

Journal of Materials Chemistry B

Materials for biology and medicine

rsc.li/materials-b



ISSN 2050-750X

PERSPECTIVE

Samuel Sánchez *et al.*
Enzymatic micro/nanomotors in biomedicine: from single
motors to swarms



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. B*,
2024, 12, 2711

Enzymatic micro/nanomotors in biomedicine: from single motors to swarms

Shuqin Chen,^a Carles Prado-Morales,^a Daniel Sánchez-deAlcázar^a and
Samuel Sánchez ^{*ab}

Micro/nanomotors (MNM) have evolved from single self-propelled entities to versatile systems capable of performing one or multiple biomedical tasks. When single MNMs self-assemble into coordinated swarms, either under external control or triggered by chemical reactions, they offer advantages that individual MNMs cannot achieve. These benefits include intelligent multitasking and adaptability to changes in the surrounding environment. Here, we provide our perspective on the evolution of MNMs, beginning with the development of enzymatic MNMs since the first theoretical model was proposed in 2005. These enzymatic MNMs hold immense promise in biomedicine due to their advantages in biocompatibility and fuel availability. Subsequently, we introduce the design and application of single motors in biomedicine, followed by the control of MNM swarms and their biomedical applications. In the end, we propose viable solutions for advancing the development of MNM swarms and anticipate valuable insights into the creation of more intelligent and controllable MNM swarms for biomedical applications.

Received 18th October 2023,
Accepted 19th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3tb02457a

rsc.li/materials-b

Introduction

First proposed in the 1950s, nanoscale machines have experienced remarkable advancements in the field of biomedicine in recent decades. Micro/nanomotors (MNM) are tiny devices that achieve self-propulsion through converting various types of energy into mechanical force.^{1–7} The characteristic of self-

propulsion has shown significant advantages in targeting,^{8,9} drug delivery,^{10–12} diagnostics,^{13,14} antibacterial,¹⁵ and in overcoming biological barriers.¹⁶ Scientists have designed and constructed various MNMs for diverse applications in the biomedical area, employing different propulsion mechanisms. These mechanisms include external stimuli like magnetic fields,^{17,18} electric fields,^{19,20} ultrasound^{21–23} and light,^{24,25} as well as internal stimuli like chemical reactions.^{26,27} Among the myriad of propulsion methods, utilizing enzymes as engines has emerged as a promising choice in the field of biomedical applications, primarily due to their biocompatibility and bioavailability.

^a Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Baldori I Reixac 10-12, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail: ssanchez@ibecbarcelona.eu

^b Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Psg. Lluís Companys, 23, 08010, Barcelona, Spain



Shuqin Chen

Shuqin Chen received her master's degree in materials science and engineering from Harbin Institute of Technology (ShenZhen), China, in 2022. She is now a PhD student at the Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia, Spain. Her research activities focus on studying the interactions among swarms of enzymatic nanomotors.



Carles Prado-Morales

Carles Prado-Morales received his master's degree in molecular biotechnology from the University of Barcelona, Spain, in 2022. He is now a PhD student at the Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), Spain. His research is focused on developing enzymatic nanomotors for biomedical applications.



The fundamental study of MNMs has evolved, shifting from a primary focus on the propulsion of individual MNMs to pairwise interactions and collective interactions. While individual units may obey simple rules, when assembled into groups in a highly ordered way, they exhibit complex and intriguing swarming behavior.²⁸ In living systems, swarming behavior happens in various phenomena such as self-organization of bacterial colonies, cell migration, bioconvection of microbial suspensions and flocks of fish, ants, and birds. Quorum sensing plays a vital role in collective behaviors, for individuals in a swarm regulate their behaviors based on the density of their neighbors.²⁹ Another common characteristic of swarming behavior is the ability to adapt to dynamically changing environments, which requires energy input.³⁰ By mimicking these features, in the lab, synthetic collective active matters controlled by different energy sources have been exploited.

In this perspective, we aim at introducing how the enzymatic MNMs derives from single enzymes to enzyme driven MNMs, and how the synthetic MNMs achieve highly ordered swarms automatically or under external control. In addition, we introduce the state-of-the-artwork on applying MNMs in overcoming biofluids, biological barriers, and achieving theragnostic both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Furthermore, the MNM swarms are gradually applied in multiple areas in biomedical applications.

The evolution of enzymatic MNMs

Enzymatic catalysis converts chemical energy *in situ* into mechanical energy and promotes enzyme self-propulsion³¹ (Fig. 1A). The first demonstration of enhanced urease diffusion, indicating substrate dependency, was reported by Sen's group.³² Subsequently, the same group revealed that enzyme motion could be directed towards areas of high substrate concentration, resembling cell behavior and exhibiting a form



Fig. 1 Motion of individual enzymes and enzymes tethered MNMs. (A) Schematics showing the main mechanisms behind the self-propulsion of individual enzymes and enzyme tethered MNMs. (B) The spherical particle with a reaction site. Reproduced with permission from ref. 42. Copyright 2005 American Physical Society. (C) Autonomous propulsion of carbon nanotubes powered by enzymes, and hybrid biocatalytic microengine. Reproduced with permission from ref. 44 and 45. Copyright 2008 Royal Society of Chemistry, Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. (D) Micromotors powered by enzyme catalysis. Reproduced with permission from ref. 46. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (E) Janus nanomotors powered by urease, catalase, and glucose oxidase, and non-Janus urease powered micromotors. Reproduced with permission from ref. 26 and 48. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society, Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (F) Quantification of the number of enzymes present on the micromotors surface. Reproduced with permission from ref. 48. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. (G) Urease purification. Reproduced with permission from ref. 50. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society.

of chemotaxis.³³ However, the enhanced diffusion of single enzymes is controversial regarding the underlying mechanisms, which is mainly attributed to various physicochemical phenomena, including diffusiophoresis,³⁴ conformational



Daniel Sánchez-deAlcázar

Daniel Sánchez deAlcázar received his PhD degree in Molecular biology and biomedicine from Basque Country University (Spain) in 2020. Since 2023 he has been working as postdoctoral fellow at the Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia (IBEC), Spain. His research interests are focused on protein engineering for the fabrication of enzymatic nanomotors.



