


Polymer Chemistry

Volume 13
Number 29
7 August 2022
Pages 4215-4354

rsc.li/polymers



ISSN 1759-9962

PAPER

Kotaro Satoh, Yukikazu Takeoka *et al.*
One-pot synthesis of structure-controlled
temperature-responsive polymer gels

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Cite this: *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 4230

One-pot synthesis of structure-controlled temperature-responsive polymer gels†

Tomoki Sakai,^a Nagisa Ito,^a Mitsuo Hara,^a Takahiro Seki,^a Mineto Uchiyama,^a Masami Kamigaito,^a Kotaro Satoh,^{a,b} Taiki Hoshino^c and Yukikazu Takeoka^{*a}

The simultaneous use of metal Lewis acids and photo-radical generators for dithioesters, which are the common dormant species for cationic and radical polymerization, made it possible to convert a cationic species into a radical upon photoirradiation. In this study, we attempted one-pot synthesis of temperature-responsive polymer gels with controlled network structure by using temperature-responsive telechelic polymers prepared by cationic polymerization in the dark followed by the mechanistic transformation into radical cross-linking reaction under photoirradiation. Specifically, the polymerization of 2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxyethyl vinyl ether (EO2) in the presence of a crosslinking agent (divinyl adipate) was performed at 4 °C in toluene using a vinyl ether-type bifunctional RAFT reagent as initiator, a Lewis acid (Et₃Al₂Cl₃) and a photo radical generator (TPO). The conditions for gelation by radical polymerization with photoirradiation were investigated in detail by considering the molecular weight control and overlapping concentration of the telechelic polymers obtained by cationic polymerization. As a result, small-angle X-ray scattering revealed that polymer gels with a relatively uniform network structure can be obtained compared to the cross-linked network of polymer gels obtained by conventional free-radical polymerization.

Received 28th April 2022,
Accepted 15th June 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2py00554a
rsc.li/polymers

Introduction

In many previous studies of polymer gels prepared by free radical polymerization, relatively simple low molecular weight monomers and cross-linking agents are dissolved in appropriate solvents, and these are then polymerized by reaction initiators to obtain polymer gels. The resulting network structure of polymer gel can contain dangling chains where one end is not connected to a cross-linking point, loop chains where both ends are connected to one cross-linking point and hang down, and entanglement of polymer chains that is not resolved by the constraint of cross-linking points.¹ Furthermore, spatial sparsity also occurs in the network structure, and the actual network structure is extremely heterogeneous compared to the ideal homogeneous network structure used in classical theory.² Polymer gels composed of cross-

linked networks with such heterogeneous structures are used by us in electrophoresis gels for the analysis of biological macromolecules, drug release substrates, and optical materials such as soft contact lenses. If the structure of the cross-linked network can be prepared more well-organized, it may lead to the improvement of various functions of polymer gels.³

Recent developments in precision synthesis methods have revealed the possibility of synthesizing polymers with well-defined molecular structures and then linking them together under appropriate conditions to obtain cross-linked networks with well-defined network structures.^{4–13} Work has been done for quite some time on methods for later cross-linking of end-reactive polymers with well-defined molecular weights.^{14,15} However, the conditions under which these polymers are connected to each other are important for controlling the network structure. The study by Li *et al.* points out that one good way to form a uniform network structure is to connect polymers together in the semi-dilute state of the polymer solution.¹⁶ In our previous studies, we have also found that the structure of cross-linked networks prepared in a semi-dilute state, where the concentration is sufficiently higher than the overlapping concentration of the polymers and no entanglement occurs between them, is highly uniform.⁷

In order for research on the functions of polymer gels with such well-ordered network structures to flourish in various research fields, it is important that methods for precise

^aGraduate School of Engineering Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan. E-mail: ytakeoka@chembio.nagoya-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81-52-789-4669; Tel: +81-52-789-4670

^bDepartment of Chemical Science and Engineering School of Material Chemical Technology Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan. E-mail: satoh@cap.mac.titech.ac.jp; Fax: +81-3-5734-2162; Tel: +81-3-5734-2162

^cRIKEN Spring-8 Center, Sayo, Hyogo 679-51982, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2py00554a>



polymer synthesis and cross-link formation between polymers can be easily performed. The synthesis of polymer gels consisting of a network structure with a controlled structure simply by mixing the necessary compounds or by using a simpler process may lead to open new applications of polymer gels. We have reported the mechanistic transformation between cationic and radical polymerization by using RAFT agent as the dual mediator for cationic and radical polymerizations, in which the C–S bond at the chain end was activated either into cationic or radical species during the propagation reactions to form a single polymer chain.^{17–25} Such a transformation would be applicable for the formation of a gel by dividing roles of the two active species into the chain propagation and cross-linking reaction.

