

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 6478

Organometallic catalysis in aqueous and biological environments: harnessing the power of metal carbenes

Sara Gutiérrez,  María Tomás-Gamasa* and José Luis Mascareñas *

Translating the power of transition metal catalysis to the native habitats of enzymes can significantly expand the possibilities of interrogating or manipulating natural biological systems, including living cells and organisms. This is especially relevant for organometallic reactions that have shown great potential in the field of organic synthesis, like the metal-catalyzed transfer of carbenes. While, at first sight, performing metal carbene chemistry in aqueous solvents, and especially in biologically relevant mixtures, does not seem obvious, in recent years there has been a growing number of reports demonstrating the feasibility of the task. Either using small molecule metal catalysts or artificial metalloenzymes, a number of carbene transfer reactions that tolerate aqueous and biorelevant media are being developed. This review intends to summarize the most relevant contributions, and establish the state of the art in this emerging research field.

Received 4th February 2022

Accepted 15th May 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc00721e

rsc.li/chemical-science

1. Introduction

Organometallic catalysis has revolutionized the way in which chemists conceive organic synthesis. The use of transition metals as catalysts transcended classical synthetic methodologies, and has allowed chemical transformations that otherwise would not be feasible.¹ Most of these organometallic reactions have been carried out in organic solvents, and usually under air and water-free conditions to avoid catalyst deactivation and the formation of side products. The fact that many organic substrates are not soluble in water has further averted the use of aqueous solvents in organic and organometallic reactions.

However, there has been an increasing demonstration that many organometallic complexes and intermediates do tolerate the presence of water. Indeed, a huge number of water-compatible organometallic reactions have been recently developed.² Work in the field has been further impelled by the growing interest in developing sustainable synthetic technologies, and by the observation that the presence of water can even accelerate some reactions.³

These advances have led scientists to wonder if organometallic reactions could be carried out in biological and even living settings, as this could open new opportunities in cell biology and biomedicine. However, the translation of organometallic chemistry to biological habitats is not straightforward, and presents important challenges. First, a cellular milieu cannot be

equated to a typical aqueous solvent, owing to its gel-like nature and intrinsic crowded environment. Furthermore, the presence of numerous biological components can promote the deactivation of the metal reagents or inhibit the catalytic cycles. Moving to living environments is further hampered by potential toxicity and transport issues, and by the low concentration of reagents and reactants.

Nonetheless, with the advent of bioorthogonal chemistry, as coined by Bertozzi and coworkers,⁴ an increasing number of organic reactions, including metal-catalyzed processes, have been demonstrated to work in biological and living environments.^{5,6} A key discovery that sparked the field is the well-known copper-catalyzed azide alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC), paradigm of click chemistry, which is usually carried out with Cu(II) reagents and external reducing agents like ascorbate. Despite the intrinsic toxicity of these reagents, an appropriate tuning of the reaction conditions has allowed its use in cellular settings.^{7–11} Its impressive chemoselectivity has also led to many applications for bioconjugation and post-translational modification of proteins or nucleic acids.^{12,13}

The CuAAC entails a typical organometallic mechanism involving oxidative cyclometallation and reductive elimination steps, and it is a fundamental reference in the field of biological organometallic catalysis. Another early (2006) report that has gained increasing recognition by the chemical biology community is the discovery by Meggers *et al.* that Ru(II) catalysts can promote the removal of alloc protecting groups in designed substrates, even in the presence of HeLa cells.¹⁴

Although for several years there were not many new contributions in the area, in the last decade there has been an upsurge in reports dealing with transition metal-promoted reactions in

Centro Singular de Investigación en Química Biolóxica e Materiais Moleculares (CiQUS), Departamento de Química Orgánica, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15705 Santiago de Compostela, Spain. E-mail: maria.tomas@usc.es; joseluis.mascarenas@usc.es



biological media, and also in living organisms like bacteria.^{15–20} Some of these discoveries have already found very relevant biological applications.^{21–24} The reactions include uncaging processes, mainly those catalyzed by ruthenium or palladium complexes, such as the cleavage of *N*-allylcarbamates or *O*-allyl/propargyl ethers,^{14,25–33} ruthenium catalyzed bond-forming reactions (annulations between thioalkynes and azides (Ru-ATC),^{34,35} (2 + 2 + 2) cycloadditions³⁶) or isomerization of allylic alcohols,³⁷ gold-catalyzed hydroarylations,³⁸ and even palladium promoted Sonogashira³⁹ or Suzuki–Miyaura^{40–43} cross couplings.

Therefore, a wide range of organometallic transformations, from deprotection to cross-coupling, cyclization or cycloaddition reactions, have been demonstrated to be compatible with biological environments, provided appropriate metal complexes and probes are employed.

What about metal carbenes? Can their reactivity be exported to biological environments? Metal carbene transfer reactions are among the most powerful and versatile transformations in catalysis and organometallic chemistry, and have been used for many synthetic applications.^{44,45} Translating the rich reactivity typically exhibited by metal carbenes in organic solvents to aqueous and biological media, could open important new avenues in research at the interface between chemistry and biology.

Indeed, in the last decade, there has been an increase in the number of reactions involving bioorthogonal metal-carbene transfer processes. In some cases, it has also been demonstrated that this chemistry can be performed in cells or in bacteria. In this review, we intend to cover the most significant contributions in this topic, from bioconjugations and chemo-selective modifications of biopolymers to synthetic transformations of small molecules in biological settings, including bacteria or mammalian cells.

2. Catalysis involving metal carbene transfer reactions

Carbenes are highly reactive chemical entities that possess a neutral divalent carbon atom with six electrons on its valence shell. According to the spin configuration of the two nonbonding electrons in the ground state, we can consider two types of carbenes: singlet carbenes, with both electrons occupying the sp^2 orbital with antiparallel spins, or triplet carbenes, with two electrons with parallel spins, occupying the sp^2 and the p_z orbital (Fig. 1a).⁴⁶

A wide range of precursors have been employed for the generation of carbenes, such as tosylhydrazones, diaziridines, triazoles, cycloheptatrienes, alkynes or ynamides, among others.⁴⁷ However, the most widely used carbene precursors are diazo compounds, which are reasonably stable but can readily decompose to carbenes under mild reaction conditions.^{44,48} The resulting free carbenes tend to be highly reactive, and therefore difficult to use in chemical transformations; however, upon appropriate coordination to transition metals they give metal carbenes, which present a more controllable and rich reactivity. The chemical properties of these metal complexes depend on the spin configurations of the carbene, and on the overlap with the metal orbitals.

Different criteria have been used to classify metal carbenes. Historically, they have been categorized as Fischer and Schrock carbenes, depending on the metal complex and the type of substituents at the carbene; but in the context of this review, it is useful a classification based on the nature of the substituents adjacent to the reactive carbene centre. Acceptor/acceptor (A/A) and acceptor (A) carbenes are highly reactive due to the lack of stabilization of the electrophilic carbene centre. In contrast, the presence of donor groups stabilizes the metal carbene centre





Fig. 1 (a) Electronic structure of carbenes. (b) Classification of metal carbenes based on the nature of the substituents adjacent to the carbene. (c) Some transformations of metal carbenes carried out in aqueous media. EDG = electron donating group; EWG = electron withdrawing group.



and attenuates the reactivity. This is the case of donor/acceptor (D/A) carbenes, which have been widely used due to their good balance between reactivity and stability (Fig. 1b).^{49,50}

The rich reactivity of metal carbenes is mostly related to the charge distribution along the metal–carbon bond and the electrophilicity of the carbene carbon, allowing its reaction with a variety of nucleophiles. Within the broad spectrum of reactions enabled by metal carbenes, most common transformations include cyclopropanations of alkenes,^{51–53} C–H functionalizations,^{49,54–56} insertions into X–H bonds (X = O, N, S, Si),^{57,58} the formation of ylides^{59–61} (and subsequent rearrangements) or cycloaddition processes^{44,61} (Fig. 1c). This reactivity is different from that exhibited by carbene precursors, usually diazocarbonyl compounds, which tend to decompose and/or engage in rearrangement or dimerization reactions.

The reactions of metal carbenes with substrates exhibiting non-polar bonds (*i.e.* cyclopropanation of alkenes or insertions into C–H or Si–H bonds), tend to proceed through a concerted mechanism,⁶² while in the case of insertions into polar X–H bonds a stepwise ylide mechanism might be operative. Beyond these general features, the characteristics of the transition metal complex play a key role in the mechanistic pathway.⁵⁸

Transition metal-catalyzed carbene transformations have been well studied in organic solvents, with rhodium, copper and iron being the most explored metals. It is important to note that besides carbene transfer reactions from diazo or related precursors, metal carbenes can also be used as catalysts, *e.g.* in metathesis processes.

