



# CO2 Hydrogenation over Heterogeneous Catalysts at Atmospheric Pressure: From Electronic Properties to Product Selectivity

| Journal:                      | Green Chemistry   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID                 | GC-PER-10-2020-003506.R1  |
| Article Type:                 | Perspective   |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 20-Nov-2020   |
| Complete List of Authors:     | Wang, Yaning; Tsinghua University, Department of Chemical Engineering Winter, Lea; Columbia University, Chemical Engineering Chen, Jingguang; Columbia University, Chemical Engineering Yan, Binhang; Tsinghua University, Department of Chemical Engineering |

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# CO<sub>2</sub> Hydrogenation over Heterogeneous Catalysts at Atmospheric Pressure: From Electronic Properties to Product Selectivity

Yaning Wang<sup>1</sup>, Lea R. Winter<sup>2</sup>, Jingguang G. Chen<sup>2,\*</sup>, Binhang Yan<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

\*Corresponding author

Telephone: (212) 854-6166; Email: jgchen@columbia.edu

Telephone: (86) 010-62797920; Email: binhangyan@tsinghua.edu.cn

#### Abstract

The production of chemicals and fuels via chemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> by green H<sub>2</sub> represents a promising means of mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The heterogeneous catalytic reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> under atmospheric pressure primarily produces CO and CH<sub>4</sub>, while CH<sub>3</sub>OH and C<sub>2+</sub> hydrocarbons are obtained at high pressure. Improving the catalytic selectivity improves the energy efficiency for a given yield and greatly reduces the downstream separation costs. In this work, we review the recent progress in tuning the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over heterogeneous catalysts at atmospheric pressure. We describe fundamental insights into the relationships among the electronic properties of active metals, the binding strengths of key intermediates, and the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity. The manipulation of the electronic properties, and consequently the product selectivity, can be achieved mainly by controlling the particle size, bimetallic effects, and strong metal-support interactions. Finally, we discuss challenges and opportunities for the rational design of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation catalysts with high activity and desired selectivity.

#### 1. Introduction

In recent decades, global warming has become an increasingly serious problem that affects human survival and development. Rising temperatures have led to many global environmental crises such as the melting of glaciers<sup>1</sup>, worsening wildfires<sup>2</sup>, and extreme weather<sup>3</sup>. There is considerable evidence that the excessive emission of infrared-trapping gases such as carbon dioxide to the atmosphere is indeed the primary culprit for global warming.<sup>4,5</sup> The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are mainly contributed by the burning of fossil fuels, and since CO<sub>2</sub> remains in the atmosphere for hundreds of years, the concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is increasing steadily.<sup>6,7</sup> Thus, significant efforts are required to mitigate atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Among the strategies for decreasing the concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, the most common methods are CO<sub>2</sub> capture, storage, and chemical utilization. Compared with sequestration, transforming CO<sub>2</sub> into commodity chemicals, especially with CO<sub>2</sub>-free H<sub>2</sub><sup>8</sup>, not only consumes CO<sub>2</sub> but also reduces the use of fossil-derived raw materials. The research of Tackett et al.<sup>8</sup> revealed that a process powered by electricity emitting less than 0.2 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per kWh would achieve a net reduction in CO<sub>2</sub>, although the high price of renewable energy limits the large-scale application of the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation processes at present. Zhang et al.<sup>9</sup> have demonstrated that the economic profit can be gained when a suitable carbon tax is implemented and the cost of renewable energy is greatly decreased in the future. Generally, a net CO<sub>2</sub> reduction via the hydrogenation processes can be achieved with the availability of low-carbon or carbon-free energy. Nowadays, thermochemical and electrochemical reductions of CO<sub>2</sub>

represent the two main pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion; this perspective article focuses on thermochemical methods. Although the thermal reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> is promising, the efficient activation of the thermodynamically stable C=O bond in CO<sub>2</sub> (bond energy = 806 kJ/mol) poses a major challenge. The development of highly efficient catalysts is crucial to reduce the activation barrier and hence the energy required for converting CO<sub>2</sub> into commodity chemicals.

Under atmospheric pressure, the products of CO<sub>2</sub> thermocatalytic reduction with H<sub>2</sub> are CO and CH<sub>4</sub>, through the reverse water-gas shift (RWGS) reaction and methanation (also known as the Sabatier reaction), respectively:

$$CO_2 + H_2 \leftrightarrow CO + H_2O$$
  $\Delta H_{298^{\circ}C} = +41.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$  (eq 1)

$$CO_2 + 4H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O \Delta H_{298°C} = -165 \text{ kJ/mol} \text{ (eq 2)}$$

Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to either product with high selectivity is desired according to specific application requirements. CO can be further converted to valuable chemicals and fuels through well-developed synthesis gas conversion technologies, such as Fischer–Tropsch (FT) synthesis and methanol synthesis. The methanation reaction is widely explored as one of the potential Power-to-Gas (PtG) technologies to foster interaction of gas and electric grids, enabling the methane production (from anthropogenic captured CO<sub>2</sub> and renewable generated hydrogen) to be injected into the gas grid or locally used (industrial process, heating system, vehicles).

Other hydrogenation products such as methanol and  $C_{2+}$  hydrocarbons are obtained under high pressure with low yields. There are many similarities between the atmospheric-pressure and high-pressure reactions in the reaction mechanism and


catalyst design, although this perspective article mainly discusses the atmosphericpressure reactions. Most research was aimed at increasing catalytic activity to boost
production. However, the demand for selective heterogeneous catalysis has become
more apparent in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. It is of paramount importance that a molecularlevel understanding of the factors that control the selectivity of a catalytic reaction from
the phenomenological or "trial and error" mode into the rational design of catalysts.<sup>10</sup>
The activity and selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation have been demonstrated to be very
sensitive to the catalyst structure.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, understanding catalyst structure is
paramount to the rational design of heterogeneous catalysts for selective CO<sub>2</sub>
hydrogenation.

Several articles have reviewed the progress in experimental and theoretical studies of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Porosoff et al.<sup>12</sup> discussed the challenges and opportunities for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation into CO, methanol, and hydrocarbons. Aziz et al.<sup>13</sup> summarized the progress in the development of various catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> methanation. Daza et al.<sup>14</sup> compared RWGS catalysts and the corresponding reaction mechanisms. Chen et al.<sup>15</sup> reviewed single-atom catalysts and their extraordinary performance during RWGS. In addition to these reviews of experimental findings, Li et al.<sup>16</sup> provided a theoretical overview of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Several other reviews focus on recent advances in a single CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathway, i.e., CO<sub>2</sub> methanation<sup>17-21</sup>, reverse water gas shift<sup>22,23</sup>, or CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol<sup>24-27</sup>. In contrast, this perspective article aims to provide insight into recent developments in the competing atmospheric-pressure pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation and RWGS. We focus on providing guidance for fine-tuning the

electronic properties of active metals in order to enable the design catalysts with high selectivity and activity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Accordingly, the basic principles underlying the design and development of nanostructured catalysts also are discussed.

According to the Sabatier principle, the most active catalysts should bind adsorbates with a moderate strength: catalysts showing weak adsorbate binding energy are insufficient for activating reactants, but strong binding energy prevents product desorption. Research on reaction mechanisms has improved the development of a rationale for optimizing catalyst composition. Kattel et al.<sup>28</sup> proposed a reaction mechanism based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Figure 1), suggesting that the key intermediate of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation via the RWGS+COhydrogenation pathway or the direct C–O bond cleavage pathway is adsorbed CO. This mechanism has been verified through in-situ characterization. For example, Heine et al.<sup>29</sup> used ambient-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AP-XPS) to demonstrate that the methanation reaction over Ni(111) proceeded via dissociation of CO<sub>2</sub>, followed by reduction of CO to atomic carbon and its subsequent hydrogenation to methane. Zhao et al.30 unraveled the surface reaction mechanism of CO2 hydrogenation on Ru/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> via in-situ control of the individual formation and hydrogenation steps for each adsorbed species, using operando diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) combined with iterative Gaussian fitting. According to their research,  $CO_2 \rightarrow *HCO^{3-}$  on the metal-support interface $\rightarrow$ \*CO on the metal surface  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>4</sub> is the dominant reaction step. Martin et al.<sup>31</sup> observed the linearly-adsorbed CO species on reduced Rh (Rh-CO<sub>lin</sub>) as the active intermediate

in CO<sub>2</sub> methanation over Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. According to their results, the rate-determining step for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and RWGS was the conversion of \*HCOO, whereas that for CH<sub>4</sub> formation was the hydrogenation of \*CO. As shown in Figure 1, CO serves as the key intermediate of the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathways that lead to either CO or CH<sub>4</sub> as the final products.



**Figure 1.** Possible reaction pathways of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, and CH<sub>4</sub>. \*(X) indicates adsorbed species. Reproduced from <sup>28</sup>.

In this perspective article, we focus on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation pathways for which adsorbed CO leads to selective CO or CH<sub>4</sub> production. The key factor that determines the reaction pathway is the activation energy difference between CO desorption and further reaction of adsorbed CO. The adsorption strength of CO is determined by the electronic structure of the catalyst and in particular that of the active metals.<sup>32,33</sup> Thus, understanding and controlling the intrinsic electronic properties of the active metal is

crucial to the design of catalysts with high selectivity. The electronic properties of the active metal can be tuned through the electron transfers resulting from the formation of bimetallic bonds and interactions with catalyst supports. In this work, we review recent progress in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation at atmospheric pressure and discuss the relationship between CO binding energy and the catalytic selectivity. We summarize the effects of intrinsic electronic properties, particle sizes, bimetallic formation, supports, and other related factors on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity. Finally, challenges and opportunities for achieving the rational design of CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation catalysts with high activity and selectivity will be discussed.

# 2. Intrinsic electronic properties of metal catalysts

The catalytic performance of supported catalysts is closely related to the adsorption strength and orientation of reactants, intermediates, and products, which are mainly determined by the intrinsic electronic properties of the active metal. For example, Mutschler et al.<sup>34</sup> examined a series of pristine transition metals for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and found that Co exhibited high activity and selectivity toward methane. Garbarino et al.<sup>35</sup> have investigated the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation performance of unsupported Co and Ni nanoparticles. According to their research, unsupported metallic cobalt nanoparticles showed significant activity in CO<sub>2</sub> methanation but deactivated upon time on stream due to fast sintering and the formation of encapsulating carbon. Unsupported Ni nanoparticles exhibited weak activity in either the RWGS reaction or the methanation reaction.<sup>36,37</sup> In the research of Kim et al.<sup>38</sup>, unsupported Fe-oxide NPs (10

to 20 nm) were tested at 873 K, showing 85% CO selectivity and medium CO<sub>2</sub> conversion (~30%). According to the research of Bersani et al.<sup>39</sup>, copper nanoparticles yielded mixtures of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> in the temperature range of 250 °C to 500 °C. As for precious metals, Panagiotopoulou<sup>40</sup> revealed that the activity for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported metal catalysts followed the order of Pd < Pt < Ru < Rh, where the Ruand Rh-based catalysts favored methanation and the Pt- and Pd-based catalysts mainly produced CO. In general, the main product of atmospheric-pressure CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over Rh-,<sup>31,41</sup> Ru-,<sup>34,42-44</sup> Co- and Ni- based<sup>45-48</sup> catalysts is methane, while the Pd-<sup>49</sup>, Fe-<sup>50-52</sup>, Pt-<sup>53-55</sup>, and Cu-based catalysts favor the RWGS reaction, as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Schematic showing possible products and potential catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation.

A summary of the catalytic performance of several monometallic catalysts is shown in Table 1, revealing that different transition metals exhibit widely different selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. The electronic properties of metal catalysts, which affect the CO binding energy and the reaction selectivity, can be modified by various means in order to promote desired reaction pathways and inhibit side reactions. The methods for tuning the intrinsic catalyst performance are detailed in the following sections.

