

Modeling Risk Dynamics of Contaminants of Emerging Concern in a Temperate-region Wastewater Effluentdominated Stream

Journal:	Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology
Manuscript ID	EW-ART-03-2022-000157
Article Type:	Paper



Modeling Risk Dynamics of Contaminants of Emerging Concern in a Temperate-region Wastewater Effluent-dominated Stream

Hui Zhi,^{§,¶} Danielle T. Webb,^{§,¶} Jerald L. Schnoor, ^{§,¶} Dana W. Kolpin,[△] Rebecca D.

Klaper,[¥]Luke R. Iwanowicz,[#]Gregory H. LeFevre^{§,¶,*}

[§]Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, University of Iowa, 4105 Seamans Center, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States;

[¶]IIHR-Hydroscience & Engineering, 100 C. Maxwell Stanley Hydraulics Laboratory, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States;

^AU.S. Geological Survey, Central Midwest Water Science Center, 400 S. Clinton St, Rm 269 Federal Building, Iowa City, IA 52240, United States;

[#]U.S. Geological Survey, Eastern Ecological Science Center, 11649 Leetown Road, Kearneysville, WV 25430, United States;

[¥]University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, School of Freshwater Sciences, 600 E. Greenfield Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53204, United States.

*Corresponding Author:

gregory-lefevre@uiowa.edu; Phone: 319-335-5655; 4105 Seamans Center for Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City Iowa, United States

Abstract

Wastewater effluent-dominated streams are becoming increasingly common worldwide, including in temperate regions, with potential impacts on ecological systems and drinking water sources. We recently quantified the occurrence/ spatiotemporal dynamics of pharmaceutical mixtures in a representative temperate-region wastewater effluent-dominated stream (Muddy Creek, Iowa) under baseflow conditions and characterized relevant fate processes. Herein, we quantified the ecological risk quotients (RQs) of 19 effluent-derived contaminants of emerging concern (CECs; including: 14 pharmaceuticals, 2 industrial chemicals, and 3 neonicotinoid insecticides) and 1 run-off-derived compound (atrazine) in the stream under baseflow conditions, and estimated the probabilistic risks of effluent-derived CECs under all-flow conditions (i.e., including runoff events) using stochastic risk modeling. We determined that 11 out of 20 CECs pose medium-to-high risks to local ecological systems (i.e., algae, invertebrates, fish) based on literature-derived acute effects under measured baseflow conditions. Stochastic risk modeling indicated decreased, but still problematic, risk of effluent-derived CECs (i.e., $RQ \ge 0.1$) under allflow conditions when runoff events were included. Dilution of effluent-derived chemicals from storm flows thus only minimally decreased risk to aquatic biota in the effluent-dominated stream. We also modeled in-stream transport. Thirteen out of 14 pharmaceuticals persisted along the stream reach (median attenuation rate constant $k < 0.1 h^{-1}$) and entered the Iowa River at elevated concentrations. Predicted and measured concentrations in the drinking water treatment plant were below the human health benchmarks. This study demonstrates the application of probabilistic risk assessments for effluent-derived CECs in a representative effluent-dominated stream under variable flow conditions (when measurements are less practical) and provides an enhanced prediction tool transferable to other effluent-dominated systems.

Water Impact Statement

We used chemical and continuous flow data for stochastic risk modeling to demonstrate that risks to aquatic biota from effluent-derived chemicals decrease only minimally when diluted with storm flows. Stochastic risk modeling is useful for assessments when chemical data are limited but flow data are available. This work is generalizable to effluent-dominated systems critical for *defacto* water reuse management decisions.

1

1. Introduction

2 Climate change and urbanization are increasing the influence of wastewater effluent on 3 receiving waters, leading to an increase in contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) loadings 4 including pharmaceuticals, personal care products, pesticides, and industrial chemicals from 5 wastewater to drinking water supplies (i.e., de facto reuse).¹⁻⁶ Because some CECs such as 6 pharmaceuticals and pesticides are biologically active at low concentrations by design and have potential to accumulate in aquatic and terrestrial species,^{7–9} occurrence of these contaminants in 7 8 drinking water, irrigation water, and food webs may pose risks/concerns to wildlife and human health.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ For example, neonicotinoid insecticides (i.e., clothianidin, imidacloprid, 9 10 thiamethoxam) and more human-toxic metabolites have been found in finished drinking water (i.e., tap water);^{10,15,16} potential concerns include inflammation of the liver and central nervous 11 system due to chronic exposure.¹⁷ Pharmaceuticals such as metformin (antidiabetic) and 12 venlafaxine (antidepressant) can cause behavior changes,¹⁸ potential endocrine disruption 13 effects,19,20 and reduced size and fecundity in fish.21 Despite several studies that suggest 14 negligible adverse effects of different CECs to humans,^{22,23} knowledge is limited for chronic 15 effects via long-term exposure or exposure to complex contaminant mixtures.²⁴ Effluent-16 17 dominated streams, where treated wastewater represents the majority of flow, can represent a 18 'worst-case scenario' for risk assessment of different CEC mixtures under baseflow conditions, 19 but characterizing the potential risks to biota under elevated flow conditions (i.e., including 20 events with surface runoff that dilute wastewater influence) is important to reflect real-world 21 conditions.

For a robust assessment of the potential risks of CECs in effluent-dominated systems,
 high spatiotemporal-resolution sampling synergized with comprehensive analytical analysis and

24 the application of appropriate simulation models are imperative. The risk quotient (RQ), 25 expressed as the ratio of the measured environmental concentration (MEC) to the predicted no effect concentration (PNEC), is often used for risk characterization of ecological systems.²⁵ 26 27 Numerous studies have quantified the occurrence and distribution of different CECs and associated RQs in the aquatic environment;5,26-28 however, environmental variability makes 28 29 assessing risk dynamics of CECs under variable conditions challenging (i.e., logistically difficult, 30 expensive). Stochastic risk modeling has been used for assessing risk at contaminated sites under various input sources and hydrologic conditions.^{29,30} Stochastic approaches apply probability 31 32 distributions to describe random variability in input parameters; these distributions are then 33 propagated to the output variables through mathematical models using statistical sampling 34 algorithms.²⁹ For example, a Monte Carlo simulation is an effective approach for characterizing 35 risks and uncertainty where a considerable amount of data describing the system dynamics is available.³⁰ Although such simulations do not account for possible interactive effects to 36 37 organisms (e.g., antagonistic, synergistic interactions) from chemical mixtures, stochastic risk 38 modeling can serve as an important tool for probabilistically assessing the contaminant risk and 39 identifying dominant risk drivers.³¹

The spatial and temporal heterogeneity of environmental variables controlling attenuation processes makes investigation of CECs in-stream transport challenging. Thus, a simulation approach can help integrate varied environmental conditions (e.g., hydrologic conditions, microbial activity, etc.) and quantitative information to predict the transport of CECs under various flow conditions that generate chronic exposure to aquatic biota with changing spatial and temporal dynamics.³² QUAL2K is a one-dimensional stream water quality model intended to

represent a well-mixed channel³³ that does not require extensive data inputs beyond basic firstorder kinetic rates.³⁴⁻³⁶ and is commonly applied.^{34,37}

48 Our prior work demonstrated that Muddy Creek (Coralville, IA) is a representative 49 effluent-dominated stream in temperate region, and it is also an ideal study site where we conducted long-term monitoring of chemical and hydrologic data.^{38–42} In the previous work, we 50 51 quantified the occurrence/ spatiotemporal dynamics and fate mechanisms of pharmaceutical mixtures^{38,43} and neonicotinoid insecticides³⁹ in an effluent-dominated stream under baseflow 52 53 conditions. Nevertheless, the potential risks to the local ecological system under all-flow 54 conditions and the drinking water source have not yet been evaluated. One may assume dilution 55 of WWTP effluent-derived CECs from run-off events would substantially decrease overall risks 56 to biota, but comprehensively evaluating exposure can be difficult because capturing samples 57 under variable flow conditions is inherently more logistically onerous than measuring at 58 baseflow. Therefore, appropriate simulation approaches can fill this knowledge gap and help 59 develop a comprehensive risk assessment for pharmaceuticals and other CECs in this 60 representative effluent-dominated stream to provide an enhanced prediction tool transferable to 61 other effluent-dominated systems.

The present study objectives were to: (1) quantify the ecological exposure risks of pharmaceuticals and other CECs in an effluent-dominated stream and assess changes in risk exposure for effluent-derived chemicals simulated under variable all-flow conditions due to dilution with storm flows; and (2) estimate the in-stream transport and input of pharmaceuticals and other CECs from the effluent-dominated stream to a drinking water source and their potential exposure risks to human health. We hypothesized that: (1) the in-stream ecological risk of effluent-derived CECs was lower under non-baseflow conditions, but CECs can still pose 69 risks to aquatic biota; and (2) CECs from the effluent-dominated stream posed minimal risks to 70 drinking water intakes following substantial dilution after entering the larger waterbody. Herein, 71 we demonstrate a novel framework to characterize exposure risks of aquatic biota from effluent-72 derived chemicals in an effluent-dominated stream under variable flow conditions. We use 73 collected chemical data and real-time flows data for stochastic risk modeling to demonstrate that 74 risks to aquatic biota from effluent-derived chemicals decrease only minimally when diluted with 75 storm flows. Stochastic risk modeling helps inform temporal risk dynamics and transport 76 modeling informs spatial attenuation dynamics. The present study integrated our previously released chemical data^{38,39,42} for simulation and risk assessment and collected new chemical data 77 78 to quantify intra-day variability of CECs in the stream and potential impacts on the drinking 79 water intakes.

