

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

# Title: Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>: A novel catalyst for the multicomponent synthesis of dibenzodiazepines under solvent-free conditions

Authors name: Saima Tarannum and Zeba N. Siddiqui\*

Affiliations: Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India.

E-mail address of Corresponding author\*: siddiqui\_zeba@yahoo.co.in

**Phone no:** Tel. +91 9412653054

Address for correspondence:

Prof. Zeba N. Siddiqui Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India.

## Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>: A novel catalyst for the multicomponent synthesis of dibenzodiazepines under solvent-free conditions

Saima Tarannum and Zeba N. Siddiqui\*

Department of Chemistry, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002, India

\*Corresponding author. Email: *siddiqui\_zeba@yahoo.co.in* 

#### Abstract

A novel heterogeneous catalyst Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was synthesized and identified using FT-IR, XRD, SEM, EDX, XRF and TG analyses. The catalyst was successfully applied as a highly effective catalyst for the synthesis of a series of dibenzodiazepines under solvent-free condition. This protocol has the advantages of convenient one-pot operation, atom-economy, excellent yields in short reaction time, reusability of catalyst and environmental friendliness. *Keywords:* Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, Heterogeneous catalyst, Benzodiazepine, Solvent-free reaction.

#### Introduction

Easy availability of Lewis acids has prompted investigations on their applications in the field of catalysis. Several reports have shown an amazing level of their performance as catalysts in terms of selectivity, reactivity, and improved yields of products. In recent years, iron salts as well as complexes have emerged as efficient catalysts in organic synthesis for the construction of different heterocycles from academic research laboratories to the large-scale chemical industries.<sup>1</sup> The use of iron salts for mild and eco-friendly reactions has attracted attention due to their inexpensiveness and environmental benignity. Recently, iron tosylate has been found as a very effective catalyst for useful chemical transformations.<sup>2-5</sup> The application of iron tosylate for organic synthesis provides advantages such as lack of sensitivity to moisture, low toxicity and ease in handling. However, the use of iron tosylate is limited in organic synthesis due to difficulties in isolation and recovery of catalyst from the reaction mixture. These limitations hamper the economic viability and sustainability of catalytic protocols. The catalytic applications of iron tosylate in organic reactions can be improved if its recovery and reuse procedure becomes facile.

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) have significant economic and ecological impacts as they address the unique, versatile and highly convergent reaction design.<sup>6</sup> Moreover, the incorporation of solvent-free methods in MCRs makes the process cleaner, safer, and easier to perform.<sup>7</sup> Thus, the utilization of MCRs coupled with environmentally benign solvent-free condition is highly desirable.

Benzodiazepines have got extensive attention owing to their broad variety of biological activities, such as analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, anti-cancer, anticonvulsant, antimicrobial, anthelmintic, antianxiety and anti-depressive activities.<sup>8-12</sup> Dibenzodiazepines also show potential activities such as antianaphylactic, antipsychotic, and anxiolytic activities.<sup>13-15</sup> Classically they are synthesized by tedious processes using acetic acid/ethanol,

oxalic acid/water, acetic acid/*i*-propanol and sulphuric acid/ethanol which have drawbacks like use of non-recyclable catalyst, long reaction time and environmental hazards.<sup>14-17</sup> However, some improved methods are also reported which includes use of ZnS NPs and chitosan-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, etc.<sup>18,19</sup> In this regard, exploring alternative catalysts for synthetic improvement of this reaction, we have synthesized a novel catalyst Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> and analysed its catalytic potential for the synthesis of dibenzodiazepines *via* three-component reaction of dimedone, *o*-phenylenediamine and aldehydes/hetero aldehydes. The newly synthesized catalyst has been characterized with the help of FT-IR spectra, powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) techniques. Thermal stability of the catalyst is examined by TG analysis.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Characterization of catalyst Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### FT-IR spectrum of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