Green Chemistry Page 10 of 48

Table 1 Summary of reaction conditions, CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, and selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction by H<sub>2</sub> on transition metal catalysts

| Catalysts                         | Loading | H <sub>2</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> ratio | Temperature (K) | Conversion (%)  | CO Selectivity (%)  | CII Solootivity (0/)            |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Catalysts                         | (wt%)   | H <sub>2</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> ratio | remperature (K) | Conversion (70) | CO selectivity (76) | CH <sub>4</sub> Selectivity (%) |  |
| Ru/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>56</sup> | 5       | 9:1                                   | 473             | 3.2             | 0.6                 | 99.4                            |  |
| $Ru/Al_2O_3^{34}$                 | 0.5     | 4:1                                   | 653             | 74.7            | 1.2                 | 98.8                            |  |
| Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>42</sup> | 0.5     | 4:1                                   | 623             | ~21             | 14                  | 86                              |  |
| Ru/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>43</sup> | 1.8     | 4:1                                   | 573             | ~83             | 0                   | 100                             |  |
| Ru/MSN <sup>57</sup>              | 5       | 4:1                                   | 623             | 95.7            | 0                   | 100                             |  |
| Rh/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>31</sup> | 3       | 5:1                                   | 723             | ~39             | ~22                 | ~78                             |  |
| $Rh/Al_2O_3^{41}$                 | 0.2     | 4:1                                   | 473             | ~98             | ~0                  | ~100                            |  |
| Rh/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>40</sup> | 0.5     | 4:1                                   | 623             | ~75             | 0                   | 100                             |  |
| Rh/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>49</sup> | 3       | 5:1                                   | 623             | ~46             | 0                   | 100                             |  |
| Rh/MSN <sup>57</sup>              | 5       | 4:1                                   | 623             | 99.5            | 0                   | 100                             |  |

| Ni <sup>34</sup>                                | -   | 4:1  | 793 | 54.7 | 20.1 | 79.9 |
|---|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>47</sup>               | 6.2 | 4:1  | 723 | 36.8 | 18.2 | 81.8 |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>45</sup>               | 5   | 4:1  | 623 | 27.6 | 11.6 | 85.5 |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>46</sup>               | 10  | 4:1  | 573 | 42.4 | 3.4  | 96.6 |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>49</sup>               | 3   | 5:1  | 723 | ~45  | ~54  | ~46  |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>56</sup>               | 8.2 | 9:1  | 473 | 1    | 0.2  | 99.8 |
| Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>49</sup> | 3   | 5:1  | 723 | ~5   | ~60  | ~40  |
| Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>56</sup> | 8.2 | 9:1  | 473 | 2.6  | 0.6  | 99.4 |
| Ni/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>48</sup>               | 10  | 4:1  | 623 | ~42  | ~24  | ~76  |
| Ni/MSN <sup>57</sup>                            | 5   | 4:1  | 623 | 85.4 | 0.1  | 99.9 |
| Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>49</sup>               | 3   | 5:1  | 623 | ~44  | ~0   | ~100 |
| Pt/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>54</sup>               | 1.7 | 2:1  | 623 | 9.0  | 98.4 | 1.6  |
| Pt/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 55            | 1.0 | 7:10 | 673 | 18   | ~100 | ~0   |

Green Chemistry Page 12 of 48

| Pt/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>54</sup> | 1.7 | 2:1 | 623 | 10.2 | ~100 | ~0   |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Pt/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>53</sup> | 1   | 1:1 | 673 | ~16  | ~100 | ~0   |
| Pd/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>49</sup> | 3   | 5:1 | 723 | ~13  | ~40  | ~60  |
| $Pd/Al_2O_3{}^{49}$               | 3   | 5:1 | 723 | ~25  | ~50  | ~50  |
| Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>40</sup> | 0.5 | 4:1 | 623 | ~7   | 100  | 0    |
| Pd/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>49</sup> | 3   | 5:1 | 723 | ~51  | ~87  | ~13  |
| Fe <sup>34</sup>                  | -   | 4:1 | 813 | 26.7 | 84.1 | 15.9 |
| Fe/MSN <sup>57</sup>              | 5   | 4:1 | 623 | 4    | 8    | 92   |
| Cu/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>58</sup> | 5   | 3:1 | 493 | 0.5  | 83.6 | 16.4 |
| Cu/ZrO <sub>2</sub> <sup>58</sup> | 5   | 3:1 | 493 | 0.5  | 80.2 | 19.8 |
| Cu/MSN <sup>13</sup>              | 5   | 4:1 | 623 | 3.3  | 21   | 79   |


#### 3. Particle size effect

As shown in Table 1, intrinsic catalytic performance depends on the particle size of the active metal, which correlates with metal loading (it is common for the particles to grow up with the increasing of loading). When the particles decrease to sub-nm size or even to the scale of single atoms, the methanation reaction is inhibited.<sup>59</sup>


In recent years, single-atom and sub-nm catalysts have been studied intensively because of their unique chemical properties and extraordinary catalytic behavior.<sup>60</sup> Many studies have revealed that metals that produce a mixture of methane and CO as nanoparticles show suppression of methane generation as single-atoms.<sup>61,62</sup> The catalytic performance of single-atom catalysts and metal nanoparticles are listed in Table 2. Compared to metal particles, single-atom catalysts not only utilize the atom more efficiently but also benefit for CO selectivity in RWGS.<sup>11</sup>

The underlying properties of single-atom catalysts that give rise to these unique characteristics have been widely studied. 63,64 It is generally accepted that the electron density of single-atom catalysts is changed due to the low-coordination environment and strong metal-support interaction. Single-atom catalysts are positively charged (usually noted as M<sup>6+</sup>), leading to a weaker interaction with CO. Kwak et al. 65,66 prepared atomically-dispersed Pd/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ru/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts to investigate the influence of particle size on the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation process. The results suggested that the RWGS reaction was the dominant reaction pathway over single-atom catalysts. The same trends were obtained using Rh/CeO<sub>2</sub> single-atom catalysts. 67 Matsubu et al. 68 investigated CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> with different Rh loadings. They

observed a close correspondence between the turnover frequency (TOF) of RWGS and the fraction of isolated Rh sites, as shown in Figure 3. A similar result was obtained by Li et al.<sup>69</sup> As shown in Figure 4, the CO selectivity increased as the Ir loading amount decreased. In addition to achieving high selectivity toward CO, single-atom catalysts also show extraordinary activity. Wang et al.<sup>70</sup> compared the difference between 0.5 wt% Pt single-atom and 2 wt% Pt nanocluster catalysts on CeO<sub>2</sub> during CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. The activity results indicated that the single-atom catalysts exhibited a more than 7-fold increase in rate compared with the nanoclustered catalyst and with 100% CO selectivity. The authors demonstrated that the weak binding between isolated Pt atoms and CO restricted the further hydrogenation of CO.



**Figure 3** (a) DRIFT spectrum obtained from a saturated layer of CO adsorbed at 300 K on 4% Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Insets show ball-and-stick models of assigned vibrational modes. (b) DRIFT spectra of CO on all five weight loadings of Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The spectra are displayed in Kubelka–Munk (KM) units and normalized by the symmetric *gem*-dicarbonyl peak (2097 cm<sup>-1</sup>) height to allow for comparison. (c) Site fraction (%) of isolated (Rh<sub>iso</sub>) and nanoparticle-based Rh sites (Rh<sub>NP</sub>) as a function of wt % Rh. (d) CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity as a function of wt % Rh for 0.25, 3, and 10 CO<sub>2</sub>:H<sub>2</sub> feed ratios measured at 200 °C.



**Figure 4** (a) The coordination number of Ir-Ir and Ir-O shells (line graph, right axis) relative to catalyst selectivity (bars, left axis) for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation over Ir/Ce catalysts with different Ir loadings. (b) Ir L<sub>3</sub>-edge Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) of the Ir/Ce-used catalysts. Reproduced from ref <sup>69</sup>.

The same trend that single-atom or sub-nanometer catalysts favor CO formation also has been observed over non-precious metal catalysts. However, the synthesis of highly-dispersed non-precious metal catalysts is much more difficult than the preparation of precious-metal single-atom catalysts. In general, metals are highly dispersed on the support at low metal loadings, whereas the metal particles aggregate at high metal loadings, forming large particles. Therefore, small clusters or single-atom catalysts are usually synthesized by reducing metal loading ( $\leq 0.5\%$ ).

Applying this method, Wu et al.  $^{71}$  compared the catalytic performance of 0.5 wt% Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and 10 wt% Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The authors proposed a consecutive reaction pathway (intermediates  $\rightarrow$  CO  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>4</sub>) and a parallel pathway (intermediates  $\rightarrow$  CO and intermediates  $\rightarrow$  CH<sub>4</sub>). The reactants underwent consecutive pathways over small Ni particles and both consecutive and parallel pathways over larger particles. The consecutive pathway is associated with low H<sub>2</sub> coverage on the Ni surface, which leads

to the dissociation of formate intermediates and results in CO formation and high CO selectivity. Lu et al. <sup>72,73</sup> demonstrated that monodispersed NiO/CeO<sub>2</sub> and NiO/SBA-15 catalysts exhibited 100% CO selectivity regardless of the reaction temperature. Gonçalves et al. <sup>74</sup> employed magnetron sputtering deposition to prepare small Ni nanoclusters on SiO<sub>2</sub> and reached the same conclusions that small Ni particles tend to produce CO rather than methane. The relationship between selectivity and the Ni electronic properties was investigated by Vogt et al. <sup>75</sup> Through operando quick X-ray absorption spectroscopy (Q-XAS), the authors suggested that sub-2 nm Ni particles exhibited lower d-band energy or higher electron localization than large nanoparticles, which had a considerable impact on the catalytic activity.

The enhanced CO selectivity using single-atom catalysts also was demonstrated by theoretical calculations. Yan et al. <sup>76</sup> illustrated that the RWGS route was more energetically favorable over monolayer Ru sites with a relatively low energy barrier for CO<sub>2</sub> activation and CO formation, while methanation was favored over 3D Ru nanoclusters. Chen et al. <sup>15</sup> combined experimental data with DFT calculations to investigate single-atom catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Single-atom Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> exhibited 100% selectivity toward CO due to the low coordination number of Ir. The authors demonstrated that the desorption energy of CO was lower than the dissociation barriers of the intermediates for single-atom Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub>, Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>, and Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. As displayed in Figure 5, the DFT calculation results correlated well with the experimental data over a series of catalysts.




Figure 5. (a) (left) Difference between activation energies  $E_a$  for CO dissociation and desorption free energies of CO. (right) Difference in activation energies between HCO  $\rightarrow$  HC + O and HCO  $\rightarrow$  H + CO on  $Ir_1/TiO_2$  and stepped  $Ir_5$ ,  $Pt_5$ , and  $Au_5$  surfaces. (b) Calculated TOFs for RWGS and methanation reactions on  $Ir/TiO_2$ -0.1%,  $Ir/TiO_2$ -1%,  $Pt/TiO_2$ -1%, and  $Au/TiO_2$ -1% catalysts. Reproduced from ref  $^{15}$ .

While single-atom catalysts demonstrate promising activity and selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation, the instability of single atoms poses a major challenge for practical applications. Single-atom active metals tend to agglomerate into larger particles during the reaction, especially at high temperatures. Therefore, the observed decline of catalytic activity and CO selectivity is ascribed to the sintering of the single atoms. Currently, the synthesis of single-atom catalysts with high thermal stability and sintering resistance remains challenging.

Overall, the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity varies with the metal particle size. The methanation selectivity gradually decreases as the particle size decreases until the electronic properties of the metal become altered due to the electron transfer. Notably, when the particle size decreases to the sub-nm and even single-atom scale, the metals become positively charged and the exhibits high CO selectivity.


