 via a Norrish type II activation mechanism.⁵⁹ The carboxylation reactions at toluenyl carbon were also conducted in natural sunlight at ambient temperature with good isolated yields of the desired products. The authors suggested an energetically feasible $[4 + 2]$ cycloaddition reaction by DFT calculations, which was determined by the thermal reaction of benzocyclobutenol to generate an *o*-quinodimethane intermediate.

Recently, Hou *et al.* reported carboxylation reactions of internal and terminal alkynes promoted by Co/Ir dual catalysis (Scheme 11).⁶⁰ The authors proposed that the reaction proceeded via functionalization of alkynes to generate an (*E*)-Co-CO₂ complex which is an intermediate for various products – carboxylic acids, pyrones, α,β -unsaturated γ -lactones, coumarins, and 2-quinolones, by sharing a common intermediate, (*E*)-int

(Scheme 11a). Pyrones were formed through a formal $[2 + 2 + 2]$ cycloaddition with terminal alkynes ($\text{R}^1=\text{H}$). In the case of internal alkynes, pharmaceutically vital heterocycles such as coumarins and 2-quinolones were obtained with high selectivity. The suggested mechanism proceeded via intramolecular cyclization of acrylic acid intermediates. The *E/Z* isomerization of acrylic acid was confirmed by control experiments with (or without) the Ir-photoredox catalyst under irradiation (or in the dark). Also, this newly developed carboxylation/acyl-migration cascade reaction is feasible for alkyne difunctionalization, highlighting its utility in the field of light-driven CO_2 -fixation.


The Yu group reported the photocatalytic hydrocarboxylation of enamides and imines to afford α -amino acids with excellent chemo- and regio-selectivity (Scheme 12).⁶¹ The pre-equilibrium of enamides and imines was combined with photocatalytic reduction. Despite the inherent nucleophilicity of enamides, kinetic studies indicated that the imines underwent the desired hydrocarboxylation faster than the competitive β -carboxylation reaction. The authors proposed an umpolung reaction of the α -amino carbanion under metal-free conditions. The carboxylated products were obtained with a broad substrate scope regardless of the electronic and steric properties of substituents. In addition, the enamide and imine starting materials were equally effective, confirming the fast pre-equilibrium before the reduction/carboxylation steps.

Very recently, the Walsh group presented photocatalytic carboxylation of benzophenone-derived ketimines by employing an Ir-complex (**Ir-I**) under mild conditions (Scheme 13).⁶² The radical anion was generated by single electron transfer (SET) from [**Ir-I**]^{*} to ketimines, which was facilitated by the coordination between the imine and Cy_2MeN^+ .⁶³ Spin density calculation was carried out to evaluate the radical anions (**A**, **B**) suggesting that the carbon atom was more negatively charged than the nitrogen atom (spin density, radical probability on C: 0.05–0.18 and N: 0.37).⁶⁴ Subsequently, the more reactive *N*-centered radical species abstracts a hydrogen atom from $\text{Cy}_2\text{MeN}^{\text{c}}$ to form an α -amino carbanion and an iminium cation



Scheme 10 Photocatalytic direct β -selective hydrocarboxylation of styrenes.






Scheme 11 Carboxylation of alkynes by Co/Ir dual catalysis.

$[\text{Cy}_2\text{N}=\text{CH}_2]^+$ *via* an umpolung reactivity (Scheme 13b). The carbanion then undergoes nucleophilic addition to CO_2 affording the desired carboxylation product. The obtained α,α -disubstituted α -amino acid shows potential application of the protocol in asymmetric synthesis to generate quaternary stereogenic centers, which are often difficult to control.⁶⁵



Direct carboxylation of imines and amines with CO₂ represents a very promising pathway to afford α -amino acids, especially those promoted by photoredox catalysts as shown above (Schemes 12, 13 and 17). Compared to tertiary amines, however, α -functionalization (*i.e.* α -carboxylation) of primary amines still remains a great challenge due to the lower reactivity of the α -C-H bond. Besides carboxylation reactions, CO₂ has been used as an activating group,^{33,40} a directing group⁶⁶ and a protecting

group⁶⁷ in organic synthesis. Ye *et al.* recently reported the photocatalytic α -alkylation of primary amines to yield γ -lactams with CO₂ as a temporary activator and as a protecting group (Scheme 14).⁶⁸ Various α,β -unsaturated esters were tolerated in the presence of an **Ir-II** photosensitizer. Quinuclidine was employed as a sacrificial electron donor. According to the suggested reaction mechanism, CO₂ was regenerated after releasing lactam products *via* an intramolecular cyclization reaction. The *in situ* carbamate formation reaction suppressed the reactivity of primary amines while increasing the reactivity of α -C-H bonds according to the computational studies. The generation of the α -radical of the substrate is highly intriguing due to the potential applications toward various electrophiles and radical-radical coupling reactions. Furthermore, the use of

a) Reaction conditions




b) Plausible Mechanism




c) Selected examples

scope of enamides





scope of imines




Scheme 12 Photocatalytic hydrocarboxylation of enamides and imines.


a) Reaction conditions



b) Plausible mechanism




c) Selected examples



Scheme 13 Photocatalytic carboxylation of ketimines.