Samuel Sánchez

Samuel obtained his PhD in Chemistry at Autonomous University of Barcelona in 2008. Currently, he is ICREA Research Professor, Group Leader and Deputy Director at the Institute for Bioengineering of Catalonia. Before that, he worked at the Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems Stuttgart, at the Institute for Integrative Nano-sciences at IFW Dresden, Germany, and at MANA-NIMS in Japan. His group's main interests are new types of advanced robotics from nano- to mesoscale, including self-propelled nanoparticles as intelligent vehicles in biomedicine to the 3D Bioengineering of biohybrid robots and actuators.



changes,³⁵ and exothermicity,³⁶ along with the antichemotaxis.³⁷ Thus, further investigation is required to address several concerns, *i.e.*, minimizing the photophysical artifacts of fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) measurements and aligning findings with results from complementary techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or dynamic light scattering (DLS).^{38–41}

Considering the self-propulsion capability of enzymes, the concept of enzymatic MNMs was proposed and was initially explored through theoretical demonstrations by Golestanian's group.⁴² In those theoretical studies, a spherical particle has a fixed single enzymatic site that releases asymmetrical reaction products, thus propelling a molecular machine (Fig. 1B). In the same year, Heller's group⁴³ showed autonomous self-propulsion of conductive carbon fiber decorated with glucose oxidase (GOx) at one end of the fiber and bilirubin oxidase (BOD) at the opposite end. The bioelectrochemical reaction, at the air-liquid interface, promotes the flow of electrons from the anode (GOx) to the cathode (BOD) within the carbon fiber, which is essential for the fiber's motion. Furthermore, the authors claimed that a linear or spiral trajectory could be controlled by altering the GOx/BOD ratios. Subsequently Feringa's group⁴⁴ experimentally demonstrated the theory of enzymatic nanotubes propelled by asymmetrical reaction products (Fig. 1C). Covalent attachment of glucose oxidase and catalase to carbon nanotubes enables the tandem catalytic conversion of the natural fuel glucose to kinetic energy. Later, Sánchez's group⁴⁵ designed rolled-up microtubes propelled by covalently bounded catalase enzyme inside the micromotors' cavity (Fig. 1C). These hybrid microengines

achieved high efficiency and allow the microtubes to move at a very low concentration of fuel.

Sen's group⁴⁶ experimentally demonstrated enzymatic spherical MNMs (Fig. 1D). They immobilized urease and catalase separately on polystyrene microparticles, showing diffusion enhancement in a substrate concentration-dependent manner, like the behavior exhibited by single enzymes. In the same year, Sánchez's group²⁶ reported the first biocompatible Janus nanobots, emphasizing the significance of asymmetry in enhancing motion and supporting previous theoretical studies done by Golestanian (Fig. 1E). Similarly, Städler's team⁴⁷ designed Janus silica nanoparticles driven by a harmless tandem reaction involving the glucose oxidase-catalase pair. Subsequently, Sánchez's group⁴⁸ revealed that asymmetric distribution of enzymes could also be induced in non-Janus micromotors through the utilization of glutaraldehyde as a crosslinker (Fig. 1E). In a similar line, Wilson's group⁴⁹ encapsulated GOx and catalase within stomatocytes, which are polymer vesicles with bowl-shape structure. This approach resulted in self-propulsion at high speed.

Some crucial parameters need to be considered when designing and constructing these enzymatic MNMs, including the amount, the purity, and asymmetric distributions of enzymes, as well as the presence of electrolytes in the media, and the size and shape of the MNMs^{50–54} (Fig. 1E–G). Additionally, some intrinsic features of the enzymes are equally important, *i.e.*, molecular weight of the substrate and products,^{39,55} as well as high turnover number⁵⁶ and the chemical binding.⁵⁷ Overall, these studies collectively contributed to the evolving landscape of MNMs research, offering new avenues for exploration in biomedical applications.




Fig. 2 Biomedical advantages of MNMs. (A) Schematic of helical micropropellers moving in high viscous media while magnetically guided. Reproduced with permission from ref. 64. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (B) Nanomotors functionalized with collagenase traveling through a collagen fibers network. Reproduced with permission from ref. 66. Copyright 2022 American Chemical Society. (C) Magnetic micropropellers overcoming mucus barrier by mimicking *Helicobacter Pylori* mechanism. Reproduced with permission from ref. 16. Copyright 2015 The Authors. (D) Near Infrared powered nanomotors actively crossing skin. Reproduced with permission from ref. 61. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (E) On the left, urease-nanomotors delivering drugs intracellularly in a pH responsive manner. Reproduced with permission from ref. 70. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. On the right, nanowires powered by ultrasounds provoking and increasing the knock-out of GFP expression due to the delivery of Cas9/sgrRNA complex. Reproduced with permission from ref. 23. Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (F) On the left, urease-nanomotors penetrating 3D bladder cancer spheroids. Reproduced with permission from ref. 71. Copyright 2018 American Chemical Society. On the right, microswimmers reaching and killing cells in deep spheroids areas. Reproduced with permission from ref. 72. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (G) On top, Mg-micromotors against gastric bacterial infections *in vivo*. Reproduced with permission from ref. 73. Copyright 2017 The Author(s). On bottom, hybrid microrobots were tested against acute bacterial pneumonia in a mice model. Reproduced with permission from ref. 74. Copyright 2022 The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited. (H) Janus nanorods travelling through extracellular matrix for achieving increased chemotherapy delivery and tumor diffusion *in vivo*. Reproduced with permission from ref. 75 Copyright 2021 Royal Society of Chemistry.



Biomedical applications of MNMs

The human body is an extremely complex system with sophisticated barriers that protect it from external threats. To effectively reach the targeted area and exert their therapeutic effects, MNMs must travel through challenging environments and overcome barriers, *e.g.*, mucus,^{58,59} blood brain barrier,⁶⁰ skin,^{61,62} and eye vitreous.⁶³ Moreover, evaluating therapeutic outcomes in a real body system is more challenging compared to simple *in vitro* cell models.