3. Metal-promoted carbene transfer reactions in aqueous media

The rich chemistry of metal carbenes has been explored essentially in organic solvents, and under water-free conditions, owing to the assumption that water might react with the carbene. However, as mentioned above, the reactivity of metal carbenes can be modulated by playing with the metal ligands and the substituents at the carbene atom, as well as with the type of substrates and reaction conditions; and thus, it can be made compatible with aqueous media. Indeed, in recent years there have been important contributions that demonstrate the viability of metal-mediated carbene transformations in aqueous media, and also in biologically relevant settings. Herein we present a summary of the most significant developments. The reactions have been organized according to the type of substrate (small molecules or biopolymers) and, where relevant, to the type of transformation (cyclopropanation, C–H insertion, X–H insertion or ylide formation). Metal carbenes have also been used as catalysts for olefin metathesis in aqueous and bio-relevant environments. This topic has been covered in specific reviews,⁶³ and thus herein we will only mention some relevant examples performed *in cellulo* (Section 5.2).

3.1. Transformations of small molecules

3.1.1 Cyclopropanations. The cyclopropanation of styrene has been established as a benchmarking reaction in metal

carbene transfer processes, with ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) being the most widely used carbene precursor. However, performing this type of reaction in aqueous media has only recently been addressed.

The first example of a metal-catalyzed cyclopropanation performed in the presence of large amounts of water was reported by the Nishiyama group in 2001.⁶⁴ The authors used EDA as carbene precursor, and a ruthenium complex exhibiting a water-soluble chiral ligand [*hm*-pybox: bis(hydroxymethyl)dihydrooxazolyl]pyridine] as catalyst, in a biphasic aqueous/organic milieu. The reaction yields the cyclopropane product with high levels of enantioselectivity (up to 94%, Fig. 2, *trans/cis* stereoselectivity up to 97 : 3). Curiously, the presence of water had a marked influence in the enantioselectivity, which changed from 8% ee in pure THF to a 78% ee in THF/H₂O mixtures. This result was attributed to a solvation effect of water around the hydroxy groups of the *hm*-pybox.

In 2002 Charette and Wurz reported a different approach to perform similar cyclopropanations. They found that the combination of hydrophobic rhodium catalysts such as dirhodium(II) octanoate (Rh₂(Oct)₄) with hydrophobic alkenes allows the formation of “small alkene/catalyst beads or micelles” in water. Then, a slow diffusion of EDA through the surface of the beads enabled a controlled formation of the desired cyclopropanated products in high yields (Fig. 2). Noticeably, water-soluble rhodium carboxylates (Rh₂(OAc)₄ or Rh₂(O₂CCF₃)₄) were inefficient, leading to low yields. An asymmetric version of this reaction was also studied using ruthenium(II) and cobalt(II) chiral catalysts.⁶⁵

A family of catalysts that has been extensively employed for cyclopropanation reactions in organic solvents are metal-porphyrins, an interesting type of metal complexes that is also present in certain metalloenzymes with oxidative functions. In 2008, Simonneaux and coworkers prepared water-soluble ruthenium and iron porphyrins by the introduction of sulfonate groups, and used them as catalysts for the asymmetric



Fig. 2 Metal catalyzed cyclopropanations. Top: General reaction scheme. Bottom-left: Asymmetric Ru-catalyzed cyclopropanation reported by Nishiyama. Bottom-right: Rh-catalyzed cyclopropanation reported by Charette and Wurz.





Fig. 3 (a) Water-soluble Fe and Ru porphyrins developed by Simonneaux and coworkers for asymmetric cyclopropanations in water. (b) Cobalt porphyrin “ship in a bottle” developed by de Bruin and coworkers, reproduced from ref. 67 with permission from Wiley-VCH GmbH, copyright 2014.

cyclopropanation of styrene in water, with EDA as the carbene precursor (Fig. 3a). They obtained the desired products in yields up to 85%, with high diastereoselectivity (*trans/cis* up to 96/4) and good enantioselectivity for the *trans* isomer (83%).⁶⁶

In 2014, de Bruin and co-authors reported the first example of size-selective cyclopropanation reactions using a soluble “molecular ship-in-a-bottle catalyst”. The bio-inspired supramolecular cage constituted by a cobalt-porphyrin catalyst encapsulated into a cubic M_8L_6 cage (Fig. 3b) showed excellent activity in the cyclopropanation of styrene derivatives using water/acetone mixtures (5 : 1).⁶⁷ The reaction afforded the products in yields up to 88%, under mild reaction conditions (50 °C) and low catalyst loadings (0.25 mol%, TONs up to 351). Remarkably, the porous cage-catalyst allowed for size selectivity; bulky substrates led to lower yields likely due to the slower migration through the cage pores.

Another interesting approach based on the use of nano-reactors was reported in 2014 by van Hest *et al.*, who immobilized chiral bis(oxazoline)-copper catalysts inside a polymersome membrane to perform asymmetric cyclopropanations of alkenes in water. Interestingly, only hydrophobic alkenes underwent the cyclopropanation, likely because of their ability to localize into the active site of the polymer.⁶⁸

All these results confirm the compatibility of specific metal carbenes with water, which even plays an important role in the reactivity and selectivity of the processes by eliciting hydrophobic effects.

3.1.2 Insertions into C–H bonds. Considering such compatibility, it is not surprising that other reactions mediated

by metal carbenes have also been accomplished in water mixtures. In 2004, Afonso *et al.* observed that hydrophobic and sterically bulky α -diazoacetamides (such as *N,N*-diisopropylamide derivatives) can participate in intramolecular C–H insertion reactions in neat water, in presence of water soluble $\text{Rh}_2(\text{OAc})_4$. The reaction was carried out at 80 °C with turnover numbers (TON) of 883 for a total of 10 cycles. Interestingly, less hydrophobic substrates tend to give alcohols resulting from addition of water to the metal carbene (Fig. 4a).⁶⁹ These results suggest that hydrophobic metal carbenes somewhat avoid the reaction with water molecules, and prefer to undergo the C–H insertion.

Further studies revealed that the use of more hydrophobic catalysts such as $\text{Rh}_2(\text{Oct})_4$ partially avoided or completely suppressed the hydroxylation reaction, even when less hydrophobic substrates were employed.⁷⁰

Another interesting metal-carbene mediated C–H insertion was proposed by the group of Ye, using ynamides as carbene precursors and gold catalysts. In the presence of an oxidant, the reaction generates α -oxo gold carbenes that can be trapped by indoles or anilines (Fig. 4b). The intermolecular reactions were carried out at 80 °C using water as reaction media, providing the




Fig. 4 C–H insertion reactions in water. (a) Intramolecular insertion of rhodium carbenoids into aliphatic C–H bonds reported by Afonso and coworkers. (b) Functionalization of indoles and anilines by *in situ* generated α -oxo gold carbenes.



C-alkylation products in excellent yields, after 1–2 hours.⁷¹ Remarkably, the presence of water suppressed undesired over-oxidations of the gold carbene.

3.1.3 Insertions into X–H bonds. Insertion into X–H bonds (X = heteroatom) is a particularly interesting reactivity of metal carbenes to generate carbon–heteroatom bonds, and can also be achieved in the presence of water. In 2015, Simonneaux and coworkers reported the insertion of carbenes into N–H bonds of amino acid derivatives in aqueous media (Fig. 5a). The process is catalyzed by a water soluble iron porphyrin, FeTSPPCl ((5,10,15,20-tetrakis)-(4-sulfonato-phenyl)-porphyrin-iron(III) chloride), and was performed in citrate buffer at pH 10. In addition to the expected product, the reaction also produces small amounts of bis-inserted compounds. FeTSPPCl also proved to be an effective catalyst for site-selective modification of the terminal NH₂ group of insulin using EDA, and a PBS/acetonitrile (7 : 4) mixture as reaction media, with 90% conversion after 1 h. In this case, 10% of the double insertion product was detected.⁷²

In 2016, Sivasankar and coworkers reported an efficient synthesis of α -amino phosphonates in water *via* insertion of copper(I) carbenoids into the N–H bond of anilines under mild reaction conditions (Fig. 5b). The best results were obtained with (CH₃CN)₄CuClO₄ as catalyst, yielding the desired N–H insertion products in short reaction times (from 15 min to 2 h in most cases).⁷³ Later on, in 2017, they found conditions for the N–H insertion of carbenes exhibiting different electronic properties. AgOTf was the best choice for donor/donor carbene precursors, providing moderate yields after 5 minutes of reaction, while for donor/acceptor carbenes containing aryl and ester groups, Pd₂(dba)₃ led to the product in 90% yield after 30 minutes. In the case of acceptor/acceptor carbenes, the most efficient catalyst was found to be [Ir(COD)Cl]₂, affording the N–H insertion product in 81% yield after 12 h. The authors

studied in more detail the reaction for acceptor/acceptor carbenes, and used their method to synthesize a series of aniline-derivatives in good yields. However, in the case of aliphatic amines, such as benzylamine, and heteroaromatic amines like 2-aminopyridine, only traces amounts of the N–H insertion products were detected.⁷⁴




Fig. 6 (a) Gold-catalyzed tandem O–H insertion/cyclization in DMF/H₂O. (b) Doyle–Kirmse reaction of *in situ* generated sulfonium ylides.