Scheme 14 Catalytic application of CO₂ for photocatalytic α -alkylation of primary amines.

tertiary amines as a base will enable a potential asymmetric catalysis to afford enantioenriched products.

This section summarizes recent progress in photo-CO₂-functionalization without strong metallic reducing agents. Instead, an organic sacrificial electron source or a reducing reagent was employed (*i.e.* triethylamine, piperidine, Hünig's base and Hantzsch esters) in the presence of photocatalysts with an appropriate reduction potential to complete the catalytic cycles. Various types of substrates underwent C–CO₂ bond formation reactions to provide unique molecular structures under ambient photosynthetic conditions (low CO₂ pressure, and accessible light sources). However, there is still plenty of room to develop more elegant methodologies in terms of

sustainability. The next section will discuss redox-neutral carboxylation without external reductants.

4. Recent developments in redox-neutral CO₂-functionalization

It is thought that catalytic carboxylation of non-activated organic substrates would be an ideal approach to CO₂-utilization, avoiding reactive organometallic reagents (RMgX, RLi, R₂Zn, R₄Sn, *etc.*). For example, solar energy provides chemical reduction potential to enable CO₂ conversion in the Calvin cycle, where actual CO₂-fixation and C–CO₂ bond formation reaction occur under mild conditions *via* an α -ketol





Fig. 2 Calvin–Benson–Bassham (CBB) cycle at the RubisCo enzyme reaction center. Note that the C–CO₂ H bond formation reaction occurs at the ketone functional group, and the two glyceric acid products are enantiomerically enriched.




tolerance, including amides, esters, and ketones. The authors suggested that the presence of the low-valent Co(I)-complex was the key to the successful carboxylation reaction with high selectivity. This protocol expands upon the scope of carboxylation to C(sp³)-H bonds, which represents atom- and step-economic approaches to construct molecular complexity by incorporating CO₂.

Very recently, the Yu group reported photocatalytic carboxylation of tetraalkyl ammonium salts *via* C–N bond cleavage (Scheme 16).⁷² Trimethylamine was generated *in situ* by single-electron transfer (SET) from the excited **Ir-I** to the substrates. In turn, the resulting active **Ir-I** species could be reduced by the tertiary amine. Afterwards, carbanions undergo a carboxylation reaction after another SET step between the excited photoredox catalyst and the alkyl radical. The authors suggested that the oxidized trimethylamine was transformed to amine species, like α -radical [Me₂NCH₂•], or dimethylamine after hydrolysis. As electron donors, trimethylamine and dimethylamine accounted for 2 equivalents of reducing reagents required to complete the catalytic cycle. This built-in reductant was generated and demonstrated carboxylation reactions without additional reducing reagents, compared to Ni-catalyzed reductive carboxylation of benzylic C–N bonds.³¹

In the above-mentioned cases, organic amines act as sacrificial electron donors, where the resulting radical cation trialkyl amines have dramatically reduced pK_a at the α -protons.⁷³ In the presence of a base, a deprotonation reaction would generate an amine with an α -radical, which can couple with other reactive species. The single-electron reduction of CO_2 to $CO_2^{\cdot-}$ is in general a rate-determining step due to the high reduction potential (-2.21 V vs. SCE (saturated calomel electrode) in DMF (*N,N*-dimethylformamide)).⁷⁴ A viable C-C bond formation







Scheme 16 Photocatalytic carboxylation with a built-in reductant as the electron donor.

reaction with $\text{CO}_2^{\cdot-}$ and amine based α -radicals would afford α -amino acids as the product. This was realized by the Jamison group demonstrating a metal-free photoredox conversion of CO_2 (Scheme 17).⁵⁴ An organic sensitizer, *p*-terphenyl, mediated single electron transfer reactions (reduction potential: -2.63 V vs. SCE in DMF) to perform the suggested one-electron reduction of CO_2 , providing α -amino acids in the absence of additional reducing reagents. Various aryl-substituted α -amino acids were prepared in good to excellent yields. The convenience of continuous flow chemistry⁷⁵ was an added benefit of the photocatalysis to provide essential synthetic building blocks from carbon dioxide. The generation of CO_2 -radical anion is highly attractive, considering its vast application potential in organic synthesis for carboxylation reactions. This photocatalysis mediated by *p*-terphenyl showed promise toward metal-free CO_2 -functionalization *via* a single-electron reduction mechanism in terms of atom-economy (redox-neutral), feasibility (continuous flow setups), and utility of the final products (α -amino acids) containing stereogenic centers.