The FT-IR spectrum of SiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1a) showed a broad OH stretching absorption band in the region of 3422 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The bands at 1110 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 808 cm<sup>-1</sup> were attributed to anti-symmetric and symmetric Si–O–Si stretching vibrations. Si–O–Si bending mode appeared near 473 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For comparative analysis, the FT-IR spectrum of  $Fe(OTs)_3$  is also included (Fig. 1b). The spectrum exhibited characteristic peaks at 3071 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1037 cm<sup>-1</sup> for C-H stretching and bending vibrations. The peaks at 1262 and 1158 cm<sup>-1</sup> were indicative of the O=S=O asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations, respectively. C–S stretching mode was observed at 567 cm<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>20</sup>

In IR spectrum of catalyst (Fig. 1c), a broad absorption band at 3600 to 2800 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to OH stretching of SiO<sub>2</sub> moiety. The spectrum showed C-H stretching and bending vibrations at 3092 and 1042 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The presence of SO<sub>2</sub> group was confirmed by

4

asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations at 1184 and 1124 cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively. However, a band at 1093 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to anti symmetric Si–O–Si stretching. Further, symmetric Si–O–Si stretching and bending vibrations appeared near 813 and 465 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The absorption peak at 570 cm<sup>-1</sup> was indicative of C–S stretching mode of iron tosylate. The peaks corresponding to both SiO<sub>2</sub> and iron tosylate in the spectrum of catalyst justify the successful adsorption of tosylate group on silica surface.



Fig. 1. FT-IR Spectra of (a)  $SiO_2$ , (b)  $Fe(OTs)_3$  and (c)  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$ .

#### Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of catalyst

The XRD analysis showed that the XRD patterns of the catalyst (Fig. 2b) were similar to that of the support (Fig. 2a). The catalyst showed a broad peak around  $10-30^{\circ}$  which was attributed to SiO<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. 2**. Powder XRD pattern of (a) SiO<sub>2</sub> (b) fresh catalyst Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (c) Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after five catalytic cycles.

#### SEM-EDX analysis of the Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

Morphological structure and particle distribution of the catalyst were revealed by scanning electron microscopy. The particles of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub> were well dispersed and no agglomeration was found on the surface of silica gel (Fig. 3a and 3b). EDX analysis of the catalyst showed peaks for Si, Fe, S and O elements confirming formation of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4).



Fig. 3. SEM images of (a,b) freshly synthesized Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> at different magnifications
(c) Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> after five catalytic cycles.



Fig. 4. EDX spectrum of the Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### XRF analysis of the catalyst

The chemical composition of  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  was determined by XRF analysis (Table 1). The analysis confirmed the presence of  $Fe(OTs)_3$  on the surface of silica. The actual composition of the catalyst has been found as,  $SiO_2$  84.72 (%w/w), C 7.71 (%w/w), S 3.57 (%w/w), and Fe 3.21 (%w/w).

Table	1 Composition	of Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> deter	rmined by XRF analysis
-------	---------------	---	------------------------

		Concentration (%w/w)				
Entry	Compound/ Element	Fresh catalyst	Recycled catalyst (after 5 runs)			
1	SiO <sub>2</sub>	84.72	85.08			
2	С	7.71	7.54			
3	S	3.57	3.36			
4	Fe	3.21	3.11			
5	Cl	0.62	0.65			
6	Са	0.05	0.07			
7	Others	0.10	0.14			

#### TG analysis of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

The thermal stability of  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  was examined by thermogravimetric analysis. According to TG curve, the weight loss process of catalyst could be divided into three stages (Fig. 5). The first weight loss of 12.15% around 66.8 °C was due to loss of surface physisorbed water. The second weight loss of 4.58% in the range of 180-400 °C was due to degradation of SO<sub>3</sub> groups of tosylate moiety. The third weight loss of 12.01% in the range of 400-600 °C was attributed to the decomposition of organic residue.