Fig. 5 N–H insertion reactions in water. (a) N–H insertion of iron carbenoids into amino acid derivatives catalyzed by a water soluble iron porphyrin in citrate buffer (CBS) reported by Simonneaux. (b) Carbene insertions into N–H bonds of anilines catalyzed by copper or iridium complexes reported by Sivasankar. (c) Iron catalyzed annulation of 1,2-diamines and diazodicarbonyl compounds reported by Lee.



In 2016, Lee and coworkers reported an elegant strategy for the synthesis of quinoxalines, pyrazines and benzoquinoxalines through the annulation of 1,2-diamines and diazodicarbonyls in water at 70 °C, using Fe(OTf)₃ as catalyst (Fig. 5c). The process is initiated by the insertion of an iron carbenoid into the N–H bond of the diamine, followed by cyclization and oxidative aromatization, to afford the desired heterocycles in excellent yields.⁷⁵

A relevant report by the group of Kwak in 2016 described the use of a Cu(I)-zeolite as a heterogeneous catalyst for the N–H insertion of α -diazoesters into substituted anilines. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions in a mixture of H₂O/*t*-BuOH (1 : 1). The Cu(I)-zeolite catalysts were stable and could be recycled, maintaining their activity over four reaction runs.⁷⁶

Gross and coworkers reported N–H insertion reactions of EDA and ethyl diazopropionate (EDP) on anilines in aqueous solutions, catalyzed by iron corrole conjugated albumins. The authors did not observe enantiomerically enriched products in any of the cases, and did not comment on the potential accelerating effect of the protein.⁷⁷

In some transformations, the insertion of water into the metal carbenes is the desired process. This is the case of the work of Wang *et al.*, who developed a water insertion/oxacyclization cascade of *o*-acetylenyl-substituted phenyldiazoacetates catalyzed by the gold(I) complex IPrAuCl (Fig. 6a). The process takes place through a sequence of gold carbene formation/water trapping and alcohol-alkyne 6-*endo-dig* cyclization. The transformation can take place in neat water providing mixtures (2 : 1) of 5-*endo-dig* and 6-*endo-dig* cyclization products; however, the best conditions consisted of using a mixture DMF/H₂O (1 : 1) at 80 °C for 24 h, providing 1*H*-isochromenes in moderate to good yields (64–82%).⁷⁸

3.1.4 Ylide formations. A powerful method for C–C bond formation is the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of sulfonium ylides generated *in situ* by reaction between a metal carbene and a sulfide, also known as the Doyle–Kirmse reaction. This transformation has also been described in water, proceeding efficiently with Rh₂(OAc)₄ (0.5 mol%) as catalyst, at room temperature, with different aryldiazoacetates and phenyl allyl sulfide, affording the products in excellent yields (82–97%, Fig. 6b).⁷⁹ When phenyl propargyl sulfide was used as reaction partner, the reaction was very slow, and a more hydrophobic catalyst such as Rh₂(Oct)₄ was needed to obtain the products in high yields (87–95%).

3.2. Chemoselective reactions of peptides and proteins

The above examples demonstrate that, in contrast to preestablished assumptions, the reactivity of metal carbenes can be harnessed in the presence of aqueous mixtures. This is especially relevant for their potential use to modify biological polymers like peptides or proteins, as most of them require aqueous solvents for an appropriate handling and solubility. As early as in 1966 it was shown that diazoketones, in the presence of copper salts, were able to inactivate pepsin, apparently due to the selective modification of a carboxylate residue in the active site of the protein.^{80,81}

However, truly designed protein modification reactions using metal carbenes were not reported until almost 40 years later. In 2004, Antos and Francis demonstrated the viability of using rhodium carbenoids for the selective modification of tryptophan side chains in myoglobin and subtilisin (Fig. 7a). The reaction was performed in water/ethyleneglycol (8 : 2, in the millimolar concentration range), using α -diazo esters and Rh₂(OAc)₄, and in the presence of hydroxylamine, providing a mixture of indole N–H and C–H insertion products. The addition of hydroxylamine dramatically enhanced the reactivity of the catalyst, presumably by binding to the distal rhodium of the bimetallic catalyst and stabilizing the reactive intermediates.⁸² Subsequent studies using *N*-(*tert*-butyl)hydroxylamine as additive allowed modification of peptides and proteins (lysozyme and FKBP mutants) selectively on tryptophan residues exhibiting solvent-accessible indole side chains, at mild pH.⁸³

In 2013 Ball demonstrated the feasibility of performing selective cysteine modification under mild reaction conditions, using a *N*-(*tert*-butyl)hydroxylamine-containing buffer employed by Antos and Francis, Rh₂(OAc)₄ and a biotin-tethered diazo substrate. The authors applied these reaction conditions to a mixture of proteins, and observed the modification of proteins with accessible cysteine thiols, while those with buried or oxidized cysteine residues remained unmodified.⁸⁴

Che *et al.* reported the first example of a metalloporphyrin-catalyzed carbene transfer for the selective modification of proteins. A designed water-soluble ruthenium glycosylated porphyrin catalyst [Ru^{II}(4-Glc-TPP)(CO)] (1,4-Glc-TPP = meso-tetrakis(4-(β -D-glucosyl)phenyl)porphyrinato dianion) was employed for different carbene transfer reactions in aqueous media (inter- and intramolecular cyclopropanation, Doyle–Kirmse reaction, N–H insertion). Interestingly, the catalyst proved to be effective for the selective alkylation of the N-terminus of peptides and proteins using a fluorescent-tethered diazo compound (Fig. 7b). However, when the protein presented free thiols (cysteines) in its structure, the insertion of the metal carbene took place into the S–H bond rather than in the terminal N–H bond.⁸⁵

Interestingly, Fischer carbenes have also been employed for bioconjugation reactions. Jaouen and coworkers performed a organotungsten labelling of bovine serum albumin using a Fischer carbene with the general formula L(CO)₄W=C(OR¹)R².⁸⁶ The authors observed a side-chain specific labelling in the amino group borne of some lysine residues, leading to stable aminocarbene adducts. On the other hand, Sarkar *et al.* modified self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) on gold or glass with Fischer carbenes to immobilize protein A on surface, through reaction of pendant lysine residues with the electrophilic Fischer carbene (Fig. 7c).⁸⁷

Despite these successes, the reactions tend to be low yielding, and chemoselectivities are, in many cases, modest. As an alternative to these methods, Ball and coworkers developed an elegant strategy based on proximity-driven bioconjugations that combines molecular recognition with metallocarbene reactivity. This approach is based on the use of a dirhodium metallopeptide made of a rhodium(II) catalyst conjugated to






Fig. 7 (a) Bioconjugation of peptides and proteins based on the selective alkylation of tryptophan residues using rhodium carbenoids reported by Antos and Francis. (b) Bioconjugation of proteins based on the alkylation of the N-terminus. (c) Bioconjugation using Fischer carbenes on gold or glass surfaces. (d) Proximity-driven bioconjugation using rhodium metallopeptides developed by Ball for the site-specific modification of peptides, proteins and antibodies. The figure shows the structure of subtilisin Carlsberg (a) PDB ID: 1SBC, tryptophans in blue) and RNase A (b) PDB ID: 3A1R, N-terminus in blue).

a peptide that is able to recognize another specific protein (*via* a coiled-coil assembly, Fig. 7d).⁸⁸

Using this approach, the authors performed site-specific modification of peptides and proteins at physiological pH, and in biologically relevant buffers.^{89–91} The use of biotin–diazo conjugates as reagents allowed for affinity tagging of the target proteins. They were even able to use designed metallopeptide catalysts to perform selective protein modifications in *E. coli* lysate. Moreover, they developed a strategy for the site-specific functionalization of antibodies based on the use of

a hexarhodium metallopeptide catalyst with affinity for the Fc fragment of the antibody.⁹² Based on molecular recognition of the Fc region, this approach enabled the introduction of orthogonal alkyne handles into mono- or polyclonal antibodies, opening the door to the quick production of antibody conjugates.