Green Chemistry Page 18 of 48

Table 2. Summary of reaction conditions, CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, and selectivity on supported transition metal catalysts with different particle sizes

| Catalysts                                       | Loading (%)  | Particle size | H <sub>2</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> ratio | Temperature | Conversion | CO Selectivity | CH <sub>4</sub> |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
|   | Loading (70) | (nm)          |                                       | (K)         | (%)        | (%)            | Selectivity (%) |
| Pd/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>66</sup> | 10           | ~2            | 3:1                                   | 673         | ~40        | ~10            | ~90             |
| $Pd/Al_2O_3^{66}$                               | 0.5          | SA            | 3:1                                   | 673         | ~20        | ~62            | ~38             |
| Ru/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>65</sup> | 2            | 2             | 3:1                                   | 573         | -          | ~12            | ~88             |
| Ru/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>65</sup> | 0.5          | SA            | 3:1                                   | 573         | -          | ~84            | ~16             |
| Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>79</sup>               | 10           | ~2.5          | 4:1                                   | 473         | <5         | <2             | >98             |
| Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>79</sup>               | 0.5          | SA            | 4:1                                   | 473         | <5         | 35             | 65              |
| Ir/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>69</sup>               | 15           | ~2.5          | 4:1                                   | 573         | 6.9        | 44             | 56              |
| Ir/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>69</sup>               | 5            | <1            | 4:1                                   | 573         | 6.8        | >99            | <1              |
| Ir/TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>15</sup>               | 5            | ~2            | 1:1                                   | 623         | ~2.1       | 21.8           | 78.2            |
| Ir/TiO <sub>2</sub> 15                          | 0.1          | SA            | 1:1                                   | 623         | ~2.1       | ~100           | ~0              |

| Pt/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>70</sup> | 2   | ~1.5 | 12.5:1 | 723 | -    | 0    | 100  |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|--------|-----|------|------|------|
| Pt/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>70</sup> | 0.5 | SA   | 12.5:1 | 723 | -    | 100  | 0    |
| Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>50</sup> | 1.5 | 0.9  | 3:1    | 623 | 26.8 | 21.6 | 78.4 |
| Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>50</sup> | 0.5 | 0.6  | 3:1    | 623 | 23.1 | 40.6 | 59.4 |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>71</sup> | 10  | ~9   | 1:1    | 623 | ~10  | ~10  | ~90  |
| Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>71</sup> | 0.5 | SA   | 1:1    | 623 | ~10  | ~40  | ~60  |


However, except for the electron properties, there are other factors that have impact on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation performance. Control of nanoparticle shape and size will ultimately determine the dominant surface-active terrace, edge, and corner sites. However, the geometric effect of catalysts in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation reaction is quite complicated, and different authors have drawn different conclusions. DFT calculations showed a stronger backdonation from the metal atoms to the  $2\pi^*$  antibonding of adsorbed CO on low coordinated surface atoms (corners and edges). 80 Martins et al. 81 found that high CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity was favored in the presence of small particles with a majority of surface sites being edges and corners. However, Loveless et al.82 demonstrated that the corner sites were less active due to the lack of vacancies required for H-assisted hydrogenation paths. The catalytic investigation of Beierlein et al.<sup>83</sup> showed that CO<sub>2</sub> methanation on Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was a structure-insensitive reaction and terrace atoms were the active sites. Additionally, the H-spillover effect also should be taken into accounts. Guo et al.<sup>84</sup> demonstrated the methanation activity was determined jointly by strong metal-support interactions and H-spillover effects, suggesting a more complex relationship between particle size and selectivity. The strong metal-support interactions between single Ru atoms and CeO<sub>2</sub> suppressed the activation of carbonyls, while the significant H-spillover effects over large Ru nanoparticles greatly hindered the removal of H<sub>2</sub>O – conditions which are both unfavorable for methanation. As displayed in Scheme 1, the methanation activity reached a maximum over intermediatesized Ru nanoparticles when both factors were taken into account.



**Scheme 1.** Competitive strong metal-support interactions (SMSI) and H-spillover effect lead to competing CO activation and surface dehydration for CeO<sub>2</sub>-supported single Ru atoms, Ru nanoclusters, and large Ru nanoparticles. Reproduced from ref <sup>84</sup>.

#### 4. Bimetallic effect


The introduction of a second metal is another effective way to tune the electronic properties of the active metal. The electron transfer between the two metals modifies the electronic properties of the active metal and changes the selectivity of the products. For example, the introduction of typical methanation metals such as Rh, Ru, Co, and Ni to a catalyst improves the methane selectivity. Liu et al.<sup>85</sup> found that the bimetallic NiCo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts exhibited enhanced methanation activity and stability compared to monometallic Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Xu et al.<sup>86</sup> revealed that the addition of Co to Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> decreased the activation energy of methanation. Bimetallic Ni–Rh/LaAlO<sub>3</sub> achieved a 52% enhancement in the methanation turnover frequency [13.9 mol/(mol h)] compared to Rh/LaNi<sub>0.08</sub>Al<sub>0.92</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [9.16 mol/(mol h)].<sup>87</sup> Shang et al.<sup>88</sup> and Liu et al.<sup>89</sup> demonstrated that the addition of Ru boosted the methanation yield of Ni-based catalysts.



**Figure 6.** (a) Summary of activity based on reaction rate constants normalized by catalyst mass and number of active sites, and selectivity based on the ratio of CO/CH<sub>4</sub> produced at the time of 10% conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> by H<sub>2</sub>, for varying molar ratio of Fe:Ni in bimetallic FeNi/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra of (b) the Ni K-edge for CeO<sub>2</sub>-supported Ni<sub>3</sub>, Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>, and Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub> catalysts with metallic and oxidized Ni reference standards, and (c) the Fe K-edge for Fe<sub>3</sub>, Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>, and Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub> catalysts with metallic and oxidized Fe reference standards. Insets focus on the near edge region with the pre-edge normalized to zero for all spectra. Reproduced from ref <sup>50</sup>.

According to the literature, <sup>37,50,90-94</sup> the effect of Fe addition on Ni-based catalysts is quite complicated. Mebrahtu et al. <sup>92</sup> reported that CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity continuously decreased as the Fe content increased in FeNi bimetallic catalysts. Results from Winter et al. <sup>50</sup> showed that a bimetallic FeNi catalyst with a 3:1 molar ratio of Ni to Fe exhibited comparable activity to a monometallic Ni catalyst but improved CO selectivity. The Fe K-edge X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra in Figure 6 revealed that Fe in the bimetallic Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub> catalysts was less oxidized than that in the monometallic Fe<sub>3</sub> catalyst. They elucidated that the metallic Ni enhanced H<sub>2</sub> dissociation and facilitated spillover of atomic hydrogen, which in turn promoted the reduction of Fe oxides in the Ni-Fe catalysts. The synergetic effect of Fe and Ni in


ZrO<sub>2</sub>-supported bimetallic catalysts was investigated through DFT calculations and insitu DRIFTS by Yan et al.<sup>94</sup> As the scanning transmission electron microscopy with electron energy loss spectroscopy (STEM-EELS) analysis displayed in Figure 7, Fe tended to precipitate on the surface of Ni particles. The theoretical calculations and experimental observations indicated that CH<sub>4</sub> was formed mainly via the RWGS + CO-hydrogenation pathway with \*CO as a key intermediate. The interaction of \*CO with the Ni-ZrO<sub>2</sub> interface was revealed to be strong enough to facilitate further \*CO hydrogenation to CH<sub>4</sub>, while the weak interaction of \*CO on the Ni-FeO<sub>x</sub> interface enabled CO desorption as the product.



**Figure 7.** Annular dark-field (ADF)-STEM images and corresponding EELS elemental maps of the spent Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>9</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> samples: (a) ADF-STEM image of Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (b–d) Ni (green), Fe (red), and mixed maps in Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>; (e) ADF-STEM image of Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>9</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, (f–h) Ni (green), Fe (red), and mixed maps in Ni<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>9</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

In addition to changing the electronic properties, the introduction of a second metal also plays an important role in enhancing the metal dispersion and contributes to the

activation of the reactants. Mihet et al.  $^{95}$  concluded that the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-supported catalysts was enhanced in the series: Ni-Pd  $\geq$  Ni-Pt > Ni and methane selectivity followed the trend: Ni-Pt  $\geq$  Ni-Pd > Ni. The authors attributed the promotion effect to the enhancement of metal dispersion and the improvement of NiO reducibility. Beaumont et al.  $^{96}$  revealed that the individual Pt nanoparticles next to Co nanoparticles supported on silica enhanced the methanation activity. In-situ XANES measurements during the reduction of the Co NPs showed that the addition of Pt NPs significantly enhanced the reduction of Co. The hydrogen atoms dissociated on Pt were transferred to the Co NPs via long-distance hydrogen atom spillover,  $^{97}$  as shown in Scheme 2. The results of DFT calculations conducted by Ou et al.  $^{98}$  provided theoretical insight into the promotion effects of Pt in bimetallic catalysts. The authors found that introducing Pt to Ni(111) facilitated the chemisorption of gaseous carbon dioxide.



**Scheme 2.** (a) Production of surface oxide species from CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation and (b) transfer of adsorbed hydrogen from Pt to Co via a spillover mechanism to re-reduce the cobalt oxide surface, releasing water. <sup>96</sup>


Alkali and alkaline earth metals have promoter effects on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation as well. Bacariza et al.<sup>99</sup> tested the effect of the addition of a series of alkaline cations into

Ni/USY zeolites. The results showed that the order of improvement of the methanation activity was  $Cs^+ > Na^+ > Li^+ > K^+$  for the monovalent cations and  $Mg^{2+} > Ca^{2+} > Ba^{2+}$ for the divalent cations. The impregnation of 0.9-2.5% Mg on a 4.8% Ni/USY considerably improved the dispersion of Ni<sup>0</sup> and increased the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion up to 15% higher than that for the unpromoted 4.8% Ni/USY. Büchel et al. 100 investigated the effect of Ba and K addition to Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts on CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. Below 440 °C, Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibited 100% CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity while K-promoted catalysts shifted to 100% CO selectivity. The change in selectivity was ascribed to the effect of Ba and K on the Rh(0)/Rh(I) ratio and on the adsorption behavior, according to the DRIFTS and CO adsorption measurements. Heyl et al. 101 revealed that the addition of K to Rh/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> affected the adsorption sites of CO on Rh and influenced the catalyst's ability to activate H<sub>2</sub>. Thus, more facile desorption of adsorbed CO from the catalyst surface was favored and the methanation of CO was hindered. Porosoff et al. 102 modified Mo<sub>2</sub>C/γ-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with a K promoter and observed a significant enhancement in CO selectivity and yield. Liang et al. 103 and Yang et al. 104 provided insights into the influence of K modification by comparing K-promoted Pt/mullite and Pt/L catalysts. Their results revealed that the formation of Pt-O(OH)-K interfacial sites promoted CO desorption, thus preventing the further hydrogenation of CO to methane.

#### 5. Support effect

The catalytic performance of supported catalysts is not only determined by the active metal but also affected by the support materials. Catalyst supports provide certain

structural and physicochemical properties that ensure that the active metals remain well-dispersed. During CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation reactions, the support also participates in the adsorption and activation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the reducibility and basicity of the support play an important role in the catalytic behavior. Drever et al. 105 selected Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a representative irreducible support, ZnO and MnO<sub>x</sub> as representative neutral reducible oxides, and CeO<sub>2</sub> as a representative basic reducible support to investigate the influence of support reducibility and basicity on catalytic behavior. The trend of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion over the pristine supports followed the strength of the support basicity as determined with CO<sub>2</sub> temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), following in the order of ZnO >  $CeO_2 > MnO_x > Al_2O_3$ . For pristine supports, the  $CO_2$  conversion was determined by the ability of the materials to activate CO<sub>2</sub>. Loading metals onto the supports changed the activity trend due to the interaction between the support and the active metal. After the addition of Ru, the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion and CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity followed the order of  $Ru/CeO_2 > Ru/Al_2O_3 > Ru/MnO_x > Ru/ZnO$ . Díez-Ramírez et al. 106 studied the effect of the support on Co-catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation. The authors reported that methane selectivity followed the order of  $Co/CeO_2$  (96%) > Co/ZnO (54%)  $> Co/Ga_2O_3$  (53%) ~ Co/ZrO<sub>2</sub> (53%) at 573 K. The enhanced methanation activity of Co/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts was mainly ascribed to its superior reducibility linked to Co-Ceria interactions. According to results from Muroyama et al., 107 CH<sub>4</sub> yield over supported Ni catalysts at 523 K followed the trend of  $Ni/Y_2O_3 > Ni/Sm_2O_3 > Ni/ZrO_2 > Ni/CeO_2 > Ni/Al_2O_3 > Ni/Sm_2O_3 > Ni/Sm_$ Ni/La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the catalytic activity could be partly explained by the basic property of the catalysts.