Fig. 5. TG curve of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

#### **Optimization of reaction conditions**

In order to explore the optimum conditions for the synthesis of dibenzodiazepines, the reaction of dimedone **1** (1mmol), *o*-phenylenediamine **2** (1 mmol) and 3-formyl chromone **3a** (1 mmol) was selected as model reaction. The effect of various reaction conditions, different supports, amount of catalyst and temperature were studied to optimize the reaction condition. At first, the reaction was carried out in the absence of catalyst. The reaction did not take place even after prolonged heating (Table 2, entry 1). When  $ZnCl_2$ ,  $NiCl_2$ ,  $AlCl_3$ ,  $CuCl_2$  and  $MgCl_2$  were employed as catalyst, low yield of product was obtained (Table 2, entries 2-6). Using FeCl<sub>3</sub>, Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, moderate yield of product was observed (Table 2, entries 7-

9). However, the use of  $Fe(OTs)_3$  increased the efficiency, and produced high yield of product (Table 2, entry 10). In order to further improve efficiency of our protocol,  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  was tested for the model reaction and substantial increase in yield of the product in short reaction time was observed (Table 2, entry 11). The reaction was also carried out with SiO<sub>2</sub> in order to evaluate role of  $Fe(OTs)_3$  and no reaction occurred (Table 2, entry 12). Afterward, the effect of different solvents was investigated for the model reaction in the presence of  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$ . Protic solvents such as  $C_2H_5OH$ , (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>COH and CH<sub>3</sub>OH, yielded the moderate yield in long reaction time (Table 2, entries 13-15). Whereas, green solvents (ethylene glycols, water) also did not work-out for the said reaction (Table 2, entries 16-19). These results clearly indicated solvent-free condition as the best reaction condition for the model reaction using Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> as catalyst.

Entry	Catalyst	Condition	Time <sup>o</sup>	Yield <sup>e</sup>
1	No catalyst	Solvent-free/80 °C	24 h	No reaction
2	ZnCl <sub>2</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	7.5 h	32
3	NiCl <sub>2</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	7.5 h	30
4	AlCl <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	9 h	26
5	$CuCl_2$ (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	8.4 h	30
6	MgCl <sub>2</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	9.2 h	34
7	FeCl <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	6 h	64
8	Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	6.4 h	60
9	Fe <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	4.5 h	68
10	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> (10 mol%)	Solvent-free/80 °C	3 h	74
11	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	Solvent-free/80 °C	10 min	93
12	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Solvent-free/80 °C	24 h	No reaction

<b>Tuble </b> Effect of various reaction means for the model reaction
---

13 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ Ethanol/Reflux15 h6414 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ Isopropanol/Reflux17.5 h6015 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ Methanol/Reflux14 h6416 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ Ethylene glycol/100 °C20 hTrace17 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ PEG-200/100 °C20 hTrace18 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ PEG-400/100 °C20 hTrace19 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)$ Water/Reflux20 hTrace					
14 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ Isopropanol/Reflux17.5 h6015 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ Methanol/Reflux14 h6416 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ Ethylene glycol/100 °C20 hTrace17 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ PEG-200/100 °C20 hTrace18 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ PEG-400/100 °C20 hTrace19 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$ Water/Reflux20 hTrace	13	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	Ethanol/Reflux	15 h	64
15       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Methanol/Reflux       14 h       64         16       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Ethylene glycol/100 °C       20 h       Trace         17       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       PEG-200/100 °C       20 h       Trace         18       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       PEG-400/100 °C       20 h       Trace         19       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Water/Reflux       20 h       Trace	14	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	Isopropanol/Reflux	17.5 h	60
16       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Ethylene glycol/100 °C       20 h       Trace         17       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       PEG-200/100 °C       20 h       Trace         18       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       PEG-400/100 °C       20 h       Trace         19       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Water/Reflux       20 h       Trace	15	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	Methanol/Reflux	14 h	64
17       Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)       PEG-200/100 °C       20 h       Trace         18       Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)       PEG-400/100 °C       20 h       Trace         19       Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)       Water/Reflux       20 h       Trace	16	$Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$	Ethylene glycol/100 °C	20 h	Trace
18       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       PEG-400/100 °C       20 h       Trace         19       Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2 (100 mg)       Water/Reflux       20 h       Trace	17	$Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 mg)$	PEG-200/100 °C	20 h	Trace
19 $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2(100 \text{ mg})$ Water/Reflux20 hTrace	18	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	PEG-400/100 °C	20 h	Trace
	19	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (100 mg)	Water/Reflux	20 h	Trace