Although the combination of different polymerization mechanism has been applied for well-defined polymer networks, it required purification of the precursor polymers before the linking reaction.^{26,27} In this study, we conducted the simple mechanistic transformation for the one-pot synthesis of polymer gels with a well-defined network structure through two-step polymerizations from cationic polymerization to radical cross-linking reaction, of which the latter reaction was triggered just by light irradiation at the appropriate timing. Here, we employed a diacrylate- or divinyl ester-type compound as the cross-linker in conjunction with a photo-radical generator, which would not affect the cationic polymerization of vinyl ether from difunctional RAFT agents. The cross-linkers and radical generator worked on the formation of polymer gels upon photoirradiation to induce radical linking reaction between the telechelic poly(vinyl ether) at the RAFT termini (Scheme 1). Especially for creating temperature-responsive polymer hydrogels, 2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxyethyl vinyl ether (EO2) was employed as the monomer for cationic polymerization.


The polymer concentration during gelation must be also important for the formation of a highly uniform network struc-

ture. In the dilute region, the polymer chains behave as isolated chains, and in this state, either gelation is caused by cluster formation of the resulting polymer, or there is a lower concentration limit at which gelation does not occur. On the other hand, in the concentrated region, entanglement and mutual penetration of polymer chains occur, and there can be a lot of topological heterogeneity in the network structure of the resulting polymer gel. In other words, for the formation of a highly uniform network structure, it is necessary to consider a concentration range based on the overlapping concentration at which polymer chains begin to overlap each other. To this end, the overlapping concentration of the resultant telechelic polymer was first calculated from viscosity measurements of the polymer solution with different concentrations to be used in order to know the appropriate polymer concentration for gelation. And then, the synthesis of polymer gels was examined by changing the monomer concentration and cross-linker concentration in the preparation in consideration of the overlapping concentration. Then, we also observed the swelling behavior of the obtained polymer gels and analyzed the network structure using SAXS.

Experimental section

Materials

Ethyl aluminium sesquichloride ($\text{Et}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_3$) (1.5 mol L^{-1} in toluene), calcium hydride (CaH_2), and diphenyl(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide (TPO) were purchased from Nippon Aluminium Alkyls, Kanto Chemical, and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. 2-(2-Ethoxy)ethoxyethyl vinyl ether (EO2) was kindly provided by Maruzen Petrochemical. Divinyl adipate and 1,2-dichlorobenzene were commercially obtained from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo. These reagents were distilled and purified with CaH_2 before use. Toluene (Dehydrated) was puri-



Scheme 1 One-pot synthesis of polymer gels by mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization in the dark into cross-linking radical polymerization under photoirradiation.



fied in an organic solvent purifier (Nikko Hansen) before use. Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) was purchased from Kishida Chemical and distilled and purified with CaH₂ before use.

Analysis equipment

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (¹H NMR) measurement. ECS-400 (JEOL) was used as the measuring instrument. Deuterated chloroform was used as the solvent, and 1,2-dichlorobenzene was used as the internal standard.

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC). THF was used as eluent, and two polystyrene columns KF-805L (Shodex) were connected to a high precision pump PU-2080 (JASCO) and a differential refractometer RI-2031 (JASCO). Calibration curves were drawn from the results of SEC measurements using 10 polystyrene standards with different molecular weights (Varian; $M_p = 5.8 \times 10^2$ – 2.8×10^6 $M_w/M_n = 1.02$ – 1.23), and the average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution was calculated.

Viscosity measurement. An Ubbelohde viscometer 922-12-1901, 0a (LAUDA) was used, and the temperature was kept constant using a circulating thermostatic bath RE104 (LAUDA).

LED light source for gelation. A 448 nm blue LED PER-AMR-V1 (Techno Sigma) was used for gelation, and the intensity was measured with a UV integrated photometer UIT-150 (USHIO). A 5 mm light diffusion cap blue (OptoSupply) was attached to prevent uneven light diffusion.

FT-IR measurement. The dried polymer gel was measured by the ATR method using a FT-IR spectrophotometer NICOLET iS50 FT-IR (Thermo Fisher).

Swelling of polymer gel. Digital microscope VHX-500 (KEYENCE) was used to measure the degree of swelling of the polymer gel. Thermometer SCT-200 (SANSYO) was used to monitor water temperature.

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) measurement. The SAXS measurements were performed at BL38B1 beamline in SPring-8 (Hyogo, Japan). The wavelength of incident X-rays was 0.12 nm. The scattered X-rays were detected using a PILATUS3X 2M detector (DECTRIS Ltd) at a sample-to-detector distance of ~2.6 m. The camera length and exposure time were set to 2.5 m and 60 s, respectively.