Owing to the recent developments in organic photosynthesis and photosensitizers,^{22,76} unprecedented reactivity patterns were achieved with CO_2 as a C1 source. For example, the Martin group showed photocatalytic dicarbofunctionalization of styrene derivatives initiated by radicals under mild reaction conditions, where stabilized benzyl carbanions react with CO_2 (Scheme 18).^{70a} Various radical initiators, such as trifluoro- and difluorosulfonates, and trifluoroborate salts, were proven to be effective under photochemical reaction conditions. The photocatalytic redox cycle was mediated by an Ir-complex (**Ir-II**). This protocol provides two new C–C bonds with a stereogenic center in the absence of additional stoichiometric reducing reagents. Trisubstituted alkenes were also employed to afford carboxylic acids with a quaternary stereogenic center. The convenient introduction of the (di)trifluoromethyl group highlights potential applications of radical carboxylation reactions in drug discovery and pharmaceutical industry.⁷⁷

The Yu group developed the first thiocarboxylation of styrenes by using an Fe/S complex as the photosensitizer


Scheme 17 Photoredox CO_2 -activation to access α -amino acids using a *p*-terphenyl photosensitizer.



Scheme 18 Structural diversity of carboxylation with radical initiators.

(Scheme 19).^{70b} Various β -thioacids were synthesized selectively with different regioselectivities from the previous protocol (Scheme 18). Mechanistic studies revealed that single-electron reduction of CO₂ can be initiated by the excited Fe/S complex, yielding the CO₂ radical anion (CO₂^{•-}). This radical intermediate was trapped subsequently by an alkene substrate to generate a stabilized alkyl radical, which led to anti-Markovnikov regioselectivity. Thiolation of alkyl radicals was

mediated by the [Fe/S] radical cation, highlighting the application potential of the methodology in the synthesis of β -thioacids – an intermediate for the antidepressant drug thiazensim.⁷⁸ Also, considering the Fe- and S-rich environment in the prebiotic era, the presented reaction could help us to rethink the CO₂ chemistry in the primordial soup, potentially affording complicated photoredox reactions with CO₂ to furnish chiral molecules.



Scheme 19 Fe–S catalyzed thiocarboxylation induced by visible light.



The progress in redox-neutral CO₂ functionalization showed elegant reaction mechanisms operating under mild conditions, for example, *via* CO₂ insertion into metal–carbon bonds or CO₂^{•−} captured by activated substrates. This represents a promising and ideal mode of action, whereby no additional sacrificial redox agents were applied to construct multiple C–C, and C–X bonds. Thus, high atom economy and step-efficiency are expected in constructing molecules with CO₂ as a non-toxic C1 source, boosting research in CO₂-utilization from recently developed dicarbofunctionalization.⁷⁹ Meanwhile, the structural diversity of recent CO₂-functionalization reactions shows the significant potential of CO₂ in asymmetric synthesis and catalysis. Further investigations on the asymmetric activation of CO₂ and its utilization in CO₂-functionalization will allow us to achieve higher values of products while recycling CO₂.


5. Asymmetric catalytic carboxylation with CO₂

Besides the asymmetric synthesis of cyclic carbonates or polycarbonates with epoxides or diols,^{21b,80} the construction of enantioselective C–CO₂ bonds using CO₂ has been a formidable challenge under the influence of chiral catalysts or chiral environments. This is due to the high stability of CO₂, limiting the scope of reaction partners; highly reactive organometallic species and/or harsh reaction conditions are necessary thus low stereoselectivity is in general expected.^{21a} In 2004, the Mori group reported the carboxylative cyclization reaction of bis-1,3-dienes catalyzed by a Ni catalyst (Scheme 20).⁸¹ The authors performed facile 5-membered ring formation reactions in the presence of excess amounts of dialkyl zinc (4.5 equiv.). The obtained products possess three consecutive stereogenic centers with absolute diastereoselectivity with good yield and excellent enantioselectivity.

In 2017, the Marek group developed an enantioselective Cu-catalyzed carbomagnesiation reaction of cyclopropenes, which could be selectively carboxylated with CO₂ as an electrophile (Scheme 21).⁸² High diastereoselectivity was observed which is not fully understood yet based on the control experiment without the copper catalyst (racemic but moderate diastereoselectivity, 9 : 1 dr). Other electrophiles such as iodine, bromine and allylbromide were smoothly incorporated to furnish the desired products. Although Grignard reagents are reactive nucleophiles, the sequential addition of the alkene and CO₂ prevented direct attack of these nucleophiles on CO₂ at low reaction temperature (0 °C) in the presence of a copper catalyst. The observed stereoselectivity was attributed to the stability of the stereogenic center at the carbon–Cu moiety, explaining the *cis* geometry between the nucleophile and electrophilic CO₂.

The Yu group⁸³ recently reported a highly regio- and enantioselective copper-catalyzed CO₂-functionalization reaction of olefins owing to enantioselective Cu–H catalysis⁸⁴ (Scheme 22). Inspired by the CO₂ reduction reaction to methanol⁸⁵ and other higher alcohols,⁸⁶ the authors developed the sequential enantioselective Cu–H addition, carboxylation and reduction reactions to achieve hydroxymethylation of olefins. A preliminary mechanistic study revealed that the **L12** Cu–R (C) species showed no reactivity toward reduced CO₂ (R₃Si–OCOH) (dashed arrow), indicating the direct carboxylation of C in the chiral environment to ensure the obtained high enantioselectivity. Furthermore, the developed methodology was applied to 1,3-dienes, affording (*Z*)-selective homoallylic alcohols with good enantioselectivities. Further derivatization of the hydroxymethylation products afforded elegant syntheses of enantioenriched (*R*)-(–)-curcumen⁸⁷ and (*S*)-(+)-ibuprofen, starting from CO₂ as a C1 building block.