**Figure 8.** The evolution of CO<sub>2</sub> isotope peaks over time during the reaction of C<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> over (a) blank CeO<sub>2</sub> and (b) 0.5% Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>. The separable peaks for each isotope were monitored for C<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> (3510 cm<sup>-1</sup>), C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>18</sup>O (3583 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and C<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> (3729 cm<sup>-1</sup>). (c) The evolution of CO isotope gas concentrations (monitored for C<sup>18</sup>O at 2070 cm<sup>-1</sup> and for C<sup>16</sup>O at 2170 cm<sup>-1</sup>) over time during the reaction of C<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> over 0.5% Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>. (d) Oxygen exchange between CO<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> support (both the surface and lattice oxygen) under CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation conditions. Reproduced from ref <sup>108</sup>.


In general, reducible metal oxide supports, such as CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>, activate CO<sub>2</sub> effectively through the metal oxide redox cycles. Since the oxygen vacancies generated by the reducible supports favor CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and activation, many studies have illustrated that employing a reducible metal oxide support improves the catalytic

performance. 45,109-112 The beneficial effect of Zr and Ce doping on the catalytic activity and stability has been ascribed to the enhancement of the concentration of oxygen vacancies and oxygen mobility. 113,114 Winter et al. 108 conducted isotope exchange studies using C<sup>18</sup>O<sub>2</sub> in a batch reactor equipped with Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry to determine the involvement of surface and lattice oxygen of CeO2 in CO2 hydrogenation reaction. The results in Figure 8 suggested that oxygen was exchanged by both simple heteroexchange (between one oxygen atom of a gas-phase molecule and one oxygen atom of the oxide support) and multiple heteroexchange (between an oxygen-containing gas-phase molecule and at least two oxygen atoms of the support) mechanisms. The exchange appeared to occur in two steps, where one oxygen atom in CO<sub>2</sub> was exchanged rapidly, and the exchange of the second oxygen atom occurred subsequently for some of the singly-exchanged C<sup>16</sup>O<sup>18</sup>O molecules. Nie et al. 115 provided theoretical insights into the effect of supports (ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) on the adsorption and activation of key species. DFT results showed that the binding energies of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and CO on Co<sub>4</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub>(111) are stronger than those on Co<sub>4</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110), in agreement with experimental TPD results. The reducibility and oxygen mobility of the supports can be promoted further by using a CeO<sub>2</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub> solid solution, which has exhibited enhanced activity with respect to CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>. 116-118

The chemical properties of the supports are influenced by many parameters, such as the morphology and the crystal phase. Different morphologies of a support with a specific crystal surface exposed exhibit distinct activity and selectivity. Sakpal et al. 121 compared Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts with different morphologies in the methanation

reaction. Compared to cubes and octahedras, Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorods containing more oxygen vacancies showed higher activity in CO<sub>2</sub> methanation. As reported by Lin et al.,<sup>122</sup> Ru supported on rutile TiO<sub>2</sub> exhibited higher activity than on anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>. Electron microscopy measurements revealed that RuO<sub>2</sub> was prone to sintering on anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> but became more dispersed on rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>123</sup> Therefore, rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported catalysts exhibited much higher activity and thermal stability for CO<sub>2</sub> methanation than those supported on anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>124,125</sup>

The preparation methods used for the supports also affect methanation activity. The pretreatment temperature was found to affect the density and strength of the acid sites and methanation activity of Ni/Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. <sup>126</sup> Over Ru/rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>, the TOF values increased significantly, from 0.26 s<sup>-1</sup> to 1.59 s<sup>-1</sup>, with increasing pretreatment temperature. 127 The enhanced methanation activity resulted from the increase in the extent of encapsulation of Ru particles by TiO<sub>x</sub> layers and in the amount of hydroxyl groups on the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface, which facilitated CO<sub>2</sub> dissociation. Zhang et al. <sup>128</sup> observed that selectivity toward CO over Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts increased with the reduction temperature, as shown in Figure 9. Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts reduced at temperatures lower than 300 °C exhibited complete methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, while samples reduced beyond 600 °C led to complete CO formation. The reduced TiO<sub>x</sub> species that originated from SMSI played a crucial role in tuning the product selectivity. Moreover, catalysts derived from a metal-organic framework (MOF) template exhibited a specific activity towards methanation. 129 Ru/ZrO<sub>2</sub> derived from a Ru/UIO-66 material exhibited better methanation activity and selectivity than impregnated Ru/ZrO<sub>2</sub> due to the high specific surface area of UIO-66.130



**Figure 9.** Catalytic performance of the series of Ir/TiO<sub>2</sub>-x catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation reactions. Reaction conditions: 280 °C, 0.1 MPa, space velocity = 9000 mL h<sup>-1</sup> g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>, and H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> = 70/20/10. X refers to the reduction temperature in °C. <sup>128</sup>

In summary, support materials influence CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation activity and selectivity due to their role in the activation of CO<sub>2</sub>. The basic and reducible metal oxide supports usually show greater activity toward CO<sub>2</sub> activation. The supports also contribute to tuning the electronic properties of the active metals through metal-support interactions: The electron transfer between the active metal and support modifies the binding strength of CO. In addition, the specific interfacial sites between the active metal and the support also modify the catalyst selectivity.<sup>131-133</sup>

# 6. Other effects

In addition to controlling the materials and structural characteristics of the active metals and supports, there exist other methods for modifying the electronic properties of the catalyst. Electrons generated by the photoelectric effect can improve the electron density. Kim et al.<sup>134</sup> revealed that Rh- and Ru-based catalysts exhibited enhanced

methane yields under light irradiation. As shown in Figure 10, the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion was 1.6% at 150 °C without light on Ru, but the conversion increased to 32.6% at 150 °C with light. Other metals, such as Pt, Ni, and Cu, showed no difference with or without light irradiation.

In addition to generating emitted electrons from the active metals, the photoelectric effect also can induce electron emissions from the supports. Lin et al. 135 exhibited the effect of visible light on methanation over Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>. As a result of the photoelectric effect, the TiO<sub>2</sub> support generated electrons that were transferred to the Ru particles, increasing the surface electron density of Ru and consequently promoting the activation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Doping nitrogen into TiO<sub>2</sub> further improved the photoelectric effect, thus promoting methanation more effectively under visible light. However, this method is only applicable to materials with a significant photoelectric effect under visible light irradiation.

Another method of tuning the electronic properties is to derive the catalysts with higher valence state from the structured catalysts such as perovskites. Zhao et al. 136 reported that the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation selectivity could be tuned by controlling the valence state of nickel using lanthanum-iron-nickel perovskites through a doping-segregation process. The higher valence states of nickel weakened the binding of CO, increasing the activation barrier for further CO hydrogenation and leading to a higher CO selectivity than that obtained with metallic nickel.

In addition to the structural and electronic properties of the catalyst, other species involved in the reaction, such as water, can affect the promotion or inhibition of CO

desorption. The introduction of water vapor decreased the  $CO_2$  conversion because  $H_2O$  inhibited  $CO_2$  activation, reducing the amount of CO adsorbed on Ru sites. <sup>137</sup> Therefore, these results suggest that strategies for in-situ water removal – such as sorption-enhanced processes or membrane reactors – may improve  $CO_2$  conversion and merit further investigation.

Changing the coordination environment of the active metal through targeted synthesis also influences the electronic properties. Arandiyan et al.<sup>138</sup> synthesized mesoporous Rh (meso-Rh) nanoparticles by a soft template method to obtain a large distribution of atomic steps. In contrast with Rh nanoparticles prepared by a simple wet chemical reduction, the meso-Rh with low coordination atoms favored methanation over RWGS.




Figure 10. Light enhancement effects on the CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation activity of metal catalysts. CO<sub>2</sub>

conversion on (a) Ru, (b) Rh, and (c) Pt, Ni, and Cu catalysts deposited onto silica with (solid bars) and without (hatched bars) light irradiation. 134

# 7. Challenges and opportunities

Thermocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  by green  $H_2$  represents a promising strategy for producing chemicals and fuels using  $CO_2$  as an environmentally-friendly carbon source. This perspective article presents recent advances in atmospheric-pressure  $CO_2$  hydrogenation to CO and  $CH_4$  with a focus on the electronic properties of heterogeneous catalysts. The key descriptor of  $CO_2$  hydrogenation selectivity is the binding energy of the key intermediate (e.g., CO), which is closely related to the electronic properties of the active metal. The intrinsic properties, particle size, bimetallic effects, and metal-support interactions are critical factors that influence the electronic properties of the active metal and, therefore, the hydrogenation selectivity. Generally, catalysts with high electron density adsorb CO strongly, leading to the further hydrogenation of CO into  $CH_4$ , while catalysts with low electron density favor CO desorption.

For the selective hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> over heterogeneous catalysts, significant challenges and research opportunities remain the following areas:

# (1) Identifying key reaction intermediates for tuning selectivity

As discussed earlier, CO is the most important intermediate in the selective catalytic hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO and CH<sub>4</sub>. The product selectivity correlates well with the CO binding energy, which is determined by the electronic properties of the

active metal. Catalysts with a weaker CO binding energy favor CO formation (e.g., NiFe/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, LaFe<sub>0.9</sub>Ni<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, etc.) while those with a stronger CO binding energy favor CH<sub>4</sub> synthesis (e.g., Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/CeO<sub>2</sub>, etc.). For the selective hydrogenation of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol and other hydrocarbons, the identification of key reaction intermediates is critical for controlling the selectivity for the desired product. Therefore, future research efforts should focus on identifying the correct intermediate(s) for promising heterogeneous catalysts.

# (2) Stabilizing key intermediates for CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH/hydrocarbons synthesis

For direct CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH/hydrocarbons synthesis at elevated pressure, the stabilization of key intermediates is necessary for increasing the selectivity of the desired product. Therefore, significant work remains for identifying key intermediates and developing catalysts whose interactions with those intermediates facilitate further hydrogenation to the desired products. Future research in this area might aim to identify optimized Mδ- catalysts that selectively promote CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>OH, or long-chain hydrocarbons. Through electron transfers from supports and other metals to the active metal, the realization of  $M^{\delta}$  catalysts is potentially possible, which would improve the catalytic performance for the CO<sub>2</sub>-to-CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH/hydrocarbons processes. Recently, negatively charged Au species (Au<sup>δ-</sup>) were found in Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> as a result of the electron migration of anatase-TiO<sub>2</sub> onto the metal surface due to the SMSI effect. <sup>139,140</sup> The  $Au^{\delta-}$ catalysts exhibited enhanced catalytic activity toward CO oxidation and the water-gas shift reaction. Electron-rich Ni<sup>δ-</sup> species were also observed through electron transfer from Ti and O on the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> surface to atomically dispersed Ni. 141

### (3) Promoting CO desorption for RWGS

Low-cost  $M^{\delta+}$  catalysts: Metals that bind CO more strongly tend to produce CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH/hydrocarbons whereas metals with higher valence states (i.e., with low electron density compared to their metallic state) favor CO formation. As mentioned above, single-atom catalysts are generally referred as  $M^{\delta+}$  catalysts. Positively charged single atom  $Ir^{\delta+}$  142,  $Pt^{\delta+}$ 143,144,  $Rh^{\delta+}$  145,  $Au^{\delta+}$ 146 and  $Cu^{\delta+}$ 147 catalysts were recently reported and exhibited enhanced activity in several catalytic reactions such as the watergas shift reaction and CO oxidation. The electronic properties of a specific metal also can be tuned by controlled doping-segregation in  $AB_xO_y$ -type complex oxides such as perovskites, spinels, and mullites. Future efforts should focus on the development of stable and low-cost  $M^{\delta+}$  catalysts to attenuate the CO binding energy and therefore enhance the selectivity of the RWGS reaction.