80 81

2. Materials and Methods

82 **2.1 Study site**

83 Muddy Creek, Iowa, USA (Latitude 41°42'00", Longitude 91°33'46") has a drainage area 84 of 22.5 km² composed of both agricultural (17.45%-20.72%) and urban (60%-72.5%) land use 85 (details in Table S.1), and discharges into the Iowa River (Figure 1). The long-term median flow during two years (September 2017 to August 2019) of Muddy Creek (station ID 05454090)⁴¹ and 86 Iowa River at Iowa City (station ID 05454500)⁴⁴ was 0.18±1.14 m³/s (median±standard 87 deviation) and 74±103 m³/s, respectively. The mixing ratio of Muddy Creek stream flow to Iowa 88 89 River flow was roughly 1:411 based on the long-term median flow discharge. Muddy Creek is a 90 wastewater effluent-dominated stream, with effluent discharged from the North Liberty 91 Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). North Liberty, Iowa, has an estimated population⁴⁵ of 92 19,240 and is the second-fastest growing city in Iowa. The WWTP has a modern membrane

93 bioreactor facility built in 2008, which removes particles $>0.02 \mu m$ and thus no further 94 disinfection is used. This facility also implements biological nitrogen and phosphorus removal. The current wastewater discharge averages approximately 5,300 m³/day (0.061 m³/s).⁴⁶ The 95 96 Muddy Creek streamflow varied from 0.03 m³/s to 0.30 m³/s (median 0.12 m³/s) at the sampling 97 time points during 2 years of baseflow sample collection (at U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] 98 gaging station 05454090; DS2).^{38,41} Muddy Creek has a generally sandy streambed and heavy 99 tree canopy riparian zone. Four USGS sampling sites were established for this study: (1) 0.1 km 100 upstream from WWTP outfall (US1; station ID 05454050); (2) wastewater effluent outfall 101 (Effluent; station ID 05454051); (3) 0.1 km downstream from WWTP outfall (DS1; station ID 102 05454052); and (4) 5.1 km downstream from WWTP outfall (DS2, USGS gaging station; station 103 ID 05454090) (Figure 1a). The estimated distance from DS2 to the Iowa River is roughly 2 km.



105 106

Figure 1: (a) Sampling map of Muddy Creek, Coralville, Johnson County, Iowa, USA. The sampling location values include: US1 (station ID 05454050, 0.1 km upstream from the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) outfall), Effluent (station ID 0545405, wastewater effluent outfall), DS1 (station ID 05454052, 0.1 km downstream from WWTP outfall) and DS2 (USGS gaging station, station ID 05454090, Latitude 41°42'00", Longitude 91°33'46", 5.1 km downstream from WWTP outfall). (b) Sampling map of drinking water treatment plant, roughly 8km downstream of where Muddy Creek enters the Iowa River. The red star represents where Muddy Creek joins the Iowa River. Base map is from Iowa Geographic Map Server.⁴⁷

114 **2.2 Data Sources**

Hydrologic data. During monthly sampling events (September 2017 to August 2018), 115 116 streamflow at US1 and DS1 was measured via a flow tracker using established USGS methods.⁴⁸ 117 Effluent discharge at the specific time of sampling was determined indirectly by subtracting the 118 streamflow measured above from that measured below the WWTP effluent. Stream stage at DS2, 119 located 5.1 km downstream from the effluent outfall, was continuously monitored by the USGS 120 gaging station (station ID 05454090) to calculate flow based on a stage/discharge rating curve developed for this specific site (Figure S.3).⁴¹ Baseflow discharge and all-flow discharge at DS2 121 122 during 2-year period were 0.137±0.067 m³/s (mean±standard deviation) and 0.372±1.142 m³/s, respectively.⁴¹ Bulk water quality parameters including dissolved oxygen, pH, water temperature, 123 124 and conductivity were also monitored by USGS for the monthly sampling time points during 125 Year 1.42

126 Chemical data. Chemical data sources consisted of previously released data and additional 127 newly collected data. Previously reported data of 20 CECs included: 1) Monthly pharmaceutical data (n=14 compounds; 12 data sets in total; September 2017-August 2018; "Year 1" of the 128 129 study; Table S.2) collected and analyzed by USGS,⁴² used to simulate the first-order attenuation; 130 and monthly pharmaceutical data (n=14 compounds; 12 data sets in total; September 2017-131 August 2018; "Year 1"; Table S.2) collected and analyzed by University of Iowa (UIowa), used 132 to validate the attenuation simulation;³⁸ 2) Neonicotinoid insecticide data (n=3 compounds; 17 133 data sets in total; collected approximately twice-monthly during weather-dependent baseflow 134 conditions; September 2018-August 2019; "Year 2"; Table S.2) were collected and analyzed by UIowa;³⁹ and 3) Other chemicals (n=3) including atrazine and benzotriazole and 5-methyl-135 benzotriazole (Table S.2).^{38,42} All chemical data from Year 1 that are described above were used 136

for risk assessment (but only effluent derived chemical were used for stochastic risk modeling; see below). Each data set included four samples from each of our four established sampling sites. All the sampling procedures, sample pretreatments and analytical methods were fully described in our prior publications.^{38,39} Although the occurrence of 20 CECs from Muddy Creek was released previously,^{38,39} no prior ecological and human risk assessments have been conducted.

142 New to this study, additional water samples were collected over a more-intensive four-143 day period in the wastewater effluent and along the stream reach to capture a higher resolution of 144 daily variation of CECs (i.e., 14 pharmaceuticals and 2 industrial chemicals). During July 14-18, 145 2019 (a total of 96 h), sampling occurred three time daily (8am, 12pm, and 7pm) at four 146 sampling sites [US1, Effluent, DS1, and DS2] to capture the intra- and inter-daily variation of 147 CECs in the effluent and along the stream reach. A one-time water sampling event also occurred 148 at the UIowa drinking water treatment plant (DWTP; roughly 8km distance downstream from 149 where Muddy Creek enters the Iowa River; Figure 1b) where raw and finished drinking water 150 was sampled to evaluate potential impacts of Muddy Creek on a local drinking water source. 151 Detailed analytical method and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) were previously published.^{38,39} Chemicals are fully described in Table S.3. 152

153

154 **2.3 Risk assessment**

The potential risks of CECs in the effluent dominated stream to aquatic organisms were assessed using a risk quotient (RQ), calculated as the ratio of the measured environmental concentration (MEC) to the predicted no effect concentration (PNEC; based on cited literature values) of a target compound for 3 different aquatic organism types: algae, invertebrates, and fish (Eqn. 1; Table S.4-S.7).²⁵ The measured concentration along the stream reach during the 2-year

160 period (including all the monitored pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and industrial chemicals from 161 three in-stream sites: US1, DS1, and DS2) was used to generate the MEC value. For the 162 ecological risk assessment, the measured chemical data from the different stream sites were 163 pooled due to the relatively short stream reach (\sim 5.2km, where some aquatic species such as fish 164 living in the stream could swim freely throughout the reach) and thus the study reach was 165 effectively treated as a single 'site' for the purposes of risk analysis. We recognize that this 166 spatial simplification has limitations, particularly for less mobile aquatic organisms that do not 167 move throughout the reach. The Effluent site was not considered an "in-stream site" because this 168 was an outfall pipe above the stream where effluent is discharged into the stream and thus no 169 biota directly inhabits this site. For acute toxicity, the lowest values of half-maximal effective 170 concentration (EC50) or half-lethal concentration (LC50) divided by an assessment factor (AF) 171 of 1,000 corresponds to the PNEC acute value (Eqn. 2; Table S.4). For chronic toxicity, no 172 observed effect concentrations (NOEC) or lowest observed effect concentrations (LOEC) were used and the applied AF value was 10.49 If different toxicity data were available for the same 173 174 species from the database, the lowest value was chosen to provide a conservative assessment (Table S.5, S.7). 175

176
$$RQ = \frac{MEC}{PNEC}$$
 Equation (1)

Equation (2)

178
$$PNEC = \frac{NOEC \text{ or LOEC}}{AF}$$
 for chronic toxicity Equation (3)

179 Commonly-used ranking criteria^{32,50} were adopted in this work: $RQ \ge 1$, high ecotoxicological 180 risk; $0.1 \le RQ \le 1$, medium ecotoxicological risk; $RQ \le 0.1$, low ecotoxicological risk.

181

182 **2.4 Stochastic risk modeling**

183 Risk Quotients (RQs) were calculated based on measured chemical data and flow data 184 under baseflow conditions; however, uncertainties and variabilities exist when considering all-185 flow conditions for risk characterization in the deterministic method. Thus, a stochastic risk 186 approach can be useful, whereby risk output is a probability distribution. Risk uncertainty was 187 considered by conducting Monte Carlo simulations using Minitab (version 19). Individual 188 compounds were selected for stochastic risk analysis when the 75th percentile of the total 189 measured RQs under baseflow conditions exceeded the lowest problematic risk level (i.e., 190 RQ=0.1) for at least one of the three different aquatic biota types. For pharmaceuticals, RQs 191 generated from acute toxicity data were used for risk modeling purpose, whereas for industrial 192 chemicals and pesticides, both ROs generated from acute toxicity and chronic toxicity were 193 applied and discussed in the present study; decisions were based on acute/chronic data 194 availability.