Although asymmetric catalytic C–C bond formation has achieved relatively considerable progress,⁸⁸ only a few methodologies have been reported with CO₂ as a sustainable C1 source while creating stereogenic center(s) with high stereoselectivity.



Scheme 20 Asymmetric carboxylative cyclization of bis-1,3-dienes.



Carboxylation conditions and scopes




Scheme 21 Asymmetric carbomagnesiation/carboxylation of cyclopropenes.

Considering that the carbon fixation process produces carbohydrates and biomass with absolute enantioselectivity, it is a logical extension to implement asymmetric carboxylation reactions in artificial CO_2 fixation. Chemical synthesis offers various synthetic pathways and tools that can be easily tested, potentially providing a playground for facile screening and method development. For example, photochemical reactions with chiral catalysts including a chiral iridium catalyst⁸⁹ or Lewis-acid assisted photocatalysis⁹⁰ for CO_2 -functionalization are seemingly feasible methods to be developed. Considering the mode of action of RubisCo enzyme, redox-inactive metals and ligands (*e.g.* Mg–biotin complex) would be critical to improve the availability of CO_2 in organic reactions.⁹¹ On the other hand, it could be inferred that chiral CO_2 -complexes may play a significant role in CO_2 -activation *via* bifunctional

asymmetric catalysts.⁹² It would be exciting to see the development of CO_2 -functionalization, with foreseeable sustainability and increased utility of the final products in organic synthesis.

6. Conclusion and outlook: umpolung reactivities towards CO_2

It is a formidable challenge to define an “ideal” carbon dioxide functionalization considering that many factors – environmental impact, atom-economy, sustainability, utility of products, and reaction conditions – are involved in designing reaction processes. Harnessing the full capacity of CO_2 -functionalization can be envisaged with sustainable and accessible chemical feed stocks, catalysts, and reaction conditions.


Scheme 22 Enantioselective incorporation of CO_2 via hydrocarboxylation of styrene derivatives and dienes.

Victor Grignard, in 1912, stated this in his Nobel Lecture – “Willstatter in fact recognized that ...organic magnesium compounds must form and that the absorption of CO₂ gas by chlorophyll would in every way be comparable to the Grignard reaction”. The mode of action of magnesium compounds in chlorophyll differs from what Grignard speculated, however, one of the earliest umpolung reactions with CO₂ and Grignard reagents paved a way for modern CO₂ functionalization to date. Considering the formation of Grignard reagents, an umpolung process utilized polarized bonds, C^{δ+}–X^{δ–}, by inverting the electronic nature of the carbon to nucleophilic by forming C^{δ–}–Mg–X.

In this context, recent developments in umpolung carboxylation reactions have shown unprecedented reaction patterns (Scheme 23):^{61,62,93} for example, umpolung reactivity has been implemented to functionalize CO₂ for an aldehyde carboxylation reaction through a redox-neutral mechanism (Scheme 23a).^{93b} The obtained product, α-keto acid, was smoothly converted to the corresponding α-amino acid under reductive amination reaction conditions mimicking the biosynthesis of various amino acids. The use of nitrogen-containing nucleophiles offers direct synthesis of α-amino acid derivatives (Scheme 23c–e). By employing cyanohydrin, hydrazone, photocatalysts, and a base, *in situ* generated umpolung species were transformed to the desired carboxylated products under mild

reaction conditions, with or without reducing agents. This is particularly interesting to hypothesize the evolution of α-amino acids from the CO₂-rich prebiotic environment. It has been postulated that cyanide is an abundant source of a carbon nucleophile in the synthesis of biologically active molecules in the primordial soup.⁹⁴ The use of aldehydes, cyanide, and CO₂ in synthesizing biologically active molecules is a promising step toward answering the important question: what is the origin of life? Was there an involvement of photochemical CO₂-activation? Was it promoted by an optically pure component to induce homochirality? The forthcoming ideal CO₂-functionalization may answer these conundrums.

