

Anisotropic Chemical Strain in Cubic Ceria due to Oxygen-Vacancy-Induced Elastic Dipoles

Journal:	Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics				
Manuscript ID	CP-ART-02-2018-001219.R1				
Article Type:	Paper				
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Apr-2018				
Complete List of Authors:	Das, Tridip; Michigan State University, Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science; Michigan State University Nicholas, Jason; Michigan State University, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science Department Sheldon, Brian; Brown University, Engineering Qi, Yue; Michigan State University, Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science				

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Submit to PCCP

Anisotropic Chemical Strain in Cubic Ceria due to Oxygen-Vacancy-Induced Elastic Dipoles

Tridip Das¹, Jason D. Nicholas¹, Brian W. Sheldon², and Yue Qi^{1*}

¹Chemical Engineering & Materials Science Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

²School of Engineering, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

Abstract:

Accurate characterization of chemical strain is required to study a broad range of chemicalmechanical coupling phenomena. One of the most studied mechano-chemically active oxides, nonstoichiometric ceria (CeO_{2-δ}), has only been described by a scalar chemical strain assuming isotropic deformation. However, the combined Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations and elastic dipole tensor theory reveal that both the short-range bond distortions surrounding an oxygen-vacancy and the long-range chemical strain are anisotropic in cubic CeO_{2-δ}. The origin of this anisotropy is the charge disproportionation between the four cerium atoms around each oxygen-vacancy (two become Ce^{3+} and two become Ce^{4+}) when a neutral oxygen-vacancy is formed. Around the oxygen-vacancy, six of the Ce³⁺-O bonds elongate, one of the Ce³⁺-O bond shorten, and all seven of the Ce⁴⁺-O bonds shorten. Further, the average and maximum chemical strain values obtained through tensor analysis successfully bound the various experimental data. Lastly, the anisotropic, oxygen-vacancy-elastic-dipole induced chemical strain is polarizable, which provides a physical model for the giant electrostriction recently discovered in doped and non-doped CeO_{2-δ}. Together, this work highlights the need to consider anisotropic tensors when calculating the chemical strain induced by dilute point defects in all materials, regardless of their symmetry.

Keywords: chemical strain, mechano-chemical coupling, elastic dipole, electrostriction, defects

^{*}Email: yueqi@egr.msu.edu

1 Introduction

Chemical strain, the dimensional change caused by a compositional change, is of interest in a variety of electrochemical devices.^[1] For instance, the coupling between the chemical, mechanical, and electrical state that results from an electrochemically-active material experiencing chemical strain can:^[1] a) produce stress in constrained materials that can (under some situations) lead to their mechanical degradation or failure,^[2,3] b) provide new opportunities to characterize point defect concentration in materials,^[4,5] and c) allow internal point defect concentrations to be altered with an externally applied stress, strain, or electrical potential.^[6–8] Since the majority of electrochemically active materials are mechano-chemically active, accurate values of chemical strain are required to quantify the general chemical-mechanical coupling phenomena.^[9–12]

In materials where chemical-mechanical coupling occurs, cerium oxide (either in pure or doped form) is the most widely studied nonstoichiometric oxides to date^[5] because the oxygen vacancy concentration can be varied over many orders of magnitude^[13,14] and because of its broad application as a catalyst,^[15] Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) material,^[13,14,16-19] high-performance electrostrictor,^[7] oxide memristor component,^[8,20] etc. It is also helpful that ceria has a high chemical expansion coefficient (the chemical strain per defect),^[5] α_C , defined as:

$$\alpha_C = \varepsilon_C / \delta \tag{1}$$

where ε_c is the chemical strain and δ is the oxygen nonstoichiometry. Its unique functionality and chemical strain are largely determined by the electronic structure of the oxygen vacancies. Therefore, accurate chemical expansion coefficient, α_c , determined by density functional theory (DFT) calculations can be used along with the measured chemical strain, ε_c , to determine the vacancy concentration, δ *in operando*, as demonstrated in other oxide material for battery applications.^{[11][21]}