195 In Monte Carlo simulations, each random variable is defined by a probability distribution 196 with a corresponding mean and a standard deviation. First we selected compounds based on 197 when the 75th percentile RQ exceeded the lowest problematic risk level (i.e., RQ=0.1) for at least 198 one of the three different aquatic species types (Figure 3, S.6) under baseflow conditions. For 199 acute effects 10 compounds (bupropion, citalopram, tramadol, sulfamethoxazole, desvenlafaxine, 200 lidocaine, methocarbamol, imidicloprid, clothianidin and thiamethoxam) were selected and for 201 chronic effects, 3 compounds (imidacloprid, clothianidin and thiamethoxam) were selected. We 202 then examined the log-normality distribution of the RQs calculated from all our monitoring 203 chemical data (from the in-stream sites US1, DS1 and DS2 during 2-year period) via 204 Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All compounds except lidocaine, citalopram, and thiamethoxam 205 selected for the risk analysis passed the log normality test (i.e., were not significantly different

206 from a log-normal distribution, α =0.05); thus, we considered this distribution model a valid 207 approximation. Flow discharge at DS2 at the time of sampling events were used to characterize 208 long-term baseflow conditions.⁴¹ Continuous flow discharge (every 15 min) at DS2 during the 2-209 year period (over which the chemical samples were taken) was used to characterize the "all-flow" 210 conditions.⁴¹ The flow data under baseflow conditions and all-flow conditions at DS2 also passed 211 the log normality test. Although we only had one site (DS2) with long-term continuously 212 monitored flow data from the USGS gaging station, the flow variation at DS2 is representative of 213 the hydrologic dilution factor conditions for the stream reach. This assumption is reasonable for 214 contaminants primarily originating from the point-source WWTP (i.e., effluent-derived) because 215 overland flow contributions of pharmaceuticals are expected to be minimal; we recognize that 216 this assumption has limits for substances contributed by nonpoint sources such as many 217 pesticides (previously, however, we demonstrated that neonicotinoid insecticides in the stream 218 are driven by contributions of wastewater).³⁹

219 For stochastic risk modeling, we treated the entire stream reach as a single segment; thus, 220 we included RQ data from all three in-stream sites (US1, DS1 and DS2) under baseflow 221 conditions to generate the probabilistic distribution. Although this can neglect spatial differences 222 within the segment, based on the relatively short distance (~5.2km) that permits aquatic species 223 such as fish to readily move freely within the stream, this approach appeared reasonable to 224 generate an average risk distribution in the stream reach (we recognize that limited-mobility 225 organisms likely move less within the segment). This assumption is also reasonable for 226 evaluating changes in risk from effluent-derived chemicals under different storm flow conditions 227 in an effluent-dominated stream, but may not be applicable under all scenarios. Based on our recent work probing attenuation mechanisms in the stream,⁴³ the single-segment assumption is 228

229 likely reasonable because most compounds persist except for citalopram, which rapidly sorbs to 230 bed sediments and concentrations change greatly along the stream reach even over short 231 distances. The corresponding mean and standard deviation of RQs under baseflow conditions 232 and flow discharge from baseflow and all-flow conditions, respectively, were used to generate 233 probabilistic distributions for each input variable (i.e., baseflow RQ, baseflow discharge, and all-234 flow discharge). The output was a probabilistic distribution of ROs under all-flow conditions. 235 The Monte Carlo simulation performed 1,000 iterations for each variable considered to ensure 236 numerical stability. The Monte Carlo simulation workflow (using pharmaceutical fexofenadine 237 as an example) is shown in Figure S.4. Detailed input values for Monte Carlo simulations are 238 shown in Tables S.9, S.10, and S.13.

- 239
- 240

) 2.5 Attenuation modeling in the stream

241 Based on our prior investigation demonstrating that Muddy Creek is well-mixed laterally 242 and vertically,³⁸ the QUAL2K model is appropriate to simulate the attenuation dynamics of 243 CECs in the effluent-dominated stream used as a study reach. Transport modeling of effluent-244 derived CECs (i.e., 14 pharmaceuticals) was conducted using the QUAL2K software (version 245 2.07) to simulate multiple CECs along the stream reach that were mainly discharged from the 246 wastewater effluent. The QUAL2K software was developed by the United States Environment 247 Protection Agency (USEPA). It consists of an Excel workbook (QUAL2K.xls) that provides the 248 user interface to the model and a Fortran executable (Q2KFortran2 04.exe) that runs the 249 calculations. Full details of QUAL2K and its use are described in the QUAL2K User Guide.³³ 250 The model allows users to segment the stream into several reaches and further divide each reach 251 into a series of equally spaced elements, which are fundamental computational units of the model. Muddy Creek is a relatively small stream during baseflow conditions (0.137±0.067 m³/s; mean±standard deviation) and does not contain substantial contributing branches, thus a mainstem with four segments was used for simulation (Figure S.5) A steady-state flow balance is implemented for each model element. The QUAL2K model allows specification of the many kinetic parameters on a reach-specific basis, such as a chemical attenuation rate based on the first-order kinetics, which makes it suitable for CEC simulations in the effluent-dominated stream.^{34,51,52}

The input hydraulic data and chemical data for this study were based on field measurements (see SI; Table S.15, Figure S.9). First-order kinetics (Eqn. 4) were used for target CECs based on the QUAL2K model.

262
$$\frac{c}{c_0} = e^{-kt}$$
 Equation (4)

263 Rate constant (k) and half-life $(t_{1/2})$ values for individual compounds were calculated 264 based on the USGS monthly data (chemical and discharge) in the effluent and at both 265 downstream sites (DS1 and DS2) during Year 1 (Table S.15, S.16). The monthly chemical data 266 measured by UIowa at the corresponding sites were used for model validation. Upstream site 267 US1 was excluded because the primary source of pharmaceuticals³⁸ (and most neonicotinoids³⁹) 268 to this system was almost completely derived from the wastewater effluent. The initial 269 concentration in the effluent was multiplied by an immediate dilution factor (Eqn. 4) due to the 270 dilution by upstream flow.

Immediate dilution factor =
$$\frac{\text{Effluent flow rate}}{\text{Effluent flow rate + Upstream flow rate}}$$
 Equation (5)

272 Risk assessment was conducted by comparing the predicted CEC concentrations to human health273 benchmark values at the point where Muddy Creek enters the Iowa River.

274

275

276 277

7 **3. Results and Discussion**

278 **3.1 Ecological risks quantification and stochastic modeling**

279 We demonstrated that the suite of CECs measured were consistently released to the 280 receiving water from the WWTP, indicating our long-term monitoring data source was 281 representative for risk assessments under baseflow conditions. During a higher-resolution short-282 period monitoring (4 day; three times per day) of the pharmaceuticals and industrial chemicals in 283 the effluent and along the stream reach, we observed reasonably consistent intra-day 284 concentrations (Effluent: within 86-124% variability; DS1: 46-119%; DS2: 73-117%) and 285 compositions of CECs (Figure 2), indicating that a single sample within a day was representative 286 of daily loadings under baseflow conditions. We did observe inter-day concentration variability 287 between weekday and weekend samples (Figure 2), demonstrating the value of long-term field 288 monitoring to capture temporal variation under baseflow conditions. For example, the total 289 pharmaceutical concentrations on Sunday were roughly 50% lower than those on weekdays. 290 During this time, the daily flow from the WWTP was stable and consistent (between 0.08-0.09 291 m^3/s ; Figure S.2). This was only one short-period sampling campaign during a single season 292 (summer); however, similar weekday/weekend pharmaceutical variations have been reported 293 previously elsewhere.⁵³ Although pharmaceuticals constituted the highest concentrations and 294 were regularly released from the WWTPs into Muddy Creek, our present and prior studies 295 demonstrated unknown upstream sources combined with wastewater effluent contributed pesticides and industrial chemicals to the stream, 38,39,42 creating more-complex evolving CEC 296 297 mixtures along the stream with potential implications to aquatic biota. Despite the continuously 298 high inputs of pharmaceuticals and industrial chemicals, research on chronic and acute effects are limited compared to pesticides.^{54–58} For example, previous research indicated that the acute toxic pressure was mainly driven by pesticides including clothianidin in a wastewater-impacted stream, while the total concentration sums downstream were clearly dominated by pharmaceuticals or other household chemicals.⁵⁹ Nevertheless, long-term chronic effects from high levels of pharmaceuticals and other household chemicals are still poorly understood.⁵⁹ Thus, more long-term baseflow exposure and associated toxicity data for pharmaceutical mixtures is warranted for a more comprehensive risk assessment in effluent-dominated streams.





 $\begin{array}{c} 308\\ 309 \end{array}$

Figure 2: Daily total (a) and individual (b) concentration variations of pharmaceuticals and industrial chemicals
 during 4-day period (total=96h, sampling events n=12) at three sampling sites [Effluent, DS1, DS2] in Muddy Creek
 (Coralville, Iowa). Sampling occurred between 10am July 14, 2019 and 10am July 18, 2019.

313 We quantified the RQs (based on acute effects) for different CECs in the stream under 314 baseflow conditions, and demonstrated that 11 out of 18 CECs (two CECs do not have toxicity 315 data available) can pose medium to high risks to local ecological systems (i.e., within the stream). 316 For algae, sulfamethoxazole can pose high risks (RQ≥1) under baseflow conditions, and five 317 CECs including 4 pharmaceuticals (bupropion, lidocaine, tramadol, and citalopram) and 1 318 pesticide (atrazine) can pose medium risks ($0.1 \le RQ \le 1$) under baseflow conditions (Figure 3). 319 For invertebrates, 2 pesticides (clothianidin and imidacloprid) can pose high risks under 320 baseflow conditions and 5 CECs can pose medium risks, whereas the other CECs pose minimal 321 risks under baseflow conditions. Although imidacloprid mainly originated from the WWTP 322 effluent,³⁹ atrazine was mainly present in the upstream runoff and not present in the effluent;³⁸ 323 thus, effluent effectively diluted atrazine under baseflow conditions and decreased the risks 324 posed by atrazine. Moreover, only 2 CECs (methocarbamol and desvenlafaxine) exhibited 325 medium risks to fish, whereas all other CECs exhibited minimal risks. Despite the common 326 occurrence and/or high concentrations of pharmaceutical transformation product (i.e., 327 guanylurea), their toxicity data are not available and consequently RQs could not be determined; 328 transformation products may pose additional presently unquantified risks. We also quantified the 329 RQs of chronic effects for industrial chemicals and pesticides including neonicotinoids and 330 atrazine, and the results demonstrated that imidacloprid and clothianidin can pose medium to 331 high risks to algae and invertebrate, respectively, whereas other chemicals exhibited minimum 332 risks (Figure S.6). Risk assessment for both acute and chronic effects are critical to 333 comprehensively evaluate the ecological risks of CECs in Muddy Creek, however, chronic 334 toxicity data for pharmaceuticals are generally lacking due to regulatory requirements for 335 pharmaceuticals worldwide and limited data access.