3.3. Chemoselective modification of nucleic acids

Pioneering work by Gillingham *et al.* demonstrated the potential of metal carbenes to perform selective post-synthetic



modifications of oligonucleotides. In their first report, in 2012, they used $\text{Rh}_2(\text{OAc})_4$ to catalyze the structure-selective N–H insertion of *in situ* generated rhodium(II) carbenoids into exocyclic amine groups of purine nucleobases under mild conditions. The reaction was carried out in aqueous buffers using donor/acceptor substituted carbenes at concentrations of 50 mM. This approach allows strategic targeting of solvent exposed nucleobases in double-stranded nucleic acids, as well as in single strands, bulge regions or overhangs (Fig. 8a, left).⁹³

Shortly thereafter, they demonstrated the effectiveness of Cu(I) salts, generated from copper sulfate and sodium ascorbate, to catalyze a similar insertion of donor/acceptor carbenes into the N–H bond of adenine in MES buffer. The diazo compound was further modified to contain an alkyne or azide handle, thus developing a tandem carbene insertion/CuAAC reaction (Fig. 8a, right).⁹⁴

Interestingly, the use of acceptor carbenes generated from α -diazoesters or diazoacetamides and copper(I) led to chemo-selective alkylation of the O⁶ position in guanine (O⁶-G) in mono- and oligonucleotides, attributed to the pre-coordination of the catalyst to the N⁷ of guanine (Fig. 8b).⁹⁵ However, with complex oligonucleotides containing multiple chelation sites, reaction rates are low, likely due to unproductive catalyst sequestration.

Very recently, Park and coworkers reported an elegant site-selective post-synthetic modification of oligonucleotides at unpaired guanines. The use of a coordinatively saturated Rh(I) catalyst like $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$ circumvented the chelation issues related to the deactivation of the catalyst observed by Gillingham, while maintaining the chemoselectivity towards base-unpaired guanines in single- and double-stranded oligonucleotides. They exploited this feature and introduced




Fig. 8 Nucleic acid alkylation using metal carbenes. (a) Structure-selective catalytic alkylation of DNA and RNA (left) and tandem carbene N–H insertion/CuAAC (right) reported by Gillingham. (b) Chemo-selective alkylation at guanine O⁶-G using copper carbenes reported by Gillingham. (c) Site-selective functionalization of oligonucleotides using rhodium carbenes reported by Park, reproduced with permission from ref. 96, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. It is attributed to Park, and the original version can be found here (<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-21839-4>).



guanosine-bulge loops in duplexes, which resulted in high regioselectivity, and allowed iterative introduction of multiple modifications in a programmable fashion (Fig. 8c).⁹⁶ The utility of this approach was further showcased by performing DNA-protein cross-linking in cell lysate.

4. Carbene transfer reactions catalyzed by metallobiopolymers

A major challenge in research at the interface of catalysis and chemical biology is the design of artificial metalloenzymes (ArMs) that can reproduce the catalytic efficiency and selectivity of natural enzymes, but promoting new-to-nature reactions. In recent years, and largely thanks to the advent of protein engineering, and specially directed evolution, there has been impressive progress in the field, and a variety of catalytic metalloproteins capable of performing transition-metal catalyzed reactions have been developed.^{97–100} These reactions have been carried out mainly *in vitro*, in aqueous buffers, but in recent years a number of metalloenzymes that also work in bacteria have been reported.^{101–103} Importantly, some of the most relevant contributions in this area deal with carbene transfer reactions, mainly because of the ability of metalloheme-containing proteins to generate metal carbene intermediates.

The design and development of ArMs has been largely based on repurposing natural metalloenzymes or on anchoring a metal cofactor to a protein-binding pocket. In the first approach (Fig. 9, left), a natural metalloenzyme is modified by directed mutagenesis and/or by introducing a non-natural metal-containing cofactor. In the second approach (Fig. 9, right), the metal can be either covalently bound to protein residues, or anchored into a protein cavity through supramolecular association (*e.g.* biotin-modified catalysts in streptavidin, strategy primarily developed by Ward).

Although the overwhelming number of reports of the use of ArMs to perform carbene transfer reactions cannot be covered in this review, we present some selected contributions. In this first section, we will mainly focus on the strategies employed to prepare new ArMs and their reactivity profiles, while the following section spotlights the compatibility of the systems with living cells. Besides, we will also disclose some examples of

the use of nucleic acids instead of protein as coatings for the catalytic metals in carbene transfer processes.

4.1. Reactions promoted by heme-containing artificial metalloenzymes

4.1.1 Metalloenzymes based on natural counterparts. One of the most widely used strategies to create ArMs consists of the modification of native heme-based metalloenzymes, since they already exhibit a metal-containing prosthetic group. In this approach, it is common to perform an initial screening to identify a suitable metalloenzyme for the desired reaction, that can then be subjected to directed evolution to improve efficiency and selectivity. Alternatively, the native heme moiety can be replaced by others that present defined modifications on the porphyrin or the metal (Fig. 9, left).

Arnold and coworkers, envisioning the structural similarity between the iron-oxo intermediate involved in cytochrome P450-catalyzed oxidations and an iron carbene, repurposed the system to work as a carbene transferase. In 2013, using as benchmark reaction the cyclopropanation of styrene with EDA, they demonstrated that variants of P450_{BM3} from *Bacillus megaterium* can promote the carbene transfer, forming cyclopropane products with high levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity.^{104,105} Additional studies using directed evolution to introduce mutations at the binding pocket of different P450 cytochrome variants allowed to tune the selectivity and activity. Therefore, in the last decade, the group has successfully engineered new variants that catalyze cyclopropanation^{106,107} and cyclopropenation¹⁰⁸ reactions, as well as N–H,¹⁰⁹ Si–H,¹¹⁰ B–H¹¹¹ and C–H^{112,113} insertions, with high rates and turnovers, and exquisite regio- and stereocontrol.

In 2014, Fasan *et al.* extended this strategy to other heme proteins, developing evolved variants of myoglobin (Mb) and horseradish peroxidase. Furthermore, they demonstrated that it is possible to replace the heme group by porphyrin cofactors with abiotic metals (*i.e.* Mn or Co), to give metalloenzymes with similar levels of activity and selectivity.¹¹⁴ Using directed



Fig. 9 Main strategies for the development of ArMs.



Fig. 10 Insertion of carbenes into C(sp³)–H bonds catalyzed by several metal–PIX reconstituted myoglobins containing a single mutation at the axial residue.





Fig. 11 Schematic representation of the reconstitution of myoglobin with RuMplIX and FePc. rMb = reconstituted myoglobin.

evolution, they reported Mb mutants that successfully catalyze the cyclopropanation of a variety of aryl-substituted olefins with EDA, with excellent levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity.^{115–120} They also developed variants that catalyze carbene transfer reactions such as insertions into N–H,^{121–123} S–H¹²⁴ or Si–H¹²⁵ bonds, and ylide formations.¹²⁶

Other pivotal contributions in the field were made by the laboratory of Hartwig in 2016, by replacing iron with iridium (exhibiting a methyl group as axial ligand) in myoglobins. After directed evolution, they identified versions, such as [Ir(Me)–PIX–Mb], that display carbene transfer activity and can even promote stereoselective Csp³–H bond insertions.¹²⁷ The same strategy was applied to variants of the cytochrome P450 enzyme

CYP119, to give ArMs (Ir(Me)–MPIX–CYP119) with high activity and excellent selectivity (C–H bond insertions with up to 98% enantiomeric excess, 35 000 turnovers, and 2550 per hour turnover frequency, Fig. 10).¹²⁸ In addition to the improved rates and the enantioselectivities that can be achieved, some ArMs allow challenging regioselectivities to be controlled, such as in C–H insertions in a range of 4-substituted phthalan derivatives.¹²⁹

It is also possible to build ArMs with modified heme scaffolds, such as the one developed by Lehnert with mesoporphyrin IX (RuMplIX) incorporated into wild-type (wt) Mb and Mb mutants (Fig. 11). However, these modified proteins exhibited moderate catalytic activity towards the cyclopropanation of styrene derivatives, and in N–H modifications of aniline derivatives.¹³⁰ Hayashi *et al.* selected an iron porphycene cofactor to reconstitute myoglobin, and demonstrated that the modified protein significantly accelerates a catalytic cyclopropanation reaction, which turned to be 615-fold faster than that obtained using native myoglobin (Fig. 11). Interestingly, the authors performed mechanistic studies and reported the first spectroscopic observation of the active metalcarbene species in this type of reconstituted proteins.¹³¹

All these impressive contributions demonstrate the enormous power of ArMs to perform carbene transfer reactions in aqueous buffers, with rates and selectivities much better than those achieved under standard organometallic conditions with discrete catalysts.

4.1.2 Artificial metalloenzymes featuring other type of protein scaffolds. A different approach to build ArMs relies on anchoring a metal-containing ligand into protein pockets other than those present in native metalloenzymes (Fig. 9, right). Lewis *et al.* made a *de novo* synthesis of a metalloenzyme by covalently linking a dirhodium tetracarboxylate bearing a cyclooctyne to a genetically engineered prolyl oligopeptidase




Fig. 12 *De novo* designed metalloenzymes. (a) Rhodium ArM developed by Lewis. (b) Self-assembled LmrR-heme metalloenzyme reported by Roelfes, reproduced with permission from ref. 135, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, by Roelfes. Discolored from original. The original version can be found here (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/anie.201802946>). (c) Streptavidin-biotin strategy followed by Ward.