Synthesis of bifunctional RAFT agents. Bifunctional RAFT agents of trithiocarbonate (DVE-TTC) and dithiocarbamate (DVE-DTC) were synthesized from 1,4-cyclohexanedimethanol divinyl ether (DVE) (Aldrich, 98%), according to previously published papers.^{17–22} DVE-TTC was prepared as follows: according to the reported method, 1.0 M Et₂O solution of hydrogen chloride (138 mL, 138 mmol) was added dropwise into Et₂O (150 mL) solution of DVE (13.3 mL, 62.5 mmol) at –78 °C. The solution was added at 0 °C into an Et₂O solution (100 mL) of sodium ethyl trithiocarbonate prepared from ethanethiol (9.2 mL, 125 mmol), NaH (60%, 5.5 g, 137.5 mmol), and CS₂ (8.3 mL, 137.5 mmol). After stirring for 3 h at ambient temperature, the solution was washed with water three times. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using hexanes/Et₂O (19/1) as the eluent to give DVE-TTC (21.3 g, 72% yield). DVE-DTC was prepared using sodium *N,N*-

diethyldithiocarbamate in place of sodium ethyl trithiocarbonate in 28% yield. The ¹H NMR spectra of these molecules were shown in Fig. S1 in the ESI.†

Synthesis of EO2 gel by mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization into radical linking reaction. A typical example for the synthesis of a gel using the mechanistic transformation is given below: a Schlenk tube with a three-way adaptor attached and a flask were baked with a heat gun and then refilled with nitrogen. The photo-radical generator was added to the flask and degassed with stirring for about 20 minutes, followed by nitrogen replacement. The toluene solvent, monomer, cross-linking agent, added base, internal standard, and RAFT reagent were then added to the flask and stirred to prepare the monomer solution. In order to check the progress of the reaction, the monomer solution was divided into five batches by transferring it into five Schlenk tubes. Lewis acid solutions of about 0.050–0.10 mol L^{–1} were prepared by diluting purchased Lewis acid with toluene, and polymerization was initiated by adding Lewis acid solution to each batch. All reaction conditions were set to a total volume of 2.0 mL. The first stage of polymerization was performed in a thermostatic bath or an ice bath at a temperature of 4 °C, shielded from light by aluminum foil. After the initiation of the first-step polymerization, the reaction solution was irradiated with LED light of 448 nm wavelength at an intensity of 60 mW cm^{–2} to cleave the photo-radical generator and initiate the second-step RAFT polymerization. The progress of the polymerization was confirmed by stopping the polymerization of each batch at different times and measuring ¹H NMR. The stopping reaction of the polymerization solution without gelation was carried out by adding triethylamine-methanol mixture (mixing ratio 9:1) to an open system. The polymerization solution was diluted with chloroform, and the polymer was purified by washing the organic layer three times each with aqueous HCl solution and distilled water using a separatory funnel. The polymer was then obtained by removing the solvent from the resulting organic layer using an evaporator. After light irradiation, the sample that became gel was also cut out and quenched by immersing it in a methanol solution with triethylamine-methanol mixture for 1 day to quench the catalyst, and then purified by replacing it with a methanol solution. The gel was then soaked in various solvents to achieve equilibrium swelling by the various solvents.

Determination of overlapping concentration by viscometry of polymers. The synthesized 100-mer polymer ($M_n = 1.27 \times 10^4$ $M_w/M_n = 1.28$) and 200-mer polymer ($M_n = 2.10 \times 10^4$ $M_w/M_n = 1.32$) of EO2 were dissolved in toluene to obtain various polymer solutions of different concentrations were prepared. The Ubbelohde viscometer containing the prepared polymer solutions was placed in a water bath maintained at 4 °C. A pump was used to draw the solution up to the liquid reservoir, and the pump was removed to allow the solution to flow down naturally. The specific viscosity of the polymer solution was calculated by measuring the time required to pass between the upper and lower mark lines engraved on the Ubbelohde viscometer.



Swelling measurement. For the preparation of gels for swelling degree measurement, we use test tubes because it is easier to take out the gels. The synthesized polymer gels composed of EO2 prepared in test tubes were cut out using a cutter or disk-shaped dumbbell cutter to prepare samples for swelling measurement. The size at the time of preparation was set as L_0 or D_0 , respectively, and after several days of solvent replacement, the size was measured by microscopy to determine the degree of swelling for each solvent. The change in swelling in water was measured at a constant temperature for several days and the results were recorded.