Although an elastic dipole tensor^[22] is the most general way to describe the short-andlong range deformation caused by changes in dilute point defect concentrations, previous experimental ^{[23]~[33]} and computational^[9,10,19] studies on ceria have often treated the chemical strain, ε_c , as a scalar (effectively assuming uniform oxygen-vacancy-induced strains in pure and doped CeO_{2-δ}). For instance, by computing the average lattice parameter change as a function of δ , Marrocchelli *et al.*^[9] predicted the average α_c in CeO_{2- δ} using molecular dynamics with a DIPole polarizable ion model (DIPPIM) force field.^[34] Further, Er *et al.*^[10] found uniform Ce deformation around an oxygen vacancy using density functional theory (DFT) and calculated a scalar α_c based only on the principle components of the elastic dipole tensor. Wang *et al.* demonstrated non-uniform Ce displacement around an oxygen vacancy using DFT+U calculations but still reported a scalar α_c using the average lattice parameter change from a simulation cell containing one vacancy (which lead to α_c varying with the simulation cell size).^[12]

Even though fluorite-structured CeO_{2- δ} has cubic symmetry, several new experimental observations suggest a directionally anisotropic^[12] chemical expansion, which requires a tensor representation. For instance, it has been shown that large biaxial stresses cause cubic ceria thin films to become tetragonal with increased vacancy concentration. ^[35] Further, doped and undoped ceria thin films^[7] and bulk pellets ^[36] exhibit electrostrictive strains that are related to simultaneous Ce-O bond shortening and Ce-O bond lengthening around the oxygen vacancies (i.e. anisotropic local lattice distortion). ^[37] In addition, Li *et al.* further characterized the short-range Ce-O bond distortions around an oxygen vacancy in CeO_{2- δ} ^[37] and hypothesized that the oxygen vacancy induced chemical strain must be anisotropic in nature (and polarizable) to produce the large strains observed in ceria under an applied electric field, the so-called giant electrostriction phenomenon; while other mechanisms will give a much smaller electrostriction strain.

The goal of the present work was to reveal the physics of the counter-intuitive anisotropic chemical strain in cubic CeO_{2- δ}. This was accomplished by fully describing both the short-range lattice distortion and long-range elastic strain induced by dilute oxygen vacancies in CeO_{2- δ}. While the short-range lattice distortion (i.e. oxygen nearest and second nearest neighbor bond distortion) could be accurately described by DFT+U calculations with a set of atomic displacements, the long-range *elastic* strain required the full chemical expansion coefficient tensor. As demonstrated here, with a tensorial α_c , the anisotropic chemical strain, the average chemical strain, and maximum expansion and contraction directions were fully captured and used to explain the a broad range of experimentally-measured chemical strains ^{[24][25][38]} and the "giant electrostriction"^[7] behavior observed in pure and doped ceria.

2 Methods

The chemical expansion coefficient tensor was calculated following the definition of Gillian ^[22] and others.^[10,11] In this method, the short-range elastic dipole tensor, \boldsymbol{G} , associated with an oxygen vacancy can be calculated by taking the first order Taylor expansion of oxygen vacancy formation energy, $E_{V_{0},\varepsilon}^{f}$ with respect to the applied strain tensor, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. Since

$$E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\bullet},\varepsilon}^{f} = E_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\bullet},\varepsilon=0}^{f} + \boldsymbol{G}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
⁽²⁾

where $E_{V_0^{\bullet},\varepsilon=0}^{f}$ is the $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$ formation energy in the absence of any applied strain, taking the derivative of Equation 2 with respect to ε , yields:

$$\boldsymbol{G} = dE_{V_0,\varepsilon}^f / d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \tag{3}$$

The chemical strain tensor at a given dilute oxygen vacancy concentration can be calculated by minimizing the total energy, ΔE_{total} with respect to the applied stain. ΔE_{total} consists of the energy due to local lattice distortion caused by oxygen vacancy formation, ΔE_{short} and the long-range elastic strain energy caused by oxygen vacancy formation, ΔE_{long} , as:

$$\Delta E_{total} = \Delta E_{short} + \Delta E_{long} = \frac{\delta}{V_U} \boldsymbol{G} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{C} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
(4),

where V_U is the volume per formula unit of perfect CeO₂ and \mathbb{C} is the elastic stiffness tensor for the perfect lattice. Taking the derivative of Equation 4 with respect to ε yields:

$$\frac{d\Delta E_{total}}{d\varepsilon} = 0 = \frac{\delta}{V_U} \boldsymbol{G} + \mathbb{C}: \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$
(5).