Stage 1: movement in complex media

Using body fluids for travelling is an arduous task, mainly due to the presence of mesh structures and high viscosity. Mesh structures limit the movement of MNMs in a size-dependent manner, requiring the same size range between MNMs and the mesh. Fisher's group⁶⁴ demonstrated that helical nanopropellers with a filament diameter of about 70 nm showed advantage when move through high-viscosity solutions, with comparable velocities to that of larger micropropellers (Fig. 2A). This is mainly because these nanopropellers are of the same size range as the gel's mesh size. In case of high viscosity, acoustic propulsion has been proved to be effective for moving in complex biological fluids such as blood, mucus, or fetal bovine serum.⁶⁵ Another possibility is using enzymes for modulating matrix networks, which would allow MNMs to go through it. For instance, Städler's group⁶⁶ showed that an extracellular matrix model made of collagen fibers would not be a movement limitation when nanomotors are supplied with collagenase (Fig. 2B).

Stage 2: overcoming biological barriers

The presence of biological barriers in the body is considerably restricting the possible biomedical applications of nanotechnology. Nevertheless, huge steps forward have been made in the MNMs field demonstrating how active nanocarriers can overcome them. The principles that prove to be effective for nature are applicable to scientific purposes. Inspired by *Helicobacter Pylori*, magnetic micropropellers with urease were able to penetrate a mucus barrier, showing how externally manipulated micromotors actively and reversibly modify their surroundings¹⁶ (Fig. 2C). The significance of these applications becomes evident when considering local administration and alternative routes that offer less side effects and more efficient treatments. In the context of biological barriers, skin, which is the largest organ, plays a crucial role in safeguarding the entire body against the external environment. The outermost layer of the skin, known as the stratum corneum, effectively diminishes the bioavailability of topical formulations.⁶⁷ A couple of recent approaches have used near infrared light for powering nanomotors and crossing mice skin for antifungal therapy^{61,62} (Fig. 2D). Moreover, nanomotors have also shown enhancement in biofilm infiltration.⁶⁸ Regarding intravitreal delivery, vitreous humor acts as a barrier, impeding the access of substances to the retina. One innovative solution to this limitation involves the use of slippery helical micropropellers powered by magnetic fields. These micropropellers have shown enhanced penetration in the vitreous humor, effectively overcoming the barrier and reaching the retina.⁶³

Stage 3: *in vitro* drug delivery

In the preceding lines, the advantages of active motion in crossing complex media were clearly demonstrated. In this regard, it is crucial to determine the actual effectiveness of drug delivery in the target cells using active motion. Taking advantage of drug loading capacities of MNMs, Doxorubicin was loaded into urease-nanomotors, and its release increased four-fold in the presence of fuel, showing an enhanced anti-cancer effect against HeLa cells.⁶⁹ It is worth noting that these nanomotors also showed intelligent drug release in a pH-responsive manner when gating the pores.⁷⁰ As a result, in the presence of fuel, an enhancement in the internalization and intracellular drug release was observed in 2D HeLa cell culture (Fig. 2E, left). New advanced therapies are emerging, and MNMs are successfully keeping abreast of these advancements. Ultrasound nanowires loaded with a Cas9/sgRNA complex were able to overcome physiological barriers for intra-cellular delivery of functional proteins and RNAs, achieving more than 80% GFP gene knockout compared to 30% knockout observed with the passive ones²³ (Fig. 2E, right). Concerning cancer treatment, MNMs have awakened special interest as a promising strategy. Active drug-loaded urease-nanomotors exhibited enhanced penetration within bladder cancer 3D spheroids. Moreover, incorporating a targeting antibody on their surface augments penetration, leading to tumor growth inhibition⁷¹ (Fig. 2F, left). Heat delivery *via* incorporated magnetic nanoparticles also benefits from the increased tumor penetration. This approach facilitates localized temperature elevation within deep tumor regions, resulting in localized cell death in the tumor core⁷² (Fig. 2F, right).

Stage 4: *in vivo* therapeutic outcome

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the application of MNMs in *in vivo* models to assess their potential in living organisms, following the demonstrated effectiveness and safety observed in *in vitro* models. To the best of our knowledge, in 2017 therapeutic magnesium micromotors for active drug delivery were successfully tested *in vivo* for the first time.⁷³ In that case, magnesium-micromotors loaded with an antibiotic significantly reduced a gastric bacterial infection in mice model (Fig. 2G, top). Some years later, the same group tested the effectiveness of hybrid micromotors against acute bacterial pneumonia.⁷⁴ The observed antibacterial effect in an *in vivo* mice model stemmed primarily from higher speed in lung fluid, uniform deep tissue distribution and enhanced retention time (Fig. 2G, bottom). Icebreaker-inspired Janus nanorods, functionalized with urease and hyaluronidase, demonstrated how the ability to travel through complex media can lead to a beneficial therapeutic outcome *in vivo*.⁷⁵ As can be seen by increased diffusion in tumors and the consequent enhancement in chemotherapy delivery (Fig. 2H). In the case of injecting urease-nanomotors into the bladder, they reached deeper bladder tissue areas and were able to remain even after repeated urination.⁷⁶ It is worth noting that no toxic effects were detected in other organs of the body throughout these



studies, providing an initial safety assessment for these products.

MNM swarms driven by different types of mechanisms

When evaluating potential applications, the impact of an individual motor might not lead to desirable therapeutic outcomes. Some groups have progressed towards swarming behavior of MNMs. These MNMs function as a coordinated group, aim at a shared goal, exhibit synergistic effects and enhance their overall efficiency.