Results and discussion

Polymer gel synthesis by mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization to radical linking reaction

The one-pot gel forming reaction was investigated by using mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization into radical linking reaction.^{17–22} For this, the living cationic polymerization of isobutyl vinyl ether (IBVE) was examined using a trithiocarbonate-type difunctional RAFT agent

(DVE-TTC) as the initiator in the presence of ZnCl_2 , 1,4-butanediol diacrylate (BDDA), and a photo-radical generator [diphenyl(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide: TPO], in which the charge ratio of $[\text{IBVE}]_0/[\text{BDDA}]_0$ was 1/10 with various $[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0$ and catalyst concentrations (Fig. 1). First, IBVE was polymerized by ZnCl_2 as the Lewis acid catalyst in dark, where the photo-radical generator and diacrylate were almost inert to cationic polymerization, to afford the homopolymer of IBVE with relatively narrow molecular weight distributions (MWDs) ($M_w/M_n \sim 1.2$). In general, the kinetic rate of cationic polymerization depends on the concentration of the Lewis acid catalyst as well as that of the initiator.²⁸ However, the reaction rates of this system were also dependent on the initial feed ratio of the RAFT agent and ZnCl_2 , probably because of the strong interaction between the basic sulfur atom and Lewis acid. Thus, the higher amount of Lewis acid catalyst was required for preparation of lower-molecular-weight polymers with higher $[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0$. The number-average molecular weight (M_n) of the polymers changed in proportion to the initial feed ratio of $[\text{IBVE}]_0/[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0$. When the monomer conversions reached higher than 50%, the reaction mixture was then exposed to blue light LED with the wavelength of 448 nm, under which





Fig. 1 Mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization in the dark into cross-linking radical polymerization under photoirradiation: $[\text{IBVE}]_0/[\text{BDDA}]_0/[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0/[\text{ZnCl}_2]_0/[\text{TPO}]_0 = 3000/300/30/7.5/15$ mM (for 100/110/1), 3000/300/15/5.0/5.0 mM (for 200/20/1), and 2250/225/7.5/2.5/2.5 mM (for 300/30/1) in toluene/ Et_2O (9/1) at 0 °C.

TPO is known to cleave to generate racial species. The generated radical reacted with the terminal trithiocarbonate, which has been the dormant species for the cationic polymerization of IBVE. As in the case of RAFT radical polymerization, the growing radical species of IBVE could be generated from the trithiocarbonate through addition-fragmentation chain-transfer process to react with diacrylate BDDA. The kinetic rate of the radical reaction depended on the initial concentration of TPO. Especially, the lower concentration caused some induction periods for the mechanistic transformation, as clearly seen in the case for $[\text{IBVE}]_0/[\text{BDDA}]_0/[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0 = 200/20/1$. After a certain period of time, however, the viscosity of the reaction solution gradually increased and became gel in all cases irrespective of the initial feed ratio.

As a control experiment, the polymerizations were conducted just under the blue light irradiation under the same condition except for the dark periods (Fig. S2†). Under the blue light irradiation in the presence of Lewis acid, both cationic and radical reaction can proceed at the same time.^{19,20,23} In sharp contrast to the reaction in dark, as the crosslinker of BDDA reacted from the initial stage of polymerization, the molecular weight of the produced polymers became higher than the calculated values and the gelation occurred at the moderate conversions of BDDA in the first tens of hours. Thus, DVE-TTC as the difunctional initiator generated telechelic living IBVE polymer chains by cationic polymerization in dark, and then upon the light irradiation, the growing terminals were transformed into the radical species to react with the cross-linker of BDDA to result in the polymer gel (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2 Mechanistic transformation of RAFT terminal from cationic into radical species.




Fig. 2 SEC curves of the products after methanolysis of the cross-linked polymer gel prepared in the same experiments as in Fig. 1.

The molecular weight of the cross-linked polymer chain obtained in the off-on reaction was evaluated by cleaving ester bonds at the linking points after transesterification by methanolysis (Fig. 2). The obtained gel was treated with NaOCH_3 in the mixture of CH_3OH and THF at 60 °C. Since THF is a good solvent for the IBVE homopolymer, the gel swelled first, and then became a homogeneous solution, suggesting that transesterification successfully proceeded and the structure at the linking points changed from BDDA units to methyl acrylate units. The M_n s of the product after transesterification were evaluated by SEC. As in the case of the first half of polymerizations in dark, the M_n s were close to the calculated values on the assumption that one DVE-TTC RAFT molecule generated one polymer chain. The M_n values increased with the feed ratio of $[\text{IBVE}]_0/[\text{DVE-TTC}]_0$, although the MWDs became broader. These results indicate that the living IBVE polymers

had been intermolecularly reacted specifically at around the terminus to form cross-linked gel with relatively well-controlled structures.

Cationic polymerization of EO2 for temperature-responsive polymer gel by mechanistic transformation

Upon the success on the gel formation by mechanistic transformation, we tried to use 2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxyethyl vinyl ether (EO2) as the monomer for cationic polymerization, of which the homopolymer is known as a temperature-responsive polymer in the aqueous solution with LCST behavior. However, the EO2 polymerization under the same condition as IBVE did not proceed well to generate only low molecular weight oligomer with low monomer conversion (Fig. S3†). This is probably due that the interaction between ZnCl_2 and oxyethylene units in EO2 might weaken the Lewis acid's activity.