As shown in Section S1 of the Supplementary Materials, the chemical strain tensor, ε_c can be obtained by rearranging Equation 5 and substituting Equation 4 to yield:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{-\delta(\mathbb{C}^{-1}G)}{V_U} \text{ and } \alpha_{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{-(\mathbb{C}^{-1}G)}{V_U}$$
 (6)

Here, both the short-range bond distortion around an oxygen vacancy and the elastic diploe tensor G were computed using spin-polarized plane wave DFT calculations implemented in the

Vienna *Ab Initio* Simulation Package (VASP). A generalized gradient approximation with a Hubbard-U correction (GGA+U) was utilized with $U_{eff} = 4.5$ to treat the highly localized Ce *4f* orbitals, following the rotationally invariant approach proposed by Dudarev *et al.*^[39] and previous calculation on ceria by Fabris *et al.*^[40] This U_{eff} value for Ce *4f* has been shown to provide satisfactory charge localization on Ce due to oxygen vacancy formation ^[25] in the bulk and at the surface. ^[41] The 2x2x2 ceria cubic-supercell shown in Figure 1a was used for the neutral oxygen vacancy formation and short-range bond distortion calculations (with $\delta = 0.03125$). Such a low δ avoided any vacancy-vacancy interactions caused by the periodic boundary conditions, ^[42] a condition we consider as a dilute approximation. Future lowering the vacancy concentration below 1% may involve other charge redistribution mechanisms. ^{[43][44]} However it may be too low to cause measurable strain, thus, it is out of the typical range of δ for chemical-mechanical characterizations.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Charge-Disproportionation-Induced Anisotropic Local Lattice Distortion around an Oxygen Vacancy

Neutral oxygen vacancy formation leaves two electrons in the CeO_{2- δ} lattice. In a perfect CeO₂ lattice each Ce is in cubic coordination with 8 oxygen atoms and each oxygen is in tetrahedral coordination with 4 Ce atoms (Figure 1a). As shown in **Table 1**, the DFT-predicted lattice parameters for the perfect lattice agree well with the values obtained from X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) measurements.^[37] The distribution of these two electrons on the CeO_{2- δ} lattice directly impacts the short-range (local) lattice distortion and the long-range chemical strain. Therefore DFT+U calculations were performed to compare two possibilities: a) the two electrons are equally shared among the four oxygen-vacancy-coordinated Ce atoms (resulting in isotropic local distortion) and b) the two electrons are preferentially localized on only two oxygen-vacancy-coordinated Ce atoms (resulting in a directionally anisotropic local distortion). The atomic positions were relaxed after V₀^{••} formation in both cases while the cell volume was kept constant.

When the isotropic local distortion was imposed, the four oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce atoms were all 3.5+ charged and moved equally away from the $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$ site by 0.15Å, similar to the configurations reported by Marrocchelli *et al.*^[9] and Shenoy *et al.*^[10] In the non-uniform case, after $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$ formation, two Ce atoms moved further than the other two, causing a non-uniform displacement of Ce atoms around each $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$. As reported in Table 1, the non-uniform distortion was energetically favorable over the uniform distortion by 0.55 eV. In this case, two of the oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce atoms maintained a 4+ charge and the other two Ce atoms became 3+, as depicted in Figure 1a. More detailed partial density of states (PDOS) calculations for the Ce 5d and 4f orbitals before and after the formation of oxygen vacancy showed charge localization in the 4f orbital after $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$ formation (details provided in Supplementary Section S2), which is consistent with previous computational studies. ^{[45][46]}

This charge disproportionation occurs between the oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce atoms in CeO_{2- δ} leading to non-uniform local lattice distortion. Figure 1b illustrates how the Ce-V₀^{••} distances changed from 2.38 Å to 2.52 and 2.56 Å for Ce³⁺-V₀^{••} and Ce⁴⁺-V₀^{••}, respectively. All four oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce atoms moved away from the V₀^{••} along the <111> directions, with the Ce⁴⁺ atoms moving further than the Ce³⁺ atoms to form a distorted tetrahedron. Figures 1c illustrates the effects that oxygen vacancy formation has on the local oxygen anion sublattice. As shown in Figure 1c, each V₀^{••} has 6 FNN, 12 SNN, and 8 third nearest neighboring (TNN) oxygen atoms. The green oxygen atoms in Figure 1c came closer to the V₀^{••} while the red oxygen atoms moved away from the V₀^{••}. Specifically, the 4 FNN oxygen atoms connected to Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ moved closer by ~0.13 Å, the FNN oxygen atoms connected to two Ce⁴⁺ atoms moved away only by 0.01 Å.