In summary, this *Perspective* collects the recent literature in CO₂ functionalization and groups it into four categories: (1) metal catalyzed direct carboxylation, (2) photocatalytic carboxylation reactions, (3) redox-neutral carboxylation, and (4) asymmetric introduction of catalytic CO₂ for C–C bond formation reactions. Even a broad scope of substrates and remote site functionalization were achieved; transition metal-catalyzed reductive carboxylation is mostly limited to CO₂ insertion reaction with (over)stoichiometric amounts of reducing reagents. However, photoredox catalysts present promising access to more diversified CO₂ reactions, like dicarbofunctionalization, single-electron reduction and radical coupling *via*



Scheme 23 Direct umpolung carboxylation. (a) Umpolung carboxylation of aldehyde and reductive amination of α-keto acids to α-amino acids; (b) umpolung carboxylation of hydrazones; (c) photo-induced and (d) base-promoted umpolung carboxylation of imines.



a redox-neutral mechanism. Thanks to these developments of methodologies, as discussed at the end of Section 5, more examples in challenging enantioselective C–CO₂ bond formation will be realized in the near future. Although enzymatic CO₂ functionalization reactions are not covered in this *Perspective*, they have shown their very promising application in artificial carbon recycling processes.^{51,95} Synergetic and interdisciplinary CO₂ fixation with biological and chemical catalysts will be particularly interesting in (asymmetric) photocatalytic conversion of CO₂, truly mimicking photosynthesis to provide ideal CO₂ functionalization reactions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- (a) G. Drews, in *Bioenergetic Processes of Cyanobacteria: From Evolutionary Singularity to Ecological Diversity*, ed. G. A. Peschek, C. Obinger and G. Renger, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2011, p. 265; (b) R. M. Soo, J. Hemp, D. H. Parks, W. W. Fischer and P. Hugenholtz, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 1436.
- (a) D. Gust and T. A. Moore, *Science*, 1989, **244**, 35; (b) X. Liu, S. Inagaki and J. Gong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14924.
- (a) M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto and A. Angelini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 1709; (b) M. Pera-Titus, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 1413; (c) H. Arakawa, M. Aresta, J. N. Armor, M. A. Barteau, E. J. Beckman, A. T. Bell, J. E. Bercaw, C. Creutz, E. Dinjus, D. A. Dixon, K. Domen, D. L. DuBois, J. Eckert, E. Fujita, D. H. Gibson, W. A. Goddard, D. W. Goodman, J. Keller, G. J. Kubas, H. H. Kung, J. E. Lyons, L. E. Manzer, T. J. Marks, K. Morokuma, K. M. Nicholas, R. Periana, L. Que, J. Rostrup-Nielsen, W. M. H. Sachtler, L. D. Schmidt, A. Sen, G. A. Somorjai, P. C. Stair, B. R. Stults and W. Tumas, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 953.
- T. Sakakura, J.-C. Choi and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2365.
- G. A. Olah, G. K. S. Prakash and A. Goepfert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12881.
- (a) O. Jacquet, C. Das Neves Gomes, M. Ephritikhine and T. Cantat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 2934; (b) C. Das Neves Gomes, O. Jacquet, C. Villiers, P. Thuéry, M. Ephritikhine and T. Cantat, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 187.
- J. Artz, T. E. Müller, K. Thenert, J. Kleinekorte, R. Meys, A. Sternberg, A. Bardow and W. Leitner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 434.
- (a) J. Ran, M. Jaroniec and S.-Z. Qiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1704649; (b) S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende and J. K. Stolarczyk, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7372; (c) W. Tu, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4607.