Fig. 3 Living cationic polymerization of EO2 with bifunctional dithiocarbamate (DVE-DTC): $[\text{EO2}]_0/[\text{DVE-DTC}]_0/[\text{EtAlCl}_2]_0/[\text{THF}]_0 = 1000/10/15/400$ mM in toluene at 0 °C. (a) time-conversion, (b) M_n and M_w/M_n curves, (c) SEC curves, and (d) ^1H NMR spectrum of the obtained polymer.



Then, Al-based Lewis acids (EtAlCl_2) were employed as the catalyst combined DVE-TTC. Although EO2 was able to be polymerized with EtAlCl_2 to reach almost complete conversion, the MWDs of the obtained poly(EO2) were broader than those of poly(IBVE) above-mentioned and the M_n s became lower than the calculated values as the polymerization proceeded (Fig. S4†). These should be also attributed to the interaction between Lewis acid and monomer, in which the bidentate- or tridentate-coordination might disturb the activation-deactivation process of $\text{C}=\text{S}$ bond by the Lewis acid, indicating slow equilibrium between cationic active species and dormant RAFT terminal that also may cause frequent irreversible chain-transfer reaction by β -proton elimination.

Therefore, we decided to explore another RAFT terminal for the cationic polymerization of EO2 (Fig. S5 and S6†). As the result, the living cationic polymerization of EO2 was achieved using dithiocarbamate-type RAFT agent, which was reported as an effective terminal in cationic polymerization,¹⁷ in conjunction with EtAlCl_2 . Fig. 3 shows the cationic polymerization of EO2 with difunctional dithiocarbamate (DVE-DTC) catalyzed by EtAlCl_2 in toluene at 0 °C. The polymerization of EO2 pro-

ceeded well to afford telechelic living polymers, of which the M_n increased in direct proportion to the monomer conversion. The deviation of M_n from the calculated value is most probably because of the differences in the hydrodynamic volumes between polystyrene standard and poly(EO2). The chain-end fidelity of dithiocarbamate was also confirmed almost unity by ^1H NMR. In addition, as the Lewis acid, $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_3$ with a tuned Lewis acidity gave living polymers with slightly narrower MWDs ($M_w/M_n \sim 1.3$) (Fig. S7†).

Dithiocarbamate is known to be ineffective in controlling the polymerization of conjugated acrylate monomers but effective on that of unconjugated monomers such as vinyl acetate (VAc), of which the mechanistic transformation was also achieved in the combination with IBVE.^{20,21} Therefore, a divinyl ester of divinyl adipate (DVA) was used as the cross-linker for the formation of temperature-responsive poly(EO2) gel. The mechanistic transformation was then examined for the polymerization of EO2 in the presence of DVA and TPO in toluene, in which the living cationic polymerization EO2 was initiated with DVE-DTC by $\text{Et}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_3$ in dark. And then, the blue LED was irradiated to the reaction mixture to induce the




Fig. 4 Assuming that the monomer is 100-mer after polymerization, the effect of the concentration of the resulting polymer on gelation is studied based on the concentration of overlapping polymer chains ($=0.64 \text{ M}$), (a) time dependence of the reaction in a system with a monomer concentration of 1.0 mol L^{-1} in the preparation, (b) time dependence of the reaction in a system with a monomer concentration of 1.5 mol L^{-1} in the preparation, (c) time dependence of the reaction in a system with a monomer concentration of 2.0 mol L^{-1} in the preparation, (d) time dependence of SEC results for polymers obtained by (a), (e) time dependence of SEC results for polymers obtained by (b), (f) time dependence of SEC results for polymers obtained by (c). (mol L^{-1} is denoted by "M" in Figure), Blue LED 448 nm (60 mW cm^{-2}).



mechanistic transformation from cationic to radical species. Although the linking reaction did not proceed at low EO2 concentration, the polymer gel successfully formed under appropriate condition: $[\text{EO2}]_0 = 1.5 \text{ M}$ or higher (Fig. 4 and S8†). This is because the monomer concentration should be above the overlapping concentration (about 0.64 mol L^{-1}) of poly(EO2) ($\text{DP}_n = 100$) (Fig. S9†). At lower concentration of EO2, DVA was consumed slowly upon light irradiation and the cross-linked polymer was observed in the SEC curves at higher molecular weight region, but the intermolecular cross-linking is not sufficient to form the polymer gel (Fig. 4a and d). On the other hand, at the higher EO2 concentrations of 1.5 and 2.0 mol L^{-1} , gelation of the entire solution was observed after 150 and

120 hours of reaction, respectively (Fig. 4b and c). Thus, the poly(EO2) did not significantly gelate at 1.56 times the overlapping concentration, but at the higher concentrations such as 2.34 times the overlapping concentration the polymeric gel was successfully formed.