Table 1 details the changes in the Ce-O bond distances around each $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$. As seen in Figure 1c, the pink Ce-O bonds were shortened by oxygen vacancy formation while the blue bonds were lengthened. All seven of the Ce⁴⁺-O bonds around each oxygen-vacancy-coordinated Ce⁴⁺ contracted to lengths between 2.27 Å and 2.35 Å, or by -4.6% to -1.3% compared to Ce-O in the perfect lattice. In contrast, six out of the seven Ce-O bonds around each oxygen-vacancy-coordinated Ce³⁺ elongated (as denoted by the pink bonds in Figure 1c) to ~2.43 Å or by 2%. The Ce³⁺-O bond on the O-Ce³⁺-V₀^{\bullet\bullet} diagonal contracted to 2.33 Å or by -2%.

Li *et al.* ^[37] used XANES to experimentally determine the local Ce-O bond length changes that occur when oxygen is removed from Gd doped ceria. They noticed that some Ce-O bonds were shortened to 2.013 - 2.288 Å (or by -13% to -2%) but some were elongated to 2.398 - 2.673 Å ($2.3\% \sim 16\%$) with the introduction of oxygen vacancies. They also observed that the local bond length change was not sensitive to Gd-dopant level, therefore it is appropriate to compare the predicted bond changes with the experiments, at least on the trend. The simulations presented here on pure ceria show that the shortened bonds in the range of -4.6% to -1.3%, and the elongation is in the range of $1.6\sim2.5\%$. Despite the differences in absolute bond length magnitude, unlike previous modeling work performed assuming uniform local expansion (as shown in Table 1), the present DFT results effectively captured the simultaneous bond lengthening and shortening observed experimentally when oxygen is removed from ceria. It must be noted, however, that the two local deformation models proposed by Li *et al.* ^[37] are only partially correct because they did not account for the non-uniform charge states on the oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce atoms.

3.2 The Relationship Between Elastic Dipoles and the Anisotropic Chemical Strain Induced by Oxygen Vacancies

Charge disproportionation and anisotropic local lattice distortion lead to anisotropic elastic dipoles and an anisotropic long-range chemical expansion coefficient as summarized in Equations 2-6. The short-range elastic dipole tensor, G, is calculated by computing the oxygen vacancy formation energy $E_{V_0,\varepsilon}^f$ at different applied strain components, ε , from DFT+U and then fitting the $E_{V_0,\varepsilon}^f$ as a linear function of strain along each strain direction as shown in Figure 2a, producing:

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \begin{bmatrix} -9.633 & 0.001 & 0.001 \\ 0.001 & -9.633 & 1.734 \\ 0.001 & 1.734 & -9.633 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 2a clearly shows that the oxygen vacancy formation energy decreases under tension but does not vary much with shear strain. This indicates that the oxygen vacancy concentration in

 $CeO_{2-\delta}$ increases under tensile uniaxial, biaxial, or hydrostatic stress; a trend experimentallyobserved by Gopal *et al.*^[35]

Entering *G* and the DFT predicted V_U and \mathbb{C} values into Equation 6 leads to the DFTpredicted chemical expansion coefficient and chemical strain tenor. The calculated $V_U = 41.50 \text{Å}^3$ and cubic CeO₂ \mathbb{C} values of $C_{11} = 343$ GPa, $C_{22} = 103$ GPa, and $C_{44} = 54$ GPa are comparable to those obtained in previous calculations. ^{[13][47][48]} Further, the 198 GPa Young's modulus calculated from these C_{ij}'s is comparable to the experimentally-reported values (225GPa). ^[49] A fully DFT-predicted chemical expansion coefficient tenor with its primary directions along the <100> directions is:

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.067 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.067 & -0.124 \\ 0.000 & -0.124 & 0.067 \end{bmatrix}.$

This complete, α_c tensor contains information of the directions of the maximum and minimum strain values. By diagonalization of the α_c tensor, one can obtain the anisotropy of the chemical strain projected onto the principle directions, using the relationship:

$$\alpha_C \times R = \alpha_{C,P}$$

(8)

where the eigenvectors provide the rotation matrix,

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \overline{1} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the eigenvalues identify the components of the chemical expansion coefficient projected onto each the principal directions in $\alpha_{C,P}$ to yield:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{C},\boldsymbol{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.191 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & -0.057 & 0.000 \\ 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.067 \end{bmatrix}$$

After diagonalizing α_c , the chemical strain anisotropy is apparent. The maximum possible chemical expansion coefficient of 0.191 occurs in the [011] direction shown in Figure