<sup>a</sup> Reaction of dimedone (1mmol), *o*-phenylenediamine (1 mmol) and 3-formyl chromone (1 mmol) in the presence of different catalysts/solvents. <sup>b</sup> Reaction progress monitored by TLC. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.

The effect of different supports such as  $Al_2O_3$  (acidic, basic, neutral),  $TiO_2$ ,  $ZrO_2$  and  $SiO_2$  on the catalytic activity was also evaluated using the model reaction (Table 3). Among the various supports, silica gel proved to be an appropriate support in terms of reaction time and product yield (Table 3, entry 6) which may be due to good dispersion of  $Fe(OTs)_3$  on the surface of silica gel.

Table	3	Effect	of	different	supports	on	the	synthesis	of	<b>4</b> a	under	thermal	solvent-free
conditi	on	a											

Entry	Support	Time <sup>b</sup>	Yield <sup>c</sup> (%)
1	Acidic alumina	2.2 h	74
2	Basic alumina	2.8 h	62
3	Neutral alumina	2.8 h	60
4	Titania	4 h	38
5	Zirconia	3.8 h	40
6	Silica gel	10 min	93

<sup>a</sup>Reaction of dimedone (1mmol), *o*-phenylenediamine (1 mmol) and 3-formyl chromone (1 mmol) in the presence of 100 mg of catalyst. <sup>b</sup>Reaction progress monitored by TLC. <sup>c</sup>Isolated yield.

Further optimization related to temperature revealed that the temperature had a pronounced effect on the rate of the reaction. Only a trace amount of product was formed when model reaction was conducted at room temperature (Table 4, entry 1). Increasing the reaction temperature up to 60 °C again several hours were required for completion of the reaction (Table 4, entries 2-3). Maximum yield of the product was obtained in shortest time period when reaction was performed at 80 °C (Table 4, entry 4).

Table 4 Effect of temperature on the synthesis of 4a under solvent-free condition<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Temperature	Time <sup>b</sup>	Yield <sup>c</sup>
1	RT	18 h	Trace
2	40 °C	4.5 h	42
3	60 °C	3.2 h	64
4	80 °C	10 min	93
5	100 °C	10 min	93

<sup>a</sup> Reaction of dimedone (1mmol), *o*-phenylenediamine (1 mmol) and 3-formyl chromone (1 mmol) in the presence of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> (100 mg). <sup>b</sup> Reaction progress monitored by TLC. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.

In order to achieve highest yield of the desired product, the model reaction was optimized by varying the amount of catalyst (Table 5). The study showed that the catalyst had crucial role in the progress of reaction. The rate of reaction increased steadily with increasing the catalyst amount from 40 to 100 mg (Table 5, entries 1-4).

 Table 5 Effect of amount of catalyst on the synthesis of 4a under thermal solvent-free

 condition<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Fe(OTs) <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> (mg)	Time <sup>b</sup> (min)	Yield <sup>c</sup> (%)
1	40	55	54
2	60	40	68
3	80	25	80
4	100	10	93
5	120	10	93

<sup>a</sup>Reaction of dimedone (1mmol), *o*-phenylene diamine (1 mmol) and 3-formyl chromone (1 mmol) in the presence of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Reaction progress monitored by TLC. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield.

#### **Catalytic reaction**

Under these optimized reaction conditions the scope of the reaction was explored for the synthesis of dibenzodiazepines **4a-k** (Scheme 1). A variety of functionalities were tolerated in hetero aldehydes as well as aromatic aldehydes under the present reaction conditions providing the corresponding products in excellent isolated yields in minimum time period (Table 6).