- (a) P. Gao, S. Li, X. Bu, S. Dang, Z. Liu, H. Wang, L. Zhong, M. Qiu, C. Yang, J. Cai, W. Wei and Y. Sun, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 1019; (b) J. Wei, Q. Ge, R. Yao, Z. Wen, C. Fang, L. Guo, H. Xu and J. Sun, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15174; (c) J. Wang, A. Zhang, X. Jiang, C. Song and X. Guo, *J. CO₂ Util.*, 2018, **27**, 81.
- (a) S. Lin, C. S. Diercks, Y.-B. Zhang, N. Kornienko, E. M. Nichols, Y. Zhao, A. R. Paris, D. Kim, P. Yang, O. M. Yaghi and C. J. Chang, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 1208; (b) S. Yang, W. Hu, X. Zhang, P. He, B. Pattengale, C. Liu, M. Cendejas, I. Hermans, X. Zhang, J. Zhang and J. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14614; (c) Z.-J. Mu, X. Ding, Z.-Y. Chen and B.-H. Han, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 41350.
- (a) C. A. Trickett, A. Helal, B. A. Al-Maythality, Z. H. Yamani, K. E. Cordova and O. M. Yaghi, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, **2**, 17045; (b) J. W. Maina, C. Pozo-Gonzalo, L. Kong, J. Schütz, M. Hill and L. F. Dumée, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2017, **4**, 345.
- (a) S. Ye, R. Wang, M.-Z. Wu and Y.-P. Yuan, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **358**, 15; (b) S. Yin, J. Han, T. Zhou and R. Xu, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 5048.
- L. J. Gooßen, N. Rodríguez and K. Gooßen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3100.
- (a) E. Proschak, P. Heitel, L. Kalinowsky and D. Merk, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **60**, 5235; (b) H. Maag, in *Prodrugs: Challenges and Rewards Part 1*, ed. V. J. Stella, R. T. Borchardt, M. J. Hageman, R. Oliyai, H. Maag and J. W. Tilley, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2007, p. 703.
- (a) A. Tortajada, F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 15948; (b) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, D. Gallego and R. Martin, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6739; (c) S.-S. Yan, Q. Fu, L.-L. Liao, G.-Q. Sun, J.-H. Ye, L. Gong, Y.-Z. Bo-Xue and D.-G. Yu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **374**, 439.
- J. Song, Q. Liu, H. Liu and X. Jiang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **2018**, 696.
- K. Sekine and T. Yamada, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 4524.
- S. Wang, G. Du and C. Xi, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 3666.
- L. Zhang and Z. Hou, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 3395.
- F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Gaydou, E. Serrano, M. van Gemmeren and R. Martin, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2016, **374**, 45.
- (a) J. Vaitla, Y. Guttormsen, J. K. Mannisto, A. Nova, T. Repo, A. Bayer and K. H. Hopmann, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 7231; (b) X.-B. Lu, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2016, **53**, 171.
- (a) Y. Charles, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201806285; (b) Y.-Y. Gui, W.-J. Zhou, J.-H. Ye and D.-G. Yu, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 1337.
- (a) C. Martín, G. Fiorani and A. W. Kleij, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1353; (b) R. R. Shaikh, S. Pornpraprom and V. D'Elia, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 419; (c) M. Cokoja, M. E. Wilhelm, M. H. Anthofer, W. A. Herrmann and F. E. Kühn, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 2436.
- (a) J. R. Cabrero-Antonino, R. Adam Ortiz and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201810121; (b) Y. Li, X. Cui, K. Dong, K. Junge and M. Beller, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 1077.
- M. Aresta, C. F. Nobile, V. G. Albano, E. Forni and M. Manassero, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1975, 636.
- K. Osakada, R. Sato and T. Yamamoto, *Organometallics*, 1994, **13**, 4645.
- A. Correa and R. Martín, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 15974.