1b. This is almost three times larger than the chemical expansion coefficient along the [100] direction. In the perpendicular [011] direction a compressive strain with $\alpha_{C,yy} = -0.057$ is observed. This chemical strain anisotropy is caused by the local anisotropic lattice distortion. Figure 1d shows the oxygen square sublattice on the (100) planes exhibited a rhombohedral distortion with a side length of 5.546 Å and a (<019-020-023) corner angle of 93°. The four corner O-atoms (O18, O3, O7, O26) forming linear O-Ce^{4+/3+}-V₀^{••} bonds with the oxygen vacancy are also distorted, while the O-Ce³⁺-V₀^{••} lengths are longer than O-Ce⁴⁺-V₀^{••} lengths. On close observation of the rotation matrix (or eigenvectors), it can be seen that the x and y-axes of the diagonalized tensor correspond to the [011] (O7-O18 direction) and the [011] (O1-O24 direction) directions, respectively. On the (100) plane O7-O18 diagonal elongated to 7.90 Å in the [011] direction from 7.77 Å (in perfect ceria), while the O1-O24 diagonal contracted to 7.73 Å in the [011] direction, inducing the long-range anisotropic strain.

From the chemical expansion coefficient tensor calculated here, $\alpha_{C,P}$, the average chemical expansion coefficient produced by a collection of randomly-oriented anisotropic dipoles is given by $\alpha_{C,Ave} = tr(\alpha_{c}) = 0.067$. This will happen in polycrystalline samples or even in single crystals. Because there are six equivalent arrangements for Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ ions to occupy around each $V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$, in an unbiased CeO_{2- δ} crystal with a dilute concentration of oxygen vacancies, these elastic dipoles will be randomly oriented resulting in an average crystal structure that remains cubic. The averaged maximum chemical expansion coefficient $\alpha_{C,Max}$ is given by the two principle positive $\alpha_{C,P}$ values, and is equal to 0.129. Figure 2b, shows the ε_C vs. δ trends obtained from the average and maximum α_c values (as shown in Equation 1, α_c is the slope of the lines in Figure 2b) capture/bracket all the dilute (i.e. $\delta < 0.05$) experimental and previouslycalculated CeO_{2- δ} chemical strain values in the literature. ^{[9][10][24][25][38]} Experimental values vary a lot due to testing conditions and sample difference. Hull et al. [38] obtained chemical expansion coefficient as 0.065 with the neutron diffraction measurement of powdered ceria sample at 1000°C under different oxygen partial pressure. This compares well with the average $tr(\alpha_c) =$ 0.067. Bishop et al.²³ obtained chemical expansion coefficient as 0.108 with the dilatometry measurement at 800°C. Chiang et al. [25] obtained chemical expansion coefficient as 0.094 and 0.091 with the dilatometry measurement at 800°C and 900°C respectively. $\alpha_{C,M}$ provided the upper bound for all the experimental data and is comparable to experimental observations of Hull

et.al.^[38] This is consistent with the idea that the present DFT-based anisotropic chemical strain model can determine the overall chemical strain response of $CeO_{2-\delta}$.

3.3 Electric Dipoles and Electrostriction in Ceria-Based Materials

In addition to producing an elastic dipole, as shown in Figure 1, oxygen vacancies in nonstoichiometric ceria also produce an electric dipole with a <001> orientation with a magnitude of 2.9 eÅ, as calculated in Section S3 of the Supplementary Materials. In an unbiased $CeO_{2-\delta}$ crystal with a dilute concentration of oxygen vacancies, the electric dipoles can exist in the \pm [100], \pm [010], or \pm [001] directions. Random orientation of these will produce no net electric charge, along with an analogous distribution of elastic dipole tensors, resulting in an average chemical strain, $\varepsilon_{E=0} = \delta \times tr(\alpha_c)$. However, under the bias of an electric field, the electrons can redistribute between the oxygen-vacancy-adjacent cerium atoms to create a Ce³⁺-Ce4+ arrangement with a dipole more closely aligned with the applied electric field. For example, an electric field applied in the [001] direction leads to the preferential Ce³⁺-Ce⁴⁺ arrangement shown in Figure 1. Due to the applicability of the dilute point defect approximation, both dipole-dipole interactions and the total dipole moment per volume are weak; thus domain structures are unlikely in the dilute ceria examined here. Since the long-range chemical strain is anisotropic, this partial alignment of the oxygen-vacancy-induced dipoles will create a net strain compared to the unbiased E=0 state. The maximum strain difference due to this effect is:

$$\Delta \varepsilon_E = \varepsilon_E - \varepsilon_{E=0} = \delta \cdot \left(\varphi \times \alpha_{C,M} - tr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathcal{C}}) \right)$$
(9)