As happens at the single motor level, swarms could be configured through external stimuli, such as magnetic fields, acoustics, light, and electric fields. Magnetic fields have the advantage of high permeability. When exposed to magnetic fields, magnetic swarms show well-controlled collective behavior, environmental adaptability and enhanced capabilities. For example, Sitti's group⁷⁷ used rotating magnetic fields to induce the dynamic self-assembly of magnetic microparticles into linear chain-type swarms. Attractive and repulsive interactions among microparticles allow well-defined spatial organization. He's group⁷⁸ used haematite colloidal particles for liquid, chain, vortex, and ribbon-like four main collective formations, which can flexibly transform from one to another in a confined environment, showing great potential in future biomedicine (Fig. 3A). External electrical field induces and tunes communications among colloids through dipolar interactions.⁷⁹ For instance, Janus particles composed of silica and metal hemisphere polarize differently in an electric field, thus leading to self-propulsion of particles.⁸⁰ When electric-field frequency is low, the particles move and collide randomly and isotropically. As the electric-field frequency increases, particles interact with each other, with their metallic side facing forwards, aligning during binary collisions and organizing into swarms (Fig. 3B). Electric fields offer advantages such as ease of generation and simplified systems compared to magnetic control, making them a generally applicable tool for studying collective behavior. Acoustic fields have noncontact characters and biocompatibility with biological systems. Wang's group⁸¹ for the first time used acoustic fields to trigger the assembly of catalytic Pt–Au nanowire motors and regulate their collective behavior (Fig. 3C). By changing the frequency of acoustic field, He's group⁸² demonstrated the dynamic aggregation of dandelions-like liquid metal colloidal motors. Collective behaviors could be dynamically repeated by combining acoustic field and switching UV light on and off as well. Wang's group⁸³ controlled the aggregation of hybrid TiO₂–Au microbowl motors at low pressure regions (nodes) in an acoustic pressure gradient. Upon UV light irradiation, the aggregates move away from the node center.

Collective behaviors induced by light or chemicals have been intensively studied.^{88–90} Micro-sized particles like silver chloride (AgCl) and titania–silica Janus particles can be activated under UV light illumination. These photocatalytic reactions cause local chemical gradient and attract nearby passive silica



Fig. 3 MNM swarms driven by different mechanisms. (A) Multimode transformations and collective manipulation of magnetic fields guided hematite colloidal microrobots. Reproduced with permission from ref. 78. Copyright 2019 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. (B) Different forms of Janus sphere clusters induced by electric field. Reproduced with permission from ref. 80. Copyright 2016 Springer Nature Limited. (C) Swarming behavior of chemically powered Au–Pt nanomotors under the acoustic radiation forces. Reproduced with permission from ref. 81. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (D) Hierarchical self-assembly of self-spinning rotors under the control of light. Reproduced with permission from ref. 84. Copyright 2018 The Author(s). (E) Transition between exclusion and schooling behaviors caused by the addition and removal of NH₃, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 85. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society. (F) Association and dissociation of microtubules controlled by DNA. Reproduced with permission from ref. 86. Copyright 2018 The Author(s). (G) Swarming behavior of enzymatic nanomotor swarms with and without fuel. Reproduced with permission from ref. 87. Copyright 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science.

colloids, which assembled into swarms due to self-diffusiophoretic effects.^{91,92} Palacci's group⁸⁴ developed a phototactic swimmer based on haematite. This photocatalytic material harvests energy from hydrogen peroxide fuel and forms hierarchical superstructures through non-equilibrium pathways (Fig. 3D). Another important effect on light controlled MNMs is self-osmophoresis. Controlled by blue light, phoretic and osmotic effects in living crystals can be switched on and off conveniently.⁹³ This results in the formation of crystallites that dynamically translate and rotate, collided, joined, and split. These light-induced collective behaviors were well studied regarding the mechanisms. However, due to the limited penetration of UV or visible light sources, they are not suitable for deep tissue biomedical applications. Chemicals as external stimulus can regulate reversible collective behaviors of MNMs in a way similar to light. Chemically powered motors are surrounded by a cloud of reactants and products that can 'signal' their neighbors and thus influence their interactions. Sen's group⁸⁵ showed the transition of Ag₃PO₄ microparticles between 'exclusion' and 'schooling' by addition or removal of NH₃, based on a self-diffusiophoresis mechanism (Fig. 3E). Wang's group⁹⁴ demonstrated the swarming of gold microparticles when



adding hydrazine into a hydrogen peroxide solution. The control of the swarms could be tailored by particles surface modification.

In addition to these extensively studied MNM swarms, swarming behavior of molecular machines and enzymatic nanomotors have also been reported. Kakugo's group⁸⁶ reported DNA-functionalized kinesin-propelled microtubes, which interact with each other using DNA crosslinkers as signal input (Fig. 3F). Such behaviors could be regulated as translational or circular motion by tuning the physical properties of microtubes. Further control could be achieved by photoirradiation if azobenzene is introduced into the DNA strands.⁹⁵ Enzymatic nanomotor swarms were reported for the first time by Sánchez's group⁸⁷ (Fig. 3G). In the presence of fuel, urease modified mesoporous nanomotor swarms show vortices and unstable fronts, inducing enhanced fluid mixing and convection. However, the underlying mechanism of those enzymatic nanomotor swarms has not been revealed. Enzymatic MNM swarms offer distinct advantages in the field of biomedicine, particularly in terms of their ability to autonomously propel *in situ* without the need for external control. This autonomy can also prove beneficial when integrating enzyme-based mechanisms with external control because MNMs reduce the reliance of external input.⁹⁶ For instance, swarms of magnetic motors present configurable control over their motion. Therefore, incorporating magnetic moieties may improve the controllability of swarms of enzyme motors in the future.