Excitation-induced desorption: In addition to tuning the CO binding energy, other methods may be pursued to enhance CO desorption, such as excitation-induced desorption. In recent decades, photoexcitation-induced CO desorption has been reported by several groups. <sup>148-151</sup> The proper application of these methods may facilitate desorption and inhibit further hydrogenation of adsorbed CO, improving the selectivity toward RWGS.

Competitive adsorption: Recent studies have revealed that water occupies the active metal sites and influences the catalytic performance. Due to the limited number of adsorption sites on the surface of the active metal, the introduction of other strongly-adsorbed species should lead to the desorption of \*CO and prohibit its further

hydrogenation. The addition of a proper amount of competitively absorbed species should promote the selectivity of the target product.

The determination and controlled synthesis of active sites are necessary to enable the development of active, selective, and stable catalysts for scaling up in industrial processes. For the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> by H<sub>2</sub>, dual-functional catalysts that are active for binding CO<sub>2</sub> and for its subsequent hydrogenation are required. Strong metal-support interactions (SMSIs) and metal-oxide interfaces facilitate these mechanisms, <sup>152</sup> where a support with strong oxygen affinity enhances CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, and well-dispersed transition metals dissociate H<sub>2</sub>, which then spill over onto the support to hydrogenate CO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>153-155</sup> Therefore, efforts to improve CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation catalysts may aim to characterize the active sites and roles of the metal and the support in order to enable controlled synthesis of more effective catalysts.

SMSIs and bimetallic formation can modify the electronic properties of a catalyst, but these properties may be affected by reaction gas atmosphere and temperature. Therefore, accurate characterization of catalysts under reaction conditions (in-situ or operando characterization) is crucial for correctly describing the active sites. These accurate descriptions of active sites under reaction conditions can then be used in models (for example, using DFT calculations and Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations) to correlate fundamental descriptors with reaction trends. Furthermore, this characterization is necessary for the identification of appropriate descriptors, such as oxidation state, adsorbed intermediates, and oxygen, CO, or hydrogen binding energy, to be used for predicting more active catalysts. The identification of accurate descriptors

through correlating DFT calculations with reaction trends can save significant amounts of catalyst screening time.

The effects of oxide support properties and oxygen exchange on CO<sub>2</sub> activation are significant, but the specific mechanisms are not well understood. The oxygen storage capacity and oxygen mobility in reducible metal oxides, particularly ceria, may favor CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption onto oxygen vacancies and promote C-O bond scission. Therefore, future studies may explore the effects of oxygen storage capacity of high surface area reducible metal oxide supports on CO<sub>2</sub> reduction under reaction conditions.

## Acknowledgments

Authors from Tsinghua University acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 21978148 and 21673125. Authors from Columbia University acknowledge support from the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Catalysis Science Program, under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. We would like to thank Dr. Qiyuan Wu from Stony Brook University for his suggestions on this perspective article.

## References

- (1) Lacis, A. A.; Schmidt, G. A.; Rind, D.; Ruedy, R. A. Atmospheric CO<sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;: Principal Control Knob Governing Earth's Temperature. *Science* **2010**, *330* (6002), 356.
- (2) Piñol, J.; Terradas, J.; Lloret, F. Climate Warming, Wildfire Hazard, and Wildfire Occurrence in Coastal Eastern Spain. *Climatic Change* **1998**, *38* (3), 345.
- (3) Mirza, M. M. Q. Climate change and extreme weather events: can developing countries adapt? *Climate Policy* **2003**, *3* (3), 233.
- (4) Lashof, D. A.; Ahuja, D. R. Relative contributions of greenhouse gas emissions to global warming. *Nature* **1990**, *344* (6266), 529.
- (5) Lacis, A. A.; Schmidt, G. A.; Rind, D.; Ruedy, R. A. Atmospheric CO2: principal control knob governing Earth's temperature. *Science* **2010**, *330* (6002), 356.
- (6) Doose, P. R. In *The Geochemical Society Special Publications*; Hill, R. J.;Leventhal, J.;Aizenshtat, Z.;Baedecker, M. J.;Claypool, G.;Eganhouse, R.;Goldhaber, M.;Peters, K., Eds.; Elsevier, 2004; Vol. 9.
- (7) Montenegro, A.; Zickfeld, K.; Eby, M.; Archer, D.; Meissner, K. J.; Weaver, A. J. Lifetime of Anthropogenic Climate Change: Millennial Time Scales of Potential CO2 and Surface Temperature Perturbations. *Journal of Climate* 2009, 22 (10), 2501.
- (8) Tackett, B. M.; Gomez, E.; Chen, J. G. Net reduction of CO2 via its thermocatalytic and electrocatalytic transformation reactions in standard and hybrid processes. *Nature Catalysis* **2019**, *2* (5), 381.
- (9) Zhang, J.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Feng, K.; Yan, B. Can thermocatalytic transformations of captured CO2 reduce CO2 emissions? *Applied Energy* **2021**, *281*.
- (10) Somorjai, G. A.; Kliewer, C. J. Reaction selectivity in heterogeneous catalysis. *Reaction Kinetics and Catalysis Letters* **2009**, *96* (2), 191.
- (11) Su, X.; Yang, X. F.; Huang, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhang, T. Single-Atom Catalysis toward Efficient CO2 Conversion to CO and Formate Products. *Acc Chem Res* **2019**, *52* (3), 656.
- (12) Porosoff, M. D.; Yan, B.; Chen, J. G. Catalytic reduction of CO2 by H2 for synthesis of CO, methanol and hydrocarbons: challenges and opportunities. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2016**, *9* (1), 62.
- (13) Aziz, M. A. A.; Jalil, A. A.; Triwahyono, S.; Ahmad, A. CO2 methanation over heterogeneous catalysts: recent progress and future prospects. *Green Chemistry* **2015**, *17* (5), 2647.
- (14) Daza, Y. A.; Kuhn, J. N. CO2conversion by reverse water gas shift catalysis: comparison of catalysts, mechanisms and their consequences for CO2conversion to liquid fuels. *RSC Advances* **2016**, *6* (55), 49675.
- (15) Chen, X.; Su, X.; Su, H.-Y.; Liu, X.; Miao, S.; Zhao, Y.; Sun, K.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T. Theoretical Insights and the Corresponding Construction of Supported Metal Catalysts for Highly Selective CO2 to CO Conversion. ACS Catalysis 2017, 7 (7), 4613.
- (16) Li, Y.; Chan, S. H.; Sun, Q. Heterogeneous catalytic conversion of CO2: a comprehensive theoretical review. *Nanoscale* **2015**, 7 (19), 8663.
- (17) Younas, M.; Loong Kong, L.; Bashir, M. J. K.; Nadeem, H.; Shehzad, A.; Sethupathi, S. Recent Advancements, Fundamental Challenges, and Opportunities in Catalytic Methanation of CO2. *Energy & Fuels* **2016**, *30* (11), 8815.

- (18) Wei, W.; Jinlong, G. Methanation of carbon dioxide: an overview. *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering* **2011**, *5* (1), 2.
- (19) Frontera, P.; Macario, A.; Ferraro, M.; Antonucci, P. Supported Catalysts for CO2 Methanation: A Review. *Catalysts* **2017**, *7* (12).
- (20) Lee, W. J.; Li, C.; Prajitno, H.; Yoo, J.; Patel, J.; Yang, Y.; Lim, S. Recent trend in thermal catalytic low temperature CO2 methanation: A critical review. *Catalysis Today* **2020**, DOI:10.1016/j.cattod.2020.02.017 10.1016/j.cattod.2020.02.017.
- (21) Solis-Garcia, A.; Fierro-Gonzalez, J. C. Mechanistic Insights into the CO(2) Methanation Catalyzed by Supported Metals: A Review. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* **2019**, *19* (6), 3110.
- (22) Zhu, M.; Ge, Q.; Zhu, X. Catalytic Reduction of CO2 to CO via Reverse Water Gas Shift Reaction: Recent Advances in the Design of Active and Selective Supported Metal Catalysts. *Transactions of Tianjin University* 2020, 26 (3), 172.
- (23) Su, X.; Yang, X.; Zhao, B.; Huang, Y. Designing of highly selective and high-temperature endurable RWGS heterogeneous catalysts: recent advances and the future directions. *Journal of Energy Chemistry* **2017**, *26* (5), 854.
- (24) Din, I. U.; Shaharun, M. S.; Alotaibi, M. A.; Alharthi, A. I.; Naeem, A. Recent developments on heterogeneous catalytic CO2 reduction to methanol. *Journal of CO2 Utilization* 2019, 34, 20.
- (25) Guil-Lopez, R.; Mota, N.; Llorente, J.; Millan, E.; Pawelec, B.; Fierro, J. L. G.; Navarro, R. M. Methanol Synthesis from CO2: A Review of the Latest Developments in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Materials (Basel)* **2019**, *12* (23).
- (26) Liu, X.-M.; Lu, G. Q.; Yan, Z.-F.; Beltramini, J. Recent Advances in Catalysts for Methanol Synthesis via Hydrogenation of CO and CO2. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 2003, 42 (25), 6518.
- (27) Bowker, M. Methanol Synthesis from CO2 Hydrogenation. *ChemCatChem* **2019**, *11* (17), 4238.
- (28) Kattel, S.; Liu, P.; Chen, J. G. Tuning Selectivity of CO2 Hydrogenation Reactions at the Metal/Oxide Interface. *J Am Chem Soc* **2017**, *139* (29), 9739.
- (29) Heine, C.; Lechner, B. A.; Bluhm, H.; Salmeron, M. Recycling of CO2: Probing the Chemical State of the Ni(111) Surface during the Methanation Reaction with Ambient-Pressure X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. *J Am Chem Soc* **2016**, *138* (40), 13246.
- (30) Zhao, K.; Wang, L.; Calizzi, M.; Moioli, E.; Züttel, A. In Situ Control of the Adsorption Species in CO2 Hydrogenation: Determination of Intermediates and Byproducts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2018**, *122* (36), 20888.
- (31) Martin, N. M.; Hemmingsson, F.; Wang, X.; Merte, L. R.; Hejral, U.; Gustafson, J.; Skoglundh, M.; Meira, D. M.; Dippel, A.-C.; Gutowski, O.et al. Structure–function relationship during CO2 methanation over Rh/Al2O3 and Rh/SiO2 catalysts under atmospheric pressure conditions. Catalysis Science & Technology 2018, 8 (10), 2686.
- (32) Resasco, J.; DeRita, L.; Dai, S.; Chada, J. P.; Xu, M.; Yan, X.; Finzel, J.; Hanukovich, S.; Hoffman, A. S.; Graham, G. W.et al. Uniformity Is Key in Defining Structure-Function Relationships for Atomically Dispersed Metal Catalysts: The Case of Pt/CeO2. *J Am Chem Soc* **2020**, *142* (1), 169.
- (33) Zhang, L.; Filot, I. A. W.; Su, Y. Q.; Liu, J. X.; Hensen, E. J. M. Understanding the Impact of Defects on Catalytic CO Oxidation of LaFeO3-Supported Rh, Pd, and Pt Single-Atom Catalysts. J Phys Chem C Nanomater Interfaces 2019, 123 (12), 7290.