Polymer gels could also be prepared at fixing the monomer concentration at 1.5 mol L^{-1} by varying the amount of cross-linker (Fig. S11†). In all cases the reaction resulted in the gels formation, which became faster with higher amounts of cross-linker. After 2 weeks light irradiation, the consumption of vinyl groups inside the polymer gel could be roughly estimated by FT-IR (Fig. S12†). Table 1 summarizes the reaction time and conversion of DVA as the function of the concentration of DVA. Although the gel did not dissolve in any organic solvents, the progress of reaction was estimated from the ratio of the peak intensities of carbonyl and vinyl groups, which roughly concluded that approximately 80% of the vinyl groups derived from the cross-linker reacted under all conditions.

As mentioned above, the monomer concentration was crucial for the gel formation.^{5,7} If the reactivity of growing terminal is high enough, the telechelic polymer could be gelable as a result of the increase in excluded volume even in dilute solutions below the overlapping concentration. And the intermolecular linking reaction is less likely to occur in a quasi-concentrated solution where the polymers are entangled with each other. However, judging from the slope of the logar-

Table 1 Gel samples with different amounts of cross-linker

$[\text{I}]_0/[\text{M}]_0/[\text{C}]_0 = 1/100/\text{X}^a$	Reaction time (h)	Cross-linker conv. (%) ^b	C=C conv. (%) ^c
X: 30	700	76	79
35	550	68	79
40	450	67	81
50	390	81	79

^a Initiator, monomer, cross-linker. ^b Determined by ^1H NMR spectra.

^c Determined by FTIR spectra.




Fig. 5 Swelling degree measurement of polymer gels composed of EO2 with different amounts of cross-linker in various solvents.




Fig. 6 Temperature dependence of the degree of swelling in water of polymer gels composed of EO2 prepared by adding 50 times the cross-linker to the initiator (750 mM).



ithmic plot of the dependence of the reduction concentration on polymer concentration, the system used for gelation in this study is a semi-dilute solution in which no entanglement of polymers occurs (Fig. S9c†). As also seen in the uncomplete conversion of DVA, this might be attributed to that the reactivity of growing radical of EO2 terminal is relatively low toward vinyl ester compared to acrylate. In any case, the polymer gel based on poly(EO2) could be obtained by the mechanistic transformation from cationic polymerization to radical cross-linking reaction by tuning the reaction condition, especially the monomer concentration, although there might be heterogeneity in cross-linking and the presence of uncross-linked chains.

Swelling and shrinkage of polymer gels

The degree of swelling of polymer gels composed of EO2 that had reached equilibrium swelling with various solvents was measured using polymer gels that had been exposed to light for about two more weeks after gelation under conditions in which the amount of cross-linking agent was varied. In most of the solvent systems, the effective cross-link density increased as the degree of swelling decreased with increasing the ratio of the cross-linker amount (Fig. 5).

It was also observed that the polymer swells well in the polymerization solvents toluene and methanol, and shrinks in hexane. When polymer gels with 50 equivalents of cross-linker




Fig. 7 SAXS profiles of gels (a) SAXS scattering profiles of gels prepared at different cross-linking concentrations (the numbers in the figure are the concentration ratios of cross-linker and initiator). These gels were swollen with toluene and was set at 20 °C. (b) SAXS scattering profiles of gels prepared at different cross-linking concentrations (the numbers in the figure are the concentration ratios of cross-linker and initiator). These gels were swollen with hexane and was set at 20 °C. (c) Cross-linker addition amount dependence of $I_{0Z}(0)/I_{DB}(0)$ in toluene, (d) cross-linker addition amount dependence of $I_{0Z}(0)/I_{DB}(0)$ in hexane, (e) cross-linker addition amount dependence of ξ in toluene, (f) cross-linker addition amount dependence of ξ in hexane.



to initiator were measured in water at varying temperatures, the degree of swelling changed continuously from 30 °C to 45 °C (Fig. 6).

Structural analysis of polymer gels

SAXS measurements were performed to evaluate microscopic structural changes in the resulting polymer gels. X-ray scattering is caused by density differences inside the gel.^{29,30} In order to investigate the detailed structure, we prepared and measured samples in two states in which the density difference was significantly changed: swollen in toluene and shrunken in hexane. Fig. 7a and b show the SAXS profiles obtained from polymer gels synthesized with various amounts of cross-linker (30, 35, 40, 50) in toluene and hexane, respectively. None of the scattering profiles show a dramatic low- q rise in the measured q range ($0.069 < q < 3.5 \text{ nm}^{-1}$), suggesting that the formation of significant aggregate structures at this spatial scale is suppressed. The scattering profile of polymer gels is often described by the following equation.^{31–33}