Scheme 1. Synthesis of dibenzodiazepines (4a-k) using Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> under thermal

#### solvent-free conditions

**Table 6** The reaction of dimedone, o-phenylenediamine and aldehydes in presence of $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  under thermal solvent-free conditions

Entry	Aldehyde	Product	Time <sup>a</sup>	Yield <sup>b</sup>	Melting point	
			(min)	(%)	Observed	Reported





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reaction progress monitored by TLC. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

#### **Reaction mechanism**

A plausible mechanism for the formation of 4-substituted-1,5- benzodiazepine is proposed in scheme 2. First, the  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  activated carbonyl group of dimedone 1 undergoes Michael addition with *o*-phenylenediamine 2 to form imine intermediate [A]. The NH<sub>2</sub> group of [A] reacts with  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  activated carbonyl group of aldehydes **3a-k**, to form [B], which undergoes intramolecular cyclization to afford products **4a-k**.<sup>18</sup>



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 4a-k

#### **Recyclability of catalyst**

One of the most important advantages of heterogeneous catalyst  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  over the homogeneous counterpart is the possibility of reusing the catalyst by simple procedure. Therefore, recovery and reusability of the catalyst was also investigated for the model reaction. The catalyst  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  was recovered by filtration, washed 3 times with 5 mL

ethanol, dried at 100 °C and reused in the next run. The data (Fig. 6) demonstrated practical recyclability of the catalyst with a slight loss of its activity. The XRF analysis of the catalyst after 5 runs showed the chemical composition as,  $SiO_2 85.08$  (%w/w), C 7.54 (%w/w), S 3.36 (%w/w), and Fe 3.11 (%w/w) (Table 1). This indicates that Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub> is tightly bound to the support and leaching of Fe does not takes place during the course of reaction. The XRD and SEM images of the catalyst after 5 runs (Figs. 2c and 3c) showed no significant changes in the morphology indicating substantial retention of the catalytic activity during its reuse.



Fig. 6. Recyclability of the catalytic system.

#### Experimental

#### **Chemicals and apparatus**

Melting points of all synthesized compounds were taken in a Riechert Thermovar instrument and are uncorrected. The IR spectra (KBr) were recorded on Perkin Elmer RXI spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-300 and Bruker Avance II 400 spectrometer using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard and DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent. ESI-MS were recorded on a Quattro II (ESI) spectrometer. Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were conducted using the Elemental vario EL III elemental analyzer and their results were found to be in agreement with the calculated values. All reagents were purchased from Merck and Aldrich and were used without further purification. The homogeneity of the

compounds was checked by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on glass plates coated with silica gel G254 (E. Merck) using chloroform-methanol (3:1) mixture as mobile phase and visualized using iodine vapors. X-ray diffractograms (XRD) of the catalyst were recorded in the 20 range of 0-80° with scan rate of 4°/ min on a Rigaku Minifax X-ray diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at a wavelength of 1.54060 A°. SEM-EDX characterization of the catalyst was performed on a JEOL JSM-6510 scanning electron microscope equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer operating at 20 kV. X-ray fluorescence analysis was carried out with a PHILLIPS PW 2404. TGA data was obtained with DTG-60H (Simultaneous DTA-TG Apparatus), Shimadzu instrument.

#### Preparation of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>

In a round-bottomed flask equipped with a reflux condenser, a mixture of FeCl<sub>3</sub> (3.18 g, 19.6 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (11.20 g, 58.9 mmol) was taken and heated under vacuum for 1 h in an oil bath at 160 °C. The resulting orange solid was washed with diethyl ether (30 mL), treated with methanol (30 mL), and refluxed for 20 min. The resulting solution was filtered and the remaining filtrate was dried under vacuum. Then, a 3:1 mixture of acetonitrile/methanol (30 mL) was added and refluxed for 20 min. The resulting suspension was then allowed to cool and the orange precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with diethyl ether (20 mL), and dried for 30 min under vacuum at 160 °C.<sup>20</sup>

#### Preparation of catalyst Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

 $Fe(OTs)_3$  (2 g) was added to the suspension of silica gel G (Merck, 70-230 mesh, 8 g) in ethanol (50 mL). The mixture was stirred at 100 °C till the evaporation of the solvent and then allowed to cool at room temperature to afford  $Fe(OTs)_3/SiO_2$  catalyst as free flowing powder.