Biomedical applications of MNM swarms

The dynamics of MNM swarms, when controlled in a proper way, could be well applied in biomedicine. In *in vitro* contexts, some research groups have brought MNM swarms into practice. Sánchez's group⁹⁷ explored enzymatic nanomotor swarms and their role in enhancing delivery toward HeLa cells (Fig. 4A). Taking advantage of urease-powered motion and swarming behavior, a first troop of enzymatic swarms could destroy biological barriers and reduce steric hindrance by vapor nanobubbles upon optical trigger. Then the delivery efficiency of a second troop of nanomotor swarms was 10-fold increase in the presence of fuel compared to that without fuel. Mou's group⁹⁸ explored tumor treatment using nanomotors, where synthetic photonic nanorobots could actively navigate in complex environments through their controllable swarming motions. Additionally, *via* their responsive structural colors, these nanorobots could map local physicochemical conditions on the fly (Fig. 4B). These motile nano sensors can further guide light irradiation for local photothermal therapy *in vitro*.⁹⁹

Facilitating the clinical application of synthetic MNM swarms requires efficient platforms for real-time tracking in biofluids and deep tissues.¹⁰⁰ Several groups have studied the movement of synthetic MNMs in biofluids. By functionalizing a NIR probe, a swarm of bacteria-like microbotic flagella was tracked and visualized in the body of a mouse¹⁰¹ (Fig. 4C). In addition, it was found that while the speed of the swarms was



Fig. 4 Biomedical applications of MNM swarms. (A) Swarms of enzymatic nanomotors for enhanced delivery. Reproduced with permission from ref. 97. Copyright 2022 The Authors. (B) Photonic nanorobot swarms for mapping-guided localized photothermal tumor therapy. Reproduced with permission from ref. 98. Copyright 2023 The Author(s). (C) Controlled swimming of a swarm of artificial bacterial flagella. Reproduced with permission from ref. 101. Copyright 2015 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim. (D). *Ex vivo* validations of the medium-induced swarms in bovine eyeballs. Reproduced with permission from ref. 102. Copyright 2019 The Author(s). (E) Biodistribution of enzymatic nanomotor swarms in bladders *via* PET-CT. Reproduced with permission from ref. 87. Copyright 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. (F) Schematic of the micromotor capsules in the gastrointestinal tract *in vivo* by photoacoustic computed tomography and targeted retention and delivery. Reproduced with permission from ref. 103. Copyright 2019 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. (G) Nanobot swarms penetrate the bladder tumor. Reproduced with permission from ref. 104. Copyright 2023 openly licensed *via* a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

lower *in vivo* than *in vitro*, the 3D motion could still be achieved. In another work, based on selecting the optimized swarms in specific biofluids, Zhang's group¹⁰² demonstrated the *ex vivo* generation of medium-induced swarms and their targeted deliveries in a bovine eyeball (Fig. 4D). Another issue to be addressed is precise on-demand control. Gao's group¹⁰³ used photoacoustic computed tomography for tracking and visualizing the migration of microrobotic systems toward targeted areas in intestines in real time (Fig. 4F). It was seen how cargo-loaded micromotors could be released on-demand upon NIR irradiation.

Recently, enzymatic MNMs have also been reported to show swarming behavior, which has been tracked both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Sánchez's group⁸⁷ investigated the swarming behavior of enzyme-powered nanomotors in bladders based on both optical microscopy and PET-CT (Fig. 4E). When intravesically instilled in mice bladder *in vivo*, the nanomotors could homogeneously distribute in the whole cavity. Urease-powered nanobot swarms were taken one step closer toward translational applications as therapeutical tools¹⁰⁴ (Fig. 4G). By combining advanced imaging techniques, the enzymatic nanomotor swarms exhibit enhanced accumulation and penetration in an orthotopic murine model of bladder cancer. Taking full advantage of this motion-enhanced behavior, the nanomotor swarms show localized radiotherapeutic effects at doses significantly below those required to be efficient.

Outlook

The evolution of MNMs has seen significant progress from fundamental locomotion at micro/nanoscale to their biomedical



applications in *in vivo* biomedical contexts.¹⁰⁵ The emergence of MNM swarms has attracted increasing attention, leading to investigations into various modes of manipulation.^{84,92,93} Some of these swarms have demonstrated practical applications *in vivo* and shown clear advantages over single MNMs.¹⁰⁴ Looking ahead here are several suggestions that may help the future development of MNMs.

First, despite the great achievements that have been acquired, at least three main points should be considered for clinical applications: simplicity, biodegradability, and reproducibility. Sophisticated designs will have hard times for reaching industry, basically because of the need of complex and expensive scalability processes. Another vital factor is that all these products must be approved by regulatory agencies like the FDA and EMA, which is not easy if there is no complete biocompatibility or significant advantages compared with current treatments. Finally, high degree of reproducibility must be achieved. Now, looking at most of the publications cited in this perspective and the current state of the art in general, they demonstrated that it is indeed feasible to use MNMs in biomedicine. However, most of these designs do not have a real translation. Now is the time to make it doable by utilizing the simplest and the most biocompatible system that is also cost-effective and scalable.

Secondly, for enzymatic MNMs, enzymes play vital role in the motion, thus making it crucial to develop and design new enzymes with enhanced properties, where motion can be optimized. One key factor in enhancing motion is the high turnover number. Currently, urease and catalase are prominent players in this field. However, these enzymes possess a drawback that hinders their effective application, particularly in biomedicine: substrate or byproduct toxicity. In this context, the advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) holds the potential to revolutionize the search for the ideal enzyme, thereby improving motion performance. By employing machine learning algorithms and computational models, AI can analyze vast amounts of data and predict enzyme properties with high accuracy.^{106,107} Moreover, similar to other enzyme-based therapies, there remains an issue regarding immunogenicity. One possible approach involves anchoring polymers to the surface of the enzymes.¹⁰⁸ Another option is to reduce the immunogenicity of the protein itself through molecular biology techniques.¹⁰⁹

Thirdly, although in some cases the mechanisms of coordinated behavior have been clarified, such as the control of magnetic swarms, there remains a lack of research concerning the mechanism of enzymatic MNM swarms. Therefore, fundamental understanding of interactions among these swarms is desired and encouraged. In addition, achieving better control over collective movement in enzymatic MNM swarms is a critical goal. In this regard, incorporating magnetic moieties and exploring collective chemotaxis could be effective ways to achieve this goal.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the grants PID2021-128417OB-I00 and PDC2022-133753-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and, by “ERDF A way of making Europe” and European Union Next Generation EU, (Bots4BB and BOJOS projects). This project has received also funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 866348, iNanoSwarms), from the Predoctoral AGAUR-FI Joan Oró grant (2023 FI-1 00654) funded by “Secretaria d’Universitats i Recerca del Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya” and by European Social Fund Plus, from the grant PRE2022-102542 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by “ESF Investing in your future”, and from the grant FJC2021-048024-I funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by “European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR”.