- 28 T. Fujihara, K. Nogi, T. Xu, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 9106.
- 29 (a) T. León, A. Correa and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1221; (b) Y. Liu, J. Cornella and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11212; (c) T. Moragas and R. Martin, *Synthesis*, 2016, **48**, 2816; (d) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7504.
- 30 A. Correa, T. León and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1062.
- 31 T. Moragas, M. Gaydou and R. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5053.
- 32 T. Moragas, J. Cornella and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 17702.
- 33 M. van Gemmeren, M. Börjesson, A. Tortajada, S. Z. Sun, K. Okura and R. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6558.
- 34 (a) X. Wang, Y. Liu and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6476; (b) M. Gaydou, T. Moragas, F. Juliá-Hernández and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12161; (c) A. Tortajada, R. Ninokata and R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2050.
- 35 F. Juliá-Hernández, T. Moragas, J. Cornella and R. Martin, *Nature*, 2017, **545**, 84.
- 36 (a) C. C. C. Johansson Seechurn, M. O. Kitching, T. J. Colacot and V. Snieckus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 5062; (b) N. Kambe, T. Iwasaki and J. Terao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4937; (c) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak and M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1417.
- 37 (a) A. Vasseur, J. Bruffaerts and I. Marek, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 209; (b) H. Sommer, F. Juliá-Hernández, R. Martin and I. Marek, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 153.
- 38 O. V. Ozerov, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 83.
- 39 (a) B. M. Trost and M. L. Crawley, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2921; (b) J. Thomas and F. Peter, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9632; (c) J. Tsuji, H. Takahashi and M. Morikawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, **6**, 4387; (d) B. M. Trost, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1980, **13**, 385.
- 40 (a) G. Pupo, R. Properzi and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6099; (b) S. Masato, S. Isao and Y. Akio, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1996, **69**, 1065; (c) S. B. Lang, T. M. Locascio and J. A. Tunge, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4308; (d) I. Starý, I. G. Stará and P. Kočovský, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 179; (e) I. Starý, I. G. Stará and P. Kočovský, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, **50**, 529; (f) R. Kumareswaran and Y. D. Vankar, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 8421.
- 41 (a) K. E. Atkins, W. E. Walker and R. M. Manyik, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1970, **11**, 3821; (b) T. Hirao, N. Yamada, Y. Ohshiro and T. Agawa, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1982, **236**, 409; (c) I. Minami, Y. Ohashi, I. Shimizu and J. Tsuji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 2449; (d) B. M. Trost and M. H. Hung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7757; (e) B. M. Trost and T. R. Verhoeven, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 4730; (f) J. Tsuji, Y. Kobayashi, H. Kataoka and T. Takahashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, **21**, 1475; (g) R. Tamura and L. S. Hegedus, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 3727; (h) Y. Tanigawa, K. Nishimura, A. Kawasaki and S.-I. Murahashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, **23**, 5549; (i) B. M. Trost, N. R. Schmuff and M. J. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **102**, 5979.
- 42 H.-W. Suh, L. M. Guard and N. Hazari, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3859.
- 43 N. Solin, J. Kjellgren and K. J. Szabó, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 7026.
- 44 (a) H. Takahata, Y. Uchida and T. Momose, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 5628; (b) Y. Zhang, X. Wang, M. Sunkara, Q. Ye, L. V. Ponomereva, Q.-B. She, A. J. Morris and J. S. Thorson, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 5566.
- 45 (a) H. Hoberg and B. Apotecher, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1984, **270**, c15; (b) H. Hoberg, K. Jenni, C. Krüger and E. Raabe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1986, **25**, 810; (c) M. Takimoto and M. Mori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 2895.
- 46 T. Fujihara, Y. Horimoto, T. Mizoe, F. B. Sayyed, Y. Tani, J. Terao, S. Sakaki and Y. Tsuji, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4960.
- 47 M. Takimoto, M. Kawamura, M. Mori and Y. Sato, *Synlett*, 2005, **2005**, 2019.
- 48 J. Xie, A. H. Jin and A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 5193.
- 49 (a) M. Hervás, J. A. Navarro and M. A. De la Rosa, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2003, **36**, 798; (b) S. Nishitani, N. Kurata, Y. Sakata, S. Misumi, A. Karen, T. Okada and N. Mataga, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 7771.
- 50 N. J. Claassens, *Microb. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **10**, 31.
- 51 T. Schwander, L. Schada von Borzyskowski, S. Burgener, N. S. Cortina and T. J. Erb, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 900.
- 52 (a) D. R. Ort, S. S. Merchant, J. Alric, A. Barkan, R. E. Blankenship, R. Bock, R. Croce, M. R. Hanson, J. M. Hibberd, S. P. Long, T. A. Moore, J. Moroney, K. K. Niyogi, M. A. J. Parry, P. P. Peralta-Yahya, R. C. Prince, K. E. Redding, M. H. Spalding, K. J. van Wijk, W. F. J. Vermaas, S. von Caemmerer, A. P. M. Weber, T. O. Yeates, J. S. Yuan and X. G. Zhu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2015, **112**, 8529; (b) D. C. Ducat and P. A. Silver, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **16**, 337.
- 53 (a) S. Tazuke and H. Ozawa, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1975, 237; (b) S. Tazuke and N. Kitamura, *Nature*, 1978, **275**, 301; (c) T. Inoue, A. Fujishima, S. Konishi and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1979, **277**, 637; (d) J.-M. Lehn and R. Ziessel, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1982, **79**, 701; (e) J. Hawecker, J.-M. Lehn and R. Ziessel, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1983, 536.
- 54 H. Seo, M. H. Katcher and T. F. Jamison, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **9**, 453.
- 55 K. Shimomaki, K. Murata, R. Martin and N. Iwasawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9467.
- 56 Q.-Y. Meng, S. Wang and B. König, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 13426.
- 57 Q.-Y. Meng, S. Wang, G. S. Huff and B. König, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 3198.
- 58 H. Seo, A. Liu and T. F. Jamison, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13969.
- 59 Y. Masuda, N. Ishida and M. Murakami, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14063.
- 60 J. Hou, A. Ee, W. Feng, J.-H. Xu, Y. Zhao and J. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5257.
- 61 T. Ju, Q. Fu, J.-H. Ye, Z. Zhang, L.-L. Liao, S.-S. Yan, X.-Y. Tian, S.-P. Luo, J. Li and D.-G. Yu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 13897.