336 When assessing the environmental risk of mixtures, substantial knowledge gaps exist on 337 the mechanisms and drug-drug interactions of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in non-338 target organisms. Although single-compound risk assessments are crucial to identifying key risk drivers, aquatic biota globally are exposed to CEC mixtures that may affect each taxonomic 339 340 group differently. Thus, risk assessments to specific taxonomic groups, such as fish, crustaceans, 341 and algae using the concentration addition model have been developed and reported in the 342 literature to estimate the cumulative risks.³² Nevertheless, the simultaneous presence of different 343 CECs can result in not only additive effects, but also synergistic and antagonistic toxic effects at 344 concentrations lower than the PNEC for each individual compound;⁶⁰ thus, considering the 345 interactive effects of CEC mixtures makes risk assessment inherently tenuous. For example, 346 toxicity tests exposing aquatic organisms to combinations of various pharmaceuticals including 347 carbamazepine, diclofenac, and ibuprofen revealed stronger effects than what would be expected singly.^{61,62} Therefore, summing up individual RQs may be an overly simplified approach to 348 349 estimate the risk of a mixture and indeed may underestimate the synergistic or antagonistic 350 effects from CEC mixtures.63,64



(a) Algae

anto

1H-benzot

Figure 3: Measured risk quotients (RQs) for algae (a), invertebrates (b) and fish (c) of contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) aggregated from all three in-stream sites (US1, DS1, DS2) based on acute toxicity data in Muddy Creek. RQs $<10^{-4}$ were considered negligible risks and were not included in the figure. Atenolol was excluded in (ac) due to all RQs were $<10^{-4}$. No risk assessment data are available for fluconazole and guanylurea due to a lack of literature on toxicity. Red shade indicates high risk (RQ ≥1), orange shade indicates medium risk ($0.1\le RQ<1$), no shade indicates low risks. The box and whiskers from bottom to top represent minimum value, 25th percentile, median value, 75th percentile and maximum value.

- 359
- 360

361 The presence of CECs under both baseflow conditions and elevated flow conditions (i.e., 362 runoff events) generated chronic exposure to aquatic species with changing dynamics; thus, it is 363 critical to assess risk comprehensively under all-flow conditions. We demonstrated decreasing 364 risk of effluent-derived CECs (14 pharmaceuticals, 2 industrial chemicals and 3 neonicotinoids) 365 under all-flow conditions via stochastic risk modeling based on acute and chronic toxicity data, 366 which covers a broader range of conditions than baseflow alone and can help us better 367 understand the dynamics of effluent-dominated streams integrated with environmental 368 uncertainties. Compounds were selected for stochastic risk simulation when at the 75th 369 percentile of the total measured RQs under baseflow conditions exceeded the lowest problematic 370 risk level (i.e., RQ=0.1) for at least one of the three different aquatic species types (Figure 3, S.6).

371 Our results of the all-flows simulation demonstrate that compounds that posed medium-372 to-high risks under baseflow conditions were still problematic (i.e., RQ > 0.1) when runoff events 373 were included (Figure 4, S.7; Table S.11, S.14). For acute effects, 9 out of 11 CECs still pose 374 medium to high risks to at least one of the three different aquatic species (Figure 4; Table S.11), 375 whereas 2 out of 3 neonicotinoids can pose medium to high risks for chronic effects (Figure S.7; 376 Table S.14). Although the 'worst-case' risk exposure conditions can be conservatively 377 characterized under baseflow conditions, our stochastic simulation results indicate that lower 378 frequency runoff events do not substantially decrease the potential risks of the effluent-derived 379 CECs we measured (0.21-0.59 fold-change for acute RQ; 0.17-0.95 fold-change for chronic RQ).

In other words, dilution due to storm flows does not meaningfully decrease risk to aquatic biota from effluent-derived chemicals in the effluent-dominated stream. Our stochastic risk assessment was predicated on the assumption that during elevated flow conditions, RQs would decrease mainly due to dilution; this is very reasonable for a point-source of contaminants that are less frequently present in overland flow. This assumption, however, may underestimate non-point source pesticides and other CECs that are transported by overland flow (e.g., atrazine mainly from the upstream sources,³⁸ PAHs from stormwater runoff,⁶⁵ etc.).

387 Indeed, our approach is limited to risks associated from effluent-derived chemicals. 388 Nevertheless, because Muddy Creek is a relatively small watershed with mixed agricultural 389 (17.45%) and urban (60%) land use (Table S.1), we expect more contaminants to enter Muddy 390 Creek from urban non-point sources (e.g., heavy metals, urban-use pesticides) rather than from 391 agricultural non-point sources (e.g., atrazine, clothianidin) during runoff events. Additionally, 392 some agricultural pesticides such as clothianidin (solubility in water: 0.327 g/L) and atrazine 393 (0.0347 g/L) can leach into agricultural drainage tiles, particularly post-application, and enter 394 streams under baseflow conditions.^{66–68} In prior work, we demonstrated that the WWTP is a 395 significant, year-round point-source of imidacloprid but that imidacloprid also has some 396 upstream origins;³⁹ thus, this pesticide could be present from both point and nonpoint sources in 397 the watershed. The composition of pharmaceutical mixtures can also reportedly be affected by 398 flow conditions (e.g., carbamazepine dominated under baseflow conditions and caffeine 399 dominated in flood events).³² Therefore, we recognize the limits to the stochastic risk model and 400 the primary utility in estimating changing risk dynamics for the effluent-derived chemicals (e.g., 401 pharmaceuticals) in this study reach. Nevertheless, the mixed-use watershed across an 402 agricultural to urban gradient and the variety of potential non-point source contributions of 403 chemicals means we also cannot necessarily assume simple changes in risk dynamics under 404 changing flows (e.g., that risk of agricultural pesticides automatically increases under elevated 405 flow conditions). The described modeling approach is very useful for evaluating changes in 406 exposure risk associated with effluent-derived chemicals under variable flow conditions, 407 including dilution of effluent by storm flows in an effluent-dominated stream. This approach is 408 ideal when there may be limitations to the quantity of chemical data, but there is sufficient flow 409 characterization (as is common in continuously gaged streams). Stochastic risk modeling can 410 provide an important basis for changing risk conditions especially in effluent-dominated streams, 411 even if there are limits to the scope of application.



Figure 4: Measured and simulated risk quotients (RQs) of acute effect related to stochastic risk modeling. Measured values occurred under baseflow conditions, whereas the simulated conditions were generated via Monte Carlo simulations for baseflow and all flows during the two-year sampling period (flows determined at site DS2 using the USGS flow gage). Red solid lines represent median values for each simulated data set. Compounds were selected for stochastic risk simulation when the 75th percentile of the total measured RQs under baseflow conditions exceeded the lowest problematic risk level (i.e., RQ=0.1) for at least one of the three different aquatic species types (i.e., algae, invertebrates, fish). (a) RQ comparisons of CECs for algae and fish. (b) RQ comparisons of CECs for invertebrates.

* indicates RQs for fish. Only two compounds methocarbamol and desvenlafaxine exhibited medium or higher risks
 to fish.

423

424 For the majority of compounds, the measured RQs and simulated RQs under baseflow 425 conditions exhibited similar distributions, demonstrating the robustness of the stochastic 426 modeling approach (Figure 4, S.7). When comparing the RQ distribution and median values 427 under baseflow conditions and all-flow conditions, RQs under all-flow conditions had a broader 428 distribution (12–204% broader) with a decreased median RO (Figure 4) which was expected due 429 to dilution with non-effluent water as well as a wider flow distribution that encompassed a 430 broader range of hydrologic conditions. Due to the relatively limited data available and the fact 431 that we aggregated all concentrations from within the reach (i.e., all sites pooled together), some 432 compound RQs in the simulation are likely quite accurate—while others may be less accurate. 433 For example, citalopram is a rapidly-attenuated compound that is mainly derived from the 434 effluent,^{38,43} thus the measured RQs at DS1 are significantly higher than RQs at DS2 (roughly 9-435 fold; p<0.0001; Figure 4). In contrast, imidacloprid and sulfamethoxazole, are highly soluble 436 compounds that both persist in the stream and are found at similar RQs between sites DS1 and 437 DS2 (p>0.05; Figure S.8) and thus spatial differences within the reach are less important. For 438 compounds that substantially changed concentrations along the reach, differences in 439 concentration along the reach used as model inputs are only expressed as a broader input 440 distribution to the model (due to the single segment assumption) and thus result in decreased 441 overall accuracy. For example, because citalopram is rapidly attenuated via sorption within the 442 reach, this assumption would systematically underestimate actual risk closer to the WWTP 443 outfall and underestimate risk farther downstream while exhibiting greater overall uncertainly in 444 the model results. We excluded atrazine from this model, due to the fact that atrazine is highest 445 in US1 and is diluted by the treated effluent rather than derived from the treated effluent.