$$I(q) = I_{\text{OZ}}(q) + I_{\text{DB}}(q) + I_{\text{BKG}} \quad (1)$$

where $I_{\text{OZ}}(q)$ is the Ornstein–Zernike (OZ) function for liquid-like homogeneous structures and $I_{\text{DB}}(q)$ is the Debye–Bueche (DB) function corresponding to heterogeneous structures,³⁴ given by the following tabular expression,

$$I_{\text{OZ}}(q) = \frac{I_{\text{OZ}}(0)}{1 + \xi_{\text{OZ}}^2 q^2} \quad (2)$$

$$I_{\text{DB}}(q) = \frac{I_{\text{DB}}(0)}{(1 + \xi_{\text{DB}}^2 q^2)^2} \quad (3)$$

where ξ_{OZ} and ξ_{DB} are the correlation lengths in the OZ and DB functions, respectively. I_{BKG} represents the background independent of q . The results of fitting according to eqn (1) are shown as solid lines in Fig. 7a and b. Both are in good agreement with the experimental data, indicating that these polymer gels are formed by a mixture of homogeneous structures as represented by the OZ function and heterogeneous structures as represented by the DB function. Fig. 7c shows the dependence of $I_{\text{OZ}}(0)/I_{\text{DB}}(0)$, the ratio of the contribution of the OZ function to scattering to that of the DB function, for polymer gels in toluene on the amount of cross-linking agent. $I_{\text{OZ}}(0)/I_{\text{DB}}(0)$ decreases with increasing amount of cross-linker. Fig. 7e shows the dependence of ξ_{OZ} and ξ_{DB} on the amount of cross-linker in toluene. ξ_{OZ} decreases with increasing cross-linker, which may indicate that cross-linking has progressed and the network structure has become finer. On the other hand, ξ_{DB} was almost constant and the correlation length of the heterogeneous structure did not change noticeably. Fig. 7d shows the dependence of $I_{\text{OZ}}(0)/I_{\text{DB}}(0)$ of the polymer gel in hexane on the amount of cross-linking agent. The values are much smaller than those of the gel in toluene, with $I_{\text{OZ}}(0)/I_{\text{DB}}(0) \approx 0$ above 35 equivalents. This may be due to the fact that in hexane, the gels contracted and the density difference increased, resulting in a more pronounced scatter-

ing contribution from the inhomogeneous structure. As for the correlation lengths, as shown in Fig. 7f, both ξ_{OZ} and ξ_{DB} decreased with increasing amount of cross-linker. This may correspond to a finer network structure. The scattering profiles of the gels in these two solvents indicate that the gels have no noticeable cohesive structure in the spatial scale range ~ 1.8 –90 nm in both cases, although a large amount of cross-linking agent leads to a pronounced structure with heterogeneity.

Conclusions

In this study, we addressed the one-pot synthesis of temperature-responsive polymer gels with controlled network structure by switching light irradiation. By mixing all compounds and polymerizing them at more than twice the overlapping concentration, telechelic PEO2 with uniform molecular weight was generated, and subsequent photoirradiation cross-linked the PEO2 to form a gel. The degree of swelling was changed by changing the ratio of cross-linkers, and the polymer gel obtained in this study was confirmed to be temperature-responsive in water. SAXS analysis showed that the excess scattering at low- q was suppressed and the molecular weight between cross-linking points was controlled compared to polymer gels synthesized by free radical polymerization. However, spatial heterogeneity was observed during cross-linking due to the polymerization properties of PEO2, such as the RAFT terminus of PEO2, which is not easily activated radially, and the reactivity of the cross-linking agent.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Maruzen Petrochemical for kindly providing 2-(2-ethoxy)ethoxyethyl vinyl ether (EO2). KS thanks to the financial support by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18KK0158 and 19H00910, and JST-CREST (JPMJCR1992). The SAXS experiments at beam line BL38B1 were performed with the approval of RIKEN (Proposals No. 20210006).

References

- 1 Y. W. Gu, J. L. Zhao and J. A. Johnson, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 318–334.
- 2 M. Shibayama, *Polym. J.*, 2011, **43**, 18–34.
- 3 J. Bastide and L. Leibler, *Macromolecules*, 1988, **21**, 2647–2649.
- 4 S. Nakagawa and N. Yoshie, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 2074–2107.
- 5 X. Li, S. Nakagawa, Y. Tsuji, N. Watanabe and M. Shibayama, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, **5**, eaax8647.