References

- 1 M. Luo, S. Li, J. Wan, C. Yang, B. Chen and J. Guan, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**, 7005–7013.
- 2 Z. Yang, L. Wang, Z. Gao, X. Hao, M. Luo, Z. Yu and J. Guan, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 6023–6035.
- 3 J. Dai, X. Cheng, X. Li, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Zheng, J. Liu, J. Chen, C. Wu and J. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2106204.
- 4 Y. Feng, Y. Yuan, J. Wan, C. Yang, X. Hao, Z. Gao, M. Luo and J. Guan, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2021, **8**, 011406.
- 5 S. Sanchez, L. Soler and J. Katuri, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1414–1444.
- 6 S. Chen, Y. Chen, M. Fu, Q. Cao, B. Wang, W. Chen and X. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 7099–7107.
- 7 H. Wang and M. Pumera, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1705421.
- 8 H. Xu, M. Medina-Sánchez, V. Magdanz, L. Schwarz, F. Hebenstreit and O. G. Schmidt, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 327–337.
- 9 L. Yang, X. Chen, L. Wang, Z. Hu, C. Xin, M. Hippler, W. Zhu, Y. Hu, J. Li, Y. Wang, L. Zhang, D. Wu and J. Chu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1905745.
- 10 M. Sun, X. Fan, X. Meng, J. Song, W. Chen, L. Sun and H. Xie, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 18382–18392.
- 11 W. Chen, R. Jiang, X. Sun, S. Chen, X. Liu, M. Fu, X. Yan and X. Ma, *Chem. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 7543–7552.
- 12 L. Wang, P. Guo, D. Jin, Y. Peng, X. Sun, Y. Chen, X. Liu, W. Chen, W. Wang, X. Yan and X. Ma, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 5095–5107.
- 13 S. Chen, X. Sun, M. Fu, X. Liu, S. Pang, Y. You, X. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Yan and X. Ma, *Biomaterials*, 2022, **288**, 121744.
- 14 X. Yan, Q. Zhou, M. Vincent, Y. Deng, J. Yu, J. Xu, T. Xu, T. Tang, L. Bian, Y.-X. J. Wang, K. Kostarelos and L. Zhang, *Sci. Robot.*, 2017, **2**, 1155.