446

447 448

3.2 Attenuation modeling in the stream and risks to a drinking water source

449 Attenuation modeling predicted in-stream transport dynamics of effluent-derived CECs 450 (i.e., 14 pharmaceuticals), and demonstrated that the majority pharmaceuticals (13 out of 14) 451 persisted along the stream reach (median attenuation rate constant k<0.1 h⁻¹) and entered the 452 Iowa River at elevated concentrations. First, we used different measured field data (collected/ 453 analyzed by UIowa during Year 1 of the study) to validate the simulation model calibration, and 454 to demonstrate that the attenuation behaviors of pharmaceuticals can be well-predicted by the 455 attenuation model during baseflow conditions (i.e., the model was externally validated with 456 additional field data, Figure S.10) and match our prior results probing mechanistic fates.⁴³ For 457 example, both measured results and simulation results indicate that citalopram was substantially 458 attenuated (>80%) along the 5.1 km stream reach, while for venlafaxine only moderate 459 attenuation (~50%) occurred along the stream reach. Citalopram and venlafaxine were selected 460 to represent rapidly-attenuated and moderately-attenuated compounds in the stream reach, 461 respectively.

462 Compared to some of the pesticides and industrial chemicals, pharmaceuticals were likely 463 exclusively derived from the WWTP discharge, making them more suitable to fit in the first-464 order kinetics assumptions in the stream. The attenuation rate constants (k) of 14 465 pharmaceuticals determined were compound-specific.⁴² Citalopram exhibited rapid attenuation $(k=0.2187\pm0.0172 h^{-1})$, median \pm standard deviation.) compared to other pharmaceuticals (Figure 466 S.9; Table S.16). The rapid attenuation for citalopram is likely due to sorption. 69,70 as we 467 468 demonstrated in recent research using Muddy Creek stream bed sediments.⁴³ Other 469 pharmaceuticals persisted along the stream reach with median k-values 4–22 fold lower (0.0098–

470 0.0554 h⁻¹; half-life 12–71 h) compared to citalopram (Figure S.9; Table S.16). In the present 471 study, metformin and guanylurea had median k-values of 0.0399 ± 0.0038 h⁻¹ and 0.0172 ± 0.0094 472 h^{-1} , respectively. This agrees with previously reported attenuation rate constants of metformin 473 $(0.0028-0.0162 \text{ h}^{-1})$ and guanylurea $(0.0058-0.0263 \text{ h}^{-1})$.⁷¹ Persistence of carbamazepine 474 (median k-value: 0.0021-0.0074 h⁻¹) and desvenlafaxine (median k value: -0.0004-0.0077 h⁻¹) has been reported,^{71,72} which is one order of magnitude lower than k measured in the present 475 476 study $(0.0291\pm0.0023 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ and } 0.0348\pm0.0022 \text{ h}^{-1}$, respectively). In contrast, a mean k value of 477 0.17 h⁻¹ was measured for carbamazepine from four rivers in Spain.⁷³ Based on the attenuation 478 model, limited attenuation from the Effluent to site DS2 during baseflow conditions indicated a 479 substantial amount of CECs (ranging 0-47% of the initial concentration in the wastewater 480 effluent) are constantly entering the Iowa River year-round, posing potential risks to aquatic 481 biota throughout the Muddy Creek study reach and to the downstream drinking water source 482 (Figure 5, S.12). Although dilution by a larger receiving water can substantially lower the 483 concentrations when Muddy Creek enters the Iowa River, the continuous chemical input from 484 the wastewater outfall to Muddy Creek still poses potential risks due to long-term consistent inputs, along with the existing complex chemical mixtures in the Iowa River.⁷⁴ For example, it is 485 486 likely that elevated concentrations of pesticides are already present in the Iowa River.⁷⁴ Thus, the 487 dilution effects by the Iowa River to in-situ biota under real-world conditions may not be as 488 substantial as predicted due to mixtures present in the receiving water. Nevertheless, this 489 modeling approach can be a useful prediction tool to help us understand changing ecological 490 exposure risk throughout the stream reach. Thus, conducting attenuation modeling at Muddy 491 Creek as a representative study reach, can improve our understanding of the ecological impacts 492 and/or potential human exposure to CEC mixtures in effluent-dominated systems.



493 494

Figure 5: Measured (Effluent, DS1, DS2 in Muddy Creek, Iowa) and predicted concentrations (Iowa River) of selected pharmaceuticals in the effluent and along the stream reach (full figure in Supporting Information, Figure S.11). Distance "0" km represents the point at which the effluent mixes with the stream. The red star with standard error bar is the predicted concentration of the given chemical within Muddy Creek when Muddy Creek reaches the confluence with the Iowa River (i.e., before mixing with the Iowa River) based on the rate constant; it also corresponds the location of the red star in Fig. 1. Other data points at a given location are individual sampling dates measured results during Year 1.³⁸ Different shapes represent corresponding sampling locations. Different colors represent individual sampling dates.

502 503

504 The predicted concentrations of CEC mixtures after joining the Iowa River, as well as the 505 measured concentrations in the DWTP influent and effluent, were below the human health 506 benchmark concentrations (HHBs; Table S.18), indicating minimal exposure risks to humans. Despite the high levels of CECs (i.e., measured up to ~5,000 ng/L at DS2) in the stream, the 507 508 concentrations are predicted to substantially decrease after dilution in the Iowa River (i.e., 55-509 100% attenuation, Table S.16). Nevertheless, as a 'worst-case scenario', CECs such as 510 neonicotinoids and metformin will not be removed by the (conventional coagulation-flocculation) drinking water treatment plant, and thus may be present in the finished drinking water.^{10,15,75} 511 512 Previous studies from our laboratory indeed were the first to report the presence of three 513 neonicotinoids in finished drinking water and demonstrated their general persistence during conventional drinking water treatment processes.^{10,15} In the present study, our one-time snapshot 514

515 sampling of raw and finished drinking water from the UIowa DWTP also demonstrated the 516 potential impacts of CECs in Muddy Creek on drinking water treatment intakes. CEC residuals 517 of 0.2–325 ng/L were measured in the raw and finished drinking water (Figure 6). Despite 518 concentrations being below HHBs, these CECs could still have potential deleterious effects when 519 considered with the suite of other contaminants (e.g., pesticides, disinfection byproducts) known to be present in drinking water from this DWTP.⁷⁶ This was a single sampling event and we 520 521 cannot track the specific sources of the CECs detected because multiple sources contribute to 522 such concentrations in the Iowa River. These exploratory results, however, suggest the potential 523 for CECs in effluent-dominated streams to affect corresponding drinking water sources (i.e., de facto water reuse), consistent with established work.¹⁻⁵ Furthermore, groundwater recharge (due 524 525 to wastewater effluent influx) could cause CECs to be transported along subsurface pathways into adjacent aquifers and could pose potential risks to groundwater sources.77 526

527



528 529

Figure 6: Select contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) measured in University of Iowa drinking water 530 treatment plant (DWTP) for raw and finished drinking water during a one-time exploratory sampling event (May 12,

531 2018). Collected water samples followed the same procedures including sample process and analytical method with 532 Muddy Creek water samples, "Raw water" is the screened raw water intake from the Iowa River, and the "finished 533 drinking water" is the treated drinking water prior to the distribution system.

535 In the present work, we used two different modeling approaches, stochastic risk and 536 attenuation transport modeling, to predict ecological risks of CECs in the stream under all-flow 537 conditions and simulate the transport of effluent-derived CECs in the stream, respectively. The 538 transport model provided attenuation rate constants that help us understand the attenuation 539 behaviors of individual chemicals. Nevertheless, the transport model can only yield the 540 attenuation percentage (C/C_0) rather than the actual environmental concentration, which is 541 essential for RQ calculation and prediction. Stochastic risk modeling can help examine a wide 542 range of biological endpoints under dynamic stream hydrologic conditions, and appears 543 particularly useful to characterize changing risk dynamics of effluent-derived chemicals in an 544 effluent-dominated stream. Furthermore, field work under baseflow conditions is inherently 545 more feasible and thus incorporation of a stochastic modeling approach can serve as a useful risk 546 prediction tool under variable input source and hydrologic conditions. However, the stochastic 547 risk model yielded an average risk for the entire reach but could not account for changing risk 548 due to in-stream attenuation. Thus, as described above, the approach we used worked well for 549 compounds that persisted through the reach and were derived from the point source, but greater 550 spatial resolution via accounting for in-stream attenuation is required for highly sorptive or labile 551 compounds. Based on our recent work investigating attenuation mechanisms within the stream,⁴³ 552 our approach would work well for most of the compounds studied (the single segment 553 assumption does not approximate citrapram well). Integration of the two modelling approaches 554 with additional data would develop a comprehensive risk assessment tool for effluent-dominated 555 streams and could be the aim of future work; such a probabilistic transport model would provide 556 greater accuracy and less uncertainty at a given site rather than the averaged approach for the 557 entire risk taken here. Nevertheless, both the attenuation model and stochastic risk model in their

558 current forms provide fundamental insights on the fate of CEC mixtures and potential ecological 559 risks of effluent-dominated streams to local ecosystems and to the drinking water source. In 560 addition, current approaches to measured risk assessments in a stream often consider only limited site data / locations.^{59,78} Thus, our proposed framework of integrating measured baseflow 561 562 concentrations and gaged streamflow to probabilistically estimate risk may improve the 563 practicality of estimating exposure risks to aquatic biota under variable conditions because 564 baseflow conditions are inherently more practical to measure than runoff events (i.e., samples 565 can be more-easily assured as representative). It is impractical (and too costly) to expect to 566 address the needs for additional aquatic life risk assessment from effluent-derived chemical 567 under variable flow conditions solely through the additional data acquisition. With enhanced 568 understanding of temperate-region effluent-dominated streams, the long-term goal is to develop a 569 comprehensive and easy-to-use prediction tool that can be applicable to other effluent-dominated 570 streams and inform sustainable water resources decision making.