where φ is an orientation factor that is related to crystal orientation, the electric field direction, and the angles between the maximum strain direction with the preferred dipole direction. Since the dipole orientations are symmetric in pairs (i.e. the occur in the + and – of each <100> direction), if the electric field is changed to the opposite direction, the net strain change will be the same, causing nonstoichiometric ceria to exhibit electrostrictive instead of ferroelectric behavior. Using Equation 9, the maximum possible electrostriction strain coefficient is estimated as $\alpha_E = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_E}{\delta} = \alpha_{C,M} - tr(\alpha_C) = 0.062$. This value is larger than the electrostrictive strain coefficients of ~0.005-0.008 for pure ceria and ~0.003-0.011 for gadolinium doped ceria obtained by dividing the measured electrostriction strains and measured δ in the thin film work of Korobko *et al.*^[50] This overestimate can be attributed to several experimental effects including less than optimal grain orientations, slow dipole rearrangement kinetics, and vacancy-vacancy interactions (at least in the Gd doped ceria thin films) that may have produced thin film α_E values less than the maximum possible values. Nevertheless, the anisotropic oxygen-vacancy-induced elastic dipole model introduced here provides a reasonable explanation of the experimentally observed electrostriction in nonstoichiometric, fluorite-based oxides such as CeO_{2-δ}.

4 Conclusions

In summary, by combining DFT+U calculations with elastic dipole theory, the present work reveals that oxygen vacancy formation induces both anisotropic elastic dipoles and anisotropic long-range chemical strain in cubic CeO_{2-δ}. The origin of this anisotropy is the charge disproportionation on the four oxygen vacancy adjacent cerium atoms, which become two Ce^{3+} and two Ce^{4+} when a neutral oxygen vacancy forms. These Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} atoms move away from the oxygen vacancy by differing amounts which causes some of the neighboring oxygen atoms to move closer to the oxygen vacancy and some to move further away, resulting in an overall anisotropic lattice distortion. The oxygen-vacancy-adjacent Ce-O bond lengths calculated here, some of which are larger and some of which are smaller than those in the perfect lattice, are consistent with experimental observations. The calculations also reveal that most of the Ce^{3+} -O bonds elongate while the Ce^{4+} -O bonds shorten around each oxygen vacancy. The long-range chemical strain and chemical expansion coefficient tensors calculated here fully describe the directions of the maximum strain and the average strain; strains which bound all the experimentally measured chemical strain data for ceria. In addition to the elastic dipole, the charge disproportionation creates electric dipole moments oriented along the <100> directions (one for each of the six possible Ce^{3+} and Ce^{4+} arrangements in a single crystal). Without an external bias, these anisotropic dipoles are randomly oriented and an average chemical strain is likely to be measured. However, an electric field bias can align the dipoles since the charge transfer among the neighboring Ce atoms around an oxygen vacancy is possible. This creates a

finite strain change as a function of applied electric field, which explains the recently observed giant electrostriction in doped and undoped cubic $CeO_{2-\delta}$. This model system illustrates the need to use anisotropic tensors when calculating the chemical strain induced by dilute point defects in all materials, regardless of their symmetry.

Acknowledgments

TD, BWS, and YQ gratefully acknowledge GOALI collaborative research support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-1410946 and DMR-1410850. JDN gratefully acknowledges support from NSF CAREER Award Number CBET-1254453. The calculations presented here were performed at the Michigan State High-Performance Computing Center. The authors would like to thank Christine James for the assistance with the chemical strain tensor calculations.

References:

- [1] J. D. Nicholas, Y. Qi, S. R. Bishop, P. P. Mukherjee, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2014, 161, Y11.
- [2] A. Rao, J. Dsa, S. Goyal, B. R. Singh, In *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*; Jain, V. K.; Verma, A., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2014; pp. 555–558.
- [3] A. Scarpa, G. Ghibaudo, G. Ghidini, G. Pananakakis, A. Paccagnella, *Microelectron*. *Reliab.* **1998**, *38*, 195.
- [4] B. W. Sheldon, S. Mandowara, J. Rankin, Solid State Ionics 2013, 233, 38.
- [5] J. D. Nicholas, *Extreme Mech. Lett.* **2016**, *9*, 405.
- [6] M. M. Hasan, M. M. Billah, M. N. Naik, J. G. Um, J. Jang, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 2017, 38, 1035.
- [7] R. Korobko, A. Patlolla, A. Kossoy, E. Wachtel, H. L. Tuller, A. I. Frenkel, I. Lubomirsky, *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 5857.
- [8] R. Schmitt, J. Spring, R. Korobko, J. L. M. Rupp, ACS Nano 2017, 11, 8881.
- [9] D. Marrocchelli, S. R. Bishop, H. L. Tuller, B. Yildiz, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 1958.
- [10] D. Er, J. Li, M. Cargnello, P. Fornasiero, R. J. Gorte, V. B. Shenoy, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2014, 161, F3060.
- [11] C. James, Y. Wu, B. Sheldon, Y. Qi, MRS Adv. 2016, 1, 1037.
- [12] B. Wang, X. Xi, A. N. Cormack, Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 3687.
- [13] M. Mogensen, S. Nigel, T. Geoff, Solid State Ionics 2000, 129, 63.
- [14] H. L. Tuller, S. R. Bishop, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2011, 41, 369.
- [15] A. Trovarelli, Catal. Rev. 1996, 38, 439.
- [16] C. Xia, M. Liu, Solid State Ionics 2002, 152–153, 423.
- [17] G. A. Deluga, J. R. Salge, L. D. Schmidt, X. E. Verykios, Science 2004, 303, 993.
- [18] V. Esposito, E. Traversa, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2008, 91, 1037.
- [19] J. S. Ahn, D. Pergolesi, M. A. Camaratta, H. Yoon, B. W. Lee, K. T. Lee, D. W. Jung, E. Traversa, E. D. Wachsman, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2009, 11, 1504.
- [20] M. Ismail, C.-Y. Huang, D. Panda, C.-J. Hung, T.-L. Tsai, J.-H. Jieng, C.-A. Lin, U. Chand, A. Rana, E. Ahmed, I. Talib, M. Nadeem, T.-Y. Tseng, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2014, 9, 45.
- [21] L. Nation, J. Li, C. James, Y. Qi, N. Dudney, B.W. Sheldon, J. of Power Source 2017, 364, 383
- [22] M. J. Gillan, J. Phys. C Solid State Phys. 1984, 17, 1473.
- [23] S. R. Bishop, K. L. Duncan, E. D. Wachsman, Acta Mater. 2009, 57, 3596.
- [24] S. R. Bishop, K. L. Duncan, E. D. Wachsman, *Electrochimica Acta* 2009, 54, 1436.
- [25] H.-W. Chiang, R. N. Blumenthal, R. A. Fournelle, Solid State Ionics 1993, 66, 85.
- [26] V. V. Kharton, A. A. Yaremchenko, M. V. Patrakeev, E. N. Naumovich, F. M. B. Marques, *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 2003, 23, 1417.
- [27] S. R. Bishop, K. L. Duncan, E. D. Wachsman, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2010, 93, 4115.
- [28] S. B. Adler, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2004, 84, 2117.
- [29] Chen, Yu, S. B. Adler, Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 4537.
- [30] M. Vračar, A. Kuzmin, R. Merkle, J. Purans, E. A. Kotomin, J. Maier, O. Mathon, *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, *76*, 174107.
- [31] S. McIntosh, J. F. Vente, W. G. Haije, D. H. A. Blank, H. J. M. Bouwmeester, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 2187.
- [32] P. H. Larsen, P. V. Hendriksen, M. Mogensen, J. Therm. Anal. 1997, 49, 1263.