(POP) equipped with an azidophenylalanine (Fig. 12a).¹³² The resulting ArM displayed excellent catalytic properties for the intermolecular cyclopropanation of several styrene derivatives. Through directed evolution, both the activity and enantioselectivity could be improved.¹³³ These evolved ArMs also catalyzed diazo cross-couplings in a highly chemo- and stereoselective fashion. Moreover, a one-pot cascade reaction was developed by combining diazo coupling with an enantioselective reduction of the resulting alkene, using an alkene reductase.¹³⁴

In 2018, Roelfes and coworkers reported the *de novo* design of a non-native heme protein based on the large, promiscuous, hydrophobic binding pocket of the lactococcal multidrug resistance regulator (LmrR) (Fig. 12b). By self-assembly of hemin and LmrR in a buffered solution, they created a biocatalyst for the cyclopropanation of styrene derivatives with EDA. The reaction requires an inert atmosphere and the addition of sodium dithionite as a reductant agent to generate the active Fe(II) heme complex. Among the different mutants synthesized, LmrR_M8A delivered the product with good yield (45%) and reasonable enantiomeric excess (ee = 51% [*1R,2R* enantiomer]), and TTNs up to 449. Interestingly, the crystal structure showed that the iron centre is apparently inaccessible for the substrates, as it is sandwiched between two tryptophan residues, and therefore, the dynamic nature of the enzyme seems to be responsible for the observed activity and enantioselectivity.¹³⁵

Inspired by the work of Wilson and Whitesides,¹³⁶ several groups, and especially Ward's, have designed artificial enzymes based on the use of biotin-avidin interactions to tether the metal cofactor to the protein. In 2018, the group demonstrated that covalently anchoring a dirhodium catalyst to a biotin, and embedding it within engineered streptavidin, gives a hybrid catalyst for olefin cyclopropanation and carbene C-H insertion reactions, albeit with modest performances (Fig. 12c).¹³⁷ Importantly, they demonstrated that the strategy can be implemented to work in the periplasm of *E. coli* (*vide infra*).

4.2. Carbene transformations promoted by metals coated on DNA scaffolds

Although much less than proteins, nucleic acids have also been used to build metalloderivatives capable of performing carbene transfer reactions in aqueous buffers. DNA can be considered as a privileged scaffold that can provide a protective microenvironment for metal carbene intermediates, while also serving as a source of chirality.

Pioneering work in this field of DNA-based organometallic catalysis was performed by Roelfes *et al.* (Fig. 13a). Using copper complexes with intercalating ligands (dppz derivatives), and salmon testes duplex DNA as a source of chirality, they achieved asymmetric intramolecular cyclopropanation of α -diazo- β -ketosulfones, with enantiomeric excesses up to 84%.¹³⁸ Likely, the microenvironment resulting from the stacking of the ligands between the DNA bases may favour cyclopropanation over water addition to the carbene.



Fig. 13 DNA-based carbene transfer reactions. (a) Intramolecular cyclopropanation catalyzed by assembled DNA/Cu complexes. (b) Cyclopropanation of styrene with EDA using DNA-based biocatalysts.

They also implemented the use of porphyrin metal ligands in this type of carbene transfer reactions. In 2016 they reported a DNA-accelerated cyclopropanation of styrene derivatives with EDA, with a moderate enantiomeric excess of 53% in the presence of the salmon testes DNA/cationic iron porphyrin hybrid catalyst (Fig. 13b). An *ortho*-substituted *N*-methylpyridinium porphyrin that predominantly interacts with DNA through groove binding, and makes the catalytic site accessible for substrates, was shown to be the best catalyst.¹³⁹ The authors



proposed that the rate acceleration observed may be related to the formation of hydrophobic pockets in the DNA upon binding of the iron porphyrin, thus providing a high effective molarity that benefits reactivity.

DNA G-quadruplexes (G4s) have recently been incorporated as scaffolds for transition-metal promoted carbene transfer reactions. In 2019, Sen's group reported the cyclopropanation of styrene with EDA using heme·DNAzymes as catalysts (Fig. 13b). They exploited the ability of hemin to target and bind by stacking parallel G4 DNAs with three unpaired adenines or thymines at their 3'-end (G4-AAA or G4-TTT). The resulting complex was used to perform cyclopropanation reactions in yields up to 80%, and with good *cis/trans* ratios (8 : 92); but not significant enantiomeric excesses. Interestingly, the G4s provided faster kinetics and better product turnover than when using disaggregated hemes, both in the absence and in the presence of other DNA folds (*e.g.* double-stranded DNA).¹⁴⁰

Li *et al.* built hybrid systems using intramolecular antiparallel G-quadruplex variants of thrombin-binding aptamer (TBA) G4-DNAs and cationic Fe porphyrin FeTMPyP4 (Fe-meso-tetra(*N*-methyl-4-pyridyl)porphyrin, Fig. 13b). After adequate mutations, two efficient stereocomplementary catalysts were identified. Specific residues in the G4 structure, spatially close to the active site, were crucial for obtaining good selectivities in cyclopropanation reactions.¹⁴¹

5. Exporting metal carbene reactions into biological environments and living cells

5.1. Organometallic reactions in living contexts

Nature exploits the efficiency and selectivity of native metalloenzymes to regulate a plethora of biochemical transformations in living cells and organisms. As stated above, artificial metalloenzymes have demonstrated impressive activities in abiotic processes *in vitro*; however, their use in living cells has been essentially limited to bacteria, and usually with metal cofactors that are very similar to those occurring in nature. This contrasts with discrete transition metal catalysts, that have demonstrated to work in complex biological environments and even within living mammalian cells, albeit with very modest activities.^{6,14,15,17–43}

As indicated in the previous sections, reactions involving metal carbenes can be performed in aqueous media, using specifically tailored conditions. A more challenging issue is related with their bioorthogonality, and the possibility of exporting them to complex biological environments and living settings. Although advances in this area have been scarce, a number of reports increasingly show the potential of metal-promoted carbene transfer reactions in the fields of bio-orthogonal and biological chemistry.

5.2. Carbene transfer reactions in cellular settings and in bacteria

In addition to the considerations mentioned above, exporting metal carbene chemistry to living environments poses




Fig. 14 Ru-catalyzed fusion of a diazo with an enyne in complex biological media to provide bicyclic cyclopropanes reported by Teplý and coworkers.

important challenges associated with the activity and, especially, stability of the catalyst and/or reactive intermediates, and the selectivity towards abiotic substrates in the presence of different biomolecules (thiols, amines, amino acids...).

5.2.1 Reactions mediated by small, discrete metal complexes. An isolated report by Teplý and coworkers (Fig. 14), indicated that Cp^{*}RuCl(COD) is capable of promoting the reaction of trimethylsilyldiazomethane with an enyne to give bicyclic cyclopropanes in moderate yields. According to the authors, the reaction proceeds very quickly (5 min), in an open to air flask, and in the presence of complex biological media such as *E. coli* cell lysate, *Rattus norvegicus* urine, human serum or fetal bovine serum.¹⁴² These results are very promising in terms of the bioorthogonal possibilities of this type of carbene transfer reactions.

A particularly remarkable contribution in the area was disclosed by Balskus *et al.*, who demonstrated that metallocarbene chemistry can be interfaced with bacterial metabolism. They engineered *E. coli* to generate styrene from *D*-glucose, and integrated metallocarbene intermediates into the metabolic pathway using a biocompatible iron(III) phthalocyanine catalyst (FePcCl) that transformed styrene into non-natural phenyl cyclopropanes in single-vessel fermentations (Fig. 15).¹⁴³

Very recently, Mascareñas and coworkers reported the first example of a metal-carbene transfer reaction within live mammalian cells (Fig. 16). Using a common copper salt (Cu(OAc)₂), they synthesized benzoquinolines *in cellulo*, in




Fig. 15 Combination of microbial metabolism and metallocarbene chemistry to generate cyclopropanes from *D*-glucose in *E. coli* reported by Balskus.