- 571
- 572 **4.** Conclusions

573 We assessed the ecological risks of different CECs in the stream under baseflow 574 conditions and demonstrated that 11 out of 18 CECs (2 compounds did not have available 575 toxicity data) may pose medium to high risks to local ecological systems (i.e., within the stream). 576 Stochastic risk modeling shows a decreased risk of effluent-derived CECs due to dilution from 577 stormflows; however, the overall decrease is risk exposure is relatively small and does not 578 eliminate the risk. Indeed, this work highlights that mere dilution does not fully attenuate risk to 579 aquatic biota in effluent dominated streams. We demonstrate that stochastic risk modeling is a 580 useful approach to characterize exposure risk dynamics from effluent-derived chemicals under

581 variable flow conditions (i.e., dilution of effluent-derived chemicals by storm flows), and is 582 particularly useful when there is limited chemical data but adequate flow information (as is 583 common in continuously gaged stream)—this approach appears highly useful to characterize 584 effluent-dominated streams. Attenuation modeling predicted in-stream transport dynamics of 585 effluent-derived CECs (i.e., 14 pharmaceuticals), and demonstrated that the majority 586 pharmaceuticals (13 out of 14) persisted along the stream reach (median attenuation rate constant 587 $k < 0.1 h^{-1}$) and entered the Iowa River at elevated concentrations. The predicted concentrations 588 of CEC mixtures after joining the Iowa River, as well as the measured concentrations in the raw 589 and finished drinking water within the DWTP, were below the human health benchmark 590 concentrations, indicating minimal risks to humans exposed to the target contaminants on an 591 individual basis. Nevertheless, these CECs could still have potential deleterious effects when 592 considered with mixtures of other contaminants (e.g., pesticides, disinfection byproducts) known 593 to be present in the raw and finished drinking water from this water source.

- 594
- 595

596 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL. Additional method details, statistical analysis, quality

solution assurance / control, additional detailed data / results / analysis in figures and tables.

598

599 AUTHOR INFORMATION.

600 *<u>Corresponding Author</u>:

GHL: gregory-lefevre@uiowa.edu; Phone: 319-335-5655; 4105 Seamans Center for Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States

601

602 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.

603 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

604

605 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

606 This work was supported by grants from the U.S. Geological Survey Grant (2017 104(g) Grant 607 Number G17AP00135 Project ID Project ID 2017IA502G), the National Science Foundation 608 (CBET Environmental Engineering 1803197), Graduate Student Supplemental Research 609 Competition Grant (15802100 (BR01)) from Iowa Water Center and University of Iowa 610 Graduate School Fellowships from the University of Iowa and from programmatic support from 611 the U.S. Geological Survey's Toxics Substances Hydrology Program. Danielle T. Webb was 612 supported by the University of Iowa Center for Biocatalysis and Bioprocessing / NIH 613 Predoctoral Training Program in Biotechnology (2 T32 GM008365) and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Sloan Center for Exemplary Mentoring. We thank undergraduate students Megan 614 615 Powers and John Quin VI from the University of Iowa for sample collections, and Drew 616 Lammers from the North Liberty Wastewater Treatment plant. We also thank Shannon Meppelink and Jessica Garett for their assistance collecting water quality samples and 617 618 conducting instream hydrologic measurements. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for 619 descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government or the 620 authors.

621 622

624

623 **REFERENCES**

- J. Rice and P. Westerhoff, Spatial and temporal variation in De Facto wastewater reuse in drinking water systems across the U.S.A., *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, 49, 982–989.
- S. Karakurt, L. Schmid, U. Hübner and J. E. Drewes, Dynamics of wastewater effluent
 contributions in streams and impacts on drinking water supply via riverbank filtration in
 Germany A national reconnaissance, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **53**, 6154–6161.
- 630 3 Z. Wang, D. Shao and P. Westerhoff, Wastewater discharge impact on drinking water
- 631 sources along the Yangtze River (China), *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2017, **599–600**, 1399–1407.
- J. Rice, A. Wutich and P. Westerhoff, Assessment of de facto wastewater reuse across the
 U.S.: Trends between 1980 and 2008, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 47, 11099–11105.
- 634 5 M. G. Cantwell, D. R. Katz, J. C. Sullivan, D. Shapley, J. Lipscomb, J. Epstein, A. R. Juhl,
 635 C. Knudson and G. D. O'Mullan, Spatial patterns of pharmaceuticals and wastewater
- tracers in the Hudson River Estuary, *Water Res.*, 2018, **137**, 335–343.
- 6 J. L. Wilkinson, A. B. A. Boxall, D. W. Kolpin, K. M. Y. Leung, R. W. S. Lai, C.
 638 Galbán-Malagón, A. D. Adell, J. Mondon, M. Metian, R. A. Marchant, A. Bouzas639 Monroy, A. Cuni-Sanchez, A. Coors, P. Carriquiriborde, M. Rojo, C. Gordon, M.
 640 Cara, M. Moermond, T. Luarte, V. Petrosyan, Y. Perikhanyan, C. S. Mahon, C. J.
 641 McGurk, T. Hofmann, T. Kormoker, V. Iniguez, J. Guzman-Otazo, J. L. Tavares,
 642 F. Gildasio De Figueiredo, M. T. P. Razzolini, V. Dougnon, G. Gbaguidi, O. Traoré,
 643 J. M. Blais, L. E. Kimpe, M. Wong, D. Wong, R. Ntchantcho, J. Pizarro, G.-G.

644		Ying, CE. Chen, M. Páez, J. Martínez-Lara, JP. Otamonga, J. Poté, S. A. Ifo, P Wilson S. Eshavarría Sáanz N. Udikavia Kalia M. Milakavia D. Fatta Kassinga
645		Wilson, S Echeverría-Sáenz, N Udikovic-Kolic, M Milakovic, D Fatta-Kassinos,
646		L. Ioannou-Ttofa, V. Belušová, J. Vymazal, M. Cárdenas-Bustamante, B. A. Kassa,
647		J. Garric, A. Chaumot, P. Gibba, I. Kunchulia, S. Seidensticker, G. Lyberatos, H.
648		P. Halldórsson, M. Melling, T. Shashidhar, M. Lamba, A. Nastiti, A. Supriatin, N.
649		Pourang, A Abedini, O Abdullah, S. S Gharbia, F Pilla, B Chefetz, T Topaz, K.
650		M Yao, B Aubakirova, R Beisenova, L Olaka, J. K Mulu, P Chatanga, V
651		Ntuli, N. T Blama, S Sherif, A. Z Aris, L. J Looi, M Niang, S. T Traore, R
652		Oldenkamp, O Ogunbanwo, M Ashfaq, M Iqbal, Z Abdeen, A O'Dea, J. M
653		Morales-Saldaña, M Custodio, H de la Cruz, I Navarrete, F Carvalho, A. B
654		Gogra, B. M Koroma, V Cerkvenik-Flajs, M Gombač, M Thwala, K Choi, H
655		Kang, J. L. C Ladu, A Rico, P Amerasinghe, A Sobek, G Horlitz, A. K Zenker,
656		A. C King, JJ Jiang, R Kariuki, M Tumbo, U Tezel, T. T Onay, J. B Lejju,
657		Y. Vystavna, Y. Vergeles, H. Heinzen, A. Pérez-Parada, D. B. Sims, M. Figy, D.
658		Good and C. Teta, Pharmaceutical pollution of the world's rivers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
659	_	<i>U. S. A.</i> , 2022, 119 , 1–10.
660	7	B. Huerta, S. Rodriguez-Mozaz, J. Lazorchak, D. Barcelo, A. Batt, J. Wathen and L. Stahl,
661		Presence of pharmaceuticals in fish collected from urban rivers in the U.S. EPA 2008-
662		2009 National Rivers and Streams Assessment, Sci. Total Environ., 2018, 634, 542–549.
663	8	P. Westlund and V. Yargeau, Investigation of the presence and endocrine activities of
664		pesticides found in wastewater effluent using yeast-based bioassays, Sci. Total Environ.,
665		2017, 607–608 , 744–751.
666	9	A. Parajulee, Y. D. Lei, A. O. De Silva, X. Cao, C. P. J. Mitchell and F. Wania, Assessing
667		the source-to-stream transport of benzotriazoles during rainfall and snowmelt in urban and
668		agricultural watersheds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 4191–4198.
669	10	K. L. Klarich, N. C. Pflug, E. M. DeWald, M. L. Hladik, D. W. Kolpin, D. M. Cwiertny
670		and G. H. LeFevre, Occurrence of neonicotinoid insecticides in finished drinking water
671		and fate during drinking water treatment, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 2017, 4, 168–173.
672	11	G. H. LeFevre, C. E. Müller, R. J. Li, R. G. Luthy and E. S. Sattely, Rapid
673		phytotransformation of benzotriazole generates synthetic tryptophan and auxin analogs in
674		Arabidopsis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2015, 49, 10959–10968.
675	12	C. Riemenschneider, B. Seiwert, M. Moeder, D. Schwarz and T. Reemtsma, Extensive
676		transformation of the pharmaceutical carbamazepine following uptake into intact tomato
677		plants, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 6100-6109.
678	13	X. Wu, L. K. Dodgen, J. L. Conkle and J. Gan, Plant uptake of pharmaceutical and
679		personal care products from recycled water and biosolids: A review, Sci. Total Environ.,
680		2015, 536 , 655–666.
681	14	Y. Li, J. B. Sallach, W. Zhang, S. A. Boyd and H. Li, Insight into the distribution of
682		pharmaceuticals in soil-water-plant systems, Water Res., 2019, 152, 38-46.
683	15	K. L. Klarich Wong, D. T. Webb, M. R. Nagorzanski, D. W. Kolpin, M. L. Hladik, D. M.
684		Cwiertny and G. H. Lefevre, Chlorinated byproducts of neonicotinoids and their
685		metabolites: an unrecognized human exposure potential?, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.,
686		2019, 6 , 98–105.
687	16	D. T. Webb, M. R. Nagorzanski, M. M. Powers, D. M. Cwiertny, M. L. Hladik and G. H.
688		LeFevre, Differences in Neonicotinoid and Metabolite Sorption to Activated Carbon Are
689		Driven by Alterations to the Insecticidal Pharmacophore, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54,