- 15 X. Arqué, M. D. T. Torres, T. Patiño, A. Boaro, S. Sánchez and C. Fuente-Nunez, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 7547–7558.
- 16 D. Walker, B. T. Käs Dorf, H. H. Jeong, O. Lieleg and P. Fischer, *Sci. Adv.*, 2016, **1**, e1500501.
- 17 Y. Chen, R. Pan, Y. Wang, P. Guo, X. Liu, F. Ji, J. Hu, X. Yan, G. P. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Sun and X. Ma, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2200600.
- 18 Y. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Li, D. Xu, X. Pan, Y. Chen, D. Zhou, B. Wang, H. Feng and X. Ma, *Research*, 2020, 2020.
- 19 J. Guo, J. J. Gallegos, A. R. Tom and D. Fan, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 1179–1187.
- 20 R. Zhuang, D. Zhou, X. Chang, Y. Mo, G. Zhang and L. Li, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2022, **26**, 101314.
- 21 T. Xu, L. P. Xu and X. Zhang, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2017, **9**, 493–503.
- 22 D. Zhou, Y. Gao, J. Yang, Y. C. Li, G. Shao, G. Zhang, T. Li and L. Li, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800122.
- 23 M. Hansen-Bruhn, B. E. de Ávila, M. Beltrán-Gastélum, J. Zhao, D. E. Ramírez-Herrera, P. Angsantikul, K. Vesterager Gothelf, L. Zhang and J. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **130**, 2687–2691.
- 24 L. Shao and M. Käll, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1706272.
- 25 R. Dong, Y. Cai, Y. Yang, W. Gao and B. Ren, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1940–1947.
- 26 X. Ma, A. Jannasch, U. R. Albrecht, K. Hahn, A. Miguel-López, E. Schäffer and S. Sánchez, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 7043–7050.
- 27 X. Ma, K. Hahn and S. Sánchez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4976–4979.
- 28 H. Wang and M. Pumera, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 3211–3230.
- 29 T. Bäuerle, A. Fischer, T. Speck and C. Bechinger, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3232–3239.
- 30 W. Wang, W. Duan, S. Ahmed, A. Sen and T. E. Mallouk, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 1938–1946.
- 31 S. Gáspár, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 7757–7763.
- 32 H. S. Muddana, S. Sengupta, T. E. Mallouk, A. Sen and P. J. Butler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 2110–2111.
- 33 S. Sengupta, K. K. Dey, H. S. Muddana, T. Tabouillot, M. E. Ibele, P. J. Butler and A. Sen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1406–1414.
- 34 J. Agudo-Canalejo, P. Illien and R. Golestanian, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 2711–2717.
- 35 P. Illien, X. Zhao, K. K. Dey, P. J. Butler, A. Sen and R. Golestanian, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 4415–4420.
- 36 C. Riedel, R. Gabizon, C. A. M. Wilson, K. Hamadani, K. Tsekouras, S. Marqusee, S. Pressé and C. Bustamante, *Nature*, 2015, **517**, 227–230.
- 37 A. Y. Jee, S. Dutta, Y. K. Cho, T. Tlusty and S. Granick, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2018, **115**, 14–18.
- 38 J. P. Günther, G. Majer and P. Fischer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **150**, 124201.
- 39 A. Y. Jee, T. Tlusty and S. Granick, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2020, **117**, 29435–29441.
- 40 J. P. Günther, M. Börsch and P. Fischer, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1911–1920.
- 41 A. Y. Jee, K. Chen, T. Tlusty, J. Zhao and S. Granick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 20062–20068.
- 42 R. Golestanian, T. B. Liverpool and A. Ajdari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **94**, 220801.
- 43 N. Mano and A. Heller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11574–11575.
- 44 D. Pantarotto, W. R. Browne and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1533–1535.
- 45 S. Sanchez, A. A. Solovev, Y. Mei and O. G. Schmidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13144–13145.
- 46 K. K. Dey, X. Zhao, B. M. Tansi, W. J. Méndez-Ortiz, U. M. Córdova-Figueroa, R. Golestanian and A. Sen, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 8311–8315.
- 47 P. Schattling, B. Thingholm and B. Städler, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7412–7418.
- 48 T. Patiño, N. Feiner-Gracia, X. Arqué, A. Miguel-López, A. Jannasch, T. Stumpp, E. Schäffer, L. Albertazzi and S. Sánchez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 7896–7903.
- 49 L. K. E. A. Abdelmohsen, M. Nijemeisland, G. M. Pawar, G. J. A. Janssen, R. J. M. Nolte, J. C. M. Van Hest and D. A. Wilson, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 2652–2660.
- 50 M. Valles, S. Pujals, L. Albertazzi and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 5615–5626.
- 51 X. Arqué, X. Andrés, R. Mestre, B. Ciraulo, J. Ortega Arroyo, R. Quidant, T. Patiño and S. Sánchez, *Research*, 2020, 2020.
- 52 T. Patino, X. Arqué, R. Mestre, L. Palacios and S. Sánchez, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2662–2671.
- 53 M. De Corato, X. Arqué, T. Patinõ, M. Arroyo, S. Sánchez and I. Pagonabarraga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2020, **124**, 108001.
- 54 X. Ma, X. Wang, K. Hahn and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 3597–3605.
- 55 X. Arqué, A. Romero-Rivera, F. Feixas, T. Patiño, S. Osuna and S. Sánchez, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 2826–2837.
- 56 S. Ghosh, F. Mohajerani, S. Son, D. Velegol, P. J. Butler and A. Sen, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 6019–6026.
- 57 L. Wang, M. Marciello, M. Estévez-Gay, P. E. D. Soto Rodríguez, Y. Luengo Morato, J. Iglesias-Fernández, X. Huang, S. Osuna, M. Filice and S. Sánchez, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 21080–21087.
- 58 W. Ban, J. Qu, L. Cai, J. Fu, W. Wu, H. Song, C. Yu and J. Tang, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2023, **34**, 101916.
- 59 Y. Cao, S. Liu, Y. Ma, L. Ma, M. Zu, J. Sun, F. Dai, L. Duan and B. Xiao, *Small*, 2022, **18**, 2203466.
- 60 A. Joseph, C. Contini, D. Cecchin, S. Nyberg, L. Ruiz-Perez, J. Gaitzsch, G. Fullstone, X. Tian, J. Azizi, J. Preston, G. Volpe and G. Battaglia, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, e170036.
- 61 X. Ji, H. Yang, W. Liu, Y. Ma, J. Wu, X. Zong, P. Yuan, X. Chen, C. Yang, X. Li, H. Lin, W. Xue and J. Dai, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 14218–14228.
- 62 L. Liu, S. Li, K. Yang, Z. Chen, Q. Li, L. Zheng, Z. Wu, X. Zhang, L. Su, Y. Wu and J. Song, *Nano Lett.*, 2023, **23**, 3929–3938.
- 63 Z. Wu, J. Troll, H.-H. Jeong, Q. Wei, M. Stang, F. Ziemssen, Z. Wang, M. Dong, S. Schnichels, T. Qiu and P. Fischer, *Sci. Adv.*, 2018, **4**, 4388.
- 64 D. Schamel, A. G. Mark, J. G. Gibbs, C. Miksch, K. I. Morozov, A. M. Leshansky and P. Fischer, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 8794–8801.
- 65 A. Aghakhani, A. Pena-Francesch, U. Bozuyuk, H. Cetin, P. Wrede and M. Sitti, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, **8**, 5126.