Fig. 16 Exporting metal carbenes to live mammalian cells. Copper catalyzed synthesis of quinoxalines enabled by N-H carbene insertion.

a one-pot process that is initiated by an N-H carbene insertion of the *in situ* generated copper carbenoid into *ortho*-amino arylamines. The intracellular generation of a fluorescent benzoquinoxaline allowed for *in vivo* monitoring of the reaction by fluorescence microscopy. Remarkably, the methodology could be applied to elicit biological effects through the intracellular synthesis of Tyrphostin AG1385, a tyrosine phosphorylation inhibitor that disrupts mitochondrial functions, producing mitochondrial fragmentation and depolarization. Moreover, the authors were able to elicit cell-selective responses by equipping the copper catalyst with a targeting unit (RGD motif).¹⁴⁴

5.2.2 Transformations catalyzed by metalloenzymes

Metalloenzymes derived from natural counterparts. As previously indicated, some of the metalloenzymes capable of promoting carbene transfer reactions have been used directly *in vivo*, in the bacteria in which they are generated. The first example was reported by Arnold's group in 2013. Following their initial studies on directed evolution of cytochrome P450, they demonstrated that the introduction of an axial cysteine-to-serine mutation in the iron cofactor abolishes mono-oxygenation activity of P450 while maintaining carbene transfer activity (Fig. 17). They performed the cyclopropanation of styrene with EDA in whole-cells using *E. coli* expressing a serine-





Fig. 17 Comparison of Fe-oxene and Fe-carbene intermediates in heme-based metalloenzymes proposed by Arnold.^{104,105}

mutated P450 (also called P411), obtaining good results in terms of yield, diastereo- and enantioselectivity, as well as good turnover numbers.¹⁰⁵

It is worth noting the strategy reported by Arnold in 2016, which allowed the *in vivo* production of organosilicon compounds (Fig. 18a).¹¹⁰ In this case, cytochrome *c* from *Rhodothermus marinus* (Rma cyt *c*) was shown to be the most active hemoprotein. Importantly, high levels of selectivity were achieved for Si-H carbene insertions. Even in the presence of other functionalities such as alcohols or primary amines, styryl olefins or electron rich double bonds, susceptible of undergoing O-H, N-H insertion or cyclopropanations, the C-Si bond formation was predominant. Interestingly, by selecting the adequate ArMs, the authors were able to selectively catalyze the insertion of the carbene either into a Si-H or N-H bond of the same substrate (Fig. 18b).¹⁴⁵


a) Si-H insertion



*Cytochrome *c* from *Rhodothermus marinus* (Rma cyt *c*) TON up to 3410
98% ee


- carbon-silicon bond formation
- high chemoselectivity
- high TON
- yields up to 70%
- high ee

b) Engineered cytochrome *c* carbene transfer catalysts



Activity and chemoselectivity can be altered by tuning the conformational dynamics of Rma cyt *c* front loop via new mutations

c) B-H insertion



*Cytochrome *c* from *Rhodothermus marinus* (Rma cyt *c*) TON up to 15300
er > 99:1

- carbon-boron bond formation
- gram-scale biosynthesis
- proteins more active in the context of the whole-cell
- high TON
- total chemoselectivity
- high ee

Fig. 18 Expanding nature's carbene catalytic repertoire for *in vivo* (*E. coli*) reactions. (a) Synthesis of chiral silicon compounds. (b) Chemo-selectivity of carbene transfer: Si-H versus N-H insertion, reproduced from ref. 145 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright 2021. (c) Synthesis of chiral boron compounds.






Fig. 19 Combination of microbial metabolism and artificial metalloenzyme cyclopropanation to generate cyclopropanes from D-glucose in *E. coli* reported by Hartwig (CYP119 PDB ID: 14FU).

Using directed evolution, they could enhance the catalytic function of this ArM for B–H insertions, reporting, one year later, a fully genetically encoded platform for producing chiral

organoboranes in bacteria (Fig. 18c).¹⁴¹ These enzymes were found to form carbon–boron bonds in the presence of borane–Lewis base complexes, through carbene insertion into the boron–hydrogen bond. Surprisingly, the variants demonstrated to be even more productive when the reactions were carried out using whole *Escherichia coli* cells expressing this enzyme.

The P411 scaffold has been further optimized by directed evolution, and demonstrated to work in *E. coli* for other reactions, such as the synthesis of bicyclobutanes, *via* successive carbene addition to unsaturated carbon–carbon bonds.¹⁴⁶

Fasan and coworkers reported the first example of intramolecular olefin cyclopropanations with allyl α -diazoacetate derivatives (with high stereocontrol and complementary enantioselectivities), in whole cells, using engineered Mb based catalysts.¹⁴⁷ The same strategy was applied to the synthesis of symmetrically fused cyclopropane- γ -lactams starting from allyldiazoacetamides.¹⁴⁸

Very recently, Arnold *et al.* evolved a hemoprotein (P411) that exhibits a mechanism entailing an N–H insertion and a protonation at the active site of the protein. This engineered active site also places the necessary water molecules for rapid and stereoselective proton rearrangement prior to product release. The ArM works in whole cells, exhibiting high activity and enantioselectivity (up to 98% ee).¹⁴⁹

All the above reactions are based on the modification of native metalloprotein systems. The intracellular assembly of metalloproteins equipped with non-natural metal cofactors is more difficult.

An interesting example from Brustad's group deals with the engineering of cytochrome P450 to selectively incorporate Ir(Me)-deuteroporphyrim IX (Ir(Me)-DPIX), *in lieu* of natural





Fig. 20 *In vivo* metathesis reactions developed by (a) Ward and (b) Tanaka.



heme, directly in bacteria. The strategy required the introduction of mutations within the heme binding pocket. The resulting “in-cell” assembled iridium complex promoted olefin cyclopropanation reactions by EDA, and showed enhanced activity for aliphatic and electron-deficient olefins compared to the native heme enzyme.¹⁵⁰

Hartwig and coworkers recently demonstrated the viability of assembling an iridium containing heme metalloenzyme in bacteria, using the HUG system to transport the Ir(Me)MPIX cofactor into engineered cells expressing CYP119. They even demonstrated that it is possible to combine natural and artificial metalloenzymes in *E. coli* to afford cyclopropanated products from simple sugars (Fig. 19).¹⁵¹ Very recently, they identified a second system for transporting iridium porphyrin into Nissle 1917 (a non-pathogenic *E. coli* strain), based on the presence of an outer-membrane receptor of these cells.¹⁵²

Metalloenzymes based on non-native protein scaffolds. As discussed above, it is possible to assemble artificial metalloenzymes using a biotin–streptavidin recognition strategy. Ward demonstrated that a dirhodium complex tethered to a biotin moiety can interact with streptavidin proteins located in the periplasm of *E. coli*, and the resulting complex performed carbene transfer reactions *in vivo*.¹³⁷ The reactions are only effective in the periplasm, owing to the absence of thiols and other components that could deactivate the catalyst.

In addition to carbene transfer reactions from diazo precursors, metal carbenes are also key reagents and intermediates in alkene metathesis processes, which can also be catalyzed by artificial metalloenzymes.¹⁰¹ Ward and coworkers designed a biotinylated Hoveyda–Grubbs second-generation catalyst (biot–Ru) that binds to streptavidin in the periplasm of *E. coli*, to give an effective artificial metalloenzyme that promotes a ring-closing-metathesis (Fig. 20, left).

A similar approach, based on the affinity of coumarin for albumin, was employed by the group of Tanaka to build ArMs that perform “in cell” metathesis reactions (Fig. 20, right).¹⁵³

6. Conclusions

What seemed unfeasible at first, achieving metal-catalyzed carbene transfer reactions in water mixtures, and even under the stringent conditions of a biological environment, has now become a reality. Exporting metal carbene chemistry to these reaction environments is possible, provided the substrates, catalysts and conditions are appropriately tuned.

Considering the rich and versatile chemistry of metal carbenes, we foresee a bright future for further expanding the applications of these intermediates in chemical and cell biology, as well as biomedicine.

Current challenges include, among others, the creation of versatile artificial metalloenzymes that can perform chemoselective and bioorthogonal metal-carbene transfer reactions in mammalian cells, increasing rates and turnover with discrete metal catalysts, the development of metallocatalysts embedded in nanoscaffolds that facilitate the reactivity, or the development of biological applications.

Author contributions

JLM conceived the idea of the review and together with MTG supervised the project. SG prepared the draft, and MTG made the initial revision. JLM revised and edited the manuscript. All the authors participated in the discussion of the drafts and gave approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work has received financial support from Spanish grants (PID2019-108624RB-I00, RTI2018-093813-J-I00, R&C2020-029150-I and ORFEO-CINQA network CTQ2016-81797-REDC), the Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria (ED431C-2021/25 and Centro Singular de Investigación de Galicia accreditation 2019–2022, ED431G 2019/03), the European Union (European Regional Development Fund-ERDF corresponding to the multiannual financial framework 2014–2020), and the European Research Council (Advanced Grant No. 340055). Figures have been created with <https://BioRender.com>.

Notes and references

- J. F. Hartwig, *Organotransition metal chemistry: from bonding to catalysis*, University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 2010.
- P. H. Dixneuf and V. Cadierno, *Metal-catalyzed reactions in water*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2013.
- S. Narayan, J. Muldoon, M. G. Finn, V. V. Fokin, H. C. Kolb and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3275–3279.
- E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6974–6998.
- N. K. Devaraj, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 952–959.
- Y. Bai, J. Chen and S. C. Zimmerman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 1811–1821.
- A. J. Link and D. A. Tirrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 11164–11165.
- A. J. Link, M. K. S. Vink and D. A. Tirrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10598–10602.
- V. Hong, N. F. Steinmetz, M. Manchester and M. G. Finn, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2010, **21**, 1912–1916.
- Y. Bai, X. Feng, H. Xing, Y. Xu, B. K. Kim, N. Baig, T. Zhou, A. A. Gewirth, Y. Lu, E. Oldfield and S. C. Zimmerman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 11077–11080.
- J. Miguel-Ávila, M. Tomás-Gamasa, A. Olmos, P. J. Pérez and J. L. Mascareñas, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1947–1952.
- C. Uttamapinant, M. I. Sanchez, D. S. Liu, J. Z. Yao, K. A. White, S. Grecian, S. Clark, K. R. Gee and A. Y. Ting, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2013, **8**, 1620–1634.
- L. Li and Z. Zhang, *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 1393.
- C. Streu and E. Meggers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 5645–5648.