690		14694–14705.
691	17	V. Duzguner and S. Erdogan, Chronic exposure to imidacloprid induces inflammation and
692	17	oxidative stress in the liver & central nervous system of rats, <i>Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.</i> ,
693		2012, 104 , 58–64.
694	18	M. M. Schultz, M. M. Painter, S. E. Bartell, A. Logue, E. T. Furlong, S. L. Werner and H.
695	10	L. Schoenfuss, Selective uptake and biological consequences of environmentally relevant
696		antidepressant pharmaceutical exposures on male fathead minnows, <i>Aquat. Toxicol.</i> , 2011,
690 697		104 , 38–47.
698	19	N. J. Niemuth, R. Jordan, J. Crago, C. Blanksma, R. Johnson and R. D. Klaper, Metformin
699	19	exposure at environmentally relevant concentrations causes potential endocrine disruption
700		in adult male fish, <i>Environ. Toxicol. Chem.</i> , 2015, 34 , 291–296.
700	20	N. J. Niemuth and R. D. Klaper, Low-dose metformin exposure causes changes in
701	20	expression of endocrine disruption-associated genes, <i>Aquat. Toxicol.</i> , 2018, 195 , 33–40.
702	21	
703	21	N. J. Niemuth and R. D. Klaper, Emerging wastewater contaminant metformin causes
704	22	intersex and reduced fecundity in fish., <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2015, 135 , 38–45. A. David, A. Lange, C. R. Tyler and E. M. Hill, Concentrating mixtures of neuroactive
705		
708		pharmaceuticals and altered neurotransmitter levels in the brain of fish exposed to a wastewater effluent, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2018, 621 , 782–790.
707	23	
708	23	L. M. Bexfield, P. L. Toccalino, K. Belitz, W. T. Foreman and E. T. Furlong, Hormones and pharmaceuticals in groundwater used as a source of drinking water across the United
709		
710	24	States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53 , 2950–2960. P. M. Bradley, C. A. Jayman, K. M. Bamanak, L. B. Barbar, H. T. Buytan, W. T.
711	24	P. M. Bradley, C. A. Journey, K. M. Romanok, L. B. Barber, H. T. Buxton, W. T.
712		Foreman, E. T. Furlong, S. T. Glassmeyer, M. L. Hladik, L. R. Iwanowicz, D. K. Jones, D. W. Kalpin, K. M. Kujivila, K. A. Loffin, M. A. Mills, M. T. Mayor, J. L. Orlando, T. J.
		W. Kolpin, K. M. Kuivila, K. A. Loftin, M. A. Mills, M. T. Meyer, J. L. Orlando, T. J.
714		Reilly, K. L. Smalling and D. L. Villeneuve, Expanded target-chemical analysis reveals
715		extensive mixed-organic-contaminant exposure in U.S. streams, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> ,
716	25	2017, 51 , 4792–4802.
717	25 26	European Commission, Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment, 2003.
718 719	26	L. H. M. L. M. Santos, M. Gros, S. Rodriguez-Mozaz, C. Delerue-Matos, A. Pena, D.
		Barceló and M. C. B. S. M. Montenegro, Contribution of hospital effluents to the load of
720		pharmaceuticals in urban wastewaters: Identification of ecologically relevant
721 722	27	pharmaceuticals, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2013, 461–462 , 302–316.
	21	O. Golovko, V. Kumar, G. Fedorova, T. Randak and R. Grabic, Seasonal changes in
723		antibiotics, antidepressants/psychiatric drugs, antihistamines and lipid regulators in a
724	20	wastewater treatment plant, <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2014, 111 , 418–426.
725	28	M. O. Barbosa, N. F. F. Moreira, A. R. Ribeiro, M. F. R. Pereira and A. M. T. Silva,
726		Occurrence and removal of organic micropollutants: An overview of the watch list of EU
727	20	Decision 2015/495, Water Res., 2016, 94, 257–279.
728	29	J. Li, G. H. Huang, G. Zeng, I. Maqsood and Y. Huang, An integrated fuzzy-stochastic
729		modeling approach for risk assessment of groundwater contamination, <i>J. Environ.</i>
730	20	Manage., 2007, 82 , 173–188.
731	30	Y. Jiang, Z. Nan and S. Yang, Risk assessment of water quality using Monte Carlo
732	21	simulation and artificial neural network method, <i>J. Environ. Manage.</i> , 2013, 122 , 130–136.
733 724	31	T. Backhaus and M. Faust, Predictive environmental risk assessment of chemical mixtures:
734 725	27	A conceptual framework, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> , 2012, 46 , 2564–2573.
735	32	T. Topaz, A. Boxall, Y. Suari, R. Egozi, T. Sade and B. Chefetz, Ecological risk dynamics

736		of pharmaceuticals in micro-estuary environments, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54,
737		11182–11190.
738	33	S. Chapra, G. Pelletier and H. Tao, QUAL2K: A modeling framework for simulating river
739		and stream water quality (version 2.11),
740		http://a.aqcld.eu/archives/2016/www.hydroasia.org/jahia/webdav/site/hydroasia/shared/C
741		OURSES/DATA/2015/Session2/Q2KDocv2_11b8Master.pdf, (accessed 1 August 2020).
742	34	N. Ahmad Kamal, N. S. Muhammad and J. Abdullah, Scenario-based pollution discharge
743		simulations and mapping using integrated QUAL2K-GIS, Environ. Pollut., 2020, 259,
744		113909.
745	35	J. H. Cho and S. R. Ha, Parameter optimization of the QUAL2K model for a multiple-
746		reach river using an influence coefficient algorithm, Sci. Total Environ., 2010, 408, 1985-
747		1991.
748	36	S. Ashwani, B. Vivek, S. Ratnoji, P. Jayakumar and P. J. Jainet, Application of QUAL2K
749		model for prediction of water quality in a selected stretch of pamba river, Int. J. Civ. Eng.
750		<i>Technol.</i> , 2017, 8 , 75–84.
751	37	X. Fang, J. Zhang, Y. Chen and X. Xu, QUAL2K model used in the water quality
752		assessment of Qiantang River, China, Water Environ. Res., 2008, 80, 2125-2133.
753	38	H. Zhi, D. W. Kolpin, R. D. Klaper, L. R. Iwanowicz, S. M. Meppelink and G. H. LeFevre,
754		Occurrence and spatiotemporal dynamics of pharmaceuticals in a temperate-region
755		wastewater effluent-dominated stream: variable inputs and differential attenuation yield
756		evolving complex exposure mixtures, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54, 12967–12978.
757	39	D. T. Webb, H. Zhi, D. W. Kolpin, R. D. Klaper, L. R. Iwanowicz and G. H. LeFevre,
758		Emerging investigator series: municipal wastewater as a year-round point source of
759		neonicotinoid insecticides that persist in an effluent-dominated stream, Environ. Sci.
760		Process. Impacts, 2021, 23, 678–688.
761	40	J. Qian, A. Martinez, R. F. Marek, M. R. Nagorzanski, H. Zhi, E. T. Furlong, D. W.
762		Kolpin, G. H. Lefevre and D. M. Cwiertny, Polymeric Nanofiber-Carbon Nanotube
763		Composite Mats as Fast-Equilibrium Passive Samplers for Polar Organic Contaminants,
764		Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54, 6703–6712.
765	41	USGS, USGS current conditions for USGS 05454090 Muddy Creek at Coralville, Iowa.,
766		https://waterdata.usgs.gov/ia/nwis/uv/?site_no=05454090&PARAmeter_cd=00065,00060,
767		(accessed 25 August 2020).
768	42	S. M. Meppelink, D. W. Kolpin, R. F. Lane, L. R. Iwanowicz, H. Zhi and G. H. LeFevre,
769		Water-quality data for a pharmaceutical study at Muddy Creek in North Liberty and
770		Coralville, Iowa, 2017-2018: U.S. Geological Survey data release, 2020.
771	43	H. Zhi, A. L. Mianecki, D. W. Kolpin, R. D. Klaper, L. R. Iwanowicz and G. H. LeFevre,
772		Tandem field and laboratory approaches to quantify attenuation mechanisms of
773		pharmaceutical and pharmaceutical transformation products in a wastewater effluent-
774		dominated stream, <i>Water Res.</i> , 2021, 203 , 117537.
775	44	USGS, USGS current conditions for USGS 05454500 Iowa River at Iowa City, Iowa.,
776		https://waterdata.usgs.gov/ia/nwis/uv/?site_no=05454500&PARAmeter_cd=00065,00060,
777		(accessed 14 September 2020).
778	45	World Population Review, World Population Review.,
779		http://worldpopulationreview.com/us-cities/north-liberty-ia-population/, (accessed 21
780		September 2018).
781	46	Fox Engineering Associates Inc., Wastewater treatment plant facility plan update, North