- (34) Mutschler, R.; Moioli, E.; Luo, W.; Gallandat, N.; Züttel, A. CO2 hydrogenation reaction over pristine Fe, Co, Ni, Cu and Al2O3 supported Ru: Comparison and determination of the activation energies. *Journal of Catalysis* **2018**, *366*, 139.
- (35) Garbarino, G.; Cavattoni, T.; Riani, P.; Busca, G. Support effects in metal catalysis: a study of the behavior of unsupported and silica-supported cobalt catalysts in the hydrogenation of CO2 at atmospheric pressure. *Catalysis Today* **2020**, *345*, 213.
- (36) Garbarino, G.; Riani, P.; Magistri, L.; Busca, G. A study of the methanation of carbon dioxide on Ni/Al2O3 catalysts at atmospheric pressure. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2014**, *39* (22), 11557.
- (37) Pandey, D.; Ray, K.; Bhardwaj, R.; Bojja, S.; Chary, K. V. R.; Deo, G. Promotion of unsupported nickel catalyst using iron for CO2 methanation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2018**, *43* (10), 4987.
- (38) Kim, D. H.; Han, S. W.; Yoon, H. S.; Kim, Y. D. Reverse water gas shift reaction catalyzed by Fe nanoparticles with high catalytic activity and stability. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* **2015**, *23*, 67.
- (39) Bersani, M.; Gupta, K.; Mishra, A. K.; Lanza, R.; Taylor, S. F. R.; Islam, H.-U.; Hollingsworth, N.; Hardacre, C.; de Leeuw, N. H.; Darr, J. A. Combined EXAFS, XRD, DRIFTS, and DFT Study of Nano Copper-Based Catalysts for CO2Hydrogenation. *ACS Catalysis* **2016**, *6* (9), 5823.
- (40) Panagiotopoulou, P. Hydrogenation of CO 2 over supported noble metal catalysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2017**, *542*, 63.
- (41) Beuls, A.; Swalus, C.; Jacquemin, M.; Heyen, G.; Karelovic, A.; Ruiz, P. Methanation of CO2: Further insight into the mechanism over Rh/γ-Al2O3 catalyst. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 2012, 113-114, 2.
- (42) Petala, A.; Panagiotopoulou, P. Methanation of CO2 over alkali-promoted Ru/TiO2 catalysts:

   I. Effect of alkali additives on catalytic activity and selectivity. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 2018, 224, 919.
- (43) Tada, S.; Ochieng, O. J.; Kikuchi, R.; Haneda, T.; Kameyama, H. Promotion of CO2 methanation activity and CH4 selectivity at low temperatures over Ru/CeO2/Al2O3 catalysts. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 2014, 39 (19), 10090.
- (44) Avanesian, T.; Gusmão, G. S.; Christopher, P. Mechanism of CO2 reduction by H2 on Ru(0 0 0 1) and general selectivity descriptors for late-transition metal catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis* 2016, 343, 86.
- (45) Aldana, P. A. U.; Ocampo, F.; Kobl, K.; Louis, B.; Thibault-Starzyk, F.; Daturi, M.; Bazin, P.; Thomas, S.; Roger, A. C. Catalytic CO2 valorization into CH4 on Ni-based ceria-zirconia. Reaction mechanism by operando IR spectroscopy. *Catalysis Today* **2013**, *215*, 201.
- (46) da Silva, D. C. D.; Letichevsky, S.; Borges, L. E. P.; Appel, L. G. The Ni/ZrO2 catalyst and the methanation of CO and CO2. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2012**, *37* (11), 8923.
- (47) Park, J.-N.; McFarland, E. W. A highly dispersed Pd–Mg/SiO2 catalyst active for methanation of CO2. *Journal of Catalysis* **2009**, *266* (1), 92.
- (48) Zhou, R.; Rui, N.; Fan, Z.; Liu, C.-j. Effect of the structure of Ni/TiO2 catalyst on CO2 methanation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2016**, *41* (47), 22017.
- (49) Martin, N. M.; Velin, P.; Skoglundh, M.; Bauer, M.; Carlsson, P.-A. Catalytic hydrogenation of CO2 to methane over supported Pd, Rh and Ni catalysts. *Catalysis Science & Technology* 2017, 7 (5), 1086.

- (50) Winter, L. R.; Gomez, E.; Yan, B.; Yao, S.; Chen, J. G. Tuning Ni-catalyzed CO2 hydrogenation selectivity via Ni-ceria support interactions and Ni-Fe bimetallic formation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2018**, *224*, 442.
- (51) Weatherbee, G. D.; Bartholomew, C. H. Hydrogenation of CO2 on group VIII metals: IV. Specific activities and selectivities of silica-supported Co, Fe, and Ru. *Journal of Catalysis* **1984**, 87 (2), 352.
- (52) Zhu, J.; Zhang, G.; Li, W.; Zhang, X.; Ding, F.; Song, C.; Guo, X. Deconvolution of the Particle Size Effect on CO2 Hydrogenation over Iron-Based Catalysts. ACS Catalysis 2020, 10 (13), 7424.
- (53) Chen, X.; Su, X.; Liang, B.; Yang, X.; Ren, X.; Duan, H.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T. Identification of relevant active sites and a mechanism study for reverse water gas shift reaction over Pt/CeO 2 catalysts. *Journal of Energy Chemistry* **2016**, *25* (6), 1051.
- (54) Kattel, S.; Yan, B.; Chen, J. G.; Liu, P. CO2 hydrogenation on Pt, Pt/SiO2 and Pt/TiO2: Importance of synergy between Pt and oxide support. *Journal of Catalysis* **2016**, *343*, 115.
- (55) Kim, S. S.; Lee, H. H.; Hong, S. C. A study on the effect of support's reducibility on the reverse water-gas shift reaction over Pt catalysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2012**, *423-424*, 100.
- (56) Fujita, S.-I.; Takezawa, N. Difference in the selectivity of CO and CO2 methanation reactions. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **1997**, *68* (1), 63.
- (57) Aziz, M. A. A.; Jalil, A. A.; Triwahyono, S.; Sidik, S. M. Methanation of carbon dioxide on metal-promoted mesostructured silica nanoparticles. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2014**, *486*, 115.
- (58) Kattel, S.; Yan, B.; Yang, Y.; Chen, J. G.; Liu, P. Optimizing Binding Energies of Key Intermediates for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Oxide-Supported Copper. *J Am Chem Soc* **2016**, *138* (38), 12440.
- (59) Wang, A.; Li, J.; Zhang, T. Heterogeneous single-atom catalysis. *Nature Reviews Chemistry* **2018**, *2* (6), 65.
- (60) Dhiman, M.; Polshettiwar, V. Supported Single Atom and Pseudo-Single Atom of Metals as Sustainable Heterogeneous Nanocatalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2018**, *10* (5), 881.
- (61) Zhao, H.; Yao, S.; Zhang, M.; Huang, F.; Fan, Q.; Zhang, S.; Liu, H.; Ma, D.; Gao, C. Ultra-Small Platinum Nanoparticles Encapsulated in Sub-50 nm Hollow Titania Nanospheres for Low-Temperature Water-Gas Shift Reaction. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2018, 10 (43), 36954.
- (62) Zhao, X.; Xu, H.; Wang, X.; Zheng, Z.; Xu, Z.; Ge, J. Monodisperse Metal-Organic Framework Nanospheres with Encapsulated Core-Shell Nanoparticles Pt/Au@Pd@{Co2(oba)4(3-bpdh)2}4H2O for the Highly Selective Conversion of CO2 to CO. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (17), 15096.
- (63) Yang, X.-F.; Wang, A.; Qiao, B.; Li, J.; Liu, J.; Zhang, T. Single-Atom Catalysts: A New Frontier in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2013**, *46* (8), 1740.
- (64) Zhang, H.; Liu, G.; Shi, L.; Ye, J. Single-Atom Catalysts: Emerging Multifunctional Materials in Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2018**, *8* (1).
- (65) Kwak, J. H.; Kovarik, L.; Szanyi, J. CO2 Reduction on Supported Ru/Al2O3 Catalysts: Cluster Size Dependence of Product Selectivity. ACS Catalysis 2013, 3 (11), 2449.
- (66) Kwak, J. H.; Kovarik, L.; Szanyi, J. Heterogeneous Catalysis on Atomically Dispersed Supported Metals: CO2 Reduction on Multifunctional Pd Catalysts. ACS Catalysis 2013, 3 (9), 2094.

- (67) Aitbekova, A.; Wu, L.; Wrasman, C. J.; Boubnov, A.; Hoffman, A. S.; Goodman, E. D.; Bare, S. R.; Cargnello, M. Low-Temperature Restructuring of CeO2-Supported Ru Nanoparticles Determines Selectivity in CO2 Catalytic Reduction. *J Am Chem Soc* 2018, 140 (42), 13736.
- (68) Matsubu, J. C.; Yang, V. N.; Christopher, P. Isolated metal active site concentration and stability control catalytic CO2 reduction selectivity. *J Am Chem Soc* **2015**, *137* (8), 3076.
- (69) Li, S.; Xu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Li, W.; Lin, L.; Li, M.; Deng, Y.; Wang, X.; Ge, B.; Yang, C.et al. Tuning the Selectivity of Catalytic Carbon Dioxide Hydrogenation over Iridium/Cerium Oxide Catalysts with a Strong Metal-Support Interaction. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2017, 56 (36), 10761.
- (70) Wang, Y.; Arandiyan, H.; Scott, J.; Aguey-Zinsou, K.-F.; Amal, R. Single Atom and Nanoclustered Pt Catalysts for Selective CO2 Reduction. ACS Applied Energy Materials 2018, 1 (12), 6781.
- (71) Wu, H. C.; Chang, Y. C.; Wu, J. H.; Lin, J. H.; Lin, I. K.; Chen, C. S. Methanation of CO2 and reverse water gas shift reactions on Ni/SiO2 catalysts: the influence of particle size on selectivity and reaction pathway. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2015**, *5* (8), 4154.
- (72) Lu, B.; Kawamoto, K. Preparation of monodispersed NiO particles in SBA-15, and its enhanced selectivity for reverse water gas shift reaction. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **2013**, *1* (3), 300.
- (73) Lu, B.; Kawamoto, K. Preparation of mesoporous CeO2 and monodispersed NiO particles in CeO2, and enhanced selectivity of NiO/CeO2 for reverse water gas shift reaction. *Materials Research Bulletin* **2014**, *53*, 70.
- (74) Gonçalves, R. V.; Vono, L. L. R.; Wojcieszak, R.; Dias, C. S. B.; Wender, H.; Teixeira-Neto, E.; Rossi, L. M. Selective hydrogenation of CO 2 into CO on a highly dispersed nickel catalyst obtained by magnetron sputtering deposition: A step towards liquid fuels. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2017**, *209*, 240.
- (75) Vogt, C.; Groeneveld, E.; Kamsma, G.; Nachtegaal, M.; Lu, L.; Kiely, C. J.; Berben, P. H.; Meirer, F.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Unravelling structure sensitivity in CO2 hydrogenation over nickel. *Nature Catalysis* **2018**, *I* (2), 127.
- (76) Yan, Y.; Wang, Q.; Jiang, C.; Yao, Y.; Lu, D.; Zheng, J.; Dai, Y.; Wang, H.; Yang, Y. Ru/Al2O3 catalyzed CO2 hydrogenation: Oxygen-exchange on metal-support interfaces. *Journal of Catalysis* **2018**, *367*, 194.
- (77) Liang, S.; Hao, C.; Shi, Y. The Power of Single-Atom Catalysis. *ChemCatChem* **2015**, 7 (17), 2559.
- (78) Zhang, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Asakura, H.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, J.; Zhou, M.; Han, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Wang, A.; Zhang, T.et al. Thermally stable single atom Pt/m-Al2O3 for selective hydrogenation and CO oxidation. *Nat Commun* **2017**, *8*, 16100.
- (79) Panagiotopoulou, P. Methanation of CO2 over alkali-promoted Ru/TiO2 catalysts: II. Effect of alkali additives on the reaction pathway. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2018**, *236*, 162.
- (80) Chou, P.; Vannice, M. A. Calorimetric heat of adsorption measurements on palladium: I. Influence of crystallite size and support on hydrogen adsorption. *Journal of Catalysis* **1987**, *104* (1), 1.
- (81) Martins, J.; Batail, N.; Silva, S.; Rafik-Clement, S.; Karelovic, A.; Debecker, D. P.; Chaumonnot, A.; Uzio, D. CO2 hydrogenation with shape-controlled Pd nanoparticles embedded in mesoporous silica: Elucidating stability and selectivity issues. *Catalysis*