- 15 M. Martínez and J. L. Mascareñas, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **359**, 57–79.
- 16 J. G. Rebelein and T. R. Ward, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2018, **53**, 106–114.
- 17 A. H. Ngo, S. Bose and L. H. Do, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 10584–10594.
- 18 Y. Liu and Y. Bai, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 4717–4746.
- 19 P. Destito, C. Vidal, F. López and J. L. Mascareñas, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 4789–4816.
- 20 T.-C. Chang and K. Tanaka, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2021, **46**, 116353.
- 21 J. J. Soldevila-Barreda and N. Metzler-Nolte, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 829–869.
- 22 M. O. N. van de L'Isle, M. C. Ortega-Liebana and A. Unciti-Broceta, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2021, **61**, 32–42.
- 23 W. Wanga, X. Zhang, R. Huang, C.-M. Hirschbiegel, H. Wang, Y. Ding and V. M. Rotello, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2021, **176**, 113893.
- 24 S. Fedeli, J. Im, S. Gopalakrishnan, J. L. Elia, A. Gupta, D. Kimade and V. M. Rotello, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 13467–13480.
- 25 P. K. Sasmal, S. Carregal-Romero, W. J. Parak and E. Meggers, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 5968–5970.
- 26 M. I. Sánchez, C. Penas, M. E. Vázquez and J. L. Mascareñas, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1901–1907.
- 27 T. Volker, F. Dempwolff, P. L. Graumann and E. Meggers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10536–10540.
- 28 M. Tomás-Gamasa, M. Martínez-Calvo, J. R. Couceiro and J. L. Mascareñas, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 12538.
- 29 G. Y. Tonga, Y. Jeong, B. Duncan, T. Mizuhara, R. Mout, R. Das, S. T. Kim, Y.-C. Yeh, B. Yan, S. Hou and V. M. Rotello, *Nat. Chem.*, 2015, **7**, 597–603.
- 30 M. Martínez-Calvo, J. R. Couceiro, P. Destito, J. Rodríguez, J. Mosquera and J. L. Mascareñas, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 6055–6061.
- 31 R. Das, R. F. Landis, G. Y. Tonga, R. Cao-Milan, D. C. Luther and V. M. Rotello, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 229–235.
- 32 S. Learte-Ayamami, C. Vidal, A. Gutiérrez-González and J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 9149–9154.
- 33 X. Zhang, S. Fedeli, S. Gopalakrishnan, R. Huang, A. Gupta, D. C. Luther and V. Rotello, *ChemBioChem*, 2020, **21**, 2759–2763.
- 34 P. Destito, J. R. Couceiro, H. Ferreira, F. López and J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 10766–10770.
- 35 A. Gutiérrez-González, P. Destito, J. R. Couceiro, C. Pérez-González, F. López and J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 16059–16066.
- 36 J. Miguel-Ávila, M. Tomás-Gamasa and J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 17628–17633.
- 37 C. Vidal, M. Tomás-Gamasa, A. Gutiérrez-González and J. L. Mascareñas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5125–5129.
- 38 C. Vidal, M. Tomás-Gamasa, P. Destito, F. López and J. L. Mascareñas, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1913.
- 39 N. Li, C. P. Ramil, R. K. V. Lim and Q. Lin, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **10**, 379–384.
- 40 R. M. Yusop, A. Unciti-Broceta, E. M. V. Johansson, R. M. Sánchez-Martín and M. Bradley, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 239–243.
- 41 J. Clavadetscher, E. Indrigo, S. V. Chankeshwara, A. Lilienkampf and M. Bradley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6864–6868.
- 42 L. Lercher, J. F. McGouran, B. M. Kessler, C. J. Schofield and B. G. Davis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 10553–10558.
- 43 P. Destito, A. Sousa-Castillo, J. R. Couceiro, F. López, M. A. Correa-Duarte and J. L. Mascareñas, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2598–2603.
- 44 J. Wang, C.-M. Che and M. P. Doyle, *Transition Metal-Catalyzed Carbene Transformations*, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2021.
- 45 F. Zaragoza Dörwald, *Metal carbenes in organic synthesis*, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, 1999.
- 46 P. de Frémont, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **253**, 862–892.
- 47 M. Jia and S. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9134–9166.
- 48 A. Ford, H. Miel, A. Ring, C. N. Slattery, A. R. Maguire and M. A. McKerverey, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9981–10080.
- 49 D. Zhu, J. Ma, K. Luo, H. Fu, L. Zhang and S. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 8452–8456.
- 50 H. M. L. Davies and R. E. J. Beckwith, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2861–2903.
- 51 M. Brookhart and W. B. Studabaker, *Chem. Rev.*, 1987, **87**, 411–432.
- 52 D. Intriери, A. Caselli and E. Gallo, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 5071–5081.
- 53 H. M. L. Davies and E. G. Antoulinakis, *Org. React.*, 2001, **57**, 1–326.
- 54 H. M. L. Davies and J. R. Manning, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 417–424.
- 55 M. P. Doyle, R. Duffy, M. Ratnikov and L. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 704–724.
- 56 M. Álvarez, R. Gava, M. R. Rodríguez, S. G. Rull and P. J. Pérez, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 3707–3711.
- 57 S.-F. Zhu and Q.-L. Zhou, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 1365–1377.
- 58 D. Gillingham and N. Fei, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 4918–4931.
- 59 W. Kirmse and M. Kapps, *Chem. Ber.*, 1968, **101**, 994–1003.
- 60 M. P. Doyle, J. H. Griffin, M. S. Chinn and D. van Leusen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 1917–1925.
- 61 S. Jana, Y. Guo and R. M. Koenigs, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 1270–1281.
- 62 M. C. Pirrung, H. Liu and A. T. Morehead, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1014–1023.
- 63 For specific reviews on olefin metathesis in aqueous and biological environments, see: M. S. Messina and H. D. Maynard, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **4**, 1040–1051; J. B. Binder and R. T. Raines, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2008, **12**, 767–773.
- 64 S. Iwasa, F. Takezawa, Y. Tuchiya and H. Nishiyama, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 59–60.
- 65 R. P. Wurz and A. B. Charette, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4531–4533.