 Liberty, Iowa., https://northlibertyiowa.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Wastewat Treatment-Facility-Plan-Update-revised-2014-01.pdf, (accessed 1 September 2017) Iowa State University, Iowa geographic map server, https://isugisf.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=47acfd9d3b6543). 8d498b
 784 47 Iowa State University, Iowa geographic map server, 785 https://isugisf.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=47acfd9d3b6543 	8d498b
785 https://isugisf.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=47acfd9d3b654	
786 0ad2604252a5c, (accessed 10 September 2020).	randum
787 48 K. Oberg, M. Rehmel and S. F. Blanchard, Office of surface water technical memory	unuum
788 2004.04 - Policy on the use of the flowtracker for discharge measurements, <i>Off. Sur</i>	•f
789 <i>Water</i> .	J·
790 49 C. I. Kosma, D. A. Lambropoulou and T. A. Albanis, Investigation of PPCPs in	
791 wastewater treatment plants in Greece: Occurrence, removal and environmental risl	7
792 assessment, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2014, 466–467 , 421–438.	X
793 50 O. Golovko, AL. Rehrl, S. Köhler and L. Ahrens, Organic micropollutants in wate	or and
795 50 0. Golovko, AL. Kenri, S. Komer and L. Amens, Organic incroportuants in wate 794 sediment from Lake Mälaren, Sweden, <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2020, 258 , 127293.	
796 environmental capacity and pollution load reduction using QUAL2K for water	1
797 environmental management, <i>Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health</i> , 2012, 9 , 4504–452	
798 52 Z. Xin, L. Ye and C. Zhang, Application of export coefficient model and QUAL2K	tor
799 water environmental management in a rural watershed, <i>Sustain.</i> , 2019, 11 , 1–15.	C
800 53 B. Du, A. E. Price, W. C. Scott, L. A. Kristofco, A. J. Ramirez, C. K. Chambliss, J.	
801 Yelderman and B. W. Brooks, Comparison of contaminants of emerging concern re	,
802 discharge, and water quality hazards among centralized and on-site wastewater trea	
803 system effluents receiving common wastewater influent, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2014,	466–
467 , 976–984.	_
805 54 M. Jena, A. Mishra and R. Maiti, Environmental pharmacology: Source, impact and	1
solution, <i>Rev. Environ. Health</i> , 2019, 34 , 69–79.	
807 55 E. M. Hartgers, G. H. Aalderink, P. J. Van Den Brink, R. Gylstra, J. W. F. Wiegma	
808 T. C. M. Brock, Ecotoxicological threshold levels of a mixture of herbicides (atrazi	,
diuron and metolachlor) in freshwater microcosms, <i>Aquat. Ecol.</i> , 1998, 32 , 135–152	
810 56 B. Ferrari, R. Mons, B. Vollat, B. Fraysse, N. Paxéus, R. Lo Giudice, A. Pollio and	
811 Garric, Environmental risk assessment of six human pharmaceuticals: Are the curre	
812 environmental risk assessment procedures sufficient for the protection of the aquati	c
813 environment?, <i>Environ. Toxicol. Chem.</i> , 2004, 23 , 1344–1354.	
814 57 P. C. Van Metre, D. A. Alvarez, B. J. Mahler, L. Nowell, M. Sandstrom and P. Mon	ran,
815 Complex mixtures of pesticides in Midwest U.S. streams indicated by POCIS time-	
816 integrating samplers, <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> , 2017, 220 , 431–440.	
817 58 M. C. Cavallaro, C. A. Morrissey, J. V. Headley, K. M. Peru and K. Liber, Compar	ative
818 chronic toxicity of imidacloprid, clothianidin, and thiamethoxam to Chironomus dil	lutus
819 and estimation of toxic equivalency factors, <i>Environ. Toxicol. Chem.</i> , 2017, 36 , 372	2–382.
820 59 N. A. Munz, F. J. Burdon, D. de Zwart, M. Junghans, L. Melo, M. Reyes, U.	
821 Schönenberger, H. P. Singer, B. Spycher, J. Hollender and C. Stamm, Pesticides dr.	ive risk
822 of micropollutants in wastewater-impacted streams during low flow conditions, <i>Wa</i>	ter
823 Res., 2017, 110 , 366–377.	
824 60 L. Wang, G. G. Ying, J. L. Zhao, X. B. Yang, F. Chen, R. Tao, S. Liu and L. J. Zho	ou,
825 Occurrence and risk assessment of acidic pharmaceuticals in the Yellow River, Hai	
and Liao River of north China, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2010, 408 , 3139–3147.	
827 61 M. Cleuvers, Mixture toxicity of the anti-inflammatory drugs diclofenac, ibuprofen	,

828		naproxen, and acetylsalicylic acid, <i>Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.</i> , 2004, 59 , 309–315.
829	62	M. Cleuvers, Aquatic ecotoxicity of pharmaceuticals including the assessment of
830		combination effects, Toxicol. Lett., 2003, 142, 185–194.
831	63	K. Eguchi, H. Nagase, M. Ozawa, Y. S. Endoh, K. Goto, K. Hirata, K. Miyamoto and H.
832		Yoshimura, Evaluation of antimicrobial agents for veterinary use in the ecotoxicity test
833		using microalgae, <i>Chemosphere</i> , 2004, 57 , 1733–1738.
834	64	C. Marx, V. Mühlbauer, P. Krebs and V. Kuehn, Environmental risk assessment of
835	01	antibiotics including synergistic and antagonistic combination effects, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> ,
836		2015, 524–525 , 269–279.
837	65	J. R. Masoner, D. W. Kolpin, I. M. Cozzarelli, L. B. Barber, D. S. Burden, W. T. Foreman,
838	05	K. J. Forshay, E. T. Furlong, J. F. Groves, M. L. Hladik, M. E. Hopton, J. B. Jaeschke, S.
838		H. Keefe, D. P. Krabbenhoft, R. Lowrance, K. M. Romanok, D. L. Rus, W. R. Selbig, B.
840		
		H. Williams and P. M. Bradley, Urban Stormwater: An Overlooked Pathway of Extensive
841		Mixed Contaminants to Surface and Groundwaters in the United States, <i>Environ. Sci.</i>
842		<i>Technol.</i> , 2019, 53 , 10070–10081.
843	66	M. Sandin, K. Piikki, N. Jarvis, M. Larsbo, K. Bishop and J. Kreuger, Spatial and
844		temporal patterns of pesticide concentrations in streamflow, drainage and runoff in a small
845		Swedish agricultural catchment, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2018, 610–611 , 623–634.
846	67	S. Kim, J. Chen, T. Cheng, A. Gindulyte, J. He, S. He, Q. Li, B. A. Shoemaker, P. A.
847		Thiessen, B. Yu, L. Zaslavsky, J. Zhang and E. E. Bolton, PubChem 2019 update:
848		improved access to chemical data., Nucleic Acids Res., 47, D1102–D1109.
849	68	D. A. Thompson, D. W. Kolpin, M. L. Hladik, K. K. Barnes, J. D. Vargo and R. W. Field,
850		Science of the Total Environment Prevalence of neonicotinoids and sulfoxaflor in alluvial
851		aquifers in a high corn and soybean producing region of the Midwestern United States, Sci.
852		<i>Total Environ.</i> , 2021, 782 , 146762.
853	69	A. Klement, R. Kodešová, M. Bauerová, O. Golovko, M. Kočárek, M. Fér, O. Koba, A.
854		Nikodem and R. Grabic, Sorption of citalopram, irbesartan and fexofenadine in soils:
855		Estimation of sorption coefficients from soil properties, Chemosphere, 2018, 195, 615-
856		623.
857	70	V. G. Beretsou, A. K. Psoma, P. Gago-Ferrero, R. Aalizadeh, K. Fenner and N. S.
858		Thomaidis, Identification of biotransformation products of citalopram formed in activated
859		sludge, Water Res., 2016, 103, 205–214.
860	71	A. Jaeger, M. Posselt, A. Betterle, J. Schaper, J. Mechelke, C. Coll and J. Lewandowski,
861		Spatial and temporal variability in attenuation of polar organic micropollutants in an urban
862		lowland stream, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53, 2383–2395.
863	72	J. H. Writer, R. C. Antweiler, I. Ferrer, J. N. Ryan and E. M. Thurman, In-stream
864	. –	attenuation of neuro-active pharmaceuticals and their metabolites, <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> ,
865		2013, 47 , 9781–9790.
866	73	V. Acuña, D. von Schiller, M. J. García-Galán, S. Rodríguez-Mozaz, L. Corominas, M.
867	15	Petrovic, M. Poch, D. Barceló and S. Sabater, Occurrence and in-stream attenuation of
868		wastewater-derived pharmaceuticals in Iberian rivers, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> , 2015, 503–504 ,
869		133–141.
809	74	S. J. Kalkhoff, A. V. Vecchia, P. D. Capel and M. T. Meyer, Eleven-year trend in
870 871	/4	
		acetanilide pesticide degradates in the Iowa River, Iowa, <i>J. Environ. Qual.</i> , 2012, 41 , 1566, 1570
872	75	1566–1579. C. Trautusin, J. D. Barast, H. Walashka and K. Kümmarar, Oscurranea of the antidishetia.
873	75	C. Trautwein, J. D. Berset, H. Wolschke and K. Kümmerer, Occurrence of the antidiabetic

874		drug Metformin and its ultimate transformation product Guanylurea in several
875		compartments of the aquatic cycle, Environ. Int., 2014, 70, 203–212.
876	76	R. Sadiq and M. J. Rodriguez, Disinfection by-products (DBPs) in drinking water and
877		predictive models for their occurrence: A review, Sci. Total Environ., 2004, 321, 21–46.
878	77	P. M. Bradley, L. B. Barber, J. M. Clark, J. W. Duris, W. T. Foreman, E. T. Furlong, C. E.
879		Givens, L. E. Hubbard, K. J. Hutchinson, C. A. Journey, S. H. Keefe and D. W. Kolpin,
880		Pre/post-closure assessment of groundwater pharmaceutical fate in a wastewater-facility-
881		impacted stream reach, Sci. Total Environ., 2016, 568, 916-925.
882	78	L. B. Barber, H. Keefe, G. K. Brown, E. T. Furlong, J. L. Gray, D. W. Kolpin, M. T.
883		Meyer, M. W. Sandstrom and S. D. Zaugg, Persistence and potential effects of complex
884		organic contaminant mixtures in wastewater-impacted streams, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
885		2013, 47 , 2177–2188.
886		
887		