#### General procedure for the synthesis of 4-substituted-1,5-benzodiazepine

A mixture of dimedone (5 mmol), *o*-phenylenediamine (5 mmol), aldehyde (5 mmol) and 0.5g of Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> was stirred at 80 °C under solvent-free condition for the appropriate time (Table 6). After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and added ethyl acetate (5 mL). The catalyst was recovered by filtration, washed with ethanol (2×5 mL) and reused for next cycles. The filtrate was concentrated to furnish products (**4a-k**) which were then further purified by recrystallization from ethanol. The identity of the products was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and mass spectra.

#### Spectral data of synthesized compounds

# 4a 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[4-oxo-4H-chromen-3-yl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1637, 2933, 3316. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.05 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.52 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.3 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.70 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.37 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 5.82 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, NH), 6.45–8.12 (m, 9H, Ar–H), 8.93 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  27.85, 31.95, 43.34, 50.35, 55.89, 112.28, 118.82, 122.62, 124.12, 126.11, 127.14, 128.22, 132.52, 137.80, 145.23, 155.24, 182.70, 192.60; ESI-MS (m/z) 387.42 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 74.59; H, 5.73; N, 7.24. Anal. Found (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 74.51; H, 5.69; N, 7.18.

# 4b 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[6-methyl-4-oxo-4H-chromen-3-yl]-1Hdibenzo-[*b*,*e*][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1645, 2925, 3436. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.05 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.37 (s, 1H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.46 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.3 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.62 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.22 (d, 1H, J = 5.3 Hz, CH), 5.80 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 7.23–7.72 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.77 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  20.20, 28.16, 32.40, 44.56, 49.22, 57.18, 110.64, 121.46, 123.14, 125.08, 126.61, 127.42, 128.62, 132.10, 137.63, 145.34, 155.16, 180.24, 190.64; ESI-MS

(m/z) 401.82 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 74.97; H, 6.04; N, 6.99. Anal. Found (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 74.90; H, 6.16; N, 6.87.

## 4c 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[6-chloro-4-oxo-4H-chromen-3-yl]-1Hdibenzo-[*b*,*e*][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1622, 2930, 3433. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.06 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.50 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.60 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.37 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, CH), 5.82 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, NH), 6.45–8.10 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.90 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  27.95, 32.21, 45.14, 50.05, 56.49, 110.68, 119.87, 120.44, 121.24, 122.98, 126.11, 127.73, 129.12, 131.49, 138.84, 145.1, 155.12, 183.50, 191.42; ESI-MS (m/z) 421.32 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 68.48; H, 5.02; N, 6.65. Anal. Found (C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 68.34; H, 5.12; N, 6.58.

#### 4d 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[2-thienyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1630, 2965, 3295. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.03 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.58 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.75 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, CH), 6.15 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, NH), 6.35–7.85 (m, 6H, Ar–H), 8.90 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  26.78, 33.14, 44.52, 50.26, 55.74, 110.65, 118.16, 121.36, 123.44, 126.59, 127.63, 131.45, 136.26, 144.24, 155.17, 180.18, 190.25; ESI-MS (m/z) 325.46 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS): C, 70.33; H, 6.21; N, 8.63. Anal. Found (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS): C, 70.28; H, 6.27; N, 8.68.