- 66 I. Nicolas, P. Le Maux and G. Simonneaux, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 5793–5795.
- 67 M. Otte, P. F. Kuijpers, O. Troeppner, I. Ivanović-Burmazović, J. N. H. Reek and B. de Bruin, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 4880–4884.
- 68 M. C. M. van Oers, L. K. E. A. Abdelmohsen, F. P. J. T. Rutjes and J. C. M. van Hest, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 4040–4043.
- 69 N. R. Candeias, P. M. P. Gois and C. A. M. Afonso, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 391–393.
- 70 N. R. Candeias, P. M. P. Gois and C. A. M. Afonso, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 5489–5497.
- 71 L. Li, C. Shu, B. Zhou, Y.-F. Yu, X.-Y. Xiao and L.-W. Ye, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 4057–4064.
- 72 H. F. Srouf, P. Le Maux, S. Chevance, D. Carrié, N. Le Yondre and G. Simonneaux, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2015, **407**, 194–203.
- 73 K. Ramakrishna, J. M. Thomas and C. Sivasankar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 9826–9835.
- 74 K. Ramakrishna and C. Sivasankar, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 2392–2396.
- 75 R. P. Pandit, S. H. Kim and Y. R. Lee, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2016, **358**, 3586–3599.
- 76 P. Saha, H. Jeon, P. K. Mishra, H.-W. Rhee and J. H. Kwak, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2016, **417**, 10–18.
- 77 I. Aviv and Z. Gross, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 3995–4005.
- 78 L. Zhou, Y. Liu, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **7**, 631–637.
- 79 M. Liao and J. Wang, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 184–188.
- 80 T. G. Rajagopalan, W. H. Stein and S. Moore, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1966, **241**, 4295–4297.
- 81 G. R. Delpierre and J. S. Fruton, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1966, 1817–1822.
- 82 J. M. Antos and M. B. Francis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10256–10257.
- 83 J. M. Antos, J. M. McFarland, A. T. Iavarone and M. B. Francis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 6301–6308.
- 84 R. Kundu and Z. T. Ball, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4166–4168.
- 85 C.-M. Ho, J.-L. Zhang, C.-Y. Zhou, O.-Y. Chan, J. J. Yan, F.-Y. Zhang, J.-S. Huang and C.-M. Che, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 1886–1894.
- 86 M. Salmain, E. Licandro, C. Baldoli, S. Maiorana, H. Tran-Huy and G. Jaouen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **617–618**, 376–382.
- 87 P. Dutta, S. Sawoo, N. Ray, O. Bouloussa and A. Sarkar, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2011, **22**, 1202–1209.
- 88 B. V. Popp and Z. T. Ball, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6660–6662.
- 89 B. V. Popp and Z. T. Ball, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 690–695.
- 90 Z. Chen, F. Vohidov, J. M. Coughlin, L. J. Stagg, S. T. Arold, J. E. Ladbury and Z. T. Ball, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 10138–10145.
- 91 F. Vohidov, J. M. Coughlin and Z. T. Ball, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4587–4591.
- 92 J. Ohata and Z. T. Ball, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12617–12622.
- 93 K. Tishinov, K. Schmidt, D. Häussinger and D. G. Gillingham, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12000–12004.
- 94 K. Tishinov, N. Fei and D. Gillingham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 4401–4406.
- 95 S. N. Geigle, L. A. Wyss, S. J. Sturla and D. G. Gillingham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 499–506.
- 96 Y.-H. Lee, E. Yu and C.-M. Park, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1681.
- 97 F. Schwizer, Y. Okamoto, T. Heinisch, Y. Gu, M. M. Pellizzoni, V. Lebrun, R. Reuter, V. Köhler, J. C. Lewis and T. R. Ward, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 142–231.
- 98 M. Diéguez, J.-E. Bäckvall and O. Pàmies, *Artificial metalloenzymes and metalloDNAszymes in catalysis: from design to applications*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2018.
- 99 M. Jeschek, S. Panke and T. R. Ward, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2018, **36**, 60–72.
- 100 H. J. Davis and T. R. Ward, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2019, **5**, 1120–1136.
- 101 M. Jeschek, R. Reuter, T. Heinisch, C. Trindler, J. Klehr, S. Panke and T. R. Ward, *Nature*, 2016, **537**, 661–665.
- 102 M. Wittwer, U. Markel, J. Schiffels, J. Okuda, D. F. Sauer and U. Schwaneberg, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021, **4**, 814–827.
- 103 S. Chordia, S. Narasimhan, A. Lucini Paioni, M. Baldus and G. Roelfes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 5913–5920.
- 104 P. S. Coelho, E. M. Brustad, A. Kannan and F. H. Arnold, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 307–310.
- 105 P. S. Coelho, Z. J. Wang, M. E. Ener, S. A. Baril, A. Kannan, F. H. Arnold and E. M. Brustad, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2013, **9**, 485–487.
- 106 H. Renata, Z. J. Wang, R. Z. Kitto and F. H. Arnold, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **4**, 3640–3643.
- 107 K. E. Hernandez, H. Renata, R. D. Lewis, S. B. J. Kan, C. Zhang, J. Forte, D. Rozzell, J. A. McIntosh and F. H. Arnold, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 7810–7813.
- 108 K. Chen and F. H. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 6891–6895.
- 109 Z. J. Wang, N. E. Peck, H. Renata and F. H. Arnold, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 598–601.
- 110 S. B. J. Kan, R. D. Lewis, K. Chen and F. H. Arnold, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 1048–1051.
- 111 B. J. Kan, X. Huang, Y. Gumulya, K. Chen and F. H. Arnold, *Nature*, 2017, **552**, 132–136.
- 112 J. Zhang, X. Huang, R. K. Zhang and F. H. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9798–9802.
- 113 R. K. Zhang, K. Chen, X. Huang, L. Wohlschlager, H. Renata and F. H. Arnold, *Nature*, 2019, **565**, 67–72.
- 114 M. Bordeaux, R. Singh and R. Fasan, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **22**, 5697–5704.
- 115 M. Bordeaux, V. Tyagi and R. Fasan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1744–1748.
- 116 A. Tinoco, V. Steck, V. Tyagi and R. Fasan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5293–5296.
- 117 A. L. Chandgude and R. Fasan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 15852–15856.
- 118 A. L. Chandgude, X. Ren and R. Fasan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9145–9150.



- 119 V. Steck, D. M. Carminati, N. R. Johnson and R. Fasan, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 10967–10977.
- 120 D. Nam, V. Steck, R. J. Potenzino and R. Fasan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 2221–2231.
- 121 G. Sreenilayam and R. Fasan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1532–1534.
- 122 V. Steck, G. Sreenilayam and R. Fasan, *Synlett*, 2020, **31**, 224–229.
- 123 V. Steck, D. M. Carminati, N. R. Johnson and R. Fasan, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 10967–10977.
- 124 V. Tyagi, R. B. Bonn and R. Fasan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2488–2494.
- 125 R. L. Khade, A. L. Chandgude, R. Fasan and Y. Zhan, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 3101–3108.
- 126 V. Tyagi, G. Sreenilayam, P. Bajaj, A. Tinoco and R. Fasan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 13563–13566.
- 127 H. M. Key, P. Dydio, D. S. Clark and J. F. Hartwig, *Nature*, 2016, **534**, 534–537.
- 128 P. Dydio, H. M. Key, A. Nazarenko, J. Y.-E. Rha, V. Seyedkazemi, D. S. Clark and J. F. Hartwig, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 102–106.
- 129 Y. Gu, S. N. Natoli, Z. Liu, D. S. Clark and J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 13954–13960.
- 130 M. W. Wolf, D. A. Vargas and N. Lehnert, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 5623–5635.
- 131 K. Oohora, H. Meichin, L. Zhao, M. W. Wolf, A. Nakayama, J. Hasegawa, N. Lehnert and T. Hayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17265–17268.
- 132 H. Yang, P. Srivastava, C. Zhang and J. C. Lewis, *ChemBioChem*, 2014, **15**, 223–227.
- 133 P. Srivastava, H. Yang, K. Ellis-Guardiola and J. C. Lewis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7789.
- 134 D. M. Upp, R. Huang, Y. Li, M. J. Bultman, B. Roux and J. C. Lewis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 23672–23677.
- 135 L. Villarino, K. E. Splan, E. Reddem, L. Alonso-Cotchico, C. Gutiérrez de Souza, A. Lledós, J.-D. Maréchal, A.-M. W. H. Thunnissen and G. Roelfes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7785–7789.
- 136 M. E. Wilson and G. M. Whitesides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **100**, 306–307.
- 137 J. Zhao, D. G. Bachmann, M. Lenz, D. G. Gillingham and T. R. Ward, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 2294–2298.
- 138 J. Oelerich and G. Roelfes, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2013–2017.
- 139 A. Rioz-Martínez, J. Oelerich, N. Ségaud and G. Roelfes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14136–14140.
- 140 H. Ibrahim, P. Mulyk and D. Sen, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 15280–15288.
- 141 J. Hao, W. Miao, Y. Cheng, S. Lu, G. Jia and C. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6561–6567.
- 142 L. Adriaenssens, L. Severa, J. Vávra, T. Šalová, J. Hývl, M. Čížková, R. Pohl, D. Šaman and F. Teplý, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 2009, **74**, 1023–1034.
- 143 S. Wallace and E. P. Balskus, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 7106–7109.
- 144 S. Gutiérrez, M. Tomás-Gamasa and J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 22017–22025.
- 145 M. Garcia-Borràs, S. B. J. Kan, R. D. Lewis, A. Tang, G. Jimenez-Osés, F. H. Arnold and K. N. Houk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 7114–7123.
- 146 K. Chen, X. Huang, S. B. J. Kan, R. K. Zhang and F. H. Arnold, *Science*, 2018, **360**, 71–75.
- 147 A. L. Chandgude, X. Ren and R. Fasan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 9145–9150.
- 148 X. Ren, A. L. Chandgude and R. Fasan, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 2308–2313.
- 149 Z. Liu, C. Calvó-Tusell, A. Z. Zhou, K. Chen, M. García-Borràs and F. H. Arnold, *Nat. Chem.*, 2021, **13**, 1166–1172.
- 150 E. W. Reynolds, T. D. Schwochert, M. W. McHenry, J. W. Watters and E. M. Brustad, *ChemBioChem*, 2017, **18**, 2380–2384.
- 151 J. Huang, Z. Liu, B. J. Bloomer, D. S. Clark, A. Mukhopadhyay, J. D. Keasling and J. F. Hartwig, *Nat. Chem.*, 2021, **13**, 1186–1191.
- 152 Z. Liu, J. Huang, Y. Gu, D. S. Clark, A. Mukhopadhyay, J. D. Keasling and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 883–890.
- 153 I. Nasibullin, I. Smirnov, P. Ahmadi, K. Vong, A. Kurbangalieva and K. Tanaka, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 39.