- *Communications* **2015**, *58*, 11.
- (82) Loveless, B. T.; Buda, C.; Neurock, M.; Iglesia, E. CO chemisorption and dissociation at high coverages during CO hydrogenation on Ru catalysts. *J Am Chem Soc* **2013**, *135* (16), 6107.
- (83) Beierlein, D.; Häussermann, D.; Pfeifer, M.; Schwarz, T.; Stöwe, K.; Traa, Y.; Klemm, E. Is the CO2 methanation on highly loaded Ni-Al2O3 catalysts really structure-sensitive? *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2019**, *247*, 200.
- (84) Guo, Y.; Mei, S.; Yuan, K.; Wang, D.-J.; Liu, H.-C.; Yan, C.-H.; Zhang, Y.-W. Low-Temperature CO2 Methanation over CeO2-Supported Ru Single Atoms, Nanoclusters, and Nanoparticles Competitively Tuned by Strong Metal–Support Interactions and H-Spillover Effect. ACS Catalysis 2018, 8 (7), 6203.
- (85) Liu, Q.; Bian, B.; Fan, J.; Yang, J. Cobalt doped Ni based ordered mesoporous catalysts for CO2 methanation with enhanced catalytic performance. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 2018, 43 (10), 4893.
- (86) Xu, L.; Lian, X.; Chen, M.; Cui, Y.; Wang, F.; Li, W.; Huang, B. CO2 methanation over Co Ni bimetal-doped ordered mesoporous Al2O3 catalysts with enhanced low-temperature activities. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2018**, *43* (36), 17172.
- (87) Arandiyan, H.; Wang, Y.; Scott, J.; Mesgari, S.; Dai, H.; Amal, R. In Situ Exsolution of Bimetallic Rh-Ni Nanoalloys: a Highly Efficient Catalyst for CO2 Methanation. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (19), 16352.
- (88) Shang, X.; Deng, D.; Wang, X.; Xuan, W.; Zou, X.; Ding, W.; Lu, X. Enhanced low-temperature activity for CO2 methanation over Ru doped the Ni/CexZr(1-)O2 catalysts prepared by one-pot hydrolysis method. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2018**, *43* (14), 7179.
- (89) Liu, Q.; Wang, S.; Zhao, G.; Yang, H.; Yuan, M.; An, X.; Zhou, H.; Qiao, Y.; Tian, Y. CO2 methanation over ordered mesoporous NiRu-doped CaO-Al2O3 nanocomposites with enhanced catalytic performance. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2018**, *43* (1), 239.
- (90) Burger, T.; Koschany, F.; Thomys, O.; Köhler, K.; Hinrichsen, O. CO2 methanation over Feand Mn-promoted co-precipitated Ni-Al catalysts: Synthesis, characterization and catalysis study. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2018**, *558*, 44.
- (91) Lu, H.; Yang, X.; Gao, G.; Wang, J.; Han, C.; Liang, X.; Li, C.; Li, Y.; Zhang, W.; Chen, X. Metal (Fe, Co, Ce or La) doped nickel catalyst supported on ZrO2 modified mesoporous clays for CO and CO2 methanation. *Fuel* **2016**, *183*, 335.
- (92) Mebrahtu, C.; Krebs, F.; Perathoner, S.; Abate, S.; Centi, G.; Palkovits, R. Hydrotalcite based Ni–Fe/(Mg, Al)Ox catalysts for CO2 methanation tailoring Fe content for improved CO dissociation, basicity, and particle size. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2018**, *8* (4), 1016.
- (93) Mutz, B.; Belimov, M.; Wang, W.; Sprenger, P.; Serrer, M.-A.; Wang, D.; Pfeifer, P.; Kleist, W.; Grunwaldt, J.-D. Potential of an Alumina-Supported Ni3Fe Catalyst in the Methanation of CO2: Impact of Alloy Formation on Activity and Stability. ACS Catalysis 2017, 7 (10), 6802.
- (94) Yan, B.; Zhao, B.; Kattel, S.; Wu, Q.; Yao, S.; Su, D.; Chen, J. G. Tuning CO2 hydrogenation selectivity via metal-oxide interfacial sites. *Journal of Catalysis* **2019**, *374*, 60.
- (95) Mihet, M.; Lazar, M. D. Methanation of CO2 on Ni/γ-Al2O3: Influence of Pt, Pd or Rh promotion. *Catalysis Today* **2018**, *306*, 294.
- (96) Beaumont, S. K.; Alayoglu, S.; Specht, C.; Michalak, W. D.; Pushkarev, V. V.; Guo, J.; Kruse, N.; Somorjai, G. A. Combining in situ NEXAFS spectroscopy and CO(2) methanation kinetics

- to study Pt and Co nanoparticle catalysts reveals key insights into the role of platinum in promoted cobalt catalysis. *J Am Chem Soc* **2014**, *136* (28), 9898.
- (97) Beaumont, S. K.; Alayoglu, S.; Specht, C.; Kruse, N.; Somorjai, G. A. A nanoscale demonstration of hydrogen atom spillover and surface diffusion across silica using the kinetics of CO2 methanation catalyzed on spatially separate Pt and Co nanoparticles. *Nano Lett* **2014**, *14* (8), 4792.
- (98) Ou, Z.; Qin, C.; Niu, J.; Zhang, L.; Ran, J. A comprehensive DFT study of CO2 catalytic conversion by H2 over Pt-doped Ni catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2019**, 44 (2), 819.
- (99) Bacariza, M. C.; Bértolo, R.; Graça, I.; Lopes, J. M.; Henriques, C. The effect of the compensating cation on the catalytic performances of Ni/USY zeolites towards CO2 methanation. *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2017**, *21*, 280.
- (100) Büchel, R.; Baiker, A.; Pratsinis, S. E. Effect of Ba and K addition and controlled spatial deposition of Rh in Rh/Al2O3 catalysts for CO2 hydrogenation. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2014**, *477*, 93.
- (101) Heyl, D.; Rodemerck, U.; Bentrup, U. Mechanistic Study of Low-Temperature CO2 Hydrogenation over Modified Rh/Al2O3 Catalysts. *ACS Catalysis* **2016**, *6* (9), 6275.
- (102) Porosoff, M. D.; Baldwin, J. W.; Peng, X.; Mpourmpakis, G.; Willauer, H. D. Potassium-Promoted Molybdenum Carbide as a Highly Active and Selective Catalyst for CO2 Conversion to CO. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10* (11), 2408.
- (103) Liang, B.; Duan, H.; Su, X.; Chen, X.; Huang, Y.; Chen, X.; Delgado, J. J.; Zhang, T. Promoting role of potassium in the reverse water gas shift reaction on Pt/mullite catalyst. *Catalysis Today* **2017**, *281*, 319.
- (104) Yang, X.; Su, X.; Chen, X.; Duan, H.; Liang, B.; Liu, Q.; Liu, X.; Ren, Y.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T. Promotion effects of potassium on the activity and selectivity of Pt/zeolite catalysts for reverse water gas shift reaction. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 2017, 216, 95.
- (105) Dreyer, J. A. H.; Li, P.; Zhang, L.; Beh, G. K.; Zhang, R.; Sit, P. H. L.; Teoh, W. Y. Influence of the oxide support reducibility on the CO2 methanation over Ru-based catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2017**, *219*, 715.
- (106) Díez-Ramírez, J.; Sánchez, P.; Kyriakou, V.; Zafeiratos, S.; Marnellos, G. E.; Konsolakis, M.; Dorado, F. Effect of support nature on the cobalt-catalyzed CO2 hydrogenation. *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2017**, *21*, 562.
- (107) Muroyama, H.; Tsuda, Y.; Asakoshi, T.; Masitah, H.; Okanishi, T.; Matsui, T.; Eguchi, K. Carbon dioxide methanation over Ni catalysts supported on various metal oxides. *Journal of Catalysis* **2016**, *343*, 178.
- (108) Winter, L. R.; Chen, R.; Chen, X.; Chang, K.; Liu, Z.; Senanayake, S. D.; Ebrahim, A. M.; Chen, J. G. Elucidating the roles of metallic Ni and oxygen vacancies in CO2 hydrogenation over Ni/CeO2 using isotope exchange and in situ measurements. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 2019, 245, 360.
- (109) Lechkar, A.; Barroso Bogeat, A.; Blanco, G.; Pintado, J. M.; Soussi el Begrani, M. Methanation of carbon dioxide over ceria-praseodymia promoted Ni-alumina catalysts. Influence of metal loading, promoter composition and alumina modifier. *Fuel* **2018**, *234*, 1401.
- (110) Lin, J.; Ma, C.; Wang, Q.; Xu, Y.; Ma, G.; Wang, J.; Wang, H.; Dong, C.; Zhang, C.; Ding, M. Enhanced low-temperature performance of CO2 methanation over mesoporous Ni/Al2O3-ZrO2

- catalysts. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 2019, 243, 262.
- (111) Meng, F.; Li, Z.; Ji, F.; Li, M. Effect of ZrO2 on catalyst structure and catalytic methanation performance over Ni-based catalyst in slurry-bed reactor. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2015**, *40* (29), 8833.
- (112) Nie, W.; Zou, X.; Shang, X.; Wang, X.; Ding, W.; Lu, X. CeO 2 -assisted Ni nanocatalysts supported on mesoporous γ-Al 2 O 3 for the production of synthetic natural gas. *Fuel* **2017**, *202*, 135
- (113) Iglesias, I.; Quindimil, A.; Mariño, F.; De-La-Torre, U.; González-Velasco, J. R. Zr promotion effect in CO2 methanation over ceria supported nickel catalysts. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2019**, *44* (3), 1710.
- (114) Zhou, G.; Liu, H.; Cui, K.; Jia, A.; Hu, G.; Jiao, Z.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X. Role of surface Ni and Ce species of Ni/CeO2 catalyst in CO2 methanation. *Applied Surface Science* **2016**, *383*, 248.
- (115) Nie, X.; Wang, H.; Li, W.; Chen, Y.; Guo, X.; Song, C. DFT insight into the support effect on the adsorption and activation of key species over Co catalysts for CO2 methanation. *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2018**, *24*, 99.
- (116) Pan, Q.; Peng, J.; Sun, T.; Gao, D.; Wang, S.; Wang, S. CO2 methanation on Ni/Ce0.5Zr0.5O2 catalysts for the production of synthetic natural gas. *Fuel Processing Technology* **2014**, *123*, 166.
- (117) Pan, Q.; Peng, J.; Wang, S.; Wang, S. In situ FTIR spectroscopic study of the CO2methanation mechanism on Ni/Ce0.5Zr0.5O2. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (2), 502.
- (118) Sun, F.-m.; Yan, C.-f.; Wang, Z.-d.; Guo, C.-q.; Huang, S.-l. Ni/Ce–Zr–O catalyst for high CO2 conversion during reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS). *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **2015**, *40* (46), 15985.
- (119) Liu, X.; Kunkel, C.; Ramírez de la Piscina, P.; Homs, N.; Viñes, F.; Illas, F. Effective and Highly Selective CO Generation from CO2Using a Polycrystalline α-Mo2C Catalyst. ACS Catalysis 2017, 7 (7), 4323.
- (120) Na, H.-S.; Shim, J.-O.; Ahn, S.-Y.; Jang, W.-J.; Jeon, K.-W.; Kim, H.-M.; Lee, Y.-L.; Kim, K.-J.; Roh, H.-S. Effect of precipitation sequence on physicochemical properties of CeO2 support for hydrogen production from low-temperature water-gas shift reaction. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 2018, 43 (37), 17718.
- (121) Sakpal, T.; Lefferts, L. Structure-dependent activity of CeO2 supported Ru catalysts for CO2 methanation. *Journal of Catalysis* **2018**, *367*, 171.
- (122) Lin, Q.; Liu, X. Y.; Jiang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T. Crystal phase effects on the structure and performance of ruthenium nanoparticles for CO2 hydrogenation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (7), 2058.
- (123) Kim, A.; Sanchez, C.; Patriarche, G.; Ersen, O.; Moldovan, S.; Wisnet, A.; Sassoye, C.; Debecker, D. P. Selective CO2 methanation on Ru/TiO2 catalysts: unravelling the decisive role of the TiO2 support crystal structure. *Catalysis Science & Technology* 2016, 6 (22), 8117.
- (124) Kim, A.; Debecker, D. P.; Devred, F.; Dubois, V.; Sanchez, C.; Sassoye, C. CO2 methanation on Ru/TiO2 catalysts: On the effect of mixing anatase and rutile TiO2 supports. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2018**, *220*, 615.
- (125) Lin, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Pan, X.; Bao, X. Modulating the methanation activity of Ni by the crystal phase of TiO2. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2017**, *7* (13), 2813.
- (126) Gnanakumar, E. S.; Chandran, N.; Kozhevnikov, I. V.; Grau-Atienza, A.; Ramos Fernández, E.