- [33] S. Miyoshi, Solid State Ionics 2003, 161, 209.
- [34] M. Burbano, D. Marrocchelli, B. Yildiz, H. L. Tuller, S. T. Norberg, S. Hull, P. A. Madden, G. W. Watson, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2011, 23, 255402.
- [35] C. Balaji Gopal, M. García-Melchor, S. C. Lee, Y. Shi, A. Shavorskiy, M. Monti, Z. Guan, R. Sinclair, H. Bluhm, A. Vojvodic, W. C. Chueh, *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 15360.
- [36] N. Yavo, O. Yeheskel, E. Wachtel, D. Ehre, A. I. Frenkel, I. Lubomirsky, Acta Mater. 2018, 144, 411.
- [37] Y. Li, O. Kraynis, J. Kas, T.-C. Weng, D. Sokaras, R. Zacharowicz, I. Lubomirsky, A. I. Frenkel, AIP Adv. 2016, 6, 055320.
- [38] S. Hull, S. T. Norberg, I. Ahmed, S. G. Eriksson, D. Marrocchelli, P. A. Madden, J. Solid State Chem. 2009, 182, 2815.
- [39] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B* 1998, 57, 1505.
- [40] S. Fabris, S. de Gironcoli, S. Baroni, G. Vicario, G. Balducci, *Phys. Rev. B* 2005, *71*, 041102.
- [41] L.-J. Chen, Y. Tang, L. Cui, C. Ouyang, S. Shi, J. Power Sources 2013, 234, 69.
- [42] T. Das, J. D. Nicholas, Y. Qi, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 4493.
- [43] O. Hellman, N.V. Skorodumova, and S.I. Simak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 135504 (2012)
- [44] L. Cui, Y. Tang, H. Zhang, L.G. Hector, C. Ouyang, S. Shi, H. Li, and L. Chen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14, 1923 (2012).
- [45] -C. W. M. Castleton, A. L. Lee, J. Kullgren, K. Hermansson, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2014, 526, 012002.
- [46] C. Loschen, J. Carrasco, K. M. Neyman, F. Illas, Phys. Rev. B 2007, 75.
- [47] S. Shi, Y. Tang, C. Ouyang, L. Cui, X. Xin, P. Li, W. Zhou, H. Zhang, M. Lei, L. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2010, 71, 788.
- [48] Y. Wang, K. Duncan, E. Wachsman, F. Ebrahimi, Solid State Ionics 2007, 178, 53.
- [49] N. Yavo, D. Noiman, E. Wachtel, S. Kim, Y. Feldman, I. Lubomirsky, O. Yeheskel, Scr. Mater. 2016, 123, 86.
- [50] R. Korobko, A. Lerner, Y. Li, E. Wachtel, A. I. Frenkel, I. Lubomirsky, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2015, 106, 042904.



Figure 1. (a) A 2x2x2 CeO_{1.97} supercell with a neutral oxygen vacancy at the center and representative coordination polyhedra for Ce (yellow) and oxygen (red). (b) the charge distribution on Ce, the distance from the oxygen vacancy site, and various directions of interest. (c) Displacement of the O-atoms around an oxygen vacancy (black). Red-O moved away from oxygen vacancy site. Green-O came closer to oxygen vacancy site. Pink Ce-O bonds signify elongation relative to the bond length in the perfect structure and blue Ce-O bonds signify contraction. (d) Local oxygen lattice distortion around the oxygen vacancy site compared to the oxygen perfect lattice with O-O-O distances of 5.496 Å.



Figure 2. (a) Variation of the oxygen vacancy formation energy as a function of the strain applied in various directions. As denoted by Equation 3, the slope of the formation energy versus strain gives the elastic dipole tensor projected on a specific strain direction. (b) Predictions of the average CeO_{2-δ} chemical strain (α_c) and maximum CeO_{2-δ} chemical strain ($\alpha_{C,M}$) from this study (lines) compared to past experimentally measured CeO_{2-δ} chemical strains (solid symbols) and past DFT-predicted CeO_{2-δ} chemical strains (open symbols).

Ceria	0 K	Ce	$Ce - V_0^{\bullet \bullet}$	$O-Ce - V_0^{\bullet\bullet}$	Ce – O Bond Distance		
Structure	Formation	Charge	bond	linear bond	Change		
(2x2x2)d	Energy		distance	distance	This study		XANES
	(eV)		change	change	(DFT on		data ^[36]
					CeO _{2-δ})		
Perfect Lattice	-783.63	4+	2.38 Å	4.76 Å	2.38 Å	$\times 8$	2.34 Å
Lluifama			+6.4%	+1.35%	0.2%,	×3	
Deformation	-775.20	3.5+			-0.3%,	×3	
Deformation					-3.7%	$\times 1$	
Non-Uniform Deformation	-775.75	3+	+5.9%	+1.84%	-2.1%,	×1	(+2.4% to
					1.6%,	$\times 2$	+14.1%)
					2.1%,	$\times 2$	×1
					2.5%,	$\times 2$	
		4+	+7.6%	+1.41%	-4.6%,	$\times 1$	
					-3.0%,	$\times 2$	(-2.4% to
					-2.1%,	$\times 1$	-14.1%)
					-1.7%,	$\times 1$	×6
					-1.3%	$\times 2$	

Table 1. Energy and Bond-Length Changes for Various Short-Range Deformation Models

'+' indicates an increase and '-' indicates a decrease in bond length, '%' indicates the strain relative to the perfect lattice, and '×' indicates the number of affected bonds. Note that even though the lattice is experiencing uniform deformation, different Ce-O bonds around an individual Ce atom can experience different deformations.