# 4e 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-[*b*,*e*][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1642, 2930, 3305. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.02 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.73 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 6.12 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 6.46–7.32 (m, 9H, Ar–H), 8.92 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100

MHz): δ 27.52, 32.70, 44.50, 50.15, 55.95, 111.14, 119.12, 121.24, 123.22, 126.42, 126.74, 128.20, 130.52, 137.84, 145.10, 155.06, 182.74, 192.45; ESI-MS (m/z) 319.16 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O): C, 79.21; H, 6.96; N, 8.79. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O): C, 79.25; H, 6.93; N, 8.82.

#### 4f 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(4-chloro)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1625, 2935, 3316. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.10 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.50 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.70 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 6.30 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 6.40–7.90 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.60 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.60, 32.32, 44.74, 49.30, 57.24, 110.26, 121.14, 123.46, 124.48, 126.50, 127.35, 128.24, 132.13, 137.32, 146.44, 148.12, 153.20, 190.64; ESI-MS (m/z) 353.84 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O): C, 71.48; H, 5.99; N, 7.93. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O): C, 71.42; H, 6.06; N, 7.88.

#### 4g 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(2-chloro)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1640, 2924, 3345. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.04 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.58 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.75 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 6.32 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 6.40–7.40 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.85 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.20, 32.32, 45.16, 50.12, 56.54, 110.14, 120.10, 121.44, 122,64, 123.17, 124.40, 125.82, 126.78, 128.14, 130.54, 138.24, 145.12, 155.12, 190.52; ESI-MS (m/z) 353.62 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O): C, 71.48; H, 5.99; N, 7.93. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O): C, 71.44; H, 6.04; N, 7.90.

# 4h 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(4-hydroxy)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1632, 2938, 3342, 3460. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.05 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.52 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.75 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, CH), 6.32 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz, NH), 6.35–7.20 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.65 (s, 1H, NH), 8.95 (s, 1H, O–H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.20, 32.46, 44.62, 49.90, 57.16, 110.74, 114.16, 117.14, 119.24, 120.52, 122.32, 123.42, 128.16, 132.13, 137.32, 146.44, 148.12, 154.12, 158.34, 190.64; ESI-MS (m/z) 335.46 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.42; H, 6.63; N, 8.37. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.48; H, 6.66; N, 8.42.

#### 4i 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(2-hydroxy)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1644, 2920, 3364, 3432. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.03 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.2 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.50 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.74 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, CH), 6.33 (d, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz, NH), 6.30–7.10 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.60 (s, 1H, NH), 9.20 (s, 1H, O–H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.16, 32.28, 44.82, 50.14, 57.05, 110.62, 113.96, 116.12, 119.26, 120.46, 121.49, 122.54, 123.10, 127.96, 130.37, 138.74, 146.40, 147.03, 154.65, 158.18, 191.32; ESI-MS (m/z) 335.82 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.42; H, 6.63; N, 8.37. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.45; H, 6.61; N, 8.39.

#### 4j 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(4-methoxy)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1638, 2936, 3304. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.05 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.51 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.72 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 6.33 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 6.30–7.14 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.64 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  27.64, 32.36, 44.75, 49.86, 54.88, 56.82, 110.90, 112.43, 113.58, 118.45, 119.53, 120.75, 121.54, 123.57, 128.14, 130.65, 138.05, 146.16, 147.18, 154.86, 191.50; ESI-MS (m/z) 349.24 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.83; H, 6.94; N, 8.04. Anal. Found (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>): C, 75.89; H, 6.96; N, 8.10.

#### 4k 3,3-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,10,11-hexahydro-11-[(4-nitro)phenyl]-1H-dibenzo-

#### [b,e][1,4]diazepin-1-one

IR (KBr) ( $\nu_{max}$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1634, 2942, 3298. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  1.11 (s, 6H, 2 x CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.12 (ABq, 2H, J = 16.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.53 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.74 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, CH), 6.35 (d, 1H, J = 5.4 Hz, NH), 6.40–8.00 (m, 8H, Ar–H), 8.65 (s, 1H, NH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz):  $\delta$  28.55, 32.16, 44.36, 49.95, 56.73, 110.05, 120.64, 121.17, 122.24, 123.40, 124.53, 127.34, 130.28, 131.93, 137.87, 146.13, 153.20, 154.68, 191.74; ESI-MS (m/z) 364.16 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Anal. Calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 69.40; H, 5.82; N, 11.56. Anal. Found (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): C, 69.46; H, 5.88; N, 11.60.