- V.; Sepulveda-Escribano, A.; Shiju, N. R. Highly efficient nickel-niobia composite catalysts for hydrogenation of CO2 to methane. *Chemical Engineering Science* **2019**, *194*, 2.
- (127) Zhao, K.; Wang, W.; Li, Z. Highly efficient Ni/ZrO2 catalysts prepared via combustion method for CO2 methanation. *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2016**, *16*, 236.
- (128) Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, X.; Wang, R.; Duan, H.; Shen, Z.; Li, L.; Su, Y.; Yang, R.; Zhang, Y.et al. Tuning selectivity of CO2 hydrogenation by modulating the strong metal–support interaction over Ir/TiO2 catalysts. *Green Chemistry* 2020, DOI:10.1039/d0gc02302g 10.1039/d0gc02302g.
- (129) Lippi, R.; Howard, S. C.; Barron, H.; Easton, C. D.; Madsen, I. C.; Waddington, L. J.; Vogt, C.; Hill, M. R.; Sumby, C. J.; Doonan, C. J. al. Highly active catalyst for CO2 methanation derived from a metal organic framework template. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2017**, *5* (25), 12990.
- (130) Zhao, Z.-W.; Zhou, X.; Liu, Y.-N.; Shen, C.-C.; Yuan, C.-Z.; Jiang, Y.-F.; Zhao, S.-J.; Ma, L.-B.; Cheang, T.-Y.; Xu, A.-W. Ultrasmall Ni nanoparticles embedded in Zr-based MOFs provide high selectivity for CO2 hydrogenation to methane at low temperatures. *Catalysis Science & Technology* **2018**, *8* (12), 3160.
- (131) Lam, E.; Corral-Perez, J. J.; Larmier, K.; Noh, G.; Wolf, P.; Comas-Vives, A.; Urakawa, A.; Coperet, C. CO2 Hydrogenation on Cu/Al2 O3: Role of the Metal/Support Interface in Driving Activity and Selectivity of a Bifunctional Catalyst. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2019, 58 (39), 13989.
- (132) Liao, F.; Huang, Y.; Ge, J.; Zheng, W.; Tedsree, K.; Collier, P.; Hong, X.; Tsang, S. C. Morphology-dependent interactions of ZnO with Cu nanoparticles at the materials' interface in selective hydrogenation of CO2 to CH3OH. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2011, 50 (9), 2162.
- (133) Yang, X.; Kattel, S.; Senanayake, S. D.; Boscoboinik, J. A.; Nie, X.; Graciani, J.; Rodriguez, J. A.; Liu, P.; Stacchiola, D. J.; Chen, J. G. Low Pressure CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Gold Nanoparticles Activated on a CeOx/TiO2 Interface. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2015, 137 (32), 10104.
- (134) Kim, C.; Hyeon, S.; Lee, J.; Kim, W. D.; Lee, D. C.; Kim, J.; Lee, H. Energy-efficient CO2 hydrogenation with fast response using photoexcitation of CO2 adsorbed on metal catalysts. *Nat Commun* **2018**, *9* (1), 3027.
- (135) Lin, L.; Wang, K.; Yang, K.; Chen, X.; Fu, X.; Dai, W. The visible-light-assisted thermocatalytic methanation of CO2 over Ru/TiO(2-x)Nx. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **2017**, *204*, 440.
- (136) Zhao, B.; Yan, B.; Jiang, Z.; Yao, S.; Liu, Z.; Wu, Q.; Ran, R.; Senanayake, S. D.; Weng, D.; Chen, J. G. High selectivity of CO2 hydrogenation to CO by controlling the valence state of nickel using perovskite. *Chem Commun (Camb)* 2018, 54 (53), 7354.
- (137) Abdel-Mageed, A. M.; Eckle, S.; Behm, R. J. High Selectivity of Supported Ru Catalysts in the Selective CO Methanation-Water Makes the Difference. *J Am Chem Soc* **2015**, *137* (27), 8672.
- (138) Arandiyan, H.; Kani, K.; Wang, Y.; Jiang, B.; Kim, J.; Yoshino, M.; Rezaei, M.; Rowan, A. E.; Dai, H.; Yamauchi, Y. Highly Selective Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Methane on Novel Mesoporous Rh Catalysts. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2018, 10 (30), 24963.
- (139) Liu, N.; Xu, M.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, J.; Wang, W.; Zheng, L.; Hong, S.; Wei, M. Auδ—Ov–Ti3+ Interfacial Site: Catalytic Active Center toward Low-Temperature Water Gas Shift Reaction. ACS Catalysis 2019, 9 (4), 2707.

- (140) Tang, H.; Su, Y.; Zhang, B.; Lee, A. F.; Isaacs, M. A.; Wilson, K.; Li, L.; Ren, Y.; Huang, J.; Haruta, M.et al. Classical strong metal–support interactions between gold nanoparticles and titanium dioxide. *Science Advances* **2017**, *3* (10), e1700231.
- (141) Zhang, X.; Yan, P.; Zhao, B.; Zhang, Z. C. Identification of electron-rich mononuclear Ni atoms on TiO2-A distinguished from Ni particles on TiO2-R in guaiacol hydrodeoxygenation pathways. *Catalysis Science & Technology* 2020, DOI:10.1039/d0cy01720e 10.1039/d0cy01720e.
- (142) Lin, J.; Wang, A.; Qiao, B.; Liu, X.; Yang, X.; Wang, X.; Liang, J.; Li, J.; Liu, J.; Zhang, T. Remarkable performance of Ir1/FeO(x) single-atom catalyst in water gas shift reaction. *J Am Chem Soc* **2013**, *135* (41), 15314.
- (143) Chen, Y.; Ji, S.; Sun, W.; Chen, W.; Dong, J.; Wen, J.; Zhang, J.; Li, Z.; Zheng, L.; Chen, C.et al. Discovering Partially Charged Single-Atom Pt for Enhanced Anti-Markovnikov Alkene Hydrosilylation. *J Am Chem Soc* **2018**, *140* (24), 7407.
- (144) Wei, H.; Liu, X.; Wang, A.; Zhang, L.; Qiao, B.; Yang, X.; Huang, Y.; Miao, S.; Liu, J.; Zhang, T. FeOx-supported platinum single-atom and pseudo-single-atom catalysts for chemoselective hydrogenation of functionalized nitroarenes. *Nat Commun* 2014, 5, 5634.
- (145) Lang, R.; Li, T.; Matsumura, D.; Miao, S.; Ren, Y.; Cui, Y. T.; Tan, Y.; Qiao, B.; Li, L.; Wang, A.et al. Hydroformylation of Olefins by a Rhodium Single-Atom Catalyst with Activity Comparable to RhCl(PPh3 )3. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **2016**, *55* (52), 16054.
- (146) Qiao, B.; Liang, J.-X.; Wang, A.; Xu, C.-Q.; Li, J.; Zhang, T.; Liu, J. J. Ultrastable single-atom gold catalysts with strong covalent metal-support interaction (CMSI). *Nano Research* **2015**, *8* (9), 2913.
- (147) Abdel-Mageed, A. M.; Rungtaweevoranit, B.; Parlinska-Wojtan, M.; Pei, X.; Yaghi, O. M.; Behm, R. J. Highly Active and Stable Single-Atom Cu Catalysts Supported by a Metal-Organic Framework. *J Am Chem Soc* **2019**, *141* (13), 5201.
- (148) Peremans, A.; Fukutani, K.; Mase, K.; Murata, Y. CO and CO+ photodesorption from Pt(001) at 193 nm. *Phys Rev B Condens Matter* **1993**, *47* (7), 4135.
- (149) Guo, X.; Yoshinobu, J.; Yates, J. T. Photon-induced desorption of CO chemisorbed on the oxidized Ni(111) surface. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1990**, *92* (7), 4320.
- (150) Nakatsuji, H.; Morita, H.; Nakai, H.; Murata, Y.; Fukutani, K. Theoretical study on the photostimulated desorption of CO from a Pt surface. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1996**, *104* (2), 714.
- (151) Aizawa, H.; Tsuneyuki, S. First-principles investigation of photo-induced desorption of CO and NO from Pt(111). *Surface Science* **1996**, *363* (1), 223.
- (152) Rodriguez, J. A.; Liu, P.; Stacchiola, D. J.; Senanayake, S. D.; White, M. G.; Chen, J. G. Hydrogenation of CO2 to Methanol: Importance of Metal–Oxide and Metal–Carbide Interfaces in the Activation of CO2. *ACS Catalysis* **2015**, *5* (11), 6696.
- (153) Duprez, D. In *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis*; Li, C.;Xin, Q., Eds.; Elsevier, 1997; Vol. 112.
- (154) Kattel, S.; Yan, B.; Yang, Y.; Chen, J. G.; Liu, P. Optimizing Binding Energies of Key Intermediates for CO2 Hydrogenation to Methanol over Oxide-Supported Copper. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2016, 138 (38), 12440.
- (155) Wang, X.; Shi, H.; Kwak, J. H.; Szanyi, J. Mechanism of CO2 Hydrogenation on Pd/Al2O3 Catalysts: Kinetics and Transient DRIFTS-MS Studies. *ACS Catalysis* **2015**, *5* (11), 6337.

- (156) Jin, T.; Okuhara, T.; Mains, G. J.; White, J. M. Temperature-programmed desorption of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide from platinum/ceria: an important role for lattice oxygen in carbon monoxide oxidation. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **1987**, *91* (12), 3310.
- (157) Hori, C. E.; Permana, H.; Ng, K. Y. S.; Brenner, A.; More, K.; Rahmoeller, K. M.; Belton, D. Thermal stability of oxygen storage properties in a mixed CeO2-ZrO2 system. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* **1998**, *16* (2), 105.
- (158) Hori, C. E.; Brenner, A.; Simon Ng, K. Y.; Rahmoeller, K. M.; Belton, D. Studies of the oxygen release reaction in the platinum–ceria–zirconia system. *Catalysis Today* **1999**, *50* (2), 299.
- (159) Dong, F.; Suda, A.; Tanabe, T.; Nagai, Y.; Sobukawa, H.; Shinjoh, H.; Sugiura, M.; Descorme, C.; Duprez, D. Dynamic oxygen mobility and a new insight into the role of Zr atoms in three-way catalysts of Pt/CeO2–ZrO2. *Catalysis Today* 2004, 93-95, 827.
- (160) Sadovskaya, E. M.; Ivanova, Y. A.; Pinaeva, L. G.; Grasso, G.; Kuznetsova, T. G.; van Veen, A.; Sadykov, V. A.; Mirodatos, C. Kinetics of Oxygen Exchange over CeO2–ZrO2 Fluorite-Based Catalysts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2007, 111 (20), 4498.
- (161) Bueno-López, A.; Krishna, K.; Makkee, M. Oxygen exchange mechanism between isotopic CO2 and Pt/CeO2. *Applied Catalysis A: General* **2008**, *342* (1), 144.