- 66 M. A. Ramos Docampo, N. Wang, S. Pendlmayr and B. Städler, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 14622–14629.
- 67 J. Hadgraft and M. E. Lane, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2016, **514**, 52–57.
- 68 J. Peng, S. Xie, K. Huang, P. Ran, J. Wei, Z. Zhang and X. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 4189–4202.
- 69 A. C. Hortelão, T. Patiño, A. Perez-Jiménez, À. Blanco and S. Sánchez, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1705086.
- 70 A. Llopis-Lorente, A. Garcíá-Fernández, N. Murillo-Cremaes, A. C. Hortelão, T. Patiño, R. Villalonga, F. Sancenón, R. Martínez-Mañez and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 12171–12183.
- 71 A. C. Hortelao, R. Carrascosa, N. Murillo-Cremaes, T. Patino and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 429–439.
- 72 M. A. Ramos-Docampo, M. Fernández-Medina, E. Taipaleenmäki, O. Hovorka, V. Salgueirino and B. Städler, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 12192–12205.
- 73 B. E. F. De Ávila, P. Angsantikul, J. Li, M. Angel Lopez-Ramirez, D. E. Ramirez-Herrera, S. Thamphiwatana, C. Chen, J. Delezuk, R. Samakapiruk, V. Ramez, L. Zhang and J. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 272–280.
- 74 F. Zhang, J. Zhuang, Z. Li, H. Gong, B. E. F. de Ávila, Y. Duan, Q. Zhang, J. Zhou, L. Yin, E. Karshalev, W. Gao, V. Nizet, R. H. Fang, L. Zhang and J. Wang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2022, **21**, 1324–1332.
- 75 Z. Zhang, D. Zhang, B. Qiu, W. Cao, Y. Liu, Q. Liu and X. Li, *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 6545–6557.
- 76 H. Choi, S. H. Cho and S. K. Hahn, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 6683–6692.
- 77 B. Yigit, Y. Alapan and M. Sitti, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1801837.
- 78 H. Xie, M. Sun, X. Fan, Z. Lin, W. Chen, L. Wang, L. Dong and Q. He, *Sci. Robot.*, 2019, **4**, 8006.
- 79 M. E. Leunissen, H. R. Vutukuri and A. Van Blaaderen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 3116–3120.
- 80 J. Yan, M. Han, J. Zhang, C. Xu, E. Luijten and S. Granick, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 1095–1099.
- 81 T. Xu, F. Soto, W. Gao, R. Dong, V. Garcia-Gradilla, E. Magaña, X. Zhang and J. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2163–2166.
- 82 Z. Li, H. Zhang, D. Wang, C. Gao, M. Sun, Z. Wu and Q. He, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 19884–19888.
- 83 S. Tang, F. Zhang, J. Zhao, W. Talaat, F. Soto, E. Karshalev, C. Chen, Z. Hu, X. Lu, J. Li, Z. Lin, H. Dong, X. Zhang, A. Nourhani and J. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1809003.
- 84 A. Aubret, M. Youssef, S. Sacanna and J. Palacci, *Nat. Phys.*, 2018, **14**, 1114–1118.
- 85 W. Duan, R. Liu and A. Sen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1280–1283.
- 86 J. J. Keya, R. Suzuki, A. M. R. Kabir, D. Inoue, H. Asanuma, K. Sada, H. Hess, A. Kuzuya and A. Kakugo, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 453–460.
- 87 A. C. Hortelao, C. Simó, M. Guix, S. Guallar-Garrido, E. Julián, D. Vilela, L. Rejc, P. Ramos-Cabrer, U. Cossío, V. Gómez-Vallejo, T. Patiño, J. Llop and S. Sánchez, *Sci. Robot.*, 2021, **6**, 2823.
- 88 J. Zheng, J. Chen, Y. Jin, Y. Wen, Y. Mu, C. Wu, Y. Wang, P. Tong, Z. Li, X. Hou and J. Tang, *Nature*, 2023, **617**, 499–506.
- 89 B. Dai, J. Wang, Z. Xiong, X. Zhan, W. Dai, C. C. Li, S. P. Feng and J. Tang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 1087–1092.
- 90 C. Wu, J. Dai, X. Li, L. Gao, J. Wang, J. Liu, J. Zheng, X. Zhan, J. Chen, X. Cheng, M. Yang and J. Tang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2021, **16**, 288–295.
- 91 M. Ibele, T. E. Mallouk and A. Sen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **121**, 3358–3362.
- 92 D. P. Singh, U. Choudhury, P. Fischer and A. G. Mark, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701328.
- 93 S. Jeremie Palacci, A. Preska Steinberg, D. J. Pine and P. M. Chaikin, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 933–936.
- 94 D. Kagan, S. Balasubramanian and J. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **123**, 523–526.
- 95 M. Akter, J. J. Keya, K. Kayano, A. M. R. Kabir, D. Inoue, H. Hess, K. Sada, A. Kuzuya, H. Asanuma and A. Kakugo, *Sci. Robot.*, 2022, **7**, 0677.
- 96 S. Palagi and P. Fischer, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2018, **3**, 113–124.
- 97 J. C. Fraire, M. Guix, A. C. Hortelao, N. Ruiz-González, A. C. Bakenecker, P. Ramezani, C. Hinnekens, F. Sauvage, S. C. De Smedt, K. Braeckmans and S. Sánchez, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 7180–7193.
- 98 L. Li, Z. Yu, J. Liu, M. Yang, G. Shi, Z. Feng, W. Luo, H. Ma, J. Guan and F. Mou, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2023, **15**, 141–159.
- 99 J. Liu, L. Li, C. Cao, Z. Feng, Y. Liu, H. Ma, W. Luo, J. Guan and F. Mou, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 16731–16742.
- 100 D. Jin, Q. Wang, K. F. Chan, N. Xia, H. Yang, Q. Wang, S. Chun, H. Yu and L. Zhang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, 9278.
- 101 A. Servant, F. Qiu, M. Mazza, K. Kostarelos and B. J. Nelson, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 2981–2988.
- 102 J. Yu, D. Jin, K. F. Chan, Q. Wang, K. Yuan and L. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5631–5642.
- 103 Z. Wu, L. Li, Y. Yang, P. Hu, Y. Li, S.-Y. Yang, L. V. Wang and W. Gao, *Sci. Robot.*, 2019, **4**, 0613.
- 104 C. Simó, M. Serra-Casablanca, A. Hortelao, V. Di Carlo, S. Guallar-Garrido, S. Plaza-García, P. Ramos-Cabrer, B. Yagüe, L. Aguado, L. Bardía, S. Tosi, A. Martín, T. Patiño, E. Julián, J. Colombelli, J. Llop and S. Sánchez, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2024, DOI: [10.1038/s41565-023-01577-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-023-01577-y).
- 105 X. Xia, Y. Li, X. Xiao, Z. Zhang, C. Mao, T. Li and M. Wan, *Small*, 2023, 2306191.
- 106 B. Markus, G. C. C. K. Andreas, K. Arkadij, L. Stefan, O. Gustav, S. Elina and S. Radka, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 14454–14469.
- 107 S. Mazurenko, Z. Prokop and J. Damborsky, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 1210–1223.
- 108 P. W. Lee, S. A. Isarov, J. D. Wallat, S. K. Molugu, S. Shukla, J. E. P. Sun, J. Zhang, Y. Zheng, M. Lucius Dougherty, D. Konkolewicz, P. L. Stewart, N. F. Steinmetz, M. J. A. Hore and J. K. Pokorski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 3312–3315.
- 109 K. E. Griswold and C. Bailey-Kellogg, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 2016, **39**, 79–88.