- 62 X. Fan, X. Gong, M. Ma, R. Wang and P. J. Walsh, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 4936.
- 63 S. Humbel, I. Côte, N. Hoffmann and J. Bouquant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 5507.
- 64 M. Li, O. Gutierrez, S. Berritt, A. Pascual-Escudero, A. Yeşilçimen, X. Yang, J. Adrio, G. Huang, E. Nakamaru-Ogiso, M. C. Kozłowski and P. J. Walsh, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 997.
- 65 (a) K. Bera and I. N. N. Namboothiri, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **3**, 1234; (b) S. Fustero, M. Sánchez-Roselló, C. Báez, C. del Pozo, J. L. García Ruano, J. Alemán, L. Marzo and A. Parra, *Amino Acids*, 2011, **41**, 559; (c) C. Spino and C. Gobdout, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 12106.
- 66 M. Kapoor, D. Liu and M. C. Young, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6818.
- 67 (a) V. Laserna, G. Fiorani, C. J. Whiteoak, E. Martin, E. Escudero-Adán and A. W. Kleij, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10416; (b) A. Peeters, R. Ameloot and D. E. De Vos, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 1550.
- 68 J. Ye, I. Kalvet, F. Schoenebeck and T. Rovis, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 1037.
- 69 H. Wu, R. Andres, Q. Wang and J. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 499.
- 70 (a) V. R. Yatham, Y. Shen and R. Martin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 10915; (b) J.-H. Ye, M. Miao, H. Huang, S.-S. Yan, Z.-B. Yin, W.-J. Zhou and D.-G. Yu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 15416.
- 71 K. Michigami, T. Mita and Y. Sato, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6094.
- 72 L.-L. Liao, G.-M. Cao, J.-H. Ye, G.-Q. Sun, W.-J. Zhou, Y.-Y. Gui, S.-S. Yan, G. Shen and D.-G. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 17338.
- 73 X. Zhang and F. G. Bordwell, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 4163.
- 74 (a) E. Lamy, L. Nadjo and J. M. Saveant, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1977, **78**, 403; (b) A. M. Appel, J. E. Bercaw, A. B. Bocarsly, H. Dobbek, D. L. DuBois, M. Dupuis, J. G. Ferry, E. Fujita, R. Hille, P. J. A. Kenis, C. A. Kerfeld, R. H. Morris, C. H. F. Peden, A. R. Portis, S. W. Ragsdale, T. B. Rauchfuss, J. N. H. Reek, L. C. Seefeldt, R. K. Thauer and G. L. Waldrop, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6621.
- 75 (a) K. Booker-Milburn, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 433; (b) S. V. Ley, D. E. Fitzpatrick, R. M. Myers, C. Battilocchio and R. J. Ingham, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10122; (c) J. Britton, S. Majumdar and G. A. Weiss, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5891.
- 76 (a) N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10075; (b) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum and T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10035; (c) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 5322; (d) J. Twilton, C. Le, P. Zhang, M. H. Shaw, R. W. Evans and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2017, **1**, 0052.
- 77 (a) K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881; (b) W. K. Hagmann, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 4359.
- 78 S. Y. Dike, D. H. Ner and A. Kumar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1991, **1**, 383.
- 79 (a) J.-H. Ye, L. Zhu, S.-S. Yan, M. Miao, X.-C. Zhang, W.-J. Zhou, J. Li, Y. Lan and D.-G. Yu, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 8324; (b) T. Koike and M. Akita, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **49**, 1937.
- 80 (a) M. I. Childers, J. M. Longo, N. J. Van Zee, A. M. LaPointe and G. W. Coates, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 8129; (b) Y. Liu, W.-M. Ren, K.-K. He and X.-B. Lu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5687.
- 81 M. Takimoto, Y. Nakamura, K. Kimura and M. Mori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 5956.
- 82 L. Dian, D. S. Müller and I. Marek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6783.
- 83 Y.-Y. Gui, N. Hu, X.-W. Chen, L. L. Liao, T. Ju, J.-H. Ye, Z. Zhang, J. Li and D.-G. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17011.
- 84 (a) C. Deutsch, N. Krause and B. H. Lipshutz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2916; (b) M. T. Pirnot, Y.-M. Wang and S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 48.
- 85 (a) W. H. Bernskoetter and N. Hazari, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 1049; (b) W.-H. Wang, Y. Himeda, J. T. Muckerman, G. F. Manbeck and E. Fujita, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 12936; (c) A. Goepfert, M. Czaun, J.-P. Jones, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 7995.
- 86 Y. Tani, K. Kuga, T. Fujihara, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 13020.
- 87 A. Gualandi, P. Canestrari, E. Emer and P. G. Cozzi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 528.
- 88 (a) P. Renaud and P. Leong, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 55; (b) T. Yasukawa, H. Miyamura and S. Kobayashi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1450; (c) T. Tetsu, I. Takanori and K. Shū, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6590; (d) C. M. R. Volla, I. Atodiresei and M. Rueping, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 2390; (e) R. Mahrwald, in *Enantioselective Organocatalyzed Reactions II: Asymmetric C–C Bond Formation Processes*, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2011.
- 89 H. Huo, X. Shen, C. Wang, L. Zhang, P. Röse, L.-A. Chen, K. Harms, M. Marsch, G. Hilt and E. Meggers, *Nature*, 2014, **515**, 100.
- 90 T. R. Blum, Z. D. Miller, D. M. Bates, I. A. Guzei and T. P. Yoon, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1391.
- 91 T. M. McDonald, J. A. Mason, X. Kong, E. D. Bloch, D. Gygi, A. Dani, V. Crocellà, F. Giordanino, S. O. Odoh, W. S. Drisdell, B. Vlasisavljevich, A. L. Dzubak, R. Poloni, S. K. Schnell, N. Planas, K. Lee, T. Pascal, L. F. Wan, D. Prendergast, J. B. Neaton, B. Smit, J. B. Kortright, L. Gagliardi, S. Bordiga, J. A. Reimer and J. R. Long, *Nature*, 2015, **519**, 303.
- 92 (a) J.-A. Ma and D. Cahard, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 4566; (b) M. Shibasaki and N. Yoshikawa, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2187.
- 93 (a) C.-X. Guo, W.-Z. Zhang, H. Zhou, N. Zhang and X.-B. Lu, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 17156; (b) M. Juhl and J.-W. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 12318; (c) S.-S. Yan, L. Zhu, J.-H. Ye, Z. Zhang, H. Huang, H. Zeng, C.-J. Li, Y. Lan and D.-G. Yu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4873.
- 94 B. H. Patel, C. Percivalle, D. J. Ritson, C. D. Duffy and J. D. Sutherland, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 301.
- 95 J. Martin, L. Eisoldt and A. Skerra, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 555.