- 6 S. Ida, M. Morimura, H. Kitanaka, Y. Hirokawa and S. Kanaoka, *Polym. Chem.*, 2019, **10**, 6122–6130.
- 7 Y. Okaya, Y. Jochi, T. Seki, K. Satoh, M. Kamigaito, T. Hoshino, T. Nakatani, S. Fujinami, M. Takata and Y. Takeoka, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 374–386.
- 8 Y. Baba, G. H. Gao, M. Hara, T. Seki, K. Satoh, M. Kamigaito, T. Hoshino, K. Urayama and Y. Takeoka, *Macromolecules*, 2021, **54**, 10468–10476.
- 9 Y. Jochi, T. Seki, T. Soejima, K. Satoh, M. Kamigaito and Y. Takeoka, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2018, **10**, 840–848.
- 10 T. Sakai, M. Kurakazu, Y. Akagi, M. Shibayama and U. Chung, *Soft Matter*, 2012, **8**, 2730–2736.
- 11 Z. H. Zhang, N. Corrigan, A. Bagheri, J. Y. Jin and C. Boyer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 17954–17963.
- 12 Y. T. Ma, V. Kottisch, E. A. McLoughlin, Z. W. Rouse, M. J. Supej, S. P. Baker and B. P. Fors, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 21200–21205.
- 13 B. W. Zhao, J. J. Li, X. Q. Pan, Z. B. Zhang, G. Q. Jin and J. Zhu, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2021, **10**, 1315–1320.
- 14 E. J. Kepola, E. Loizou, C. S. Patrickios, E. Leontidis, C. Voutouri, T. Stylianopoulos, R. Schweins, M. Gradzielski, C. Krumm, J. C. Tiller, M. Kushnir and C. Wesdemiotis, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2015, **4**, 1163–1168.
- 15 M. Malkoch, R. Vestberg, N. Gupta, L. Mespouille, P. Dubois, A. F. Mason, J. L. Hedrick, Q. Liao, C. W. Frank, K. Kingsbury and C. J. Hawker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2774–2776, DOI: [10.1039/b603438a](https://doi.org/10.1039/b603438a).
- 16 Y. Tsuji, S. Nakagawa, C. I. Gupit, M. Ohira, M. Shibayama and X. Li, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 7537–7545.
- 17 M. Uchiyama, K. Satoh, and M. Kamigaito, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2022, **124**, 101485.
- 18 S. Kumagai, K. Nagai, K. Satoh and M. Kamigaito, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 7523–7531.
- 19 H. Aoshima, M. Uchiyama, K. Satoh and M. Kamigaito, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10932–10936.
- 20 K. Satoh, H. Hashimoto, S. Kumagai, H. Aoshima, M. Uchiyama, R. Ishibashi, Y. Fujiki and M. Kamigaito, *Polym. Chem.*, 2017, **8**, 5002–5011.
- 21 K. Satoh, Y. Fujiki, M. Uchiyama and M. Kamigaito, *ACS Symp. Ser.*, 2018, **1284**, 323–334.
- 22 K. Satoh, Z. H. Sun, M. Uchiyama, M. Kamigaito, J. T. Xu and C. Boyer, *Polym. J.*, 2020, **52**, 65–73.
- 23 Q. Michaudel, V. Kottisch and B. P. Fors, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9670–9679.
- 24 M. D. Nothling, Q. Fu, A. Reyhani, S. Allison-Logan, K. Jung, J. Zhu, M. Kamigaito, C. Boyer and G. G. Qiao, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 2001656.
- 25 T. G. McKenzie, Q. Fu, M. Uchiyama, K. Satoh, J. T. Xu, C. Boyer, M. Kamigaito and G. G. Qiao, *Adv. Sci.*, 2016, **3**, 1500394.
- 26 T. K. Georgiou, C. S. Patrickios, P. W. Groh and B. Ivan, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 2335–2343.
- 27 G. Kali, T. K. Georgiou, B. Ivan and C. S. Patrickios, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2009, **47**, 4289–4301.
- 28 H. Katayama, M. Kamigaito, M. Sawamoto and T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules*, 1995, **28**, 3747–3755.
- 29 D. E. Apostolides, C. S. Patrickios, T. Sakai, M. Guerre, G. Lopez, B. Ameduri, V. Ladmiral, M. Simon, M. Gradzielski, D. Clemens, C. Krumm, J. C. Tiller, B. Ernould and J. F. Gohy, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 2476–2488.
- 30 X. H. Zhang, K. Kyriakos, M. Rikkou-Kalourkoti, E. N. Kitiri, C. S. Patrickios and C. M. Papadakis, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **294**, 1027–1036.
- 31 M. Shibayama, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **199**, 1–30.
- 32 T. Matsunaga, T. Sakai, Y. Akagi, U. Chung and M. Shibayama, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 1344–1351.
- 33 M. Shibayama, *Small Angle Neutron Scattering on Gels*, in *Soft Matter Characterization*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2008.
- 34 L. S. Z. Ornstein and F. Zernike, *Accidental Deviations of Density and Opalescence at the Critical Point of a Single Substance*, Amsterdam, 1914.