#### Conclusion

In summary, we have successfully prepared iron tosylate from low cost starting material and synthesized its heterogeneous version by supporting on silica gel. It conveniently catalysed the reaction affording products in excellent yield and preserving atom economy. The catalyst does not need special precautions for preparation and can be stored at an ambient temperature without losing its catalytic activity. The prominent advantages of this new protocol are the recyclability of the catalyst, operational simplicity, high yields of the products in short reaction times, and environmentally friendly synthesis avoiding toxic reagents and volatile solvents.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to CST, U.P. (no. CST/SERPD/D-283, Dated 14-May-2015) for financial assistance. The authors are also thankful to Department of Physics and University Sophisticated Instrument Facility (USIF), AMU, Aligarh for providing powder X-Ray diffractograms, SEM-EDX and TEM facilities. The authors would also like to thank SAIF, Panjab University, Chandigarh, for providing spectral data and elemental analysis. UGC, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged for awarding research fellowship to ST.

#### References

- 1. K.C. Majumdar, N. De, T. Ghosh and B. Roy, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 4827.
- N.J. Baldwin, A.N. Nord, B. D. O'Donnell and R.S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, 53, 6946.
- J.M. Bothwell, V.V. Angeles, J.P. Carolan, M.E. Olson and R.S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, 51, 1056.
- M.E. Olson, J.P. Carolan, M.V. Chiodo, P.R. Lazzara and R.S. Mohan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, 51, 3969.
- 5. J.T. Starcevich, T.J. Laughlin and R.S. Mohan, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 983.
- 6. R.C. Cioc, E. Ruijter and R.V.A. Orru, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 2958.
- 7. M.S. Singh and S. Chowdhury, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 4547.
- G. Grossi, M.D. Braccio, G. Roma, V. Ballabeni, M. Tognolini, F. Calcina and E. Barocelli, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **37**, 933.
- A. Kamal, V. Devaiah, K.L. Reddy and M.S. Kumar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2005, 13, 2021.
- G.D. Sarro, A. Chimirri, R. Mckernan, K. Quirk, P. Giusti and A.D. Sarro, *Pharmacol. Biochem. Be.*, 1997, 58, 281.
- 11. R. Kumar and Y.C. Joshi, Arkivoc 2007, xiii, 142.
- 12. G.B. Cassano and L. Conti, Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 1981, 11, 238.
- 13. M.E. Greig, A.J. Gibbons and G.A. Young, J. Med. Chem., 1971, 14, 153.
- 14. O.I. El-Sabbagh and S.M. El-Nabtity, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 2009, 30, 1445.
- J.N. Sangshetti, R.S. Chouthe, M.R. Jadhav, N.S. Sakle, A. Chabukswar, I. Gonjari,
   S. Darandale and D.B. Shinde, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2013,
   doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.04.004.

- N.N. Kolos, E.N. Yurchenko, V.D. Orlov, S.V. Shishkina and O.V. Shishkin, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 2004, 40, 1550.
- N. N. Tonkikh, A. Strakovs, K. V. Rizhanova and M.V. Petrova, *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.*, 2004, 40, 949.
- 18. H. Naeimi and H. Foroughi, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 1228.
- 19. A. Maleki and M. Kamalzare, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 6931.
- 20. D. Coucouvanis, Inorganic Syntheses, Volume 33, 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

**Graphical Abstract** 



Fe(OTs)<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst was used as an efficient, recyclable, heterogeneous catalyst for the multicomponent synthesis of dibenzodiazepines.